Longitudinal studies at birth and age 7 reveal strong effects of genetic variation on ancestry-

associated DNA methylation patterns in blood cells from ethnically admixed children

Chris McKennan[1*], Katherine Naughton[2], Catherine Stanhope[2], Meyer Kattan[3], George T.

O'Connor[4], Megan T. Sandel[4], Cynthia M. Visness[5], Robert A. Wood[6], Leonard B. Bacharier[7],

Avraham Beigelman[7], Stephanie Lovisky-Desir[3], Alkis Togias[8], James E. Gern[9], Dan Nicolae[1,2¶],

Carole Ober[2¶] for the NIAID-sponsored Inner-City Asthma Consortium

[1]Department of Statistics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

[2]Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

[3]Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY

[4]Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

[5]Rho Federal Systems Division, Chapel Hill, NC

[6]Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins University Medical Center, Baltimore, MD

[7]Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine and St Louis Children's

Hospital, St. Louis, MO

[8]National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, Bethesda, MD

[9]Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and

Public Health, Madison WI

¶ Equal contributions

*Corresponding Author:

Email: cgm29@uchicago.edu

Abstract

The epigenetic architecture in humans is influenced by genetic factors, exposure histories and

biological factors such as age, but little is known about their relative contribution or their

longitudinal dynamics. Here, we studied DNA methylation levels at over 750,000 CpG sites in

mononuclear blood cells collected at birth and age 7 from 196 children of primarily self-reported

Black and Hispanic ethnicities to study age- and ancestry-related patterns in DNA methylation.

We developed a novel Bayesian inference method for longitudinal data and showed that even

though average methylation levels changed from birth to age 7, the vast majority of the ancestry-

associated methylation patterns present at birth are also present at age 7. A large proportion of

ancestry-associated CpGs (59%) had a nearby methylation quantitative trait locus (meQTL) and

we show that at least 13% of the ancestry-associated methylation patterns were mediated through

local genotype. These combined results indicate that ancestry-associated methylation patterns in

blood are in large part genetically determined. Our results further suggest that DNA methylation

patterns in blood cells are robust to many environmental exposures, at least during the first 7

years of life.

Introduction

Epigenetic patterning in human genomes reflects the contributions of genetic variation [1, 2] exposure histories [3-8], and biological factors, such as age [9-18], ethnicity [19-24] and disease status [25-28], among others. However, little work has been done to elucidate the relative contributions or longitudinal dynamics of each on epigenetic patterning.

To directly examine the relationship between age, ethnicity, genetic variation, early life exposures and allergic phenotypes and an epigenetic mark, we studied global DNA methylation patterns at over 750,000 CpG sites on the EPIC array in cord blood mononuclear cells (CBMCs) collected at birth and in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) collected at 7 years of age from 196 children participating in the Urban Environment and Childhood Asthma (URECA) birth cohort study[29, 30]. This cohort is part of the NIAID-funded Inner-City Asthma Consortium and is comprised of children primarily of Black and Hispanic self-reported ethnicity, with a mother and/or father with a history of at least one allergic disease living in poor urban areas (see Gern et al. [30] for details of enrollment criteria). Mothers of children in the URECA study were enrolled during pregnancy and children were followed from birth through at least 7 years of age.

The longitudinal design of the URECA study provided us with the resolution to partition genetic from non-genetic effects on ancestry-associated DNA methylation patterns, and yielded new insight into the factors affecting DNA methylation patterns at CpG sites in mononuclear (immune) cells during early life in ethnically admixed children. Using a novel statistical inference method that provides a general framework for analyzing longitudinal genetic and epigenetic data, we show that ancestry-dependent methylation patterns are conserved over the first 7 years of life and that these patterns are strongly influenced, and often mediated, by local

genotype. Further, chronological age, but not measured exposures during pre- or post-natal

periods or disease status by age 7, was associated with methylation patterns in this sample of

children. Considering the results of our study and those of a recently published comprehensive

review on environmental epigenetics research [31], we suggest that methylation levels in blood

are not as responsive to environmental exposures as previously suggested [20], at least during the

first 7 years of life.

Results

Our study included 196 children participants in the Urban Environment and Childhood Asthma

(URECA) cohort who had stored cord blood mononuclear cells (CBMCs) and peripheral blood

mononuclear cells (PBMCs) collected at birth and age 7, respectively [29], and passed quality

control (QC) checks as described in Methods. The URECA children were classified by parent- or

guardian-reported race into one of the following categories: Black, $n =147$; Hispanic, $n = 39$;

White, $n = 1$; Mixed race $n = 7$, and Other, $n = 2$. A description of the study population is shown

in Table 1 and in Supplementary Materials. Ancestry, assessed using ancestral PCs, revealed

varying proportions of African and European ancestry along PC1. Becuase there is little

separation along PC2 (Figure 1) and no genome-wide significant correlation between PC2

through PC10 and methylation levels at either age, we defined PC1 as inferred genetic ancestry

(IGA). The reported races (RR) of the children are also shown in Figure 1. The means and ranges

of gestational age stratified by reported race are shown in Table 1; the distribution of gestational

age at birth is shown in Figure S1 in the supplement.

88
89

Table 1: Covariates for the 196 URECA children in our study, stratified by self-reported race.

	Black	Hispanic	White	Mixed	Other
Sample Size (N)	147	39	1	7	2
Males (%)	71 (48%)	25 (64%)	0 (0%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)
Asthma diagnosis at age 7 (%)	38 (26%)	12 (31%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)
Gestational age at birth, in weeks (mean [range])	39.0 [34,42]	38.9 [35,41]	36.0	39.1 [37,40]	39.0 [38,40]
Sample Collection Site					
Baltimore (%)	64 (44%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)	3 (43%)	2 (100%)
Boston (%)	17 (12%)	5 (13%)	0 (0%)	3 (29%)	0 (0%)
New York (%)	23 (16%)	32 (82%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)
St. Louis (%)	43 (29%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)

Inferred genetic ancestry effects on DNA methylation patterns are conserved in magnitude and direction between birth and age 7

Previous cross-sectional studies have revealed associations between ancestry and DNA methylation at birth [19, 23] and later in life [20-22, 24, 25]. These correlations were generally attributed to the combined effects of genetic variation and environmental exposures [20-23]. However, because of the cross-sectional nature of these studies, it is not known if the association between ancestry and methylation patterns present at birth persist (or change) in childhood. Moreover, because ancestry is typically confounded with environmental exposures [41], it has been proposed that ancestry effects on methylation levels may reflect the effects of exposure

histories, which also may vary by race or ethnicity [20]. Alternatively, ancestry effects on DNA

methylation patterns could also be due to genetic differences. In these cases, we would expect

ancestry-associated methylation patterns to be conserved from birth to later childhood. Using the

longitudinal data from the URECA cohort, we tested this hypothesis by addressing three

questions. What is the correlation between ancestry and methylation levels at individual CpG

sites at birth and age 7? Is the direction and magnitude of the correlation between ancestry and

methylation levels conserved between birth and age 7? Are there any CpGs for which the

correlation between methylation and ancestry at birth is significantly different from the

correlation between methylation and ancestry at age 7?

Standard hypothesis testing can be used to answer the first question but is not appropriate

for answering the second or third because failure to reject the null hypothesis that the effects are

equal at birth and age 7 does not imply the null hypothesis is true. Additionally, because our

studies were conducted in cord blood cells at birth and peripheral blood cells at age 7, effects at

birth and age 7 may differ slightly due to differences in cell composition [42]. To circumvent

these issues, we built a Bayesian model (see model (S3) in the Supplement) and let the data

determine both the strength of the correlation between inferred genetic ancestry or reported race

and methylation, and how similar the correlations were at birth and age 7. We then answered the

first, second and third questions by defining and estimating the correct (*cor*), conserved (*con*)

and discordant (*dis*) sign rates for each CpG $g = 1,\ldots,784,484$:

$cor_g^{(0)}$ = Posterior probability that the estimate for the direction of CpG g's ancestry effect at

125   birth was correct.

$con_g^{(0,7)}$ = Posterior probability that the directions of CpG g's ancestry effects at birth and

age 7 were the same AND the directions were estimated correctly.

$dis_g^{(0)}$ = Posterior probability that the ancestry effect for CpG g at birth was non-zero and

was 0 or in the opposite direction at age 7 AND both directions were estimated

correctly.

The correct and discordant sign rates at age 7 ($cor_g^{(7)}$, $dis_g^{(7)}$) were defined analogously. Because

the correct sign rate at birth and age 7 is always at least as large as the conserved sign rate, we

say that the birth and age 7 effects for CpG g overlap if its conserved sign rate ($con_g^{(0,7)}$) is above

a designated threshold. Supplemental Figures S2 and S3 provide insight into how the correct and

conserved sign rates compare with standard univariate P values. We refer the reader to "Joint

modeling of methylation at birth and seven" in the Supplement for a detailed description of our

model and estimation procedure.

After fitting the relevant parameters in the model to the data, we were able to estimate the

fraction of CpGs with non-zero effects at both ages that fell into one of four possible bins: the

two effects were completely unrelated ($\rho = 0$), moderately similar ($\rho = 1/3$), very similar ($\rho = $

2/3), or identical ($\rho = 1$). Note that if a non-trivial fraction of CpG sites had effects that were

negatively related, they would be assigned to the first bin ($\rho = 0$). In fact, less than 1% of the

CpGs with non-zero inferred genetic ancestry effects at both ages had unrelated or moderately

similar IGA effects ($\rho = 0$ or 1/3), whereas 34% fell in the very similar bin and 66% had

identical inferred genetic ancestry effects at birth and age 7 (Supplemental Figure S4). This

indicates that when inferred genetic ancestry effects on methylation are non-zero at both birth

and age 7, they tend to be very similar or exactly the same with respect to both direction and

magnitude.

We then estimated the correct and conserved sign rates for all 784,484 probes, and

identified 2,873 inferred genetic ancestry-associated CpGs (IGA-CpGs) in CBMCs ($cor_{\mathrm{g}}^{(0)} \geq$

0.95), 3,834 in PBMCs at age 7 ($cor_{\mathrm{g}}^{(7)} \geq 0.95$), and 2,659 whose effects were conserved in sign

($con_{\mathrm{g}}^{(0,7)} \geq 0.95$). Methylation tended to increase with increasing African ancestry at 1,494 of the

2,659 conserved CpGs (56%), suggesting that individuals with more African ancestry tend to

have more methylation ($P < 10^{-10}$). This is consistent with the study of Moen et al. [22], which

used the Illumina 450K array to quantify the differences in methylation between European and

African populations. Supplemental Figure S5 shows the IGA-CpG locations in the genome and

Figure 2a illustrates the overlap between IGA-CpGs at birth and age 7. This strong overlap

corroborates our above observations and answers the second question in the affirmative: if

methylation is strongly correlated with inferred genetic ancestry at birth, the magnitude and

direction of the correlation is conserved at age 7.

Inferred genetic ancestry is more correlated with methylation than is self-reported race

The observed correlations between ancestry and methylation levels may reflect differences in

environmental exposures [20, 22], due to associations between race or ethnicity with socio-

cultural, nutritional, and geographic exposures, among others [41]. In fact, Galanter et al. [20]

showed in a cross-sectional study that self-reported ethnicity explained a substantial portion of

the variability in whole blood DNA methylation patterns from Latino children of diverse

ethnicities, even more so than inferred genetic ancestry. They concluded that ethnicity captures

genetic, as well as the socio-cultural and environmental differences that influence methylation

levels. If this were the case in the URECA children, we should observe just as large, if not larger,

an effect as we did for inferred genetic ancestry when we substitute reported race for inferred

genetic ancestry in the analyses presented in the previous section. However, using reported race,

we identified only 457 CpGs at birth and 709 CpGs at age 7 whose correct sign rate was at least

0.95, and 424 whose reported effects were conserved from birth to age 7 ($con_g^{(0,7)} \geq 0.95$), far

fewer than the 3,991 CpGs whose methylation was significantly correlated with inferred genetic

ancestry at birth or at age 7.

To explore this further, we examined the overlap between IGA-CpGs and reported race-

associated CpGs (RR-CpGs) in CBMCs at birth and in PBMCs at 7 (Figures 2c-d). Because

reported race is an estimate of inferred genetic ancestry, there is a still substantial overlap

between IGA-CpGs and RR-CpGs. In fact, almost all of the RR-CpGs are among the IGA-CpGs,

but the opposite is not true. This indicates that while IGA-CpGs include most RR-CpGs, reported

race does not capture most of the variation in methylation attributable to inferred genetic

ancestry in these children.

The observed correlations between DNA methylation and ancestry are primarily genetic

To further address the question of whether ancestry effects on methylation at either birth or age 7

were due to genetic variation or to environmental exposures, we used local genetic variation

(within 5kb of a CpG site) and DNA methylation data at birth and age 7 in the 147 self-reported

Black children in our study. Of the 519,622 CpGs within 5kb of a SNP, 65,068 and 70,898 had at

least one meQTL in CBMCs at birth and in PBMCs at age 7, respectively, at an FDR of 5%. In

addition, 59% of IGA-CpGs with at least one SNP in the ±5kb window had at least one meQTL

at birth or age 7 at an FDR of 5%, indicating IGA-CpGs were enriched for CpGs with meQTLs

(Figure 3a-b).

To provide additional evidence that local genotype mediates the effect of inferred genetic

ancestry on methylation, we used logistic regression to regress the genotype of each of the

269,622 SNPs in our study set onto inferred genetic ancestry. The goal was to determine the

fraction of IGA-CpGs that were mediated through local genotype, i.e. IGA-CpGs with both

edges a and c in Figure 3a. Our analysis first revealed that the genotypes at meQTLs whose

target CpGs were IGA-CpGs in either CBMCs at birth or PBMCs at age 7 (IGA-meQTLs) were

significantly more correlated with inferred genetic ancestry than the genotype of non-IGA-

meQTLs (Figure 3c). Moreover, approximately 13% of the IGA-CpGs with at least one SNP in

their ±5kb windows had an inferred genetic ancestry effect that was mediated through local

genotype (i.e. had edges a and c, see Supplement for calculation details), which is likely an

underestimate of the true number IGA-CpGs mediated through local genotype because our

sample size was relatively small [43]. Nonetheless, this is striking compared to the 0.1% of non-

IGA-CpGs whose corresponding SNP had edge c at a 20% FDR.

Lastly, we used DNA methylation data on 573 ethnically diverse U.S. Latino children

ages 9 to 16 years old from the Galanter et al. study [20] to further explore the effect of ancestry

on DNA methylation in whole blood. Children and teenagers in the Galanter study were

classified as Mexican, Puerto Rican, mixed Latino, or other Latino. They reported 916 CpG sites

whose methylation was significantly associated with reported race, 773 of which were also

significantly associated with estimated percent European, Native American and African ancestry

at a Bonferroni P value threshold of 1.6×10^{-7}. A total of 726 of their 916 ethnic-associated

CpGs were also in the set of 784,484 probes CpG sites in our study. Our set of IGA-CpGs at

birth or age 7 contained a significant fraction of the 726 ethnic-associated CpGs from the cross-

sectional study, but there was considerably less overlap with our RR-CpGs (Figure 4). If the

correlation we observed between ancestry and methylation was largely due to responses to

environmental exposures, as suggested in the Galanter study, then the overlap with reported race

should have been at least as large as the overlap with inferred genetic ancestry. That was not the

case, further suggesting that the inferred genetic ancestry effects on methylation in the URECA

cohort are primarily genetic in origin.

Non-genetic factors influence the observed ancestry-methylation correlation more at age 7

than at birth

Although most of the variation in methylation levels at ancestry-associated CpGs can be

attributed to genetic variation in the URECA children, a small proportion may be due to non-

genetic (environmental) factors. To explore this possibility, we further hypothesized that non-

genetic effects on methylation levels at ancestry-associated CpGs would be greater at age 7 than

at birth, due to accumulated exposures over the first 7 years of life. We note that none of the

direct or indirect measures of exposures that were available in this cohort were associated with

methylation levels at either age, including maternal asthma, maternal infections during

pregnancy, pet ownership, bedroom allergens, mother stress, anxiety and depression metrics,

maternal cotinine levels during pregnancy, number of smokers in the household, number of

siblings, number of previous live births, daycare attendance, number of colds at age 2 or 3, and

allergic sensitization or asthma in the child (see Supplement for details). We did, however,

identify 16,172 age-related CpGs (CpGs whose methylation changed from birth to age 7).

Besides being strongly enriched for CpGs used to predict gestational age in Knight et al. [13] and

chronological age in Horvath [10] (see Figure S7 in the Supplement), estimates of the age effects

among the CpGs that changed from birth to age 7 showed the same direction of change as their

corresponding estimated gestational age effects at birth in 97% of the 16,172 CpGs, which

included 14,186 gestational age-associated effects that were not significant at the 5% FDR

threshold. This concordance in direction of effect is unlikely to occur by chance ($P < 10^{-10}$, see

Supplement for calculation), and indicates that the majority of the change in mean methylation

levels from birth to age 7 was due to aging-related mechanisms rather than age-dependent

environmental exposures.

To directly test the hypothesis that non-genetic factors tend to have larger effects on

methylation levels at age 7 than at birth, we used our Bayesian model to estimate the proportion

of CpGs in our study whose methylation was not associated with ancestry at birth but associated

at age seven and the proportion that were associated with ancestry at birth but not at age 7. The

former was greater than 14% while the latter was less than 1.5% using either inferred genetic

ancestry or reported race as a measure of ancestry. Even though over 14% of all CpGs in our

study had ancestry effects present at age 7 but not at birth, we were only able to identify 18

discordant IGA-CpGs and 4 discordant RR-CpGs at age 7 using a liberal threshold of $dis_g^{(7)} \geq$

0.80. That is, for almost all CpGs that are associated with ancestry at age 7 but not at birth, the

expected ancestry effect sizes were quite small relative to the statistical error, making it

impossible to assign the direction of effect on methylation changes with confidence (Figure S6).

Therefore, while some CpG sites may be influenced by exposures that are correlated with

ancestry at age 7 but not at birth, their effects were far too small to estimate in this sample size.

Discussion

The relationships between DNA methylation, chronological age, and ancestry have the potential

to shed light on disease etiology and may help determine the relative genetic and environmental

contributions to the observed inter-individual variability of the epigenome [9-15, 19-24]. While it

has previously been shown that ancestry is related to DNA methylation in cross-sectional studies

[19-24], and that statistically significant meQTLs are conserved as one ages [44], it has yet to be

shown whether or not *ancestry-dependent* methylation marks are conserved as children age.

Even though there was substantial change in blood methylation levels over time among

children in this cohort, inferred genetic ancestry and self-reported race effects on methylation

were overwhelmingly conserved in both direction and magnitude from birth to age 7. This result

is interesting in and of itself because it provides an example of perinatal epigenetic variation that

persists later in life, and more generally an example of a persistent effect on DNA methylation

levels, which has been cited as a critical area of future epigenetic research [31, 45]. The

consistency of our estimates for the effect due to ancestry also demonstrates the fidelity of our

processing step to account for unobserved factors like cell composition, since failure to account

for latent covariates often leads to biased and irreproducible estimates [46, 47]. Furthermore, the

novel statistical framework we used to infer effects that are conserved versus those that vary over

time can be easily applied to other longitudinal DNA methylation data, as a way to avoid the

spurious logic often used in applications of frequentist hypothesis testing that failing to reject the

null hypothesis implies the null is true.

While the observation that inferred genetic ancestry and reported race effects are

conserved from birth to age 7 gives credence to the hypothesis that the effects are genetic in

nature, it does not rule out the possibility of environmental components or gene-environment

interactions that could determine ancestry-related methylation prior to birth and persist as the

child ages. To further explore this, we showed that the IGA-CpGs were enriched among CpGs

with meQTLs, and that methylation levels at many of the IGA-CpGs are mediated by local

genotype, indicating that much of the ancestry-methylation correlation could be attributed to

genetic variation. Moreover, the RR-CpGs were only a small subset of IGA-CpGs in our study.

This is opposite to the findings of Galanter et al. [20], who argued that ancestry-dependent

methylation patterns in admixed populations are in large part determined by differences in

exposure histories. Because their data were cross-sectional they could not evaluate whether the

observed patterns arose during childhood or were also present at birth. Our results provide

evidence for genetics accounting for most of the correlation between methylation and ancestry,

and implies that the genetic contribution to variability in blood methylation is substantial.

Our observations in support of strong genetically – and weak environmentally –

determined ancestry-associated methylation patterns in blood may seem paradoxical to the

plethora of studies showing that DNA methylation levels in blood cells are associated with

environmental exposures, such as cadmium, arsenic and smoking, to name a few [5-8, 20, 48-

52]. Whereas the estimated genetic effect sizes in our study are substantially larger than many of

the environmentally-associated effects on methylation patterns previously reported, the effects of

environmental exposures on methylation in blood are probably too small to estimate with even

moderate to large sample sizes [31]. For example, it was only by performing a meta-analysis in

6,685 individuals that Joubert et al. [5] were able to identify 6,000 CpGs whose DNA

methylation levels in blood from infants and adolescents were associated maternal smoking

exposure. In one sense, we were able to corroborate previous observations of small non-genetic

effects on methylation in blood by showing that while methylation patterns at an estimated 14%

of all CpGs in our study were not correlated with ancestry at birth but correlated with ancestry at

age 7, the correlation at individual CpGs at age 7 was too small to be identified as statistically

significant. We were also not able to find any statistically significant correlations between

methylation at birth or at age 7 and any of the environmental exposure variables measured in this

cohort. We note that cord blood cotinine levels, a measure of *in utero* tobacco smoke exposure,

were above the level of detection in only 34 of the 196 mothers in our study.

An unsurprising feature of these longitudinal data is that average methylation levels of

over 16,000 CpGs changed significantly from birth to age 7. However, what was quite

remarkable was that the direction of the change in 97% of those CpGs matched the direction of

their corresponding estimated gestational age effect at birth, which included over 14,000

gestational age-associated effects that were not genome-wide significant. Not only does this fit

with the above narrative and suggest that methylation levels of the vast majority of the 16,172

age-related CpGs were in fact changing due to age-related mechanisms and not because of

differences in environmental exposures at birth and age 7, it also indicates that the "epigenetic

clock" present at birth may be the same as that present later in life. While we do not have the

data to explore this further, this remains an important avenue of future research.

The results of our study suggest that DNA methylation levels in blood cells are fairly

robust to environmental exposures, including those that are correlated with self reported race. A

better understanding of tissue-specific methylation responses to environmental exposures could

inform the design of future studies and provide insights into the mechanisms through which

exposures and gene-environment interactions influence health and disease.

Materials and methods

Sample composition

URECA is a birth cohort study initiated in 2005 in Baltimore, Boston, New York City and St.

Louis under the NIAID-funded Inner City Asthma Consortium [29]. Pregnant women were

recruited. Either they or the father of their unborn child had a history of asthma, allergic rhinitis,

or eczema, and deliveries prior to 34 weeks gestation were excluded (see Gern et al. [29] for full

entry criteria). Informed consent was obtained from the women at enrollment and from the

parent or legal guardian of the infant after birth.

Maternal questionnaires were administered prenatally and child health questionnaires

administered to a parent or caregiver every 3 months through age 7 years. Gestational age at

birth and obstetric history were obtained from medical records. Additional details on study

design are described in Gern et al. [29] and in the Supplement. Frozen paired cord blood

mononuclear cells (CBMCs) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) at age 7, were

available for 196 of the 560 URECA children after completing other studies. After QC (see

below), DNA methylation data were available for 194 children at birth, 195 children at age 7,

and 193 children at both time points; genotype data were available in 193 children (194 at birth;

195 at age 7) (Supplementary Table 1).

DNA Methylation

DNA for methylation studies was extracted from thawed CBMCs and PBMCs using the Qiagen

AllPrep kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Genome-wide DNA methylation was assessed using the

Illumina Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at the University of

Chicago Functional Genomics Facility (UC-FGF). Birth and 7-year samples from the same child

were assayed on the same chip and the data were processed using Minfi [32]; Infinium type I and

type II probe bias were corrected using SWAN [33]. Raw probe values were corrected for color

imbalance and background by control normalization. Three out of the 392 samples (two at birth

and one at age 7) were removed as outliers following normalization. We removed 82,352 probes

that mapped either to the sex chromosomes or to more than one location in a bisulfite-converted

genome, had detection P values greater than 0.01% in 25% or more of the samples, or

overlapped with known SNPs with minor allele frequency of at least 5% in African, American or

European populations. After processing, 784,484 probes were retained and M-values were used

for all downstream analyses, which were computed as $\log_2$ (methylated intensity + 100) - $\log_2$

(unmethylated intensity + 100). The offset of 100 was recommended in Du et al. [34].

Genotyping

DNA from the 196 URECA children was genotyped with the Illumina Infinium

CoreExome+Custom array. Of the 532,992 autosomal SNPs on the array, 531,755 passed

Quality control (QC) (excluding SNPs with call rate <95%, Hardy-Weinberg P values <10^{-5}, and

heterozygosity outliers). We conducted all analyses in 293,696 autosomal SNPs with a minor

allele frequency ≥5%. Genotypes for three children failed QC and were excluded from

subsequent analysis that involved genotypes, including methylation quantitative locus (meQTL)

mapping, inferred genetic ancestry, or used genetic ancestry PC1 as a covariate (see below).

These three children were included in all other analyses.

Estimating inferred genetic ancestry

Ancestral principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using a set of 801 ancestry

informative markers (AIMs) from Tandon et al. [35] that were genotyped in both the URECA

children and in HapMap [36] release 23. Because PC1 captured the majority of variation in

genetic ancestry (Figure 1), we refer to PC1 as inferred genetic ancestry and consider it as a

surrogate measure for percent African ancestry.

Statistical analysis

To determine the effect of gestational age on methylation in CBMCs, we used standard linear

regression models with the child's gender, sample collection site, inferred genetic ancestry and

methylation plate number as covariates in our model. We also estimated cell composition and

other unobserved confounding factors using a method described in McKennan et al. [37]. We

then computed a gestational age P value for each CpG site and used q-values [38] to control the

false discovery rate at a nominal level. We took the same approach to determine CpGs whose

methylation changed from birth to age 7, except the response was measured as the difference in

methylation at birth and age 7. In this analysis, we included the child's gender, gestational age at

birth, inferred genetic ancestry and sample collection site as covariates. Because all paired

samples were on the same plate, we did not include plate number as a covariate in this analysis.

We also estimated unobserved factors that influence differences in methylation at birth and age 7

using McKennan et al. [37] and included these latent factors in our linear model. See models

(S1) and (S2) in the Supplement for more detail.

We used data from the self-reported Hispanic and Black individuals with methylation

measured at both time points to analyze the effect of ancestry (either inferred genetic ancestry or

self-reported race) on methylation at birth and age 7 jointly using a Bayesian model. We did not

include the 10 individuals of other reported races in this analysis because we did not want our

estimates to be influenced by the groups with small samples sizes. We included age (birth or age

7), sample collection site, gestational age at birth, gender and methylation plate number as

covariates in our model, and estimated additional unobserved covariates (including cell

composition) using a method specifically designed for correlated data [39]. Once we estimated

the relevant hyper-parameters, we extended the sign rate paradigm developed in Stephens [40] to

perform inference in longitudinal data. This is discussed in more detail in the context of the

specific questions we present in the results section. We encourage the reader to review the

Supplement for a more detailed presentation of this model and previously discussed models.

We performed meQTL mapping in the 145 genotyped, self-reported Black children using

the set of 269,622 SNPs with 100% genotype call rate in this subset. We restricted ourselves to

this subset of samples to minimize heterogeneity in effect sizes. To identify CpG-SNP pairs, we

considered SNPs within 5kb of each CpG, as this region has been previously shown to contain

the majority of genetic variability in DNA methylation [1] and is small enough to mitigate the

multiple testing burden, and computed a P value for the effect of the genotype at a single SNP on

methylation at the corresponding CpG with ordinary least squares. We then defined the meQTL

for each CpG site as the SNP with the lowest P value. In addition to genotype, we included

inferred genetic ancestry (i.e., ancestry PC1), gestational age at birth, gender, sample collection

site and methylation plate number in the linear model, along with the first nine principal

components of the residual methylation data matrix after regressing out the intercept and the five

additional covariates. We then tested the null hypothesis that a CpG did not have an meQTL in

the 10kb region by using the minimum marginal P value in the region as the test statistic and

computed its significance via bootstrap. Lastly, we used q-values to control the false discovery

rate.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by NIH grants U19 AI106683, R01 HL129735, R01 HL122712, and P01 HL070831. The URECA study has been funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, under Contract numbers NO1-AI-25496, NO1-AI-25482, HHSN272200900052C, HHSN272201000052I, 1UM1AI114271-01 and UM2AI117870. Additional support was provided by the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health, under grants RR00052, M01RR00533, 1UL1RR025771, M01RR00071, 1UL1RR024156, UL1TR000040, UL1TR001079 and 5UL1RR024992-02.

References

References

1.   Bell JT, Pai AA, Pickrell JK, Gaffney DJ, Pique-Regi R, Degner JF, et al. DNA
methylation patterns associate with genetic and gene expression variation in HapMap cell lines.
Genome Biol. 2011;12(1):R10. Epub 2011/01/22. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-1-r10. PubMed
PMID: 21251332; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3091299.

2.   Smith AK, Kilaru V, Kocak M, Almli LM, Mercer KB, Ressler KJ, et al. Methylation
quantitative trait loci (meQTLs) are consistently detected across ancestry, developmental stage,
and tissue type. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:145. Epub 2014/02/22. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-
145. PubMed PMID: 24555763; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4028873.

3.   Chatterton Z, Hartley BJ, Seok MH, Mendelev N, Chen S, Milekic M, et al. In utero
exposure to maternal smoking is associated with DNA methylation alterations and reduced
neuronal content in the developing fetal brain. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2017;10:4. Epub
2017/02/06. doi: 10.1186/s13072-017-0111-y. PubMed PMID: 28149327; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5270321.

4.   Goodrich JM, Dolinoy DC, Sanchez BN, Zhang Z, Meeker JD, Mercado-Garcia A, et al.
Adolescent epigenetic profiles and environmental exposures from early life through peri-
adolescence. Environ Epigenet. 2016;2(3):dvw018. Epub 2016/08/14. doi: 10.1093/eep/dvw018.
PubMed PMID: 29492298; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5804533.

5.   Joubert BR, Felix JF, Yousefi P, Bakulski KM, Just AC, Breton C, et al. DNA
Methylation in Newborns and Maternal Smoking in Pregnancy: Genome-wide Consortium Meta-
analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98(4):680-96. Epub 2016/04/05. doi:
10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.02.019. PubMed PMID: 27040690; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4833289.

6.   Kippler M, Engstrom K, Mlakar SJ, Bottai M, Ahmed S, Hossain MB, et al. Sex-specific
effects of early life cadmium exposure on DNA methylation and implications for birth weight.
Epigenetics. 2013;8(5):494-503. Epub 2013/05/07. doi: 10.4161/epi.24401. PubMed PMID:
23644563; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3741219.

7.   Koestler DC, Avissar-Whiting M, Houseman EA, Karagas MR, Marsit CJ. Differential
DNA methylation in umbilical cord blood of infants exposed to low levels of arsenic in utero.
Environ Health Perspect. 2013;121(8):971-7. Epub 2013/06/13. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1205925.
PubMed PMID: 23757598; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3733676.

8.   Rzehak P, Saffery R, Reischl E, Covic M, Wahl S, Grote V, et al. Maternal Smoking
during Pregnancy and DNA-Methylation in Children at Age 5.5 Years: Epigenome-Wide-
Analysis in the European Childhood Obesity Project (CHOP)-Study. PLoS One.
2016;11(5):e0155554. Epub 2016/05/14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155554. PubMed PMID:
27171005; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4865176.

9.   Bocklandt S, Lin W, Sehl ME, Sanchez FJ, Sinsheimer JS, Horvath S, et al. Epigenetic
predictor of age. PLoS One. 2011;6(6):e14821. Epub 2011/07/07. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0014821. PubMed PMID: 21731603; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3120753.

10.   Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol.
2013;14(10):R115. Epub 2013/10/22. doi: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115. PubMed PMID:
24138928; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4015143.

11.   Horvath S, Erhart W, Brosch M, Ammerpohl O, von Schonfels W, Ahrens M, et al.
Obesity accelerates epigenetic aging of human liver. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2014;111(43):15538-43. Epub 2014/10/15. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1412759111. PubMed PMID:
25313081; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4217403.

12.   Johnson AA, Akman K, Calimport SR, Wuttke D, Stolzing A, de Magalhaes JP. The role
of DNA methylation in aging, rejuvenation, and age-related disease. Rejuvenation Res.
2012;15(5):483-94. Epub 2012/10/27. doi: 10.1089/rej.2012.1324. PubMed PMID: 23098078;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3482848.

13.   Knight AK, Craig JM, Theda C, Baekvad-Hansen M, Bybjerg-Grauholm J, Hansen CS,
et al. An epigenetic clock for gestational age at birth based on blood methylation data. Genome
Biol. 2016;17(1):206. Epub 2016/10/09. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1068-z. PubMed PMID:
27717399; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5054584.

14.   Levine ME, Crimmins EM. Evidence of accelerated aging among African Americans and
its implications for mortality. Soc Sci Med. 2014;118:27-32. Epub 2014/08/03. doi:
10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.07.022. PubMed PMID: 25086423; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4197001.

15.   Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Chen BH, Colicino E, Harris SE, et al. DNA
methylation age of blood predicts all-cause mortality in later life. Genome Biol. 2015;16:25.
Epub 2015/01/31. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0584-6. PubMed PMID: 25633388; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4350614.

16.   Parets SE, Conneely KN, Kilaru V, Fortunato SJ, Syed TA, Saade G, et al. Fetal DNA
Methylation Associates with Early Spontaneous Preterm Birth and Gestational Age. PLoS One.
2013;8(6):e67489. Epub 2013/07/05. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067489. PubMed PMID:
23826308; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3694903.

17.   Schroeder JW, Conneely KN, Cubells JC, Kilaru V, Newport DJ, Knight BT, et al.
Neonatal DNA methylation patterns associate with gestational age. Epigenetics.
2011;6(12):1498-504. Epub 2011/12/06. doi: 10.4161/epi.6.12.18296. PubMed PMID:
22139580; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3256334.

18.   Simpkin AJ, Suderman M, Gaunt TR, Lyttleton O, McArdle WL, Ring SM, et al.
Longitudinal analysis of DNA methylation associated with birth weight and gestational age.
Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24(13):3752-63. Epub 2015/04/15. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddv119. PubMed
PMID: 25869828; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4459393.

19.   Adkins RM, Krushkal J, Tylavsky FA, Thomas F. Racial differences in gene-specific
DNA methylation levels are present at birth. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol.
2011;91(8):728-36. Epub 2011/02/11. doi: 10.1002/bdra.20770. PubMed PMID: 21308978;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3429933.

20.   Galanter JM, Gignoux CR, Oh SS, Torgerson D, Pino-Yanes M, Thakur N, et al.
Differential methylation between ethnic sub-groups reflects the effect of genetic ancestry and
environmental exposures. Elife. 2017;6. Epub 2017/01/04. doi: 10.7554/eLife.20532. PubMed
PMID: 28044981; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5207770.

21.   Heyn H, Moran S, Hernando-Herraez I, Sayols S, Gomez A, Sandoval J, et al. DNA
methylation contributes to natural human variation. Genome Res. 2013;23(9):1363-72. Epub
2013/08/03. doi: 10.1101/gr.154187.112. PubMed PMID: 23908385; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3759714.

22.   Moen EL, Zhang X, Mu W, Delaney SM, Wing C, McQuade J, et al. Genome-wide
variation of cytosine modifications between European and African populations and the
implications for complex traits. Genetics. 2013;194(4):987-96. Epub 2013/06/25. doi:
10.1534/genetics.113.151381. PubMed PMID: 23792949; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3730924.

23.   Mozhui K, Smith AK, Tylavsky FA. Ancestry dependent DNA methylation and influence
of maternal nutrition. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):e0118466. Epub 2015/03/06. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0118466. PubMed PMID: 25742137; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4350920.

24.   Rahmani E, Shenhav L, Schweiger R, Yousefi P, Huen K, Eskenazi B, et al. Genome-
wide methylation data mirror ancestry information. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2017;10:1. Epub
2017/02/06. doi: 10.1186/s13072-016-0108-y. PubMed PMID: 28149326; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5267476.

25.   Chan MA, Ciaccio CE, Gigliotti NM, Rezaiekhaligh M, Siedlik JA, Kennedy K, et al.
DNA methylation levels associated with race and childhood asthma severity. J Asthma.
2017;54(8):825-32. Epub 2016/12/09. doi: 10.1080/02770903.2016.1265126. PubMed PMID:
27929694.

26.   Ladd-Acosta C, Hansen KD, Briem E, Fallin MD, Kaufmann WE, Feinberg AP.
Common DNA methylation alterations in multiple brain regions in autism. Mol Psychiatry.

2014;19(8):862-71. Epub 2013/09/04. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.114. PubMed PMID: 23999529;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4184909.

27.   Nicodemus-Johnson J, Myers RA, Sakabe NJ, Sobreira DR, Hogarth DK, Naureckas ET,
et al. DNA methylation in lung cells is associated with asthma endotypes and genetic risk. JCI
Insight. 2016;1(20):e90151. Epub 2016/12/13. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.90151. PubMed PMID:
27942592; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5139904 PulmOne Advanced Medical Devices
Ltd., Israel, and received reimbursement for expenses. He served on the Respiratory Therapy
Clinical Advisory Board for Hollister Inc., and for this received honoraria and was reimbursed
for travel and meal expenses incurred during meetings. He has received a research grant from
AstraZeneca Inc., from 2006 to 2014, that was administered through the University of Chicago.
He has multiple patents concerning a smooth muscle gene promoter and one pending concerning
a method to determine respiratory physiological parameters (6090618; 6114311; 6284743;
6291211; 6297221; 6331527; 7169764). He has consulted for Novartis Institute for Biomedical
Research, for which he received an honorarium and travel reimbursement. He was a member of
the scientific advisory board for Cytokinetics Inc., for which he received honoraria and travel
reimbursement.

28.   Yokoyama AS, Rutledge JC, Medici V. DNA methylation alterations in Alzheimer's
disease. Environ Epigenet. 2017;3(2):dvx008. Epub 2018/03/02. doi: 10.1093/eep/dvx008.
PubMed PMID: 29492310; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5804548.

29.   Gern JE, Visness CM, Gergen PJ, Wood RA, Bloomberg GR, O'Connor GT, et al. The
Urban Environment and Childhood Asthma (URECA) birth cohort study: design, methods, and
study population. BMC Pulm Med. 2009;9:17. Epub 2009/05/12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2466-9-17.
PubMed PMID: 19426496; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2689166.

30.   O'Connor GT, Lynch SV, Bloomberg GR, Kattan M, Wood RA, Gergen PJ, et al. Early-
life home environment and risk of asthma among inner-city children. J Allergy Clin Immunol.
2017. Epub 2017/09/25. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2017.06.040. PubMed PMID: 28939248.

31.   Breton CV, Marsit CJ, Faustman E, Nadeau K, Goodrich JM, Dolinoy DC, et al. Small-
Magnitude Effect Sizes in Epigenetic End Points are Important in Children's Environmental
Health Studies: The Children's Environmental Health and Disease Prevention Research Center's
Epigenetics Working Group. Environ Health Perspect. 2017;125(4):511-26. Epub 2017/04/01.
doi: 10.1289/EHP595. PubMed PMID: 28362264; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5382002.

32.   Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, Ladd-Acosta C, Feinberg AP, Hansen KD, et al.
Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA
methylation microarrays. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(10):1363-9. Epub 2014/01/31. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btu049. PubMed PMID: 24478339; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4016708.

33.   Maksimovic J, Gordon L, Oshlack A. SWAN: Subset-quantile within array normalization
for illumina infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChips. Genome Biol. 2012;13(6):R44. Epub
2012/06/19. doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r44. PubMed PMID: 22703947; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC3446316.

34.   Du P, Zhang X, Huang CC, Jafari N, Kibbe WA, Hou L, et al. Comparison of Beta-value
and M-value methods for quantifying methylation levels by microarray analysis. BMC
Bioinformatics. 2010;11:587. Epub 2010/12/02. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-587. PubMed
PMID: 21118553; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3012676.

35.   Tandon A, Patterson N, Reich D. Ancestry informative marker panels for African
Americans based on subsets of commercially available SNP arrays. Genet Epidemiol.
2011;35(1):80-3. Epub 2010/12/25. doi: 10.1002/gepi.20550. PubMed PMID: 21181899;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4386999.

36.   International HapMap C. The International HapMap Project. Nature.
2003;426(6968):789-96. Epub 2003/12/20. doi: 10.1038/nature02168. PubMed PMID:
14685227.

37.   McKennan C, Nicolae DL. Accounting for unobserved covariates with varying degrees
of estimability in high dimensional biological data; 2018. Preprint. Available from: arXiv:
arXiv:1801.00865v2. Cited 22 August 2018

38.   Storey JD. A direct approach to false discovery rates. J Royal Stat Soc B. 2002;64:479-
98.

39.   McKennan C, Nicolae DL. Estimating and accounting for unobserved covariates in high
dimensional correlated data; 2018. Preprint. Available from: arXiv:1808.05895v1. Cited 22
August 2018

40.   Stephens M. False discovery rates: a new deal. Biostatistics. 2017;18(2):275-94. Epub
2016/10/21. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxw041. PubMed PMID: 27756721; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5379932.

41.   Nguyen AB, Moser R, Chou WY. Race and health profiles in the United States: an
examination of the social gradient through the 2009 CHIS adult survey. Public Health.
2014;128(12):1076-86. Epub 2014/12/03. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2014.10.003. PubMed PMID:
25457801.

42.   Fu J, Wolfs MG, Deelen P, Westra HJ, Fehrmann RS, Te Meerman GJ, et al. Unraveling
the regulatory mechanisms underlying tissue-dependent genetic variation of gene expression.
PLoS Genet. 2012;8(1):e1002431. Epub 2012/01/26. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002431.
PubMed PMID: 22275870; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3261927.

43.   Fritz MS, Mackinnon DP. Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. Psychol
Sci. 2007;18(3):233-9. Epub 2007/04/21. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01882.x. PubMed
PMID: 17444920; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2843527.

44.   Gaunt TR, Shihab HA, Hemani G, Min JL, Woodward G, Lyttleton O, et al. Systematic
identification of genetic influences on methylation across the human life course. Genome Biol.
2016;17:61. Epub 2016/04/03. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-0926-z. PubMed PMID: 27036880;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4818469.

45.   Martin EM, Fry RC. Environmental Influences on the Epigenome: Exposure-Associated
DNA Methylation in Human Populations. Annu Rev Public Health. 2018. Epub 2018/01/13. doi:
10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040617-014629. PubMed PMID: 29328878.

46.   Peixoto L, Risso D, Poplawski SG, Wimmer ME, Speed TP, Wood MA, et al. How data
analysis affects power, reproducibility and biological insight of RNA-seq studies in complex
datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(16):7664-74. Epub 2015/07/24. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv736.
PubMed PMID: 26202970; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4652761.

47.   Yao C, Li H, Shen X, He Z, He L, Guo Z. Reproducibility and concordance of
differential DNA methylation and gene expression in cancer. PLoS One. 2012;7(1):e29686.
Epub 2012/01/12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029686. PubMed PMID: 22235325; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3250460.

48.   Joubert BR, Haberg SE, Nilsen RM, Wang X, Vollset SE, Murphy SK, et al. 450K
epigenome-wide scan identifies differential DNA methylation in newborns related to maternal
smoking during pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120(10):1425-31. Epub 2012/08/02.
doi: 10.1289/ehp.1205412. PubMed PMID: 22851337; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3491949.

49.   Lee KW, Richmond R, Hu P, French L, Shin J, Bourdon C, et al. Prenatal exposure to
maternal cigarette smoking and DNA methylation: epigenome-wide association in a discovery
sample of adolescents and replication in an independent cohort at birth through 17 years of age.
Environ Health Perspect. 2015;123(2):193-9. Epub 2014/10/18. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1408614.
PubMed PMID: 25325234; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4314251.

50.   Markunas CA, Xu Z, Harlid S, Wade PA, Lie RT, Taylor JA, et al. Identification of DNA
methylation changes in newborns related to maternal smoking during pregnancy. Environ Health
Perspect. 2014;122(10):1147-53. Epub 2014/06/07. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307892. PubMed PMID:
24906187; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4181928.

51.   Richmond RC, Simpkin AJ, Woodward G, Gaunt TR, Lyttleton O, McArdle WL, et al.
Prenatal exposure to maternal smoking and offspring DNA methylation across the lifecourse:
findings from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). Hum Mol

Genet. 2015;24(8):2201-17. Epub 2015/01/02. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu739. PubMed PMID:
25552657; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4380069.

52.   Wu D, Yang H, Winham SJ, Natanzon Y, Koestler DC, Luo T, et al. Mediation analysis
of alcohol consumption, DNA methylation, and epithelial ovarian cancer. J Hum Genet.
2018;63(3):339-48. Epub 2018/01/13. doi: 10.1038/s10038-017-0385-8. PubMed PMID:
29321518.
649

  Figure Legends

  Figure 1: Estimated ancestry principal components (PCs) 1 and 2. Nearly all the variation in

  ancestry separates along PC1 in the URECA sample. Filled triangles represent the 196

  URECA children in this study, with their self-reported race shown in different colors.

  Open circles are reference control samples from HapMap; red = Utah residents from

  northern and western Europe (CEU), yellow = east Asian (Chinese and Japanese); dark

  blue = Africans from Nigeria (Yoruban).

  Figure 2: Overlapping ancestry CpGs at birth and at age 7. (a): IGA-CpGs in CBMCs at birth

  ($c\hat{o}r^{(0)} \geq 0.95$) and PBMCs at age 7 ($c\hat{o}r^{(7)} \geq 0.95$). Overlapping CpGs (violet) have

  $c\hat{o}n^{(0,7)} \geq 0.95$. (b): RR-CpGs at in CBMCs at birth ($c\hat{o}r^{(0)} \geq 0.95$) and PBMCs at age 7 (

  $c\hat{o}r^{(7)} \geq 0.95$). Overlapping CpGs (violet) have $c\hat{o}n^{(0,7)} \geq 0.95$. (c): IGA-CpGs and RR-

  CpGs ($c\hat{o}r^{(0)} \geq 0.95$) in CBMCs at birth. (d): IGA-CpGs and RR-CpGs ($c\hat{o}r^{(0)} \geq 0.95$) in

  PBMCs at age 7.

  Figure 3: IGA-CpGs are enriched for CpGs with meQTLs. (a) Illustration of the causal

  relationship between the methylation (M) at a CpG site, the genotype (G) at the SNP

  within ±5kb of the CpG that had the smallest meQTL *P* value and inferred genetic

  ancestry (IGA). Each graph corresponds to a unique CpG. (b) Plots of the meQTL *P* value

  for edge a in CBMCs at birth, where CpGs were stratified by whether or not it was an

  IGA-CpG at birth or age 7 ($\max\left(c\hat{o}r_g^{(0)}, c\hat{o}r_g^{(7)}\right) \geq 0.95$). The ten enlarged red circles are

  just for visual aid. (c) Plots of the logistic regression *P* value for edge c (Genotype $\sim$ IGA

  $+$ Ancestry PC2 (see Figure 1)), stratified by whether or not the SNP was an IGA-meQTL.

  Figure 4: Inferred genetic ancestry effects on methylation are primarily genetic in origin.

  Histograms of $\max\left(c\hat{o}r^{(0)}, c\hat{o}r^{(7)}\right)$ in the IGA (a) and the RR (b) analyses. If

674 $\max\left(c\hat{o}r_g^{(0)}, c\hat{o}r_g^{(7)}\right)$ is close to 1 in the IGA or RR analysis, CpG g is an IGA-CpG or RR-

675 CpG, respectively, in CBMCs at birth or PBMCs at 7. The red histogram is created with

676 the set of 726 ethnicity-associated CpGs identified in Galanter et al. [20] that were also

677 among the 784,484 CpGs in our study and the blue are the remaining 783,758 CpGs.

Figure 1

(a)　　　　　　　　　　　**(b)**

(c)　　　　　　　　　　　**(d)**

Figure 2

(a)

(b)   **(c)**

Figure 3

(a)   (b)

Figure 4

1