Genome-Wide Association Study of Brain Connectivity Changes for Alzheimer's Disease

Samar S. M. Elsheikh§, Emile R. Chimusa¶, Nicola J. Mulder§, Alessandro Crimi†,‡, and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative⋆

June 28, 2018

§ Computational Biology Group, Department of Integrative Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa

¶ Division of Human Genetics, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa

† University Hospital of Zürich, Switzerland

‡ African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Ghana

⋆Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at:`http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf`

1 abstract

Variations in the human genome have been found in the literature to be an essential factor that affects the susceptibility of Alzheimer's disease (AD). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified genetic loci that significantly contribute to the risk of AD. The availability of the genetic data, coupled with brain images technologies leaving rooms for more opportunities and allows further study designs. Although methods have been proposed towards integrating imaging and genetic information, the statistical power remains a primary challenge preventing the production of replicable associations. Moreover, for such kind of studies, the measurement of disease is often taken at a single time point, therefore, not allowing the disease progression to be taken into consideration. In longitudinal settings, we analysed a DTI and Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) datasets obtained from Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), by conducting a GWAS on the global network metrics absolute change, after which we used the GWAS summary statistics to compute the gene scores. We observed significant associations between the change in the AD brain and genes which reported to manipulate AD susceptibility, brain structure and function.

2 Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease with onset in the hippocampus and subsequently spreads to the temporal, parietal, and prefrontal cortex [RLK+15]. The apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene is located on chromosome 19, it carries the cholesterol in the brain, and it affects diverse cellular processes. Carriers of the APOE allele $\epsilon4$ have three times the risk of developing AD as compared to non-carriers [CS+93]. Although APOE $\epsilon4$ is the main genetic risk factor which affects the development of a late-onset AD, its effect accounts for 27.3% of the overall disease heritability, estimated to be 80% [LIVH+13]. In order to estimate the remaining heritability, many attempts and efforts have made to uncover more genetic risk factors. Genome-wide studies has successfully identify Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) that affect the development of AD [Bo10, LWL+08, NBM+10]

In this paper, we used a dataset from ADNI (`http://adni.loni.usc.edu/`) to performed a quantitative GWAS, the longitudinal change in brain connectome used as phenotype. The latter

was computed using integration and segregation network measures. After getting the GWAS summary statistics for all the SNPs typed in the original data, we assigned them at a gene-level using Pathway scoring algorithm (Pascal) software. Our result identified three genes including SUCLG1 gene, which relates to some brain-related phenotypes [oS96].

Figure 1: The analysis pipeline. An illustration of the workflow: both genetic and imaging data were obtained from ADNI; the DTI images were processed, along with AAL and T1 weighted images to construct the brain network, after which the global brain network metrics were computed. The latter were merged with the PLINK FAM files for all the subjects present in both datasets. Lastly, GWAS was conducted, and its results were used by PASCAL to calculate the gene scores (accounting for LD patterns using reference dataset)

3   Results

We follow the pipeline in figure **??** to get our results. After processing the two datasets, we merged them and calculated the absolute differences between the baseline and follow-up visits. To have a general idea of how the differences between these connectivity metrics are distributed, we plotted four boxplots, as shown in figure 3. The boxplots correspond to transitivity, global effect, Louvain modularity and characteristics path length, respectively.

Figure 4 illustrated the longitudinal change of global network metrics - measured as the absolute differences, in more details; it also compares Alzheimer's patients to healthy participants.

The left plot in figure 5 compares AD patients with controls regarding how the differences in brain connectivity metrics are distributed (histograms) and correlated with each other (boxplots). Note that the diagonal shows a stacked histogram of the differences for each measure, therefore, the AD histogram is plotted on top of the control histogram. While the right plot in figure 5 show the distribution of the actual brain connectivity measure, and it compares their distribution in the baseline and follow-up visits. We then performed a standard GWAS analysis using PLINK, with the phenotypes as a quantitative measure, representing the change in connectivity metrics in this analysis. Figure 6 shows the GWAS results for brain segregation measures, and figure 7 shows the GWAS results for brain integration measures. In those figures, the Manhattan plot appears to the

Figure 2: Distribution of global network metrics for controls and AD subjects, jointly. Shortcuts stand for; louvain: Louvain modularity, global_eff: global effect, and char_path_len: characteristic path length

Figure 3: Distribution of global network metrics for controls and AD subjects, jointly. Shortcuts stand for; louvain: Louvain modularity, global_eff: global effect, and char_path_len: characteristic path length

Figure 4: Boxplots for global network metrics to compare AD and controls in the baseline (green) and follow-up (yellow). The metrics are, Louvain modularity (top left), transitivity (top right), global effect (bottom left) and characteristic path length (bottom right)

Figure 5: Global network metrics scatter plots. The left plot shows the distribution of the absolute difference in network metrics; it compares AD to controls. While right plot shows the distribution of the actual metrics in the baseline and follow-up, for all participants

left. The x-axis of the Manhattan plot represents the locations along the genome, while the y-axis is $(-\log 10(p - value))$ and not the actual p-values, each dot represents a single SNP. The right plot is the qqplot for the same results, and the diagonal line represents the expected (under the null hypothesis) distribution of p-values. Again, each dot in the qqplot represents a single SNPs, and the actual p-values transformed using the same expression; $(-\log 10(p - value))$.

Figure 6: GWAS results for the change in Louvain modularity (top) and transitivity (bottom) segregation connectivity metrics. To the left is the Manhattan plot, while the qqplot is to the right

Figure 7: GWAS results for the change in global effect (top) and characteristics path length (bottom) integration connectivity metrics. To the left is the Manhattan plot, while the qqplot is to the right

Using the GWAS association results, namely the p-values, we computed the genes score using

Pascal. Figure 8 and figure 9 are the Pascal results for brain segregation and integration measures, respectively. Here, we could not use the standard GWAS threshold (7.9×10^{-8}), because the number of genes is much smaller than the SNPs. We then used the number of genes $(21, 407)$ to calculate a gene-level threshold. We calculated the gene-wide significance threshold by dividing the conventional statistical significance level threshold 0.05 by the number of genes, that is,

$$\frac{0.05}{21, 407} \approx 0.000002336,$$

hence, at 95% power, we used a threshold of $2E - 6$. If we consider less power, 90%, we get a gene-wide threshold of

$$\frac{0.10}{21, 407} \approx 5E - 6.$$

Figure 8: Gene score p-values Manhattan plot (left) and qqplot (right) for Lovuain modularity (top) and transitivity (bottom) segregation connectivity metrics. Results obtained using Pascal software

For each Pascal result (and for both brain segregation and integration measures) we constructed a table that contains the most ten significant SNPs. These tables contain six columns; the gene symbol, the genes start and end locations, respectively, the gene ID, the number of SNPs in that gene and the p-value corresponds to the gene score calculated with Pascal. Tables for Louvain modularity, transitivity, global effect and charactaristic path length are Table 1 Table 2 Table 4 and Table 3, respectively

Table 5 shows all genes with p-value less than 0.005 for all global network metrics. The genes in this table are known genes that play a key role in AD brain function[1].

4   Discussion

Association studies of the variation on the human genome and imaging features of the brain have led to more discoveries in the AD disease susceptibility, and several genetic variants were identified to be in association with AD. Moreover, GWAS of the brain connectome previously found many genetic variants associated with AD and dementia [JRH+13].

Studying those imaging features, including the connectomics, in a longitudinal setting; allows identification of risk factors which affects AD progression. This paper aims at identifying the

---

[1]We obtained a list of genes by doing a filtered gene search in NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/) choosing the phenotype to be Alzheimer's and only Homo sapiens

Table 1: Top 10 significant gene scores obtained using Louvain GWAS results

Gene Symbol	Results are sorted according to p-value					
	Chr	Start	End	Gene ID	SNPs	Pvalue
QTRTD1	3	113775581	113807268	79691	185	$2.811E-5$
KIAA1407	3	113682983	113775460	57577	267	$1.278E-4$
FAM225A	9	115875175	115882126	286333	171	$1.409E-4$
BTN1A1	6	26501457	26510652	696	259	$1.658E-4$
LOC285819	6	26472171	26482737	285819	289	$2.398E-4$
PRKAR1A	17	66507920	66547457	5573	331	$3.356E-4$
HCG11	6	26521933	26527612	493812	252	$3.489E-4$
UBE2I	16	1359153	1377019	7329	392	$3.634E-4$
P2RY12	3	151055235	151102544	64805	350	$4.014E-4$
FOXI2	10	129535537	129539450	399823	387	$4.032E-4$

Table 2: Top 10 significant gene scores obtained using transitivity GWAS results

Gene Symbol	Results are sorted according to p-value					
	Chr	Start	End	Gene ID	SNPs	Pvalue
TSPAN17	5	176074387	176086059	26262	213	$3.852E-6$
EIF4E1B	5	176057682	176073642	253314	199	$6.783E-6$
MIR4281	5	176056439	176056501	100422962	180	$7.789E-6$
SNCB	5	176047209	176057557	6620	234	$2.338E-5$
LOC100996669	18	59415408	59421928	100996669	257	$1.043E-4$
TRIP4	15	64680002	64747502	9325	164	$1.309E-4$
LOC100287036	16	89387540	89391518	100287036	187	$1.614E-4$
RNF152	18	59482303	59560304	220441	602	$1.889E-4$
KIAA0101	15	64657210	64673702	9768	108	$2.0815E-4$
ZNF609	15	64791618	64978266	23060	366	$2.317E-4$

Figure 9: Gene score p-values Manhattan plot (left) and qqplot (right) for global effect (top) and characteristic path length (bottom) integration connectivity metrics. Results obtained using Pascal software

Table 3: Top 10 significant gene scores obtained using characteristic path length GWAS results

Gene Symbol	Results are sorted according to p-value					
	Chr	Start	End	Gene ID	SNPs	Pvalue
SUCLG1	2	84650646	84686586	8802	135	$4.512E-5$
DEFB116	20	29891014	29896388	245930	199	$4.768E-5$
TMED11P	4	1108984	1116952	100379220	336	$1.240E-4$
RNF212	4	1065265	1107352	285498	479	$3.098E-4$
DEFB115	20	29845466	29847435	245929	195	$3.349E-4$
CIAPIN1	16	57462086	57481369	57019	200	$4.1461E-4$
KIAA1244	6	138483052	138665800	57221	497	$4.912E-4$
DEFB119	20	29964965	29978452	245932	220	$5.480E-4$
DBIL5P2	2	63344985	63346677	100169989	106	$6.424E-4$
CLDN25	11	113650517	113651207	644672	253	$6.4243E-4$

Table 4: Top 10 significant gene scores obtained using global effect GWAS results

Gene Symbol	Results are sorted according to p-value					
	Chr	Start	End	Gene ID	SNPs	Pvalue
SUCLG1	2	84650646	84686586	8802	135	$7.111E-7$
TMED11P	4	1108984	1116952	100379220	336	$4.681E-6$
HEBP2	6	138725335	138734582	23593	203	$5.727E-6$
RNF212	4	1065265	1107352	285498	479	$1.443E-5$
TSR3	16	1399240	1401873	115939	279	$2.166E-5$
UBE2I	16	1359153	1377019	7329	392	$2.173E-5$
GNPTG	16	1401899	1413352	84572	292	$2.201E-5$
BAIAP3	16	1383605	1399442	8938	310	$2.733E-5$
LOC400655	18	70818475	70931733	400655	454	$3.174E-5$
DNAH6	2	84743578	85046713	1768	576	$7.075E-5$

Table 5: Pascal results for the known AD genes ($p-value < 0.005$)

Gene Symbol	Results are sorted according to p-value				
	Pheno	Chr	ID	SNPs	Pvalue
TRIP4	transitivity	15	9325	164	$1.3089E-4$
APLP2	global_eff	11	334	383	$1.576E-4$
APLP2	louvain	11	334	383	$4.1307E-4$
CD274	transitivity	9	29126	378	$7.603E-4$
DRD3	louvain	3	1814	335	$8.669E-4$
RNF219-AS1	transitivity	13	100874222	2002	$1.478E-3$
MC1R	global_eff	16	4157	346	$1.628E-3$
DUSP22	global_eff	6	56940	164	$1.877E-3$
MAGI2-AS3	char_path_len	7	100505881	339	$1.945E-3$
CLMN	global_eff	14	79789	627	$2.0187E-3$
VCP	char_path_len	9	7415	161	$2.311E-3$
MIR107	global_eff	10	406901	299	$2.353E-3$
CD274	global_eff	9	29126	378	$2.413E-3$
EDNRB	transitivity	13	1910	438	$2.455E-3$
MC1R	char_path_len	16	4157	346	$3.251E-3$
FMN2	louvain	1	56776	1688	$3.333E-3$
MAPK14	global_eff	6	1432	403	$3.362E-3$
ALOX15	louvain	17	246	294	$3.447E-3$
TMEM106B	louvain	7	54664	675	$3.482E-3$
OGG1	transitivity	3	4968	156	$3.562E-3$
DRD5	global_eff	4	1816	228	$3.928E-3$
DUSP22	char_path_len	6	56940	164	$4.108E-3$
CEBPD	transitivity	8	1052	47	$4.129E-3$

genetic risk factors which associate with AD brain neurodegeneration over time - measured as the change in global network metrics of the brain connectome.

Our GWAS results has show a large inflation in type-I error (figures: 6 and 7). This inflation could be due to the genetic complexity of AD, the small sample size available and also, not controlling for LD structure between SNPs. This also explains the overestimation in associations demonstrated in the Manhattan plots.

However, after correcting for LD and aggregating GWAS results at a gene level; Pascal had shown more rubout results with no inflation (figures: 8 and 9). Pascal has successfully replicated genes previously reported to affect disease susceptibility and progression.

At 5% significant level, our results have identified SUCLG1 gene (chromosome2, p-value = 7.111E-7, phenotype: global effect), which previously reported to affect different phenotypes, including those responsible of causing changes in some aspects of the human brain such as brain atrophy, atrophy/degeneration affecting the cerebrum and encephalopathy [oS96].

At 10% significant level, TSPAN17 (p-value=3.852E-6, phenotype: transitivity) and TMED11P (p-value= 4.681E-6, phenotype:global effect) were statistically significant.

5 Materials and Methods

5.1 Datasets and Data Processing

Our analysis was conducted on Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) dataset publicly available at (`adni.loni.usc.edu`)[2].

We used two types of datasets; 1) the cohort measurements of Diffusion Tensor Images (DTI) along with T1-Weighted to allow co-registration, taken at two time points, at the baseline and follow-up after 12 months, and; 2) the PLINK binary files (BED/BIM/FAM) genotypic data for AD, controls and Early MCI (Early Mild Cognitive Impairment).

5.2 Brain Connectivity Metrics

To assess longitudinal changes, we evaluate those metrics at different time points, and we compute their absolute differences. To be in line with previous works on AD and connectomics [PNTT13, BTB+11, JRH+13], , in this work, we focus on specific network segregation and integration features.

Segregation represents the ability of a network to form communities/clustering which are well organized [DT+15].

Integration represents the network's ability to propagate information efficiently[DT+15].

Louvain modularity and transitivity were computed to quantify segregation, while global efficiency and characteristic path length were computed as integration measurements.

The *Louvain modularity* is a community detection method that partitions the network using a two-step optimization[RS10]. First, the method groups individual nodes into communities by optimizing modularity locally, then it constructs a new network whose nodes are the newly formed communities. This is repeated until a maximum of modularity is attained and a hierarchy of communities is produced. For weighted graphs, modularity is defined as

$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{ij} \left[A_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} \right] \delta(c_i, c_j), \tag{1}$$

where A_{ij} is the weight of the edge connecting between nodes i and j from the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$, k_i and k_j are the sums of weights of the edges connected to node i and j respectively, $m = 1/(2A_{ij})$, c_i and c_j are the communities of nodes i and j, and δ is a simple delta function.

The *transitivity* is a variation of the clustering coefficient computed directly on the global network [RS10] , and it reflects the prevalence of clustered connectivity around its nodes. It can be defined as

$$T^W = \frac{\sum_{i \in N} 2t_i^W}{\sum_{i \in N} k_i(k_i - 1)}, \tag{2}$$

with t_i^W being the weighted geometric mean of triangles around node i and k_i its degree.

Weighted global efficiency represents how efficiently information is exchanged over the network . It is computed as the inverse of the average weighted shortest path length in the network d_{ij}^W, and is also inversely related to the characteristic path length as

$$E^W = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N, i \neq j} (d_{ij}^W)^{-1}, \tag{3}$$

where n is the number of nodes.

Characteristic path length measures the integrity of the network and how it is easy for the information to flow within the network. Let d_{ij} be the number of links (connections) which represent the shortest path between node i and j. Characteristic path length of the network is the average of all the distances between every pair in the network.

$$L^W = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j \in N, i \neq j} d_{ij}^W \tag{4}$$

5.3 Integration of the two datasets

To quantify the longitudinal change between the baseline and follow-up visits from the imaging dataset, we calculated the absolute differences for each brain connectivity measure between the two time points. We then merged the absolute differences with the PLINK fam file, using the subject ID. In such settings, subjects who were not present in both datasets were removed and not considered in the rest of this analysis. In total, we were able to merge 57 subjects.

5.4 Data analysis

We performed four quantitative GWAS, separately, using PLINK software[PNTB+07] (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/), a GWAS for each network connectivity measure with a total of 12809667 variants (genotyping rate was 0.84943). We used the absolute difference for each one of the measures as our quantitative trait (phenotype).

After we obtained the GWAS association results, we used them as input for the Pascal (Pathway scoring algorithm) software[LMR+16] to aggregate SNPs at a gene level, and hence, compute gene scores for the four network measures. Along with the obtained association statistics; Pascal uses a reference population from 1000 Genomes Project[C+12] to correct for linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPs. We sat Pascal to compute the gene scores according to the sum of chi-square statistics, and get a p-value for each gene, provided that there were SNPs presents for that gene. Finally, we used python to plot the Manhattan plot, and R studio[RSt15] to plot the QQ-plots. Figure 1 shows the pipeline of this analysis.

6 Conclusion

This analysis proposed a simple way to quantify changes in the brain connectome in a longitudinal setting, through global network metrics. We used those measurements to perform GWAS, and then compute the p-value for each gene by aggregating the GWAS summary statistics for each gene. At a power of 95 %, SUCLG1 were the only significant gene (change in global effect as phenotype), and at 90% power, TMED11P and TSPAN17 were also significantly associated with global effect and transitivity, respectively. Future work will consider combining gene scores at a pathway level, accounting for LD between neighbouring genes.

6.1 Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge our funders, the Organisation for Women in Science for the Developing World (OWSD), the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) and the University of Cape Town for their continuous support. Most of the computations in this work were performed using facilities provided by the University of Cape Town's ICTS High Performance Computing team: http://hpc.uct.ac.za. Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012).

ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.;Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.;Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

References

[Bo10]   L. Bertram and other. The genetics of Alzheimer disease: back to the future. *Neuron*, 68:270–281, 2010.

[BTB⁺11]   J.A. Brown, K.H. Terashima, A.C. Burggren, L.M. Ercoli, K.J. Miller, and other. Bain network local interconnectivity loss in aging apoe-4 allele carriers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(51):20760–20765, 2011.

[C⁺12]   1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature*, 491(7422):56, 2012.

[CS⁺93]   E. Corder, A. Saunders, et al. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science*, 261(5123):921–923, 1993.

[DT⁺15]   G. Deco, G. Tononi, et al. Rethinking segregation and integration: contributions of whole-brain modelling. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16:430–439, 2015.

[JRH⁺13]   N. Jahanshad, P. Rajagopalan, X. Hua, D. Hibar, , et al. Genome-wide scan of healthy human connectome discovers SPON1 gene variant influencing dementia severity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(12):4768–4773, 2013.

[LIVH⁺13]   Jean-Charles Lambert, Carla A Ibrahim-Verbaas, Denise Harold, Adam C Naj, Rebecca Sims, Céline Bellenguez, Gyungah Jun, Anita L DeStefano, Joshua C Bis, Gary W Beecham, et al. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for alzheimer's disease. *Nature genetics*, 45(12):1452, 2013.

[LMR⁺16]   David Lamparter, Daniel Marbach, Rico Rueedi, Zoltán Kutalik, and Sven Bergmann. Fast and rigorous computation of gene and pathway scores from snp-based summary statistics. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(1):e1004714, 2016.

[LWL⁺08]   Hao Li, Sally Wetten, Li Li, Pamela L St Jean, Ruchi Upmanyu, Linda Surh, David Hosford, Michael R Barnes, James David Briley, Michael Borrie, et al. Candidate single-nucleotide polymorphisms from a genomewide association study of alzheimer disease. *Archives of neurology*, 65(1):45–53, 2008.

[NBM⁺10]   Adam C Naj, Gary W Beecham, Eden R Martin, Paul J Gallins, Eric H Powell, Ioanna Konidari, Patrice L Whitehead, Guiqing Cai, Vahram Haroutunian, William K Scott, et al. Dementia revealed: novel chromosome 6 locus for late-onset alzheimer disease provides genetic evidence for folate-pathway abnormalities. *PLoS genetics*, 6(9):e1001130, 2010.

[oS96]   Weizmann Institute of Science. GeneCards human gene database, 1996.

[PNTB+07] Shaun Purcell, Benjamin Neale, Kathe Todd-Brown, Lori Thomas, Manuel AR Ferreira, David Bender, Julian Maller, Pamela Sklar, Paul IW De Bakker, Mark J Daly, et al. Plink: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 81(3):559–575, 2007.

[PNTT13] G. Prasad, T.M. Nir, A.W. Toga, and P.M. Thompson. Tractography density and network measures in Alzheimer's disease. In *Biomedical Imaging, 2013 IEEE 10th International Symposium on*, pages 692–695, 2013.

[RLK+15] A. Raj, E. LoCastro, A. Kuceyeski, D. Tosun, N. Relkin, M. Weiner, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI, et al. Network diffusion model of progression predicts longitudinal patterns of atrophy and metabolism in Alzheimer's disease. *Cell reports*, 10:359–369, 2015.

[RS10] M. Rubinov and O. Sporns. Complex network measures of brain connectivity: uses and interpretations. *Neuroimage*, 52(3):1059–1069, 2010.

[RSt15] RStudio Team. *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA, 2015.