1 **Title**

2 A robust method for transfection in choanoflagellates illuminates their cell biology and the ancestry of

3 animal septins

4
5 **Short Title**

6 Robust transfection in choanoflagellates

7
8 **Authors**

9 David S. Booth[1], Heather Szmidt-Middleton, and Nicole King[2]*

10
11 Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Molecular and Cell Biology

12 University of California, Berkeley

13 Berkeley, CA 94720

15 [1] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4724-4702

16 [2] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6409-1111

17
18 *Corresponding author

19 Email: nking@berkeley.edu

20 Phone: (510) 643-9395

21
22 **Keywords**

23 choanoflagellates, transfection, animal origins, septin

24
Significance Statement

As the closest living relatives of animals, choanoflagellates provide unique insights into animal origins

and core mechanisms underlying animal cell biology. However, unlike classic model organisms like

yeast, flies, and worms, choanoflagellates have been refractory to DNA delivery methods for easily

expressing foreign genes. Here we report a new approach to express proteins of interest in

choanoflagellates. By engineering a panel of fluorescently-tagged proteins, we visualized

choanoflagellate organelles in live cells and found that a class of cytoskeletal proteins called septins

localizes to the basal poles of cells in choanoflagellates and animals. This approach opens the door to

exploring gene function in choanoflagellates in greater detail and promises to illuminate the ancestry of

animal cell biology.

Abstract

Choanoflagellates have the potential to be important experimental models due to their close relationship to animals, ability to develop into multicellular 'rosettes' that resemble animal embryos, ecological importance as bacterial predators, and responses to cues from environmental bacteria. Because choanoflagellates and animals share cellular features and gene families that are apparently absent from all other lineages, choanoflagellates provide unique insights into animal cell biology. However, choanoflagellates as experimental models have been limited by the absence of methods for transgene expression. Here we report a new and robust method for delivering and expressing transgenes in the choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta*, overcoming barriers that have previously hampered DNA delivery and expression. To demonstrate how this method offers new opportunities to investigate the cell biology of choanoflagellates, we engineered a panel of fluorescent protein markers that illuminates the subcellular architecture of live *S. rosetta* cells. These markers enabled the first *in vivo* characterization of choanoflagellate septins, cytoskeletal proteins that are hypothesized to regulate rosette development in *S. rosetta*. We show that septins localize to the basal pole of cells in *S. rosetta* rosettes in a pattern resembling septin localization in animal epithelia, revealing a likely cellular context of septin function in the first animals. This study advances the tractability of *S. rosetta* as an experimental model to investigate the molecular basis of choanoflagellate biology, core mechanisms underlying animal cell biology, and the origin of the first animals.

Introduction

First described in the mid-19th century, choanoflagellates inspired great debate regarding animal taxonomy (1). The most diagnostic morphological feature of choanoflagellates, a "collar complex" composed of a single apical flagellum surrounded by a collar of actin-filled microvilli (Fig. 1B), was interpreted as evidence of a special relationship between choanoflagellates and sponges, whose choanocytes (or "collar cells") each bear a collar complex (1). Subsequent phylogenetic analyses and the discovery of cells with a collar complex in nearly all animal phyla have revealed that sponges and all other animals are monophyletic, with choanoflagellates as their closest living relatives (Fig. 1)(2-6). Moreover, comparative genomic analyses have revealed that choanoflagellates, animals, and other holozoans express genes required for animal multicellularity and embryogenesis (7), including cadherins (8), tyrosine kinases (9, 10), and Myc (11); an additional ~896 gene families, including Notch, Delta, Flamingo, and protocadherins are exclusively shared by choanoflagellates and animals (12). Thus, comparisons among animals and choanoflagellates have the potential to provide unique insights into animal origins and core features of animal cell biology that are not conserved in other experimental models, such as yeast (13).

The choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta* (previously named *Proterospongia* sp. (14)) is emerging as an experimentally tractable model. *S. rosetta* develops from a single founding cell into a spherical, multicellular "rosette" (Fig. 1C) through serial rounds of cell division in a process that evokes the earliest stages of animal embryogenesis (15). Since the establishment of the first *S. rosetta* cultures in February 2000, *S. rosetta* has become increasingly amenable to cell and molecular biological approaches due to the sequencing of its genome (2), the establishment of forward genetic screens (16, 17), the ability to experimentally control key events in its life history (18), and the discovery that environmental bacteria induce rosette development and mating (18-21).

An important remaining barrier to the study of molecular and cellular mechanisms in *S. rosetta* has been the absence of techniques for transfection and transgene expression. Prior attempts at transgene delivery and expression have been unsuccessful, and the absence of the RNA interference pathway in *S. rosetta* prevents gene knockdowns (2, 12). Here we report the establishment of a robust nucleofection-based method to transfect *S. rosetta*. By engineering plasmids with *S. rosetta* regulatory sequences driving the expression of fluorescently-tagged *S. rosetta* proteins, we have developed a broad panel of markers for the study of choanoflagellate cell biology *in vivo*. As a proof of principle, we used transgene expression to characterize septins, genes with conserved roles in fungal (22, 23) and animal development (2, 24-27), that have been hypothesized to regulate rosette development (2). By imaging fluorescently-tagged septins in live cells, we show that their localization in *S. rosetta* resembles that in animal epithelia,

87 providing a potential evolutionary link between the mechanisms underlying animal and choanoflagellate

88 multicellularity.

Results

A robust method to transfect *S. rosetta*

To detect successful transfection, we started by engineering four different DNA plasmid constructs, each with different *S. rosetta* regulatory sequences fused to a gene, *nanoluc* (28), encoding a highly sensitive luciferase (Fig. S1B). Because no choanoflagellate promoters had previously been mapped, we fused *nanoluc* to non-coding sequences flanking *elongation factor L (efl)*, *α-tubulin (tub)*, *non-muscle actin (act)*, and *histone H3 (H3)*, each of which exhibit high expression, lack introns in their open reading frames and have well-annotated 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions (Fig. S1A) (2). Through this strategy, we aimed to increase the likelihood of cloning sequences that would drive robust *nanoluc* expression.

Next, we set out to deliver these DNA plasmid constructs into *S. rosetta* cells using nucleofection, an electroporation-based technique that has proven particularly effective for transfection of diverse eukaryotes (29-31), including mammalian primary cells that are resistant to transfection (32, 33). To quantify transfection efficiency, we performed luciferase assays on cell lysates. Although initial attempts at transfecting *S. rosetta* by nucleofection were not successful, we eventually achieved a low level of transfection with nucleofection by improving conditions for culturing *S. rosetta* cells (Fig. S2), modifying approaches for handling cells throughout the nucleofection procedure, and screening thirty unique combinations of electrical pulses and buffers (Fig. S3).

Optimization around these initial conditions culminated in a procedure that provided robust and reproducible transfection of *S. rosetta* (Fig. 2A; see Methods and http://www.protocols.io/groups/king-lab). When used in the optimized transfection procedure, all four transfection reporters drove strong expression of nanoluc protein, producing luminescence signals that were over three orders of magnitude above the detection limit (Fig. 2B).

Because this was the first example, to our knowledge, of successful transgene expression in any choanoflagellate, we sought to identify which steps in the optimized protocol were most essential. Using the p*H3-nanoluc* transfection reporter, we quantified how the omission of each step impacted transfection efficiency (Fig. 2C). In addition to the use of an optimal electrical pulse during nucleofection, the two most important steps were priming the cells through the enzymatic removal of the extracellular matrix prior to nucleofection (Fig. 2A, step 1; Fig. S4) and the inclusion of carrier DNA during nucleofection (Fig. 2A, step 2; Fig. S5); eliminating either of these steps resulted in a nearly complete loss of signal.

Priming the cells for nucleofection was a novel step motivated by our observation that *S. rosetta* cells are surrounded by a potentially protective extracellular coat (1, 17, 18), and the inclusion of carrier DNA (pUC19) in nucleofection reactions eliminated the need to include large quantities of reporter construct plasmid (Fig. S5). Another improvement was the development of a recovery buffer that

enhanced transfection ten-fold, presumably by promoting membrane resealing after nucleofection (34,

35).

Luciferase assays performed on cell lysates gave a sensitive read-out of population-wide nanoluc

expression but did not allow the examination of live, transfected cells nor reveal the proportion of cells

that were successfully transfected. Therefore, we next engineered eight reporters with different

fluorescent proteins placed under the control of regulatory sequences from the *S. rosetta actin* homolog.

Fluorescence was readily detected from cells transfected with reporters encoding mTFP1 (36), mWasabi

(37), sfGFP (38), mNeonGreen (39), mPapaya (40), TagRFP-T (41), mCherry (42), and tdTomato (42). In

contrast, an eGFP (43) reporter failed to yield fluorescent cells, likely due to protein misfolding, as cells

transfected with the 'super-folder' variant of GFP (sfGFP) did fluoresce properly. In transfected cells, the

fluorescent signal was distributed throughout the nucleus and cytosol yet excluded from membrane bound

compartments (Fig. 3A).

We observed that transfected cells resembled untransfected cells in their shape, motility, and ability

to propagate, indicating that transfection did not irreparably harm *S. rosetta*. Fluorescence persisted

through multiple cell divisions, yet the diminishing signal in daughter cells indicated that transfection was

transient (Fig. S6A) Importantly, using flow cytometry one to two days after transfection, we found that

~1% of the population was reproducibly transfected, and fluorescence-activated cell sorting could isolate

this transfected cell population (Fig. S6B). This transfection frequency is comparable to high frequency

episomal transformation of the model yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that ranges from 1 – 10% (44, 45),

and similar transfection frequencies are achieved in model apicocomplexans (30, 46).

Fluorescent markers illuminate the cell architecture of *S. rosetta*

The ability to express fluorescent proteins in *S. rosetta* provided a new opportunity to explore its

cell biology *in vivo*. Therefore, we designed a set of fluorescent reporters to mark key features of *S.

rosetta* cells: the nucleus, cytoplasm, collar, filopodia, flagellum, membrane, mitochondria and

endoplasmic reticulum (ER). For each fluorescent reporter, the *mCherry* gene was fused in-frame to *S.

rosetta* DNA sequences encoding conserved proteins or peptides that localize to specific organelles or

subcellular regions in yeast and mammalian cells. To benchmark each fluorescent marker, we compared

its localization in transfected cells to cellular landmarks known from electron and immunofluorescence

micrographs (Fig. S7) (1, 3, 8, 47, 48).

Electron micrographs have revealed two distinct regions in the nucleus: the darkly-stained

nucleolus positioned in the center and the surrounding, more lightly-stained nucleoplasm (1, 49). As

predicted, mCherry fused to either the carboxy terminus of H3 or the amino terminus of the simian virus

40 nuclear localization signal localized primarily to the *S. rosetta* nucleoplasm and was excluded from

both the nucleolus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 3B, C) (50, 51). In contrast, the cytoplasmic marker EFL-

mCherry (52) localized to the cytosol and was excluded from the nucleus (Fig. 3D).

Two of the most diagnostic features of the choanoflagellate cell are the actin-filled collar and the

flagellum, which is comprised of microtubules. A fusion of mCherry to the filamentous actin-binding

peptide Lifeact (53) highlighted the parallel arrangement of straight microvilli in the collar (Fig. 3G and

3J), as well as filopodia extending from the basal pole of the cell (Fig. 3G, lower arrow) (48). In live cells,

Lifeact-mCherry revealed the native structure of the collar, which can be distorted in cells fixed for

staining with fluorescent phalloidin or actin antibodies (48). Lifeact-mCherry also showed details of actin

filament organization that have not previously been evident, such as the existence of actin filaments that

originate in the cell body and coalesce at the base of the collar to form each microvillus (Fig. 3G', upper

arrow; improved immunofluorescence techniques also preserve these cortical actin filaments, Fig. 1B). A

fusion of α-tubulin to mCherry (54) illuminated individual cortical microtubules emanating from the base

of the flagellum to the basal pole of the cell (Fig. 3H', arrow) and allowed visualization of the rapidly

beating flagellum in live cells (Fig. 3H and 3K).

A cell membrane marker, with a geranyl-geranylation sequence fused to mCherry (55, 56), outlined

the entire cell, including the flagellum, collar, and cell body (Fig. 3I and 3L), and faintly marked the

Golgi apparatus (Fig. 3I', arrow). In live cells, the cell membrane marker captured the formation of a

phagocytic cup engulfing bacterial prey (Fig. S8)(57). The ER marker (58), which included the amino

terminal signal sequence from the secreted protein Rosetteless (17) and a carboxy terminal ER retention

sequence from the ER resident chaperone BiP (PTSG_07223), highlighted the continuity of the ER with

the nuclear envelope and the distribution of ER throughout the cell, including around vacuoles (Fig. 3F).

A mitochondrial marker (58) with an amino terminal targeting sequence from *S. cerevisiae* Cox IV

revealed a network of mitochondria (59) that is enriched around the nucleus and extends throughout the

cell (Fig. 3G). Taken together, these fluorescent markers provide the opportunity to investigate the

subcellular architecture of live *S. rosetta* cells in detail.

Transgenesis reveals septin localization in live *S. rosetta* cells

The establishment of transgenics in *S. rosetta* reported here will facilitate more rapid

characterization of candidate genes for multicellularity. As a demonstration of the utility of transgenic

approaches in *S. rosetta*, we investigated the localization of septins, a family of paralogous genes, each

encoding a protein with a diagnostic amino terminal guanosine triphosphate binding domain (G-domain)

and a carboxy terminal coiled-coil domain in most homologs (60, 61) (Fig. 4A). Septin paralogs interact

through their G-domains to form heteromeric filaments, and these heteromeric filaments interact with

each other through the septin coiled-coil domains to form higher order assemblies (62-64). The assembly

of septin filaments into higher order structures is important for proper septin localization (65), conserved

functions of septins in cytokinesis, and cell polarity in fungi and animals. Septins also serve more animal-

specific roles in phagocytosis (66), ciliogenesis (67), and planar cell polarity (27) . In the context of *S.*

rosetta biology, septins are particularly interesting because their mRNA abundance is elevated in

multicellular chain and rosette colonies relative to solitary swimming cells and they have been

hypothesized to contribute to rosette development (2).

We first examined the localization of the *S. rosetta* septin protein *Sr*Septin2 (PTSG_07215) with an

amino terminal mTFP1 tag in single cells and multicellular rosettes (Fig. 4B). Because septins visualized

by immunofluorescence microscopy in yeast (68-71), *Drosophila* (24, 25, 72), and mammalian cells (73)

display the same localization as septins tagged with fluorescent proteins, we reasoned that mTFP1-

*Sr*Septin2 should reveal the native localization of *Sr*Septin2 in *S. rosetta*. Strikingly, mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2

was enriched at the basal poles of single and rosettes cells (Fig. 4B and 4E) and at intercellular contacts

between adjacent cells in rosettes (Fig. 4E). Moreover, a separate *S. rosetta* septin, *Sr*Septin6

(PTSG_06009), displays the same basal localization as *Sr*Septin2 (Fig. 4C and Fig. S9), consistent with

the assembly of *Sr*Septin2 and *Sr*Septin6 into heteromeric filaments resembling those reported in yeast

and animals (64). We further found that the basal localization of *Sr*Septin2 requires the coiled-coil

domain, as a complete deletion of the coiled-coil domain (SrSeptin2ΔCC; Fig. 4A, D, F) eliminated

*Sr*Septin2 enrichment at the basal pole when expressed in wild-type cells. Unexpectedly, mTFP1-

*Sr*Septin2ΔCC formed ectopic rings around vesicles in the cytosol in wild-type cells (Fig. 4D and 4F).

The basal and lateral localization of *Sr*Septin2 and *Sr*Septin6 in rosettes is reminiscent of septin

localization in polarized epithelial cells (73, 74), in which septins interact with the positive ends of

microtubules that are growing toward the basal pole (75). In choanoflagellates, microtubules radiate down

from the apical microtubule organizing centers, with the plus ends meeting at the basal pole of each cell,

similar to the orientation of microtubule plus ends toward the basal pole in animal epithelia (76). To

examine if septins also interact with the plus ends of microtubules in *S. rosetta*, we co-transfected cells

with mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2 and the tubulin marker α-tubulin-mCherry (Fig. 4G). Fluorescence microscopy

showed that septin filaments intercalate between cortical microtubules at the basal pole of the cell (Fig.

4G). These data are consistent with conserved interactions between septins and microtubules from yeast

to animals (77), including at the plus-ends of microtubules in choanoflagellates and animal epithelia.

Discussion

By synthesizing our growing knowledge of *S. rosetta* biology with a rigorous characterization and

optimization of each step in the transfection procedure, we have developed a robust method for

transgenesis in *S. rosetta* that can be easily implemented by other laboratories. This method overcomes

the barriers that prevented efficient DNA delivery in our prior attempts using diverse methods, including

standard electroporation, lipofection, bombardment, and cell-penetrating peptides. A key breakthrough for

this study was the realization that the extracellular coat surrounding *S. rosetta* might present a barrier for

transfection, which motivated the development of a method to gently remove the extracellular material

surrounding *S. rosetta,* thereby sensitizing cells for transfection. Additional improvements to the

transfection procedure, such as a step for promoting the closure of the plasma membrane after electrical

pulsation, were designed to address the unique challenges that arise from culturing *S. rosetta* in sea water.

Just as our method was informed by approaches developed in model microeukaryotes (*Chlamydomonas*

and yeast), the methods we have established in *S. rosetta* may extend to aid gene delivery in diverse non-

model marine microeukaryotes. Overall, the gestalt of continually improving choanoflagellate husbandry

(16), developing protocols for priming and recovering cells during nucleofection, and extensively

optimizing transfection based on a quantitative assay produced a robust method for gene delivery in *S.*

rosetta.

This work also provides a foundational set of vectors for expressing transgenes in *S. rosetta*

(Dataset S1). In these vectors, the expression of luciferase or fluorescent proteins was placed under the

control of native regulatory elements. From these vectors, we constructed a panel of fluorescently tagged

subcellular markers that serve as fiducial markers for monitoring the localization of proteins in *S. rosetta*.

For example, through our pilot study of *Sr*Septin2 and *Sr*Septin6, the use of these new transgenic tools

revealed that septins localize to the basal pole of choanoflagellates, mirroring their localization in animal

epithelial cells (73, 74). This observation provides a valuable intermediate for understanding how septin

functions evolved prior to the evolution of an epithelium in stem animals. While septins have broadly

conserved roles in cytokinesis and cell polarity, the specifics differ between fungi and animals, which

diverged over a billion years ago and have important differences in their cell biology. In yeast, septins

facilitate polarized cell growth toward the new daughter cell prior to mediating cytokinesis. In animals,

septins not only facilitate cytokinesis (78) but also maintain apical-basal and planar cell polarity to

properly coordinate multicellular development (25, 27, 74). The basal localization of septins in

choanoflagellates and animal epithelia suggests that septins played a role in apical-basal polarity evolved

before the divergence of choanoflagellates and animals. Further insights into the ancestral functions of

septins are likely to emerge through continued study in *S. rosetta* and through the study of septin function

in other non-metazoan holozoans, including *Capsaspora owczarzaki* (79) and *Creolimax fragrantissima*

(80), in which transgenic methods have also been recently established.

Previous analyses of gene function in choanoflagellates relied on custom antibodies (8, 11, 17, 49),

laborious forward genetic screens (17), and *in vitro* biochemistry (49). The establishment of transgene

expression in *S. rosetta* described here will accelerate studies of the ancestral functions of animal genes

that are conserved in choanoflagellates. We anticipate that future work will build on this approach to

establish stable transgenesis and genome editing. Establishing reverse genetics tools will likely require an

increased understanding of choanoflagellate DNA recombination and repair as well as the development of

quantitative genotyping assays optimized for choanoflagellates. Combining an expanded repertoire of

approaches for investigating gene function in-depth in *S. rosetta* with comparisons to other

experimentally-tractable choanoflagellates (12, 81) and non-choanozoans (79, 80) promises to yield

increasingly mechanistic insights into the ancestry of animal cell biology.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and media preparation

   S. rosetta was cultured with a single bacterial species, *E. pacifica*, that serves as a food source (16). Media recipes are provided in Table S1. Cultures were established from frozen aliquots by adding 1 ml of thawed cells to 10 ml of 0.2x High Nutrient Media. After the cells reached a density of 10^4 cells/ml, the culture was split 1:2 into 1x High Nutrient Media with a constant volume of 0.24 ml/cm^2. After this initial split (denoted as day 0), cells were passaged in 1x High Nutrient Media according to the following schedule: 1:4 dilution on day 1, 1:8 dilution on day 2, 1:16 on day 3. Subsequently cells were passaged every day at a 1:24 dilution or every other day as a 1:48 dilution of cells.

   Based on the recommendation from Lonza to use a medium with a low calcium concentration for transfecting mammalian cells, we searched for a seawater recipe with a lower concentration of calcium than the routinely-used artificial seawater made from Tropic of Marin Salts (16), which has a calcium concentration of 9.1 mM at a salinity of 35 g/kg (82). The AK seawater formulation that has been used to culture marine algae (83) and dinoflagellates (84) and has a calcium concentration of 2.7 mM. We found that *S. rosetta* grows more rapidly in 1x High Nutrient Media prepared in AK seawater rather than seawater prepared with Tropic of Marin Salts (Fig. S2A). Therefore, we switched to a growth medium based on AK seawater for routine culturing. After optimizing the nucleofection protocol, we demonstrated that growing *S. rosetta* in AK seawater also resulted in higher transfection efficiencies (Fig. S2B) than growing *S. rosetta* in seawater prepared with Tropic of Marin Salts.

Reporter plasmid design and molecular cloning

   Dataset S1 lists the complete inventory of engineered plasmids with a summary of primers, cloning methods, and annotations for constructing each plasmid. Complete plasmid sequences and plasmids have also been deposited at Addgene (http://www.addgene.org/Nicole_King). Below is a brief summary of considerations for designing plasmids, and a more detailed description of standard molecular cloning methods for engineering plasmids can be found in the Supplementary Information.

   Cloning regulatory regions from *S. rosetta* genes. Because we had no previous knowledge about the architecture of choanoflagellate regulatory regions, we aimed to clone as much as 1000 bp upstream and downstream of targeted open reading frames as these fragments are slightly larger than the mean intergenic distance of 885 bp (7). Of necessity, the cloned intergenic sequences reported here were shorter to avoid repetitive CA and GT sequences that were present before the putative promoter and after the 3'-UTR, respectively. To increase the specificity of primers, we designed the primers to anneal to regions with a GC content ≤ 50%, as the *S. rosetta* genome is 56% GC. Ultimately, the cloned regions that

encompass the promoter and the 5'-UTR ranged in size from 550 bp to 1095 bp and those encompassing

the 3'UTR ranged from 200 bp to 807 bp.

Synthetic gene design. Synthetic reporter genes (*nanoluc* and the genes encoding diverse

fluorescent proteins) were codon optimized to match the codon usage of the set of highly expressed

intron-less genes listed in Fig. S1, as codon usage can be biased for highly expressed genes (85). A codon

usage table (Dataset S2) was generated from the coding sequences of highly expressed intronless genes

(Dataset S2A) and from all coding sequences (Dataset S2B) using the 'cusp' tool in Emboss (86). The

codon usage table was then used to generate a codon optimized DNA sequence for each target protein

sequence with the 'backtranseq' tool on Emboss. The DNA sequences were further edited by making

synonymous substitutions with less frequently used codons to change restriction enzyme sites and to

remove repetitive sequences. Finally, sequences were added to the ends of these designed genes for

cloning with restriction enzymes or Gibson assembly. The engineered reporter gene sequences are

available through Addgene (Dataset S1; http://www.addgene.org/Nicole_King).

Subcellular marker design. Dataset S3 provides the amino acid sequences for all of the

subcellular markers reported in Fig. 3. To ensure that the fluorescent protein tag for each marker would

not interfere with the functions of proteins or peptides that determine localization, some of the constructs

were engineered to have a flexible linker sequence (SGGSGGS) separating the fluorescent protein and the

localization signals.

318
Optimized transfection protocol

The protocol is summarized in Fig. 2 and detailed protocols for reagent preparation and transfection are

available at protocols.io at the following link: http://www.protocols.io/groups/king-lab

Culture. Two days prior to transfection, a culture flask (Corning, Cat. No. 353144) was seeded

with *S. rosetta* at a density of 5,000 cells/ml in 200 ml of 1x High Nutrient Media. The culture was

supplemented with 2 mg of frozen *E. pacifica* by resuspending a 10 mg pellet of flash-frozen *E. pacifica*

in 1 ml of media and then adding 200 μl of the resuspended pellet to the culture of *S. rosetta*.

Wash. After 36-48 hours of growth, bacteria were washed away from *S. rosetta* cells through three

consecutive rounds of centrifugation and resuspension in sterile AK seawater. The culture flask was

vigorously shaken for 30 sec to homogenize the 200 ml that was seeded two days prior (see above) and

then transferred to 50 ml conical tubes and spun for 5 min at 2000 x g and 22°C. The supernatant was

removed with a serological pipette, and residual media was removed with a fine tip transfer pipette. The

cell pellets were resuspended in a total volume of 100 ml of AK seawater, vigorously shaken in their

conical tubes for 30 sec, and then centrifuged for 5 min at 2200 x g and 22°C. The supernatant was

removed as before. Each cell pellet was resuspended in 50 ml of AK seawater, vigorously shaken for 30

sec, and centrifuged for 5 min at 2400 x g and 22°C. After the supernatant was removed, the cells were resuspended in a total volume of 100 μl of AK seawater. A 100-fold dilution of cells was counted on a Luna-FL automated cell counter (Logos Biosystems) and the remaining cells were diluted to a final concentration of $5x10^7$ choanoflagellate cells/ml. The resuspended cells were divided into 100 μl aliquots with $5x10^6$ cells per aliquot to immediately prime cells in the next step. A 200 ml culture typically yields 6-8 aliquots of cells.

Prime. After washing away bacteria, each aliquot of *S. rosetta* cells was incubated in priming buffer to remove the extracellular material coating the cell. The 100 μl aliquots that contained $5x10^6$ cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 2750 x g at room temperature. The supernatant was removed with a fine tip micropipette. Cells were resuspended in 100 μl of priming buffer (40 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5; 34 mM Lithium Citrate; 50 mM L-Cysteine; 15% (w/v) PEG 8000; and 1 μM papain) and then incubated for 30 min. Priming was quenched by adding 2 μl of 50 mg/ml bovine serum albumin-fraction V (Sigma) and then centrifuged for 5 min at 1250 x g and 22°C with the centrifuge brake set to a 'soft' setting. The supernatant was removed with a fine-tip micropipette, and the cells were resuspended in 25 μl of SF Buffer (Lonza).

Nucleofect. Each transfection reaction was prepared by adding 2 μl of 'primed' cells resuspended in SF buffer to a mixture of 16 μl of SF Buffer; 2 μl of 20 μg/μl pUC19; 1 μl of 250 mM ATP, pH 7.5; 1 μl of 100 mg/ml Sodium Heparin; and ≤7 μl of reporter DNA. (Note that higher volumes of nucleofection lead to lower transfection frequencies; thus, reporter DNA should be as concentrated as possible, not exceeding 7 μl. Also, see below for "Note about titrating reporter plasmids.") The transfection reaction was transferred to one well of a 96-well nucleofection plate (Lonza). The nucleofection plate was placed in a Nucleofector 4d 96-well Nucleofection unit (Lonza), and the CM156 pulse was applied to each well.

Rest and recover. Immediately after pulsation, 100 μl of ice-cold recovery buffer (10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5; 0.9 M Sorbitol; 8% (w/v) PEG 8000) was added to the cells, Recovery buffer was gently mixed with the transfected cells by firmly tapping the side of the plate and then incubating the samples for 5 min. The whole volume of the transfection reaction plus the recovery buffer was transferred to 1 ml of 1x High Nutrient Media in a 12-well plate. After the cells recovered for 1 hour, 5 μl of a 10 mg frozen *E. pacifica* pellet resuspended in media (see above), was added to each well. The cells were grown for 24 to 48 hours before assaying for luminescence or fluorescence.

Note about establishing transfection in non-model microeukaryotes. Establishing a transfection protocol for *S. rosetta* required adapting several different transfection procedures for a variety of eukaryotic cells to meet the unique requirements of *S. rosetta*. While the specific details for transfecting *S. rosetta* may not be readily applicable to other organisms, the general considerations and the process for optimization that led to the development of the transfection protocol described here could inform efforts

to transfect other microeukaryotes. Therefore, we have included a summary in the Supplementary Text

and Figs. S10 and S11 about the initial development and optimization of the aforementioned protocol.

Nanoluc reporter assay

To measure relative transfection efficiency resulting from different transfection protocols and

promoters, we performed luciferase assays on lysates of transfected cells. Cells transfected with 2.5 μg of

nanoluc reporter plasmids were pelleted by centrifuging for 10 min at 4200 x g and 4°C. The supernatant

was removed and the cells were resuspended in 50 μl of NanoGlo buffer (Promega) and then transferred

to a well of a white, opaque 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-one Cat No.655083). Luminescence was

immediately recorded on a Spectramax L Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices) with a 1 min dark

adaption and 10 sec dwell time with the photomultiplier gain set to photon counting mode.

Based on standard definitions from analytical chemistry (87) the detection limit was set to three

standard deviations above the background signal such that any signal above the detection limit has less

than a 1% chance of arising from random error. The limit of detection was calculated in two different

ways. First, the y-axis intercept and standard deviation were calculated from a standard curve (87) fit to a

serial dilution of nanoluc versus luciferase activity (Fig. S3A). To decrease the bias toward higher

luciferase values, the standard curve was fit with the objective *(O)*: $O \equiv \min \sum_i \frac{|m_i - c_i|}{m_i}$, where m is the

measured luciferase value for a given data point i and c is the calculated luciferase value. Second, the

detection limit was also determined as three standard deviations above the mean of eight replicate

luciferase measurements of cells transfected without any reporter plasmid, which resulted in the same

calculated detection limit.

Reproducibility in luciferase assays was assessed by performing at least two independent

experiments on separate days with different preparations of 'primed' cells; data presented in Fig. 2

represent one of the independent experiments. Within each experiment from the same preparation of

'primed' cells, replicate measurements were performed by setting up three to five independent

transfections for each condition (shown as black dots); bar graphs in Fig. 2 show the mean values of the

five independent transfections with error bars showing the standard deviation. Before performing

statistical tests that rely on a normal distribution, luciferase data were transformed to a log-normal

distribution by taking the base-10 logarithm of luciferase values as gene expression data from luciferase

assays display a log-normal distribution (88).

Flow Cytometry

To measure the percentage of cells expressing each of the different transgenes under different

transfection conditions, we used flow cytometry. Cells were transfected with 10 μg of mWasabi or 10 μg

of TagRFP-T reporter plasmids for flow cytometry because these fluorophores produced the highest

fluorescence signal upon illumination with the 488 or 561 nm lasers, respectively. To prepare cells for

flow cytometry, cultures from 10-12 transfections were pooled 24 hours after transfection and centrifuged

for 15 min at 3600 x g and 4°C. The supernatant was removed with a fine-tip transfer pipette to avoid

disturbing the pellet. The pelleted cells were resuspended in 500 μl of 0.22 μm filtered AK seawater and

then filtered through a 40 μm filter.

Because a large number of bacteria were present in the cultures, *S. rosetta* cells were gated based on

the area of forward scattering signal versus the area of the side scattering signal and the area of the

forward scattering signal versus the height of the forward scattering signals. To differentiate transfected

cells from untransfected cells, fluorescence signal was measured using lasers and filters for the

fluorophores FITC (Green Fluorescence) and PE (Red Fluorescence); untransfected cells form a

population along the y=x line of these plots, and the population of transfected cells are skewed along one

axis that corresponds to the fluorophore. The transfected cells were gated to exclude >99.99% of

untransfected cells as determined from a negative control reaction that was transfected without a

fluorescent reporter (Fig. 2E, left panel).

Live cell imaging

An important benefit of transgenics is the ability to visualize protein localization and cell

architecture in living cells. To this end, we have established improved protocols for live cell imaging in *S.*

rosetta. Glass-bottomed dishes were prepared for live cell microscopy by corona-treating the glass for 10

s. Afterwards, 300 μl of 0.1 mg/ml poly-D-lysine was applied to the glass cover (18 μl/cm^2), incubated

for 10 min at room temperature, and then removed. Excess poly-D-lysine was washed away from the

glass surface with three rinses of 500 μl artificial seawater.

Cells transfected with 5 μg of each fluorescent reporter were prepared for microscopy by

centrifuging 1-2 ml of transfected cells for 10 min at 3,600 x g and 4°C. After centrifugation, the

supernatant was removed and the cell pellet was resuspended in 200 μl of 4/5 Tropic of Marin artificial

seawater with 100 mM LiCl. Lithium chloride slows flagellar beating, as in spermatozoa (89, 90), to

decrease the movement of cells during imaging. The resuspended cells were pipetted on top of the poly-

D-lysine coated glass-bottom dish and adsorbed on the surface for 10 min. Lastly, 200 μl of 20% (w/v)

Ficoll 400 dissolved in 4/5 Tropic of Marin artificial seawater with 100 mM LiCl was pipetted drop-by-

drop on top of the cells. The addition of Ficoll decreases flagellar movement by increasing the viscosity

of the media (91, 92) without significantly changing the osmolarity or refractive index of the sample (GE

Lifesciences).

Confocal microscopy was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer LSM 880 with an Airyscan detector

and a 63x/NA1.40 Plan-Apochromatic oil immersion objective. The mTFP1 and mCherry fluorophores

were selected for two-color imaging due to their high photostability and minimal spectral overlap.

Confocal stacks were acquired in superresolution mode using ILEX line scanning and two-fold averaging

and the following settings: 40 nm x 40 nm pixel size, 93 nm z-step, 0.9-1.0 μsec/pixel dwell time, 850

gain, 458 nm laser operating at 5% laser power, 561 nm laser operating at 3% laser power, 458/561 nm

multiple beam splitter, and 495-550 nm band-pass/570 nm long-pass filter. Images were initially

processed using the automated Airyscan algorithm (Zeiss) and then reprocessed by setting the Airyscan

threshold 0.5 units higher than the value reported from automated Airyscan processing. The stacks were

further processed by correcting for signal decay, background, and flickr in Zen Blue (Zeiss). Last, FIJI

(93) was used to apply a gamma factor to each channel and subtract the background using a 100 pixel

radius.

Epifluorescence and differential interference contrast images were recorded using a Zeiss Axio

Observer.Z1/7 Widefield microscope with a Hamamatsu Orca-Flash 4.0 LT CMOS Digital Camera and

40x/NA 1.1 LD C-Apochromatic water immersion, 63x/NA1.40 Plan-Apochromatic oil immersion, or

100x NA 1.40 Plan-Apochromatic oil immersion objectives. Green fluorescent proteins were imaged with

a 38 HE filter set and red fluorescent proteins with a 43 HE filter set. Images were processed by applying

a gamma factor and background subtracting fluorescence channels in FIJI.

Note about titrating reporter plasmids. A titration of fluorescent reporter plasmids showed that

10 μg of total reporter plasmid(s) best balanced transfection efficiency, brightness, and a faithful

indication of subcellular architecture. We caution that high plasmid concentrations can result in the

overexpression of fluorescent markers, leading to aberrant localization of the marker and gross changes in

cell morphology. Such artefacts can be avoided by performing a titration to determine the best

concentration of plasmid and recording images from cells with a range of fluorescence intensities that

result from any transfection. One of the best markers to assess optimal reporter plasmid concentrations is

the tubulin marker because of its distinct localization that can be benchmarked with immunofluorescence.

Immunofluorescence staining and imaging

Immunfluorescence was performed as previously described (20) with modifications to better

preserve features of the cytoskeleton. Two milliliters of cells were concentrated by centrifugation for 10

min at 2750 x g and 4°C. The cells were resuspended in 400 μl of artificial seawater and applied to poly-

L-lysine coated coverslips (BD Biosciences) placed in the bottom of each well of a 24-well cell culture

dish. After allowing the cells to settle on the coverslip for 30 min, 150 μl of the cell solution was gently

removed from the side of the dish. It is crucial to leave a small layer of buffer on top of cells to preserve

the cell morphology, hence the 250 μl of liquid left in the well. All of the subsequent washes and

incubations during the staining procedure were performed by adding and removing 200 μl of the indicated

buffer.

Cells were fixed in two stages. First, the coverslip was washed once with 6% acetone in

cytoskeleton buffer (10 mM MES, pH 6.1; 138 KCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$; 2 mM EGTA; 675 mM Sucrose),

which better preserves the actin cytoskeleton (94, 95), and then incubated for 10 min at room temperature

after a second application of the acetone solution. Subsequently, the coverslip was washed once with 4%

formaldehyde diluted in cytoskeleton buffer and then incubated for 15 min at room temperature after a

second application of the formaldehyde solution. Last, the coverslip was gently washed three times with

cytoskeleton buffer.

Cells were permeabilized by washing the coverslip once with permeabilization buffer (100 mM

PIPES, pH 6.95; 2 mM EGTA; 1 mM $MgCl_2$; 1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin-fraction V; 0.3% (v/v

Triton X-100) and then incubated for 30 min upon a second addition of permeabilization buffer. After the

permeabilization buffer was removed, the coverslip was washed once with primary antibody, 50 ng/ml

mouse E7 anti-tubulin antibody (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) diluted in permeabilization

buffer, and then incubated for 1 h in a second application of primary antibody. The coverslip was gently

washed twice in permeabilization buffer. Next, the coverslip was washed once with secondary antibody, 8

ng/ml Donkey anti-mouse IgG–AlexaFluor568 (ThermoFisher) diluted in permeabilization buffer, and

then incubated for 1 h after a second application of secondary antibody. Afterwards, the coverslip was

washed once in permeabilization buffer and then three times with PEM (100 mM PIPES-KOH, pH 6.95;

2 mM EGTA; 1 mM $MgCl_2$). The coverslip was washed once with 10 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 and 4 U/ml

Phalloidin-AlexaFluor488 in PEM and then incubated for 30 min with a second application of

Hoechst3334/Phalloidin. Finally, the coverslip was washed once in PEM.

To prepare a slide for mounting, 10 μl of Pro-Long Diamond (Invitrogen) was added to a slide. The

coverslip was gently removed from the well with forceps, excess buffer was blotted from the side with a

piece of filter paper, and the coverslip was gently placed on the drop of Pro-Long diamond. The mounting

media was allowed to cure overnight before visualization.

Images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan confocal microscope with a 63x objective (as

described for live cell imaging) by frame scanning in the superresolution mode with the following

settings: 35 nm x 35 nm pixel size; 80 nm z-step; 0.64 μs/pixel dwell time; 561 nm laser operating at

1.5% power with a 488/561 nm beam splitter, a 420-480 nm/495-620 nm band pass filter, and a gain of

750; 488 nm laser operating at 1.5% power with a 488/561 nm beam splitter, a 420-480 nm/495-550 nm

band pass filter, and a gain of 750; and 405 nm laser operating at 1.5% power with a 405 nm beam

splitter, a 420-480 nm/495-550 nm band pass filter, and a gain of 775.

Acknowledgements

Laura Wetzel, Monika Sigg, Hannah Elzinga, Lily Helfrich, and Reef Aldayafleh helped with

experiments and reagent preparation. Corey Allard, as part of the Marine Biological Laboratory's

Physiology Course, helped with early tests of priming conditions. Kent McDonald generously provided a

transmission electron micrograph from samples prepared by Pawel Burkhardt. We thank these people for

providing access and support for scientific instruments: Russell Vance and Lab for use of their

luminometer, Hector Nolla and Alma Valeros in the Flow Cytometry Facility, and the UC Berkeley DNA

Sequencing Facility. The following individuals generously donated reagents and provided technical

support: Brad Hooks and Dee Czarniecki from Promega, Ethan Brooks from Lonza, and Colleen Manning

from Zeiss. We appreciate scientific discussions and advice from these individuals: David Schaffer,

Sabrina Sun, Jorge Ortiz, Niren Murthy, Tara DeBoer, Fyodor Urnov, Matt Welch and Lab, Rebecca

Heald and Lab, and Abby Dernberg and Lab. We thank members of the King lab for helpful discussions,

research support, and comments on the manuscript, especially Arielle Woznica, Kayley Hake, and Ben

Larson. An additional thanks to the following people for providing comments on the manuscript: Candace

Britton, Pawel Burkhardt, Matt Daugherty, Galo Garcia, Tera Levin, Kristin Patrick, and Dan Richter.

DSB is supported as a Simons Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow of the Jane Coffin Childs Memorial Fund

for Biomedical Research. This work was funded in part by a grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore

Foundation's Marine Microbiology Initiative for establishing Emerging Model Systems.

Author Contributions

DSB and NK acquired funding, conceived of the project, and wrote the manuscript. DSB, HSM, and NK

designed experiments and interpreted data. DSB and HSM collected data.

References

1. Leadbeater BSC (2015) *The Choanoflagellates: Evolution, Biology and Ecology,* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

2. Fairclough SR, *et al* (2013) Premetazoan genome evolution and the regulation of cell differentiation in the choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta*. *Genome Biol* 14(2): r15.

3. King N, *et al* (2008) The genome of the choanoflagellate *Monosiga brevicollis* and the origin of metazoans. *Nature* 451(7180): 783-788.

4. Ruiz-Trillo I, Roger AJ, Burger G, Gray MW & Lang BF (2008) A phylogenomic investigation into the origin of metazoa. *Mol Biol Evol* 25(4): 664-672.

5. Lang BF, O'Kelly C, Nerad T, Gray MW & Burger G (2002) The closest unicellular relatives of animals. *Curr Biol* 12(20): 1773-1778.

6. Burger G, Forget L, Zhu Y, Gray MW & Lang BF (2003) Unique mitochondrial genome architecture in unicellular relatives of animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100(3): 892-897.

7. Sebe-Pedros A, Degnan BM & Ruiz-Trillo I (2017) The origin of Metazoa: A unicellular perspective. *Nat Rev Genet* 18(8): 498-512.

8. Abedin M & King N (2008) The premetazoan ancestry of cadherins. *Science* 319(5865): 946-948.

9. Suga H, *et al* (2012) Genomic survey of premetazoans shows deep conservation of cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases and multiple radiations of receptor tyrosine kinases. *Sci Signal* 5(222): ra35.

10. Manning G, Young SL, Miller WT & Zhai Y (2008) The protist, *Monosiga brevicollis*, has a tyrosine kinase signaling network more elaborate and diverse than found in any known metazoan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105(28): 9674-9679.

11. Young SL, *et al* (2011) Premetazoan ancestry of the Myc-Max network. *Mol Biol Evol* 28(10): 2961-2971.

12. Richter D, Fozouni P, Eisen M & King N (2018) Gene family innovation, conservation and loss on the animal stem lineage. *eLife* 7: e34226.

13. King N (2004) The unicellular ancestry of animal development. *Dev Cell* 7(3): 313-325.

14. King N, Hittinger CT & Carroll SB (2003) Evolution of key cell signaling and adhesion protein families predates animal origins. *Science* 301(5631): 361-363.

15. Fairclough SR, Dayel MJ & King N (2010) Multicellular development in a choanoflagellate. *Curr Biol* 20(20): 875.

16. Levin TC & King N (2013) Evidence for sex and recombination in the choanoflagellate salpingoeca rosetta. *Curr Biol* 23(21): 2176-2180.

17. Levin TC, Greaney AJ, Wetzel L & King N (2014) The *rosetteless* gene controls development in the choanoflagellate S. rosetta. *Elife* 3: e04070.

18. Dayel MJ, *et al* (2011) Cell differentiation and morphogenesis in the colony-forming choanoflagellate
Salpingoeca rosetta. *Dev Biol* 357(1): 73-82.

19. Alegado RA, *et al* (2012) A bacterial sulfonolipid triggers multicellular development in the closest living
relatives of animals. *Elife* 1: e00013.

20. Woznica A, *et al* (2016) Bacterial lipids activate, synergize, and inhibit a developmental switch in
choanoflagellates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113(28): 7894-7899.

21. Woznica A, Gerdt JP, Hulett RE, Clardy J & King N (2017) Mating in the closest living relatives of animals is
induced by a bacterial chondroitinase. *Cell* 170(6): 1183.e11.

22. Helfer H & Gladfelter AS (2006) AgSwe1p regulates mitosis in response to morphogenesis and nutrients in
multinucleated *Ashbya gossypii* cells. *Mol Biol Cell* 17(10): 4494-4512.

23. Berepiki A & Read ND (2013) Septins are important for cell polarity, septation and asexual spore formation in
Neurospora crassa and show different patterns of localisation at germ tube tips. *PLoS One* 8(5): e63843.

24. Adam JC, Pringle JR & Peifer M (2000) Evidence for functional differentiation among *Drosophila* septins in
cytokinesis and cellularization. *Mol Biol Cell* 11(9): 3123-3135.

25. Neufeld TP & Rubin GM (1994) The *Drosophila peanut* gene is required for cytokinesis and encodes a protein
similar to yeast putative bud neck filament proteins. *Cell* 77(3): 371-379.

26. O'Neill RS & Clark DV (2013) The *Drosophila melanogaster* septin gene *Sep2* has a redundant function with
the retrogene *Sep5* in imaginal cell proliferation but is essential for oogenesis. *Genome* 56(12): 753-758.

27. Kim SK, *et al* (2010) Planar cell polarity acts through septins to control collective cell movement and
ciliogenesis. *Science* 329(5997): 1337-1340.

28. Hall MP, *et al* (2012) Engineered luciferase reporter from a deep sea shrimp utilizing a novel imidazopyrazinone
substrate. *ACS Chem Biol* 7(11): 1848-1857.

29. Janse CJ, Ramesar J & Waters AP (2006) High-efficiency transfection and drug selection of genetically
transformed blood stages of the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium berghei*. *Nat Protoc* 1(1): 346-356.

30. Caro F, Miller MG & DeRisi JL (2012) Plate-based transfection and culturing technique for genetic
manipulation of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Malar J* 11: 22.

31. Vinayak S, *et al* (2015) Genetic modification of the diarrhoeal pathogen *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Nature*
523(7561): 477-480.

32. Gresch O, *et al* (2004) New non-viral method for gene transfer into primary cells. *Methods* 33(2): 151-163.

33. Hamm A, Krott N, Breibach I, Blindt R & Bosserhoff AK (2002) Efficient transfection method for primary cells.
Tissue Eng 8(2): 235-245.

34. Rols MP & Teissie J (1990) Modulation of electrically induced permeabilization and fusion of Chinese hamster
ovary cells by osmotic pressure. *Biochemistry* 29(19): 4561-4567.

35. Rols MP & Teissie J (1989) Ionic-strength modulation of electrically induced permeabilization and associated
fusion of mammalian cells. *Eur J Biochem* 179(1): 109-115.

36. Ai HW, Henderson JN, Remington SJ & Campbell RE (2006) Directed evolution of a monomeric, bright and
photostable version of *Clavularia* cyan fluorescent protein: Structural characterization and applications in
fluorescence imaging. *Biochem J* 400(3): 531-540.

37. Ai HW, Olenych SG, Wong P, Davidson MW & Campbell RE (2008) Hue-shifted monomeric variants of
Clavularia cyan fluorescent protein: Identification of the molecular determinants of color and applications in
fluorescence imaging. *BMC Biol* 6: 13.

38. Pedelacq JD, Cabantous S, Tran T, Terwilliger TC & Waldo GS (2006) Engineering and characterization of a
superfolder green fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotechnol* 24(1): 79-88.

39. Shaner NC, *et al* (2013) A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from *Branchiostoma*
lanceolatum. *Nat Methods* 10(5): 407-409.

40. Hoi H, *et al* (2013) An engineered monomeric *Zoanthus* sp. yellow fluorescent protein. *Chem Biol* 20(10): 1296-
1304.

41. Shaner NC, *et al* (2008) Improving the photostability of bright monomeric orange and red fluorescent proteins.
Nat Methods 5(6): 545-551.

42. Shaner NC, *et al* (2004) Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from
Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotechnol* 22(12): 1567-1572.

43. Yang TT, Cheng L & Kain SR (1996) Optimized codon usage and chromophore mutations provide enhanced
sensitivity with the green fluorescent protein. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24(22): 4592-4593.

44. Schiestl RH & Gietz RD (1989) High efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells using single stranded nucleic
acids as a carrier. *Curr Genet* 16(5-6): 339-346.

45. Kawai S, Hashimoto W & Murata K (2010) Transformation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and other fungi:
Methods and possible underlying mechanism. *Bioeng Bugs* 1(6): 395-403.

46. Janse CJ, *et al* (2006) High efficiency transfection of *Plasmodium berghei* facilitates novel selection procedures.
Mol Biochem Parasitol 145(1): 60-70.

47. King N, Young SL, Abedin M, Carr M & Leadbeater BS (2009) Visualizing the subcellular localization of actin,
beta-tubulin, and DNA in monosiga brevicollis. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2009(2): pdb.prot5150.

48. Sebe-Pedros A, *et al* (2013) Insights into the origin of metazoan filopodia and microvilli. *Mol Biol Evol* 30(9):
2013-2023.

49. Burkhardt P, *et al* (2014) Evolutionary insights into premetazoan functions of the neuronal protein Homer. *Mol*
Biol Evol 31(9): 2342-2355.

50. Kanda T, Sullivan KF & Wahl GM (1998) Histone-GFP fusion protein enables sensitive analysis of
chromosome dynamics in living mammalian cells. *Curr Biol* 8(7): 377-385.

51. Kalderon D, Roberts BL, Richardson WD & Smith AE (1984) A short amino acid sequence able to specify
nuclear location. *Cell* 39(3 Pt 2): 499-509.

52. Huh WK, *et al* (2003) Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast. *Nature* 425(6959): 686-691.

53. Riedl J, *et al* (2008) Lifeact: A versatile marker to visualize F-actin. *Nat Methods* 5(7): 605-607.

54. Straight AF, Marshall WF, Sedat JW & Murray AW (1997) Mitosis in living budding yeast: Anaphase A but no
metaphase plate. *Science* 277(5325): 574-578.

55. Wang M & Casey PJ (2016) Protein prenylation: Unique fats make their mark on biology. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*
17(2): 110-122.

56. Reid TS, Terry KL, Casey PJ & Beese LS (2004) Crystallographic analysis of CaaX prenyltransferases
complexed with substrates defines rules of protein substrate selectivity. *J Mol Biol* 343(2): 417-433.

57. Dayel MJ & King N (2014) Prey capture and phagocytosis in the choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta*. *PLoS*
One 9(5): e95577.

58. Friedman JR, *et al* (2011) ER tubules mark sites of mitochondrial division. *Science* 334(6054): 358-362.

59. Nunnari J, *et al* (1997) Mitochondrial transmission during mating in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is determined by
mitochondrial fusion and fission and the intramitochondrial segregation of mitochondrial DNA. *Mol Biol Cell* 8(7):
1233-1242.

60. Pan F, Malmberg RL & Momany M (2007) Analysis of septins across kingdoms reveals orthology and new
motifs. *BMC Evol Biol* 7: 103.

61. Nishihama R, Onishi M & Pringle JR (2011) New insights into the phylogenetic distribution and evolutionary
origins of the septins. *Biol Chem* 392(8-9): 681-687.

62. Garcia G, *et al* (2011) Subunit-dependent modulation of septin assembly: Budding yeast septin Shs1 promotes
ring and gauze formation. *J Cell Biol* 195(6): 993-1004.

63. Bertin A, *et al* (2008) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* septins: Supramolecular organization of heterooligomers and
the mechanism of filament assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105(24): 8274-8279.

64. Sirajuddin M, *et al* (2007) Structural insight into filament formation by mammalian septins. *Nature* 449(7160):
311-315.

65. McMurray MA, *et al* (2011) Septin filament formation is essential in budding yeast. *Dev Cell* 20(4): 540-549.

66. Huang YW, *et al* (2008) Mammalian septins are required for phagosome formation. *Mol Biol Cell* 19(4): 1717-
1726.

67. Hu Q, *et al* (2010) A septin diffusion barrier at the base of the primary cilium maintains ciliary membrane
protein distribution. *Science* 329(5990): 436-439.

68. Ford SK & Pringle JR (1991) Cellular morphogenesis in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell cycle: Localization
of the CDC11 gene product and the timing of events at the budding site. *Dev Genet* 12(4): 281-292.

69. Kim HB, Haarer BK & Pringle JR (1991) Cellular morphogenesis in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell cycle:
Localization of the CDC3 gene product and the timing of events at the budding site. *J Cell Biol* 112(4): 535-544.

70. Cid VJ, *et al* (1998) Cell integrity and morphogenesis in a budding yeast septin mutant. *Microbiology* 144: 3463-
3474.

71. Haarer BK & Pringle JR (1987) Immunofluorescence localization of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CDC12 gene
product to the vicinity of the 10-nm filaments in the mother-bud neck. *Mol Cell Biol* 7(10): 3678-3687.

72. Silverman-Gavrila RV, Hales KG & Wilde A (2008) Anillin-mediated targeting of peanut to pseudocleavage
furrows is regulated by the GTPase ran. *Mol Biol Cell* 19(9): 3735-3744.

73. Spiliotis ET, Hunt SJ, Hu Q, Kinoshita M & Nelson WJ (2008) Epithelial polarity requires septin coupling of
vesicle transport to polyglutamylated microtubules. *J Cell Biol* 180(2): 295-303.

74. Fares H, Peifer M & Pringle JR (1995) Localization and possible functions of *Drosophila* septins. *Mol Biol Cell*
6(12): 1843-1859.

75. Bowen JR, Hwang D, Bai X, Roy D & Spiliotis ET (2011) Septin GTPases spatially guide microtubule
organization and plus end dynamics in polarizing epithelia. *J Cell Biol* 194(2): 187-197.

76. Meads T & Schroer TA (1995) Polarity and nucleation of microtubules in polarized epithelial cells. *Cell Motil*
Cytoskeleton 32(4): 273-288.

77. Spiliotis ET (2010) Regulation of microtubule organization and functions by septin GTPases. *Cytoskeleton*
(Hoboken) 67(6): 339-345.

78. Spiliotis ET & Gladfelter AS (2012) Spatial guidance of cell asymmetry: Septin GTPases show the way. *Traffic*
13(2): 195-203.

79. Parra-Acero H, *et al* (2018) Transfection of *Capsaspora owczarzaki*, a close unicellular relative of animals.
Development

80. Suga H & Ruiz-Trillo I (2013) Development of ichthyosporeans sheds light on the origin of metazoan
multicellularity. *Dev Biol* 377(1): 284-292.

81. Li R, Neundorf I & Nitsche F (2018) First efficient transfection in choanoflagellates using cell-penetrating
peptides. *bioRxiv* 260190; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/260190

82. Atkinson MJ & Bingman C (1998) Elemental composition of commercial seasalts. *Journal of Aquariculture and*
Aquatic Sciences 8(2): 39-43.

83. Hallegraeff GM, Anderson DM & Cembella AD (2004) *Manual on Harmful Marine Microalgae*. (UNESCO
Publishing, Paris)

84. Skelton HM, Burkholder JM & Parrow MW (2009) Axenic culture of the heterotrophic dinoflagellate *Pfiesteria*
shumwayae in a semi-defined medium. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 56(1): 73-82.

85. Hiraoka Y, Kawamata K, Haraguchi T & Chikashige Y (2009) Codon usage bias is correlated with gene
expression levels in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genes Cells* 14(4): 499-509.

86. Rice P, Longden I & Bleasby A (2000) EMBOSS: The European Molecular Biology open software suite. *Trends*
Genet 16(6): 276-277.

87. Harris DC (2007) *Quantitative chemical analysis*, (W.H. Freeman and Co., New York, NY),

88. Muranaka T, Kubota S & Oyama T (2013) A single-cell bioluminescence imaging system for monitoring
cellular gene expression in a plant body. *Plant Cell Physiol* 54(12): 2085-2093.

89. Brokaw CJ (1987) A lithium-sensitive regulator of sperm flagellar oscillation is activated by cAMP-dependent
phosphorylation. *J Cell Biol* 105(4): 1789-1798.

90. Gibbons BH & Gibbons IR (2013) Lithium reversibly inhibits microtubule-based motility in sperm flagella.
Nature 309(5968): 560-562.

91. Wilson KS, Gonzalez O, Dutcher SK & Bayly PV (2015) Dynein-deficient flagella respond to increased
viscosity with contrasting changes in power and recovery strokes. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)* 72(9): 477-490.

92. Pate EF & Brokaw CJ (1980) Movement of spermatozoa in viscous environments. *J Exp Biol* 88: 395-397.

93. Schindelin J, *et al* (2012) Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9(7): 676-
682.

94. Cramer LP & Mitchison TJ (1995) Myosin is involved in postmitotic cell spreading. *J Cell Biol* 131(1): 179-
189.

95. Symons MH & Mitchison TJ (1991) Control of actin polymerization in live and permeabilized fibroblasts. *J Cell*
Biol 114(3): 503-513.

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1: Introduction to *Salpingoeca rosetta*, an experimentally-tractable model choanoflagellate.

(A) *S. rosetta* and other choanoflagellates are the closest living relatives of animals. Choanoflagellate is

abbreviated as 'Choano.'. **(B, C)** *S. rosetta* has a complex life history that includes single cells **(B)** and

multicellular rosettes **(C)**. Immunofluorescence in fixed, permeabilized single cells **(B)** highlights the

diagnostic cellular architecture of the choanoflagellate, including a single apical flagellum (f) made of

microtubules (white) surrounded by a collar (co) filled with F-actin (red) of microvilli. Staining for

tubulin also illuminates cortical microtubules (cm) that run in parallel tracks along the cell periphery from

the apical to the basal poles of each cell. DNA staining (blue) highlights the choanoflagellate nucleus (n)

and the nucleoids of bacterial prey (b) present in choanoflagellate cultures. **(C)** In multicellular rosettes

(stained as in panel **B**), the basal poles of cells are oriented toward the interior of the rosette and the apical

flagella point outward.

Figure 2: A robust procedure for transfecting *S. rosetta*

(A) A summary of the step-wise procedure to transfect *S. rosetta* with DNA plasmids. To prepare *S.*

rosetta for transfection, cells were harvested at mid-log phase and then washed to remove bacteria

(depicted as grey ovals). *S. rosetta* cells (depicted with an apical collar, flagellum, and nucleus; n) were

primed for nucleofection (step 1) through washing with a buffer that degrades extracellular material. A

DNA plasmid encoding a highly sensitive luciferase, nanoluc, or a fluorescent protein (Fig. S1 and

Dataset 1) was then transfected into the nucleus with a nucleofector (step 2). Immediately after

transfection, the cells rested in a buffer that promotes membrane closure (step 3). Finally, the cells were

transferred into 1x High Nutrient Media prepared with AK seawater for two days (step 4) before we

assayed the expression of nanoluc or fluorescent proteins from transfected DNA. **(B)** Non-coding DNA

sequences flanking the coding sequences for *S. rosetta elongation factor L* (pEFL), *α-tubulin* (pTub), *β-*

actin (pAct), and *histone H3* (pH3) genes drive the expression of a codon-optimized *nanoluc* reporter

gene. 2.5 μg of pEFL-*nanoluc,* pTub-*nanoluc,* pAct-*nanoluc*, and pH3-*nanoluc* reporter plasmids were

each transfected into *S. rosetta* and the cells were subsequently assayed for luciferase expression. Each

reporter produced a luminescence signal that was at least three orders of magnitude greater than the

detection limit (dotted line) and significantly greater (one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.001$) than the background

from a negative control, in which cells were transfected with an empty pUC19 vector (None). See

Materials and Methods for details on replicates and statistical tests. **(C)** Systematically omitting each step

of the transfection procedure revealed critical steps for the delivery and expression of plasmid DNA in *S.*

rosetta cells. Transfecting cells with 2.5 μg of pH3-*nanoluc* reporter (row b) produced a luciferase signal

that was three orders of magnitude greater than the background detected from cells transfected without

the reporter plasmid (row a) and provided a baseline for comparison. Omitting the priming step by

incubating cells in artificial seawater instead of priming buffer (row c), decreased luciferase signal by

over two orders of magnitude. Nucleofection without carrier DNA (row d) or the application of the

CM156 electrical pulse (row e) resulted in a complete loss of luciferase signal, indicating that both were

essential for successful transfection. Directly transferring cells to sea water after nucleofection instead of

a buffer that promotes membrane resealing during the rest step (row f) decreased the luciferase signal

almost ten-fold. Finally, despite the fact that most prey bacteria were washed out prior to nucleofection,

supplementing transfected cells with fresh prey bacteria at the start of the recovery step had seemingly

little effect on transfection success (row g), probably due to the persistence of a small number of live

bacteria throughout the nucleofection procedure. **(D and E)** Fluorescent reporters mark transfected cells.

Live cells transfected with a pAct-m*Wasabi* reporter construct could be observed by fluorescence

microscopy **(D)** and quantified by flow cytometry **(E)**. Untransfected cells were used to draw a gate that

includes 99.99% of cells, or four-standard deviations above the mean fluorescence value (left). That same

gate was applied to a population of transfected cells (right) to categorize the mWasabi- population. Cells

with higher values of green fluorescence that lie outside of the mWasabi- gate are categorized as

mWasabi+. The efficiency of transformation, as quantified by three independent flow cytometry

experiments, was ~1% in a population of 1 million cells.

Figure 3: Fluorescent markers illuminate the cell biology of *S. rosetta* in live cells.

Fluorescent subcellular markers expressed from reporter plasmids in live *S. rosetta* cells were constructed

by fusing *mCherry* in frame to genes encoding localization peptides and proteins (Datasets S1 and S3).

Twenty-four hours after transfecting with 5 μg of each plasmid, live cells were visualized by

superresolution microscopy with a Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan. In panels **A** - **I**, the cells are oriented with

the apical flagellum at the top and the nucleus, when included in the plane of focus (**A'' - F''**), is

indicated with a dotted white line. **(A)** Without localization signals, fluorescent proteins (mCherry and

mTFP1) were distributed throughout the cell with a slight enrichment in the nucleus and complete

exclusion from other membrane bound compartments. **(B and C)** A fusion of mCherry to the carboxy

terminus of Histone H3 **(B)** or the amino terminus of a simian virus 40 nuclear localization signal (NLS;

C) was confined to the nucleus, whereas mCherry fused to the carboxy terminus of elongation factor L

(EFL; **D)** was excluded from the nucleus and restricted to the cytosol. **(E)** The endoplasmic reticulum

(ER) was highlighted by fusing the signal sequence from Rosetteless (PTSG_03555) and an ER retention

sequence (HDEL from PTSG_07223) to the amino and carboxy termini of mCherry, respectively. **(F)** The

mitochondrial network was highlighted by fusing a targeting sequence from *S. cerevisiae* CoxIV to the

amino terminus of mCherry. **(G)** A Lifeact peptide fused to the amino terminus of mCherry marked

filamentous actin that forms filipodia (arrowhead) and actin filaments in the cell body that coalesce to

form the collar (arrow). **(H)** Fusing mCherry to the amino terminus of α-Tubulin highlighted parallel

tracks of microtubules (arrowhead) that extended subcortically from the apical pole to the basal pole of

cells and microtubules that emerged from the apical pole of the cell body to form the flagellum. The

flagellum undulates rapidly in live cells and can be difficult to image in total; in this cell the most distal

tip of the flagellum is captured in the plane of focus (arrow). **(I)** A plasma membrane marker constructed

by fusing a geranyl-geranylation sequence (PTSG_00306) to the carboxy terminus of mCherry outlined

the entire cell shape, including the collar, flagellum, and cell body. The membrane marker also weakly

highlighted the Golgi (arrowhead). The food vacuole (asterisk) was often visualized due to

autofluorescence from ingested bacteria or through accumulation of the fluorescent markers in the food

vacuole, perhaps through autophagy. **(J - L)** Orthogonal views along the xy and xz axes from confocal

micrographs showed fine details of cell architecture that were highlighted with cytoskeletal and cell

membrane markers. In xz views, each cell is oriented with the flagellum facing toward the top of the

micrograph; the flagella appeared shorter and blurred because of the sigmoidal shape of the flagellar beat.

Lifeact **(J)** and plasma membrane **(K)** markers showed the microvilli (arrowheads). **(L)** The α-tubulin

marker showed the subcortical tracks of microtubules at the cell periphery (arrowhead) and the

microtubule organizing center (arrow).

Figure 4: Septins assemble at the basal pole of *S. rosetta* cells.

(A) *Sr*Septin2 has the prototypical protein domain architecture of septins, with an amino-terminal Septin

G-domain that mediates filament formation and a carboxy terminal coiled-coil domain that mediates

higher order assemblies of septin filaments. To investigate the localization of *Sr*Septin2, we engineered

fusions with mTFP1 at the amino terminus and created a truncation of the coiled-coil domain (ΔCC). **(B)**

A mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2 fusion protein localized to the basal pole of unicellular cells (**B''**, arrowhead). Co-

transfecting cells with mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2 and a plasma membrane marker revealed *Sr*Septin2 distributed

throughout the cytosol and enriched at the basal pole in confocal slices through the center of the cell. **(C)**

mTFP1-*Sr*Septin6 mirrored the enrichment of mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2 at the basal pole (**C''**, arrowhead). The

overlapping localization of *Sr*Septin2 and *Sr*Septin6 was compatible with these proteins forming

heteromeric filaments with each other and other septin paralogs. **(D)** Consistent with the coiled-coil

domain mediating the localization of septins through the formation of higher-order structures,

*Sr*Septin2ΔCC localized throughout the cytoplasm, with no visible enrichment at the basal pole.

Surprisingly, the deletion also caused ectopic filaments (**D''**; arrowheads) to form around membrane-

bound vesicles that were, based on their size and position in the cell, presumably food vacuoles. **(E)** In

rosettes, mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2 localized to points of cell-cell contact corresponding to the basal poles of cells

(**E''**; arrowhead). (**F**) As in single cells, mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2ΔCC in rosettes was distributed throughout the

cytosol and formed ectopic filaments (**F''**; arrowheads) around vacuoles. In panels **E** and **F**, *S. rosetta*

single cells were transfected as in panels **B** and **C**, immediately induced to develop into rosettes (20), and

imaged the next day. (**G**) *Sr*Septin2 intercalated between microtubules at the basal pole of the cell. Co-

transfecting cells with mTFP1-*Sr*Septin2 and the α-tubulin marker showed *Sr*Septin2 filaments

intercalated between microtubules at the basal pole in confocal slices that capture the cell cortex to easily

visualize microtubule tracks. (**G'**, **G''**, **G'''**; box). **G''''** shows a 4x magnification of the basal pole of a

representative cell (boxed region from **G'**, **G''**, **G'''**). In panels B-F, autofluorescence from ingested

bacteria or through accumulation of the fluorescent markers highlights the food vacuole (asterisk).

Figure 1

Introduction to *Salpingoeca rosetta*, an experimentally-tractable model choanoflagellate.

Figure 2

A robust procedure to transfect *Salpingoeca rosetta*

Figure 3

Fluorescent markers illuminate the cell biology of *S. rosetta* in live cells.

Figure 4

*Sr*Septin2 localizes to the basal pole of *S. rosetta* cells