PIKfyve/Fab1 is required for efficient V-ATPase delivery to phagosomes, phagosomal killing, and restriction of *Legionella* infection

Catherine M. Buckley[1,2], Victoria L. Heath[3], Aurélie Guého[4], Stephen K. Dove[5], Robert H. Michell[5], Roger Meier[6#], Hubert Hilbi[7], Thierry Soldati[4], Robert H. Insall[8*] and Jason S. King[1,2*]

[1] Centre for Membrane Interactions and Dynamics, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield. S10 2TN, UK

[2] Bateson Centre, University of Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield. S10 2TN, UK

[3] Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, Institute for Biomedical Research, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

[4] Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland

[5] School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham. B15 2TT, UK

[6] Institute of Molecular Life Sciences, University of Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

[7] Institute of Medical Microbiology, University of Zürich, CH-8006 Zürich, Switzerland

[8] CRUK Beatson Institute, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK

[#] Present address: Scientific Center for Optical and Electron Microscopy, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Jason.King@sheffield.ac.uk

R.Insall@beatson.gla.ac.uk

Abstract

By engulfing potentially harmful microbes, professional phagocytes are continually at risk from intracellular pathogens. To avoid becoming infected, the host must kill pathogens within the phagosome before they can escape or establish a survival niche. Here, we analyse the role of the phosphoinositide (PI) 5-kinase PIKfyve in phagosome maturation and killing, using the amoeba and model phagocyte *Dictyostelium discoideum*.

By binding to phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P) and phosphorylating it to $PI(3,5)P_2$, PIKfyve plays important, but poorly understood, roles in vesicular trafficking. Here we show that PIKfyve activity is essential during early phagosome maturation. Disruption of *PIKfyve* inhibited delivery of the vacuolar V-ATPase, dramatically reduced the ability of *Dictyostelium* cells to acidify newly-formed phagosomes. Consequently, *PIKfyve*-null cells were unable to digest phagosomal contents and generate an effective antimicrobial environment. $PI(3,5)P_2$ is therefore essential for phagocytes to efficiently kill captured bacteria and we demonstrate that cells lacking *PIKfyve* are more susceptible to infection by the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila.* We conclude that PIKfyve-catalysed $PI(3,5)P_2$ production plays a crucial and general role in ensuring early phagosomal maturation, thus protecting host cells from diverse pathogenic microbes.

Author summary

Cells that capture or eat bacteria must swiftly kill them to prevent potential pathogens surviving long enough to escape and establish an infection. This is achieved by the rapid delivery of components that produce an antimicrobial environment in the phagosome, the compartment containing the captured microbe. This is essential both for the function of immune cells and for amoebae that feed on bacteria in their environment. Here, we identify a new signalling pathway that regulates the delivery of antimicrobial components to the phagosome, and show that bacteria survive over three times as long within the host if this pathway is disabled. We show that this is of general importance for killing a wide range of pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria, and that it is physiologically important for cells to prevent infection by the opportunistic human pathogen *Legionella*.

Introduction

It is essential for professional phagocytes to kill their prey rapidly and efficiently to prevent the establishment of infections and disease. Multiple mechanisms are employed to achieve this and once phagosomes have been internalised they quickly become acidified and acquire reactive oxygen species, antimicrobial peptides and acid hydrolases. The timely and regulated delivery of these components is vital to protect the host from intracellular pathogens, but is incompletely understood.

After the phagosome is internalised, specific effector proteins are recruited to its cytoplasmic surface by interacting with several inositol phospholipids (PIPs) that play important roles in regulating vesicle trafficking and controlling maturation. The effectors of each PIP regulate particular aspects of compartment identity, membrane trafficking and endosomal maturation [1, 2]. Phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI(3)P), made by the class III PI 3-kinase VPS34, is one of the first PIPs to accumulate on vesicles after endocytosis, and it recruits proteins containing FYVE (Fab1, YOTB, Vac1 and EEA1) and PX domains – including, respectively, the characteristic early endosome markers EEA1 and Hrs, and sorting nexins [3, 4]. Also recruited to early endosomes by its FYVE domain is PIKfyve (known as Fab1 in yeast) [5], a phosphoinositide 5-kinase that phosphorylates PI(3)P to phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate ($PI(3,5)P_2$) [6-10]. The roles of PI(3)P are well explored, but the formation of $PI(3,5)P_2$ and the identities and functions of its various effector proteins are less well understood [11-13]. $PI(3,5)P_2$ is thought to accumulate predominantly on late endosomes, and disruption of PIKfyve activity leads to multiple endocytic defects, including gross endosomal enlargement and accumulation of autophagosomes [14-19]. Recent research has begun to reveal important physiological roles of PIKfyve in a range of cell types and animals although mechanistic details remain sparse [20-24].

Like classical endocytosis, phagosome maturation is regulated by PIPs [25]. Phagosomes accumulate PI(3)P immediately after closure, and this is required for their subsequent maturation [26-28]. The recent identification of several PIKfyve inhibitors, including apilimod and YM201636 [29, 30], has allowed researchers to demonstrate the importance of PI(3)P to $PI(3,5)P_2$ conversion for phagosomal maturation in macrophages [31, 32]. However, there are conflicting reports on the roles of PIKfyve during key maturation steps such as acidification and degradation of bacteria, and its functional role remains subject to much debate.

To understand the function and physiological significance of PIKfyve, we have investigated its role in phagosome maturation and pathogen killing in the model organism *Dictyostelium discoideum,* a soil-dwelling amoeba and professional phagocyte that feeds on bacteria. *Dictyostelium* PIPs are unusual, with the lipid chain joined to the *sn*-1-position of the glycerol backbone by an ether, rather than

ester, linkage: these PIPs should correctly be named as derivatives of plasmanylinositol rather than

phosphatidylinositol [33]. This however, appears to make no difference to downstream functions,

which are dictated by interactions with the inositol polyphosphate headgroup. *Dictyostelium* has

thus been an effective model for analysis of phosphoinositide signalling [34-37]. For convenience,

both the mammalian and *Dictyostelium* inositol phospholipids are referees to as PIPs hereafter.

We find that genetic or pharmacological disruption of PIKfyve activity in *Dictyostelium* leads to a

swollen endosomal phenotype reminiscent of defects in macrophages, and we provide a detailed

analysis of phagosome maturation. We show that at least some of the defects in PIKfyve-deficient

phagosome maturation are due to reduced recruitment of the proton-pumping vacuolar (V-ATPase).

Furthermore, we provide the first demonstration that PIKfyve is required for the efficient killing of

phagocytosed bacteria and for restricting the intracellular growth of the pathogen *Legionella*

pneumophila.

Results

PIKfyve- null cells have swollen endosomes

The *Dictyostelium* genome contains a single orthologue of *PIKfyve* (*PIP5K3*). Like the mammalian and yeast proteins, *Dictyostelium* PIKfyve contains an N-terminal FYVE domain, a CCT (chaperonin Cpn60/TCP1)-like chaperone domain, a PIKfyve-unique cysteine/histidine-rich domain and a C-terminal PIP kinase domain [6]. In order to investigate the role of PI(3,5)P$_2$ in *Dictyostelium* we disrupted the *PIKfyve* gene by inserting a blasticidin resistance cassette and deleting a portion of the central PIKfyve-unique region (Supplementary Figure 1). To control for differences in genetic backgrounds, mutants were generated in both Ax3 and Ax2 axenic strains.

While the unusual ether-linked chemistry of the *Dictyostelium* inositol phospholipids prevented direct measurement of PI(3,5)P$_2$ loss by either the standard method of methanolysis followed by HPLC of deacylation products or mass spectrometry (P.T. Hawkins, personal communication), we found that each mutant strain was highly vacuolated (Figure 1A and B), resembling the swollen vesicle phenotype observed upon *PIKfyve* knockdown or inhibition in mammalian cells, *C. elegans*, *S. cerevisiae* and *D. melanogaster* [9, 14, 19, 38]. Identical results were also obtained with *PIKfyve-* cells generated in an Ax2 background and this effect was phenocopied by incubation with the PIKfyve-specific inhibitor apilimod [29], confirming that this phenotype was due to deficient PI(3,5)P$_2$ synthesis (Figure 1C).

When amoebae were hypotonically stressed in phosphate buffer, we observed a sustained increase in vacuolation for at least 5 hours. However, after 24 hours, when the cells became polarized (indicating the onset of starvation-induced development), *PIKfyve-* mutants became indistinguishable from the random integrant and parental controls (Figure 1B). This is most likely due to the well-documented suppression of fluid-phase uptake that occurs when *Dictyostelium* cells enter starvation-induced development [39, 40]. Consistent with this, *PIKfyve* null cells had no observable delay or other defects in development, and formed morphologically normal fruiting bodies with viable spores (Supplementary figure 2). Disruption of PI(3,5)P$_2$ synthesis therefore leads to endocytic defects, but it is not required for *Dictyostelium* development.

When amoebae were hypotonically stressed in phosphate buffer, we observed a sustained increase in vacuolation for at least 5 hours. However, after 24 hours, when the cells became polarized (indicating the onset of starvation-induced development), *PIKfyve*$^-$ mutants became indistinguishable from the random integrant and parental controls (Figure 1B). This is most likely due to the well-documented suppression of fluid-phase uptake that occurs when *Dictyostelium* cells enter starvation-induced development [39, 40]. Consistent with this, *PIKfyve*-null cells showed no

128 observable delay or other defects in development, and formed morphologically normal fruiting

129 bodies with viable spores (Supplementary Figure 2). We conclude that loss of PIKfyve activity

130 therefore leads to endocytic defects, but it is not required for *Dictyostelium* development.

131 PIKfyve is important for phagocytic growth but not uptake

132 Laboratory strains of *Dictyostelium* can obtain nutrients either by macropinocytosis of liquid (axenic)

133 medium or by phagocytosis of bacteria. Whilst *PIKfyve-* null cells had normal rates of endocytosis

134 and exocytosis, axenic growth was slower than for wild-type cells, with mutants doubling every 16

135 hours compared to 10 hours for the controls (Figure 2A-C)..

136  In contrast, growth on bacteria was more strongly affected, and *PIKfyve* null cells formed much

137 smaller colonies on lawns of avirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Figure 2D)*.* To confirm this defect and

138 test its generality, we screened *PIKfyve*-null cells for growth on a palette of diverse Gram-positive

139 and Gram-negative bacteria, including both pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains. PIKfyve was

140 required for efficient growth in all cases, indicating a general role for PI(3,5)P$_2$ synthesis for

141 phagocytic growth (Figure 2E and F).

142 Defective growth on bacteria could be due to disruption of either capture, killing or digestion. To

143 measure if phagocytic uptake was affected by PIKfyve disruption, we monitored the ability of

144 *Dictyostelium* cells to reduce the turbidity of an *E. coli* suspension over time (Figure 3A). No

145 difference was observed in the ability of *PIKfyve* null cells to phagocytose bacteria compared to the

146 parental strain. To confirm this, we also measured phagocytosis of fluorescent beads (Figure 3B) and

147 GFP-expressing *Mycobacterium smegmatis* (Figure 3C) by flow cytometry and found no defect in

148 uptake by *PIKfyve* null cells. As bacteria are efficiently captured, PIKfyve must therefore be

149 important for phagosome processing.

150 PIKfyve does not regulate PI(3)P dynamics in *Dictyostelium*

151 PI(3)P is one of the best characterized early markers of maturing endosomes and phagosomes. In

152 both mammalian macrophages and in *Dictyostelium.* Immediately following particle internalization,

153 PI(3)P is generated on the phagosome by the class III PI 3-kinase VPS34 [26, 32, 41] and interacts

154 with a number of important regulators of maturation such as Rab5 [42]. PIKfyve is both recruited by

155 PI(3)P and phosphorylates it, forming PI(3,5)P$_2$. Absence of *PIKfyve* could perturb phagosome

156 maturation by reducing PI(3)P consumption, by eliminating the actions of PI(3,5)P$_2$, or both. Indeed,

157 studies in macrophages indicate that inhibition of PIKfyve can cause prolonged PI(3)P signalling [32].

We therefore investigated the contribution of PIKfyve to PI(3)P dynamics in *Dictyostelium*. PI(3)P can

be visualized in cells using the well-characterised reporter GFP-2xFYVE [34, 43]. Expression of GFP-

2xFYVE in control cells demonstrated PI(3)P is present on *Dictyostelium* phagosomes following

engulfment, consistent with previous reports [41] (Figure 4A). However, we found defects in neither

initial recruitment, nor dissociation of this reporter in *PIKfyve* mutants (Figure 4A and B). Therefore,

PIKfyve activity does not significantly contribute to PI(3)P turnover in these cells. The defects in

growth, and the swollen endosomes are thus due to lack of $PI(3,5)P_2$ synthesis rather than prolonged

accumulation of PI(3)P.

PIKfyve **deficient phagosomes have defective acidification and digestion**

Next we investigated how absence of PIKfyve affects phagosomal maturation. One of the first stages

of maturation is the acquisition of the proton-pumping V-ATPase, leading to rapid acidification [44].

The influence of PIKfyve on endosomal pH regulation, however, remains controversial. Whilst

studies in *C. elegans,* plants and mammalian epithelial cells have shown that PIKfyve is required for

efficient acidification [38, 45-48], others have shown that RAW 264.7 macrophages are still able to

acidify their phagosomes to at least pH 5.5 when PIKfyve is inhibited [32].

We therefore assessed the ability of *Dictystelium PIKfyve*-null cells to acidify their phagosomes by

measuring the relative fluorescence of beads labelled with both the pH-sensitive FITC and the pH-

insensitive Alexa 594 succinimidyl ester [49]. The phagosomes of *PIKfyve*-null cells acidified much

more slowly than wild-type cells and never achieved as low a pH as those of wild-type cells (Figure

4C).

Proper degradation of internalised material requires both acidification and the presence of

proteases. We measured phagosomal proteolysis by following the increase in fluorescence due to

the cleavage and unquenching of DQ-BSA coupled to beads [49] (Figure 4D). Strikingly, phagosomes

of *PIKfyve*-null cells exhibited an almost complete loss of proteolytic activity, likely due to a

combination of delayed delivery of hydrolytic enzymes and their lower activity in inadequately

acidified phagosomes.

Phagosomal acidification is driven by the rapid recruitment and activity of the V-ATPase. The V-

ATPase consists of V_0 (transmembrane) and V_1 (peripheral) sub-complexes. It has previously been

shown that $PI(3,5)P_2$ can regulate V_0-V_1 assembly at the yeast vacuole allowing dynamic regulation of

activity [50]. To differentiate between defective V-ATPase delivery and assembly we expressed GFP-

fusions of both V_0 and V_1 subunits (GFP-VatM and VatB-GFP respectively) and observed their

recruitment to nascent phagosomes by fluorescence microscopy [51]. Both proteins were expressed

equally in wild-type and mutant cells (Supplementary figure 3) and by observing phagocytosis of pH-

sensitive pHrodo-labelled yeast we were also able to simultaneously monitor acidification.

Both GFP-VatM and VatB-GFP began accumulating on phagosomes immediately following

internalisation both in *PIKfyve*[-] and control cells, but the rate of accumulation was substantially

reduced in the mutants and only reached about half of the final levels observed in wild-type cells

(Figure 5A-D). Defective acidification was again demonstrated by a reduced increase in pHrodo

fluorescence (Figure 5E). It should be noted that expression of VatB-GFP (but not GFP-VatM) caused

a partial, but dominant, inhibition of acidification in this assay (Supplementary Figure 3A). The

observation that both V-ATPase components were equally affected indicates that PIKfyve is required

for delivery of the entire V-ATPase to the phagosome, rather than specifically affecting V_0-V_1

association.

PIKfyve is essential for efficient killing of bacteria

Acidification and proteolysis are important mechanisms used by phagocytes to kill engulfed

microbes. We therefore asked whether PIKfyve was physiologically important for killing. Bacterial

death leads to membrane permeabilisation and intracellular acidification, so survival time within

phagosomes can be inferred by observing the phagocytosis and subsequent quenching of GFP

expressed by a non-pathogenic *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain [52]. In this assay, the phagocytised

bacteria survived for more than three times longer in *PIKfyve*^{-} cells (median survival 12 min) than in

wild-type cells (3.5 min) (Figure 6A and B). These benign bacteria did however eventually die in

PIKfyve null cells, indicating either that the residual acidification is eventually sufficient, or other

elements of the complex bacterial killing machinery remain functional in the *PIKfyve*[-] phagosomes.

PIKfyve activity restricts the persistence of *Legionella* infection

Many pathogenic bacteria infect host immune cells by manipulating phagosome maturation to

establish a replication-permissive niche or to escape into the cytosol. To avoid such infection, host

cells must kill such pathogens rapidly. PIKfyve may therefore be critical to protect host cells from

infections.

Legionella pneumophila is a Gram-negative opportunistic human pathogen that normally lives in the

environment by establishing replicative niches inside amoebae such as *Acanthamoeba.* This process

can be replicated in the laboratory using *Dictyostelium* as an experimental host [53]. Following its

phagocytosis, *Legionella* can disrupt normal phagosomal maturation and form a unique *Legionella*-

containing vacuole (LCV). This requires the Icm/Dot (Intracellular multiplication/defective for

organelle trafficking) type IV secretion system that delivers a large number of bacterial effector proteins into the host (reviewed in [54]). These effectors modify many host signalling and trafficking pathways, one of which is to prevent the nascent *Legionella* phagosome from fusing with lysosomes [55].

Phosphoinositide signalling is heavily implicated in *Legionella* pathogenesis. *Legionella*-containing phagosomes rapidly accumulate PI(3)P. Its concentration then declines within 2 hours and PI(4)P accumulates [56], and some of the effectors introduced through the Icm/Dot system bind PI(3)P or PI(4)P [57-63]. The role of PI(3,5)P$_2$ in *Legionella* infection however is yet to be investigated. We therefore tested whether PIKfyve was beneficial, or detrimental for the host to control *Legionella* infection.

When we monitored the initial phase of infection, we found that both parental and *PIKfyve*$^-$ *Dictyostelium* phagocytised many more of the virulent wild-type *L. pneumophila* strain (JR32) than an avirulent strain that is defective in type IV secretion (*ΔicmT*) [64] (Figure 7A). These results are in agreement with the previous finding that expression of the Icm/Dot T4SS promotes uptake of *L. pneumophila* [65]. To compensate for this difference in bacterial uptake, subsequent experiments employed a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100 for the *ΔicmT* strain, and an MOI of 1 for the wild-type JR32.

We next investigated whether *L. pneumophila* continue to multiply in, or are killed by, their *Dictyostelium* hosts over several days. When viable bacteria released from the infected cells were measured, both parental and *PIKfyve*$^-$ *Dictyostelium* almost eliminated the burden of avirulent *ΔicmT* *Legionella* during the 6 days following infection (Fig 7B, note that the CFUs scales in Figs. 7B and 7C are logarithmic). This was confirmed by observing a similar decline in the number of viable intracellular bacteria that were released when the amoebae were lysed (Figure 7C).

However, the results with wild-type *Legionella* were very different: many more survived in *PIKfyve*$^-$ than in wild-type amoebae (Figure 7C) and were released into the medium in larger numbers (Figure 7B). Further confirmation of the enhanced survival of *Legionella* in *PIKfyve*$^-$ amoebae was obtained by flow cytometric analysis of the bacterial load within *Dictyostelium* cells infected with GFP-expressing *Legionella* (either wild-type or *ΔicmT*). The only *Dictyostelium* cells that accumulated substantial GFP fluorescent over several days were those infected by wild-type *Legionella*, and this happened sooner and to a greater degree in the *PIKfyve*-null cells (Figure 7D, central panels).

252 Manipulation of PIKfyve-mediated signalling is therefore not required for *L. pneumophila* to pervert

253 phagosome maturation and replicate intracellularly. Rather, the role of PIKfyve in ensuring rapid

254 phagosomal acidification and digestion is crucial to prevent *Legionella*, and presumably other

255 pathogens, from surviving and establishing a permissive niche.

Discussion

In this study, we have characterised the role of PIKfyve during phagosome maturation using the model phagocyte *D. discoideum.* The roles of PI 3-kinases and PI(3)P signalling during phagosome formation and early maturation have been studied extensively, but the subsequent actions of PIKfvye and roles of PI(3,5)P$_2$ and PI(5)P are less well understood [2, 25]. In non-phagocytic cells such as fibroblasts and yeast, PI(3,5)P$_2$ production is important for endosomal fission and fragmentation of endolysosomal compartments [9, 17, 38, 46]. PIKfyve has also been shown to regulate macropinosome maturation, and be functionally important for intracellular replication of both the Vaccinia virus and *Salmonella* [47, 66, 67]. In this paper we show that PIKfyve is critical to ensure efficient phagosomal acidification and proteolysis, and demonstrate its physiological importance in the killing of bacteria and in suppression of intracellular pathogen survival.

Complex effects of PIKfyve inhibition on PIP-mediated signalling have hampered clear interpretation of PIKfyve function in some mammalian studies. For example, some studies report that disruption of PIKfyve prolonged PI(3)P-mediated signalling in addition to lack of PI(3,5)P$_2$ production [29, 31], making it difficult to determine which phosphoinositide change is responsible for the observed phenotypes. However, in agreement with other reports [17, 46], we found that deletion of PIKfyve had no impact on phagosomal PI(3)P dynamics in *Dictyostelium*. The observed defects in phagosome maturation appear therefore to be due to lack of PI(3,5)P$_2$ formation and not prolonged PI(3)P signalling in this system.

The role of PIKfyve in lysosomal acidification and degradation is currently disputed. Several studies which have measured vesicular pH at a single time point have shown that PIKfyve is required for acidification [9, 38, 46, 48], but others found that disruption of PIKfyve had little effect on phagosomal pH [32, 67, 68]. In contrast, we followed the temporal dynamics of V-ATPase delivery and of phagosomal acidification and proteolysis, and showed that V-ATPase delivery to PIKfyve-deficient phagosomes was substantially decreased and delayed, with consequent defects on initial acidification and proteolysis. PI(3,5)P$_2$ has also been proposed to regulate V-ATPase V$_0$-V$_1$ subcomplex association dynamically at the yeast vacuole [50], but we found no evidence for this during *Dictyostelium* phagosome maturation.

It is still not clear how PIKfyve-generated PI(3,5)P$_2$ regulates V-ATPase trafficking, and few PI(3,5)P$_2$ effectors are known. One of these is the lysosomal cation channel TRPML1/mucolipin, which is specifically activated by PI(3,5)P$_2$ [69]. This interaction was recently shown to underlie the role of PIKfyve in macropinosome fragmentation, although not acidification [67], and TRPML1 is also required for phagosome-lysosome fusion [70]. Additionally, PI(3,5)P$_2$ and TRPML1 have been

proposed to mediate interactions between lysosomes and microtubules [71]. Therefore PIKfyve may

drive V-ATPase delivery to phagosomes by both microtubule-directed trafficking and by regulating

fission. However the sole mucolipin orthologue in *Dictyostelium* is only recruited to phagosomes

much later, during their post-lysosomal phase, and its disruption influences exocytosis rather than

acidification [72].

Rapid phagosomal acidification and proteolysis is key for phagocytes to kill internalised bacteria.

Many clinically relevant opportunistic pathogens, such as *L. pneumophila* [54, 73], *Burkholderia*

cenocepacia [74] and *Cryptococcus neoformans* [75] have developed the ability to subvert normal

phagosome maturation in order to maintain a permissive niche inside host phagocytes. This is likely

to have evolved from interactions with their environmental predators such as amoebae [76-78].

L. pneumophila are phagocytosed in the lung by alveolar macrophages, and after internalisation

employ effectors secreted via its type IV secretion system, some of which interfere with PI(3)P-

signalling, to inhibit phagosome maturation [58, 79, 80]. We have shown that the lipid products of

PIKfyve are not required for *L. pneumophila* to establish an intracellular replication niche. Rather, *L.*

pneumophila survive much better in PIKfyve-deficient cells, suggesting that $PI(3,5)P_2$ helps

Dictyostelium to eliminate, rather than harbour, *Legionella*. This is in contrast to the non-phagocytic

invasion of epithelia that occurs during *Salmonella* infection when PIKfyve activity is necessary to

promote the generation of a specialised survival niche within which the bacteria replicate [47].

Salmonella has therefore evolved a specialised role for the products of PIKfyve in generating a

survival niche, whereas *Legionella* and other bacteria are suppressed by PIKfyve-driven rapid

phagosomal maturation*.

The molecular arms race between host and pathogens is complex and hugely important. The very

early events of phagosome maturation are critical in this competition; host cells aim to kill their prey

swiftly whilst pathogens try to survive long enough to escape. Although its molecular effectors

remain unclear, PIKfyve and its products are crucial to tip the balance in favour of the host, providing

a general mechanism to ensure efficient antimicrobial activity.

Materials and Methods

Cell strains and culture

Dictyostelium discoideum cells were grown in adherent culture in plastic Petri dishes in HL5 medium (Formedium) at 22°C. *PIKfyve* null mutants were generated in both Ax2 and Ax3 backgrounds, with appropriate wild-type controls used in each case. Cells were transformed by electroporation and transformants selected in 20 µg/ml hygromycin (Invitrogen) or 10 µg/ml G418 (Sigma). Apilimod was from United States Biological.

Growth in liquid culture was measured by seeding log phase cells in a 6 well plate and counting cells every 12 hours using a haemocytometer. Growth on bacteria was determined by plating ~10 *Dictyostelium* cells on SM agar plates (Formedium) spread with a lawn of *K. pneumophila*.

Plaque assays were performed as previously described [81]. Briefly, serial dilution of *Dictyostelium* cells ($10-10^4$) were placed on bacterial lawns and grown until visible colonies were obtained. The bacterial strains were kindly provided by Pierre Cosson and were: *K. pneumoniae* laboratory strain and 52145 isogenic mutant (Benghezal et al., 2006), the isogenic *P. aeruginosa* strains PT5 and PT531 (*rhlR-lasR* avirulent mutant) (Cosson et al., 2002), *E.coli* DH5α (Fisher Scientific), *E. coli* B/r (Gerisch, 1959), non-sporulating *B. subtilis* 36.1 (Ratner and Newell, 1978), and *M. luteus* (Wilczynska and Fisher, 1994). An avirulent strain of *K. pneumophila* was obtained from ATCC (Strain no. 51697).

The *Dictyostelium* development was performed by spreading 10^7 amoebae on nitrocellulose filters (47 mm Millipore) on top of absorbent discs pre-soaked in KK2 (0.1 M potassium phosphate pH 6.1) and images were taken at 20 hours [82].

Gene disruption and molecular biology

PIKfyve null cells in an Ax2 background were generated by gene disruption using homologous recombination. A blasticidin knockout cassette was made by amplifying a 5' flanking sequence of the *PIKfyve* gene (DDB_G0279149) (primers: fw- GGTAGATGTTTAGGTGGTGAAGT, rv- gatagctctgcctactgaagCGAGTGGTGGAATTCATAAAGG) and 3' flanking sequence (primers: fw- ctactggagtatccaagctgCCATTCAAGATAGACCAACCAATAG, rv- AGAATCAGAATAAACATCACCACC). These primers contained cross over sequences (in lower case) allowing a LoxP-flanked blasticidin resistance cassette (from pDM1079, a kind gift from Douwe Veltman) to be inserted between the two arms.

For *PIKfyve* gene disruption in an Ax3 background a knockout cassette was constructed in pBluescript by sequentially cloning fragment I (amplified by TAGTAGGAGCTCGGATCCGGTAGATGTTTAGGTGGTGAAGTTTTACCAAC and

TAGTAGTCTAGACGAGTGGTGGAATTCATAAAGGTACGTTCAT) and fragment II (amplified by

TAGTAGAAGCTTCCATTCAAGATAGACCAACCAATAGTAGTCCTGC and

TAGTAGGGTACCGGATCCCAGTGTGTAAATGAGAATCAGAATAAACATCACC). The blasticidin resistance

gene was inserted between fragment I and II as a XbaI – HindIII fragment derived from pBSRδBam

[83]. Both constructs were linearised, electroporated into cells and colonies were screened by PCR.

GFP-2xFYVE was expressed using pJSK489 [34], GFP-PH$_{CRAC}$ with pDM631 [84] and GFP-VatM and

VatB-GFP with pMJC25 and pMJC31, respectively [85].

Microscopy and image analysis

Fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Ultraview VoX spinning disk confocal

microscope running on an Olympus 1x81 body with an UplanSApo 60x oil immersion objective (NA

1.4). Images were captured on a Hamamatsu C9100-50 EM-CCD camera using Volocity software by

illuminating cells with 488 nm and 594 nm laser lines. Quantification was performed using Image J

(https://imagej.nih.gov).

To image PI(3)P dynamics, cells were incubated in HL5 medium at 4 °C for 5 mins before addition of

10 µl of washed 3 µm latex beads (Sigma) and centrifugation at 280 x g for 10 seconds in glass-

bottomed dishes (Mat-Tek). Dishes were removed from ice and incubated at room temperature for

5 mins before imaging. Images were taken every 30 s across 3 fields of view for up to 30 mins.

V-ATPase recruitment and acidification was performed using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* labelled with

pHrodo red (Life Technologies) as per the manufacturers instructions. *Dictyostelium* cells in HL5

medium were incubated with 1×10^7 yeast per 3 cm dish, and allowed to settle for 10 mins before the

medium was removed and cells were gently compressed under a 1% agarose/HL5 disk. Images were

taken every 10 s across 3 fields of view for up to 20 mins. Yeast particles were identified using the

"analyse particles" plugin and mean fluorescence measured over time. V-ATPase recruitment was

measured as the mean fluorescence within a 0.5 µm wide ring selection around the yeast. The signal

was then normalised to the initial fluorescence after yeast internalisation for each cell.

Endocytosis and exocytosis

To measure endocytosis, *Dictyostelium* at 5×10^6 cells/ml were shaken at 180 rpm. for 15 mins in

HL5 before addition of 100 mg/ml FITC dextran (molecular mass, 70 kDa; Sigma). At each time point

500 µl of cell suspension were added to 1 ml ice-cold KK2 on ice. Cells were pelleted at 800 x g for 2

mins and washed once in KK2. The pellet was lysed in 50 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$ pH 9.3 0.2% Triton X-100 and

measured in a fluorimeter. To measure exocytosis, cells were prepared as above and incubated in 2

mg/ml FITC-dextran overnight. Cells were pelleted, washed twice in HL5 and resuspended in HL5 at 5

× 10^6 cells/ml. 500 µl of cell suspension were taken for each time point and treated as described

above.

Phagocytosis and phagosomal activity assays

Phagocytosis of *E. coli* was monitored by the decrease in turbidity of the bacterial suspension of over

time as described [86]. An equal volume of 2 × 10^7 *Dictyostelium* cells was added to a bacterial

suspension with an $OD_{600\,nm}$ of 0.8, shaking at 180 rpm, and the decrease in $OD_{600\,nm}$ was recorded

over time. Phagocytosis of GFP-expressing *M. smegmatis* and 1 µm YG-carboxylated polystyrene

beads (Polysciences Inc.) was previously described [49, 87]. 2 × 10^6 *Dictyostelium*/ml were shaken for

2 hours at 150 rpm. Either 1 µm beads (at a ratio of 200:1) or *M. smegmatis* (MOI 100) were added,

500 µl aliquots of cells were taken at each time point and fluorescence was measured by flow

cytometry [49].

Phagosomal pH and proteolytic activity were measured by feeding cells either FITC/TRITC or

DQgreen- BSA/Alexa 594-labelled 3 µm silica beads (Kisker Biotech) [49]. Briefly, cells were seeded in

a 96 well plate before addition of beads, and fluorescence measured on a plate reader over time. pH

values were determined by the ratio of FITC to TRITC fluorescence using a calibration curve, and

relative proteolysis was normalised to Alexa594 fluorescence.

Bacteria killing assay

397 Killing of GFP-expressing *K. aerogenes* was measured as previously described [52]. Briefly, 10 µl of an

398 overnight culture of bacteria in 280 µl HL5 was placed in a glass-bottomed dish and allowed to settle

399 before careful addition of 1.5 ml of a *Dictyostelium* cell suspension at 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. Images were

400 taken every 20 s for 40 min at 20x magnification. Survival time was determined by how long the GFP-

401 fluorescence persisted after phagocytosis.

Western blotting

403 Ax2 or *PIKfyve* null cells expressing GFP-VatM or VatB-GFP were analysed by SDS-PAGE and Western

404 blots using a rabbit anti-GFP primary antibody (gift from A. Peden) and a fluorescently conjugated

405 anti-rabbit 800 secondary antibody, using standard techniques. The endogenous biotinylated

406 mitochondrial protein MCCC1 was used as a loading control using Alexa680-conjugated Streptavadin

407 (Life Technologies) [88].

Legionella infection assays

409 The following *L. pneumophila* Philadelphia-1 strains were used: virulent JR32 [89], the isogenic Δ*icmT*

410 deletion mutant GS3011 lacking a functional Icm/Dot type 4 secretion system [64], and

411 corresponding strains constitutively producing GFP [65]. *L. pneumophila* was grown for 3 d on

charcoal yeast extract (CYE) agar plates, buffered with N-(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethane-sulfonic acid (ACES) [90]. For infections, liquid cultures were inoculated in AYE medium at an OD_{600} of 0.1 and grown for 21 h at 37 °C (post-exponential growth phase). To maintain plasmids, chloramphenicol was added at 5 μg/ml.

Uptake by $D. discoideum$, intracellular replication or killing of GFP-producing $L. pneumophila$ was analyzed by flow cytometry as described [62]. Exponentially growing amoebae were seeded onto a 24-well plate (5×10^5 cells/ml HL5 medium per well) and allowed to adhere for 1–2 h. $L.$ $pneumophila$ grown for 21 h in AYE medium was diluted in HL5 medium and used to infect the amoebae at an MOI of 100 or at the MOI indicated. The infection was synchronized by centrifugation (10 min, 880 g), infected cells were incubated at 25 °C and, 30 min post-infection, extracellular bacteria were removed by washing three to five times with SorC (2 mM Na_2HPO_4, 15 mM KH_2PO_4, 50 μM $CaCl_2$, pH 6.0). Infected amoebae were detached by vigorously pipetting, and 2×10^4 amoebae per sample were analyzed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The GFP fluorescence intensity falling into a $Dictyostelium$ scatter gate was quantified using FlowJo software (Treestar, http://www.treestar.com).

Alternatively, intracellular replication of $L. pneumophila$ in $D. discoideum$ was quantified by determining colony forming units (CFU) in the supernatant as described [62, 91]. Exponentially growing amoebae were washed with SorC and resuspended in MB medium (7 g of yeast extract, 14 g of thiotone E peptone, 20 mM MES in 1 l of H_2O, pH 6.9). Amoebae (1×10^5 cells per well) were seeded onto a 96-well plate, allowed to adhere for 1–2 h, and infected at an MOI of 1 with $L.$ $pneumophila$ grown in AYE medium for 21 h and diluted in MB medium. The infection was synchronized by centrifugation, and the infected amoebae were incubated at 25 °C. At the time points indicated, the number of bacteria released into the supernatant was quantified by plating aliquots (10–20 μl) of appropriate dilutions on CYE plates. Intracellular bacteria were also quantified by counting CFU after lysis of the infected amoebae with saponin. At the time points indicated, MB medium was replaced by 100 μl of 0.8% saponin and incubated for 15 min. The infected cells were lysed by pipetting, and aliquots were plated.

Acknowledgments
The authors would like to thank Steve Dove for many helpful suggestions and comments, as well as Phill Hawkins for his endeavours to separate $Dictyostelium$ PIP_2s.

References

1.   Di Paolo G, De Camilli P. Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics.
Nature. 2006;443(7112):651-7. doi: 10.1038/nature05185. PubMed PMID: 17035995.
2.   Levin R, Grinstein S, Schlam D. Phosphoinositides in phagocytosis and macropinocytosis.
Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1851(6):805-23. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.09.005. PubMed PMID:
25238964.
3.   Gaullier JM, Simonsen A, D'Arrigo A, Bremnes B, Stenmark H, Aasland R. FYVE fingers bind
PtdIns(3)P. Nature. 1998;394(6692):432-3. doi: 10.1038/28767. PubMed PMID: 9697764.
4.   Raiborg C, Bremnes B, Mehlum A, Gillooly DJ, D'Arrigo A, Stang E, et al. FYVE and coiled-coil
domains determine the specific localisation of Hrs to early endosomes. J Cell Sci. 2001;114(Pt
12):2255-63. PubMed PMID: 11493665.
5.   Cabezas A, Pattni K, Stenmark H. Cloning and subcellular localization of a human
phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase, PIKfyve/Fab1. Gene. 2006;371(1):34-41. doi:
10.1016/j.gene.2005.11.009. PubMed PMID: 16448788.
6.   Michell RH, Heath VL, Lemmon MA, Dove SK. Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate:
metabolism and cellular functions. Trends Biochem Sci. 2006;31(1):52-63. doi:
10.1016/j.tibs.2005.11.013. PubMed PMID: 16364647.
7.   Sbrissa D, Ikonomov OC, Shisheva A. PIKfyve, a mammalian ortholog of yeast Fab1p lipid
kinase, synthesizes 5-phosphoinositides. Effect of insulin. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(31):21589-97.
PubMed PMID: 10419465.
8.   Zolov SN, Bridges D, Zhang Y, Lee WW, Riehle E, Verma R, et al. In vivo, Pikfyve generates
PI(3,5)P2, which serves as both a signaling lipid and the major precursor for PI5P. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 2012;109(43):17472-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1203106109. PubMed PMID: 23047693; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3491506.
9.   Yamamoto A, DeWald DB, Boronenkov IV, Anderson RA, Emr SD, Koshland D. Novel PI(4)P 5-
kinase homologue, Fab1p, essential for normal vacuole function and morphology in yeast. Mol Biol
Cell. 1995;6(5):525-39. PubMed PMID: 7663021; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC301213.
10.   Takasuga S, Sasaki T. Phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate: metabolism and physiological
functions. J Biochem. 2013;154(3):211-8. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvt064. PubMed PMID: 23857703.
11.   McCartney AJ, Zhang Y, Weisman LS. Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate: low abundance,
high significance. BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology.
2014;36(1):52-64. doi: 10.1002/bies.201300012. PubMed PMID: 24323921; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3906640.
12.   Ho CY, Alghamdi TA, Botelho RJ. Phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate: no longer the poor
PIP2. Traffic. 2012;13(1):1-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01246.x. PubMed PMID: 21736686.
13.   Michell RH. Inositol lipids: from an archaeal origin to phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate
faults in human disease. FEBS J. 2013;280(24):6281-94. doi: 10.1111/febs.12452. PubMed PMID:
23902363.
14.   Ikonomov O, Sbrissa D, Shisheva A. Mammalian cell morphology and endocytic membrane
homeostasis require enzymatically active phosphoinositide 5-kinase PIKfyve. J Biol Chem.
2001;276(28):26141-7. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M101722200. PubMed PMID: 11285266.
15.   Ikonomov OC, Sbrissa D, Mlak K, Deeb R, Fligger J, Soans A, et al. Active PIKfyve associates
with and promotes the membrane attachment of the late endosome-to-trans-Golgi network
transport factor Rab9 effector p40. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(51):50863-71. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M307260200. PubMed PMID: 14530284.
16.   Rutherford AC, Traer C, Wassmer T, Pattni K, Bujny MV, Carlton JG, et al. The mammalian
phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase (PIKfyve) regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde
transport. J Cell Sci. 2006;119(Pt 19):3944-57. doi: 10.1242/jcs.03153. PubMed PMID: 16954148;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1904490.

17.   de Lartigue J, Polson H, Feldman M, Shokat K, Tooze SA, Urbé S, et al. PIKfyve Regulation
of Endosome-Linked Pathways. Traffic. 2009;10(7):883-93. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.00915.x.
18.   Martin S, Harper CB, May LM, Coulson EJ, Meunier FA, Osborne SL. Inhibition of PIKfyve by
YM-201636 dysregulates autophagy and leads to apoptosis-independent neuronal cell death. PLoS
One. 2013;8(3):e60152. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060152. PubMed PMID: 23544129; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3609765.
19.   Rusten T, Vaccari T, Lindmo K, Rodahl L, Nezis I, Sem-Jacobsen C, et al. ESCRTs and Fab1
regulate distinct steps of autophagy. Curr Biol. 2007;17(20):1817-25. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2007.09.032. PubMed PMID: 17935992.
20.   Tsuruta F, Green EM, Rousset M, Dolmetsch RE. PIKfyve regulates CaV1.2 degradation and
prevents excitotoxic cell death. J Cell Biol. 2009;187(2):279-94. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200903028.
PubMed PMID: 19841139; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2768838.
21.   Min SH, Suzuki A, Stalker TJ, Zhao L, Wang Y, McKennan C, et al. Loss of PIKfyve in platelets
causes a lysosomal disease leading to inflammation and thrombosis in mice. Nat Commun.
2014;5:4691. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5691. PubMed PMID: 25178411; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4369914.
22.   Zhang X, Chow CY, Sahenk Z, Shy ME, Meisler MH, Li J. Mutation of FIG4 causes a rapidly
progressive, asymmetric neuronal degeneration. Brain : a journal of neurology. 2008;131(Pt 8):1990-
2001. doi: 10.1093/brain/awn114. PubMed PMID: 18556664; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2724900.
23.   Zhang Y, McCartney AJ, Zolov SN, Ferguson CJ, Meisler MH, Sutton MA, et al. Modulation of
synaptic function by VAC14, a protein that regulates the phosphoinositides PI(3,5)P(2) and PI(5)P.
EMBO J. 2012;31(16):3442-56. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2012.200. PubMed PMID: 22842785; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3419932.
24.   Zhang Y, Zolov SN, Chow CY, Slutsky SG, Richardson SC, Piper RC, et al. Loss of Vac14, a
regulator of the signaling lipid phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate, results in neurodegeneration
in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(44):17518-23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0702275104. PubMed
PMID: 17956977; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2077288.
25.   Bohdanowicz M, Grinstein S. Role of phospholipids in endocytosis, phagocytosis, and
macropinocytosis. Physiological reviews. 2013;93(1):69-106. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00002.2012.
PubMed PMID: 23303906.
26.   Ellson CD, Anderson KE, Morgan G, Chilvers ER, Lipp P, Stephens LR, et al.
Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate is generated in phagosomal membranes. Curr Biol.
2001;11(20):1631-5. PubMed PMID: 11676926.
27.   Vieira OV, Botelho RJ, Rameh L, Brachmann SM, Matsuo T, Davidson HW, et al. Distinct roles
of class I and class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases in phagosome formation and maturation. J Cell
Biol. 2001;155(1):19-25. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200107069. PubMed PMID: 11581283; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC2150784.
28.   Fratti RA, Backer JM, Gruenberg J, Corvera S, Deretic V. Role of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
and Rab5 effectors in phagosomal biogenesis and mycobacterial phagosome maturation arrest. J Cell
Biol. 2001;154(3):631-44. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200106049. PubMed PMID: 11489920; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC2196432.
29.   Cai X, Xu Y, Cheung AK, Tomlinson RC, Alcazar-Roman A, Murphy L, et al. PIKfyve, a class III PI
kinase, is the target of the small molecular IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor apilimod and a player in Toll-like
receptor signaling. Chem Biol. 2013;20(7):912-21. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.05.010. PubMed
PMID: 23890009.
30.   Ikonomov OC, Sbrissa D, Shisheva A. YM201636, an inhibitor of retroviral budding and
PIKfyve-catalyzed PtdIns(3,5)P2 synthesis, halts glucose entry by insulin in adipocytes. Biochem
Biophys Res Commun. 2009;382(3):566-70. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.03.063. PubMed PMID:
19289105; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3910513.

31.   Hazeki K, Nigorikawa K, Takaba Y, Segawa T, Nukuda A, Masuda A, et al. Essential roles of
PIKfyve and PTEN on phagosomal phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate dynamics. FEBS Lett.
2012;586(22):4010-5. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2012.09.043. PubMed PMID: 23068606.
32.   Kim GH, Dayam RM, Prashar A, Terebiznik M, Botelho RJ. PIKfyve Inhibition Interferes with
Phagosome and Endosome Maturation in Macrophages. Traffic. 2014;15(10):1143-63. doi:
10.1111/tra.12199. PubMed PMID: 25041080.
33.   Clark J, Kay RR, Kielkowska A, Niewczas I, Fets L, Oxley D, et al. Dictyostelium uses ether-
linked inositol phospholipids for intracellular signalling. EMBO J. 2014;33(19):2188-200. doi:
10.15252/embj.201488677. PubMed PMID: 25180230; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4282506.
34.   Calvo-Garrido J, King JS, Munoz-Braceras S, Escalante R. Vmp1 regulates PtdIns3P signaling
during autophagosome formation in Dictyostelium discoideum. Traffic. 2014;15(11):1235-46. doi:
10.1111/tra.12210. PubMed PMID: 25131297.
35.   King JS, Teo R, Ryves J, Reddy JV, Peters O, Orabi B, et al. The mood stabiliser lithium
suppresses PIP3 signalling in Dictyostelium and human cells. Dis Model Mech. 2009;2(5-6):306-12.
doi: 10.1242/dmm.001271. PubMed PMID: 19383941; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2675811.
36.   Kortholt A, King JS, Keizer-Gunnink I, Harwood AJ, Van Haastert PJ. Phospholipase C
regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate-mediated chemotaxis. Mol Biol Cell.
2007;18(12):4772-9. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E07-05-0407. PubMed PMID: 17898079; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC2096598.
37.   Dormann D, Weijer G, Dowler S, Weijer CJ. In vivo analysis of 3-phosphoinositide dynamics
during Dictyostelium phagocytosis and chemotaxis. J Cell Sci. 2004;117(Pt 26):6497-509. doi:
10.1242/jcs.01579. PubMed PMID: 15572406.
38.   Nicot AS, Fares H, Payrastre B, Chisholm AD, Labouesse M, Laporte J. The phosphoinositide
kinase PIKfyve/Fab1p regulates terminal lysosome maturation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Molecular
biology of the cell. 2006;17(7):3062-74. PubMed PMID: WOS:000238721000018.
39.   Smith EW, Lima WC, Charette SJ, Cosson P. Effect of starvation on the endocytic pathway in
Dictyostelium cells. Eukaryot Cell. 2010;9(3):387-92. doi: 10.1128/EC.00285-09. PubMed PMID:
20097741; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2837978.
40.   Veltman DM, Williams TD, Bloomfield G, Chen BC, Betzig E, Insall RH, et al. A plasma
membrane template for macropinocytic cups. eLife. 2016;5. doi: 10.7554/eLife.20085. PubMed
PMID: 27960076; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5154761.
41.   Clarke M, Maddera L, Engel U, Gerisch G. Retrieval of the vacuolar H-ATPase from
phagosomes revealed by live cell imaging. PLoS One. 2010;5(1):e8585. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0008585. PubMed PMID: 20052281; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2796722.
42.   Simonsen A, Lippe R, Christoforidis S, Gaullier JM, Brech A, Callaghan J, et al. EEA1 links
PI(3)K function to Rab5 regulation of endosome fusion. Nature. 1998;394(6692):494-8. doi:
10.1038/28879. PubMed PMID: 9697774.
43.   Gillooly DJ, Morrow IC, Lindsay M, Gould R, Bryant NJ, Gaullier JM, et al. Localization of
phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate in yeast and mammalian cells. Embo Journal. 2000;19(17):4577-
88. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.17.4577. PubMed PMID: WOS:000089275600016.
44.   Clarke M, Kohler J, Arana Q, Liu T, Heuser J, Gerisch G. Dynamics of the vacuolar H(+)-ATPase
in the contractile vacuole complex and the endosomal pathway of Dictyostelium cells. J Cell Sci.
2002;115(Pt 14):2893-905. PubMed PMID: 12082150.
45.   Gary JD, Wurmser AE, Bonangelino CJ, Weisman LS, Emr SD. Fab1p is essential for PtdIns(3)P
5-kinase activity and the maintenance of vacuolar size and membrane homeostasis. J Cell Biol.
1998;143(1):65-79. PubMed PMID: 9763421; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2132800.
46.   Jefferies HB, Cooke FT, Jat P, Boucheron C, Koizumi T, Hayakawa M, et al. A selective PIKfyve
inhibitor blocks PtdIns(3,5)P(2) production and disrupts endomembrane transport and retroviral
budding. EMBO reports. 2008;9(2):164-70. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7401155. PubMed PMID:
18188180; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2246419.

47.   Kerr MC, Wang JT, Castro NA, Hamilton NA, Town L, Brown DL, et al. Inhibition of the PtdIns
(5) kinase PIKfyve disrupts intracellular replication of Salmonella. The EMBO journal.
2010;29(8):1331-47.
48.   Bak G, Lee EJ, Lee Y, Kato M, Segami S, Sze H, et al. Rapid structural changes and acidification
of guard cell vacuoles during stomatal closure require phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate. Plant
Cell. 2013;25(6):2202-16. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.110411. PubMed PMID: 23757398; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC3723621.
49.   Sattler N, Monroy R, Soldati T. Quantitative analysis of phagocytosis and phagosome
maturation. Methods in molecular biology. 2013;983:383-402. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-302-2_21.
PubMed PMID: 23494319.
50.   Li SC, Diakov TT, Xu T, Tarsio M, Zhu W, Couoh-Cardel S, et al. The signaling lipid PI(3,5)P(2)
stabilizes V(1)-V(o) sector interactions and activates the V-ATPase. Mol Biol Cell. 2014;25(8):1251-62.
doi: 10.1091/mbc.E13-10-0563. PubMed PMID: 24523285; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3982991.
51.   Park L, Thomason PA, Zech T, King JS, Veltman DM, Carnell M, et al. Cyclical action of the
WASH complex: FAM21 and capping protein drive WASH recycling, not initial recruitment. Dev Cell.
2013;24(2):169-81. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2012.12.014. PubMed PMID: 23369714.
52.   Leiba J, Sabra A, Bodinier R, Marchetti A, Lima WC, Melotti A, et al. Vps13F links bacterial
recognition and intracellular killing in Dictyostelium. Cell Microbiol. 2017. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12722.
PubMed PMID: 28076662.
53.   Hilbi H, Hoffmann C, Harrison CF. Legionella spp. outdoors: colonization, communication and
persistence. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2011;3(3):286-96. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00247.x.
PubMed PMID: 23761274.
54.   Finsel I, Hilbi H. Formation of a pathogen vacuole according to Legionella pneumophila: how
to kill one bird with many stones. Cell Microbiol. 2015;17(7):935-50. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12450.
PubMed PMID: 25903720.
55.   Ge J, Shao F. Manipulation of host vesicular trafficking and innate immune defence by
Legionella Dot/Icm effectors. Cell Microbiol. 2011;13(12):1870-80. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-
5822.2011.01710.x. PubMed PMID: 21981078.
56.   Weber S, Wagner M, Hilbi H. Live-cell imaging of phosphoinositide dynamics and membrane
architecture during Legionella infection. MBio. 2014;5(1):e00839-13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00839-13.
PubMed PMID: 24473127; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3903275.
57.   Brombacher E, Urwyler S, Ragaz C, Weber SS, Kami K, Overduin M, et al. Rab1 guanine
nucleotide exchange factor SidM is a major phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate-binding effector
protein of Legionella pneumophila. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(8):4846-56. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M807505200. PubMed PMID: 19095644; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2643517.
58.   Hilbi H, Weber S, Finsel I. Anchors for effectors: subversion of phosphoinositide lipids by
legionella. Front Microbiol. 2011;2:91. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00091. PubMed PMID: 21833330;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3153050.
59.   Jank T, Bohmer KE, Tzivelekidis T, Schwan C, Belyi Y, Aktories K. Domain organization of
Legionella effector SetA. Cell Microbiol. 2012;14(6):852-68. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01761.x.
PubMed PMID: 22288428.
60.   Finsel I, Ragaz C, Hoffmann C, Harrison CF, Weber S, van Rahden VA, et al. The Legionella
effector RidL inhibits retrograde trafficking to promote intracellular replication. Cell Host Microbe.
2013;14(1):38-50. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.06.001. PubMed PMID: 23870312.
61.   Harding CR, Mattheis C, Mousnier A, Oates CV, Hartland EL, Frankel G, et al. LtpD Is a Novel
Legionella pneumophila Effector That Binds Phosphatidylinositol 3-Phosphate and Inositol
Monophosphatase IMPA1. Infection and Immunity. 2013;81(11):4261-70. doi: 10.1128/iai.01054-13.
PubMed PMID: WOS:000325719900031.

62.   Weber SS, Ragaz C, Reus K, Nyfeler Y, Hilbi H. Legionella pneumophila exploits PI(4)P to
anchor secreted effector proteins to the replicative vacuole. Plos Pathogens. 2006;2(5):418-30. doi:
ARTN e46

10.1371/journal.ppat.0020046. PubMed PMID: WOS:000202894500009.
63.   Dolinsky S, Haneburger I, Cichy A, Hannemann M, Itzen A, Hilbi H. The Legionella
longbeachae Icm/Dot substrate SidC selectively binds phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate with
nanomolar affinity and promotes pathogen vacuole-endoplasmic reticulum interactions. Infect
Immun. 2014;82(10):4021-33. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01685-14. PubMed PMID: 25024371; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4187854.
64.   Segal G, Shuman HA. Intracellular multiplication and human macrophage killing by Legionella
pneumophila are inhibited by conjugal components of IncQ plasmid RSF1010. Molecular
Microbiology. 1998;30(1):197-208. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01054.x. PubMed PMID:
WOS:000076538500017.
65.   Hilbi H, Segal G, Shuman HA. Icm/dot-dependent upregulation of phagocytosis by Legionella
pneumophila. Mol Microbiol. 2001;42(3):603-17. PubMed PMID: 11722729.
66.   Rizopoulos Z, Balistreri G, Kilcher S, Martin CK, Syedbasha M, Helenius A, et al. Vaccinia Virus
Infection Requires Maturation of Macropinosomes. Traffic. 2015;16(8):814-31. doi:
10.1111/tra.12290. PubMed PMID: 25869659; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4973667.
67.   Krishna S, Palm W, Lee Y, Yang W, Bandyopadhyay U, Xu H, et al. PIKfyve Regulates Vacuole
Maturation and Nutrient Recovery following Engulfment. Dev Cell. 2016;38(5):536-47. doi:
10.1016/j.devcel.2016.08.001. PubMed PMID: 27623384; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5046836.
68.   Ho CY, Choy CH, Wattson CA, Johnson DE, Botelho RJ. The Fab1/PIKfyve Phosphoinositide
Phosphate Kinase Is Not Necessary to Maintain the pH of Lysosomes and of the Yeast Vacuole. J Biol
Chem. 2015;290(15):9919-28. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.613984. PubMed PMID: 25713145.
69.   Dong XP, Shen D, Wang X, Dawson T, Li X, Zhang Q, et al. PI(3,5)P(2) controls membrane
trafficking by direct activation of mucolipin Ca(2+) release channels in the endolysosome. Nat
Commun. 2010;1:38. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1037. PubMed PMID: 20802798; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2928581.
70.   Dayam RM, Saric A, Shilliday RE, Botelho RJ. The Phosphoinositide-Gated Lysosomal Ca(2+)
Channel, TRPML1, Is Required for Phagosome Maturation. Traffic. 2015;16(9):1010-26. doi:
10.1111/tra.12303. PubMed PMID: 26010303.
71.   Li X, Rydzewski N, Hider A, Zhang X, Yang J, Wang W, et al. A molecular mechanism to
regulate lysosome motility for lysosome positioning and tubulation. Nat Cell Biol. 2016;18(4):404-17.
doi: 10.1038/ncb3324. PubMed PMID: 26950892.
72.   Lima WC, Leuba F, Soldati T, Cosson P. Mucolipin controls lysosome exocytosis in
Dictyostelium. J Cell Sci. 2012;125(Pt 9):2315-22. doi: 10.1242/jcs.100362. PubMed PMID: 22357942.
73.   Horwitz MA. THE LEGIONNAIRES-DISEASE BACTERIUM (LEGIONELLA-PNEUMOPHILA)
INHIBITS PHAGOSOME-LYSOSOME FUSION IN HUMAN-MONOCYTES. Journal of Experimental
Medicine. 1983;158(6):2108-26. doi: 10.1084/jem.158.6.2108. PubMed PMID:
WOS:A1983RV00200023.
74.   Lamothe J, Huynh KK, Grinstein S, Valvano MA. Intracellular survival of Burkholderia
cenocepacia in macrophages is associated with a delay in the maturation of bacteria-containing
vacuoles. Cell Microbiol. 2007;9(1):40-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00766.x. PubMed PMID:
16869828.
75.   Smith LM, Dixon EF, May RC. The fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans manipulates
macrophage phagosome maturation. Cell Microbiol. 2015;17(5):702-13. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12394.
PubMed PMID: 25394938.
76.   Segal G, Shuman HA. Legionella pneumophila utilizes the same genes to multiply within
Acanthamoeba castellanii and human macrophages. Infection and Immunity. 1999;67(5):2117-24.
PubMed PMID: WOS:000079909300010.

77.   Steenbergen JN, Shuman HA, Casadevall A. Cryptococcus neoformans interactions with
amoebae suggest an explanation for its virulence and intracellular pathogenic strategy in
macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(26):15245-50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.261418798.
PubMed PMID: 11742090; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC65014.
78.   Hasselbring BM, Patel MK, Schell MA. Dictyostelium discoideum as a model system for
identification of Burkholderia pseudomallei virulence factors. Infect Immun. 2011;79(5):2079-88.
doi: 10.1128/IAI.01233-10. PubMed PMID: 21402765; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3088138.
79.   Hubber A, Roy CR. Modulation of host cell function by Legionella pneumophila type IV
effectors. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2010;26:261-83. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100109-104034.
PubMed PMID: 20929312.
80.   Isberg RR, O'Connor TJ, Heidtman M. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole:
making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2009;7(1):12-24. doi:
10.1038/nrmicro1967. PubMed PMID: WOS:000262110300009.
81.   Froquet R, Lelong E, Marchetti A, Cosson P. Dictyostelium discoideum: a model host to
measure bacterial virulence. Nature Protocols. 2009;4(1):25-30. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.212.
PubMed PMID: WOS:000265781800003.
82.   Wilkins A, Khosla M, Fraser DJ, Spiegelman GB, Fisher PR, Weeks G, et al. Dictyostelium RasD
is required for normal phototaxis, but not differentiation. Genes Dev. 2000;14(11):1407-13. PubMed
PMID: 10837033; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC316659.
83.   Sutoh K. A transformation vector for dictyostelium discoideum with a new selectable marker
bsr. Plasmid. 1993;30(2):150-4. doi: 10.1006/plas.1993.1042. PubMed PMID: 8234487.
84.   Veltman DM, Lemieux MG, Knecht DA, Insall RH. PIP(3)-dependent macropinocytosis is
incompatible with chemotaxis. J Cell Biol. 2014;204(4):497-505. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201309081.
PubMed PMID: 24535823; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3926956.
85.   Carnell M, Zech T, Calaminus SD, Ura S, Hagedorn M, Johnston SA, et al. Actin polymerization
driven by WASH causes V-ATPase retrieval and vesicle neutralization before exocytosis. J Cell Biol.
2011;193(5):831-9. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201009119. PubMed PMID: 21606208; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3105540.
86.   King J, Insall RH. Parasexual genetics of Dictyostelium gene disruptions: identification of a ras
pathway using diploids. Bmc Genetics. 2003;4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-4-12. PubMed PMID:
WOS:000184659500001.
87.   Arafah S, Kicka S, Trofimov V, Hagedorn M, Andreu N, Wiles S, et al. Setting up and
monitoring an infection of Dictyostelium discoideum with mycobacteria. Methods Mol Biol.
2013;983:403-17. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-302-2_22. PubMed PMID: 23494320.
88.   Davidson AJ, King JS, Insall RH. The use of streptavidin conjugates as immunoblot loading
controls and mitochondrial markers for use with Dictyostelium discoideum. Biotechniques.
2013;55(1):39-41. doi: 10.2144/000114054. PubMed PMID: 23834384.
89.   Sadosky AB, Wiater LA, Shuman HA. Identification of Legionella pneumophila genes required
for growth within and killing of human macrophages. Infect Immun. 1993;61(12):5361-73. PubMed
PMID: 8225610; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC281323.
90.   Feeley JC, Gibson RJ, Gorman GW, Langford NC, Rasheed JK, Mackel DC, et al. Charcoal-yeast
extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneumophila. J Clin Microbiol. 1979;10(4):437-
41. PubMed PMID: 393713; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC273193.
91.   Solomon JM, Rupper A, Cardelli JA, Isberg RR. Intracellular growth of Legionella pneumophila
in Dictyostelium discoideum, a system for genetic analysis of host-pathogen interactions. Infect
Immun. 2000;68(5):2939-47. PubMed PMID: 10768992; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC97507.

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Knockout or inhibition of PIKfyve leads to a swollen vesicle phenotype. (A) DIC images of Ax3, two independent *PIKfyve*-null clones and a random integrant in HL5 medium. Arrows indicate the enlarged vesicles. (B) Swollen vesicles in *PIKfyve*-null cells became more apparent after incubation for the time indicated in the low osmolarity starvation buffer (KK2), but were lost as cells entered differentiation. (C) Induction of swollen vesicles with 5 µM apilimod, a PIKfyve-specific inhibitor. Images taken in HL5 medium after 5 hours of treatment.

Figure 2

PIKfyve **null cells have growth defects**. *PIKfyve*⁻null cells had no defects in either (A) endocytosis or (B) exocytosis, as measured by uptake or loss of FITC dextran. Data shown are mean +/- SD. (C) Despite normal uptake, *PIKfyve*-null cells had a longer generation time than Ax3 cells when growing axenically in liquid culture, data shown are mean +/- SD. (D) *PIKfyve*-null cells grew markedly more slowly on lawns of *Klebsiella pneumophila* than either Ax3 or a random integrant. (E) Growth on bacteria is also defective for *PIKfyve*-null cells in the Ax2 genetic background and across multiple bacterial strains. Growth was assessed by plating serial dilutions of amoebae on lawns of different bacteria and dark patches indicate amoebae growth. Data for all bacteria are summarised in (F).

Figure 3

Phagocytic uptake is not dependent on PIKfyve. (A) There was no defect in the ability of *PIKfyve*-null cells to reduce the turbidity of a suspension of *E. coli* over time. (B) Uptake of 1 µm beads or (C) GFP-expressing *Mycobacterium smegmatis* was also not affected by absence of PIKfyve, as measured by flow cytometry. Data shown are means +/- SD.

Figure 4

PIKfyve is important for acidification and proteolysis. (A) PI(3)P dynamics are not altered by absence of PIKfyve. PI(3)P was monitored by visualising the recruitment of a GFP-2xFYVE probe to phagosomes following uptake of 3 µm beads (asterisks) by confocal time-lapse microscopy. (B) Time that GFP-2xFYVE stays associated with phagosomes following engulfment, indicating that PI(3)P removal is not PIKfyve-dependent. n indicates the total number of cells quantified in 3 independent experiments. (C) Phagosomal acidification and (D) proteolysis are severely disrupted in *PIKfyve* null cells, measured using 3 µm beads coupled to acidification or proteolysis reporters. Data shown are mean +/- SD.

Figure 5

PIKfyve is required for efficient V-ATPase recruitment. Recruitment of (A) the V-ATPase V_0 subunit

GFP-VatM and (B) the V_1 peripheral subunit VatB-GFP to phagocytosed pHrodo-labelled yeast

visualised by confocal time-lapse imaging. (C) Quantification of GFP-VatM recruitment over time,

indicating reduced recruitment in *PIKfyve*-null mutants. (D) Quantification of VatB-GFP recruitment,

following the same pattern. (E) Quantification of increase in yeast-associated pHrodo fluorescence,

indicating acidification. The fluorescence increases significantly more in Ax2 cells than in *PIKfyve*-null

cells. Data shown are mean +/- SEM, p values calculated by Student's t-test.

Figure 6

Bacterial survival is increased in *PIKfyve* null cells. (A) Kaplan-Meyer survival graph, with survival

indicated by persistent fluorescence of phagocytosed GFP-expressing *Klebsiella pneumophila*.

Bacteria survived for significantly longer in *PIKfyve*-null cells than in Ax2 (p<0.0001 as determined by

Mantel-Cox test). 60 bacteria were followed across three independent experiments. (B) Stills from

the widefield time-lapse movies quantified in (A). Arrows indicate phagocytosed bacteria

Figure 7

PIKfyve is required to suppress *Legionella* replication. (A) Uptake of GFP-producing wild-type (JR32)

or avirulent (Δ*icmT*) *L. pneumophila* by *Dictyostelium* cells (MOI 100), analyzed by flow cytometry.

Wild-type *L. pneumophila* show a higher infectivity than the avirulent strain both in Ax3 and in

PIKfyve⁻ Dictyostelium. (B) Intracellular growth and/or killing of *L. pneumophila* analyzed by colony

forming units (CFU): *Dictyostelium* was infected with *L. pneumophila* wild-type (at an MOI of 1) or

Δ*icmT* (MOI 100). In the course of multiple infection rounds (release and re-infection), more wild-

type *L. pneumophila* accumulated in the medium surrounding *PIKfyve⁻* cells than wild-type. in

contrast, Δ*icmT* mutant bacteria were killed by both *Dictyostelium* strains. (C) *Dictyostelium* were

infected with *L. pneumophila* wild-type (MOI 1) or Δ*icmT* (MOI 100), lysed and intracellular CFU

determined. Wild-type *L. pneumophila* grew significantly better in *PIKfyve⁻* cells than Ax3. (D)

Analysis of intracellular growth of GFP-expressing *L. pneumophila* wild-type JR32 (MOI 1) or Δ*icmT*

(MOI 100) by flow cytometry. Virulent *L. pneumophila* replicate more efficiently in *PIKfyve⁻*

Dictyostelium, as indicated by the increasing proportions of amoebae containing high levels of GFP

over time. Data represent means and standard deviation of duplicates (A; 10,000 counts each; n.s.,

not significant) or triplicates (B, C; **, $P < 0.01$) and are representative of 2-3 independent

experiments.

Supplementary Figure 1

***PIKfyve* gene disruption.** (A) Schematic representation of *Dictyostelium* PIKfyve indicating the site

where the blasticidin resistance cassette was inserted into the gene to generate knockouts.

Supplementary Figure 2

PIKfyve is not required for development. *PIKfyve*⁻ cells formed (A) morphologically normal fruiting

bodies as well as (B) normal spores.

Supplementary Figure 3

VatM expression has a dominant negative effect on acidification. (A) VatB-GFP expression in Ax2

and *PIKfyve*⁻ cells suppressed acidification as measured by increase in pHrodo fluorescence,

compared to the increase in fluorescence in cells expressing GFP-VatM. (B) Western blot of cells

expressing VatB-GFP or GFP-VatM. There was no difference in expression levels between Ax2 and

PIKfyve⁻ cells for either reporter. However, VatB-GFP was expressed at a much higher level than GFP-

VatM. Loading control is the mitochondrial protein MCCC1, recognised by Alexa680-conjugated

streptavidin.

A

Ax3   *PIKfyve*- 1   *PIKfyve*- 2   Random integrant

10 µm

B

Ax3   *PIKfyve*- 1   *PIKfyve*- 2   Random integrant

HL5

10 µm

0.5hrs

5hrs

24hrs

C

Ax3   Ax3 + Apilimod   *PIKfyve*- 1

5hrs

10 µm

Figure 1: KO or inhibition of PIKfyve leads to a swollen vesicle phenotype

Figure 2: *PIKfyve-* cells have growth defects

Figure 3: PIKfyve is not required for phagocytic uptake

Figure 4: PIKfyve is important for phagosome acidification and proteolysis

Figure 5: PIKfyve is required for efficient V-ATPase recruitment

A

B

Figure 6: Bacterial survival is increased in *PIKfyve-* cells

Figure 7: PIKfyve is required to suppress legionella replication

A

Supplementary figure 1: PIKfyve gene disruption

A

Ax3   *PIKfyve*- 1

B

Ax3   *PIKfyve*- 1

10μm

Supplementary figure 2: PIKfyve is not required for development

Supplementary figure 3: VatB expression has a dominant negative effect on acidification