# PIKfyve/Fab1 is required for efficient V-ATPase and

# hydrolase delivery to phagosomes, phagosomal killing, and

# restriction of *Legionella* infection

Catherine M. Buckley[1,2], Victoria L. Heath[3], Aurélie Guého[4], Cristina Bosmani[4], Paulina Knobloch[5],

Phumzile Sikakana[1], Nicolas Personnic[5], Stephen K. Dove[6], Robert H. Michell[6], Roger Meier[7*], Hubert

Hilbi[5], Thierry Soldati[4], Robert H. Insall[8#] and Jason S. King[1,2#]

[1]Centre for Membrane Interactions and Dynamics, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of

Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield. S10 2TN, UK

[2]Bateson Centre, University of Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield. S10 2TN, UK

[3]Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, Institute for Biomedical Research, College of Medical and

Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

[4]Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva,

Switzerland

[5]Institute of Medical Microbiology, University of Zürich, CH-8006 Zürich, Switzerland

[6]School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham. B15 2TT, UK

[7]Institute of Molecular Life Sciences, University of Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

[8]CRUK Beatson Institute, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK

[*]Present address: Scientific Center for Optical and Electron Microscopy, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich,

Switzerland

[#]Address correspondence to Jason.King@sheffield.ac.uk, R.Insall@beatson.gla.ac.uk

**Running head:** PIKfyve in Dictyostelium

**Abstract word count:**

**Article word count:**

## Abstract

By engulfing potentially harmful microbes, professional phagocytes are continually at risk from intracellular pathogens. To avoid becoming infected, the host must kill pathogens in the phagosome before they can escape or establish a survival niche. Here, we analyse the role of the phosphoinositide (PI) 5-kinase PIKfyve in phagosome maturation and killing, using the amoeba and model phagocyte *Dictyostelium discoideum*.

PIKfyve plays important but poorly understood roles in vesicular trafficking by catalysing formation of the lipids phosphatidylinositol (3,5)-bisphosphate ($PI(3,5)_2$) and phosphatidylinositol-5-phosphate (PI(5)P). Here we show that its activity is essential during early phagosome maturation in *Dictyostelium*. Disruption of *PIKfyve* inhibited delivery of both the vacuolar V-ATPase and proteases, dramatically reducing the ability of cells to acidify newly formed phagosomes and digest their contents. Consequently, $PIKfyve^-$ cells were unable to generate an effective antimicrobial environment and efficiently kill captured bacteria. Moreover, we demonstrate that cells lacking *PIKfyve* are more susceptible to infection by the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. We conclude that PIKfyve-catalysed phosphoinositide production plays a crucial and general role in ensuring early phagosomal maturation, protecting host cells from diverse pathogenic microbes.

## Importance

Cells that capture or eat bacteria must swiftly kill them to prevent pathogens from surviving long enough to escape the bactericidal pathway and establish an infection. This is achieved by the rapid delivery of components that produce an antimicrobial environment in the phagosome, the compartment containing the captured microbe. This is essential both for the function of immune cells and for amoebae that feed on bacteria in their environment. Here we identify a central component of the pathway used by cells to deliver antimicrobial components to the phagosome and show that bacteria survive over three times as long within the host if this pathway is disabled. We show that this is of general importance for killing a wide range of pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria, and that it is physiologically important if cells are to avoid infection by the opportunistic human pathogen *Legionella*.

## Introduction

Professional phagocytes must kill their prey efficiently if they are to prevent the establishment of infections [1]. Multiple mechanisms are employed to achieve this. Once phagosomes have been internalised they quickly become acidified and acquire reactive oxygen species, antimicrobial peptides and acid hydrolases. The timely and regulated delivery of these components is vital to protect the host from intracellular pathogens but is incompletely understood.

After a particle is internalised, specific effector proteins are recruited to the phagosome's cytoplasmic surface by interacting with several inositol phospholipids (PIPs) that play important roles in regulating vesicle trafficking and controlling maturation. The effectors of each PIP regulate particular aspects of compartment identity, membrane trafficking and endosomal maturation [2, 3]. Phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI(3)P), made by the class III PI 3-kinase VPS34, is one of the first PIPs to accumulate on vesicles after endocytosis, and PI(3)P recruits proteins containing FYVE (Fab1, YOTB, Vac1 and EEA1) and PX domains, such as the canonical early endosome markers EEA1 and Hrs, and sorting nexins [4, 5]. Also recruited to early endosomes by its FYVE domain is PIKfyve (Fab1 in yeast) [6], a phosphoinositide 5-kinase that phosphorylates PI(3)P to phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate ($PI(3,5)P_2$) [7-11]. The roles of PI(3)P are well explored but the formation of $PI(3,5)P_2$ and the identities and functions of its effector proteins and its metabolic product PI(5)P are less well understood [12-14]. $PI(3,5)P_2$ is thought to accumulate predominantly on late endosomes, and disruption of PIKfyve activity leads to multiple endocytic defects, including gross endosomal enlargement and accumulation of autophagosomes [15-20]. Recent research has begun to reveal important physiological roles of PIKfyve and its products in a variety of eukaryotes, but mechanistic details remain sparse [21-25].

As in classical endocytosis, phagosome maturation is regulated by PIPs [26]. Phagosomes accumulate PI(3)P immediately after closure, and this is required for their subsequent maturation [27-29]. The recent identification of several PIKfyve inhibitors, including apilimod and YM201636 [30, 31], has allowed researchers to demonstrate the importance of PI(3)P to $PI(3,5)P_2$ conversion for phagosomal maturation in macrophages and neutrophils [32-35]. However, there are conflicting reports on the roles of PIKfyve in key lysosomal functions such as acidification and degradation, with some studies reporting defective acidification upon PIKfyve inhibition [10, 36, 37] and others finding little effect [33, 38, 39]. Therefore the mechanistic roles of PIKfyve and its products, and their relevance to phagosome maturation, remain unclear and subject to debate.

To understand the function and physiological significance of PIKfyve, we have investigated its role in phagosome maturation and pathogen killing in *Dictyostelium discoideum,* a soil-dwelling amoeba

and professional phagocyte that feeds on bacteria. *Dictyostelium* PIPs are unusual, with the lipid

chain joined to the *sn*-1-position of the glycerol backbone by an ether, rather than ester, linkage:

these PIPs should correctly be named as derivatives of plasmanylinositol rather than

phosphatidylinositol [40]. This, however, makes no known difference to downstream functions,

which are dictated by interactions between the inositol polyphosphate headgroups and effector

proteins. *Dictyostelium* has thus been an effective model for analysis of phosphoinositide signalling

[41-44]. For convenience, both the mammalian and *Dictyostelium* inositol phospholipids are referred

to as PIPs hereafter.

We find that genetic or pharmacological disruption of PIKfyve activity in *Dictyostelium* leads to a

swollen endosomal phenotype reminiscent of defects in macrophages. We provide a detailed

analysis of phagosome maturation, and show that at least some of the defects in PIKfyve-deficient

cells are due to reduced recruitment of the proton-pumping vacuolar (V-ATPase). Finally, we

demonstrate that PIKfyve activity is required for the efficient killing of phagocytosed bacteria and for

restricting the intracellular growth of the pathogen *Legionella pneumophila.*

## Results

### PIKfyve⁻ *Dictyostelium* have swollen endosomes

The *Dictyostelium* genome contains a single orthologue of *PIKfyve* (*PIP5K3*). Like the mammalian and yeast proteins, *Dictyostelium* PIKfyve contains an N-terminal FYVE domain, a CCT (chaperonin Cpn60/TCP1)-like chaperone domain, a PIKfyve-unique cysteine/histidine-rich domain and a C-terminal PIP kinase domain [7]. In order to investigate the role of PI(3,5)$P_2$ in *Dictyostelium* we disrupted the *PIKfyve* gene in the axenic Ax3 background by inserting a blasticidin resistance cassette and deleting a portion of the central PIKfyve-unique region (Supplementary Figure 1).

While the unusual ether-linked chemistry of the *Dictyostelium* inositol phospholipids prevented direct measurement of PI(3,5)$P_2$ loss by either the standard method of methanolysis followed by HPLC of deacylation products or by mass spectrometry, we found that each mutant strain was highly vacuolated (Figure 1A and B), resembling the swollen vesicle phenotype observed upon *PIKfyve* knockdown or inhibition in mammalian cells, *C. elegans*, *S. cerevisiae* and *D. melanogaster* [10, 15, 20, 45]. This effect was recapitulated by incubation with the PIKfyve-specific inhibitor apilimod [30], confirming that this phenotype was due to deficient PIKfyve activity, most likely via the production of PI(3,5)$P_2$ or PI(5)P (Figure 1C).

When *PIKfyve-* amoebae were hypotonically stressed in phosphate buffer, we observed a sustained increase in vacuolation for at least 5 hours. However, after 24 hours – when the cells became polarized indicating the onset of starvation-induced development – *PIKfyve-* mutants became indistinguishable from the random integrant and parental controls (Figure 1B). This is most likely due to the suppression of fluid-phase uptake that occurs when *Dictyostelium* cells enter starvation-induced development [46, 47]. Consistent with this interpretation, *PIKfyve⁻* cells had no observable delay or other defects in development, and formed morphologically normal fruiting bodies with viable spores (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, disruption of PIKfyve leads to endocytic defects but it is not required for *Dictyostelium* development.

### PIKfyve is important for phagocytic growth but not uptake

Laboratory strains of *Dictyostelium* can obtain nutrients by macropinocytosis of liquid (axenic) medium or by phagocytosis of bacteria. Whilst *PIKfyve⁻* cells had normal rates of both endocytosis and exocytosis, axenic growth was slower than for wild-type cells, with mutants doubling every 16 hours compared to 10 hours for the controls (Figure 2A-C).

Growth on bacteria was more strongly affected. When we measured phagocytosis by following the ability of *Dictyostelium* cells to decrease the turbidity of an *E. coli* suspension over time we found no

significant defects in *PIKfyve⁻* cells (Figure 2D). In contrast, they grew significantly more slowly on a

lawn of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Figure 2E). This indicates a specific role for PIKfyve activity in

phagosome maturation rather than bacterial uptake.

**PIKfyve deficient cells have defective phagosome acidification and V-ATPase delivery**

Next we investigated how the absence of PIKfyve affects phagosomal maturation. One of the first

stages of maturation is the acquisition of the proton-pumping V-ATPase, leading to rapid

acidification [48, 49]. The influence of PIKfyve on endosomal pH regulation remains controversial:

studies in *C. elegans,* plants, and mammalian epithelial cells have shown that PIKfyve is required for

efficient acidification [37, 45, 50-52], but RAW 264.7 macrophages are still able to acidify their

phagosomes to at least pH 5.5 when treated with a PIKfyve inhibitor [33].

Phagosome maturation is well characterised in *Dictyostelium,* with most studies being performed

using mutants in the Ax2 genetic background, rather than Ax3 as used above.  For comparison with

other studies we isolated additional mutants from Ax2 cells, which were used for all subsequent

experiments unless stated otherwise. Ax2 background *PIKfyve* mutants also exhibited slow growth

on bacterial lawns but normal phagocytosis of both beads and bacteria (supplementary figure 3),

confirming that these phenotypes are robust across multiple genetic backgrounds.

We followed phagosome acidification in *PIKfyve⁻ Dictyostelium* by measuring changes in the relative

fluorescence of engulfed beads that had been labelled both with the pH-sensitive FITC and the pH-

insensitive Alexa 594 succinimidyl ester [53]. Phagosomes from wild-type cells rapidly became

acidified and remained acidic until ~40 minutes before transitioning to neutral post-lysosomes, but

phagosomes of *PIKfyve⁻* cells acidified much more slowly and never achieved as low a pH (Figure 3A).

Phagosomal acidification is driven by the rapid recruitment and activity of the V-ATPase. To

differentiate between defective V-ATPase delivery and activity, we directly imaged recruitment of

the VatM transmembrane subunit of the V-ATPase fused to GFP to nascent phagosomes by

microscopy. By observing the phagocytosis of pH-sensitive pHrodo-labelled yeast we were able to

simultaneously monitor acidification.

GFP-VatM began accumulating on phagosomes immediately following internalisation both in

*PIKfyve⁻* and control cells, but it accumulated more slowly in the mutants and to only about half of

the levels observed in wild-type cells (Figure 3B and C). Defective acidification was further

demonstrated by a reduced increase in pHrodo fluorescence (Figure 3D). We conclude that there is

some PIKfyve-independent lysosomal fusion with phagosomes, but that PIKfyve activity is required

for the high levels of V-ATPase accumulation that are needed for efficient phagosomal acidification.

The V-ATPase consists of $V_0$ (transmembrane) and $V_1$ (peripheral) subcomplexes. It has previously

been suggested that $PI(3,5)P_2$ can regulate $V_0$-$V_1$ assembly at the yeast vacuole allowing dynamic

regulation of activity [54]. VatM is a component of the $V_0$ subcomplex (subunit a in mammals and

yeast). To test whether $V_0$-$V_1$ association is also affected by loss of PIKfyve we observed the

phagosomal recruitment of the $V_1$ subunit VatB fused to GFP [55]. Both GFP-VatM and VatB-GFP

were expressed equally in wild-type and mutant cells (Supplementary Figure 4A). As before VatB-

GFP recruitment to nascent phagosomes was also significantly decreased in *PIKfyve*- cells

(Supplementary Figure 4B and C)

It should be noted that expression of VatB-GFP (but not GFP-VatM) caused a partial inhibition of

acidification in this assay, indicating caution should be taken in using this construct (Supplementary

Figure 4D). Nevertheless, the observation that both V-ATPase components were equally affected by

PIKfyve deletion suggests that PIKfyve is required for delivery of the entire V-ATPase to the

phagosome, rather than specifically affecting $V_0$-$V_1$ association.

**Proteolytic activity and hydrolase delivery are specifically affected in *PIKfyve*- cells**

Proper degradation of internalised material requires both acidification and the activity of proteases.

To test if hydrolytic activity was also dependent on PIKfyve, we measured phagosomal proteolysis by

following the increase in fluorescence due to the cleavage and unquenching of DQ-bovine serum

albumin (DQ-BSA) coupled to beads [53] (Figure 4A). Strikingly, despite their partial acidification,

phagosomes in *PIKfyve*- cells exhibited an almost complete loss of proteolytic activity. To control for

a potential general decrease in protease activity, we measured the unquenching of DQ-BSA beads in

whole cell lysates (Figure 4B) and the protein levels of lysosomal protease cathepsin D by Western

blot (Figure 4C and D). Although activity in the phagosome is completely lost, we found that total

lysosomal activity remained normal and cathepsin D levels were significantly increased upon loss of

*PIKfyve*, consistent with a defect in delivery to the phagosome, rather than in lysosomal biogenesis.

To investigate whether PIKfyve activity was required to deliver proteases to the phagosome, we

purified phagosomes at different stages of maturation and analysed their composition by Western

blot (Figure 4E). In wild-type cells phagosomes acquired cathepsin D from the earliest time-point,

but the protease was almost completely absent from phagosomes in *PIKfyve*- cells. Whilst the

delivery of the vacuolar ATPase was also qualitatively reduced in this assay, consistent with

decreased acidification, Actin-binding protein 1 (Abp1), an independent marker of phagosome

maturation [56], was unaffected. Thus, although both hydrolase and to a lesser extent V-ATPase

delivery requires PIKfyve activity, other aspects of maturation appear to progress normally.

**PIKfyve does not regulate PI(3)P dynamics**

We next wanted to confirm whether loss of PIKfyve disrupts specific aspects of phagosome maturation or causes a general trafficking defect. PI(3)P is one of the best characterized early markers of maturing endosomes and phagosomes in both mammalian macrophages and *Dictyostelium.* Immediately following particle internalization, PI(3)P is generated on the phagosome by the class III PI 3-kinase VPS34 [27, 33, 57] and interacts with a number of important regulators of maturation such as Rab5 [58]. PIKfyve is both recruited by PI(3)P and phosphorylates it, forming PI(3,5)$P_2$. Loss of PIKfyve activity could perturb phagosome maturation by reducing PI(3)P consumption, by eliminating the actions of PI(3,5)$P_2$, or both. Studies on macrophages have indicated that inhibition of PIKfyve can cause prolonged PI(3)P signalling [33].

PI(3)P can be visualized in cells using the well-characterised reporter GFP-2xFYVE [41, 59]. Expression of GFP-2xFYVE in control cells demonstrated that PI(3)P is present on *Dictyostelium* phagosomes immediately following engulfment, consistent with previous reports [57] (Figure 4F). However, we found no abnormalities in either the recruitment to or the dissociation from phagosomes of this reporter in *PIKfyve-* mutants (Figure 4F and G). PIKfyve activity seems not to influence the steady-state levels of PI(3)P in *Dictyostelium*, and the functional defects in *PIKfyve-* cells therefore are likely to be caused by a lack of the product(s) of PIKfyve activity.

Overall, the normal transition of *PIKfyve-* phagosomes into a PI(3)P-negative compartment indicates that much of their phagosome maturation process continues normally – but with the product(s) of PIKfyve action playing specific role(s) in the delivery of certain important components, including the V-ATPase and hydrolytic enzymes.

**PIKfyve is essential for efficient killing of bacteria**

Acidification and proteolysis are important mechanisms used by phagocytes to kill engulfed microbes. We therefore asked whether PIKfyve was physiologically important for killing. Bacterial death leads to membrane permeabilisation and intracellular acidification, so survival time within phagosomes can be inferred by observing the phagocytosis and subsequent quenching of GFP fluorescence when expressed by a non-pathogenic *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain [60]. In this assay, the phagocytosed bacteria survived more than three times longer in *PIKfyve-* cells (median survival 12 min) than in wild-type cells (3.5 min) (Figure 5A and B). These benign bacteria did eventually die in *PIKfyve-* cells, indicating either that the residual acidification is eventually sufficient or that enough other elements of the complex bacterial killing machinery remain functional in *PIKfyve-* phagosomes.

These defects in bacterial killing and digestion (Figure 4) explain why PIKfyve is important for

*Dictyostelium* to grow on a lawn of *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 2E). To test whether this is general to a

broad range of bacteria, we employed an assay whereby serial dilutions of amoebae are plated on

lawns of a panel including both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [61]. In this assay,

*PIKfyve*-deficient cells were severely inhibited in growth on all bacteria tested, demonstrating a

general role for PIKfyve in bacterial killing and digestion.

**PIKfyve activity restricts the persistence of *Legionella* infection**

Many pathogenic bacteria infect host immune cells by manipulating phagosome maturation to

establish a replication-permissive niche or to escape into the cytosol. To avoid infection, host cells

must efficiently kill such pathogens; hence PIKfyve might be critical to protect host cells from

infection.

*Legionella pneumophila* is a Gram-negative opportunistic human pathogen that normally lives in the

environment by establishing replicative niches inside amoebae such as *Acanthamoeba*. This process

can be replicated in the laboratory using *Dictyostelium* as an experimental host [62]. Following its

phagocytosis, *Legionella* can disrupt normal phagosomal maturation and form a unique *Legionella*-

containing vacuole (LCV). This requires the Icm/Dot (Intracellular multiplication/Defective for

organelle trafficking) type IV secretion system that delivers a large number of bacterial effector

proteins into the host (reviewed in [63]). These effectors modify many host signalling and trafficking

pathways, one of which prevents the nascent *Legionella*-containing phagosome from fusing with

lysosomes [64].

Phosphoinositide signalling is heavily implicated in *Legionella* pathogenesis, with *Legionella*-

containing phagosomes rapidly accumulating PI(3)P. Its concentration then declines within 2 hours

and PI(4)P accumulates [65]. Multiple effectors introduced through the Icm/Dot system bind PI(3)P

or PI(4)P [66-72]. The role of PI(3,5)$P_2$ (and/or PI(5)P) in *Legionella* infection is yet to be investigated,

so we tested whether PIKfyve was beneficial or detrimental for the host to restrain *Legionella*

infection.

When we measured the rate of uptake of GFP-expressing *Legionella* by flow cytometry, we found

*PIKfyve⁻ Dictyostelium* were indistinguishable from wild-type. Both strains phagocytosed many more

of the virulent wild-type *Legionella* strain (JR32) than an avirulent strain that is defective in type IV

secretion (*ΔicmT*) [73] (Figure 6A). These results are in agreement with the previous finding that

expression of the Icm/Dot T4SS promotes uptake of *Legionella* [74]. When we measured the ability

of *Dictyostelium* to kill *Legionella ΔicmT,* the bacteria survived for significantly longer in *PIKfyve-* cells

(Figure 6B).

We next tested the role of PIKfyve on the outcome of infection. When Ax2 and PIKfyve mutants

were infected with either wild-type or *ΔicmT Legionella,* using a MOI of 0.1 to compensate for the

greater uptake of wild-type *Legionella*, both amoeba strains suppressed the avirulent bacteria,

although the reduction in bacteria was slower in the *PIKfyve* mutants. In contrast, wild-type

*Legionella* grew substantially faster in cells lacking PIKfyve (Figure 6C, note that the CFUs scales in

Figure 6B and C are logarithmic). We independently confirmed these results using flow cytometry of

cells infected with GFP-producing bacteria in our Ax3-background mutants. The only *Dictyostelium*

cells that accumulated substantial GFP fluorescence over several days were those infected by wild-

type *Legionella*, and this happened sooner and to a greater degree in the *PIKfyve-* cells (Figure 6D).

Unlike PI(3)P and PI(4)P, the lipid products of PIKfyve are thus not required for *Legionella* to subvert

phagosome maturation and generate its replicative vacuole. Rather, the role of PIKfyve in ensuring

rapid phagosomal acidification and digestion is crucial for the host to prevent *Legionella*, and

presumably other pathogens, from surviving and establishing a permissive niche.

## Discussion

In this study, we have characterised the role of PIKfyve during phagosome maturation using the

model phagocyte *Dictyostelium.* The roles of PI 3-kinases and PI(3)P signalling during phagosome

formation and early maturation have been studied extensively but the subsequent actions of PIKfyve

and roles of PI(3,5)$P_2$ and PI(5)P are much less well understood [3, 26]. In non-phagocytic cells such

as fibroblasts and yeast, PI(3,5)$P_2$ production is important for endosomal fission and fragmentation

of endolysosomal compartments [10, 18, 37, 45], and PIKfyve inhibition has been shown to cause

macrophage lysosomes to coalesce by an unknown mechanism [34]. PIKfyve also regulates

macropinosome maturation [38], intracellular replication of the *Vaccinia* virus and *Salmonella* [38,

51, 75] and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in neutrophils [35]. In this paper we show

that PIKfyve is critical to ensure efficient phagosomal acidification and proteolysis via delivery of

specific components, and we demonstrate its physiological importance in the killing of bacteria and

suppression of intracellular pathogens.

Complex effects of PIKfyve inhibition on PIP-mediated signalling have hampered clear interpretation

of PIKfyve function in some mammalian studies. For example, some studies report that disruption of

PIKfyve both prolonged PI(3)P-mediated signalling and eliminated PI(3,5)$P_2$ production  [30, 32],

making it difficult to determine which phosphoinositide change is responsible for the observed

phenotypes. In contrast, and in agreement with other reports in mammalian cells [18, 37], we found

that deletion of PIKfyve had no impact on phagosomal PI(3)P dynamics in *Dictyostelium*. The defects

in phagosome maturation that we observed in this system are thus due to lack of PI(3,5)$P_2$/PI(5)P

formation and not to prolonged PI(3)P signalling. There is limited evidence that PIKfyve might exhibit

protein kinase activity [76], but whether this is relevant *in vivo* remains to be shown.

The role of PIKfyve in lysosomal acidification and degradation is currently disputed. Several studies

which have measured vesicular pH at a single time point have shown that PIKfyve is required for

acidification [10, 37, 45, 52], whereas others found that disruption of PIKfyve had little effect on

phagosomal pH [33, 38, 39]. In contrast, we followed the temporal dynamics of V-ATPase delivery

and of phagosomal acidification and proteolysis, and showed that V-ATPase delivery to PIKfyve-

deficient phagosomes was substantially decreased and delayed, with consequent defects on initial

acidification and proteolysis. PI(3,5)$P_2$ has also been proposed to regulate V-ATPase $V_0$-$V_1$

subcomplex association dynamically at the yeast vacuole [54], but we found no evidence for this

during *Dictyostelium* phagosome maturation.

It is still not clear how PIKfyve-generated PI(3,5)$P_2$ regulates V-ATPase trafficking, and few PI(3,5)$P_2$

effectors are known. One of these is the lysosomal cation channel TRPML1/mucolipin, which is

specifically activated by PI(3,5)P$_2$ [77]. This interaction was recently shown to partly underlie the role

of PIKfyve in macropinosome fragmentation, although not acidification [38]. TRPML1 is also required

for phagosome-lysosome fusion [78], and PI(3,5)P$_2$ and TRPML1 have been proposed to mediate

interactions between lysosomes and microtubules [79]. PIKfyve may therefore drive V-ATPase

delivery to phagosomes both by microtubule-directed trafficking and by regulating fission. However,

the sole mucolipin orthologue in *Dictyostelium* is only recruited to phagosomes much later, during

the post-lysosomal phase, and its disruption influences exocytosis rather than acidification [80].

Effective phagosomal acidification and proteolysis is essential if phagocytes are to kill internalised

bacteria. Many clinically relevant opportunistic pathogens, including *Legionella* [63, 81],

*Burkholderia cenocepacia* [82] and *Cryptococcus neoformans* [83], have developed the ability to

subvert normal phagosome maturation so as to maintain a permissive niche inside host phagocytes.

This ability is likely to have evolved from their ancestors' interactions with environmental predators

such as amoebae [84-86].

*Legionella* are phagocytosed in the lung by alveolar macrophages. After internalisation, they employ

effectors secreted via their Type IV secretion system, some of which interfere with PI(3)P-signalling,

to inhibit phagosome maturation [67, 87, 88]. We have shown that the products of PIKfyve are not

required for *Legionella* to establish an intracellular replication niche. Rather, *Legionella* survive much

better in PIKfyve-deficient cells, suggesting that PI(3,5)P$_2$ helps *Dictyostelium* to eliminate rather

than harbour *Legionella*.

This is in contrast to the non-phagocytic invasion of epithelia that occurs during *Salmonella* infection.

In that case, PIKfyve activity is necessary to promote the generation of a specialised survival niche

within which the bacteria replicate [51]. *Salmonella* has thus evolved a specific requirement for

PIKfyve in generating a survival niche – likely through using phagosome acidification as a cue for

virulence factor expression – whereas *Legionella* and other bacteria are suppressed by rapid and

PIKfyve-driven phagosomal maturation.

The molecular arms race between host and pathogens is complex and of great importance. The very

early events of phagosome maturation are critical in this competition; host cells aim to kill their prey

swiftly whilst pathogens try to survive long enough to escape. Although its molecular effectors

remain unclear, PIKfyve and its products are crucial to tip the balance in favour of the host, providing

a general mechanism to ensure efficient antimicrobial activity.

## Materials and Methods

### Cell strains and culture

*Dictyostelium discoideum* cells were grown in adherent culture in plastic Petri dishes in HL5 medium (Formedium) at 22°C. *PIKfyve*⁻ mutants were generated in both Ax2 and Ax3 backgrounds, with appropriate wild-type controls used in each case. Cells were transformed by electroporation and transformants selected in 20 µg/ml hygromycin (Invitrogen) or 10 µg/ml G418 (Sigma). Apilimod was from United States Biological.

Growth in liquid culture was measured by seeding log phase cells in a 6 well plate and counting cells every 12 hours using a haemocytometer. Growth on bacteria was determined by plating ~10 *Dictyostelium* cells on SM agar plates (Formedium) spread with a lawn of non-pathogenic KpGe *K. pneumoniae* [89].

Plaque assays were performed as previously described [90]. Briefly, serial dilution of *Dictyostelium* cells (10-10⁴) were placed on bacterial lawns and grown until visible colonies were obtained. The bacterial strains were kindly provided by Pierre Cosson and were: *K. pneumoniae* laboratory strain and 52145 isogenic mutant (Benghezal et al., 2006), the isogenic *P. aeruginosa* strains PT5 and PT531 (*rhlR-lasR* avirulent mutant) (Cosson et al., 2002), *E.coli* DH5α (Fisher Scientific), *E. coli* B/r (Gerisch, 1959), non-sporulating *B. subtilis* 36.1 (Ratner and Newell, 1978), and *M. luteus* (Wilczynska and Fisher, 1994). An avirulent strain of *K. pneumoniae* was obtained from ATCC (Strain no. 51697).

The *Dictyostelium* development was performed by spreading $10^7$ amoebae on nitrocellulose filters (47 mm Millipore) on top of absorbent discs pre-soaked in KK2 (0.1 M potassium phosphate pH 6.1) and images were taken at 20 hours [91].

### Gene disruption and molecular biology

*PIKfyve*⁻ cells in an Ax2 background were generated by gene disruption using homologous recombination. A blasticidin knockout cassette was made by amplifying a 5' flanking sequence of the *PIKfyve* gene (DDB_G0279149) (primers: fw- GGTAGATGTTTAGGTGGTGAAGT, rv-gatagctctgcctactgaagCGAGTGGTGGAATTCATAAAGG) and 3' flanking sequence (primers: fw-ctactggagtatccaagctgCCATTCAAGATAGACCAACCAATAG, rv- AGAATCAGAATAAACATCACCACC). These primers contained cross over sequences (in lower case) allowing a LoxP-flanked blasticidin resistance cassette (from pDM1079, a kind gift from Douwe Veltman) to be inserted between the two arms.

For *PIKfyve* gene disruption in an Ax3 background a knockout cassette was constructed in pBluescript by sequentially cloning fragment I (amplified by

TAGTAGGAGCTCGGATCCGGTAGATGTTTAGGTGGTGAAGTTTTACCAAC and

TAGTAGTCTAGACGAGTGGTGGAATTCATAAAGGTACGTTCAT) and fragment II (amplified by

TAGTAGAAGCTTCCATTCAAGATAGACCAACCAATAGTAGTCCTGC and

TAGTAGGGTACCGGATCCCAGTGTGTAAATGAGAATCAGAATAAACATCACC). The blasticidin resistance

gene was inserted between fragment I and II as an XbaI – HindIII fragment derived from pBSRδBam

[92]. Both constructs were linearised, electroporated into cells and colonies were screened by PCR.

GFP-2xFYVE was expressed using pJSK489 [41] and GFP-PH$_{CRAC}$ with pDM631 [93]. VatM and VatB

were cloned previously [94] but subcloned into the GFP-fusion expression vectors pDM352 and 353

[95] to give plasmids pMJC25 and pMJC31 respectively.

## Microscopy and image analysis

Fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Ultraview VoX spinning disk confocal

microscope running on an Olympus 1x81 body with an UplanSApo 60x oil immersion objective (NA

1.4). Images were captured on a Hamamatsu C9100-50 EM-CCD camera using Volocity software by

illuminating cells with 488 nm and 594 nm laser lines. Quantification was performed using Image J

(https://imagej.nih.gov).

To image PI(3)P dynamics, cells were incubated in HL5 medium at 4 °C for 5 mins before addition of

10 µl of washed 3 µm latex beads (Sigma) and centrifugation at 280 x $g$ for 10 seconds in glass-

bottomed dishes (Mat-Tek). Dishes were removed from ice and incubated at room temperature for

5 mins before imaging. Images were taken every 30 s across 3 fields of view for up to 30 mins.

V-ATPase recruitment and acidification was performed using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* labelled with

pHrodo red (Life Technologies) as per the manufacturers instructions. *Dictyostelium* cells in HL5

medium were incubated with 1x10$^7$ yeast per 3 cm dish, and allowed to settle for 10 mins before the

medium was removed and cells were gently compressed under a 1% agarose/HL5 disk. Images were

taken every 10 s across 3 fields of view for up to 20 mins. Yeast particles were identified using the

"analyse particles" plugin and mean fluorescence measured over time. V-ATPase recruitment was

measured as the mean fluorescence within a 0.5 µm wide ring selection around the yeast. The signal

was then normalised to the initial fluorescence after yeast internalisation for each cell.

## Endocytosis and exocytosis

To measure endocytosis, *Dictyostelium* at $5 \times 10^6$ cells/ml were shaken at 180 rpm for 15 mins in HL5

before addition of 100 mg/ml FITC dextran (molecular mass, 70 kDa; Sigma). At each time point 500

µl of cell suspension were added to 1 ml ice-cold KK2 on ice. Cells were pelleted at 800 x $g$ for 2 mins

and washed once in KK2. The pellet was lysed in 50 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$ pH 9.3 0.2% Triton X-100 and

measured in a fluorimeter. To measure exocytosis, cells were prepared as above and incubated in 2

mg/ml FITC-dextran overnight. Cells were pelleted, washed twice in HL5 and resuspended in HL5 at 5

$\times 10^6$ cells/ml. 500 µl of cell suspension were taken for each time point and treated as described

above.

## Phagocytosis and phagosomal activity assays

Phagocytosis of *E. coli* was monitored by the decrease in turbidity of the bacterial suspension over

time as described [96]. An equal volume of $2 \times 10^7$ *Dictyostelium* cells was added to a bacterial

suspension with an $OD_{600\,nm}$ of 0.8, shaking at 180 rpm, and the decrease in $OD_{600\,nm}$ was recorded

over time. Phagocytosis of GFP-expressing *M. smegmatis* and 1 µm YG-carboxylated polystyrene

beads (Polysciences Inc.) was previously described [53, 97]. $2 \times 10^6$ *Dictyostelium*/ml were shaken for

2 hours at 150 rpm. Either 1 µm beads (at a ratio of 200:1) or *M. smegmatis* (multiplicity of infection

(MOI) 100) were added, 500 µl aliquots of cells were taken at each time point and fluorescence was

measured by flow cytometry [53].

Phagosomal pH and proteolytic activity were measured by feeding cells either FITC/TRITC or

DQgreen- BSA/Alexa 594-labelled 3 µm silica beads (Kisker Biotech) [53]. Briefly, cells were seeded in

a 96 well plate before addition of beads and fluorescence measured on a plate reader over time. pH

values were determined by the ratio of FITC to TRITC fluorescence using a calibration curve and

relative proteolysis was normalised to Alexa594 fluorescence. To measure proteolytic activity in cell

lysates 4 x $10^7$ cells/ml were resuspended in 150 mM potassium acetate pH 4.0 and lysed by 3 cycles

of freeze/thaw in liquid nitrogen. After pelleting cell debris at 15000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C, 100 µl

of lysate was added per well. Proteolytic activity was measured on a plate reader in triplicate, as

described above, using 1 x $10^8$ DQ-BSA/Alexa594 beads per cell. A 5 x final concentration of HALT

protease inhibitor cocktail (Life Technologies) was added to samples as a negative control.

## Phagosome isolation and blotting

*Dictyostelium* phagosomes were purified at different stages in maturation after engulfment of latex

beads as previously described [98]. Briefly $10^9$ cells per timepoint were incubated with a 200-fold

excess of 0.8 µm diameter beads (Sigma) first in 5 ml ice-cold Soerensen buffer containing 120 mM

sorbitol (SSB) pH 8 for 5 minutes, then added to 100 ml room-temperature HL5 medium in shaking

culture (120 rpm) for 5 (first time point) or 15 minutes to allow phagocytosis (pulse). Engulfment

was stopped by adding cells to 300ml ice-cold SSB and centrifugation. After washing away non-

engulfed beads, cells were again shaken in room-temperature HL5 for the times indicated (chase) to

allow maturation. At each time point, maturation was stopped using ice-cold SSB as above, and cells

pelleted. Phagosomes were purified as in [56], after homogenization using 10-passages through a

ball homogeniser (void clearance 10 µm). The homogenate was incubated with 10 mM Mg-ATP

(Sigma) for 15 minutes before loading onto a discontinuous sucrose gradient. Phagosomes were

collected from the 10-25% interface, normalised by light scattering at 600 nm and analysed by

Western blot. Antibodies used were anti-VatA mAB 221-35-2 (gift from G. Gerisch), anti-vatM mAb

N2 [99]; rabbit anti-cathepsin D [100] and anti-Abp1 [101]. All blots were processed in parallel from

the same lysates with identical exposure and processing between cell lines.

## Bacteria killing assay

Killing of GFP-expressing *K. pneumoniae* was measured as previously described [60]. Briefly, 10 µl of

an overnight culture of bacteria in 280 µl HL5 was placed in a glass-bottomed dish and allowed to

settle before careful addition of 1.5 ml of a *Dictyostelium* cell suspension at $1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml. Images

were taken every 20 s for 40 min at 20x magnification. Survival time was determined by how long

the GFP-fluorescence persisted after phagocytosis.

## Western blotting

Ax2 or *PIKfyve*⁻ cells expressing GFP-VatM or VatB-GFP were analysed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot

using a rabbit anti-GFP primary antibody (gift from A. Peden) and a fluorescently conjugated anti-

rabbit 800 secondary antibody, using standard techniques. The endogenous biotinylated

mitochondrial protein Methylcrotonoyl-CoA Carboxylase 1 (MCCC1) was used as a loading control

using Alexa680-conjugated Streptavadin (Life Technologies) [102].

## *Legionella* infection assays

The following *L. pneumophila* Philadelphia-1 strains were used: virulent JR32 [103], the isogenic

ΔicmT deletion mutant GS3011 lacking a functional Icm/Dot type IV secretion system [73], and

corresponding strains constitutively producing GFP [74]. *L. pneumophila* was grown for 3 days on

charcoal yeast extract (CYE) agar plates, buffered with *N*-(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethane-sulfonic acid

(ACES) [104]. For infections, liquid cultures were inoculated in AYE medium at an $OD_{600}$ of 0.1 and

grown for 21 h at 37 °C (post-exponential growth phase). To maintain plasmids, chloramphenicol

was added at 5 µg/ml.

Uptake by *D. discoideum*, intracellular replication or killing of GFP-producing *L. pneumophila* was

analyzed by flow cytometry as described [71]. Exponentially growing amoebae were seeded onto a

24-well plate ($1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml HL5 medium per well) and allowed to adhere for at least 1–2 h. *L.*

*pneumophila* grown for 21 h in AYE medium was diluted in HL5 medium and used to infect the

amoebae at an MOI of 50. The infection was synchronized by centrifugation (10 min, 500 x *g*), and

infected cells were incubated at 25 °C for 30 min before extracellular bacteria were removed by

washing twice with SorC (2 mM $Na_2HPO_4$, 15 mM $KH_2PO_4$, 50 µM $CaCl_2$, pH 6.0). Infected amoebae

were detached by vigorously pipetting and fixed (PFA 2%, sucrose 125 mM, picric acid 15%, in PIPES

buffer, pH 6.0), and $1 \times 10^4$ amoebae per sample were analyzed using a using a LSR II Fortessa

analyser. The GFP fluorescence intensity falling into a *Dictyostelium* scatter gate was quantified using

FlowJo software (Treestar, http://www.treestar.com).

Alternatively, intracellular replication of *L. pneumophila* in *D. discoideum* was quantified by

determining colony forming units (CFU) in the supernatant as described [71, 105]. Exponentially

growing amoebae were washed and resuspended in MB medium (7 g of yeast extract, 14 g of

thiotone E peptone, 20 mM MES in 1 l of $H_2O$, pH 6.9). Amoebae ($1 \times 10^5$ cells per well) were seeded

onto a 96-well plate, allowed to adhere for at least 2 h, and infected at an MOI of 0.1 with *L.*

*pneumophila* grown in AYE medium for 21 h and diluted in MB medium. The infection was

synchronized by centrifugation, and the infected amoebae were incubated at 25°C. At the time

points indicated, the number of bacteria released into the supernatant was quantified by plating

aliquots (10–20 µl) of appropriate dilutions on CYE plates. Intracellular bacteria were also quantified

by counting CFU after lysis of the infected amoebae with saponin. At the time points indicated host

cells were detached by vigorous pipetting and lysed by incubation with saponin (final concentration

– 0.8%) for 10 min. The number of bacteria released into the supernatant was quantified by plating

20 µl aliquots of appropriate dilutions on CYE plates.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to Phill Hawkins for his endeavours to separate *Dictyostelium* $PIP_2$s

References

1.      Flannagan RS, Cosio G, Grinstein S. Antimicrobial mechanisms of phagocytes and bacterial
evasion strategies. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7(5):355-66. Epub 2009/04/17. doi:
10.1038/nrmicro2128. PubMed PMID: 19369951.
2.      Di Paolo G, De Camilli P. Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics.
Nature. 2006;443(7112):651-7. doi: 10.1038/nature05185. PubMed PMID: 17035995.
3.      Levin R, Grinstein S, Schlam D. Phosphoinositides in phagocytosis and macropinocytosis.
Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1851(6):805-23. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.09.005. PubMed PMID:
25238964.
4.      Gaullier JM, Simonsen A, D'Arrigo A, Bremnes B, Stenmark H, Aasland R. FYVE fingers bind
PtdIns(3)P. Nature. 1998;394(6692):432-3. doi: 10.1038/28767. PubMed PMID: 9697764.
5.      Raiborg C, Bremnes B, Mehlum A, Gillooly DJ, D'Arrigo A, Stang E, et al. FYVE and coiled-coil
domains determine the specific localisation of Hrs to early endosomes. J Cell Sci. 2001;114(Pt
12):2255-63. PubMed PMID: 11493665.
6.      Cabezas A, Pattni K, Stenmark H. Cloning and subcellular localization of a human
phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase, PIKfyve/Fab1. Gene. 2006;371(1):34-41. doi:
10.1016/j.gene.2005.11.009. PubMed PMID: 16448788.
7.      Michell RH, Heath VL, Lemmon MA, Dove SK. Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate:
metabolism and cellular functions. Trends Biochem Sci. 2006;31(1):52-63. doi:
10.1016/j.tibs.2005.11.013. PubMed PMID: 16364647.
8.      Sbrissa D, Ikonomov OC, Shisheva A. PIKfyve, a mammalian ortholog of yeast Fab1p lipid
kinase, synthesizes 5-phosphoinositides. Effect of insulin. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(31):21589-97.
PubMed PMID: 10419465.
9.      Zolov SN, Bridges D, Zhang Y, Lee WW, Riehle E, Verma R, et al. In vivo, Pikfyve generates
PI(3,5)P2, which serves as both a signaling lipid and the major precursor for PI5P. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 2012;109(43):17472-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1203106109. PubMed PMID: 23047693; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3491506.
10.     Yamamoto A, DeWald DB, Boronenkov IV, Anderson RA, Emr SD, Koshland D. Novel PI(4)P 5-
kinase homologue, Fab1p, essential for normal vacuole function and morphology in yeast. Mol Biol
Cell. 1995;6(5):525-39. PubMed PMID: 7663021; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC301213.
11.     Takasuga S, Sasaki T. Phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate: metabolism and physiological
functions. J Biochem. 2013;154(3):211-8. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvt064. PubMed PMID: 23857703.
12.     McCartney AJ, Zhang Y, Weisman LS. Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate: low abundance,
high significance. BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology.
2014;36(1):52-64. doi: 10.1002/bies.201300012. PubMed PMID: 24323921; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3906640.
13.     Ho CY, Alghamdi TA, Botelho RJ. Phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate: no longer the poor
PIP2. Traffic. 2012;13(1):1-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01246.x. PubMed PMID: 21736686.
14.     Michell RH. Inositol lipids: from an archaeal origin to phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate
faults in human disease. FEBS J. 2013;280(24):6281-94. doi: 10.1111/febs.12452. PubMed PMID:
23902363.
15.     Ikonomov O, Sbrissa D, Shisheva A. Mammalian cell morphology and endocytic membrane
homeostasis require enzymatically active phosphoinositide 5-kinase PIKfyve. J Biol Chem.
2001;276(28):26141-7. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M101722200. PubMed PMID: 11285266.
16.     Ikonomov OC, Sbrissa D, Mlak K, Deeb R, Fligger J, Soans A, et al. Active PIKfyve associates
with and promotes the membrane attachment of the late endosome-to-trans-Golgi network
transport factor Rab9 effector p40. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(51):50863-71. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M307260200. PubMed PMID: 14530284.
17.     Rutherford AC, Traer C, Wassmer T, Pattni K, Bujny MV, Carlton JG, et al. The mammalian
phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase (PIKfyve) regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde

transport. J Cell Sci. 2006;119(Pt 19):3944-57. doi: 10.1242/jcs.03153. PubMed PMID: 16954148;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1904490.

18.  de Lartigue J, Polson H, Feldman M, Shokat K, Tooze SA, Urbé S, et al. PIKfyve Regulation
of Endosome-Linked Pathways. Traffic. 2009;10(7):883-93. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.00915.x.

19.  Martin S, Harper CB, May LM, Coulson EJ, Meunier FA, Osborne SL. Inhibition of PIKfyve by
YM-201636 dysregulates autophagy and leads to apoptosis-independent neuronal cell death. PLoS
One. 2013;8(3):e60152. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060152. PubMed PMID: 23544129; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3609765.

20.  Rusten T, Vaccari T, Lindmo K, Rodahl L, Nezis I, Sem-Jacobsen C, et al. ESCRTs and Fab1
regulate distinct steps of autophagy. Curr Biol. 2007;17(20):1817-25. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2007.09.032. PubMed PMID: 17935992.

21.  Tsuruta F, Green EM, Rousset M, Dolmetsch RE. PIKfyve regulates CaV1.2 degradation and
prevents excitotoxic cell death. J Cell Biol. 2009;187(2):279-94. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200903028.
PubMed PMID: 19841139; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2768838.

22.  Min SH, Suzuki A, Stalker TJ, Zhao L, Wang Y, McKennan C, et al. Loss of PIKfyve in platelets
causes a lysosomal disease leading to inflammation and thrombosis in mice. Nat Commun.
2014;5:4691. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5691. PubMed PMID: 25178411; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4369914.

23.  Zhang X, Chow CY, Sahenk Z, Shy ME, Meisler MH, Li J. Mutation of FIG4 causes a rapidly
progressive, asymmetric neuronal degeneration. Brain : a journal of neurology. 2008;131(Pt 8):1990-
2001. doi: 10.1093/brain/awn114. PubMed PMID: 18556664; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2724900.

24.  Zhang Y, McCartney AJ, Zolov SN, Ferguson CJ, Meisler MH, Sutton MA, et al. Modulation of
synaptic function by VAC14, a protein that regulates the phosphoinositides PI(3,5)P(2) and PI(5)P.
EMBO J. 2012;31(16):3442-56. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2012.200. PubMed PMID: 22842785; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3419932.

25.  Zhang Y, Zolov SN, Chow CY, Slutsky SG, Richardson SC, Piper RC, et al. Loss of Vac14, a
regulator of the signaling lipid phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate, results in neurodegeneration
in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(44):17518-23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0702275104. PubMed
PMID: 17956977; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2077288.

26.  Bohdanowicz M, Grinstein S. Role of phospholipids in endocytosis, phagocytosis, and
macropinocytosis. Physiological reviews. 2013;93(1):69-106. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00002.2012.
PubMed PMID: 23303906.

27.  Ellson CD, Anderson KE, Morgan G, Chilvers ER, Lipp P, Stephens LR, et al.
Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate is generated in phagosomal membranes. Curr Biol.
2001;11(20):1631-5. PubMed PMID: 11676926.

28.  Vieira OV, Botelho RJ, Rameh L, Brachmann SM, Matsuo T, Davidson HW, et al. Distinct roles
of class I and class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases in phagosome formation and maturation. J Cell
Biol. 2001;155(1):19-25. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200107069. PubMed PMID: 11581283; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC2150784.

29.  Fratti RA, Backer JM, Gruenberg J, Corvera S, Deretic V. Role of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
and Rab5 effectors in phagosomal biogenesis and mycobacterial phagosome maturation arrest. J Cell
Biol. 2001;154(3):631-44. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200106049. PubMed PMID: 11489920; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC2196432.

30.  Cai X, Xu Y, Cheung AK, Tomlinson RC, Alcazar-Roman A, Murphy L, et al. PIKfyve, a class III PI
kinase, is the target of the small molecular IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor apilimod and a player in Toll-like
receptor signaling. Chem Biol. 2013;20(7):912-21. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.05.010. PubMed
PMID: 23890009.

31.  Ikonomov OC, Sbrissa D, Shisheva A. YM201636, an inhibitor of retroviral budding and
PIKfyve-catalyzed PtdIns(3,5)P2 synthesis, halts glucose entry by insulin in adipocytes. Biochem
Biophys Res Commun. 2009;382(3):566-70. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.03.063. PubMed PMID:
19289105; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3910513.

32. Hazeki K, Nigorikawa K, Takaba Y, Segawa T, Nukuda A, Masuda A, et al. Essential roles of
PIKfyve and PTEN on phagosomal phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate dynamics. FEBS Lett.
2012;586(22):4010-5. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2012.09.043. PubMed PMID: 23068606.
33. Kim GH, Dayam RM, Prashar A, Terebiznik M, Botelho RJ. PIKfyve Inhibition Interferes with
Phagosome and Endosome Maturation in Macrophages. Traffic. 2014;15(10):1143-63. doi:
10.1111/tra.12199. PubMed PMID: 25041080.
34. Choy CH, Saffi G, Gray MA, Wallace C, Dayam RM, Ou ZA, et al. Lysosome enlargement
during inhibition of the lipid kinase PIKfyve proceeds through lysosome coalescence. J Cell Sci.
2018;131(10). Epub 2018/04/18. doi: 10.1242/jcs.213587. PubMed PMID: 29661845.
35. Dayam RM, Sun CX, Choy CH, Mancuso G, Glogauer M, Botelho RJ. The Lipid Kinase PIKfyve
Coordinates the Neutrophil Immune Response through the Activation of the Rac GTPase. Journal of
immunology. 2017;199(6):2096-105. Epub 2017/08/06. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1601466. PubMed
PMID: 28779020.
36. Nicot AS, Fares H, Payrastre B, Chisholm AD, Labouesse M, Laporte J. The phosphoinositide
kinase PIKfyve/Fab1p regulates terminal lysosome maturation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol Biol
Cell. 2006;17(7):3062-74. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E06-12-1120. PubMed PMID: 16801682; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC1483040.
37. Jefferies HB, Cooke FT, Jat P, Boucheron C, Koizumi T, Hayakawa M, et al. A selective PIKfyve
inhibitor blocks PtdIns(3,5)P(2) production and disrupts endomembrane transport and retroviral
budding. EMBO reports. 2008;9(2):164-70. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7401155. PubMed PMID:
18188180; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2246419.
38. Krishna S, Palm W, Lee Y, Yang W, Bandyopadhyay U, Xu H, et al. PIKfyve Regulates Vacuole
Maturation and Nutrient Recovery following Engulfment. Dev Cell. 2016;38(5):536-47. doi:
10.1016/j.devcel.2016.08.001. PubMed PMID: 27623384; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5046836.
39. Ho CY, Choy CH, Wattson CA, Johnson DE, Botelho RJ. The Fab1/PIKfyve Phosphoinositide
Phosphate Kinase Is Not Necessary to Maintain the pH of Lysosomes and of the Yeast Vacuole. J Biol
Chem. 2015;290(15):9919-28. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.613984. PubMed PMID: 25713145.
40. Clark J, Kay RR, Kielkowska A, Niewczas I, Fets L, Oxley D, et al. Dictyostelium uses ether-
linked inositol phospholipids for intracellular signalling. EMBO J. 2014;33(19):2188-200. doi:
10.15252/embj.201488677. PubMed PMID: 25180230; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4282506.
41. Calvo-Garrido J, King JS, Munoz-Braceras S, Escalante R. Vmp1 regulates PtdIns3P signaling
during autophagosome formation in Dictyostelium discoideum. Traffic. 2014;15(11):1235-46. doi:
10.1111/tra.12210. PubMed PMID: 25131297.
42. King JS, Teo R, Ryves J, Reddy JV, Peters O, Orabi B, et al. The mood stabiliser lithium
suppresses PIP3 signalling in Dictyostelium and human cells. Dis Model Mech. 2009;2(5-6):306-12.
doi: 10.1242/dmm.001271. PubMed PMID: 19383941; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2675811.
43. Kortholt A, King JS, Keizer-Gunnink I, Harwood AJ, Van Haastert PJ. Phospholipase C
regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate-mediated chemotaxis. Mol Biol Cell.
2007;18(12):4772-9. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E07-05-0407. PubMed PMID: 17898079; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC2096598.
44. Dormann D, Weijer G, Dowler S, Weijer CJ. In vivo analysis of 3-phosphoinositide dynamics
during Dictyostelium phagocytosis and chemotaxis. J Cell Sci. 2004;117(Pt 26):6497-509. doi:
10.1242/jcs.01579. PubMed PMID: 15572406.
45. Nicot AS, Fares H, Payrastre B, Chisholm AD, Labouesse M, Laporte J. The phosphoinositide
kinase PIKfyve/Fab1p regulates terminal lysosome maturation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Molecular
biology of the cell. 2006;17(7):3062-74. PubMed PMID: WOS:000238721000018.
46. Smith EW, Lima WC, Charette SJ, Cosson P. Effect of starvation on the endocytic pathway in
Dictyostelium cells. Eukaryot Cell. 2010;9(3):387-92. doi: 10.1128/EC.00285-09. PubMed PMID:
20097741; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2837978.

47.      Veltman DM, Williams TD, Bloomfield G, Chen BC, Betzig E, Insall RH, et al. A plasma
membrane template for macropinocytic cups. eLife. 2016;5. doi: 10.7554/eLife.20085. PubMed
PMID: 27960076; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5154761.
48.      Clarke M, Kohler J, Arana Q, Liu T, Heuser J, Gerisch G. Dynamics of the vacuolar H(+)-ATPase
in the contractile vacuole complex and the endosomal pathway of Dictyostelium cells. J Cell Sci.
2002;115(Pt 14):2893-905. PubMed PMID: 12082150.
49.      Buckley CM, Gopaldass N, Bosmani C, Johnston SA, Soldati T, Insall RH, et al. WASH drives
early recycling from macropinosomes and phagosomes to maintain surface phagocytic receptors.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113(40):E5906-E15. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1524532113. PubMed PMID:
27647881.
50.      Gary JD, Wurmser AE, Bonangelino CJ, Weisman LS, Emr SD. Fab1p is essential for PtdIns(3)P
5-kinase activity and the maintenance of vacuolar size and membrane homeostasis. J Cell Biol.
1998;143(1):65-79. PubMed PMID: 9763421; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2132800.
51.      Kerr MC, Wang JT, Castro NA, Hamilton NA, Town L, Brown DL, et al. Inhibition of the PtdIns
(5) kinase PIKfyve disrupts intracellular replication of Salmonella. The EMBO journal.
2010;29(8):1331-47.
52.      Bak G, Lee EJ, Lee Y, Kato M, Segami S, Sze H, et al. Rapid structural changes and acidification
of guard cell vacuoles during stomatal closure require phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate. Plant
Cell. 2013;25(6):2202-16. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.110411. PubMed PMID: 23757398; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC3723621.
53.      Sattler N, Monroy R, Soldati T. Quantitative analysis of phagocytosis and phagosome
maturation. Methods in molecular biology. 2013;983:383-402. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-302-2_21.
PubMed PMID: 23494319.
54.      Li SC, Diakov TT, Xu T, Tarsio M, Zhu W, Couoh-Cardel S, et al. The signaling lipid PI(3,5)P(2)
stabilizes V(1)-V(o) sector interactions and activates the V-ATPase. Mol Biol Cell. 2014;25(8):1251-62.
doi: 10.1091/mbc.E13-10-0563. PubMed PMID: 24523285; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3982991.
55.      Park L, Thomason PA, Zech T, King JS, Veltman DM, Carnell M, et al. Cyclical action of the
WASH complex: FAM21 and capping protein drive WASH recycling, not initial recruitment. Dev Cell.
2013;24(2):169-81. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2012.12.014. PubMed PMID: 23369714.
56.      Gopaldass N, Patel D, Kratzke R, Dieckmann R, Hausherr S, Hagedorn M, et al. Dynamin A,
Myosin IB and Abp1 couple phagosome maturation to F-actin binding. Traffic. 2012;13(1):120-30.
doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01296.x. PubMed PMID: 22008230.
57.      Clarke M, Maddera L, Engel U, Gerisch G. Retrieval of the vacuolar H-ATPase from
phagosomes revealed by live cell imaging. PLoS One. 2010;5(1):e8585. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0008585. PubMed PMID: 20052281; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2796722.
58.      Simonsen A, Lippe R, Christoforidis S, Gaullier JM, Brech A, Callaghan J, et al. EEA1 links
PI(3)K function to Rab5 regulation of endosome fusion. Nature. 1998;394(6692):494-8. doi:
10.1038/28879. PubMed PMID: 9697774.
59.      Gillooly DJ, Morrow IC, Lindsay M, Gould R, Bryant NJ, Gaullier JM, et al. Localization of
phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate in yeast and mammalian cells. Embo Journal. 2000;19(17):4577-
88. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.17.4577. PubMed PMID: WOS:000089275600016.
60.      Leiba J, Sabra A, Bodinier R, Marchetti A, Lima WC, Melotti A, et al. Vps13F links bacterial
recognition and intracellular killing in Dictyostelium. Cell Microbiol. 2017. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12722.
PubMed PMID: 28076662.
61.      Froquet R, Lelong E, Marchetti A, Cosson P. Dictyostelium discoideum: a model host to
measure bacterial virulence. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(1):25-30. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.212. PubMed
PMID: 19131953.

62.     Hilbi H, Hoffmann C, Harrison CF. Legionella spp. outdoors: colonization, communication and
persistence. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2011;3(3):286-96. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00247.x.
PubMed PMID: 23761274.
63.     Finsel I, Hilbi H. Formation of a pathogen vacuole according to Legionella pneumophila: how
to kill one bird with many stones. Cell Microbiol. 2015;17(7):935-50. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12450.
PubMed PMID: 25903720.
64.     Ge J, Shao F. Manipulation of host vesicular trafficking and innate immune defence by
Legionella Dot/Icm effectors. Cell Microbiol. 2011;13(12):1870-80. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-
5822.2011.01710.x. PubMed PMID: 21981078.
65.     Weber S, Wagner M, Hilbi H. Live-cell imaging of phosphoinositide dynamics and membrane
architecture during Legionella infection. MBio. 2014;5(1):e00839-13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00839-13.
PubMed PMID: 24473127; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3903275.
66.     Brombacher E, Urwyler S, Ragaz C, Weber SS, Kami K, Overduin M, et al. Rab1 guanine
nucleotide exchange factor SidM is a major phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate-binding effector
protein of Legionella pneumophila. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(8):4846-56. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M807505200. PubMed PMID: 19095644; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2643517.
67.     Hilbi H, Weber S, Finsel I. Anchors for effectors: subversion of phosphoinositide lipids by
legionella. Front Microbiol. 2011;2:91. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00091. PubMed PMID: 21833330;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3153050.
68.     Jank T, Bohmer KE, Tzivelekidis T, Schwan C, Belyi Y, Aktories K. Domain organization of
Legionella effector SetA. Cell Microbiol. 2012;14(6):852-68. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01761.x.
PubMed PMID: 22288428.
69.     Finsel I, Ragaz C, Hoffmann C, Harrison CF, Weber S, van Rahden VA, et al. The Legionella
effector RidL inhibits retrograde trafficking to promote intracellular replication. Cell Host Microbe.
2013;14(1):38-50. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.06.001. PubMed PMID: 23870312.
70.     Harding CR, Mattheis C, Mousnier A, Oates CV, Hartland EL, Frankel G, et al. LtpD Is a Novel
Legionella pneumophila Effector That Binds Phosphatidylinositol 3-Phosphate and Inositol
Monophosphatase IMPA1. Infection and Immunity. 2013;81(11):4261-70. doi: 10.1128/iai.01054-13.
PubMed PMID: WOS:000325719900031.
71.     Weber SS, Ragaz C, Reus K, Nyfeler Y, Hilbi H. Legionella pneumophila exploits PI(4)P to
anchor secreted effector proteins to the replicative vacuole. Plos Pathogens. 2006;2(5):418-30. doi:
ARTN e46

10.1371/journal.ppat.0020046. PubMed PMID: WOS:000202894500009.
72.     Dolinsky S, Haneburger I, Cichy A, Hannemann M, Itzen A, Hilbi H. The Legionella
longbeachae Icm/Dot substrate SidC selectively binds phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate with
nanomolar affinity and promotes pathogen vacuole-endoplasmic reticulum interactions. Infect
Immun. 2014;82(10):4021-33. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01685-14. PubMed PMID: 25024371; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4187854.
73.     Segal G, Shuman HA. Intracellular multiplication and human macrophage killing by Legionella
pneumophila are inhibited by conjugal components of IncQ plasmid RSF1010. Molecular
Microbiology. 1998;30(1):197-208. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01054.x. PubMed PMID:
WOS:000076538500017.
74.     Hilbi H, Segal G, Shuman HA. Icm/dot-dependent upregulation of phagocytosis by Legionella
pneumophila. Mol Microbiol. 2001;42(3):603-17. PubMed PMID: 11722729.
75.     Rizopoulos Z, Balistreri G, Kilcher S, Martin CK, Syedbasha M, Helenius A, et al. Vaccinia Virus
Infection Requires Maturation of Macropinosomes. Traffic. 2015;16(8):814-31. doi:
10.1111/tra.12290. PubMed PMID: 25869659; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4973667.
76.     Sbrissa D, Ikonomov OC, Shisheva A. PIKfyve lipid kinase is a protein kinase: downregulation
of 5'-phosphoinositide product formation by autophosphorylation. Biochemistry.
2000;39(51):15980-9. Epub 2000/12/22. PubMed PMID: 11123925.

77.    Dong XP, Shen D, Wang X, Dawson T, Li X, Zhang Q, et al. PI(3,5)P(2) controls membrane
trafficking by direct activation of mucolipin Ca(2+) release channels in the endolysosome. Nat
Commun. 2010;1:38. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1037. PubMed PMID: 20802798; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2928581.
78.    Dayam RM, Saric A, Shilliday RE, Botelho RJ. The Phosphoinositide-Gated Lysosomal Ca(2+)
Channel, TRPML1, Is Required for Phagosome Maturation. Traffic. 2015;16(9):1010-26. doi:
10.1111/tra.12303. PubMed PMID: 26010303.
79.    Li X, Rydzewski N, Hider A, Zhang X, Yang J, Wang W, et al. A molecular mechanism to
regulate lysosome motility for lysosome positioning and tubulation. Nat Cell Biol. 2016;18(4):404-17.
doi: 10.1038/ncb3324. PubMed PMID: 26950892.
80.    Lima WC, Leuba F, Soldati T, Cosson P. Mucolipin controls lysosome exocytosis in
Dictyostelium. J Cell Sci. 2012;125(Pt 9):2315-22. doi: 10.1242/jcs.100362. PubMed PMID: 22357942.
81.    Horwitz MA. THE LEGIONNAIRES-DISEASE BACTERIUM (LEGIONELLA-PNEUMOPHILA)
INHIBITS PHAGOSOME-LYSOSOME FUSION IN HUMAN-MONOCYTES. Journal of Experimental
Medicine. 1983;158(6):2108-26. doi: 10.1084/jem.158.6.2108. PubMed PMID:
WOS:A1983RV00200023.
82.    Lamothe J, Huynh KK, Grinstein S, Valvano MA. Intracellular survival of Burkholderia
cenocepacia in macrophages is associated with a delay in the maturation of bacteria-containing
vacuoles. Cell Microbiol. 2007;9(1):40-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00766.x. PubMed PMID:
16869828.
83.    Smith LM, Dixon EF, May RC. The fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans manipulates
macrophage phagosome maturation. Cell Microbiol. 2015;17(5):702-13. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12394.
PubMed PMID: 25394938.
84.    Segal G, Shuman HA. Legionella pneumophila utilizes the same genes to multiply within
Acanthamoeba castellanii and human macrophages. Infection and Immunity. 1999;67(5):2117-24.
PubMed PMID: WOS:000079909300010.
85.    Steenbergen JN, Shuman HA, Casadevall A. Cryptococcus neoformans interactions with
amoebae suggest an explanation for its virulence and intracellular pathogenic strategy in
macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(26):15245-50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.261418798.
PubMed PMID: 11742090; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC65014.
86.    Hasselbring BM, Patel MK, Schell MA. Dictyostelium discoideum as a model system for
identification of Burkholderia pseudomallei virulence factors. Infect Immun. 2011;79(5):2079-88.
doi: 10.1128/IAI.01233-10. PubMed PMID: 21402765; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3088138.
87.    Hubber A, Roy CR. Modulation of host cell function by Legionella pneumophila type IV
effectors. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2010;26:261-83. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100109-104034.
PubMed PMID: 20929312.
88.    Isberg RR, O'Connor TJ, Heidtman M. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole:
making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2009;7(1):12-24. doi:
10.1038/nrmicro1967. PubMed PMID: WOS:000262110300009.
89.    Lima WC, Pillonel T, Bertelli C, Ifrid E, Greub G, Cosson P. Genome sequencing and functional
characterization of the non-pathogenic Klebsiella pneumoniae KpGe bacteria. Microbes and
infection / Institut Pasteur. 2018;20(5):293-301. Epub 2018/05/14. doi:
10.1016/j.micinf.2018.04.001. PubMed PMID: 29753816.
90.    Froquet R, Lelong E, Marchetti A, Cosson P. Dictyostelium discoideum: a model host to
measure bacterial virulence. Nature Protocols. 2009;4(1):25-30. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.212.
PubMed PMID: WOS:000265781800003.
91.    Wilkins A, Khosla M, Fraser DJ, Spiegelman GB, Fisher PR, Weeks G, et al. Dictyostelium RasD
is required for normal phototaxis, but not differentiation. Genes Dev. 2000;14(11):1407-13. PubMed
PMID: 10837033; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC316659.
92.    Sutoh K. A transformation vector for dictyostelium discoideum with a new selectable marker
bsr. Plasmid. 1993;30(2):150-4. doi: 10.1006/plas.1993.1042. PubMed PMID: 8234487.

93.    Veltman DM, Lemieux MG, Knecht DA, Insall RH. PIP(3)-dependent macropinocytosis is
incompatible with chemotaxis. J Cell Biol. 2014;204(4):497-505. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201309081.
PubMed PMID: 24535823; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3926956.
94.    Carnell M, Zech T, Calaminus SD, Ura S, Hagedorn M, Johnston SA, et al. Actin polymerization
driven by WASH causes V-ATPase retrieval and vesicle neutralization before exocytosis. J Cell Biol.
2011;193(5):831-9. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201009119. PubMed PMID: 21606208; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3105540.
95.    Veltman DM, Akar G, Bosgraaf L, Van Haastert PJ. A new set of small, extrachromosomal
expression vectors for Dictyostelium discoideum. Plasmid. 2009;61(2):110-8. doi:
10.1016/j.plasmid.2008.11.003. PubMed PMID: 19063918.
96.    King J, Insall RH. Parasexual genetics of Dictyostelium gene disruptions: identification of a ras
pathway using diploids. Bmc Genetics. 2003;4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-4-12. PubMed PMID:
WOS:000184659500001.
97.    Arafah S, Kicka S, Trofimov V, Hagedorn M, Andreu N, Wiles S, et al. Setting up and
monitoring an infection of Dictyostelium discoideum with mycobacteria. Methods Mol Biol.
2013;983:403-17. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-302-2_22. PubMed PMID: 23494320.
98.    Gotthardt D, Dieckmann R, Blancheteau V, Kistler C, Reichardt F, Soldati T. Preparation of
intact, highly purified phagosomes from Dictyostelium. Methods Mol Biol. 2006;346:439-48. doi:
10.1385/1-59745-144-4:439. PubMed PMID: 16957306.
99.    Fok AK, Clarke M, Ma L, Allen RD. Vacuolar H(+)-ATPase of Dictyostelium discoideum. A
monoclonal antibody study. J Cell Sci. 1993;106 ( Pt 4):1103-13. Epub 1993/12/01. PubMed PMID:
8126094.
100.    Journet A, Chapel A, Jehan S, Adessi C, Freeze H, Klein G, et al. Characterization of
Dictyostelium discoideum cathepsin D. Journal of cell science. 1999;112(21):3833-43.
101.    Dieckmann R, von Heyden Y, Kistler C, Gopaldass N, Hausherr S, Crawley SW, et al. A myosin
IK-Abp1-PakB circuit acts as a switch to regulate phagocytosis efficiency. Mol Biol Cell.
2010;21(9):1505-18. Epub 2010/03/05. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E09-06-0485. PubMed PMID: 20200225;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2861610.
102.    Davidson AJ, King JS, Insall RH. The use of streptavidin conjugates as immunoblot loading
controls and mitochondrial markers for use with Dictyostelium discoideum. Biotechniques.
2013;55(1):39-41. doi: 10.2144/000114054. PubMed PMID: 23834384.
103.    Sadosky AB, Wiater LA, Shuman HA. Identification of Legionella pneumophila genes required
for growth within and killing of human macrophages. Infect Immun. 1993;61(12):5361-73. PubMed
PMID: 8225610; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC281323.
104.    Feeley JC, Gibson RJ, Gorman GW, Langford NC, Rasheed JK, Mackel DC, et al. Charcoal-yeast
extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneumophila. J Clin Microbiol. 1979;10(4):437-
41. PubMed PMID: 393713; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC273193.
105.    Solomon JM, Rupper A, Cardelli JA, Isberg RR. Intracellular growth of Legionella pneumophila
in Dictyostelium discoideum, a system for genetic analysis of host-pathogen interactions. Infect
Immun. 2000;68(5):2939-47. PubMed PMID: 10768992; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC97507.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1

**Knockout or inhibition of PIKfyve leads to a swollen vesicle phenotype.** (A) DIC images of Ax3, two
independent *PIKfyve*⁻ clones and a random integrant growing in HL5 medium. Arrows indicate the
enlarged vesicles. (B) Changes in morphology upon incubation in low osmolarity starvation buffer
(KK2) compared to full medium (HL5). Swollen vesicles in *PIKfyve*⁻ cells became initially more
apparent but were lost as cells entered differentiation. (C) Induction of swollen vesicles with 5 µM
apilimod, a PIKfyve-specific inhibitor, images taken in HL5 medium after 5 hours of treatment.

Figure 2

*PIKfyve*-**null cells have growth defects**. Measurement of (A) macropinocytosis or (B) exocytosis in
Ax3 and *PIKfyve*⁻ cells as measured by uptake or loss of FITC dextran. (C) Growth rates in axenic
culture. *PIKfyve*⁻ cells had a significantly longer generation time than Ax3 cells (Student's t-test). (D)
Phagocytosis of *E. coli,* as measured by the ability of *Dictyostelium* cells to reduce the turbidity of a
bacterial suspension. (E) Growth *PIKfyve* mutants on lawns of *K. pneumoniae* as indicated by the
clearance of bacteria-free plaques on agar plates. All data plotted are mean +/- SD.

Figure 3

**Disruption of *PIKfyve* prevents V-ATPase delivery and phagosome acidification.** (A) Measurement
of phagosomal acidification in Ax2 and *PIKfyve*⁻ cells after engulfment of beads conjugated to pH-
sensitive fluorophores. After initial acidification, *Dictyostelium* phagosomes reneutralise ~45 minutes
prior to exocytosis. (B) Recruitment of the V-ATPase subunit GFP-VatM to phagocytosed pHrodo-
labelled yeast visualised by confocal time-lapse imaging. (C) Quantification of GFP-VatM recruitment
over time averaged across multiple phagocytic events. Images were quantified by automated
selection of a 0.5 µm-thick ring surrounding the yeast at each time point (see yellow dotted circle in
(B)). N indicates the total number of cells analysed over 3 independent experiments. Quantification
of the associated increase in yeast-associated pHrodo fluorescence, indicating acidification is shown
in (D). Data shown are mean +/- SEM, p values calculated by Student's t-test.

Figure 4

**PIKfyve is required for hydrolase activity and proteolysis.** (A) Phagosomal proteolysis measured by
dequenching of DQ-BSA-conjugated beads after phagocytosis. (B) Total proteolytic activity in cell
lysates against DQ-BSA-beads is unchanged upon *PIKfyve* disruption, dotted lines are parallel
samples in the presence of protease inhibitors. (C) Western blot of cathepsin D protein levels, three
independent samples of each strain were normalised for total protein and are quantified in (D).

Loading control is fluorescent streptavidin which recognises the mitochondrial protein MCCC1; P-

value from a one-sample T-test. (E) Analysis of phagosome maturation, by purifying phagosomes

from cells after maturation for the indicated times. VatA is a subunit of the $V_1$ subcomplex of the V-

ATPase, whereas VatM is a $V_0$ component. Blots are from the same samples and are representative

of multiple independent purifications. (F) Analysis of PI(3)P dynamics in the absence of PIKfyve.

PI(3)P was monitored by the recruitment of GFP-2xFYVE following phagocytosis of 3 µm beads

(asterisks) imaged by confocal time-lapse microscopy. (G) Time that GFP-2xFYVE stays associated

with phagosomes following engulfment, indicating that PI(3)P removal is not PIKfyve-dependent. N

indicates the total number of cells quantified across 3 independent experiments. Data shown are

mean ± SEM (A & B) or SD (G).

Figure 5

**Bacterial survival is increased in *PIKfyve*-null cells**. (A) Stills from widefield timelapse movies of

*Dictyostelium* cells eating GFP-expressing *Klebsiella pneumoniae.* The point of bacterial cell

permeablisation and death can be inferred from the quenching of GFP fluorescence after

engulfment. Arrows indicate captured bacteria. This is quantified in (B) which shows a Kaplan-Meyer

survival graph, based on the persistence of bacteria GFP-fluorescence within the amoebae. 60

bacteria were followed across three independent experiments and survived significantly longer in

*PIKfyve*-null cells than Ax2 (p<0.0001, Mantel-Cox test). (C) Loss of PIKfyve inhibits growth on diverse

bacteria. Growth was assessed by plating serial dilutions of amoebae on lawns of different bacteria

and dark plaques indicate amoebae growth. Data for all bacteria are summarised in (D).

Figure 6

**PIKfyve is required to suppress *Legionella* replication.** A) Wild-type (Ax2, black lines), or *PIKfyve*-null

*Dictyostelium* (red lines) were infected (MOI 50) with wild-type (JR32, solid lines) or avirulent (ΔicmT,

dotted lines) *Legionella* expressing GFP and fixed 40 min post infection before analysis by flow

cytometry. The GFP fluorescence intensity, indicating bacteria per cell was indistinguishable

between the two *Dictyostelium* strains, but higher for JR32 than ΔicmT. Uninfected cells are

represented by pale black/red lines. Data are representative of three independent experiments,

performed in duplicate. (B) Survival of GFP-ΔicmT *Legionella* after infecting Ax2 and *PIKfyve*-null

amoebae (MOI 50). Bacterial colony forming units (CFU) were determined at each timepoint after

lysis of the *Dictyostelium* amoebae. (C) Outcome of infection with either wild-type (solid lines) or

ΔicmT (dashed lines) *Legionella*. *Dictyostelium* cells were infected at a MOI of 0.1, and intracellular

growth measured by CFU's at each indicated time. Data shown are the means +/- SEM of 3

independent experiments performed in triplicate (*=p<0.05, **p<0.01 Student's t-test vs equivalent

Ax2 infection). (D) Flow cytometry of intracellular bacterial burden of Ax3-derived *PIKfyve*-null cells

infected with GFP-producing *Legionella* strains over time. Virulent *Legionella* replicate more

efficiently in *PIKfyve⁻ Dictyostelium*, as indicated by the increasing proportions of amoebae

containing high levels of GFP over time. Graphs show >10,000 cells measured at each time point, and

are representative of 3 independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure 1

**PIKfyve gene disruption.** (A) Schematic representation of *Dictyostelium* PIKfyve indicating the site

where the blasticidin resistance cassette was inserted into the gene to generate knockouts.

Supplementary Figure 2

**PIKfyve is not required for development.** (A) Images of *Dictyostelium* fruiting bodies formed on filter

discs, indicating a normal morphology and proportioning in the absence of PIKfyve. (B) Higher

magnification differential interference contrast (DIC) images of pores collected from the fruiting

bodies in (A).

Supplementary Figure 3

**Conservation of *PIKfyve*-null phenotypes in Ax2-derived mutants.** Phagocytosis of (A) of 1 μm

beads or (B) GFP-expressing *Mycobacterium smegmatis* measured by flow cytometry, is normal in

*PIKfyve*-null cells. (C) Growth on lawns of *K. pneumoniae* is impaired. Colony diameter over time is

plotted in (D). All data are means +/- SD.

Supplementary Figure 4

**VatB-GFP expression has a dominant negative effect on acidification.** (A) Western blot of cells

expressing VatB-GFP or GFP-VatM, probed with an anti-GFP antibody (green). There was no

difference in expression levels between Ax2 and *PIKfyve⁻* cells for either reporter. However, VatB-

GFP was expressed at higher levels than GFP-VatM, likely because it is present in 3 copies per V-

ATPase complex. Loading control is the mitochondrial protein MCCC1, recognised by Alexa680-

conjugated streptavidin (red). (B) Recruitment of vatB-GFP to phagosomes containing pHrodo-

labelled yeast. (C) Automated image analysis of vatB-GFP recruitment as described in Figure 3,

showing reduced recruitment in *PIKfyve*-null cells. (D) Phagosome acidification, measured by the

increase in pHrodo fluorescence over time. Note that expression of vatB-GFP in Ax2 cells significantly

reduces phagosome acidification relative to GFP-vatM expressing cells, indicating disruption of V-

ATPase activity. Values plotted are mean +/- SEM.

**Figure 1: KO or inhibition of PIKfyve leads to a swollen vesicle phenotype**

**Figure 2: *PIKfyve-* cells have growth defects**

**Figure 3: Disruption of PIKfyve prevents
v-ATPase delivery and phagosome acidification**

**Figure 4: PIKfyve is required for proteolysis and hydrolase delivery**

**A**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ax2 | pre | 0s | 260s | 280s |
| PIKfyve- | pre | 0s | 260s | 280s | 400s | 680s | 780s |

**B**

Percent bacterial survival vs Time (mins)

- Ax2
- PIKfyve-

n=60
n=60

**C**

Cells — M. luteus (Ax2, PIKfyve-) — K. pneumoniae (Ax2, PIKfyve-)

10K
1K
100
10

**D**

| | Ax2 | PIKfyve- |
|---|---|---|
| K. aerogenes | | |
| K. pneumoniae | | |
| M. luteus | | |
| B. subtilis | | |
| E.coli Br | | |
| E.coli DH5α | | |
| S. aureus | | |
| P. aeruginosa | | |

Growth

**Figure 5:  Bacterial survival is increased in *PIKfyve*- cells**

**Figure 6: *PIKfyve* is required to suppress *Legionella* infection**

# A

# Supplementary figure 1: PIKfyve gene disruption

**A**

Ax3

*PIKfyve*- 1

**B**

Ax3

*PIKfyve*- 1

10μm

# Supplementary figure 2: PIKfyve is not required for development

**Supplementary figure 3: *PIKfyve-* in Ax2 genetic background is comparable to knockout in Ax3**

**A**

**B**

**C** **D**

# Supplementary figure 4: VatB expression has a dominant negative effect on acidification