E-cadherin represses anoikis resistance in sarcomas through both signaling and mechanical mechanisms

Mohit Kumar Jolly[1#], Kathryn E. Ware[2#], Shengnan Xu[2], Shivee Gilja[2], Samantha Shetler[2], Yanjun Yang[1, 3], Xueyang Wang[4], R. Garland Austin[2], Alexander J. Hish[2], Suzanne Bartholf DeWitt[5], Jason T George[1,6,7], R. Timothy Kreulen[8], Mary-Keara Boss[9], Alexander L. Lazarides[8], David L. Kerr[8], Drew G. Gerber[2], Andrew J. Armstrong[10,11], Mark W. Dewhirst[12], William C. Eward[8], Herbert Levine[1,6], and Jason A. Somarelli[2]

[1]Center for Theoretical Biological Physics, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA; [2]Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; [3]Department of Applied Physics, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA; [4]School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; [5]Department of Pathology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; [6] Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA; [7]Medical Scientist Training Program, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; [8]Department of Orthopaedics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; [9]Flint Animal Cancer Center, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA; [10]Solid Tumor Program and [11]Duke Prostate Center, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; [12]Department of Radiation Oncology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA

#These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Address correspondence to jason.somarelli@duke.edu

Key words: anchorage-independent growth, phenotypic plasticity, E-cadherin, TBX2, CREB

Abstract

E-cadherin, an epithelial-specific cell-cell adhesion molecule, plays multiple roles in maintaining
adherens junctions, regulating migration and invasion, and mediating intracellular signaling.
Downregulation of E-cadherin is a hallmark of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and
correlates with poor prognosis in multiple carcinomas. Conversely, upregulation of E-cadherin is
prognostic for improved survival in sarcomas. Yet, despite the prognostic benefit of E-cadherin
expression in sarcoma, the mechanistic significance of E-cadherin in sarcomas remains poorly
understood. Here, by combining mathematical models with wet-bench experiments, we identify
the core regulatory networks mediated by E-cadherin in sarcomas, and decipher their functional
consequences. Unlike in carcinomas, E-cadherin overexpression in sarcomas does not induce a
mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET). However, E-cadherin acts to reduce both anchorage-
independent growth and spheroid formation of sarcoma cells. Ectopic E-cadherin expression acts
to downregulate phosphorylated CREB (p-CREB) and the transcription factor, TBX2, to inhibit
anoikis resistance. RNAi-mediated knockdown of TBX2 phenocopies the effect of E-cadherin on
p-CREB levels and restores anoikis sensitivity to sarcoma cells. Beyond its signaling role, E-
cadherin expression in sarcoma cells can also strengthen cell-cell adhesion and restricts spheroid
growth through mechanical action. Together, our results demonstrate that E-cadherin inhibits
sarcoma aggressiveness by inducing anoikis and restricting colony growth.

51
52

Introduction

Sarcomas – deadly cancers that arise from tissues of a mesenchymal lineage – are highly aggressive, with five year survival rates of just 66% (Siegel et al., 2017). Despite their mesenchymal origin, some sarcomas undergo phenotypic plasticity in which they gain "epithelial-like" traits (Somarelli et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2012). One might expect the acquisition of epithelial-like traits to be of little relevance in mesenchymal tumors, yet that is not the case. Phenotypic plasticity is clinically important in sarcoma patients: Sarcoma patients whose tumors express epithelial-like biomarkers have improved outcomes relative to patients with more "mesenchymal-like" tumors (Somarelli et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015a; Yin et al., 2012).

Phenotypic plasticity observed in sarcomas is reminiscent of the phenomenon of epithelial plasticity in carcinomas. Epithelial plasticity refers to reversible transitions between epithelial and mesenchymal phenotypes. In carcinomas, the phenotypic transition to a more mesenchymal-like state via an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) promotes migratory and invasive gene expression programs that facilitate cancer cell invasion and metastatic seeding (Nieto et al., 2016). Subsequent to metastatic dissemination, a reversion to an epithelial-like state via mesenchymal -epithelial transition (MET) re-awakens proliferative signals within the metastatic niche to enable metastatic colonization (Nieto et al., 2016).

In carcinomas, the gene expression programs that control EMT/MET are regulated at multiple stages, including through epigenetics (Tam and Weinberg, 2013), transcription (De Craene and Berx, 2013), microRNAs (Lu et al., 2013), alternative splicing (Brown et al., 2011; Jia et al., 2017), and post-translational protein stability (De Herreros et al., 2010). These regulatory mechanisms control genes involved in cell polarity, cytoskeletal architecture, cell-substrate adhesion, and cell-cell adhesion. One of these genes, E-cadherin, is an epithelial-specific cell-cell adhesion molecule that has multiple functions in maintenance of adherens junctions (Lewis et al., 1995), cytoskeletal organization (Chen et al., 2014), migration (Chen and Obrink, 1991; Jolly et al., 2017a), and intracellular signaling (Orsulic et al., 1999). Downregulation of E-cadherin is a marker of poor prognosis in multiple cancers of an epithelial origin (Hong et al., 2011; Li et al., 2017). In addition, loss-of-function germline mutations in E-cadherin predispose individuals to familial gastric cancer (Guilford et al., 1998), early onset colorectal cancer (Richards et al., 1999), and hereditary lobular breast cancer (Corso et al., 2016).

Consistent with its known tumor suppressor role in carcinomas, E-cadherin upregulation is also prognostic for improved survival in sarcomas (Wang et al., 2015b). However, despite the prognostic importance of E-cadherin in sarcomas, little is known about the molecular mechanisms that underlie improved outcomes of E-cadherin upregulation in mesenchymally-derived cancers. Here, we use a combined theoretical-experimental approach to decipher the gene regulatory networks driven by E-cadherin in sarcomas. Unlike in many carcinomas, in which E-cadherin is sufficient to induce a more epithelial-like phenotype (Chao et al., 2010), ectopic over-expression of E-cadherin in sarcomas did not alter epithelial plasticity biomarkers,

migration, or invasion. E-cadherin expression did, however, significantly inhibit both anoikis
resistance and spheroid growth in sarcoma cells. Non-cancer cells that become detached from the
normal tissue architecture undergo a cell death program known as anoikis. Resistance to anoikis
is a hallmark of cancer progression and of an aggressive phenotype. E-cadherin-mediated
repression of anoikis resistance was accompanied by downregulation of phospho-CREB and the
transcription factor, TBX2. TBX2 knockdown led to reductions in total and phospho-CREB and
phenocopied E-cadherin-mediated inhibition of anoikis resistance. In addition to its signaling
role, E-cadherin repressed spheroid size through increased cell-cell adhesion. Together, these
results indicate that E-cadherin acts through both signaling and mechanical roles to inhibit
sarcoma aggressiveness by suppressing anoikis resistance and spheroid growth.
114

Materials and Methods
<u>Analysis of the prognostic impact of E-cadherin in clinical data sets</u>
The prognostic significance of E-cadherin in osteosarcoma was analyzed using the R2:
Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform (https://hgserver1.amc.nl/cgi-bin/r2/main.cgi).
Kaplan Meier plots of metastasis-free survival and overall survival were constructed using the
Kaplan Meier option with the 'mixed osteosarcoma' dataset (Kuijjer, Rydbeck et al. 2012). For
soft tissue sarcomas, Kaplan Meier plots were constructed in JMP13.0 as previously described
(Somarelli, Shetler et al. 2016) using E-cadherin RNA-Seq and protein levels from The Cancer
Genome Atlas (TCGA). Correlations between E-cadherin levels and EMT score were performed
using RNA-Seq data from TCGA and analyzed in JMP13.0.
125

To calculate EMT scores, raw TCGA sarcoma gene expression data for 259 samples was
accessed from the R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform (http://r2.amc.nl). EMT
quantification was applied by using a small set of EMT-predictors in addition to a normalization
signature of EMT-uncorrelated transcripts as described previously (George, Jolly et al. 2017).
Each sample was assigned an EMT score, $0 < \mu < 2$, with E scores $\mu_E < 0.5$, M scores $\mu_M > 1.5$
and hybrid E/M scores $0.5 \leq \mu_{E/M} \leq 1.5$ intermediary to both E and M scores. Samples were
partitioned into E-cadherin^{low} and E-cadherin^{high} categories based on median E-cadherin
expression. The probability distribution for each group was estimated by spline interpolation of
the empirical EMT score histogram.
135

<u>Cell culture conditions, ectopic E-cadherin expression, and flow cytometry</u>
143B, U2OS, Abrams, and RD cells were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's
Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1%
penicillin/streptomycin (pen-strep) at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. 143B, U2OS,
and RD cells were obtained from the Duke University Cell Culture Facility, which performs
routine mycoplasma testing and verifies cell identity by analysis of short tandem repeats. Abrams
cells were a gift of Dr. Douglas Thamm (Colorado State University). To generate sarcoma cells
stably expressing E-cadherin, 143B, U2OS, and RD cells were stably transfected with an empty

vector (pcDNA3) or hE-cadherin-pcDNA3 (a gift from Barry Gumbiner; Addgene plasmid #
45769; (Gottardi, Wong et al. 2001)). E-cadherin positive cells were sorted by flow cytometry
using a PerCP710 anti-E-cadherin antibody (eBioscience cat. 46-3249). To do this, confluent
cells in a T75 flask were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), scraped, pelleted by
centrifugation at 250 x g for 10 minutes, resuspended in 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS,
incubated for 30 minutes, centrifuged as above, resuspended in anti-E-cadherin antibody dilution
at 5 μL in 100 μL of DMEM with 5% FBS per 1×10^6 cells, incubated for 30 minutes,
centrifuged again, washed with 5 ml of PBS, spun, resuspended in 0.5 ml of DMEM
supplemented with 5% FBS, and filtered through a 30 μM filter (Partek) into a flow cytometry
tube. E-cadherin+ cells were sorted into fully supplemented DMEM at the Duke University Flow
Cytometry Shared Resource.

Knockdown by siRNAs
A total of 20 nM of Allstars non-silencing (Qiagen) or target gene siRNA (Qiagen) was diluted
in Opti-MEM and mixed with Lipofectamine RNAiMax diluted in Opti-MEM. The RNAiMax-
siRNA mixtures were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature, followed by plating of the
mixtures in 24-well plates. A total of 25,000 cells/well diluted in fully supplemented DMEM
were seeded atop the RNAiMax-siRNA mixtures and incubated overnight at 37°C and 5% CO_2
in a humidified incubator. Media was replaced the next day. After 72 hours of incubation, cells
were collected in the appropriate buffer or fixative for downstream applications.

Gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR
RNA was extracted from cultured cells using the Zymo Quick RNA Miniprep Kit following the
manufacturer's protocol. Reverse transcription (RT) reactions were performed as described
(Somarelli et al. 2016) using the ABI High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit
(ThermoFisher). RT reactions were incubated following the manufacturer's protocol in a
SimpliAmp thermocycler (Life Technologies). RT reactions were diluted 1:5 in nuclease-free
H2O, and RT-qPCR was performed as described (Somarelli et al. 2016) in a Vii7 real time-PCR
detection system (Applied Biosystems).

Western blotting, immunofluorescence staining, phospho-kinase arrays, and ELISAs
Western blotting and immunofluorescence staining were performed as previously described
(Somarelli et al. 2016). A complete list of primary antibodies and their dilutions is provided in
the Supplementary Text. To prepare lysates for phospho-kinase arrays, E-cadherin⁻ and E-
cadherin⁺ cells were sorted by flow cytometry prior to each assay, seeded into 10 cm dishes, and
allowed to grow for 48-72 hours until they reached 80% confluence. Cells were then washed
twice with ice-cold PBS and scraped gently in 2 ml of PBS using cell scrapers. Cells were
counted using the CountessII system (Life Technologies), and cells were solubilized at 1×10^7
cells/ml in Lysis Buffer 6 provided as part of the Proteome Profiler Human Phospho-Kinase
Array Kit (R&D Systems). Total protein concentrations in 143B+empty vector and 143B+E-
cadherin lysates were estimated using a combination of both Bradford assays and western

blotting (to analyze consistent loading of intact protein with β-actin as a loading control). Lysates were used in the Proteome Profiler Human Phospho-Kinase Array (R&D cat. ARY003b) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were exposed to x-ray films and visualized on a light box. The same procedure was used to generate lysates for ELISAs, and p-CREB levels were quantified using DuoSet IC Human/Mouse/Rat Phospho-CREB (S133) ELISA kits (R&D Systems).

<u>Migration, invasion, proliferation, soft agar, spheroid growth assays and cell-cell adhesion assays</u>
For migration and invasion assays, a total of 500 μL of DMEM (with 10% FBS and 1% pen/strep) was added to the top and bottom of Boyden chamber wells for two hours to hydrate the transwell membranes. Next, 50,000 cells per well diluted in 500 μL of serum-free DMEM was added to the top of the chambers, with 500 μL of media containing 10% FBS in the bottom chambers. Cells were incubated for 24 hours, after which the tops of the chambers were scrubbed with sterile cotton swabs, and the bottoms were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 minutes, permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 minutes, blocked in 5% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes, and stained with Hoechst dye (1:2,000 dilution) for one hour. Images were captured at 40X total magnification via epifluorescence microscopy, and cells were counted using ImageJ. Invasion was calculated as the proportion of cells migrating through Matrigel-coated chambers divided by the number of cells migrating through uncoated chambers.

Scratch wound migration assays were performed in 96-well plates for 143B cells and in 24-well plates for RD cells. 143B cells were seeded at 20,000 cells per well and incubated overnight, after which scratches were made in the wells using a WoundMaker (Essen Biosciences). For RD cells, 200,000 cells per well were seeded and incubated overnight. Scratches were made using a 20-200 μl pipette tip. For both cell types, wells were washed with PBS, and replaced with fully supplemented DMEM. Images were captured every two hours using the IncuCyte Zoom Live Cell Imaging System. Scratch wound migration of 143B cells was analyzed using the migration analysis module in the IncuCyte Zoom software, and migration of RD cells was analyzed using ImageJ.

For monolayer proliferation assays, 25,000 cells per well were plated in 500 μL of DMEM in 24-well plates, and percent confluence was analyzed using the IncuCyte Zoom software, with imaging at two hour intervals at 100X total magnification.

Soft agar anchorage-independent growth assays were performed as previously described (Borowicz, Van Scoyk et al. 2014). Briefly, 25,000 cells were embedded in a 0.3% agarose layer atop a bottom layer of 0.5% agarose and cultured for approximately three weeks in fully supplemented DMEM in 6-well plates. Media was replenished weekly, after which colonies were stained with Nitrotetrazoleum Blue overnight, plates were imaged, and colonies counted using ImageJ.

For spheroid growth assays, cells were scraped in fully supplemented DMEM, and 1,000 cells/well were seeded in ultra-low attachment plates (Costar, cat. #7007).

For cell-cell adhesion assays, 12-well plates were incubated overnight with
0.5%BSA/Fraction V in CMFS buffer at 4°C. CMFS buffer contains 4 g NaCl, 0.2g KCl, 0.03g
Na_2HPO_4, and 0.5 g glucose diluted in 500 ml PBS (-/-). Plates were washed with CMFS buffer
and 200,000 cells were immediately seeded in 1mL of media. Plates were incubated on an orbital
shaker at 100 rpm at 37°C for 3 hours, followed by the addition of 1.5 mL of 4% PFA and
incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. Cells aggregates were immediately quantified in
size categories using ImageJ.

Statistical analyses
All data were analyzed for statistically significant differences using the Student's t-test (for two
comparisons) or analysis of variance with Tukey's post-hoc correction (for multiple
comparisons) in JMP Pro 13. Any p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
234
Quantitative modeling for simulating the mechanical effects of E-cadherin
We use a two-dimensional subcellular elements model (Basan et al., 2013; Zimmermann et al.,
2016; (Yang and Levine 2018)) to simulate the effects of different levels of E-cadherin, where
each cell is represented by two subcellular elements, the front and rear element (inserted cartoon
in Fig. 7B (Zimmermann et al., 2016)). Each subcellular element is self-propelled with a self-
propulsion force m, which balances the intracellular contraction f_{contr} between the front and rear
element. We use a long range attractive and short range repulsive intercellular force $f_{rep/adh}$ to
model cell-cell adhesion (E-cadherin level) and volume exclusion, respectively. There is a
friction between each subcellular element and the substrate with a constant coefficient ξ. The
velocity and position for each subcellular element is updated by: $v = 1/\xi \, (m + f_{contr} + f_{rep/adh})$, and
x = vdt. In our simulation, cells can divide with a probability based on its size (Zimmermann et
al., 2016). Once the cell length exceeds a certain threshold, it divides at a given probability.
Upon division, two new subcellular elements are inserted, forming two new cells. This process
simulates the cell cycle: the expansion of cell culture is driven by contact inhibition of
locomotion, and cells are elongated during the expansion.
250
Quantitative mathematical modeling of E-cadherin regulatory network in anoikis resistance
A mathematical model representing the interactions among E-cadherin, TBX2, NRAGE, and
their effects on anoikis sensitivity was constructed. The set of coupled ordinary differential
equations (ODEs) representing the dynamics of these molecular species was simulated in
MATLAB (Mathworks Inc.). The details of model construction and parameters used is given in
supplemental methods.

257
258   **Results**
259
Aberrant E-cadherin expression in sarcomas is prognostic for improved clinical outcomes

Our previous work found that phenotypic plasticity in sarcomas leads to a more epithelial-like
phenotype (Somarelli et al., 2016). This transition to a more epithelial gene expression program
has prognostic relevance: Compared to patients whose sarcomas are more strongly
mesenchymal-like, patients with epithelial-like sarcomas have improved overall survival
(Somarelli et al., 2016). One of the hallmarks of this mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) is
upregulation of the epithelial cell-cell adhesion molecule, E-cadherin. To further understand the
prognostic relevance of E-cadherin in sarcomas, we stratified osteosarcoma patients into E-
cadherin^{high} and E-cadherin^{low} groups using publicly-available RNA-Seq data (Kuijjer et al.,
2012). E-cadherin^{high} patients had improved metastasis-free survival (**Figure 1A**) and overall
survival compared to E-cadherin^{low} patients (**Figure 1B**). Likewise, soft tissue sarcoma (STS)
patients from The Cancer Genome Atlas with higher E-cadherin mRNA trended toward better
overall survival than patients with lower E-cadherin (**Figure 1C**) while patients with higher E-
cadherin protein had significantly improved overall survival compared to patients with lower E-
cadherin protein levels (**Figure 1D**). These analyses suggest that E-cadherin has prognostic
utility in sarcomas.
276
<u>Ectopic E-cadherin expression in sarcomas does not promote MET</u>
While it is clear that E-cadherin has prognostic relevance in sarcomas, the underlying molecular
mechanism(s) and cellular phenotypes by which E-cadherin contributes to a less aggressive
tumor remain unknown. Given the important role of E-cadherin as a mediator of the epithelial
phenotype, we hypothesized that E-cadherin may promote an MET-like phenotype in sarcomas,
including suppression of migration and invasion pathways. Consistent with this hypothesis, E-
cadherin expression in sarcomas is inversely correlated with an EMT signature; sarcomas with a
more mesenchymal-like gene expression program have lower E-cadherin while those with a
more epithelial-like gene expression program have higher E-cadherin (**Figure 2A**). To test the
hypothesis that E-cadherin inhibits sarcoma aggression by promoting an MET-like phenotypic
switch, we ectopically expressed E-cadherin in RD human rhabdomyosarcoma and 143B human
osteosarcoma cells and assayed for changes in cell growth. We found E-cadherin expression had
no effect on the growth of cells in monolayer (**Supplementary Figure 1A-F**). We next assayed
for changes in EMT biomarkers by qRT-PCR. With the exception of a statistically significant
two-fold reduction in Slug in 143B cells, E-cadherin had no significant effect on EMT markers
(**Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 2A**). Consistent with these results, E-cadherin expression
did not alter scratch wound migration (**Figure 2C**) or invasion in 143B cells (**Figure 2D**) or in
RD cells (**Supplementary Figure 2B-C**). We next asked if E-cadherin status changed the overall
EMT score using our previously-derived scoring metric for epithelial, mesenchymal and hybrid
epithelial/mesenchymal states (George et al., 2017). Using our scoring metric, we calculated
EMT scores for E-cadherin^{high} vs. E-cadherin^{low} expressing osteosarcomas. The scoring metric
was strongly mesenchymal for all sarcomas analyzed, regardless of E-cadherin status, suggesting
that E-cadherin was not capable of lineage reprogramming toward an epithelial-like state in
sarcomas (**Figure 2E**). Visualization of the EMT score distribution shows only a slight increase

in the number of samples predicted as epithelial or hybrid E/M in cases of E-cadherin[high] samples
relative to the E-cadherin[low] samples (**Figure 2E**). Together, using both computational and
experimental approaches, our results suggest that E-cadherin overexpression need not be
sufficient to induce MET in sarcomas, and that E-cadherin acts independently of
migration/invasion programs to inhibit sarcoma aggressiveness.
306
<u>E-cadherin suppresses anoikis resistance in sarcomas</u>
To explore alternative mechanisms by which E-cadherin may impact the aggressiveness of
sarcoma tumors, we analyzed the effect of E-cadherin on anchorage-independent growth. Given
the role of E-cadherin as a cell adhesion molecule, we posited that E-cadherin may alter the
anoikis resistant phenotype of sarcoma cells. To test this hypothesis, cells expressing an empty
vector (EV) or E-cadherin were cultured in soft agar to simulate anchorage-independent growth.
Interestingly, E-cadherin expression significantly inhibited the number of colonies formed in soft
agar growth assays in 143B cells (**Figure 3A**). This effect was independent of differences in
monolayer growth, as E-cadherin expressing cells showed no difference in 2D growth
(**Supplementary Figure 1C, F**). We observed similar results in a second sarcoma cell line, RD
cells. Ectopic expression of E-cadherin did not induce MET by gene expression, migration, or
invasion (**Supplementary Figure 2A-C**). However, consistent with 143B cells, E-cadherin
overexpression inhibited anchorage independent growth (**Supplementary Figure 2D**). We next
measured spheroid formation to assess the impact of E-cadherin on anchorage-independent
growth and morphology. For these experiments, we noted that, despite consistent downregulation
of soft agar growth in both RD and 143B cells, E-cadherin was not expressed at the cell
membrane of RD cells (**Supplementary Figure 1A**), while E-cadherin was localized at the
membrane of 143B cells (**Supplementary Figure 1D**). Because membrane E-cadherin is the
most typically-characterized aspect of E-cadherin function, we focused on 143B cells for which
E-cadherin was correctly localized to the cell membrane. We also included U2OS cells as a
second model for which E-cadherin was localized to the cell membrane (**Supplementary Figure
1G**). Consistent with our result in soft agar, E-cadherin expressing spheroids formed as tight
aggregates and significantly reduced the size of spheroid formation in both 143B and U2OS cells
(**Figure 3B, C**). These results indicate that sarcomas with E-cadherin expression have suppressed
anoikis resistance as indicated by their deficient ability to grow in anchorage-independent
conditions.
333
<u>E-cadherin-mediated suppression of anoikis resistance acts, in part, through CREB</u>
To determine the signaling pathway(s) through which E-cadherin inhibits anoikis resistance in
sarcomas, we performed phospho-kinase arrays on 143B osteosarcoma cells with and without E-
cadherin expression. The phospho-kinase arrays contain antibodies against 43 different kinases
(R&D Systems). From these arrays, we identified phospho (p)-CREB as consistently
downregulated in E-cadherin expressing 143B cells as compared to 143B cells expressing an
empty vector lacking E-cadherin (**Figure 4A**). The inhibition of p-CREB in E-cadherin

expressing 143B cells was validated by enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) (**Figure
4B**). Consistent with this, we also observed lower levels of p-CREB in Abrams canine
osteosarcoma cells expressing E-cadherin as compared to cells expressing an empty vector
lacking E-cadherin (**Figure 4C**).
We next asked if E-cadherin-mediated p-CREB inhibition was responsible for the
reductions in anchorage-independent growth capacity of E-cadherin expressing cells. To do this,
we knocked down CREB using two independent siRNAs and verified knockdown at the mRNA
and protein levels in 143B cells, with siRNA_5 providing a stronger knockdown (**Figure 4D, E**).
We noted a consistent reduction in soft agar growth with both CREB siRNAs compared to a non-
silencing siRNA (**Figure 4F**); however, there was only a significant inhibition of soft agar
growth in cells treated with the most effective siRNA_5 (**Figure 4F**). We conclude from these
data that downregulation of CREB partially phenocopies E-cadherin expression, although other
factors are likely at play in driving anoikis resistance in sarcomas.
During our analysis of MET biomarkers and phenotypes in E-cadherin expressing cells,
we noted that groups of E-cadherin+ 143B cells lost cell surface N-cadherin expression
(**Supplementary Figure 3A**). Interestingly, the reduction in cell surface N-cadherin was not due
to changes in N-cadherin expression at either the mRNA or protein levels (**Supplementary
Figure 3B, C**). Cadherin switching has been observed in carcinomas in which an E-cadherin to
N-cadherin switch is prognostic for poorer clinical outcomes (Gravdal et al., 2007; Hazan et al.,
2004; Jager et al., 2010). In addition, N-cadherin has been shown to promote anoikis resistance
in carcinomas (Ko et al., 2012). Thus, we tested if a reduction of cell surface N-cadherin was
responsible for the downregulation of anoikis resistance in E-cadherin+ cells. Knockdown of N-
cadherin with two independent siRNAs (**Supplementary Figure 3D**) had no effect on 143B
colony formation in soft agar (**Supplementary Figure 3E**). Likewise, N-cadherin knockdown
did not alter levels of p-CREB protein, as determined by p-CREB ELISAs (**Supplementary
Figure 3F**). These results suggest that E-cadherin inhibits anoikis resistance through a pathway
that is independent of N-cadherin.
368
<u>An E-cadherin/TBX2/CREB axis modulates anchorage-independent growth of sarcomas</u>
To elucidate further the signaling axis that modulates E-cadherin/CREB-mediated suppression of
anoikis resistance, we investigated additional signaling axes that may be involved with E-
cadherin. One of these axes, TBX2, is a transcription factor that can directly repress E-cadherin
(Wang et al., 2012), can be modulated by CREB (Liu et al., 2013), and is overexpressed in
rhabdomyosarcoma (Zhu et al., 2014, 2016). To determine if E-cadherin regulates TBX2 in
sarcomas, we measured levels of TBX2 in E-cadherin expressing 143B cells. TBX2 mRNA was
significantly downregulated in E-cadherin+ 143B cells (**Figure 5A**). Similarly, U2OS cells
ectopically expressing E-cadherin (**Supplementary Figure 1G**) had significantly lower levels of
TBX2 mRNA (**Figure 5B**). To further delineate the directionality of the E-
cadherin/CREB/TBX2 signaling network, we performed siRNA-mediated CREB knockdown
and measured TBX2 mRNA levels. Interestingly, CREB knockdown had no effect on TBX2

expression (**Figure 5C**), suggesting that CREB is downstream of TBX2 in this gene regulatory network. Consistent with these data, TBX2 knockdown (**Figure 5D**) led to significant reductions in CREB mRNA (**Figure 5E**) and protein (**Figure 5F**). Taken together, these results suggest that E-cadherin inhibits TBX2, which leads to CREB downregulation and suppression of anoikis resistance.

Mathematical modeling of E-cadherin/TBX2 axis regulating anchorage-independent growth
By integrating our quantitative RT-PCR result showing E-cadherin-mediated suppression of TBX2 mRNA with previous reports suggesting E-cadherin to be a transcriptional target of TBX2 (Wang et al., 2012), and regulation of anoikis-related pathways by E-cadherin and/or TBX2 (Kumar et al., 2011; Lingbeek et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2014), we constructed a mechanism-based mathematical model to decode the dynamics of the E-cadherin/TBX2 axis in mediating anchorage-independent growth **(Figure 6A)**. This model includes the following interactions: (i) mutual repression between E-cadherin and TBX2, (ii) inhibition of NRAGE (neurotrophin receptor-interacting melanoma antigen) by E-cadherin (Kumar et al., 2011), and (iii) inhibition of anoikis by TBX2 complex, which is promoted in the presence of NRAGE (Kumar et al., 2011). Such interconnected regulatory loops can often obviate an intuitive understanding of the emergent outcomes of these interactions (Jolly et al., 2017b). Thus, mechanism-based mathematical models can be a powerful tool for both helping explain previous experimental observations, and for making novel predictions to guide future experimental design (Mobius and Laan, 2015).

Our model predicted that TBX2 knockdown would restrict anchorage-independent growth in a similar way as that by E-cadherin overexpression **(Figure 6B)**. To test this prediction, we used two independent siRNA to TBX2 to knockdown expression and measured anchorage independent growth. Consistent with our prediction, TBX2 knockdown using two independent siRNAs significantly abrogated colony growth in 143B cells **(Figure 6C)**. Further, the model predicted that knockdown of TBX2 under ectopic expression of E-cadherin accentuates anoikis sensitivity **(Figure 6B)**. However, TBX2 knockdown had no effect on spheroid formation, when E-cadherin was overexpressed in U2OS cells **(Figure 6D)**.

The inconsistency in model predictions vs. experimental observations drew our attention to multiple underlying assumptions of the mathematical model – (a) TBX2-mediated inhibition of E-cadherin affects both mRNA and protein levels of E-cadherin, (b) endogenous production of E-cadherin is enough to inhibit TBX2. The first assumption is based on observations in breast epithelial cells MCF10A (Wang et al., 2012); similarly, the second assumption also is likely to be more typical of carcinomas than of sarcomas. Given that our model was constructed using data exclusively taken from carcinomas, we reasoned that the discrepancy between the model and the experimental validation may be due to differences in regulatory networks between carcinomas and sarcomas. Therefore, to determine if similar regulatory networks between TBX2 and E-cadherin exist in sarcomas, we knocked down TBX2 with two independent siRNAs in U2OS cells and measured E-cadherin mRNA and protein levels. TBX2 knockdown led to a

modest, albeit significant, two- to four-fold upregulation of E-cadherin mRNA levels **(Figure**
6E). More importantly, this modest change in expression in a cell line with relatively low levels
of E-cadherin mRNA expression at baseline was not enough of an increase in mRNA to show a
change at the protein level **(Figure 6F)**. The relative lack of E-cadherin re-activation upon TBX2
knockdown led us to hypothesize that sarcoma cells had alterations in the promoter of E-cadherin
that prevented robust increases in expression upon TBX2 downregulation. Consistent with this
hypothesis, analysis of sarcoma tumors from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) revealed that
the E-cadherin promoter was significantly more methylated in sarcomas as compared to that in
carcinomas **(Figure 6G)**. Moreover, the degree of E-cadherin promoter methylation was lowest
in synovial sarcoma (**Figure 6H**), which corresponded with a relative increase in E-cadherin
expression (**Figure 6I**). Interestingly, synovial sarcoma often displays epithelioid histological
features, including E-cadherin upregulation. This suggests that one potential difference between
the E-cadherin/TBX2 axis in sarcomas vs. carcinomas is the ability of TBX2 to access the E-
cadherin promoter, which may impact the mathematical models of networks based from largely
studies in carcinoma models. Incorporating this new experimental data, we revised our
mathematical model to include a weaker inhibition of E-cadherin by TBX2. The revised model
predicted that, consistent with our experimental results, the effect of TBX2 knockdown on
anoikis sensitivity in the context of E-cadherin overexpression was reduced (**Supplementary**
Figure 4).
440
<u>A mechanical model relates E-cadherin mediated cell-cell adhesion to spheroid formation</u>
Our experimental results suggest E-cadherin inhibits soft agar colony formation mediated by
suppression of CREB and TBX2 in sarcoma cells. Interestingly, E-cadherin expression alone is
sufficient to suppress the size of spheroid formation while TBX2 has no effect on spheroid
growth **(Figure 6D)**. The differences in response between these phenotypic assays suggest that
E-cadherin may act through different molecular mechanisms to suppress anoikis resistance and
spheroid growth. Given the well-described role for E-cadherin as an adhesion molecule, we
hypothesized that E-cadherin can regulate spheroid formation through its mechanical role as a
regulator of cell-cell adhesion. Indeed, E-cadherin mediated cell-cell adhesion has been proposed
to be crucial in mediating formation of cohesive multicellular units such as tumor emboli and
invasive collective migration in breast cancer (Elisha et al., 2018; Jolly et al., 2017a; Shamir and
Ewald, 2015; Shamir et al., 2014). Consistent with this, U2OS cells overexpressing E-cadherin
formed tight multicellular clusters at 24 hours of spheroid formation as compared to control cells
(Figure 7A).
To quantitatively capture the relationship between cell-cell adhesion and spheroid size,
we developed a two-dimensional mechanical model of sphere formation. This model represents
each cell by two subcellular elements connected to each other with a contractile force, and
incorporates various interconnected biomechanical aspects of cellular aggregation, such as
intracellular contraction, intercellular adhesion, cell proliferation, self-propulsion forces of the
cells, and friction between the cells and the substrate (Basan et al., 2013; Yang and Levine, 2018;

Zimmermann et al., 2016). We initialized our simulations with a seed colony of 233 cells
arranged as a two-dimensional circular sheet, and simulated the colony growth for varying levels
of intercellular adhesion, while keeping all other parameters the same. The intercellular
interaction is repulsive at short distances, attractive at longer distances and becomes zero further
away, which models the volume exclusion and cell-cell adhesion. For different levels of
adhesion, the attractive part of the intercellular force follows different curves **(Figure 7B)**. This
mechanical model predicts a decrease in final spheroid size and the total number of cells with an
increase in E-cadherin levels **(Figure 7C)**, and a concomitant increase in cell density
(Supplementary Figure 5). Thus, the model predicts that cell-cell adhesion can regulate colony
size through mechanical factors.
To test if E-cadherin modulates cell-cell adhesion in sarcomas, we quantified the
numbers of single cells and cellular aggregates (two or more cells clumped together) using cell-
cell adhesion assays for both 143B and U2OS cells upon ectopic expression of an empty vector
or E-cadherin. Consistent with our model, we observed that E-cadherin overexpression drives a
significant enrichment of multicellular aggregates in both 143B and U2OS cells **(Figure 7D-E),**
suggesting that E-cadherin levels can play a fundamental role in enabling cell-cell adhesion in
sarcomas. Together, our results integrating gene regulatory network models, mechanical models,
and experimental validations indicate that E-cadherin plays a dual role in suppressing sarcoma
aggression that is independent of EMT through both signaling-related inhibition of anoikis
resistance and mechanics-based inhibition of spheroid formation.
481
482 **Discussion**
483
Our integrated computational-experimental study illustrates that E-cadherin inhibits sarcoma
aggressiveness in at least the following two ways: first, by inducing anoikis sensitivity through a
CREB/TBX2 signaling axis; and second, by increasing cell-cell adhesion and restricting spheroid
growth. Anoikis – a Greek word for 'homelessness' – is a specific form of programmed cell
death that occurs when cells detach from the extracellular matrix (ECM). Although normal cells
require attachment to the ECM to receive cell survival signals, cancer cells overcome the death
signals that are driven by loss of cell-ECM attachment (Paoli et al., 2013). Thus, failure to
undergo anoikis can lead to anchorage-independent survival of otherwise adherent cells,
including at sites where a unique ECM composition prevents cellular attachment (Paoli et al.,
2013). Anoikis resistance has, therefore, emerged as a hallmark of cancer and a contributor to
metastatic growth (Simpson et al., 2008; Taddei et al., 2012). Interestingly, anoikis has often
been studied in the context of EMT in multiple epithelial cancers (Frisch et al., 2013; Huang et
al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014); however, ours is among the minority of studies connecting E-cadherin
with anoikis sensitivity in sarcomas. Indeed, our results pinpoint an E-cadherin/p-CREB/TBX2
axis that mediates anoikis resistant growth in sarcoma cells. This signaling axis is independent of
MET, as neither ectopic E-cadherin expression nor TBX2 knockdown had any effect on MET
markers in 143B or RD cells. This observation reinforces previous results that overexpression of

transcription factor GRHL2 – an activator of E-cadherin – was sufficient to induce MET in breast cancer cells having undergone EMT, but not in sarcoma (Cieply et al., 2012; Somarelli et al., 2016). Highly methylated promoters of both E-cadherin (**Figure 6D**) and GRHL2 (Somarelli et al., 2016) in sarcomas relative to carcinomas, as observed from analysis of TCGA data, can potentially explain this difference. In this scenario, epigenetic silencing of epithelial promoters would prevent lineage reprogramming and MET. However, it is possible that some sarcoma subtypes are more likely to undergo MET; for instance, E-cadherin expression (**Supplementary Figure 1A**), and an epithelial-like phenotype in general (Yang et al., 2010), appears to be more frequently upregulated in certain soft tissue sarcoma subtypes, such as synovial sarcomas, undifferentiated pleiomorphic sarcomas, and leiomyosarcomas (**Figure 6I**).

While E-cadherin inhibits anoikis resistance through a signaling axis, the role of E-cadherin in suppressing spheroid growth is mediated, at least in part, through its mechanical activity of increasing cell-cell adhesion and cellular aggregation (**Figure 7**). This observation is consistent with previous reports in which knock down of E-cadherin led to less cell-cell adhesion and lower multicellular aggregation in colorectal cancer cells (Stadler et al., 2018). However, unlike our study, this study in colorectal cancer also found that E-cadherin loss led to increased migration and invasion. Yet, despite the fact that E-cadherin did not change migration or invasion in sarcomas, E-cadherin may still inhibit invasiveness of some tumors not by maintaining an epithelial state, but by increasing cell-cell adhesion. In this way, E-cadherin would indirectly reduce invasion by preventing cells from detaching from the bulk tumor without changing the EMT factors involved in migration and/or invasion.

The signaling and mechanical modes of action we observed in suppressing anoikis resistance and spheroid formation, respectively, need not be independent of each other, especially given the interconnections among mechanosensitive and biochemical signaling pathways. For instance, Notch signaling can be mechanosensitive (Riahi et al., 2015), give rise to multiple multicellular patterns of cells in varying phenotypic states (Boareto et al., 2016; Sprinzak et al., 2010), and be involved in anoikis (Stylianou et al., 2006). Similarly, E-cadherin can sense and provide mechanical cues for epithelial homeostasis and collective cell migration (De Beco et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2014; Le Duc et al., 2010). Future studies will be aimed at elucidating the crosstalk between inter-cellular mechano-sensitive and intra-cellular p-CREB/TBX2 modules to yield new insights into the mechanisms underlying non-cell autonomous control of anoikis, as recently observed (Kim et al., 2016). Moreover, it would be intriguing to compare the results for soft agar colony growth and spheroid formation assays for RD cells where E-cadherin exerts an effect on anchorage-independent growth in the absence of membrane localization.

Overall, our results provide a mechanistic basis for the observation that E-cadherin is a prognostic biomarker for improved clinical outcomes in sarcomas. Although E-cadherin upregulation in the clinical setting is likely to be a harbinger of a broader change in EMT/MET programs, our data argue that E-cadherin upregulation also has important effects on sarcoma aggressiveness that are independent of MET induction. Together, these results indicate that

targeting the EMT/MET axis in sarcomas may have multiple benefits, including downregulation of stemness, migration, invasion, and anoikis resistance pathways. One way this might be achieved is through epigenetic reprogramming. Indeed, multiple reports in carcinomas suggest that treatment with epigenetic modifiers, including histone deacetylases inhibitors, can upregulate E-cadherin (Choi et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2011; Law et al., 2013; Mateen et al., 2013). Consistent with this hypothesis, when anchorage-dependent and anchorage-independent subpopulations of osteosarcoma cells are compared, the anchorage-independent subpopulations are significantly more susceptible to epigenetic modifying therapies (Foley et al., 2015). A similar strategy could be used to drive sarcomas toward a more epithelial-like phenotype and lower their aggressiveness by targeting many aggressive phenotypes simultaneously. Such combinatorial therapies may limit the adaptive phenotypic plasticity of cancer cells – a crucial determinant of therapeutic resistance (Jolly et al., 2018).

Acknowledgments

The results published here are in part based upon data generated by the TCGA Research Network: http://cancergenome.nih.gov. The authors acknowledge the Duke University Cell Culture Facility and the Duke Cancer Institute Flow Cytometry Shared Resource. HL was supported by NSF Center for Theoretical Biological Physics (NSF PHY-1427654) and NSF DMS-1361411, and as a CPRIT (Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas) Scholar in Cancer Research of the State of Texas at Rice University. MKJ received a training fellowship from the Gulf Coast Consortia on the Computational Cancer Biology Training Program (CPRIT grant no. RP170593). KEW was supported by the NIH F32 CA192630. JAS wishes to acknowledge support from Meg and Bill Lindenberger, the Paul and Shirley Friedland Fund, the Triangle Center for Evolutionary Medicine, and funds raised in memory of Muriel E. Rudershausen (riding4research.org).

References

Basan, M., Elgeti, J., Hannezo, E., Rappel, W.-J.W.-J., and Levine, H. (2013). Alignment of cellular motility forces with tissue flow as a mechanism for efficient wound healing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *110*, 2452–2459.

De Beco, S., Perney, J.B., Coscoy, S., and Amblard, F. (2015). Mechanosensitive adaptation of E-cadherin turnover across adherens junctions. PLoS One *10*, 1–14.

Boareto, M., Jolly, M.K., Goldman, A., Pietilä, M., Mani, S.A., Sengupta, S., Ben-Jacob, E., Levine, H., and Onuchic, J.N. (2016). Notch-Jagged signalling can give rise to clusters of cells exhibiting a hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype. J. R. Soc. Interface *13*, 20151106.

Brown, R.L., Reinke, L.M., Damerow, M.S., Perez, D., Chodosh, L. a., Yang, J., and Cheng, C. (2011). CD44 splice isoform switching in human and mouse epithelium is essential for epithelial-mesenchymal transition and breast cancer progression. J. Clin. Invest. *121*, 1064–1074.

Cai, D., Chen, S.C., Prasad, M., He, L., Wang, X., Choesmel-Cadamuro, V., Sawyer, J.K., Danuser, G., and Montell, D.J. (2014). Mechanical feedback through E-cadherin promotes

direction sensing during collective cell migration. Cell *157*, 1146–1159.

Chao, Y.L., Shepard, C.R., and Wells, A. (2010). Breast carcinoma cells re-express E-cadherin
during mesenchymal to epithelial reverting transition. Mol. Cancer *9*, 179.

Chen, W., and Obrink, B. (1991). Cell-cell contacts mediated by E-cadherin (uvomorulin)
restrict invasive behavior of L-cells. J. Cell Biol. *114*, 319–327.

Chen, A., Beetham, H., Black, M.A., Priya, R., Telford, B.J., Guest, J., Wiggins, G.A.R.,
Godwin, T.D., Yap, A.S., and Guilford, P.J. (2014). E-cadherin loss alters cytoskeletal
organization and adhesion in non-malignant breast cells but is insufficient to induce an
epithelial-mesenchymal transition. BMC Cancer *14*, 552.

Choi, S.Y., Kee, H.J., Kurz, T., Hansen, F.K., Ryu, Y., Kim, G.R., Lin, M.Q., Jin, L., Piao, Z.H.,
and Jeong, M.H. (2016). Class I HDACs specifically regulate E-cadherin expression in human
renal epithelial cells. J. Cell. Mol. Med. *20*, 2289–2298.

Cieply, B., Riley IV, P., Pifer, P.M., Widmeyer, J., Addison, J.B., Ivanov, A. V., Denvir, J., and
Frisch, S.M. (2012). Suppression of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition by grainyhead-like-2.
Cancer Res. *72*, 2440–2453.

Corso, G., Intra, M., Trentin, C., Veronesi, P., and Galimberti, V. (2016). CDH1 germline
mutations and hereditary lobular breast cancer. Fam. Cancer *15*, 215–219.

De Craene, B., and Berx, G. (2013). Regulatory networks defining EMT during cancer initiation
and progression. Nat. Rev. Cancer *13*, 97–110.

Le Duc, Q., Shi, Q., Blonk, I., Sonnenberg, A., Wang, N., Leckband, D., and De Rooij, J. (2010).
Vinculin potentiates E-cadherin mechanosensing and is recruited to actin-anchored sites within
adherens junctions in a myosin II-dependent manner. J. Cell Biol. *189*, 1107–1115.

Elisha, Y., Kalchenko, V., Kuznetsov, Y., and Geiger, B. (2018). Dual role of E-cadherin in the
regulation of invasive collective migration of mammary carcinoma cells. Sci. Rep. *8*, 4986.

Foley, J.M., Scholten, D.J., Monks, N.R., Cherba, D., Monsma, D.J., Davidson, P., Dylewski, D.,
Dykema, K., Winn, M.E., and Steensma, M.R. (2015). Anoikis-resistant subpopulations of
human osteosarcoma display significant chemoresistance and are sensitive to targeted epigenetic
therapies predicted by expression profiling. J. Transl. Med. *13*, 110.

Frisch, S.M., Schaller, M., and Cieply, B. (2013). Mechanisms that link the oncogenic epithelial–
mesenchymal transition to suppression of anoikis. J. Cell Sci. *126*, 21–29.

Gravdal, K., Halvorsen, O.J., Haukaas, S.A., and Akslen, L.A. (2007). A switch from E-cadherin
to N-cadherin expression indicates epithelial to mesenchymal transition and is of strong and
independent importance for the progress of prostate cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. *13*, 7003–7011.

Guilford, P., Hopkins, J., Harraway, J., McLeod, M., McLeod, N., Harawira, P., Taite, H.,
Scoular, R., Miller, A., and Reeve, a E. (1998). E-cadherin germline mutations in familial
gastric cancer. Nature *392*, 402–405.

Hazan, R.B., Qiao, R., Keren, R., Badano, I., and Suyama, K. (2004). Cadherin switch in tumor
progression. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. *1014*, 155–163.

De Herreros, A.G., Peiró, S., Nassour, M., and Savagner, P. (2010). Snail family regulation and
epithelial mesenchymal transitions in breast cancer progression. J. Mammary Gland Biol.
Neoplasia *15*, 135–147.

Hong, S.-M., Li, A., Olino, K., Wolfgang, C.L., Herman, J.M., Schulick, R.D., Iacobuzio-
Donahue, C., Hruban, R.H., and Goggins, M. (2011). Loss of E-cadherin expression and
outcome among patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinomas. Mod. Pathol. *24*, 1237–
1247.

Huang, R.Y.-J., Wong, M.K., Tan, T.Z., Kuay, K.T., Ng, a H.C., Chung, V.Y., Chu, Y.-S.,
Matsumura, N., Lai, H.-C., Lee, Y.F., et al. (2013). An EMT spectrum defines an anoikis-
resistant and spheroidogenic intermediate mesenchymal state that is sensitive to e-cadherin
restoration by a src-kinase inhibitor, saracatinib (AZD0530). Cell Death Dis. *4*, e915.

Jager, T., Becker, M., Eisenhardt, A., Tilki, D., Totsch, M., Schmid, K.W., Romics, I., Rubben,
H., Ergun, S., and Szarvas, T. (2010). The prognostic value of cadherin switch in bladder cancer.
Oncol. Rep. *23*, 1125–1132.

Jia, D., Jolly, M.K., Tripathi, S.C., Hollander, P. Den, Huang, B., Lu, M., Celiktas, M., Ramirez-
Pena, E., Ben-Jacob, E., Onuchic, J.N., et al. (2017). Distinguishing Mechanisms Underlying
EMT Tristability. Cancer Converg. *1*, 2.

Jin, L., Li, D., Lee, J.S., Elf, S., Alesi, G.N., Fan, J., Kang, H.-B., Wang, D., Fu, H., Taunton, J.,
et al. (2013). p90 RSK2 mediates antianoikis signals by both transcription-dependent and -
independent mechanisms. Mol. Cell. Biol. *33*, 2574–2585.

Jolly, M.K., Boareto, M., Debeb, B.G., Aceto, N., Farach-Carson, M.C., Woodward, W.A., and
Levine, H. (2017a). Inflammatory Breast Cancer: a model for investigating cluster-based
dissemination. NPJ Breast Cancer *3*, 21.

Jolly, M.K., Tripathi, S.C., Somarelli, J.A., Hanash, S.M., and Levine, H. (2017b).
Epithelial/mesenchymal plasticity: how have quantitative mathematical models helped improve
our understanding? Mol. Oncol. *11*, 739–754.

Jolly, M.K., Ware, K.E., Gilja, S., Somarelli, J.A., and Levine, H. (2017c). EMT and MET:
necessary or permissive for metastasis? Mol. Oncol. *11*, 755–769.

Jolly, M.K., Kulkarni, P., Weninger, K., Orban, J., and Levine, H. (2018). Phenotypic plasticity,
bet-hedging, and androgen independence in prostate cancer: Role of non-genetic heterogeneity.
Front. Oncol. *8*, 50.

Kang, H.G., Jenabi, J.M., Zhang, J., Keshelava, N., Shimada, H., May, W.A., Ng, T., Reynolds,
C.P., Triche, T.J., and Sorensen, P.H.B. (2007). E-cadherin cell-cell adhesion in Ewing tumor
cells mediates suppression of anoikis through activation of the ErbB4 tyrosine kinase. Cancer
Res. *67*, 3094–3105.

Kim, N.H., Kim, S.N., and Kim, Y.K. (2011). Involvement of HDAC1 in E-cadherin expression

in prostate cancer cells; its implication for cell motility and invasion. Biochem. Biophys. Res.
Commun. *404*, 915–921.

Kim, S.Y., Hong, S.H., Basse, P.H., Wu, C., Bartlett, D.L., Kwon, Y.T., and Lee, Y.J. (2016).
Cancer Stem Cells Protect Non-Stem Cells From Anoikis: Bystander Effects. J. Cell. Biochem.
2301, 2289–2301.

Ko, H., Kim, S., Jin, C.-H., Lee, E., Ham, S., Yook, J.I., and Kim, K. (2012). Protein Kinase
Casein Kinase 2-Mediated Upregulation of N-Cadherin Confers Anoikis Resistance on
Esophageal Carcinoma Cells. Mol. Cancer Res. *10*, 1032–1038.

Kuijjer, M.L., Rydbeck, H., Kresse, S.H., Buddingh, E.P., Lid, A.B., Roelofs, H., Burger, H.,
Myklebost, O., Hogendoorn, P.C.W., Meza-Zepeda, L.A., et al. (2012). Telomerase Activity is a
Biomarker for High Grade Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors in Neurofibromatosis
Type 1 Individuals. Genes. Chromosomes Cancer *51*, 696–706.

Kumar, S., Park, S.H., Cieply, B., Schupp, J., Killiam, E., Zhang, F., Rimm, D.L., and Frisch,
S.M. (2011). A Pathway for the Control of Anoikis Sensitivity by E-Cadherin and Epithelial-to-
Mesenchymal Transition. Mol. Cell. Biol. *31*, 4036–4051.

Law, M.E., Corsino, P.E., Jahn, S.C., Davis, B.J., Chen, S., Patel, B., Pham, K., Lu, J., Sheppard,
B., Nørgaard, P., et al. (2013). Glucocorticoids and histone deacetylase inhibitors cooperate to
block the invasiveness of basal-like breast cancer cells through novel mechanisms. Oncogene *32*,
1316–1329.

Lewis, J.E., Jensen, P.J., Johnson, K.R., and Wheelock, M.J. (1995). E-cadherin mediates
adherens junction organization through protein kinase C. J. Cell Sci. *107*, 3615–3621.

Li, Z., Yin, S., Zhang, L., Liu, W., and Chen, B. (2017). Prognostic value of reduced E-cadherin
expression in breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget *8*, 16445–16455.

Lingbeek, M.E., Jacobs, J.J.L., and Van Lohuizen, M. (2002). The T-box repressors TBX2 and
TBX3 specifically regulate the tumor suppressor gene p14ARF via a variant T-site in the
initiator. J. Biol. Chem. *277*, 26120–26127.

Liu, F., Cao, J., Lv, J., Dong, L., Pier, E., Xu, G.X., Wang, R., Xu, Z., Goding, C., and Cui, R.
(2013). TBX2 expression is regulated by PAX3 in the melanocyte lineage. Pigment Cell
Melanoma Res *26*, 67–77.

Lu, M., Jolly, M.K., Levine, H., Onuchic, J.N., and Ben-Jacob, E. (2013). MicroRNA-based
regulation of epithelial–hybrid–mesenchymal fate determination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *110*,
18144–18149.

Mateen, S., Raina, K., Agarwal, C., Chan, D., and Agarwal, R. (2013). Silibinin synergizes with
histone deacetylase and DNA methyltransferase inhibitors in upregulating E-cadherin expression
together with inhibition of migration and invasion of human non-small cell lung cancer cells. J
Pharmacol Exp Ther *345*, 206–214.

Mobius, W., and Laan, L. (2015). Physical and Mathematical Modeling in Experimental Papers.
Cell *163*, 1577–1583.

Nieto, M.A., Huang, R.Y., Jackson, R.A., and Thiery, J.P. (2016). EMT: 2016. Cell *166*, 21–45.

Orsulic, S., Huber, O., Aberle, H., Arnold, S., and Kemler, R. (1999). E-cadherin binding
prevents beta-catenin nuclear localization and beta-catenin/LEF-1-mediated transactivation. J.
Cell Sci. *112*, 1237–1245.

Palorini, R., Votta, G., Pirola, Y., De Vitto, H., De Palma, S., Airoldi, C., Vasso, M.,
Ricciardiello, F., Lombardi, P.P., Cirulli, C., et al. (2016). Protein Kinase A Activation Promotes
Cancer Cell Resistance to Glucose Starvation and Anoikis. PLoS Genet. *12*, e1005931.

Paoli, P., Giannoni, E., and Chiarugi, P. (2013). Anoikis molecular pathways and its role in
cancer progression. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res. *1833*, 3481–3498.

Riahi, R., Sun, J., Wang, S., Long, M., Zhang, D.D., and Wong, P.K. (2015). Notch1-Dll4
signalling and mechanical force regulate leader cell formation during collective cell migration.
Nat. Commun. *6*, 6656.

Richards, F.M., McKee, S.A., Rajpar, M.H., Cole, T.R.P., Evans, D.G.R., Jankowski, J.A.,
McKeown, C., Sanders, D.S.A., and Maher, E.R. (1999). Germline E-cadherin gene (CDH1)
mutations predispose to familial gastric cancer and colorectal cancer. Hum. Mol. Genet. *8*, 607–
610.

Schmalhofer, O., Brabletz, S., and Brabletz, T. (2009). E-cadherin, beta-catenin, and ZEB1 in
malignant progression of cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. *28*, 151–166.

Shamir, E.R., and Ewald, A.J. (2015). Adhesion in mammary development: novel roles for E-
cadherin in individual and collective cell migration. Curr Top Dev Biol *112*, 353–382.

Shamir, E.R., Pappalardo, E., Jorgens, D.M., Coutinho, K., Tsai, W.T., Aziz, K., Auer, M., Tran,
P.T., Bader, J.S., and Ewald, A.J. (2014). Twist1-induced dissemination preserves epithelial
identity and requires E-cadherin. J. Cell Biol. *204*, 839–856.

Siegel, R., Miller, K., and Jemal, A. (2017). Cancer statistics , 2017 . CA Cancer J Clin *67*, 7–30.

Simpson, C.D., Anyjwe, K., and Schimmer, A.D. (2008). Anoikis resistance and tumor
metastasis. Cancer Lett. *272*, 177–185.

Somarelli, J.A., Shetler, S., Jolly, M.K., Wang, X., Bartholf Dewitt, S., Hish, A.J., Gilja, S.,
Eward, W.C., Ware, K.E., Levine, H., et al. (2016). Mesenchymal-epithelial transition in
sarcomas is controlled by the combinatorial expression of microRNA 200s and GRHL2. Mol.
Cell. Biol. *36*, 2503–2513.

Sprinzak, D., Lakhanpal, A., Lebon, L., Santat, L.A., Fontes, M.E., Anderson, G.A., Garcia-
Ojalvo, J., and Elowitz, M.B. (2010). Cis-interactions between Notch and Delta generate
mutually exclusive signalling states. Nature *465*, 86–90.

Stadler, M., Scherzer, M., Walter, S., Holzner, S., Pudelko, K., Riedl, A., Unger, C., Kramer, N.,
Weil, B., Neesen, J., et al. (2018). Exclusion from spheroid formation identifies loss of essential
cell-cell adhesion molecules in colon cancer cells. Sci. Rep. *8*, 1151.

Stylianou, S., Clarke, R.B., and Brennan, K. (2006). Aberrant activation of Notch signaling in
human breast cancer. Cancer Res. *66*, 1517–1525.

Taddei, M.L., Giannoni, E., Fiaschi, T., and Chiarugi, P. (2012). Anoikis: An emerging hallmark
in health and diseases. J. Pathol. *226*, 380–393.

Tam, W.L., and Weinberg, R.A. (2013). The epigenetics of epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in
cancer. Nat. Med. *19*, 1438–1449.

Tian, W., Wang, G., Yang, J., Pan, Y., and Ma, Y. (2013). Prognostic role of E-cadherin and
Vimentin expression in various subtypes of soft tissue leiomyosarcomas. Med. Oncol. *30*, 401.

Wang, B., Lindley, L.E., Fernandez-Vega, V., Rieger, M.E., Sims, A.H., and Briegel, K.J.
(2012). The T box transcription factor TBX2 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and
invasion of normal and malignant breast epithelial cells. PLoS One *7*, e41355.

Wang, N., He, Y.-L., Pang, L.-J., Zou, H., Liu, C.-X., Zhao, J., Hu, J.-M., Zhang, W.-J., Qi, Y.,
and Li, F. (2015a). Down-regulated E-cadherin expression is associated with poor five-year
overall survival in bone and soft tissue sarcoma: Results of a meta-analysis. PLoS One *10*,
e0121448.

Wang, N., He, Y.-L., Pang, L.-J., Zou, H., Liu, C.-X., Zhao, J., Hu, J.-M., Zhang, W.-J., Qi, Y.,
and Li, F. (2015b). Down-regulated E-cadherin expression is associated with poor five-year
overall survival in bone and soft tissue sarcoma: Results of a meta-analysis. PLoS One *10*,
e0121448.

Xu, S., Yang, Y., Dong, L., Qiu, W., Yang, L., Wang, X., and Liu, L. (2014). Construction and
characteristics of an E-cadherin-related three-dimensional suspension growth model of ovarian
cancer. Sci. Rep. *4*, 5646.

Yang, J., Eddy, J. a, Pan, Y., Hategan, A., Tabus, I., Wang, Y., Cogdell, D., Price, N.D., Pollock,
R.E., Lazar, A.J.F., et al. (2010). Integrated proteomics and genomics analysis reveals a novel
mesenchymal to epithelial reverting transition in leiomyosarcoma through regulation of slug.
Mol. Cell. Proteomics *9*, 2405–2413.

Yin, K., Liao, Q., He, H., and Zhong, D. (2012). Prognostic value of Twist and E-cadherin in
patients with osteosarcoma. Med. Oncol. *29*, 3449–3455.

Zhu, B., Zhang, M., Byru, S., Tackett, A.J., and Davie, J.K. (2014). TBX2 blocks myogenesis
and promotes proliferation in rhabdomyosarcoma cells. Int J Cancer *135*, 785–797.

Zhu, B., Zhang, M., Williams, E.M., Keller, C., Mansoor, A., and Davie, J.K. (2016). TBX2
represses PTEN in rhabdomyosarcoma and skeletal muscle. Oncogene *35*, 4212–4224.

Zimmermann, J., Camley, B.A., Rappel, W.-J., and Levine, H. (2016). Contact inhibition of
locomotion determines cell – cell and cell – substrate forces in tissues. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
113, 2660–2665.

Borowicz, S., M. Van Scoyk, S. Avasarala, M. K. Karuppusamy Rathinam, J. Tauler, R. K.
Bikkavilli and R. A. Winn (2014). "The soft agar colony formation assay." <u>J Vis Exp</u>(92):
e51998.
767

768   George, J. T., M. K. Jolly, S. Xu, J. A. Somarelli and H. Levine (2017). "Survival Outcomes in
769   Cancer Patients Predicted by a Partial EMT Gene Expression Scoring Metric." <u>Cancer Res</u>
770   **77**(22): 6415-6428.
771

772   Gottardi, C. J., E. Wong and B. M. Gumbiner (2001). "E-cadherin suppresses cellular
773   transformation by inhibiting beta-catenin signaling in an adhesion-independent manner." <u>J Cell</u>
774   <u>Biol</u> **153**(5): 1049-1060.
775

776   Kuijjer, M. L., H. Rydbeck, S. H. Kresse, E. P. Buddingh, A. B. Lid, H. Roelofs, H. Burger, O.
777   Myklebost, P. C. Hogendoorn, L. A. Meza-Zepeda and A. M. Cleton-Jansen (2012).
778   "Identification of osteosarcoma driver genes by integrative analysis of copy number and gene
779   expression data." <u>Genes Chromosomes Cancer</u> **51**(7): 696-706.
780

781   Somarelli, J. A., S. Shetler, M. K. Jolly, X. Wang, S. Bartholf Dewitt, A. J. Hish, S. Gilja, W. C.
782   Eward, K. E. Ware, H. Levine, A. J. Armstrong and M. A. Garcia-Blanco (2016).
783   "Mesenchymal-Epithelial Transition in Sarcomas Is Controlled by the Combinatorial Expression
784   of MicroRNA 200s and GRHL2." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **36**(19): 2503-2513.
785

786   Yang, Y. and H. Levine (2018). "Role of the supracellular actomyosin cable during epithelial
787   wound healing." <u>Soft Matter</u>.

789   **Figure Legends**
790

Figure 1. E-cadherin upregulation is prognostic for improved outcomes in sarcoma. A-B.
Osteosarcomas with elevated E-cadherin have better metastasis-free survival (**A**) and overall
survival (**B**) as compared to tumors with low/no E-cadherin expression. **C-D.** Soft tissue
sarcomas (STS) from The Cancer Genome Atlas with higher E-cadherin mRNA (**C**) and protein
expression (**D**) have improved overall survival as compared to tumors with low or no E-cadherin.

Figure 2. Ectopic E-cadherin expression in sarcoma cells does not alter EMT. A. E-cadherin
expression significantly correlates with the EMT score of sarcoma tumors in The Cancer
Genome Atlas. **B.** Ectopic expression of E-cadherin in 143B human osteosarcoma cells has no
influence on mesenchymal markers. **C.** E-cadherin expression has no effect on migration of
143B cells. Images (left) were collected every two hours and quantified (right) using the
IncuCyte Zoom system. **D.** E-cadherin expression does not change invasion in 143B cells. **E.**
Empirical probably density functions of EMT scores for CDH1-high (red) and CDH1-low (blue)
TCGA Sarcoma sample was constructed by interpolation of the EMT score histogram (E<0.5,
$0.5 \leq E/M \leq 1.5$, M>1.5). EMT score distribution showed no significant difference when separated

for E-cadherin^high vs. E-cadherin^low expression from analysis of publicly-available sarcoma data

sets.

Figure 3. E-cadherin inhibits anoikis resistance of sarcomas. A. Anchorage-independent

growth of 143B cells expressing E-cadherin was significantly inhibited. **B-C.** E-cadherin

expression leads to reduces spheroid size in **C.** 143B and **D.** U2OS cells.

Figure 4. Ectopic expression of E-cadherin in sarcoma cells inhibits phospho-CREB levels.

A. A phospho-kinase array revealed that E-cadherin led to downregulation of phospho-CREB. **B.**

E-cadherin-mediated phospho-CREB inhibition was verified by ELISAs. **C.** Abrams canine

osteosarcoma cells exhibited reduced phospho-CREB in E-cadherin over-expressing cells. **D.**

QRT-PCR confirmed knockdown of CREB with two independent siRNAs. **E.** Western blotting

to confirm knockdown of CREB in 143B cells. **F.** CREB knockdown led to a modest

downregulation of 143B colony growth in soft agar.

Figure 5. TBX2 knockdown phenocopies E-cadherin-mediated CREB inhibition. A. TBX2

mRNA is downregulated in E-cadherin-expressing **A.** 143B cells and **B.** U2OS cells. **C.** CREB

knockdown with two independent siRNAs had no effect on TBX2 mRNA. **D-F.** Conversely,

TBX2 knockdown, verified in **D.** led to a significant reduction in CREB mRNA (**E**) and protein

level (**F**).

Figure 6. E-cad/TBX2 interplay mediates anoikis sensitivity. A. Intracellular regulatory

circuit modulating anoikis sensitivity. **B.** Mathematical model predicts similar effects of Ecad-

OE and TBX2-KD in anoikis sensitivity. **C.** TBX2 knockdown using two independent siRNAs

inhibited anoikis resistance of 143B cells. **D.** TBX2 downregulation did not affect sphere

formation in the presence of ectopic E-cadherin expression**. E.** TBX2 knockdown upregulates E-

cadherin mRNA. **F.** Western blot analysis of U2OS cells upon TBX2 knockdown indicates E-

cadherin protein is not upregulated by loss of TBX2. Prostate cancer (PC) cell line, LNCaP, was

used as a positive control for E-cadherin expression. **G.** The E-cadherin promoter is differentially

methylated in sarcomas as compared to carcinomas. **H.** Synovial sarcomas, which often display

epithelioid histopathological features, have the lowest levels of E-cadherin promoter methylation

of all sarcoma subtypes. **I.** Among soft tissue sarcoma histological subtypes E-cadherin mRNA

expression is highest in synovial sarcomas. Letters indicate statistically significant associations

between groups.

Figure 7. E-cadherin inhibits spheroid formation by increased cell-cell adhesion. A. E-

cadherin causes tighter clustering of cells during spheroid formation. **B.** A mechanical model

illustrates the relationship between particle distance to adhesion. **C.** A mechanical model predicts

E-cadherin drives down spheroid size through an increase in cell-cell adhesion. **D-E.** As

predicted in the model, ectopic E-cadherin expression increases cell-cell adhesion in 143B (**D**)

and U2OS (**E**) cells.

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 2

898 **Figure 3**

Figure 4

957   **Figure 5**

973   **Figure 6**

Figure 7