Efficient single-copy HDR by 5' modified long dsDNA donors

Jose Arturo Gutierrez-Triana[1,2,3], Tinatini Tavhelidse[1,2], Thomas Thumberger[1,2],

Isabelle Thomas[2], Beate Wittbrodt[2], Tanja Kellner[2], Kerim Anlas[2], Erika Tsingos[2] and

Joachim Wittbrodt[2]*

[1]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[2]Centre for Organismal Studies (COS), Heidelberg University, Im Neuenheimer Feld

230, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

[3]Current address: Escuela de Microbiología, Facultad de Salud, Universidad Industrial

de Santader, Cra. 32 #29-31, Bucaramanga, Santander, Colombia.

*Corresponding author:

Joachim Wittbrodt

jochen.wittbrodt@cos.uni-heidelberg.de

Developmental Biology and Physiology

Centre for Organismal Studies (COS), Heidelberg University

Im Neuenheimer Feld 230, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Phone +49 (6221) 54-6499

Fax +49 (6221) 54-5639

ABSTRACT

CRISPR/Cas9 efficiently induces targeted mutations via non-homologous-end-joining

but for genome editing, precise, homology-directed repair (HDR) of endogenous

DNA stretches is a prerequisite. To favor HDR, many approaches interfere with the

repair machinery or manipulate Cas9 itself. Using Medaka we show that the

modification of 5' ends of long dsDNA donors strongly enhances HDR, favors

efficient single-copy integration by retaining a monomeric donor conformation thus

facilitating successful gene replacement or tagging.

Main

The implementation of the bacterial CRISPR/Cas9 system in eukaryotes has triggered

a quantum leap in targeted genome editing in literally any organism with a

sequenced genome or targeting region (Cong et al., 2013; Jinek et al., 2012; Mali et

al., 2013). Site-specific double-strand breaks (DSBs) are catalyzed by the Cas9

enzyme guided by a single RNA with a short complementary region to the target site.

In response, the endogenous non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) DNA repair

machinery seals the DSB. Since perfect repair will restore the CRISPR/Cas9 target site,

mutations introduced by imprecise NHEJ are selected for.

To acquire precise genome editing the initial DSB should be fixed via the homology-

directed repair (HDR) mechanism which is preferentially active during the late S/G2

phase of the cell cycle (Hustedt and Durocher, 2017). Donor DNA templates with

flanking regions homologous to the target locus are used to introduce specific

mutations or particular DNA sequences. Injected (linear) dsDNA rapidly multimerizes

(Winkler et al., 1991), which likely also happens in CRISPR/Cas9 based approaches.

Additionally, the high activity of NHEJ re-ligating CRISPR/Cas9 mediated DSBs can

multimerize injected (linear) dsDNA donor templates. This poses a problem since

consequently, the precise HDR-mediated recombination of single-copy donor

templates is rather rare.

Several strategies have been followed to avoid NHEJ and/or favor HDR. NHEJ was

interfered with by pharmacological inhibition of DNA ligase IV (Maruyama et al.,

2015). Conversely, HDR was meant to be favored by fusing the HDR-mediating yeast

protein Rad52 to Cas9 (Wang et al., 2017). Similarly, removal of Cas9 in the G1/S

phase (Gutschner et al., 2016) by linking it to the N-terminal region of the DNA

replication inhibitor Geminin, should restrict the introduction of double-strand cuts

to the G2 phase, when HDR is most prominently occurring (Hustedt and Durocher,

2017). Those approaches improved HDR-mediated integration of the homology

flanks, while they did not tackle reported integration of multimers (Auer et al., 2014)

arising after injection of dsDNA templates such as plasmids or PCR products (Winkler

et al., 1991).

To enhance HDR without interfering with the endogenous DNA repair machinery we

aimed at establishing DNA donor templates that escape multimerization or NHEJ

events. We thus blocked both 5´ends of PCR amplified long dsDNA donor cassettes

using "bulky" moieties like Biotin, Amino-dT (A-dT) and carbon spacers (e. g. Spacer

C3, SpC3). This should shield the DNA donor from multimerization and integration

via NHEJ, thus favoring precise and efficient single-copy integration via HDR (Fig. 1a).

We first addressed the impact of the donor 5' modification on the formation of

multimers *in vivo*. We injected modified and unmodified dsDNA donors into one cell

stage medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) embryos and analyzed the conformational state of

the injected material during zygotic development. dsDNA donors were generated by

PCR employing 5' modified and non-modified primers respectively, and by

additionally providing traces of DIG-dUTP for labeling of the resulting PCR product.

The conformation of the injected dsDNA donors was assayed in the extracted total

DNA after 2, 4 and 6 hours post-injection, respectively. The DNA was size

fractionated by gel electrophoresis and donor DNA conformation was detected after

blotting the DNA to a nylon membrane by anti-DIG antibodies (Fig. 1b). In

unmodified DIG-labelled control donors, we uncovered multimerization already at

2h post-injection as evident by a ladder of labeled donor DNA representing different

copy number multimers (Fig. 1b). In contrast, Biotin and SpC3 modification of DIG-

labelled donors prevented multimerization within six hours post-injection (Fig. 1b).

dsDNA donors established by A-dT modified primes, however, multimerized and

produced similar results as unmodified DIG-labelled dsDNA donors (Fig. 1b). Our

results reveal that Biotin and SpC3 5' modifications efficiently prevent donor

multimerization *in vivo*. While strongly blocking multimerization, the 5' modification

of dsDNA did not apparently enhance the stability of the resulting dsDNA (compare

modified and unmodified donors over time, Fig. 1b).

To test whether 5' modification not only reduces the degree of multimerization but

also impacts on single-copy HDR-mediated integration of long dsDNA donors, we

designed *gfp* containing donor cassettes for an immediate visual readout. We

generated *gfp* in-frame fusion donors for four different genes: the retinal homeobox

transcription factors *rx2* and *rx1* (Reinhardt et al., 2015), the non-muscle cytoskeletal

beta-actin (*actb*) (Stemmer et al., 2015) and the *DNA methyltransferase 1* (*dnmt1*).

Donor cassettes contained the respective 5' homology flank (HF) (462bp for *rx2*,

430bp for *rx1,* 429bp for *actb*, 402bp for *dnmt1*), followed by the in-frame *gfp*

coding sequence, a flexible linker in case of *rx2, rx1* and *dnmt1*, and the

corresponding 3' HF (414bp for *rx2*, 508bp for *rx1,* 368bp for *actb*, 405bp for *dnmt1*;

schematic representation in Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 1 for detailed donor design).

To amplify the long dsDNA donors we employed a pair of universal primers (5'

modified or unmodified as control) complementary to the backbone of the cloning

vectors (pDestSC-ATG (Kirchmaier et al., 2013) or pCS2+ (Rupp et al., 1994))

encompassing the entire assembled donor cassette (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Modified or unmodified long dsDNA donors were subsequently co-injected together

with *Cas9* mRNA and the respective locus-specific sgRNA into medaka one-cell stage

zygotes (Loosli et al., 1999; Rembold et al., 2006). For all four loci (and all 5'

modifications) tested we observed efficient targeting as apparent by the GFP

expression within the expected expression domain (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table 1,

Supplementary Fig. 2).

The survival rates of embryos injected with Biotin and SpC3 5' modified dsDNA

donors did not differ significantly from embryos injected with the unmodified dsDNA

control donors (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 2). In contrast, the

injection of the A-dT 5' modified dsDNA donors resulted in high embryonic lethality

(Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 2).

We next analyzed the frequency of single-copy HDR events following a careful,

limited cycle PCR approach on genomic DNA of GFP expressing embryos injected

with unmodified, Biotin, SpC3 5' modified dsDNA donors. Our approach allowed

distinguishing alleles without *gfp* integration (i.e. size of wild-type locus) from those

generated by HDR and NHEJ respectively and addressed the size of the integration

by a locus spanning PCR with a reduced number of PCR cycles (<=30) to omit *in vitro*

fusion-PCR artefacts (own data and (Won and Dawid, 2017). To determine the

predictive power of GFP expression for perfect integration, we genotyped randomly

selected, GFP-expressing embryos using locus primers (Lf / Lr) located distal to the

utilized HFs (Supplementary Fig. 1) and addressed the fusion of the *gfp* donor

sequence to the target genes (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Figs. 3, 4).

Employing unmodified donors, the rate of HDR was very low as evidenced by the

predominant amplification of the alleles without *gfp* integration (Fig. 2b,

Supplementary Fig. 3). In strong contrast, the 5' modified long dsDNA donors

resulted in efficient HDR already detectable in the injected generation for all

targeted loci. For the *gfp* tagging of *rx2*, 6 out of 10 randomly selected, GFP-

expressing embryos showed precise HDR-mediated single-copy integration in F0

(Supplementary Fig. 3) as sequence confirmed by the analysis of the locus

(Supplementary Fig. 4). Thus, 9.5% of injected and surviving zygotes showed precise

HDR-mediated single-copy integration (15.8% of the injected zygotes expressed GFP;

60% of those showed the precise single-copy integration; Supplementary Table 1).

For the *gfp* tagging of *actb* 46.5% of the injected zygotes expressed GFP, 35% of

which (7 out of 20 randomly selected, GFP-expressing embryos) showed precise

HDR-mediated single-copy integration in F0, accounting for 16.3% of the initially

injected zygotes (Supplementary Table 1).

In the case of *dnmt1*, the rate of precise HDR-mediated single-copy integration was

even higher, since full gene functionality is required for the progression of

development and embryonic survival. Here, strikingly, all GFP-expressing embryo

showed the desired perfect integration.

We observed the highest efficiency of HDR targeting by 5' modified dsDNA for all loci

tested for 5'Biotin modified donors (Supplementary Fig. 3). Already in the injected

generation we prominently detected and validated the HDR-mediated fusion of the

long dsDNA donors with the respective locus (Supplementary Fig. 3). While still

giving rise to a high percentage of HDR events, SpC3 5' modified dsDNA donors also

resulted in elevated levels of additional bands indicative for the integration of higher

order multimers and NHEJ events (Supplementary Fig. 3). Even though increasingly

popular, in our hands the use of RNPs (Cas9 protein and respective sgRNA) did not

even get close to the efficiency achieved by co-injection of Cas9 mRNA and the

corresponding sgRNA assessed by gene targeting as described above.

The precise integration detected in the injected generation was successfully

transmitted to the next generation (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figs. 4,5). For *gfp-rx2*, 9 %

of fish originating from the initially injected embryos successfully transmitted the

precisely modified locus to the next generation (15.8% of the injected embryos

expressed GFP; 4 out of 7 GFP transmitting founder fish were also transmitting the

precise single integration of the *gfp* donor cassette). For *gfp-rx1,* 3.9% of fish

originating from the initially injected embryos were transmitting the precise single

copy integrate to the next generation (13.5% of injected embryos expressed GFP; 2

out of 7 GFP transmitting founder fish were also transmitting the precise single

integration of the *gfp-rx1* donor cassette). For *dnmt1*, the high rate of precise HDR-

mediated single-copy integration observed in the injected generation was fully

maintained in the transmission to the next generation due to the absolute

requirement of a functional/functionally tagged version of the locus. As we found in

the course of our study, the precise integration of the *gfp* donor cassette into the

actb locus results in late embryonic lethality. Consequently, stable transgenic lines

could not be established.

To estimate the timepoint of HDR events in the injected embryos, we investigated

the actual rate of mosaicism in the germline as reflected by the germline

transmission rate. For *gfp-rx2*, this rate ranged from 0.8% up to 12.9% indicating an

HDR event earliest at the 4-cell stage (assuming that only a single event occurred per

blastomere). In the case of *gfp-rx1,* HDR did not occur at the one cell stage but

rather later (2-8 cell stage as reflected by germline transmission rates of 23.9% and

5.8% respectively). Thus, for both cases, the transmission rates of the perfectly

tagged locus reflect a level of mosaicism indicative for an HDR event between the 4

and 32 cell stage.

We addressed the nature of the insertion predicted to be single-copy by a

combination of PCR and expression studies. We validated the genomic organization

of the *gfp-rx2* (Fig. 3a) and *gfp-rx1* knock-in in homozygous F2 animals by genomic

sequencing (Supplementary Fig. 4c) and Southern Blot (Southern, 2006) analysis (Fig.

3b, 3b', Supplementary Fig. 5). In both cases, we detected a single band indicative

for a single-copy HDR-mediated integration, when probing digested genomic DNA of

F2 *gfp-rx2$^{+/+}$* and *gfp-rx1$^{+/+}$* knock-in fish respectively. We used enzyme combinations

releasing the *gfp-rx2* region including the HFs (BglII/HindIII, Fig. 2b-b') or cutting in

the 5' HF (ScaI/HindIII, Fig. 3b, b'). For *gfp-rx1* we released the 5' flanking region

including the donor cassette (HindIII/XmaI) or the respective 3' flanking region and

donor cassette (NcoI/EcoRI) (Supplementary Fig. 5).

This analyses crucially validated the PCR based predictions and sequencing results.

Furthermore, transcript analysis in *gfp-rx2* homozygous F3 embryos exclusively

uncovered a single fusion *gfp-rx2* transcript (Fig. 3c, 3c'). This molecular analysis

confirmed that the 5'Biotin modification of the long dsDNA donor promoted precise

single-copy HDR-mediated integration with high efficiency.

Taken together the simple 5'Biotin modification at both ends of long dsDNA donors

by conventional PCR amplification presented here provides the means to favor HDR

without interfering with the cellular DNA repair machinery.

For efficient recombination the quality of the modified primers, i.e. the fraction of

primers actually labeled with biotin and therefore the quality of the 5' protected

long ds DNA PCR product is essential. The integration of 5' modified PCR fragments

larger than 2kb does in principle not pose a problem. We already successfully

integrated cassettes of up to 8.6 kb (data not shown) via HDR by *in vivo* linearization

of the donor plasmid (Stemmer et al., 2015). In the approach presented here, the

quality of the end-protected PCR product is likely to drop with higher length in part

due to the (UV−) nicking in the extraction and purification process. Also, the rapid

validation by locus spanning PCR is size limited but eventually Southern blot analysis

will resolve the question of a single copy, perfect integration. Irrespective of the

donor cassette size, the PCR cycles used to probe for the successful single

integration via HDR must not exceed a total of 30 to avoid misleading *in vitro* fusion-

PCR artifacts (own data and (Won and Dawid, 2017)).

As already mentioned earlier, HDR preferentially occurs during the late S/G2 phase

of the cell cycle (Heyer et al., 2010; Hustedt and Durocher, 2017). Varying

efficiencies of genome editing via HDR could be due to species-specific differences,

in particular of the S/G2 phase of the cell cycle that likely crucially impacts on the

rate of HDR. As reported extensively, there is no apparent G2 phase before mid-

blastula-transition in amphibia (*Xenopus*) and fish (*Danio rerio*) with the marked

exception of the first cleavage, where a G2 phase has been reported (Kimelman,

2014). The Germline transmission rates obtained for the tagging of Rx1 and Rx2

indicated HDR events after the two-cell stage, predominantly at the 4-cell and

subsequent stages. The slower cycling of medaka compared to zebrafish results in an

extension of the G2 phase in the first cell cycle by more than 150% (total cycle

zebrafish: 45 min (Kimmel et al., 1995), medaka: 65 min (Iwamatsu, 2004)).

Assuming a comparable rate of DNA synthesis between the two species, there is

more than double the time for S- and M-phase to replicate a genome encompassing

roughly a third of the size of zebrafish. This extended cell cycle length is in particular

apparent also in the subsequent cycles in medaka (15 min zebrafish (Kimmel et al.,

1995), 40 min medaka (Iwamatsu, 2004)). Interestingly, phosphorylation of RNA

polymerase, a prerequisite for the expression of the first zygotic transcripts has been

reported to start at the 64-cell stage in medaka (Kraeussling et al., 2011) and

asynchronous divisions, a hallmark of MBT, are observed already at the transition of

the 16- to 32-cell stage (Kraeussling et al., 2011), suggesting species-specific

differences in the onset of zygotic transcription and consequently the lengthening of

the cell cycle.

Our approach facilitates the highly efficient detection of HDR events by GFP tagging

even in non-essential genes. Other than in non-modified dsDNA donors, the locus-

specific expression of GFP is an excellent predictor for precise, single-copy HDR-

mediated integration already in the injected generation. This allows an easy

selection and results in HDR rates in GFP positive embryos of up to 60% in the

injected generation. This rate can even be higher in essential loci, such as *dnmt1*,

where the full functionality of the (modified) gene under investigation is required for

the survival of the affected cells, tissues, organs or organisms, thus providing the

means for effective positive selection for single, fully functional integrates. It is

interesting to note that approximately 400 bp flanking the insertion site in 5' and 3'

direction are sufficient for HDR. The use of modified universal primers for the two

donor template vectors employed allowed a flexible application of the procedure

and ensured a high quality of the PCR generated 5' modified long dsDNA donors.

Strikingly, the primer-introduced non-homology regions in the very periphery of the

long dsDNA donors did not negatively impact on the HDR efficiency.

Taken together, the simplicity and high reproducibility of our proof-of-concept

analysis highlight that the presented protection of both 5' ends of long dsDNA

donors prevent multimerization and promote precise insertion/replacement of DNA

elements, thus facilitating functional studies in basic research as well as therapeutic

interventions.

Methods

A step-by-step protocol is available as a Supplementary Protocol and at Protocol

Exchange.

Fish maintenance

All fish are maintained in closed stocks at Heidelberg University. Medaka (*Oryzias*

latipes) husbandry (permit number 35–9185.64/BH Wittbrodt) and experiments

(permit number 35-9185.81/G-145/15 Wittbrodt) were performed according to local

animal welfare standards (Tierschutzgesetz §11, Abs. 1, Nr. 1) and in accordance

with European Union animal welfare guidelines (Bert et al., 2016). The fish facility is

under the supervision of the local representative of the animal welfare agency.

Embryos of medaka of the wildtype Cab strain were used at stages prior to hatching.

Medaka was raised and maintained as described previously (Köster et al., 1997).

Donor plasmids

Rx2 and *actb* template plasmids for *gfp* donor cassette amplification are described in

Stemmer et al. (Stemmer et al., 2015) and were generated by GoldenGATE assembly

into the pGGDestSC-ATG destination vector (addgene #49322) according to

Kirchmaier et al. (Kirchmaier et al., 2013). See Supplementary Table 2 for primers

used to amplify respective homology flanks. The *dnmt1 gfp* plasmid was cloned with

homology flanks (5' HF 402 bp, primers dnmt1 5'HF f / dnmt1 5'HF r; 3' HF 405 bp,

dnmt1 3'HF f/ dnmt1 3'HF r) that were PCR amplified with Q5 polymerase (New

England Biolabs, 30 cycles) from wildtype medaka genomic DNA. *mgfp-flexible linker*

was amplified with primers mgfpf / mgfpr. The respective restriction enzyme was

used to digest the amplicons (5'HF: SalI HF (New England Biolabs), AgeI HF (New

England Biolabs); *mgfp-flexible linker*: AgeI HF (New England Biolabs), SpeI HF (New

England Biolabs); 3'HF: SpeI HF (New England Biolabs), NotI HF (New England

Biolabs)) followed by gel purification (Analytik Jena) and ligation into pCS2+ (Rupp et

al., 1994) (digested with SalI HF (New England Biolabs), NotI HF (New England

Biolabs)). The *rx1 gfp* plasmid was cloned with homology flanks (5'HF 430 bp,

primers rx1 5'HF f / rx1 5'HF r; 3' HF 508 bp, rx1 3'HF f/ rx1 3'HF r) that were PCR

amplified with Q5 polymerase (New England Biolabs, 30 cycles) from wildtype

medaka genomic DNA. All primers were obtained from Eurofins Genomics.

Donor amplification

We designed universal primers that match the pGGDestSC-ATG (Kirchmaier et al.,

2013) (addgene #49322) or pCS2+ (Rupp et al., 1994) backbone encompassing the

assembled inserts (i.e. the *gfp* donor cassette). Unmodified control primers (pDest f,

pDest r, pCS2 f, pCS2 r) were ordered from Eurofins Genomics. Modified primers

obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (pDest f mod, pDest r mod, pCS2 f mod, pCS2 r mod)

consist of the same sequences with phosphorothioate bonds in the first five

nucleotides and 5'moiety extension: 5'Biotin, 5'Amino-dT or 5'Spacer C3.

The dsDNA donor cassettes were amplified by PCR using 1x Q5 reaction buffer, 200

µM dNTPs, 200 µM primer forward and reverse and 0.6 U/µl Q5 polymerase (New

England Biolabs). Conditions used: initial denaturation at 98°C 30 sec, followed by 35

cycles of: denaturation at 98°C 10 sec, annealing at 62°C 20 sec and extension at

72°C 30 sec per kb and a final extension step of 2 min at 72°C. The PCR reaction was

treated with 20 units of DpnI (New England Biolabs) to remove any plasmid template

following gel purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, 28706) and

elution with 20µl nuclease-free water.

The *LacZ* cassette of the pGGDestSC-ATG (Kirchmaier et al., 2013) (addgene #49322)

which served as DIG labelled dsDNA fragment to test *in vivo* multimerization was

amplified via Q5-PCR as above using a mixture of 200 µM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, 170 µM

dTTP and 30 µM DIG-dUTP and purified as detailed.

sgRNA target site selection

Dnmt1 sgRNAs were designed with CCTop as described in Stemmer et al. (Stemmer

et al., 2015). sgRNAs for *rx2* and *actb* were the same as in Stemmer et al. (Stemmer

et al., 2015). The following target sites close to the translational start codons were

used (PAM in brackets): *rx2* (GCATTTGTCAATGGATACCC[TGG]), *actb*

(GGATGATGACATTGCCGCAC[TGG]), *dnmt1* (TGACATCGTCTGGCAAAGAC[AGG]) and

rx1 (AAATGCATGAGAGCGTCT[GGG] and CTCTCATGCATTTATCAC[TGG]) . Cloning of

sgRNA templates and *in vitro* transcription was performed as detailed in Stemmer, et

al. (Stemmer et al., 2015).

***In vitro* transcription of mRNA**

The pCS2+ *Cas9* plasmid was linearized using NotI and the mRNA was transcribed *in*

vitro using the mMessage_mMachine SP6 kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, AM1340).

Microinjection and screening

Medaka zygotes were injected with 10 ng of DIG-labelled donors and were allowed

to develop until 2, 4 and 6 hours post injection. For the CRISPR/Cas9 experiments,

medaka zygotes were injected with 5 ng/μl of either unmodified and modified long

dsDNA donors together with 150 ng/μl of *Cas9* mRNA and 15-30 ng/μl of the gene-

specific sgRNAs. Injected embryos were maintained at 28°C in embryo rearing

medium (ERM, 17 mM NaCl, 40 mM KCl, 0.27 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.66 mM MgSO$_4$, 17 mM

Hepes). One day post-injection (dpi) embryos were screened for survival, GFP

expression was scored at two dpi.

Southern Blot

In order to check for multimerization of unmodified and modified donors, we used a

modified Southern Blot approach. In brief, embryos were injected with DIG-labelled

donors which were PCR-amplified from pGGDestSC-ATG (addgene #49322) using

primers pDest f/pDest r (*LacZ* cassette) harboring either no 5' moiety or one of the

following: 5'Biotin, Amino-dT or Spacer C3. 2, 4 and 6 hours post injection, 30

embryos were lysed in TEN buffer plus proteinase K (10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA,

100 mM NaCl, 1 mg/ml proteinase K) at 60°C overnight. DNA was ethanol

precipitated after removal of lipids and proteins by phenol-chloroform extraction.

Total DNA was resuspended in TE buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0).

200 ng of each sample was run on a 0.8% agarose gel. As a control, 100 pg of

uninjected donor PCR product were loaded. The agarose gel was transferred to a

nylon membrane overnight using 10x SSC (1.5 M NaCl, 0.15 M C$_6$H$_5$Na$_3$O$_7$) as transfer

solution. The cross-linked membrane was directly blocked in 1% w/v blocking

reagent (Roche) in 1x DIG1 solution (0.1 M maleic acid, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.5) and the

labeled DNA was detected using CDP star (Roche) following the manufacturer's

instructions.

In order to check for copy number insertions in the *gfp-rx2* and *gfp-rx1* transgenic

lines, genomic DNA was isolated as described above from F2 embryos expressing

GFP. 10 µg digested genomic DNA were loaded per lane on a 0.8% agarose gel and

size fractionated by electrophoresis. The gel was depurinated in 0.25 N HCl for

30 min at room temperature, rinsed with H_2O, denatured in 0.5 N NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl

solution for 30 min at room temperature and neutralized in 0.5 M Tris HCl, 1.5 M

NaCl, pH 7.2 before it was transferred overnight at room temperature onto a

Hybond membrane (Amersham). The membrane was washed with 50 mM NaPi for 5

min at room temperature, then crosslinked and pre-hybridized in Church

hybridization buffer (0.5 M NaPi, 7% SDS, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) at 65°C for at least 30

min. The probe was synthesized from the donor plasmid with primers gfp probe f

and gfp probe r using the PCR DIG Probe Synthesis Kit (Roche, 11636090910) and the

following PCR protocol: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 95°C 30

sec, 60°C 30 sec, 72°C 40 sec and final extension at 72°C 7 min. The probe was boiled

in hybridization buffer for 10 min at 95°C and the membrane was hybridized

overnight at 65°C. The membrane was washed with pre-heated (65°C) Church

washing buffer (40 mM NaPi, 1% SDS) at 65°C for 10 min, then at room temperature

for 10 min and with 1x DIG1 and 0.3% Tween for 5 min at room temperature. The

membrane was blocked in 1% w/v blocking reagent (Roche) in 1x DIG1 solution at

room temperature for at least 30 min. The membrane was incubated with 1:10,000

anti-digoxigenin-AP Fab fragments (Roche) for 30 min at room temperature in 1%

w/v blocking reagent (Roche) in 1x DIG1 solution. Two washing steps with 1x DIG1

and 0.3% Tween were performed for 20 min at room temperature, followed by a 5

min washing step in 1x DIG3 (0.1 M Tris pH 9.5, 0.1 M NaCl) at room temperature.

Detection was performed using 6 µl/ml CDP star (Roche).

Genotyping

Single injected GFP positive embryos were lysed in DNA extraction buffer (0.4 M

Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 5 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 mg/ml proteinase K) at

60°C overnight. Proteinase K was inactivated at 95°C for 10 min and the solution was

diluted 1:2 with H_2O. Genotyping was performed in 1x Q5 reaction buffer, 200 µM

dNTPs, 200 µM primer forward and reverse and 0.012 U/µl Q5 polymerase and 2 µl

of diluted DNA sample and the respective locus primers. The conditions were: 98°C

30 sec, 30 cycles of 98°C 10 sec, annealing for 20 sec and 72°C 30 sec per kb

(extension time used would allow for detecting potential NHEJ events on both ends

of the donor) (rx2 Lf/rx2 Lr: 68°C annealing, 90 sec extension time; rx1 Lf/rx1 Lr: 66°C

annealing, 90 sec extension time; actb Lf/actb Lr: 66°C annealing, 84 sec extension

time; dnmt1 Lf/dnmt1 Lr: 65°C annealing, 90 sec extension time) and a final

extension of 2 min at 72°C. PCR products were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel.

Diagnostic GFP PCR here: 63°C annealing, 500 bp, 15sec extension

RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from 60 homozygous embryos (stage 32) by lysis in TRIzol

(Ambion) and chloroform extraction according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA

was precipitated using isopropanol and resuspended in H_2O. cDNA was reverse

transcribed with Revert Aid Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) after DNAse digestion and

inactivation following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR was performed using

5'UTRf, 3'UTRr and Q5 polymerase (New England Biolabs): 98°C 30 sec, 35 cycles of

98°C 10 sec, annealing 65°C for 20 sec and 72°C 210 sec and a final extension of 2

min at 72°C. PCR products were analyzed on a 1.5% agarose gel.

Sequencing

Plasmids and PCR fragments were sequenced with the indicated primers by a

commercial service (Eurofins Genomics).

Acknowledgments:

This research was funded through the German Science funding agency (DFG, CRC

873, Project A3 to J.W.). T.Ta. and E.T. are members of HBIGS, the Heidelberg

Biosciences International Graduate School. We are grateful to M. Majewsky, E. Leist

and A. Saraceno for fish husbandry. We thank Oliver Gruss (Bonn) and Daigo Inoue

(Yokohama) and all members of the Wittbrodt lab for their critical, constructive

feedback on the procedure and the manuscript.

Author contributions:

A.G., T.Ta., T.T. and J.W. designed the study, analyzed the data and wrote the

manuscript. A.G., T.Ta. and T.T. performed injection experiments and acquired the

data. I.T. contributed to the rx1 and rx2 experiments, B.W. conducted genotyping

PCR experiments and bred fish lines, T.K. cloned the donor plasmids and sgRNA

vectors and generated *Cas9* mRNA and sgRNAs, K.A. established the rx1 knock-in

lines, E.T. performed the rx2 Southern blot.

References

References

Auer, T.O., Duroure, K., De Cian, A., Concordet, J.-P., and Del Bene, F. (2014). Highly
efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in in zebrafish by homology-independent DNA
repair. Genome Res *24*, 142–153.

Bert, B., Chmielewska, J., Bergmann, S., Busch, M., Driever, W., Finger-Baier, K.,
Hößler, J., Köhler, A., Leich, N., Misgeld, T., et al. (2016). Considerations for a
European animal welfare standard to evaluate adverse phenotypes in teleost fish.
Embo J *35*, 1151–1154.

Cong, L., Ran, F.A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P.D., Wu, X., Jiang, W.,
Marraffini, L.A., et al. (2013). Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas
Systems. Science *339*, 819–823.

Gutschner, T., Haemmerle, M., Genovese, G., Draetta, G.F., and Chin, L. (2016). Post-
translational Regulation of Cas9 during G1 Enhances Homology-Directed Repair. Cell
Rep *14*, 1555–1566.

Heyer, W.-D., Ehmsen, K.T., and Liu, J. (2010). Regulation of Homologous
Recombination in Eukaryotes. Annu Rev Genet *44*, 113–139.

Hustedt, N., and Durocher, D. (2017). The control of DNA repair by the cell cycle. Nat
Cell Biol *19*, 1–9.

Iwamatsu, T. (2004). Stages of normal development in the medaka Oryzias latipes.
Mech Dev *121*, 605–618.

Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J.A., and Charpentier, E.
(2012). A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial
immunity. Science *337*, 816–821.

Kimelman, D. (2014). Cdc25 and the importance of G 2control. Cell Cycle *13*, 2165–
2171.

Kimmel, C., Ballard, W., Kimmel, S., Ullmann, B., and Schilling, T. (1995). Stages of
embryonic development of the zebrafish. Dev Dyn *203*, 253–310.

Kirchmaier, S., Lust, K., and Wittbrodt, J. (2013). Golden GATEway cloning--a
combinatorial approach to generate fusion and recombination constructs. PLoS ONE
8, e76117.

Köster, R., Stick, R., Loosli, F., and Wittbrodt, J. (1997). Medaka spalt acts as a target
gene of hedgehog signaling. Development *124*, 3147–3156.

Kraeussling, M., Wagner, T.U., and Schartl, M. (2011). Highly asynchronous and
asymmetric cleavage divisions accompany early transcriptional activity in pre-
blastula medaka embryos. PLoS ONE *6*, e21741.

Loosli, F., Winkler, S., and Wittbrodt, J. (1999). Six3 overexpression initiates the
formation of ectopic retina. Genes Dev *13*, 649–654.

Mali, P., Esvelt, K.M., and Church, G.M. (2013). Cas9 as a versatile tool for
engineering biology. Nat. Methods *10*, 957–963.

Maruyama, T., Dougan, S.K., Truttmann, M.C., Bilate, A.M., Ingram, J.R., and Ploegh,
H.L. (2015). Increasing the efficiency of precise genome editing with CRISPR-Cas9 by
inhibition of nonhomologous end joining. Nat Biotechnol.

Reinhardt, R., Centanin, L., Tavhelidse, T., Inoue, D., Wittbrodt, B., Concordet, J.-P.,
Martinez-Morales, J.-R., and Wittbrodt, J. (2015). Sox2, Tlx, Gli3, and Her9 converge
on Rx2 to define retinal stem cells in vivo. Embo J *34*, 1572–1588.

Rembold, M., Lahiri, K., Foulkes, N.S., and Wittbrodt, J. (2006). Transgenesis in fish:
efficient selection of transgenic fish by co-injection with a fluorescent reporter
construct. Nat Protoc *1*, 1133–1139.

Rupp, R.A., Snider, L., and Weintraub, H. (1994). Xenopus embryos regulate the
nuclear localization of XMyoD. Genes Dev *8*, 1311–1323.

Southern, E. (2006). Southern blotting. Nat Protoc *1*, 518–525.

Stemmer, M., Thumberger, T., Del Sol Keyer, M., Wittbrodt, J., and Mateo, J.L.
(2015). CCTop: An Intuitive, Flexible and Reliable CRISPR/Cas9 Target Prediction Tool.
PLoS ONE *10*, e0124633.

Wang, L., Yang, L., Guo, Y., Du, W., Yin, Y., Zhang, T., and Lu, H. (2017). Enhancing
Targeted Genomic DNA Editing in Chicken Cells Using the CRISPR/Cas9 System. PLoS
ONE *12*, e0169768.

Winkler, C., Vielkind, J.R., and Schartl, M. (1991). Transient expression of foreign
DNA during embryonic and larval development of the medaka fish (Oryzias latipes).
Mol Gen Genet *226*, 129–140.

Won, M., and Dawid, I.B. (2017). PCR artifact in testing for homologous
recombination in genomic editing in zebrafish. PLoS ONE *12*, e0172802.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Modification of 5' ends of long dsDNA fragments prevents *in vivo*

multimerization.

(a) Schematic representation of long dsDNA donor cassette PCR amplification with

universal primers (black arrows) complementary to the cloning vector backbone

outside of the assembled donor cassette (e. g. *gfp* with homology flanks). Bulky

moieties like Biotin at the 5' ends of both modified primers (red octagon) prevent

multimerization/NHEJ of dsDNA, providing optimal conditions for HDR-mediated

single-copy integration following CRISPR/Cas9 introduced DSB at the target locus

(grey scissors). Representation of locus (Lf/Lr) and internal *gfp* (Gf/Gr) primers for

PCR genotyping of putative HDR-mediated *gfp* integration events. (b) Southern Blot

analysis reveals the monomeric state of injected dsDNA fragments *in vivo* for 5'

modification with Biotin or Spacer C3. Long dsDNAs generated with control

unmodified primers or Amino-dT attached primers multimerize as indicated by high

molecular weight ladder apparent already within two hours post-injection (hpi).

Note: 5' moieties did not enhance the stability of injected DNA.

Figure 2. Modification of 5' ends of long dsDNA fragments promotes HDR-

mediated single-copy integration.

(a) GFP expression in the respective expression domain after HDR-mediated

integration of modified dsDNA *gfp* donor cassettes into *rx2*, *rx1*, *actb* and *dnmt1*

ORFs in the injected generation. (b) Individual embryo PCR genotyping highlights

efficient HDR-mediated single-copy integration of 5'Biotin modified long dsDNA

donors, but not unmodified donor cassettes. Locus PCR reveals band size indicative

of single-copy *gfp* integration (asterisk) besides alleles without *gfp* integration (open

arrowhead). Amplification of *gfp* donor (white arrow) for control.

Figure 3. Single-copy integration of long dsDNA donor establishes stably

transmitted *gfp-rx2* fusion gene. (a) F2 homozygous embryos exhibit GFP-Rx2 fusion

protein expression in the pattern of the endogenous gene in the retina. (b) Southern

Blot analysis of F2 *gfp-rx2* embryos reveals a single band for a digestion scheme

cutting outside the donor cassette (BglII/HindIII) or within the 5' donor cassette and

in intron 2 (ScaI/HindIII) indicating precise single-copy donor integration. (b')

Schematic representation of the modified locus indicating the restriction sites and

the domain complementary to the probe used in (b).

(c) RT-PCR analysis on mRNA isolated from individual homozygous F3 embryos

indicates the transcription of a single *gfp-rx2* fusion mRNA in comparison to the

shorter wild-type *rx2* mRNA as schematically represented in (c').

Supplementary Figure 1. Schematic representation of the donor plasmids

(a-c) Schematic to-scale representation of respective target locus (a, *rx2*; b, *actb*; c,

dnmt1) with UTR (white boxes with red outlines) and exons (red boxes) highlighted.

Homology flanks (HF, grey), sgRNA target sites (white scissors) and respective locus

primers (black arrows, Lf, Lr, see Supplementary Table 2) are indicated. Respective

assembled donor plasmid (a, b, Golden GATEway (Kirchmaier et al., 2013) or c,

conventional cloning) that served as a template for PCR amplification of

unmodified/modified long dsDNA *gfp* donor cassette is depicted below (FL, flexible

linker; backbone sequence in blue). Entry vectors (EV) or restriction enzyme sites for

cloning are indicated. The position of primers flanking the donor cassette is indicated,

modification highlighted by a red octagon.

Supplementary Figure 2. Quantification of survival and GFP expression of injected

embryos

Embryos injected with unmodified or 5'Biotin, Amino-dT or Spacer C3 modified long

dsDNA *gfp-rx2* donor cassettes were scored for survival at one dpi, and for GFP

expression at two dpi. n, total number of injected embryos.

549
Supplementary Figure 3. 5'Biotin modification of long dsDNA donors strongly

enhances HDR-mediated integration.

(a) *rx2* locus PCR genotyping of individual GFP-Rx2 positive embryos injected with

unmodified or 5'Biotin or Spacer C3 modified long dsDNA *gfp* donor cassettes (green

asterisk, single-copy HDR-mediated integration of *gfp*, 2547 bp, open arrowhead, *rx2*

allele without *gfp* integration, 1719 bp;). Horizontal bar, individual embryo; L, *rx2*

locus PCR with rx2 Lf / rx2 Lr; G, *gfp* internal PCR for control with Gf / Gr. (b)

Qualitative summary of band spectrum (single-copy HDR-mediated *gfp* integration,

rx2 allele without *gfp* integration, other) resulting from PCR genotyping in (a).

n, number of genotyped GFP-Rx2 expressing embryos.

Supplementary Figure 4. Stable germline transmission of the single-copy HDR-

mediated precise *gfp* integration.

(a) Schematic to-scale representation of the *gfp-rx2* locus with UTR (white boxes

with red outlines), exons (red boxes) and homology flanks (HF, grey) highlighted.

(b, c) Individual GFP-Rx2 expressing embryos (F0, b; F1, c) were genotyped using

primers rx2 Lf / rx2 Lr (black arrows) and sequenced with gfpf and gfpr primers

(green arrows).

Supplementary Figure 5. Stably transmitted single-copy integration of the *gfp-rx1*

donor cassette. (a) Southern Blot analysis of F2 *gfp-rx1* embryos reveals a single

band for a digestion scheme cutting outside the donor cassette and within the 3'

donor cassette (HindIII/XmaI) or within the 5' donor cassette and in intron 1

(NcoI/EcoRI) indicating precise single-copy donor integration. (a') Schematic

representation of the modified locus indicating the restriction sites and the domain

complementary to the probe used in (a).

Supplementary Table 1. Analysis of injected embryos.

Embryos injected with unmodified or modified (5'Biotin, Amino-dT, Spacer C3) long

dsDNA *gfp* donor cassettes matching the *rx2*, *actb* or *dnmt1* locus, were scored for

GFP expression and survival. Injections without *Cas9* mRNA for control.

locus	*rx2*						*actb*		*dnmt1*	
modification	-	-	Biotin	Biotin	A-dT	SpC3	-	Biotin	-	Biotin
Cas9	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Total injected	93	640	133	474	379	395	65	105	94	105
GFP positive	0	41	0	69	29	65	17	33	11	9
dead	3	54	1	38	192	87	15	34	41	4
%GFP/surviving	0.00	7.00	0.00	15.83	15.51	21.10	34.00	46.48	20.75	8.91
% death rate	3.23	8.44	0.75	8.02	50.66	22.03	23.08	32.38	43.62	3.81

Supplementary Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this work.

Restriction enzyme sites used for cloning of PCR amplicons are indicated in italics.

Substituted nucleotides to facilitate T7 *in vitro* transcription of the sgRNA

oligonucleotides are shown in small letters (Stemmer et al., 2015). Locus primers

forward (Lf) and reverse (Lr) of respective gene loci, *gfp* primers forward (Gf) and

reverse (Gr), *gfp* sequencing primers gfpf and gfpr and primers to amplify the *mgfp-*

flexible linker, as well as the *gfp* probe for Southern Blot analysis, are given. Asterisks

indicate phosphorothioate bonds, "5'moiety" was either 5'Biotin, Amino-dT or

Spacer C3 in the pDest f mod, pDest r mod, pCS2 f mod and pCS2 r mod primers.

primer name	primer sequence 5'-3'
rx2	
rx2 5'HF f (BamHI)	GCC*GGATCC*AAGCATGTCAAAACGTAGAAGCG
rx2 5'HF r (KpnI)	GCC*GGTACC*CATTTGGCTGTGGACTTGCC
rx2 3'HF f (BamHI)	GCC*GGATCC*CATTTGTCAATGGACACGCTTGGGATGGTGGACGAT
rx2 3'HF r (KpnI)	GCC*GGTACC*TGGACTGGACTGGAAGTTATTT
rx2 sgRNA f	TAgGCATTTGTCAATGGATACCC
rx2 sgRNA r	AAACGGGTATCCATTGACAAATG
rx2 Lf / 5'UTRf	TGCATGTTCTGGTTGCAACG

rx2 Lr	AGGGACCATACCTGACCCTC
3'UTRr	GCGACAGCTATTCCACATAAAAAC
actb	
actb 5'HF f (BamHI)	GG*GGATCC*CAGCAACGACTTCGCACAAA
actb 5'HF r (KpnI)	GG*GGTACC*GGCAATGTCATCATCCATGGC
actb 3'HF f (BamHI)	GG*GGATCC*GACGACGATATAGCTGCACTGGTTGTTGACAACG GATCTG
actb 3'HF r (KpnI)	GG*GGTACC*CAGGGGCAATTCTCAGCTCA
actb sgRNA f	TAGGATGATGACATTGCCGCAC
actb sgRNA r	AAACGTGCGGCAATGTCATCAT
actb Lf	GTCCGAGTTGAGGGTGTCTG
actb Lr	CATGTGCTCCACTGTGAGGT
dnmt1	
dnmt1 5'HF f (SalI)	AATTT*GTCGAC*GCTTTGACAGTTAACCTACACG
dnmt1 5'HF r (AgeI)	AATTT*ACCGGT*CGTAACTGCAAACTAAAAAATAAAAC
dnmt1 3'HF f (SpeI)	AATTT*ACTAGT*ATGCCATCCAGAACGTCCTTATCTCTACCAGAC GATGTCAGAAAAAGGTAC
dnmt1 3'HF r	AATTT*GCGGCCGC*CTACACATATTGTCTGTGATAC

(NotI)	
mgfpf (*AgeI*)	AATTT*ACCGGT*ACTAGTACCATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTT CAC
mgfpr (*SpeI*)	AATTT*ACTAGT*CGCGGCTGCACTTCCACCGCCTCCCGATCCGCC ACCGCCAGAGCCACCTCCGCCTGAACCGCCTCCACCGCTCAGG CTAGCTTTGTATAGTTCATCCATGCCATG
dnmt1 sgRNA f	TAgGACATCGTCTGGCAAAGAC
dnmt1 sgRNA r	AAACGTCTTTGCCAGACGATGT
dnmt1 Lf	CTCAATGTAAACACTTCGTGTCGCTTC
dnmt1 Lr	TTGCATGCATATTCAAAGTTGTCAAAG

rx1	
rx1 5'HF f (BamHI)	GCC*GGATCC*GCATCCGAAAGGTAAGGACTGCAAACC
rx1 5'HF r (KpnI)	GCC*GGTACC*CATGAGAGCGTCTGGGCTCTGACC
rx1 3'HF f (BamHI)	GGC*GGATCC*CATTTATCACTCGATACCATGAGCA
rx1 3'HF r (KpnI)	GGC*GGTACC*TTCCAGTTTAAGAACATCCCCTCT
rx1 sgRNA1 f	TAggAAATGCATGAGAGCGTCT
rx1 sgRNA1 r	AAACAGACGCTCTCATGCATTT
rx1 sgRNA2 f	TAggCTCTCATGCATTTATCAC
rx1 sgRNA2 r	AAACGTGATAAATGCATGAGAG
rx1 Lf	CTTTGCTGTTTTGAGAATTGCACC
rx1 Lr	GAGACCGAACGATGACAATAACAC
Backbone primers for *gfp* cassette amplification	
pDest f (control)	CGAGCGCAGCGAGTCAGTGAG
pDest r (control)	CATGTAATACGACTCACTATAG

pDest f mod	5'moiety-C*G*A*G*C*GCAGCGAGTCAGTGAG
pDest r mod	5'moiety-C*A*T*G*T*AATACGACTCACTATAG
pCS2 f	CCATTCAGGCTGCGCAACTG
pCS2 r	CACACAGGAAACAGCTATGAC
pCS2 f mod	5'moiety-C*C*A*T*T*CAGGCTGCGCAACTG
pCS2 r mod	5'moiety-C*A*C*A*C*AGGAAACAGCTATGAC
diagnostic *gfp* primer	
Gf	ATGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGC
Gr	CTCAGGTAGTGGTTGTCG
gfpf	GCTCGACCAGGATGGGCA
gfpr	CTGAGCAAAGACCCCAACGAGAAGCGCGATCACATG
gfp probe f	GTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCT
gfp probe r	CTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG

Figure 3   Gutierrez, Tavhelidse, Thumberger et al.