Hair Follicle Epidermal Stem Cells Define a Niche for Tactile Sensation

Chun-Chun Cheng[1,9,11], Ko Tsutsui[1,11], Toru Taguchi[2,10,11], Noriko Sanzen[1], Asako

Nakagawa[1], Kisa Kakiguchi[3], Shigenobu Yonemura[3,4], Chiharu Tanegashima[5], Sean D.

Keeley[5], Hiroshi Kiyonari[6], Yasuhide Furuta[6], Yasuko Tomono[7], Fiona M. Watt[8] and

Hironobu Fujiwara[1,*]

[1]Laboratory for Tissue Microenvironment, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics

Research (BDR), Kobe 650-0047, Japan

[2]Department of Neuroscience II, Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Nagoya

University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

[3]Ultrastructural Research Team, Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe

650-0047, Japan

[4]Department of Cell Biology, Tokushima University Graduate School of Medical Science,

Tokushima 770-8503, Japan

[5]Phyloinformatics Unit, Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe 650-0047,

Japan

[6]Animal Resource Development Unit and Genetic Engineering Team, Center for

Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe 650-0047, Japan

[7]Division of Molecular & Cell Biology, Shigei Medical Research Institute, Okayama 701-

0202, Japan

[8]Centre for Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine, King's College London, London SE1

9RT, UK

[9]Present address: Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, UT Southwestern Medical

Center, Texas 75390, USA

[10]Present address: Department of Physical Therapy, Niigata University of Health and

Welfare, Niigata 950-3198, Japan

[11]Co-first author

*Correspondence: hironobu.fujiwara@riken.jp

Abstract

The heterogeneity and compartmentalization of stem cells is a common principle in many

epithelia, and is known to function in epithelial maintenance, but its other physiological

roles remain elusive. Here we show transcriptional and anatomical contributions of

compartmentalized epidermal stem cells (EpSCs) in tactile sensory unit formation in the

hair follicle (HF). EpSCs in the follicle upper-bulge, where mechanosensory lanceolate

complexes (LCs) innervate, express a unique set of extracellular matrix (ECM) and

neurogenesis-related genes. These EpSCs deposit an ECM protein EGFL6 into the collar

matrix, a novel ECM that tightly ensheathes LCs. EGFL6 is required for the proper

patterning, touch responses, and αv integrin-enrichment of LCs. By maintaining a quiescent

original EpSC niche, the old bulge, EpSCs provide anatomically stable HF–LC interfaces,

irrespective of the stage of follicle regeneration cycle. Thus, compartmentalized EpSCs

provide a niche linking the HF and the nervous system throughout the hair cycle.

Introduction

Tissue stem cells (SCs) in many epithelia, including the epidermis, intestine, mammary glands and lungs, often comprise heterogeneous populations with distinct transcriptional and anatomical features (Donati & Watt, 2015; Xin, Greco, & Myung, 2016). Individual SC pools contribute to tissue maintenance through different homeostatic and regenerative properties and give rise to distinct epithelial compartments, while they also hold plasticity to change their identity and function when perturbed. These recent findings question the traditional view of the role of stem cell heterogeneity, which underlies the theory of linear hierarchy (Goodell, Nguyen, & Shroyer, 2015). Although the biological significance of the heterogeneity and compartmentalization of epithelial SCs has primarily been studied in the context of epithelial tissue maintenance and regeneration, its other physiological roles remain unclear.

Hair follicles (HFs) are highly conserved touch sensory organs in the hairy skin that covers most of the mammalian body surface and detects touch signals essential for development and survival (Lumpkin, Marshall, & Nelson, 2010). HFs are innervated by mechanosensory end organs called lanceolate complexes (LCs), which are composed of parallel, longitudinally aligned low-threshold mechanoreceptor (LTMR) axonal endings and terminal Schwann cell (tSC) processes (Zimmerman, Bai, & Ginty, 2014). HFs are also connected to arrector pili muscles (APMs), thus forming a unique regenerating motile sensory organ. These two HF appendages attach to the permanent portion of the HF, known as the bulge, where epidermal stem cells (EpSCs) reside.

Hair follicle EpSCs are heterogeneous in their molecular and functional properties and compartmentalized along the longitudinal axis of the HF (Figure 1A) (Solanas & Benitah, 2013). The mid-bulge region contains $CD34^+$ slow-cycling EpSCs that serve as a reservoir of EpSCs and were once thought to be the master SCs at the top of the epidermal

hierarchy. A series of recent studies, however, identify several additional pools of different

EpSCs around the bulge (e.g. in the isthmus, junctional zone, upper-bulge and hair germ).

For example, the upper-bulge is a niche for *Gli1*^{+} EpSCs and they contribute to HF

regeneration and wound healing of interfollicular epidermis (Brownell, Guevara, Bai,

Loomis, & Joyner, 2011). The importance of EpSC heterogeneity and compartmentalization

has almost entirely been explained by their role in regional tissue replenishment to maintain

different functional compartments of the epidermis, but its roles apart from epidermal

maintenance remain poorly understood. As coordinated epithelial–mesenchymal

interactions are essential for HF development, regeneration and functioning, the unique

signaling territories and tissue architecture provided by compartmentalized EpSCs probably

regulate the interactions between the epidermis and a variety of HF-associated structures,

including sensory nerves, APMs and the dermal papilla. Consistent with this idea, it has

been reported that mid-bulge EpSCs create a specialized basement membrane containing

nephronectin, thereby providing a niche for APM development and anchorage (Fujiwara et

al., 2011). Follicle epidermis-derived BDNF is also critical for follicle-nerve interactions

(Rutlin et al., 2014).

In this study, we set out to address the idea that upper-bulge EpSCs are molecularly

and anatomically specialized for interaction with LCs and thus involved in generating the

sense of touch. We demonstrated that upper-bulge EpSCs produce a special extracellular

matrix (ECM) environment and unique epidermal tissue architecture that creates a stable

HF–LC interface for tactile sensation. Thus, EpSC heterogeneity and compartmentalization

appear to be important for both regional epidermal maintenance and patterned epithelial–

mesenchymal interactions.

Results

Upper-bulge EpSCs are molecularly specialized for HF–nerve interactions

We first examined the global transcriptional features of distinct EpSC populations in the

HF. To this end, we established FACS-based cell purification methods using several eGFP

reporter mouse lines that label different SC compartments to isolate cellular subpopulations

resident in the lower-isthmus ($Lgr6^+$), upper-bulge ($Gli1^+$), mid-bulge ($CD34^+$), and hair

germ ($Cdh3^+$) as well as unfractionated basal EpSCs (α6 integrin$^+$) (Figure 1A and B, Figure

1–figure supplement 1A). The purity of the isolated populations was verified by the

expression of compartment-specific genes (Figure 1–figure supplement 1B and C, Materials

and methods). We performed RNA-seq on these isolated cell populations. Gene Set

Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) indicated that neurogenesis-related Gene Ontology (GO)

terms are over-represented in $Gli1^+$ upper-bulge EpSCs (Figure 1C, Figure 1– table

supplement 1). To further identify $Gli1^+$ compartment–enriched genes, we performed a

pairwise transcriptional comparison between the $Gli1^+$ population and all the other

populations and plotted the relationship between $Gli1^+$-enriched gene sets in a Venn

diagram (Figure 1D). Genes categorized in "Group I" were $Gli1^+$ population-enriched genes.

We also extracted genes included in "Group II", which contains genes highly expressed

both in the $Gli1^+$ population and the CD34$^+$ population, since the $Gli1$ and CD34 double-

positive cells were included in the CD34$^+$ population in our sorting scheme (Figure 1D).

Prominent gene-annotation clusters in both Group I and Group II cells encode proteins

involved in nervous system development, including the neurotrophic factors *Grp*, *Bdnf* and

Nrtn and the keratitis-ichthyosis-deafness syndrome gene *Gjb2* (Figure 1E and F). Multiple

ECM genes are also upregulated in the upper-bulge compartment, including *Aspn*, *Crispld1*,

Egfl6, and the deafness-related ECM genes *Col4a3* and *Col4a4* (Mochizuki et al., 1994)

(Figure 1E and F). This global gene expression profiling of compartmentalized EpSCs

suggests that upper-bulge EpSCs are specialized both to interact with the nervous system

and to express a unique set of ECM genes.

Upper-bulge EpSCs deposit EGFL6 into the collar matrix

It has been suggested that the ECM plays important roles in mammalian touch end organs,

but the molecular identity and functions of this putative ultrastructure remain unknown

(Lumpkin et al., 2010; Zimmerman et al., 2014). On examining the tissue localization of 15

upper-bulge ECM proteins, we found that 8 ECM proteins were deposited in the upper-

bulge (Figure 2A, Figure 2–table supplement 1). Among them, EGFL6 exhibited the most

restricted localization in the upper-bulge of all types of dorsal HFs and showed a unique C-

shaped pattern with a gap at the rostral side of the HF (Figure 2B). βIII-tubulin staining

showed that skin nerve endings terminate at the EGFL6 deposition sites (Figure 2B).

Magnified 3D images revealed the close association of EGFL6 with longitudinal lanceolate

parallel LTMR axonal endings of LCs, which are activated by tactile stimuli (Figure 2C)

(Bai et al., 2015), and longitudinal processes of nestin-positive non-myelinating tSCs of

LCs (Figure 2D). A close anatomical association of follicle EGFL6 with blood vessels has

been reported (Xiao et al., 2013), but their direct contact was not observed (Figure 2E). To

detect cells expressing *Egfl6* mRNA, we generated *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* reporter mice. eGFP

protein expression was enriched in upper-bulge EpSCs at the caudal side of the HF, but was

not detected in dermal cells around the upper-bulge or dorsal root ganglia neurons that

innervate to the upper bulge (Figure 2F and G).

To investigate the ultrastructural localization of EGFL6, we performed electron

microscopic analysis of transverse sections of the upper-bulge. As described by Li and Ginty

(2014), each LTMR axonal ending was sandwiched by processes of tSCs (Figure 2H). We

identified an electron-dense amorphous ECM structure surrounding the LCs (black

arrowheads in Figure 2H). We named this novel structure the 'collar matrix', as it tightly

ensheathes the LCs. tSC processes have two openings, at the follicular basement membrane

and at the opposite side of the cell, thus exposing axonal endings and tSC processes to at

least two different ECMs, the HF basement membrane and the collar matrix. Although

EGFL6 is expressed by the upper-bulge EpSCs, an immunogold-labeled EGFL6 antibody

was detected exclusively in the collar matrix (Figure 2I), indicating that upper-bulge EpSCs

secrete EGFL6, which is incorporated in the collar matrix that ensheathes LCs (Figure 2J).

EGFL6 mediates cell adhesion via αv integrins

α8β1 integrin is the only reported cell adhesion receptor of EGFL6 (Osada et al., 2005), but

its expression was not detected in LCs (data not shown). To explore other cell adhesion

receptors for EGFL6, we purified recombinant EGFL6 protein and performed cell adhesion

assays using nine cell lines. Of these, two glial and one skin fibroblast cell lines adhered to

EGFL6, whereas other cell types, including keratinocytes, did not (Figure 2–figure

supplement 1A and B), suggesting that although EGFL6 is derived from the upper-bulge

EpSCs, these SCs are incapable of interacting with EGFL6, rather dermal cell lineages

interact and adhere to EGFL6. A point mutation into the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) integrin

recognition sequence of EGFL6 (RGE mutant) significantly reduced its cell adhesive ability

(Figure 2–figure supplement 1C). Cell adhesion to EGFL6 was inhibited by the αv integrin

antibody, but not by the β1 integrin antibody (Figure 2–figure supplement 1D). Consistent

with these results, αv integrin accumulated in LCs and colocalized with EGFL6 (Figure 2–

figure supplement 1E). Deletion of EGFL6 decreased αv integrin and impaired its

accumulation (Figure 2–figure supplement 1E and F). Together, these results demonstrate

that EGFL6 induces cell adhesion via αv integrins that accumulate in the LCs in an EGFL6-

dependent manner.

EGFL6 is required for the proper patterning and touch responses of LCs

One of the central roles of the specialized ECM around neural structures, such as the perineuronal nets, is to provide adhesive and non-adhesive physical structures to support cells and therefore determine their shape and functions (Mouw, Ou, & Weaver, 2014). Thus, we next examined the effect of deleting EGFL6 on LC structures in zigzag HFs, which are the most common HF type in rodent skin and innervated by Aδ- and C-LTMRs (Li et al., 2011). *Egfl6* knockout mice showed misaligned and overlapping structures of axonal endings and tSC processes (Figure 3A and B). Quantitative 3D histomorphometrical analysis of LTMRs and tSCs revealed the significant increase of overlapping points of axons and tSC protrusions in *Egfl6* knockout mice (Figure 3A–C). The numbers and lengths of axonal endings were unchanged (Figure 3–figure supplement 1A and B). In *Egfl6* knockout tissue, the length, but not the width, of tSC processes was reduced (Figure 3–figure supplement 1C and D). *Egfl6* knockout mice did not show defects in the hair cycle (Figure 3–figure supplement 1E and F). We conclude that EGFL6 is required for proper parallel patterning of LCs.

To determine whether EGFL6 is involved in mechanotransduction, we generated skin–nerve preparations and performed a single-nerve electrophysiological analysis of Aδ-LTMRs, which are the most sensitive HF-associated LTMRs, stimulated by gentle touch and hair deflection (Bai et al., 2015; Rutlin et al., 2014; Zimmermann et al., 2009). Although the electrical responsiveness of Aδ-LTMRs in *Egfl6* knockout skin remained intact (Figure 3–table supplement 1), these receptors showed clear defects in mechanical responses. The median mechanical response threshold of Aδ-LTMRs measured using von Frey hairs was significantly higher in knockout skin (Figure 3D). To more quantitatively analyze the mechanical sensitivity of these fibers, a light touch stimulus was applied using a piezo-

controlled micromanipulator (Figure 3–figure supplement 2A). Averaged touch response

patterns showed that knockout skin exhibits fewer action potentials than wild-type skin at

displacements of >32 μm (Figures 3E, Figure 3–figure supplement 2B and C). The median

threshold displacement of Aδ-LTMRs was about three-times higher in the knockout (Figure

3F). Significantly fewer action potentials were detected during the course of the touch

stimulus protocol in *Egfl6* knockout skin (Figure 3G). These results indicate that EGFL6

plays an important role in the excitation of Aδ-LTMRs.

The bulge provides stable epidermal–neuronal interfaces

Unlike most other sensory systems, the HF undergoes dynamic structural changes during

its periodic regeneration cycle (Figure 3–figure supplement 1E). It has remained unclear

how HFs are able to maintain stable HF–LC interactions over the course of this cycle. To

gain insight into this question, we first examined the changes that occur in the anatomical

structure of the upper-bulge epidermis during the hair regeneration cycle. We found that at

the first telogen (P20), the upper-bulge exhibits a single circular peripheral morphology

with one hair shaft, while at the first anagen (P35), the upper-bulge perimeter doubles due

to the formation of a new HF rostral to the existing HF (old bulge) (Figure 4A–C). At the

second telogen (P49), the upper-bulge perimeter decreased due to a reduction in the new

bulge perimeter. Thus, the external configuration of the rostral side of the upper-bulge

epidermis changes dynamically during the HF regeneration cycle, while the caudal aspect

remains stable.

We next examined the relationship between the dynamics of HF epidermal

morphology and LC structure. We measured the signal intensities of immuno-stained LC

components along the upper-bulge, and assigned average signal intensities to the position

angle of HFs. At the first telogen, HFs exhibited relatively regular signal intensities along

the epidermal perimeter, but at the first anagen the new bulge (rostral side) showed areas of low signal intensity, while these remained unchanged at the old (caudal) bulge (Figure 4A and D). The low-intensity areas at new bulges became narrower in the second telogen. Both Aδ- and C-LTMRs exhibited caudally polarized distribution in the second telogen (Figure 4–figure supplement 1A). Consistent with these signal intensity data, EGFL6 and tSCs in the old bulge maintained regular parallel morphologies throughout the hair cycle, while those in the new bulge exhibited diverse morphologies and wider widths in the first anagen (Figure 4E, Figure 4–figure supplement 1B). Thus, the polarized distributions of LC components toward the caudal side of the HFs are induced as the new bulge structure forms at the rostral side of the HF. The caudal side of the HF, where the old bulge is maintained by quiescent EpSCs, does not change in morphology, suggesting that the old bulge stably preserves LCs irrespective of the stage of hair regeneration cycle.

Finally, we plucked a club hair, a retained hair from the previous hair cycle in the old bulge, to test whether the removal of the sensor probe and subsequent alteration of the old bulge architecture would affect the LC structure. The hairs were painted at the first telogen (P20) and the painted hairs (i.e., club hairs) were plucked when the new hair and bulge formed (Figure 4–figure supplement 1C). Three days after plucking, the old bulges regressed (Figures 4F, Figure 4–figure supplement 1D), the LCs disappeared from the caudal side of the upper-bulge, and ectopic LCs appeared at the rostral side of the upper-bulge (Figure 4F and G). Together these results indicate that the preservation of the old bulge epidermal structure provides a stable epidermal–neuronal interface and induces an LC structure oriented toward the caudal side of the HF. Rutlin et al. (2014) reported that caudally polarized localization of Aδ-LTMRs underlies direction-selective responsiveness of Aδ-LTMRs to hair deflection, suggesting that the unique tissue architecture generated by upper-bulge EpSCs is involved in the directional selectivity of the LCs.

Discussion

Our findings reveal transcriptional and anatomical roles of compartmentalized EpSCs in HF sensory unit formation (Figure 4H). Our previous study also showed that the mid-bulge EpSCs express many tendon-related genes and induce the differentiation of APMs and their correct anchorage to the bulge via secretion of the basement membrane protein nephronectin (Fujiwara et al., 2011). Deletion of nephronectin moves the muscle near the upper-bulge. The morphology of the LC was altered in the nephronectin mutant (Figure 4–figure supplement 1E–G), likely due to the changes in mechanical or geometrical environments of the upper-bulge by the mis-location of APMs. Therefore, we propose that the heterogeneous EpSCs are compartmentalized not only for efficient epidermal homeostasis and regeneration (Schepeler, Page, & Jensen, 2014), but also for defining patterned niches for specific epidermal–dermal interactions, in part through providing different ECM environments.

The biological significance of forming two bulges has not been well explained. A recent report by Lay, Kume, and Fuchs (2016) suggests that the two-bulge structure has an advantage for life-long HF epidermal maintenance as it increases the number of EpSCs and maintains SC quiescence by holding a keratin 6^+ inner bulge that expresses factors that inhibit SC activation. Our study now brings an entirely different perspective on the significance of the old bulge formation. We demonstrated that the formation and preservation of the old bulge provides a stable epidermal-neuronal interface and induces a LC structure oriented toward the caudal side of the hair follicle. Caudally polarized expression of BDNF in the bulge epidermis of developing HFs regulates caudally enriched localization of Aδ-LTMRs, which underlies direction-selective responsiveness of Aδ-LTMRs (Rutlin et al., 2014). Our data show that both Aδ-LTMRs and C-LTMRs become caudally polarized after the formation of the two-bulge structure, indicating that the unique

271 tissue architecture provided by EpSCs is another major determinant of the formation,

272 preservation and function of LCs in the HF.

273  The mechanisms to form and preserve proper innervation in regenerating HF have

274 attracted attention. Botchkarev, Eichmuller, Johansson, and Paus (1997) reported the

275 constant number of longitudinal nerve endings in the LCs in both natural and hair plucking-

276 induced hair cycle. This invariance of nerve ending number, together with the unique

277 epidermal tissue geometry and dynamics revealed in the present study, may underlie

278 stability and topological reorganization of LCs during the hair cycle and in hair plucking-

279 induced old bulge depletion.

280  We identified a novel electron-dense and amorphous ECM structure, which we

281 named the collar matrix, that ensheathes LCs. The deletion of a collar matrix protein,

282 EGFL6, impaired the structure and sensory function of LCs. The collar matrix has

283 anatomical and functional features in common with the essential ECM in touch end organs

284 of non-vertebrate organisms, such as the mantle in *C. elegans* (Emtage, Gu, Hartwieg, &

285 Chalfie, 2004). Thus, electron-dense amorphous ECMs are likely to be the fundamental

286 ECM regulating sensory end organ formation and function in multicellular organisms.

287  In conclusion, our findings give a new perspective on the roles played by

288 heterogeneous and compartmentalized EpSC populations in more global aspects of

289 organogenesis, beyond their role in epithelial maintenance and regeneration. Our study also

290 provides insights into how sensory organs take advantage of a stable niche provided by a

291 quiescent EpSC compartment to maintain sensory function within a structurally dynamic

292 tissue environment.

Author Contributions

C-C.C., K.T. and T.T. contributed equally to the study. C-C.C. and H.F. designed this study.

C-C.C., N.S. A.N. and H.F. performed the mouse experiments. H.F., N.S., H.K. and Y.F.

generated the *Egfl6-H2b-eGFP* mice. K.T. established the FACS protocols and purified the

SC pools. K.T, C.T. and S.D.K. performed the RNA-seq and bioinformatics analysis. T.T.

performed the electrophysiological analysis. C-C.C., K.K. and S.Y. performed the electron

microscopic analysis. Y.T. provided COL4A3 and COL4A4 antibodies. F.M.W. oversaw

the initial stage of this study. C-C.C., K.T., T.T. and H.F. wrote the paper with input from

all authors.

Acknowledgments

We thank Shigehiro Kuraku, Yuichiro Hara, Osamu Nishimura of the RIKEN

Phyloinformatics Unit for help in RNA-seq and bioinformatics; Hideki Enomoto for Ret-

GFP mice; RIKEN Kobe light microscopy and animal facilities for technical assistance;

Shigeo Hayashi and Douglas Sipp for their critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank

the members of the Fujiwara laboratory for valuable reagents and discussion. This work

was funded by RIKEN intramural funding, JSPS KAKENHI (25122720), Uehara Memorial

Foundation, Takeda Science Foundation and Cosmetology Research Foundation (all to

H.F.). C-C.C. was a recipient of the RIKEN-NSC Taiwan Fellowship. F.M.W.

acknowledges financial support from the Medical Research Council, BBSRC and Wellcome

Trust.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References:

Bai, L., Lehnert, B. P., Liu, J., Neubarth, N. L., Dickendesher, T. L., Nwe, P. H., . . . Ginty,

D. D. (2015). Genetic Identification of an Expansive Mechanoreceptor Sensitive to

Skin Stroking. *Cell, 163*(7), 1783-1795. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.060

Botchkarev, V. A., Eichmuller, S., Johansson, O., & Paus, R. (1997). Hair cycle-dependent

plasticity of skin and hair follicle innervation in normal murine skin. *J Comp Neurol,*

386(3), 379-395.

Brownell, I., Guevara, E., Bai, C. B., Loomis, C. A., & Joyner, A. L. (2011). Nerve-derived

sonic hedgehog defines a niche for hair follicle stem cells capable of becoming

epidermal stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell, 8*(5), 552-565. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.02.021

Donati, G., & Watt, F. M. (2015). Stem cell heterogeneity and plasticity in epithelia. *Cell*

Stem Cell, 16(5), 465-476. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2015.04.014

Driskell, R. R., Giangreco, A., Jensen, K. B., Mulder, K. W., & Watt, F. M. (2009). Sox2-

positive dermal papilla cells specify hair follicle type in mammalian epidermis.

Development, 136(16), 2815-2823. doi:10.1242/dev.038620

Emtage, L., Gu, G., Hartwieg, E., & Chalfie, M. (2004). Extracellular proteins organize the

mechanosensory channel complex in C. elegans touch receptor neurons. *Neuron,*

44(5), 795-807. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.010

Fujiwara, H., Ferreira, M., Donati, G., Marciano, D. K., Linton, J. M., Sato, Y., . . . Watt, F.

M. (2011). The basement membrane of hair follicle stem cells is a muscle cell niche.

Cell, 144(4), 577-589. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.014

Goodell, M. A., Nguyen, H., & Shroyer, N. (2015). Somatic stem cell heterogeneity:

diversity in the blood, skin and intestinal stem cell compartments. *Nat Rev Mol Cell*

Biol, 16(5), 299-309. doi:10.1038/nrm3980

Jain, S., Golden, J. P., Wozniak, D., Pehek, E., Johnson, E. M., Jr., & Milbrandt, J. (2006).

RET is dispensable for maintenance of midbrain dopaminergic neurons in adult mice.

J Neurosci, 26(43), 11230-11238. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1876-06.2006

Jensen, U. B., Yan, X., Triel, C., Woo, S. H., Christensen, R., & Owens, D. M. (2008). A

distinct population of clonogenic and multipotent murine follicular keratinocytes

residing in the upper isthmus. *J Cell Sci, 121*(Pt 5), 609-617. doi:10.1242/jcs.025502

Koltzenburg, M., Stucky, C. L., & Lewin, G. R. (1997). Receptive properties of mouse

sensory neurons innervating hairy skin. *J Neurophysiol, 78*(4), 1841-1850.

Lay, K., Kume, T., & Fuchs, E. (2016). FOXC1 maintains the hair follicle stem cell niche

and governs stem cell quiescence to preserve long-term tissue-regenerating potential.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113(11), E1506-1515. doi:10.1073/pnas.1601569113

Li, L., & Ginty, D. D. (2014). The structure and organization of lanceolate mechanosensory

complexes at mouse hair follicles. *Elife, 3*, e01901. doi:10.7554/eLife.01901

Li, L., Rutlin, M., Abraira, V. E., Cassidy, C., Kus, L., Gong, S., . . . Ginty, D. D. (2011).

The functional organization of cutaneous low-threshold mechanosensory neurons.

Cell, 147(7), 1615-1627. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.027

Lumpkin, E. A., Marshall, K. L., & Nelson, A. M. (2010). The cell biology of touch. *J Cell

Biol, 191*(2), 237-248. doi:10.1083/jcb.201006074

Mochizuki, T., Lemmink, H. H., Mariyama, M., Antignac, C., Gubler, M. C., Pirson, Y., . . .

et al. (1994). Identification of mutations in the alpha 3(IV) and alpha 4(IV) collagen

genes in autosomal recessive Alport syndrome. *Nat Genet, 8*(1), 77-81.

doi:10.1038/ng0994-77

Mouw, J. K., Ou, G., & Weaver, V. M. (2014). Extracellular matrix assembly: a multiscale

deconstruction. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 15*(12), 771-785. doi:10.1038/nrm3902

Osada, A., Kiyozumi, D., Tsutsui, K., Ono, Y., Weber, C. N., Sugimoto, N., . . . Sekiguchi, K. (2005). Expression of MAEG, a novel basement membrane protein, in mouse hair follicle morphogenesis. *Exp Cell Res, 303*(1), 148-159. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.04.053

Rutlin, M., Ho, C. Y., Abraira, V. E., Cassidy, C., Bai, L., Woodbury, C. J., & Ginty, D. D. (2014). The cellular and molecular basis of direction selectivity of Adelta-LTMRs. *Cell, 159*(7), 1640-1651. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.038

Schepeler, T., Page, M. E., & Jensen, K. B. (2014). Heterogeneity and plasticity of epidermal stem cells. *Development, 141*(13), 2559-2567. doi:10.1242/dev.104588

Solanas, G., & Benitah, S. A. (2013). Regenerating the skin: a task for the heterogeneous stem cell pool and surrounding niche. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 14*(11), 737-748. doi:10.1038/nrm3675

Warming, S., Costantino, N., Court, D. L., Jenkins, N. A., & Copeland, N. G. (2005). Simple and highly efficient BAC recombineering using galK selection. *Nucleic Acids Res, 33*(4), e36. doi:10.1093/nar/gni035

Xiao, Y., Woo, W. M., Nagao, K., Li, W., Terunuma, A., Mukouyama, Y. S., . . . Brownell, I. (2013). Perivascular hair follicle stem cells associate with a venule annulus. *J Invest Dermatol, 133*(10), 2324-2331. doi:10.1038/jid.2013.167

Xin, T., Greco, V., & Myung, P. (2016). Hardwiring Stem Cell Communication through Tissue Structure. *Cell, 164*(6), 1212-1225. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.041

Zimmerman, A., Bai, L., & Ginty, D. D. (2014). The gentle touch receptors of mammalian skin. *Science, 346*(6212), 950-954. doi:10.1126/science.1254229

Zimmermann, K., Hein, A., Hager, U., Kaczmarek, J. S., Turnquist, B. P., Clapham, D. E., & Reeh, P. W. (2009). Phenotyping sensory nerve endings in vitro in the mouse. *Nat Protoc, 4*(2), 174-196. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.223

Figures

Fig. 1 Cheng *et al.*

Figure 1. Upper-bulge EpSCs are molecularly specialized for HF–nerve interactions

(**A**) Graphical illustration of EpSC compartments. APM, arrector pili muscle. (**B**) Distinct

EpSC compartments in 8-week-old telogen skin were visualized with specific eGFP

reporters and cell surface markers. Brackets indicate target cell populations for sorting. (**C**)

Z-score heat map representing the normalized enrichment score (NES) of GSEA using the

transcriptome data of each EpSC population. (**D**) Scheme of the extraction of genes highly

expressed in the *Gli1*$^+$ population (Group I) and in both the *Gli1*$^+$ and CD34$^+$ populations

(Group II). (**E**) Lists of the genes highly expressed in *Gli1*$^+$ population (Group I) and in both

Gli1$^+$ and CD34$^+$ populations (Group II). (**F**) Expression levels of neurotrophic factor and

ECM genes highly expressed in upper-bulge SCs. Basal, basal EpSC pool. Data are mean $\pm$

SD, n = 3-4.

Fig. 2 Cheng *et al.*

Figure 2. Upper-bulge EpSCs deposit EGFL6 into the collar matrix (A) Immunostaining pattern of upper-bulge-specific ECM in 8-week-old telogen skin. Brackets:

upper-bulge. (**B**) EGFL6 was colocalized with βIII-tubulin$^+$ nerve endings in the upper-

bulge of dorsal guard ('G'), awl/auchene ('A') and zigzag ('Z') HFs. (**C**) Magnified images

of EGFL6 and βIII-tubulin in telogen skin. (**D**) The protrusions of the tSCs (white brackets)

were colocalized with EGFL6 in the upper-bulge of 8-week-old telogen HF (open

arrowheads). Asterisks: nonspecific signals. (**E**) CD31$^+$ blood vessels did not show direct

contact to EGFL6 in 7-week-old telogen HF. Arrowheads: gaps between EGFL6 (green)

and blood vessels (white). (**F**) The dorsal skin and dorsal root ganglia (DRG) of an 8-week-

old *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* mouse was stained for eGFP, EGFL6, nestin and βIII-tubulin. eGFP

(closed arrowheads) staining was observed in the upper-bulge (open arrowhead) epidermis

of old bulge (Old), but not in other cellular components around the upper-bulge. (**G**)

Statistical examination of *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP-positive cells around the upper bulge. Data are

mean ± SD. (**H**) A transmission electron microscopic image of the upper-bulge of P35

zigzag HF. An electron-dense amorphous ECM structure, the collar matrix (black

arrowheads), ensheathed LC endings [A, axon (green); tSC (pink); EpSC (gold); white

arrowheads, epidermal basement membrane]. (**I**) The EGFL6-gold particles were localized

in the collar matrix (arrows). (**J**) Schematic representation of the localization of EGFL6 in

the upper-bulge. Scale bars, 10 μm (A), 20 μm (C-F), 100 μm (B), 2 μm (H), 1 μm (I).

Figure 3. EGFL6 is required for the patterning and touch responses of LCs (A and **B)**

3D reconstituted images and filament tracings of axonal endings (A) and tSCs (B) in the old

bulge of wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout 8-week-old skin. *Ret*-eGFP, a marker for C-LTMRs

and TrkB, a marker for Aδ-LTMRs. Open arrowhead: overlapping points of axonal endings

and tSC processes. Grid width, 5 μm. (**C**) Overlapping points of axonal endings and tSC

processes were counted. Data are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed unpaired *t*-test. (**D**) Mechanical

sensitivity of Aδ-LTMRs was analyzed using von Frey hairs in wild-type and *Egfl6*

knockout skin–nerve preparations from 10-12 week-old telogen skin. (**E**) Touch response

patterns of Aδ-LTMRs in wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout skin–nerve preparations. A ramp-

and-hold touch stimulus was applied using a piezo-controlled micromanipulator (see

Methods and Figure 3–figure supplement 2A). (**F**) Threshold displacement of Aδ-LTMRs.

(**G**) Number of action potentials during the course of the touch stimulus protocol (i.e.,

magnitude of touch responses) was measured. Data in (D, F, G) are median with

interquartile range (IQR) and in (E) are mean ± SEM. Statistics: Mann-Whitney U-test (D,

F, G).

Fig. 4 Cheng *et al.*

446 **Figure 4. The old bulge provides stable epidermal–neuronal interfaces** (**A**) Structural

447 changes of the upper-bulge during the HF regeneration cycle. Cross-sectional views of the

448 upper-bulge of zigzag HFs are shown. Closed arrowheads indicate a large gap of LCs. (**B**)

449 Perimeter length of the upper-bulge and (C) the upper-bulge of new and old bulges. (**D**)

450 Heat map of the relative signal intensity levels of EGFL6, nestin and βIII-tubulin along the

upper-bulge perimeter. Geometry of the upper-bulge perimeter is shown above the heat map.

(**E**) Morphological differences of EGFL6/tSC complexes in new and old bulges. (**F**) Sagittal

and transverse sectional views of the upper-bulge in P35 anagen HFs with the club hair

unplucked and plucked. Open and closed arrowheads indicate areas with and without LCs,

respectively. (**G**) Heat map of the relative signal intensity levels of EGFL6, nestin and βIII-

tubulin along the upper-bulge perimeter in HFs with the club hair unplucked and plucked.

(**H**) Schematic summary of the contribution of EpSCs to sensory unit formation. (i) During

development, EpSCs for HF–nerve interactions are induced and compartmentalized in the

upper-bulge. (ii) Upper-bulge EpSCs provide specialized ECM and neurogenetic

environments for LCs. (iii) EpSCs maintain an old bulge at the caudal side of the HF,

providing stable HF–nerve interfaces. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Materials and methods

Mice

Egfl6 knockout mice (032277-UCD) were obtained from the Mutant Mouse Resource &

Research Centers (MMRRC). Lack of *Egfl6* mRNA and EGFL6 protein were confirmed by

in situ hybridization and immunostaining. *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* BAC transgenic mice were

generated as described below. *Ret-eGFP* knock-in mice have been described previously

(Jain et al., 2006) and kindly provided by H. Enomoto (Kobe University). *Lgr6-GFP-ires-*

CreERT2 mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory. *Gli1-eGFP* mice (STOCK

Tg(Gli1-EGFP)DM197Gsat/Mmucd) and *Cdh3-eGFP* mice (STOCK Tg(Cdh3-

EGFP)BK102Gsat/Mmnc) were obtained from MMRRC. Mouse lines used for

transcriptome analysis were backcrossed with C57BL/6N mice more than 4 times.

C57BL/6N and BALB/c mice were obtained from Japan SLC Inc. *Npnt* floxed mice were

obtained from Jackson Laboratory and crossed with keratin 5-Cre mice from the Jose

Jorcano laboratory. All animal experiments were conducted and performed in accordance

with approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols.

Generation of the *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* mouse line

Egfl6-H2b-Egfp BAC transgenic mice (Accession No. CDB0518T:

http://www2.clst.riken.jp/arg/TG%20mutant%20mice%20list.html) were generated by

introducing a BAC carrying a *H2b-Egfp* transgene just before the ATG of the mouse *Egfl6*

gene. The BAC clone RP23-124O13, containing the full genomic sequence of *Egfl6*, was

obtained from CHORI BACPAC Resources Center. The *H2b-Egfp* fusion gene was

introduced just before the first coding ATG of the *Egfl6* gene in the BAC clone using a two-

step selection BAC recombineering protocol (Warming, Costantino, Court, Jenkins, &

Copeland, 2005). The modified BAC construct was purified with a NucleoBond Xtra Midi

kit (Macherey-Nagel) and injected into the pronuclei of fertilized one-cell mouse eggs

derived from the breeding of BDF1 and C57BL/6N mice. Potential founder mice were

screened by genomic PCR of ear biopsy DNA with following primers: 5'-

CCAAGGTCCTGACCAGCGAAG-3' and 5'- CCTTAGTCACCGCCTTCTTGGAG -3'

(product size: 163 base pairs). These primers were also used for routine genotyping of this

mouse line. The transgene-positive founder mice were bred with wild-type C57BL/6N mice

and some of the offspring were used for immunostaining of eGFP to confirm the expression

and nuclear localization of the H2B-eGFP protein. We have established 16 nuclear-GFP-

positive transgenic mouse lines and confirmed the GFP expression in the upper bulge in 13

mouse lines.

Cell lines

Cell lines used in this study were 293F cells (Thermo Fisher), human primary keratinocytes

(Watt lab), human embryonic kidney epithelial cell line HEK293 (RIKEN Bioresource

Center), human glioblastoma cell line T98G (JCRB Cell Bank), Human glioma cell line

KG-1-C (JCRB Cell Bank), human skin fibroblast cell line SF-TY (JCRB Cell Bank),

human embryonic fibroblast cell line NTI-4 (JCRB Cell Bank), human sarcoma cell line

HT-1080 (JCRB Cell Bank), human myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 (JCRB Cell

Bank) and human myelogenous leukemia cell line transfected with human integrin $\alpha 8$

cDNA K562-a8 (Gift from L. Reichardt).

Human primary keratinocytes were cultured on irradiated J2 feeder cells with

DMEM/Ham's F12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 0.5 μg/ml hydrocortisone

(Sigma), 0.1 nM cholera toxin (Sigma), 10 μg/ml EGF (Peprotech), 2 mM GlutaMax

(Invitrogen) and 5 μg/ml Insulin (Sigma) at 37 $^{\circ}$C under 5% CO_2. Other cell lines were

cultured according to the cell culture instructions from each source cell bank or company.

Antibodies

Antibodies used in this study were listed in Table 1.

Generation of antibodies

Rabbit antiserum to mouse EGFL6 was generated by immunizing rabbits with a Myc-His-tagged Mucin-MAM domain of recombinant mouse EGFL6 (S257-G550). The antigen was expressed in the 293F mammalian expression system (Invitrogen) and purified from the supernatant of the transfected cells with a Ni-NTA column. The antibody in the antiserum was affinity-purified with an antigen conjugated CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B. The specificity of the antibody to mouse EGFL6 was confirmed by the absence of the antibody immunoreactivity to tissue samples from *Egfl6* knockout mice. Rabbit antiserum to mouse nephronectin was generated by the same method described above. Recombinant flag-tagged full-length mouse nephronectin was expressed in 293F cells and purified with a FLAG-M2 immuno-affinity column (Sigma). The antibody in the antiserum was affinity-purified with a CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B conjugated with purified His-tagged mouse full-length nephronectin. The specificity of the antibody to mouse nephronectin was confirmed by the absence of the antibody immunoreactivity to tissue samples from *Npnt* knockout mice.

FACS

Mouse adult dorsal telogen keratinocytes were isolated and stained for cell surface markers as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011) with some modifications. We utilized *Lgr6-GFP-ires-CreERT2*, *Gli1-eGFP* and *Cdh3-eGFP* mice to fluorescently visualize the epidermal stem cells in the lower isthmus (*Lgr6$^+$*), upper bulge (*Gli1$^+$*), and hair germ (*Cdh3$^+$*) with eGFP. Dissected dorsal skin of the 8-week-old female mice was treated with

0.25% trypsin solution (Nakalai tesque) at 37°C for 1 hr. The epidermal tissue was scraped

off from the dermal tissue with a scalpel. This epidermis separation protocol leaves hair

germ cells in the dermal tissue. Effectiveness of this tissue separation was verified by the

following qRT-PCR, RNA-seq and immunohistochemical analyses with compartment-

specific markers as described below. For the sorting of lower isthmus (*Lgr6*-eGFP$^+$), upper-

bulge (*Gli1*-eGFP$^+$) and mid-bulge (CD34$^+$) epidermal stem cells, the separated epidermis

was minced with scalpels and mixed with repeated pipetting to make a single cell suspension.

To deplete haematopoietic and endothelial cells (lineage-positive cells; Lin$^+$), the cell

suspension was stained with PE-Cy7-conjugated antibodies for CD45, TER-119 and CD31.

To sort the target cells, the cell suspension was also stained with Sca-1-PerCP-Cy5.5, CD34-

eFluor660, CD49f (integrin α6)-PE. Sca-1 was used to remove epidermal basal cells of the

interfollucular epidermis and follicle infundibulum (Jensen et al., 2008). Cells were sorted

with a FACSAria II according to the expression of cell surface markers, after gating out

dead and Lin$^+$ cells (Figure 1–figure supplement 1A).

Hair germ epidermal cells (*Cdh3*-eGFP$^+$) were sorted from the remaining dermal

tissue since the most hair germ epidermal cells were retained in the dermal tissue after the

separation of the epidermis. The dermal tissue was minced with scalpels and incubated with

2 mg/ml of collagenase type I at 37°C for 2 hr with gentle mixing. Single cell suspension

was obtained by repeated pipetting. The cell suspension was stained with the same

antibodies used above and subjected to the sorting procedure.

The purity of sorted cells was confirmed by qRT-PCR with compartment-specific

genes: *Lrig1* (junctional zone and lower-isthmus), *Lgr6* (lower-isthmus and upper bulge),

Gli1 (upper-bulge and hair germ), *Bdnf* (upper-bulge), *Cd34* (mid-bulge), *Cdh3* (hair germ)

(Figure 1–figure supplement 1B). While the expression of *Gli1*-eGFP was detected in both

upper-bulge and hair germ regions (Figure 1B), our cell isolation and sorting methods gave

highly pure upper-bulge cells and hair germ cells, respectively. For example, a marker for

upper-bulge cells, *Bdnf*, was highly enriched in *Gli1+* upper bulge cells, but not in *Cdh3+*

hair germ cells (Figure 1–figure supplement 1B). On the other hand, the expression of *Cdh3*,

a marker for hair germ cells, was high in the *Cdh3+* hair germ population, but was very low

in the *Gli1+* upper-bulge population (Figure 1–figure supplement 1B). We identified *Spon1*

as a gene exclusively expressed in the hair germ from our gene expression profiling of

isolated stem cell populations and confirmed that it was not detected in the *Gli1+* upper-

bulge population (Figure 1–figure supplement 1C). Subsequent protein tissue-localization

analysis of compartment-specific genes, which were identified in our gene expression

profiling, further confirmed the validity and purity of sorted cells. For example, gene

products of upper-bulge-enriched ECM genes, including *Aspn*, *Crispld1*, *Egfl6*, *Col4a3* and

Col4a4, were deposited in the upper-bulge (Figure 2A), while SPON1 protein was localized

in the hair germ region, but not in the upper-bulge. Collectively, our data demonstrate that

the purity of each isolated stem cell population was very high.

qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the sorted cells using an RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen). qRT-

PCR was performed to determine target cell populations using Power SYBR Green PCR

Master Mix (Life Technologies) with specific primer sets (Table 2) on 7900HT real-time

PCR system (Applied Biosystems).

RNA library preparation and sequencing

For each library, isolated total RNA samples were quantified with the Qubit RNA HS Assay

Kit on a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and their qualities were analyzed

with the RNA 6000 Pico Kit on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Qubit

measurements were used to ensure that each library was prepared with 10 ng of total RNA.

Library preparation was processed following the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit

(Illumina) protocol till adapter ligation, except that the duration of the initial RNA

fragmentation was shortened to 7 minutes. The adapter-ligated cDNA was amplified with

14 PCR cycles. The prepared libraries were sequenced using the Rapid Run mode with 80

cycles on the HiSeq1500 (Illumina), operated by HiSeq Control Software v2.2.58 using

HiSeq SR Rapid Cluster Kit v2 (Illumina) and HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2 (Illumina). Base

calling was performed with RTA v1.18.64 and the fastq files were generated with bcl2fastq

v1.8.4 (Illumina).

Mapping and expression quantification

Qualities of the RNA-seq reads were evaluated with FastQC v0.11.3. The program

fastq_quality_filter, part of the FASTX Toolkit v0.0.14, was used to trim bases with a

quality value below 30. Additionally, if more than 20% of the bases of a read were removed

by this trimming procedure, then the whole read was discarded. Once this quality trim was

completed, Trim_galore v0.3.3 was executed to remove adapter sequences from the 3'-ends

of reads, and to discard reads that were shorter than 50nt. The processed reads were mapped

to   the   mm10   mouse   genome   assembly,   obtained   from   iGenomes

(http://support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing_software/igenome.html),   using   the

splice-aware aligner TopHat v2.0.14 with default parameter settings. Reads mapping to

ribosomal DNA accounted for ~1% of the total number of reads in each library, and were

removed. Details for each library concerning sequencing and read statistics, including the

total amount that uniquely mapped to the genome, are displayed in Table 3.

Gene expression quantification was performed using the Cuffdiff program in the

Cufflinks package v2.2.1, with the frag-bias-correction and multi-read-correction options

enabled. Cuffdiff used the mm10 gene model obtained from iGenomes to estimate the

number of fragments that originated from individual genes. For each gene, in addition to

this produced raw count data, Cuffdiff also calculated normalized expression values, which

are referred to as fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM).

GO and clustering analysis

FPKM values from RNA-seq data were analysed by Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment

analysis. Genes with low expression (FPKM < 5) were filtered out and considered not

expressed. Then the remaining FPKM values were log2-transformed for further analysis.

To characterize global gene expression profiles of each epidermal stem cell population, gene

set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed using GSEA software (2.2.2 from Broad

Institute) with GO biological processes as a gene set collection (c5.bp.v5.1.symbols.gmt).

In this analysis, pairwise differences between basal epidermal stem cells and each epidermal

stem cell population were examined. The normalized enrichment score (NES) and False

Discovery Rate (FDR) were calculated for each gene set and compared among cell

populations using a bioconductor R with heatmap.2 gplots package.

Immunohistochemistry and imaging

Whole-mount immunostaining and horizontal imaging of mouse dorsal skin were

performed as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011). Vertical whole-mount imaging

of dorsal and whisker skin was performed as described below. Mouse skin tissues were

dissected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 1 hr at 4°C. Fixed tissues were

washed and embedded in OCT compound and frozen on liquid nitrogen. Vertical skin

sections (150 μm thick) were made using a cryostat (Leica) and washed with PBS to remove

remnant OCT compound. Skin sections were blocked with a blocking buffer (0.5% skim

milk/0.25% fish skin gelatin/0.5% Triton X-100/PBS) for 1 hr at 4°C and then incubated

with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Skin samples were

washed with 0.2% Tween 20/PBS for 4 hr and then incubated with DAPI and secondary

antibodies diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Finally, skin samples were washed

with 0.2% Tween20/PBS for 4 hr at 4°C and mounted with BABB clearing solution. Images

were acquired using a Leica TSC SP8. Z stack maximum projection images and three

dimensional reconstructed images of skin whole-mount preparation were produced using

Imaris 4D rendering software (Bitplane) and Volocity 3D imaging software (Perkin Elmer).

Transmission electron microscopy

Dissected skin tissues were immediately immersed in 2% fresh formaldehyde and 2.5%

glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), sliced into 0.5-1 mm-thin

sections with a scalpel and fixed for 2 hr at room temperature. After washing three times

with 0.1M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 5 min, tissues were post-fixed with ice-cold 1%

OsO_4 in the same buffer for 2 hr. Samples were rinsed with distilled water, stained with

0.5% aqueous uranyl acetate for 2 hr or overnight at room temperature, then dehydrated

with ethanol and propylene oxide, and embedded in Poly/Bed 812 (Polyscience). Ultra-thin

sections were cut, doubly-stained with uranyl acetate and Reynold's lead citrate, and viewed

with a JEM 1010 or JEM 1400 plus transmission electron microscope (JEOL) at an

accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

Immunoelectron microscopy

Skin samples were dissected, fixed and stained according to the whole-mount

immunostaining method. In-house rabbit EGFL6 antibody was used as the primary antibody

and Alexa Fluor 488 FluoroNanogold-anti rabbit IgG (Nanoprobes) (1:200 dilution) was

used for the secondary antibody. After confirming the localization of Alexa Fluor 488

labelled EGFL6 under a fluorescent microscope, the samples were fixed for 1 hr in 1%

glutaraldehyde in PBS. GoldEnhance EM (Nanoprobes) was used in accordance with the

manufacturer's protocol to enlarge the size of gold particles. Samples were then embedded

in resin (poly/bed 812; Polyscience). Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and

lead citrate before observation with an electron microscope (JEM 1400 plus; JEOL).

Quantification of axon and tSC processes

Neural filaments and tSC protrusions were visualized, measured and analysed using

the Imaris software program FilamentTracer (Bitplane). To detect Aδ- and C-LTMRs and

tSCs in *Egfl6* knockout mice, we crossed *Egfl6* knockout mice with *Ret-eGFP* knock-in

mice (Jain et al., 2006) that express eGFP in C-LTMRs (Li et al., 2011). Skin whole-mounts

were immunostained for TrkB, eGFP and nestin to visualize Aδ- and C-LTMRs and tSCs,

respectively. To examine the structure of lanceolate complexes, we analysed old bulge

regions, but not new bulge regions, since the new bulge regions tend to show a small amount

of EGFL6 and an irregular shape of lanceolate complexes. Axon endings and tSC processes

were automatically detected in three dimensionally reconstructed immunohistochemical

images using FilamentTracer. Traced axonal endings and tSC protrusions were visualized

as fixed-diameter cylinders in green (*Ret*-eGFP), red (TrkB) and white (nestin). Length,

number and width of axon endings and tSC processes were automatically measured by the

software. Overlapped filament points were detected three-dimensionally using the cylinder

display mode.

For the measurement of the upper-bulge perimeter, immunostained whole-mount z-

stack images were reconstituted to 3D images with Imaris 7.2.1 software. An Imaris Oblique

Slicer function was used to make a plane cutting through the region of tSC processes and

this plane was defined as a measurement layer. The perimeter of the hair follicle was traced

and the length of the trace was automatically measured by the software.

Quantification of the expressions of *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP and αv integrins

GFP expression in *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP reporter mice were used to examine the expression of

Egfl6 transcripts. Adult telogen dorsal skin of *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP mice were immunostained

for GFP, keratin 14 (marker for basal keratinocytes) and nestin (marker for tSCs), with

DAPI nuclear counter staining, as described above. Vertical 3D images of zigzag hair

follicles were obtained. The number of total and GFP-positive basal epidermal cells,

terminal Schwann cells and other dermal cells at the upper-bulge were counted with

reference to GFP, keratin-14, nestin and DAPI staining using Imaris software. Twenty-one

zigzag hair follicles from three mice were used. The total number of cells counted were

1,010 basal epidermal stem cells, 91 terminal Schwann cells and 319 other dermal cells.

To investigate the expression of *Egfl6* in sensory nerves of lanceolate complexes,

we examined the GFP expression in the adult thoracic dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) of *Egfl6*-

H2BeGFP mice since the nuclei of skin sensory nerves are located in the DRGs. DRGs were

isolated and fixed with 4% PFA for 1 hr at 4°C. Whole DRG tissues were immunostained

for βIII tubulin (marker for DRG neurons) and GFP, with DAPI counter stain. Single

sectional plane images were obtained as described above. Twenty-five DRGs from three

mice were examined and 2,138 DRG neurons were quantified for their nuclear GFP

expression.

To quantify the expression level of αv integrins, we stained telogen adult dorsal skin

of wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout mice for EGFL6, αv integrin and nestin, with DAPI

counter stain. Signal intensity of αv integrins at the outer surface of the lanceolate

complexes was measured using Fiji ImageJ 1.0. Thirteen hair follicles from three wild-type

mice and 16 hair follicles from three *Egfl6* knockout mice were used for quantification.

***Ex vivo* skin–nerve preparations**

Receptive properties of cutaneous Aδ-LTMRs were studied using mouse hindlimb skin–

saphenous nerve preparations *ex vivo* (Zimmermann et al., 2009). Ten- to twelve-week-old

male mice, which were in the resting hair growth phase, were euthanized by inhalation of

CO_2 gas and the preparations were quickly isolated from the left hindlimb. The excised skin

was oriented with the dermis side up in the test chamber and affixed with pins. The

preparation was maintained at $32 \pm 0.3°C$ (pH 7.4) during the experiment under superfusion

with modified Krebs-Henseleit solution (Krebs solution), which contained 110.9 mM NaCl,

4.7 mM KCl, 2.5 mM $CaCl_2$, 1.2 mM $MgSO_4$, 1.2 mM KH_2PO_4, 25 mM $NaHCO_3$ and 20

mM glucose. The perfusate was continuously bubbled and equilibrated with a gas mixture

of 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. The hindlimb skin of mouse is thinner than dorsal skin.

Recordings of Aδ-LTMRs

Single Aδ-LTMR was searched and identified when it fulfilled the following criteria: 1)

fibers with conduction velocity between 2-10 m/s, 2) fibers responding well to innocuous

touch stimulus applied by a blunt glass rod compared to noxious vertical indentation of the

skin (compression), 3) fibers without mechanical stimulus intensity-dependent increase in

the firing rate, 4) fibers exhibiting a brisk, rapidly adapting discharge at the onset of a

supramaximal constant force stimulus (Koltzenburg, Stucky, & Lewin, 1997), 5) fibers not

responding to noxious heat and cold stimuli (Figure 3–figure supplement 2) (Zimmermann

et al., 2009), and 6) fibers showing a stronger excitation typically in the ramp phase of

mechanical stimulation when the stimulus probe was horizontally moving compared to the

hold phase, as shown in Figure 3–figure supplement 2. The fibers identified by these criteria

in wild type dermis-up skin-nerve preparation exhibited von Frey Hair threshold (vFH)

values of ≤0.51–3.23 mN (median: 1.3 mN, IQR: 0.8–2.0 mN) (Figure 3D), which fell into

the range of previously reported vFH threshold values of Aδ-LTMRs (or D-hair) with the

same dermis-up method (<1–5.7 mN), but not that of high-threshold Aδ-mechano

nociceptors (5.7–128 mN) (Zimmermann et al., 2009).

When an Aδ-LTMR was identified, the receptive field (RF) was stimulated with

electronic pulses via a bipolar stimulating electrode (frequency of 0.5 Hz, pulse duration of

100 μs and stimulus intensity of <50 V) to measure the conduction velocity of a fiber. The

conduction velocity was calculated from the distance and conduction latency of a spike

induced by electrical stimulation of the RF. Spontaneous activity of Aδ-LTMRs was

analysed for 20 s just before a series of touch mechanical stimulation. Distribution (size and

location) of the RFs was mapped on a standardized chart. The size of the RF was measured

by calculating the number of pixels in the RF that was drawn on a chart with Image J

software. All of the data were stored in a computer via an A/D converter (Power Lab/16s,

ADInstruments) with a sampling frequency of 20 kHz. Action potentials were analysed on

a computer with the DAPSYS data acquisition system (http://www.dapsys.net).

Quantitative mechanical, cold and heat stimuli were then applied to the identified RF in the

following order: 1) ramp-and-hold touch mechanical stimulation, 2) cooled from 32°C to

8°C and 3) heated from 32°C to 50°C.

Mechanical stimulation

At first, the mechanical sensitivity of Aδ-LTMRs was semi-quantitatively analysed using a

series of self-made von Frey hairs (VFHs: 0.5-17.6 mN, 0.5 mm in diameter). The strength

of the weakest filament that caused a mechanical response was taken as the threshold.

For quantitative analysis of the mechanical sensitivity of a fiber, a light touch

stimulus was applied using a piezo-controlled micromanipulator (Nanomoter MM3A,

Kleindiek Nanotechnik, Reutlingen, Germany). The stimulator had a glass probe with a

spherical tip (diameter: 0.5 mm). After placing the probe tip to the most sensitive point on

the identified RF, a series of ramp-and-hold touch mechanical stimuli were applied by

driving the stimulator with a pre-programed computerized protocol. The tip was moved

alternately in rostral and caudal directions with displacement in a progressively increasing

manner (4–1280 μm) at a speed of 300 μm/s (Figure 3–figure supplement 2A and C). The

holding time was set for 2 s between the rostral and caudal movement of the probe tip.

Since all the Aδ-LTMRs were spontaneously silent at the beginning of the

experiment without any intentional stimuli, the mechanical response threshold was defined

as the displacement that induced the first discharge during the stimulation protocol. If a fiber

showed no action potentials during the course of the protocol, even though it exhibited firing

as a result of manual touch with a blunt glass rod, then the mechanical threshold was defined

to be 1,280 μm. The magnitude of the touch response was represented by the number of

spikes evoked during the rostral or caudal movement of the probe (i.e. ramp phases), but

not during holding phases of 2 s without probe movement.

Cold and Heat Stimulation

We applied ramp-shaped thermal stimuli to the RF using a feedback-controlled Peltier

device (intercross-2000N, Intercross, Co. Ltd., Japan) with a small probe (diameter: 1 mm).

From a baseline temperature of 32°C, the RF was gradually cooled down to 8°C over 40 s

or heated up to 50°C over 30 s at a constant rate of 0.6°C/s. Original temperature traces are

shown in Figure 3–figure supplement 2B.

Preparation of Extracellular Matrix Proteins

FLAG-tagged mouse full-length EGFL6 was purified as described below. 293F cells were transfected with the *Egfl6-flag* expression vector and cultured for 4 days according to the manufacturer's instruction (Invitrogen). The supernatant was collected and incubated with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma) overnight at 4°C. The FLAG affinity gel was collected in an empty Econo-Column (Bio-Rad) and washed with PBS. Bound protein was eluted with 100 μg/ml FLAG peptide in PBS. The eluted protein solution was dialyzed with PBS. Protein concentration was measured with a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a control. The expression vector of RGE-mutant *Egfl6* was generated using the Toyobo KOD-Plus-Mutagenesis kit (Toyobo). FLAG-tagged mouse full-length nephronectin was purified as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011). Human plasma fibronectin (Wako) and laminin-511-E8 (Nippi) were purchased from the companies indicated.

Solid-Phase Cell Adhesion Assays

Solid-phase cell adhesion assays were performed as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011) with minor modifications. Briefly, 96-well cell culture plates were coated with purified ECM proteins and blocked with 1% heat-denatured BSA. Human primary and cultured cell lines were suspended in serum-free DMEM, plated on the coated plates, and incubated for 30 min in a CO_2 incubator at 37°C. Attached cells were fixed and stained with 0.5% crystal violet in 20% methanol for 15 min. The cell-bound crystal violet was extracted with 1% SDS solution and the absorbance was measured at 595 nm. To inhibit cell adhesion activity of different integrins in cell adhesion assays, integrin function blocking antibodies were added to cell suspension before seeding to the coated wells. The cell suspension with the antibodies was incubated for 20 min and then plated on the coated dishes. The function-

blocking integrin antibodies used in this study were listed below: integrin α2 (P1E6), α3

(P1B5), α5 (P1D6), α6 (GoH3), αv (L230), and β1 (P5D2). P1E6, P1B5, P1D6, and P5D2

were purchased from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank of the University of Iowa.

GoH3 and L230 were purchased from BioLegend and ATCC, respectively. Cell lines used

in the assays were human primary keratinocytes, HEK293, T98G, KG-1-C, SF-TY, NTI-4,

HT-1080, K562 and K562-a8.

Hair plucking assays

Dorsal hairs of 20-day-old anesthetised BALB/c mice were dyed using a neon orange hair

colour cream for 30 min. Mice were maintained till the tip of new growing undyed hairs

could be observed at the skin surface under a dissection microscope (P32-35). The dyed

long club hairs, but not short emerging undyed new hairs, were plucked using tweezers

under anaesthesia in an area of 10 x 15 mm. Three days after club hair plucking (P36-38),

dorsal skin tissue samples containing both plucked and unplucked hair follicles were

collected and subjected to whole-mount immunostaining. Plucked and unplucked skin areas

could be easily distinguished by hair colour: the unplucked area showed dyed orange hair

colour and the plucked area showed undyed white hair colour. In skin tissue samples, hair

shafts could be identified by their autofluorescence. This allowed us to distinguish plucked

and unplucked hair follicles within tissue samples under a confocal microscope. Zigzag hair

follicles were selected for the analysis. Hair follicle types were distinguished by the

following criteria under light-field illumination of 150 μm-thick tissue sections. Zigzag hair

follicles are the most abundant follicle type in adult mouse dorsal skin (~81%) (Driskell,

Giangreco, Jensen, Mulder, & Watt, 2009). Growing new zigzag hairs in plucked and

unplucked hair follicles have one row of medulla cells and the smallest diameter (9.58 ±

0.90 μm, n = 32 hair follicles) at the bulge region in comparison to other hair types. Awl

and auchene hair follicles together make up ~17% of the dorsal skin hair follicles. Growing

awl/auchene hairs in plucked and unplucked hair follicles have more than three rows of

medulla cells and have a large hair shaft diameter (15.7 ± 1.95 μm, n = 27 hair follicles) at

the bulge region. Guard hair follicles are rare (~1% of the adult dorsal skin hair follicles)

and can be clearly identified by their prominent large follicle diameter.

Statistical analysis

Statistical parameters including the numbers of samples and replicates, types of statistical

analysis and statistical significance are indicated in the Results, Figures and Figure Legends.

p values: $*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; $***p < 0.001$.

Data availability

Fastq files of RNA-seq data have been submitted to NCBI SRA, and these data can be

accessed through the BioProject ID: PRJNA342736.

Supplemental Figures and Tables

Figure 1–S1 Cheng *et al.*

Figure 1–figure supplement 1. FACS-Based Cell Isolation Procedures for Distinct Epidermal Stem Cell Populations (A) FACS sorting procedures of all basal epidermal cell populations using wild-type C57BL/6N mice, *Lgr6*+ lower isthmus epidermal stem cells using *Lgr6-GFP-ires-CreERT2* mice, *Gli1*+ upper-bulge epidermal stem cells using *Gli1-eGFP* mice, CD34+ mid-bulge epidermal stem cells using wild-type C57BL/6N mice, *Cdh3*+ hair germ epidermal cells using *Cdh3-eGFP* mice. Gates are indicated by red-line boxes and cells in the gates were further analysed in the next plots or sorted. The numbers in the plots represent the percentage of cells in the gates. Lin- indicates lineage-negative cells, which are negative for the markers of haematopoietic and endothelial cells (lineage-

positive cells). (B) Z-score heat map representing qRT-PCR analysis of sorted cells with

compartment-specific gene primers. See Methods for more detail. Data are mean of 3-4

independently isolated biological replicates. (C) Expression levels of *Spon1* gene in

different stem cell pools. Immunostaining pattern of SPON1 protein in 8-week-old telogen

dorsal hair follicle was shown. White arrow indicates the restricted localization of SPON1

in dermal papilla and the basement membrane between dermal papilla and hair germ. This

restricted expression and deposition of SPON1 corroborates little contamination of hair

germ cells into the *Gli1+* upper-bulge population. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of

transcript per million mapped reads. Basal, basal epidermal stem cell pool. Data are mean

± SD, n = 3-4. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Figure 2–S2 Cheng *et al.*

Figure 2–figure supplement 1. Cell Adhesion to EGFL6 is Mediated by αv Integrins.

(A) Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining and western blotting of purified EGFL6, RGE-

mutant EGFL6, and nephronectin (NPNT) are shown. (B) Heat map display of the results

of cell adhesion assays. The number of adhered cells was converted to arbitrary units (A.U.).

FN, fibronectin; LN511-E8, laminin-511 E8 fragment. n = 3. Cell lines used are human

primary keratinocytes, human embryonic kidney epithelial cell line HEK293, human

glioblastoma cell line T98G, Human glioma cell line KG-1-C, human skin fibroblast cell

line SF-TY, human embryonic fibroblast cell line NTI-4, human sarcoma cell line HT-1080,

human myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 and K562 transfected with human integrin α8

cDNA K562-a8. (C) Cell adhesion assays with T98G and KG-1-C cells plated on EGFL6

or RGE-mutant EGFL6-coated dishes. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 3. (D) Cell adhesion

inhibition assays with integrin antibodies. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 3. (E) 8-week-old

telogen dorsal HFs of wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout mice were stained for EGFL6, integrin

αv and nestin. Scale bar, 10 μm. Blue dashed lines indicate the surface of follicle epidermis

and white dashed lines indicate cross-section positions. (F) Quantification of αv integrin

signal intensity in lanceolate complexes. Data are mean ± SD. Statistics (C, D, F): two-

tailed unpaired *t*-test.

Figure 3–S1 Cheng *et al.*

Figure 3–figure supplement 1. Quantification of Morphological Characteristics of Axonal Endings and tSC Processes and Histological Examination of Skin Tissue Morphology in Wild-type and *Egfl6* Knockout Mice (A) Number of axonal endings in the old bulge of zigzag hair follicles was counted. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 32 hair follicles

for wild-type and n = 33 hair follicles for knockout from 3 mice each. (B) Length of axonal

endings in the old bulge of zigzag hair follicles was measured. Data are mean ± SEM, n =

444 axonal endings for wild-type and n = 455 axonal endings for knockout from 3 mice

each. (C) Length and width of tSC processes were measured. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 70

tSCs for wild-type and n = 46 tSCs for knockout from 3 mice each. (D) Width of tSC

processes were measured. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 69 tSCs for wild-type and n = 45 tSCs

for knockout from 3 mice each. (E) Schematic representation of mouse hair follicle

morphogenesis and the following regeneration cycles. During the first anagen phase (P25-

P35), old and new hair bulge structures form. (F) H&E-stained dorsal skin of wild-type and

Egfl6 knockout mice. Scale bars, 100 μm.

Figure3–S2 Cheng *et al.*

Figure 3–figure supplement 2. Skin–Nerve Preparation and Recordings of Responses

of Aδ-LTMRs (A) Mouse skin–nerve preparation *ex vivo*, which is oriented with the dermis

side up in the test chamber and affixed with pins. A ramp-and-hold touch stimulus protocol

is shown. A probe tip was moved alternately in rostral and caudal directions with

displacement in a progressively increasing manner (4–1280 μm) at a speed of 300 μm/s.

The holding time was set for 2 s between the rostral and caudal movement of the tip. (B)

Representative responses in the wild-type (upper panel) and *Egfl6* knockout skin (lower

panel). Note the first spike of the wild-type in response to touch stimulus with caudal

displacement of 32 μm (i.e. 32C). No firings were observed in response to cold (from 32ºC

to 8ºC in 40 s) or heat (from 32ºC to 50ºC in 30 s) stimulations. In the knockout, smaller

responses to touch stimuli can be observed with the higher threshold displacement of 512

μm. Spike shapes are shown in insets squared at the left side. All of the spikes generated

during a series of stimuli are superimposed (264 spikes in wild-type, and 155 spikes in

knockout). The distribution of receptive fields is indicated by an arrow. (C) Individual

response patterns in the wild-type (n = 23, upper panel) and the knockout (n = 24, lower

panel).

Figure 4–figure supplement 1. The old bulge formation provides stable epidermal-neuronal interfaces (A) A cross-sectional view of 7-week-old telogen upper bulge stained for *Ret*-eGFP, TrkB and DAPI. Closed arrowheads indicate a gap of lanceolate endings. (B) The width of tSCs in new and old bulges in the first anagen (P35) and second telogen (P49)

follicles were quantified. n = 60–92 tSCs from 3 mice. Individual measurement data are

shown as circles. Mean ± SEM are shown as bars, n = 3 mice. Statistical comparisons were

performed using two-tailed unpaired *t*-test. (C) Procedure of club hair plucking experiments.

(D) Transverse sectional views of upper-bulge with club-hair unplucked and plucked P32

early anagen hair follicles stained for βIII-tubulin, nestin, EGFL6 and DAPI. Just after

plucking, little structural damage to the old bulge epidermis and LCs were observed. (E) 3D

reconstituted immunostaining images of upper-bulge region of 7-week-old telogen

Awl/auchene hair follicles of wild-type and epidermis-specific conditional nephronectin

(*Npnt*) knockout mice (Keratin5-Cre/Npnt fl/fl). Upon epidermal deletion of *Npnt* gene,

arrector pili muscles (APM) moved above the bulge (Fujiwara et al., 2011), where LCs were

located. Arrowheads indicate the nuclei of tSCs. In the mutant, some hair follicles showed

disrupted patterning of longitudinal sensory nerve endings and tSCs processes. This mutant

image shows a significantly fewer number of longitudinal lanceolate endings and tSC

processes (see also 3D cropped LC images). (F) The perimeter and number of LCs in

randomly selected non-guard hair follicles of wild-type and *Npnt* conditional knockout mice

were measured. No statistically significant difference was observed. Data are mean ± SD, n

= 23 hair follicles from wild-type and mutant mice, respectively. (G) The number of tSCs

were plotted against the perimeter of LCs. In the wild-type, the number of tSCs correlated

well with the perimeter of LCs, *i.e.* perimeter of hair follicles, while in the *Npnt* knockout

mice, distribution of the values of "number of tSC/perimeter of LCs" was scattered and their

correlation was lost (see R^2 and p-value), indicating the anatomical changes of LCs in *Npnt*

mutants. n = 23 hair follicles from wild-type and mutant mice, respectively. Scale bars, 10

μm.

Figure 1– table supplement 1. Normalized Enrichment Score (NES) of Gene Set

Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)

Cdh3	CD34	Lgr6	Gli1	GO terms
1.674	0.916	-0.868	1.210	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANS CRIPTION_DNA_DEPENDENT
1.662	0.899	-0.859	1.204	REGULATION_OF_TRANSCRIPTION_F ROM_RNA_POLYMERASE_II_PROMO TER
1.655	0.930	-0.808	1.201	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_NUCLEO BASENUCLEOSIDENUCLEOTIDE_AN D_NUCLEIC_ACID_METABOLIC_PRO CESS
1.639	0.907	-0.863	1.186	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_RNA_ METABOLIC_PROCESS
1.571	0.981	-0.898	1.228	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_NUCLE OBASENUCLEOSIDENUCLEOTIDE_A ND_NUCLEIC_ACID_METABOLIC_PR OCESS
1.591	0.989	-0.862	1.167	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANSC RIPTION
1.561	0.928	-0.817	1.148	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_RNA_M ETABOLIC_PROCESS
1.552	0.928	-0.823	1.145	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANSC RIPTIONDNA_DEPENDENT
1.492	0.990	-0.850	1.252	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_META BOLIC_PROCESS
1.474	1.000	-0.854	1.249	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_CELLU LAR_METABOLIC_PROCESS
1.507	0.921	0.860	1.260	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANS CRIPTION_FROM_RNA_POLYMERASE _II_PROMOTER
1.508	1.006	0.897	1.099	TRANSMEMBRANE_RECEPTOR_PROT EIN_TYROSINE_KINASE_SIGNALING_ PATHWAY
1.688	-0.999	-0.902	1.191	REGULATION_OF_RNA_METABOLIC_ PROCESS
1.652	-0.988	-0.896	1.187	REGULATION_OF_TRANSCRIPTIOND NA_DEPENDENT
1.666	-0.918	-0.864	1.253	REGULATION_OF_TRANSCRIPTION
1.616	-0.969	-0.914	1.192	REGULATION_OF_NUCLEOBASENUC LEOSIDENUCLEOTIDE_AND_NUCLEI C_ACID_METABOLIC_PROCESS
1.510	-0.938	-0.885	1.134	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_METAB OLIC_PROCESS
1.496	-0.939	-0.911	1.122	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_CELLUL AR_METABOLIC_PROCESS
1.503	-1.349	-1.005	1.305	MULTI_ORGANISM_PROCESS

1.568	-0.953	0.965	1.224	TRANSCRIPTION_FROM_RNA_POLY MERASE_II_PROMOTER
1.537	-1.115	0.972	1.195	PROTEIN_KINASE_CASCADE
1.604	-0.901	0.988	1.234	PROTEIN_AMINO_ACID_PHOSPHORY LATION
1.551	-1.108	1.041	1.316	REGULATION_OF_MAP_KINASE_ACT IVITY
1.665	-0.999	1.095	1.032	FEEDING_BEHAVIOR
1.629	-0.837	1.165	1.424	MAPKKK_CASCADE
1.473	-1.314	1.197	1.330	RESPONSE_TO_BIOTIC_STIMULUS
1.818	1.208	-0.932	1.375	MUSCLE_DEVELOPMENT
1.715	1.316	-1.093	1.341	ENZYME_LINKED_RECEPTOR_PROTE IN_SIGNALING_PATHWAY
1.544	1.286	-1.377	1.332	TRANSMEMBRANE_RECEPTOR_PROT EIN_SERINE_THREONINE_KINASE_SI GNALING_PATHWAY
1.700	1.052	0.824	1.335	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANS CRIPTION
1.624	1.093	0.835	1.186	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANSC RIPTION_FROM_RNA_POLYMERASE_ II_PROMOTER
1.623	1.231	-1.321	1.039	FEMALE_PREGNANCY
1.390	-1.241	-0.947	0.995	REGULATION_OF_KINASE_ACTIVITY
1.385	-1.198	-1.146	0.998	G_PROTEIN_COUPLED_RECEPTOR_P ROTEIN_SIGNALING_PATHWAY
1.379	-1.250	-0.898	0.994	REGULATION_OF_TRANSFERASE_AC TIVITY
1.338	-1.188	-0.889	1.047	REGULATION_OF_MOLECULAR_FUN CTION
1.449	-1.128	-0.957	1.055	REGULATION_OF_SIGNAL_TRANSDU CTION
1.446	-1.213	-0.911	1.033	REGULATION_OF_PROTEIN_KINASE_ ACTIVITY
1.301	-1.199	-0.998	1.278	CELL_PROLIFERATION
1.466	-1.023	1.053	1.219	PHOSPHORYLATION
1.417	-1.100	0.979	1.203	POST_TRANSLATIONAL_PROTEIN_M ODIFICATION
1.425	-0.968	1.021	1.091	SECOND_MESSENGER_MEDIATED_SI GNALING
1.275	-1.170	1.081	1.087	REGULATION_OF_DEVELOPMENTAL _PROCESS
1.523	-1.081	-1.118	0.774	NUCLEOCYTOPLASMIC_TRANSPORT
1.516	-1.095	-1.097	0.759	NUCLEAR_TRANSPORT
1.555	-1.082	-1.215	0.785	NUCLEAR_IMPORT
1.629	-1.056	-1.234	0.804	PROTEIN_IMPORT_INTO_NUCLEUS
1.618	-1.180	0.850	0.915	B_CELL_ACTIVATION
1.514	0.913	-0.943	0.933	STRIATED_MUSCLE_DEVELOPMENT
1.213	-1.495	1.241	1.433	RESPONSE_TO_WOUNDING

1.303	-1.510	1.144	1.365	RESPONSE_TO_EXTERNAL_STIMULUS
1.304	-1.822	1.296	1.300	DEFENSE_RESPONSE
1.384	-1.278	1.255	1.621	DETECTION_OF_EXTERNAL_STIMULUS
1.211	-1.096	1.146	1.599	GROWTH
1.139	-1.151	0.978	1.487	CATION_HOMEOSTASIS
1.205	1.116	1.222	1.631	NEURON_DIFFERENTIATION
1.152	1.097	1.242	1.577	NEURON_DEVELOPMENT
1.159	1.075	1.114	1.537	GENERATION_OF_NEURONS
1.023	1.012	1.089	1.520	NEUROGENESIS
1.233	1.168	0.943	1.375	NERVOUS_SYSTEM_DEVELOPMENT
1.605	1.198	1.168	1.634	GLUTAMATE_SIGNALING_PATHWAY
1.461	1.090	1.112	1.654	BRAIN_DEVELOPMENT
1.450	1.273	0.969	1.585	ORGAN_MORPHOGENESIS
1.603	1.140	1.287	1.360	CYCLIC_NUCLEOTIDE_MEDIATED_SIGNALING
1.487	1.102	1.180	1.412	CELL_CELL_SIGNALING
1.624	1.024	1.160	1.300	G_PROTEIN_SIGNALING_COUPLED_TO_CYCLIC_NUCLEOTIDE_SECOND_MESSENGER
1.162	-0.900	1.621	1.517	SODIUM_ION_TRANSPORT
1.081	-1.806	1.593	1.514	DEFENSE_RESPONSE_TO_BACTERIUM
1.261	-1.316	1.669	1.552	METAL_ION_TRANSPORT
1.251	-1.175	1.430	1.604	TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT
1.083	1.052	1.476	1.761	DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION
1.055	1.061	1.603	1.492	MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION_TRANSPORT
1.022	-1.147	1.529	1.558	ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT
0.957	-1.205	1.505	1.554	EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT
-0.800	-1.495	1.683	1.511	KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION
-0.693	-0.899	1.608	1.414	REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPONSE
-1.175	-0.977	1.651	1.799	SYNAPTOGENESIS
-1.526	-1.289	1.591	1.748	SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIOGENESIS
-0.849	0.874	1.491	1.286	ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS
-1.015	-1.208	1.454	1.344	CATION_TRANSPORT
-1.170	-1.286	1.437	1.190	LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS
-1.047	-1.143	1.345	1.164	CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS
-0.947	-1.732	1.647	1.132	INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE
1.501	1.102	1.530	1.662	EXCRETION
1.521	-1.415	1.419	1.633	DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS
1.663	-1.418	1.902	1.663	CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CELL_ADHESION
1.497	1.355	1.321	1.673	CELL_MATURATION

1.465	1.344	1.219	1.599	ANATOMICAL_STRUCTURE_MORPHOGENESIS
1.711	1.409	1.291	1.590	PATTERN_SPECIFICATION_PROCESS
1.388	1.539	1.376	1.665	POTASSIUM_ION_TRANSPORT
1.609	1.805	1.517	1.718	AXON_GUIDANCE
1.899	1.849	-0.724	1.802	DETECTION_OF_ABIOTIC_STIMULUS
1.870	1.802	-0.720	1.725	DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS_INVOLVED_IN_SENSORY_PERCEPTION
1.576	1.753	-0.730	1.556	HORMONE_SECRETION
1.695	1.504	-1.197	1.495	SKELETAL_DEVELOPMENT
1.867	1.718	1.092	1.274	MESODERM_DEVELOPMENT
0.875	1.736	0.825	1.504	REGULATION_OF_HEART_CONTRACTION
0.909	1.959	-1.410	1.177	GENERATION_OF_A_SIGNAL_INVOLVED_IN_CELL_CELL_SIGNALING

965 **Figure 2–table supplement 1. List of ECM proteins screened for upper-bulge**

966 **localization with immunohistochemical analysis**

Gene symbol	Protein name (Abbreviation)	Antibody ID	Manufacturer	Upper-bulge localization	Tissue localization
Aspn	Asporin	ab58741	abcam	Yes	Upper bulge sensory neuron
Crispld1	Cysteine-rich secretory protein LCCL domain containing 1 (CRISPLD1)	ab123039	abcam	Yes	Basement membrane zone of upper-bulge
Epdr1	Ependymin related protein 1 (EPDR1)	PA283664	Cusabio	No	Uncertain
Col5a2	Collagen, type V, alpha 2 (COL5A2)	ab7046	abcam	Yes	Broadly distributed in the epidermal-dermal basement membrane zone
Vwa2	von Willebrand factor A domain-containing protein 2 (VWA2)	ab111164	abcam	No	Follicle-arrector pili muscle junction
Fam101b	Refilin B	orb183474	biorbyt	No	Uncertain
Ltbp1	Latent TGF-beta-binding protein 1 (LTBP1)	PA807018	Cusabio	No	Uncertain
Postn	Periostin	ab14041	abcam	Yes	Basement membrane zone of upper-and mid-bulge
Spon2	Spondin-2	PA006509	Cusabio	No	Uncertain
Egfl6	Epidermal growth factor-like protein 6 (EGFL6)	CUK1203	Fujiwara lab (in house)	Yes	Upper-bulge collar matrix
Igfbp5	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 (IGFBP5)	AF578	R&D	Yes	Upper bulge sensory neuron
Col8a2	Collagen, type VIII, alpha 2 (COL8A2)	34099	USBiological	No	Uncertain
Igfbp7	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 (IGFBP7)	ab129302	abcam	No	Uncertain
Adamtsl4	ADAMTS-like 4 (ADAMTSL4)			Not tested	
Col4a3	Collagen, type IV, alpha 3 (COL4A3)	H31	Shigei Med. Res. Inst.	Yes	Basement membrane zone of upper-bulge
Col4a4	Collagen, type IV, alpha 4 (COL4A4)	RH42	Shigei Med. Res. Inst.	Yes	Basement membrane zone of upper-bulge

967
968
969

970 **Figure 3–table supplement 1. General characteristics of Aδ-LTMRs**

Outcomes	WT (n=23 nerves)	*Egfl6* KO (n=24 nerves)	*p* value
Activation threshold (V)	3.0 (2.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.0-6.0)	*p* = 0.778
Conduction velocity (m/s)	6.6 (5.1-7.7)	7.7 (5.0-8.6)	*p* = 0.115
Spontaneous activity (imp/s)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	*p* > 0.999
Receptive field size (mm^2)	6.5 (4.7-13.2)	4.8 (2.8-7.3)	*p* = 0.059

973 **Table 1. Antibodies used in this study**

ANTIBODY	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Rabbit anti-mouse EGFL6	Fujiwara Lab	This paper
Rabbit anti-mouse nephronectin	Fujiwara Lab	This paper
Mouse anti-keratin 14	abcam	LL002 (ab77684)
Mouse anti-keratin 15	Santa Cruz	LHK15 (sc-47697)
Mouse anti-βIII-tubulin	abcam	2G10 (ab78078)
Chicken anti-nestin	Aves Labs	NES0407
Rat anti-nestin	Millipore	rat-401 (MAB353)
Chicken anti-GFP	abcam	ab13970
Rabbit anti-GFP	MBL	598
Mouse anti-laminin γ1	Millopore	MAB1914
Rat anti-integrin αv	abcam	RMV-7
Goat anti-TrkB	R&D Systems	AF1494
Rat anti-CD45-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	30-F11
Rat anti-TER-119-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	TER119
Rat anti-CD31-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	390
Rat anti-Sca-1-PerCP-Cy5.5	eBioscience	D7
Rat anti-CD34-eFluor660	eBioscience	RAM34
Rat anti-CD49f-PE	eBioscience	GoH3
Mouse anti-integrin α2	DSHB	P1E6
Mouse anti-integrin α3	DSHB	P1B5
Mouse anti-integrin α5	DSHB	P1D6
Rat anti-integrin α6	BioLegend	GoH3 (313614)
Mouse anti-integrin αv	ATCC	L230
Mouse anti-integrin β1	DSHB	P5D2

977 **Table 2. Primer sequences for qRT-PCR**

Target genes	Primer sequences
Gapdh (Forward)	TGCCAGCCTCGTCCCGTAG
Gapdh (Reverse)	CGGCCTTGACTGTGCCGTTG
Lrig1 (Forward)	TGTGTGTCTGAGAGACCCGAGC
Lrig1 (Reverse)	CAGAGCCACTGTGTGCTGTTGT
Lgr6 (Forward)	GGCTCCGAATCCTGGAGCTGT
Lgr6 (Reverse)	CTCAGGGTGGATGGCACGGA
Gli1 (Forward)	ATCTCCGGGCGGTTCCTACG
Gli1 (Reverse)	TGACTTCAGCTGGCAGGTTGC
Bdnf (Forward)	GCGGCGCCCATGAAAGAAGT
Bdnf (Reverse)	GGCCGAACCTTCTGGTCCTCA
Cd34 (Forward)	TGGCGCTGGGTAGCTCTCTG
Cd34 (Reverse)	TAGTCTCTGAGATGGCTGGTGTGG
Cdh3 (Forward)	TGGGGAAAGTAGCCTTGGCTGG
Cdh3 (Reverse)	CAGCCTCTGAGGGAAGGGACC

    Table 3. RNA-seq read counts and mapping statistics

	Raw Read Count	Trimmed Read Count	rDNA Read Count	Multi-Mapped Read Count	Uniquely Mapped Read Count
Mouse_Basal_ Cells_Rep1	11,757,494	11,278,061	148,700	170,077	10,456,332
Mouse_Basal_ Cells_Rep2	11,245,045	10,668,752	73,729	134,777	10,051,376
Mouse_Basal_ Cells_Rep3	11,313,239	10,747,103	108,596	146,602	10,089,518
Mouse_Bulge_ StemCells_Rep1	11,735,034	11,181,377	64,614	183,557	10,520,864
Mouse_Bulge_ StemCells_Rep2	11,917,049	11,515,851	54,154	175,176	10,889,932
Mouse_Bulge_ StemCells_Rep3	13,417,100	12,895,113	88,655	218,495	12,090,872
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep1	10,875,593	10,409,342	68,193	177,407	9,574,901
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep2	11,565,616	10,993,093	65,001	185,981	10,079,620
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep3	11,405,265	10,746,768	76,143	206,384	9,790,096
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep4	11,759,881	11,186,543	85,902	191,154	10,274,371
Mouse_Gli1+_ Cells_Rep1	12,063,488	11,478,537	57,630	178,646	10,751,521
Mouse_Gli1+_ Cells_Rep2	19,762,234	18,804,713	178,685	303,848	17,446,776
Mouse_Gli1+_ Cells_Rep3	19,543,117	18,568,695	122,017	287,214	17,378,904
Mouse_Lgr6+_ Cells_Rep1	20,044,455	19,058,807	121,507	307,804	17,798,594
Mouse_Lgr6+_ Cells_Rep2	19,756,354	18,736,071	197,458	298,652	17,386,391
Mouse_Lgr6+_ Cells_Rep3	19,639,299	18,680,969	217,502	298,146	17,210,635