## 1 Hair Follicle Epidermal Stem Cells Define a Niche for Tactile Sensation

2

Chun-Chun Cheng<sup>1,9,11</sup>, Ko Tsutsui<sup>1,11</sup>, Toru Taguchi<sup>2,10,11</sup>, Noriko Sanzen<sup>1</sup>, Asako
Nakagawa<sup>1</sup>, Kisa Kakiguchi<sup>3</sup>, Shigenobu Yonemura<sup>3,4</sup>, Chiharu Tanegashima<sup>5</sup>, Sean D.
Keeley<sup>5</sup>, Hiroshi Kiyonari<sup>6</sup>, Yasuhide Furuta<sup>6</sup>, Yasuko Tomono<sup>7</sup>, Fiona M. Watt<sup>8</sup> and
Hironobu Fujiwara<sup>1,\*</sup>

- 7
- 8 <sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Tissue Microenvironment, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics
- 9 Research (BDR), Kobe 650-0047, Japan

10 <sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience II, Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Nagoya

- 11 University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
- <sup>3</sup>Ultrastructural Research Team, Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe
- 13 650-0047, Japan
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Cell Biology, Tokushima University Graduate School of Medical Science,
- 15 Tokushima 770-8503, Japan
- <sup>5</sup>Phyloinformatics Unit, Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe 650-0047,
- 17 Japan
- 18 <sup>6</sup>Animal Resource Development Unit and Genetic Engineering Team, Center for
- 19 Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe 650-0047, Japan
- 20 <sup>7</sup>Division of Molecular & Cell Biology, Shigei Medical Research Institute, Okayama 701-
- 21 0202, Japan
- <sup>8</sup>Centre for Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine, King's College London, London SE1
- 23 9RT, UK
- 24 <sup>9</sup>Present address: Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, UT Southwestern Medical
- 25 Center, Texas 75390, USA

- 26 <sup>10</sup>Present address: Department of Physical Therapy, Niigata University of Health and
- 27 Welfare, Niigata 950-3198, Japan
- 28 <sup>11</sup>Co-first author
- 29 \*Correspondence: hironobu.fujiwara@riken.jp
- 30

# 31 Abstract

32 The heterogeneity and compartmentalization of stem cells is a common principle in many 33 epithelia, and is known to function in epithelial maintenance, but its other physiological 34 roles remain elusive. Here we show transcriptional and anatomical contributions of 35 compartmentalized epidermal stem cells (EpSCs) in tactile sensory unit formation in the hair follicle (HF). EpSCs in the follicle upper-bulge, where mechanosensory lanceolate 36 37 complexes (LCs) innervate, express a unique set of extracellular matrix (ECM) and 38 neurogenesis-related genes. These EpSCs deposit an ECM protein EGFL6 into the collar 39 matrix, a novel ECM that tightly ensheathes LCs. EGFL6 is required for the proper 40 patterning, touch responses, and  $\alpha v$  integrin-enrichment of LCs. By maintaining a quiescent original EpSC niche, the old bulge, EpSCs provide anatomically stable HF-LC interfaces, 41 42 irrespective of the stage of follicle regeneration cycle. Thus, compartmentalized EpSCs 43 provide a niche linking the HF and the nervous system throughout the hair cycle.

## 45 Introduction

#### 46

47 Tissue stem cells (SCs) in many epithelia, including the epidermis, intestine, mammary 48 glands and lungs, often comprise heterogeneous populations with distinct transcriptional 49 and anatomical features (Donati & Watt, 2015; Xin, Greco, & Myung, 2016). Individual SC 50 pools contribute to tissue maintenance through different homeostatic and regenerative 51 properties and give rise to distinct epithelial compartments, while they also hold plasticity 52 to change their identity and function when perturbed. These recent findings question the 53 traditional view of the role of stem cell heterogeneity, which underlies the theory of linear 54 hierarchy (Goodell, Nguyen, & Shroyer, 2015). Although the biological significance of the 55 heterogeneity and compartmentalization of epithelial SCs has primarily been studied in the 56 context of epithelial tissue maintenance and regeneration, its other physiological roles 57 remain unclear.

58 Hair follicles (HFs) are highly conserved touch sensory organs in the hairy skin that 59 covers most of the mammalian body surface and detects touch signals essential for 60 development and survival (Lumpkin, Marshall, & Nelson, 2010). HFs are innervated by 61 mechanosensory end organs called lanceolate complexes (LCs), which are composed of 62 parallel, longitudinally aligned low-threshold mechanoreceptor (LTMR) axonal endings 63 and terminal Schwann cell (tSC) processes (Zimmerman, Bai, & Ginty, 2014). HFs are also 64 connected to arrector pili muscles (APMs), thus forming a unique regenerating motile 65 sensory organ. These two HF appendages attach to the permanent portion of the HF, known 66 as the bulge, where epidermal stem cells (EpSCs) reside.

Hair follicle EpSCs are heterogeneous in their molecular and functional properties
and compartmentalized along the longitudinal axis of the HF (Figure 1A) (Solanas &
Benitah, 2013). The mid-bulge region contains CD34<sup>+</sup> slow-cycling EpSCs that serve as a
reservoir of EpSCs and were once thought to be the master SCs at the top of the epidermal

71 hierarchy. A series of recent studies, however, identify several additional pools of different 72 EpSCs around the bulge (e.g. in the isthmus, junctional zone, upper-bulge and hair germ). 73 For example, the upper-bulge is a niche for  $Glil^+$  EpSCs and they contribute to HF regeneration and wound healing of interfollicular epidermis (Brownell, Guevara, Bai, 74 75 Loomis, & Joyner, 2011). The importance of EpSC heterogeneity and compartmentalization 76 has almost entirely been explained by their role in regional tissue replenishment to maintain 77 different functional compartments of the epidermis, but its roles apart from epidermal 78 maintenance remain poorly understood. As coordinated epithelial-mesenchymal 79 interactions are essential for HF development, regeneration and functioning, the unique 80 signaling territories and tissue architecture provided by compartmentalized EpSCs probably 81 regulate the interactions between the epidermis and a variety of HF-associated structures, including sensory nerves, APMs and the dermal papilla. Consistent with this idea, it has 82 83 been reported that mid-bulge EpSCs create a specialized basement membrane containing 84 nephronectin, thereby providing a niche for APM development and anchorage (Fujiwara et 85 al., 2011). Follicle epidermis-derived BDNF is also critical for follicle-nerve interactions 86 (Rutlin et al., 2014).

In this study, we set out to address the idea that upper-bulge EpSCs are molecularly and anatomically specialized for interaction with LCs and thus involved in generating the sense of touch. We demonstrated that upper-bulge EpSCs produce a special extracellular matrix (ECM) environment and unique epidermal tissue architecture that creates a stable HF–LC interface for tactile sensation. Thus, EpSC heterogeneity and compartmentalization appear to be important for both regional epidermal maintenance and patterned epithelial– mesenchymal interactions.

94

## 95 **Results**

#### 96 Upper-bulge EpSCs are molecularly specialized for HF–nerve interactions

97 We first examined the global transcriptional features of distinct EpSC populations in the 98 HF. To this end, we established FACS-based cell purification methods using several eGFP 99 reporter mouse lines that label different SC compartments to isolate cellular subpopulations 100 resident in the lower-isthmus ( $Lgr6^+$ ), upper-bulge ( $Glil^+$ ), mid-bulge ( $CD34^+$ ), and hair 101 germ ( $Cdh3^+$ ) as well as unfractionated basal EpSCs ( $\alpha 6$  integrin<sup>+</sup>) (Figure 1A and B, Figure 102 1-figure supplement 1A). The purity of the isolated populations was verified by the 103 expression of compartment-specific genes (Figure 1–figure supplement 1B and C, Materials 104 and methods). We performed RNA-seq on these isolated cell populations. Gene Set 105 Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) indicated that neurogenesis-related Gene Ontology (GO) 106 terms are over-represented in *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> upper-bulge EpSCs (Figure 1C, Figure 1– table supplement 1). To further identify  $Glil^+$  compartment-enriched genes, we performed a 107 108 pairwise transcriptional comparison between the *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> population and all the other 109 populations and plotted the relationship between *Gli1*<sup>+</sup>-enriched gene sets in a Venn 110 diagram (Figure 1D). Genes categorized in "Group I" were *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> population-enriched genes. 111 We also extracted genes included in "Group II", which contains genes highly expressed 112 both in the *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> population and the CD34<sup>+</sup> population, since the *Gli1* and CD34 double-113 positive cells were included in the CD34<sup>+</sup> population in our sorting scheme (Figure 1D). 114 Prominent gene-annotation clusters in both Group I and Group II cells encode proteins 115 involved in nervous system development, including the neurotrophic factors Grp, Bdnf and 116 *Nrtn* and the keratitis-ichthyosis-deafness syndrome gene *Gjb2* (Figure 1E and F). Multiple 117 ECM genes are also upregulated in the upper-bulge compartment, including Aspn, Crispld1, 118 Egfl6, and the deafness-related ECM genes Col4a3 and Col4a4 (Mochizuki et al., 1994) 119 (Figure 1E and F). This global gene expression profiling of compartmentalized EpSCs

suggests that upper-bulge EpSCs are specialized both to interact with the nervous systemand to express a unique set of ECM genes.

122

# 123 Upper-bulge EpSCs deposit EGFL6 into the collar matrix

124 It has been suggested that the ECM plays important roles in mammalian touch end organs, but the molecular identity and functions of this putative ultrastructure remain unknown 125 126 (Lumpkin et al., 2010; Zimmerman et al., 2014). On examining the tissue localization of 15 127 upper-bulge ECM proteins, we found that 8 ECM proteins were deposited in the upper-128 bulge (Figure 2A, Figure 2-table supplement 1). Among them, EGFL6 exhibited the most 129 restricted localization in the upper-bulge of all types of dorsal HFs and showed a unique Cshaped pattern with a gap at the rostral side of the HF (Figure 2B). ßIII-tubulin staining 130 131 showed that skin nerve endings terminate at the EGFL6 deposition sites (Figure 2B). 132 Magnified 3D images revealed the close association of EGFL6 with longitudinal lanceolate 133 parallel LTMR axonal endings of LCs, which are activated by tactile stimuli (Figure 2C) 134 (Bai et al., 2015), and longitudinal processes of nestin-positive non-myelinating tSCs of 135 LCs (Figure 2D). A close anatomical association of follicle EGFL6 with blood vessels has 136 been reported (Xiao et al., 2013), but their direct contact was not observed (Figure 2E). To 137 detect cells expressing *Egfl6* mRNA, we generated *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* reporter mice. eGFP 138 protein expression was enriched in upper-bulge EpSCs at the caudal side of the HF, but was 139 not detected in dermal cells around the upper-bulge or dorsal root ganglia neurons that 140 innervate to the upper bulge (Figure 2F and G).

141 To investigate the ultrastructural localization of EGFL6, we performed electron 142 microscopic analysis of transverse sections of the upper-bulge. As described by Li and Ginty 143 (2014), each LTMR axonal ending was sandwiched by processes of tSCs (Figure 2H). We 144 identified an electron-dense amorphous ECM structure surrounding the LCs (black

145 arrowheads in Figure 2H). We named this novel structure the 'collar matrix', as it tightly 146 ensheathes the LCs. tSC processes have two openings, at the follicular basement membrane 147 and at the opposite side of the cell, thus exposing axonal endings and tSC processes to at 148 least two different ECMs, the HF basement membrane and the collar matrix. Although 149 EGFL6 is expressed by the upper-bulge EpSCs, an immunogold-labeled EGFL6 antibody 150 was detected exclusively in the collar matrix (Figure 2I), indicating that upper-bulge EpSCs 151 secrete EGFL6, which is incorporated in the collar matrix that ensheathes LCs (Figure 2J).

152

## 153 EGFL6 mediates cell adhesion via αv integrins

154  $\alpha$ 8 $\beta$ 1 integrin is the only reported cell adhesion receptor of EGFL6 (Osada et al., 2005), but 155 its expression was not detected in LCs (data not shown). To explore other cell adhesion 156 receptors for EGFL6, we purified recombinant EGFL6 protein and performed cell adhesion 157 assays using nine cell lines. Of these, two glial and one skin fibroblast cell lines adhered to 158 EGFL6, whereas other cell types, including keratinocytes, did not (Figure 2-figure 159 supplement 1A and B), suggesting that although EGFL6 is derived from the upper-bulge 160 EpSCs, these SCs are incapable of interacting with EGFL6, rather dermal cell lineages 161 interact and adhere to EGFL6. A point mutation into the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) integrin 162 recognition sequence of EGFL6 (RGE mutant) significantly reduced its cell adhesive ability 163 (Figure 2–figure supplement 1C). Cell adhesion to EGFL6 was inhibited by the  $\alpha v$  integrin 164 antibody, but not by the  $\beta$ 1 integrin antibody (Figure 2–figure supplement 1D). Consistent 165 with these results, av integrin accumulated in LCs and colocalized with EGFL6 (Figure 2-166 figure supplement 1E). Deletion of EGFL6 decreased av integrin and impaired its accumulation (Figure 2-figure supplement 1E and F). Together, these results demonstrate 167 168 that EGFL6 induces cell adhesion via av integrins that accumulate in the LCs in an EGFL6-169 dependent manner.

170

# 171 EGFL6 is required for the proper patterning and touch responses of LCs

172 One of the central roles of the specialized ECM around neural structures, such as the 173 perineuronal nets, is to provide adhesive and non-adhesive physical structures to support 174 cells and therefore determine their shape and functions (Mouw, Ou, & Weaver, 2014). Thus, 175 we next examined the effect of deleting EGFL6 on LC structures in zigzag HFs, which are 176 the most common HF type in rodent skin and innervated by Aδ- and C-LTMRs (Li et al., 177 2011). Egfl6 knockout mice showed misaligned and overlapping structures of axonal endings and tSC processes (Figure 3A and B). Quantitative 3D histomorphometrical 178 179 analysis of LTMRs and tSCs revealed the significant increase of overlapping points of axons 180 and tSC protrusions in *Egfl6* knockout mice (Figure 3A-C). The numbers and lengths of 181 axonal endings were unchanged (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A and B). In Egfl6 knockout 182 tissue, the length, but not the width, of tSC processes was reduced (Figure 3-figure 183 supplement 1C and D). Egfl6 knockout mice did not show defects in the hair cycle (Figure 184 3-figure supplement 1E and F). We conclude that EGFL6 is required for proper parallel 185 patterning of LCs.

186 To determine whether EGFL6 is involved in mechanotransduction, we generated skin-187 nerve preparations and performed a single-nerve electrophysiological analysis of Aδ-188 LTMRs, which are the most sensitive HF-associated LTMRs, stimulated by gentle touch and hair deflection (Bai et al., 2015; Rutlin et al., 2014; Zimmermann et al., 2009). Although 189 190 the electrical responsiveness of Aδ-LTMRs in *Egfl6* knockout skin remained intact (Figure 191 3-table supplement 1), these receptors showed clear defects in mechanical responses. The 192 median mechanical response threshold of  $A\delta$ -LTMRs measured using von Frey hairs was 193 significantly higher in knockout skin (Figure 3D). To more quantitatively analyze the 194 mechanical sensitivity of these fibers, a light touch stimulus was applied using a piezo195 controlled micromanipulator (Figure 3–figure supplement 2A). Averaged touch response 196 patterns showed that knockout skin exhibits fewer action potentials than wild-type skin at 197 displacements of >32  $\mu$ m (Figures 3E, Figure 3–figure supplement 2B and C). The median 198 threshold displacement of Aδ-LTMRs was about three-times higher in the knockout (Figure 199 3F). Significantly fewer action potentials were detected during the course of the touch 200 stimulus protocol in *Egfl6* knockout skin (Figure 3G). These results indicate that EGFL6 201 plays an important role in the excitation of Aδ-LTMRs.

202

# 203 The bulge provides stable epidermal–neuronal interfaces

204 Unlike most other sensory systems, the HF undergoes dynamic structural changes during 205 its periodic regeneration cycle (Figure 3-figure supplement 1E). It has remained unclear 206 how HFs are able to maintain stable HF-LC interactions over the course of this cycle. To 207 gain insight into this question, we first examined the changes that occur in the anatomical 208 structure of the upper-bulge epidermis during the hair regeneration cycle. We found that at 209 the first telogen (P20), the upper-bulge exhibits a single circular peripheral morphology 210 with one hair shaft, while at the first anagen (P35), the upper-bulge perimeter doubles due 211 to the formation of a new HF rostral to the existing HF (old bulge) (Figure 4A–C). At the 212 second telogen (P49), the upper-bulge perimeter decreased due to a reduction in the new 213 bulge perimeter. Thus, the external configuration of the rostral side of the upper-bulge 214 epidermis changes dynamically during the HF regeneration cycle, while the caudal aspect 215 remains stable.

# We next examined the relationship between the dynamics of HF epidermal morphology and LC structure. We measured the signal intensities of immuno-stained LC components along the upper-bulge, and assigned average signal intensities to the position angle of HFs. At the first telogen, HFs exhibited relatively regular signal intensities along

220 the epidermal perimeter, but at the first anagen the new bulge (rostral side) showed areas of 221 low signal intensity, while these remained unchanged at the old (caudal) bulge (Figure 4A 222 and D). The low-intensity areas at new bulges became narrower in the second telogen. Both 223 Aδ- and C-LTMRs exhibited caudally polarized distribution in the second telogen (Figure 224 4-figure supplement 1A). Consistent with these signal intensity data, EGFL6 and tSCs in 225 the old bulge maintained regular parallel morphologies throughout the hair cycle, while 226 those in the new bulge exhibited diverse morphologies and wider widths in the first anagen 227 (Figure 4E, Figure 4-figure supplement 1B). Thus, the polarized distributions of LC 228 components toward the caudal side of the HFs are induced as the new bulge structure forms 229 at the rostral side of the HF. The caudal side of the HF, where the old bulge is maintained 230 by quiescent EpSCs, does not change in morphology, suggesting that the old bulge stably 231 preserves LCs irrespective of the stage of hair regeneration cycle.

232 Finally, we plucked a club hair, a retained hair from the previous hair cycle in the old 233 bulge, to test whether the removal of the sensor probe and subsequent alteration of the old 234 bulge architecture would affect the LC structure. The hairs were painted at the first telogen 235 (P20) and the painted hairs (i.e., club hairs) were plucked when the new hair and bulge 236 formed (Figure 4-figure supplement 1C). Three days after plucking, the old bulges 237 regressed (Figures 4F, Figure 4-figure supplement 1D), the LCs disappeared from the 238 caudal side of the upper-bulge, and ectopic LCs appeared at the rostral side of the upper-239 bulge (Figure 4F and G). Together these results indicate that the preservation of the old 240 bulge epidermal structure provides a stable epidermal-neuronal interface and induces an 241 LC structure oriented toward the caudal side of the HF. Rutlin et al. (2014) reported that 242 caudally polarized localization of  $A\delta$ -LTMRs underlies direction-selective responsiveness 243 of A $\delta$ -LTMRs to hair deflection, suggesting that the unique tissue architecture generated by 244 upper-bulge EpSCs is involved in the directional selectivity of the LCs.

## 246 **Discussion**

247 Our findings reveal transcriptional and anatomical roles of compartmentalized EpSCs in HF 248 sensory unit formation (Figure 4H). Our previous study also showed that the mid-bulge 249 EpSCs express many tendon-related genes and induce the differentiation of APMs and their 250 correct anchorage to the bulge via secretion of the basement membrane protein nephronectin 251 (Fujiwara et al., 2011). Deletion of nephronectin moves the muscle near the upper-bulge. 252 The morphology of the LC was altered in the nephronectin mutant (Figure 4-figure 253 supplement 1E–G), likely due to the changes in mechanical or geometrical environments of 254 the upper-bulge by the mis-location of APMs. Therefore, we propose that the heterogeneous 255 EpSCs are compartmentalized not only for efficient epidermal homeostasis and 256 regeneration (Schepeler, Page, & Jensen, 2014), but also for defining patterned niches for 257 specific epidermal-dermal interactions, in part through providing different ECM 258 environments.

259 The biological significance of forming two bulges has not been well explained. A 260 recent report by Lay, Kume, and Fuchs (2016) suggests that the two-bulge structure has an 261 advantage for life-long HF epidermal maintenance as it increases the number of EpSCs and 262 maintains SC quiescence by holding a keratin 6<sup>+</sup> inner bulge that expresses factors that 263 inhibit SC activation. Our study now brings an entirely different perspective on the 264 significance of the old bulge formation. We demonstrated that the formation and 265 preservation of the old bulge provides a stable epidermal-neuronal interface and induces a 266 LC structure oriented toward the caudal side of the hair follicle. Caudally polarized 267 expression of BDNF in the bulge epidermis of developing HFs regulates caudally enriched 268 localization of  $A\delta$ -LTMRs, which underlies direction-selective responsiveness of  $A\delta$ -269 LTMRs (Rutlin et al., 2014). Our data show that both Aδ-LTMRs and C-LTMRs become 270 caudally polarized after the formation of the two-bulge structure, indicating that the unique tissue architecture provided by EpSCs is another major determinant of the formation,preservation and function of LCs in the HF.

The mechanisms to form and preserve proper innervation in regenerating HF have attracted attention. Botchkarev, Eichmuller, Johansson, and Paus (1997) reported the constant number of longitudinal nerve endings in the LCs in both natural and hair pluckinginduced hair cycle. This invariance of nerve ending number, together with the unique epidermal tissue geometry and dynamics revealed in the present study, may underlie stability and topological reorganization of LCs during the hair cycle and in hair pluckinginduced old bulge depletion.

We identified a novel electron-dense and amorphous ECM structure, which we named the collar matrix, that ensheathes LCs. The deletion of a collar matrix protein, EGFL6, impaired the structure and sensory function of LCs. The collar matrix has anatomical and functional features in common with the essential ECM in touch end organs of non-vertebrate organisms, such as the mantle in *C. elegans* (Emtage, Gu, Hartwieg, & Chalfie, 2004). Thus, electron-dense amorphous ECMs are likely to be the fundamental ECM regulating sensory end organ formation and function in multicellular organisms.

In conclusion, our findings give a new perspective on the roles played by heterogeneous and compartmentalized EpSC populations in more global aspects of organogenesis, beyond their role in epithelial maintenance and regeneration. Our study also provides insights into how sensory organs take advantage of a stable niche provided by a quiescent EpSC compartment to maintain sensory function within a structurally dynamic tissue environment.

#### **294** Author Contributions

295 C-C.C., K.T. and T.T. contributed equally to the study. C-C.C. and H.F. designed this study. 296 C-C.C., N.S. A.N. and H.F. performed the mouse experiments. H.F., N.S., H.K. and Y.F. 297 generated the *Egfl6-H2b-eGFP* mice. K.T. established the FACS protocols and purified the 298 SC pools. K.T, C.T. and S.D.K. performed the RNA-seq and bioinformatics analysis. T.T. 299 performed the electrophysiological analysis. C-C.C., K.K. and S.Y. performed the electron 300 microscopic analysis. Y.T. provided COL4A3 and COL4A4 antibodies. F.M.W. oversaw 301 the initial stage of this study. C-C.C., K.T., T.T. and H.F. wrote the paper with input from 302 all authors.

303

# 304 Acknowledgments

305 We thank Shigehiro Kuraku, Yuichiro Hara, Osamu Nishimura of the RIKEN 306 Phyloinformatics Unit for help in RNA-seq and bioinformatics; Hideki Enomoto for Ret-307 GFP mice; RIKEN Kobe light microscopy and animal facilities for technical assistance; 308 Shigeo Hayashi and Douglas Sipp for their critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank 309 the members of the Fujiwara laboratory for valuable reagents and discussion. This work 310 was funded by RIKEN intramural funding, JSPS KAKENHI (25122720), Uehara Memorial 311 Foundation, Takeda Science Foundation and Cosmetology Research Foundation (all to 312 H.F.). C-C.C. was a recipient of the RIKEN-NSC Taiwan Fellowship. F.M.W. 313 acknowledges financial support from the Medical Research Council, BBSRC and Wellcome 314 Trust.

315

# **316 Competing Interests**

317 The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

#### 319 **References:**

320 Bai, L., Lehnert, B. P., Liu, J., Neubarth, N. L., Dickendesher, T. L., Nwe, P. H., ... Ginty, 321 D. D. (2015). Genetic Identification of an Expansive Mechanoreceptor Sensitive to 322 Skin Stroking. Cell, 163(7), 1783-1795. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.060 323 Botchkarev, V. A., Eichmuller, S., Johansson, O., & Paus, R. (1997). Hair cycle-dependent 324 plasticity of skin and hair follicle innervation in normal murine skin. J Comp Neurol, 325 386(3), 379-395. 326 Brownell, I., Guevara, E., Bai, C. B., Loomis, C. A., & Joyner, A. L. (2011). Nerve-derived 327 sonic hedgehog defines a niche for hair follicle stem cells capable of becoming 328 epidermal stem cells. Cell Stem Cell, 8(5), 552-565. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.02.021 329 Donati, G., & Watt, F. M. (2015). Stem cell heterogeneity and plasticity in epithelia. Cell 330 Stem Cell, 16(5), 465-476. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2015.04.014 331 Driskell, R. R., Giangreco, A., Jensen, K. B., Mulder, K. W., & Watt, F. M. (2009). Sox2-332 positive dermal papilla cells specify hair follicle type in mammalian epidermis. 333 Development, 136(16), 2815-2823. doi:10.1242/dev.038620 334 Emtage, L., Gu, G., Hartwieg, E., & Chalfie, M. (2004). Extracellular proteins organize the 335 mechanosensory channel complex in C. elegans touch receptor neurons. Neuron, 336 44(5), 795-807. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.010 337 Fujiwara, H., Ferreira, M., Donati, G., Marciano, D. K., Linton, J. M., Sato, Y., ... Watt, F. 338 M. (2011). The basement membrane of hair follicle stem cells is a muscle cell niche. 339 Cell, 144(4), 577-589. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.014 340 Goodell, M. A., Nguyen, H., & Shroyer, N. (2015). Somatic stem cell heterogeneity: 341 diversity in the blood, skin and intestinal stem cell compartments. Nat Rev Mol Cell 342 Biol, 16(5), 299-309. doi:10.1038/nrm3980

343	Jain, S., Golden, J. P., Wozniak, D., Pehek, E., Johnson, E. M., Jr., & Milbrandt, J. (2006).
344	RET is dispensable for maintenance of midbrain dopaminergic neurons in adult mice.
345	J Neurosci, 26(43), 11230-11238. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1876-06.2006
346	Jensen, U. B., Yan, X., Triel, C., Woo, S. H., Christensen, R., & Owens, D. M. (2008). A
347	distinct population of clonogenic and multipotent murine follicular keratinocytes
348	residing in the upper isthmus. J Cell Sci, 121(Pt 5), 609-617. doi:10.1242/jcs.025502
349	Koltzenburg, M., Stucky, C. L., & Lewin, G. R. (1997). Receptive properties of mouse
350	sensory neurons innervating hairy skin. J Neurophysiol, 78(4), 1841-1850.
351	Lay, K., Kume, T., & Fuchs, E. (2016). FOXC1 maintains the hair follicle stem cell niche
352	and governs stem cell quiescence to preserve long-term tissue-regenerating potential.
353	Proc Natl Acad Sci US A, 113(11), E1506-1515. doi:10.1073/pnas.1601569113
354	Li, L., & Ginty, D. D. (2014). The structure and organization of lanceolate mechanosensory
355	complexes at mouse hair follicles. <i>Elife, 3</i> , e01901. doi:10.7554/eLife.01901
356	Li, L., Rutlin, M., Abraira, V. E., Cassidy, C., Kus, L., Gong, S., Ginty, D. D. (2011).
357	The functional organization of cutaneous low-threshold mechanosensory neurons.
358	Cell, 147(7), 1615-1627. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.027
359	Lumpkin, E. A., Marshall, K. L., & Nelson, A. M. (2010). The cell biology of touch. J Cell
360	Biol, 191(2), 237-248. doi:10.1083/jcb.201006074
361	Mochizuki, T., Lemmink, H. H., Mariyama, M., Antignac, C., Gubler, M. C., Pirson, Y.,
362	et al. (1994). Identification of mutations in the alpha 3(IV) and alpha 4(IV) collagen
363	genes in autosomal recessive Alport syndrome. Nat Genet, 8(1), 77-81.
364	doi:10.1038/ng0994-77
365	Mouw, J. K., Ou, G., & Weaver, V. M. (2014). Extracellular matrix assembly: a multiscale
366	deconstruction. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 15(12), 771-785. doi:10.1038/nrm3902

- 367 Osada, A., Kiyozumi, D., Tsutsui, K., Ono, Y., Weber, C. N., Sugimoto, N., ... Sekiguchi,
- 368 K. (2005). Expression of MAEG, a novel basement membrane protein, in mouse
- hair follicle morphogenesis. *Exp Cell Res, 303*(1), 148-159.
- 370 doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.04.053
- 371 Rutlin, M., Ho, C. Y., Abraira, V. E., Cassidy, C., Bai, L., Woodbury, C. J., & Ginty, D. D.
- 372 (2014). The cellular and molecular basis of direction selectivity of Adelta-LTMRs.

373 *Cell*, *159*(7), 1640-1651. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.038

- 374 Schepeler, T., Page, M. E., & Jensen, K. B. (2014). Heterogeneity and plasticity of 375 epidermal stem cells. *Development*, *141*(13), 2559-2567. doi:10.1242/dev.104588
- Solanas, G., & Benitah, S. A. (2013). Regenerating the skin: a task for the heterogeneous
  stem cell pool and surrounding niche. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 14*(11), 737-748.
  doi:10.1038/nrm3675
- Warming, S., Costantino, N., Court, D. L., Jenkins, N. A., & Copeland, N. G. (2005). Simple
  and highly efficient BAC recombineering using galK selection. *Nucleic Acids Res*, *33*(4), e36. doi:10.1093/nar/gni035
- 382 Xiao, Y., Woo, W. M., Nagao, K., Li, W., Terunuma, A., Mukouyama, Y. S., ... Brownell,
- I. (2013). Perivascular hair follicle stem cells associate with a venule annulus. J *Invest Dermatol*, 133(10), 2324-2331. doi:10.1038/jid.2013.167
- Xin, T., Greco, V., & Myung, P. (2016). Hardwiring Stem Cell Communication through
  Tissue Structure. *Cell*, *164*(6), 1212-1225. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.041
- Zimmerman, A., Bai, L., & Ginty, D. D. (2014). The gentle touch receptors of mammalian
  skin. *Science*, *346*(6212), 950-954. doi:10.1126/science.1254229
- 389 Zimmermann, K., Hein, A., Hager, U., Kaczmarek, J. S., Turnquist, B. P., Clapham, D. E.,
- 390 & Reeh, P. W. (2009). Phenotyping sensory nerve endings in vitro in the mouse. *Nat*
- 391 *Protoc, 4*(2), 174-196. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.223

## 393 Figures





Fig. 1 Cheng et al.

394

**395** Figure 1. Upper-bulge EpSCs are molecularly specialized for HF–nerve interactions

396 (A) Graphical illustration of EpSC compartments. APM, arrector pili muscle. (B) Distinct

397 EpSC compartments in 8-week-old telogen skin were visualized with specific eGFP 398 reporters and cell surface markers. Brackets indicate target cell populations for sorting. (C) 399 Z-score heat map representing the normalized enrichment score (NES) of GSEA using the 400 transcriptome data of each EpSC population. (D) Scheme of the extraction of genes highly 401 expressed in the *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> population (Group I) and in both the *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> and CD34<sup>+</sup> populations 402 (Group II). (E) Lists of the genes highly expressed in *Gli1*<sup>+</sup> population (Group I) and in both 403  $Glil^+$  and CD34<sup>+</sup> populations (Group II). (F) Expression levels of neurotrophic factor and 404 ECM genes highly expressed in upper-bulge SCs. Basal, basal EpSC pool. Data are mean ± 405 SD, n = 3-4.



408 Figure 2. Upper-bulge EpSCs deposit EGFL6 into the collar matrix (A)
409 Immunostaining pattern of upper-bulge-specific ECM in 8-week-old telogen skin. Brackets:

410 upper-bulge. (B) EGFL6 was colocalized with  $\beta$ III-tubulin<sup>+</sup> nerve endings in the upper-411 bulge of dorsal guard ('G'), awl/auchene ('A') and zigzag ('Z') HFs. (C) Magnified images 412 of EGFL6 and BIII-tubulin in telogen skin. (**D**) The protrusions of the tSCs (white brackets) 413 were colocalized with EGFL6 in the upper-bulge of 8-week-old telogen HF (open 414 arrowheads). Asterisks: nonspecific signals. (E) CD31<sup>+</sup> blood vessels did not show direct 415 contact to EGFL6 in 7-week-old telogen HF. Arrowheads: gaps between EGFL6 (green) 416 and blood vessels (white). (F) The dorsal skin and dorsal root ganglia (DRG) of an 8-week-417 old Egfl6-H2b-Egfp mouse was stained for eGFP, EGFL6, nestin and BIII-tubulin. eGFP 418 (closed arrowheads) staining was observed in the upper-bulge (open arrowhead) epidermis 419 of old bulge (Old), but not in other cellular components around the upper-bulge. (G) 420 Statistical examination of *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP-positive cells around the upper bulge. Data are 421 mean  $\pm$  SD. (H) A transmission electron microscopic image of the upper-bulge of P35 422 zigzag HF. An electron-dense amorphous ECM structure, the collar matrix (black 423 arrowheads), ensheathed LC endings [A, axon (green); tSC (pink); EpSC (gold); white 424 arrowheads, epidermal basement membrane]. (I) The EGFL6-gold particles were localized 425 in the collar matrix (arrows). (J) Schematic representation of the localization of EGFL6 in the upper-bulge. Scale bars, 10 µm (A), 20 µm (C-F), 100 µm (B), 2 µm (H), 1 µm (I). 426 427




Fig. 3 Cheng et al.

Figure 3. EGFL6 is required for the patterning and touch responses of LCs (A and B)
3D reconstituted images and filament tracings of axonal endings (A) and tSCs (B) in the old
bulge of wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout 8-week-old skin. *Ret*-eGFP, a marker for C-LTMRs

432 and TrkB, a marker for A $\delta$ -LTMRs. Open arrowhead: overlapping points of axonal endings 433 and tSC processes. Grid width, 5 µm. (C) Overlapping points of axonal endings and tSC 434 processes were counted. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM. Two-tailed unpaired *t*-test. (**D**) Mechanical 435 sensitivity of Aδ-LTMRs was analyzed using von Frey hairs in wild-type and Egfl6 436 knockout skin-nerve preparations from 10-12 week-old telogen skin. (E) Touch response 437 patterns of Aδ-LTMRs in wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout skin–nerve preparations. A ramp-438 and-hold touch stimulus was applied using a piezo-controlled micromanipulator (see 439 Methods and Figure 3-figure supplement 2A). (F) Threshold displacement of  $A\delta$ -LTMRs. 440 (G) Number of action potentials during the course of the touch stimulus protocol (i.e., 441 magnitude of touch responses) was measured. Data in (D, F, G) are median with 442 interquartile range (IQR) and in (E) are mean  $\pm$  SEM. Statistics: Mann-Whitney U-test (D, 443 F. G).




Fig. 4 Cheng et al.

446 Figure 4. The old bulge provides stable epidermal-neuronal interfaces (A) Structural 447 changes of the upper-bulge during the HF regeneration cycle. Cross-sectional views of the 448 upper-bulge of zigzag HFs are shown. Closed arrowheads indicate a large gap of LCs. (B) 449 Perimeter length of the upper-bulge and (C) the upper-bulge of new and old bulges. (D) 450 Heat map of the relative signal intensity levels of EGFL6, nestin and BIII-tubulin along the

451 upper-bulge perimeter. Geometry of the upper-bulge perimeter is shown above the heat map. 452 (E) Morphological differences of EGFL6/tSC complexes in new and old bulges. (F) Sagittal 453 and transverse sectional views of the upper-bulge in P35 anagen HFs with the club hair 454 unplucked and plucked. Open and closed arrowheads indicate areas with and without LCs, 455 respectively. (G) Heat map of the relative signal intensity levels of EGFL6, nestin and BIII-456 tubulin along the upper-bulge perimeter in HFs with the club hair unplucked and plucked. 457 (H) Schematic summary of the contribution of EpSCs to sensory unit formation. (i) During 458 development, EpSCs for HF-nerve interactions are induced and compartmentalized in the 459 upper-bulge. (ii) Upper-bulge EpSCs provide specialized ECM and neurogenetic 460 environments for LCs. (iii) EpSCs maintain an old bulge at the caudal side of the HF, 461 providing stable HF-nerve interfaces. Scale bars, 10 µm.

#### 463 Materials and methods

464 Mice

Egfl6 knockout mice (032277-UCD) were obtained from the Mutant Mouse Resource & 465 466 Research Centers (MMRRC). Lack of *Egfl6* mRNA and EGFL6 protein were confirmed by 467 in situ hybridization and immunostaining. Egfl6-H2b-Egfp BAC transgenic mice were 468 generated as described below. Ret-eGFP knock-in mice have been described previously 469 (Jain et al., 2006) and kindly provided by H. Enomoto (Kobe University). Lgr6-GFP-ires-470 CreERT2 mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory. Gli1-eGFP mice (STOCK 471 Tg(Gli1-EGFP)DM197Gsat/Mmucd) and Cdh3-eGFP mice (STOCK Tg(Cdh3-EGFP)BK102Gsat/Mmnc) were obtained from MMRRC. Mouse lines used for 472 473 transcriptome analysis were backcrossed with C57BL/6N mice more than 4 times. 474 C57BL/6N and BALB/c mice were obtained from Japan SLC Inc. Npnt floxed mice were 475 obtained from Jackson Laboratory and crossed with keratin 5-Cre mice from the Jose 476 Jorcano laboratory. All animal experiments were conducted and performed in accordance 477 with approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols.

478

# 479 Generation of the *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* mouse line

480 *Egfl6-H2b-Egfp* BAC transgenic (Accession No. CDB0518T: mice 481 http://www2.clst.riken.jp/arg/TG%20mutant%20mice%20list.html) were generated by 482 introducing a BAC carrying a H2b-Egfp transgene just before the ATG of the mouse Egfl6 483 gene. The BAC clone RP23-124O13, containing the full genomic sequence of *Egfl6*, was 484 obtained from CHORI BACPAC Resources Center. The H2b-Egfp fusion gene was 485 introduced just before the first coding ATG of the Egfl6 gene in the BAC clone using a two-486 step selection BAC recombineering protocol (Warming, Costantino, Court, Jenkins, & 487 Copeland, 2005). The modified BAC construct was purified with a NucleoBond Xtra Midi

488 kit (Macherey-Nagel) and injected into the pronuclei of fertilized one-cell mouse eggs 489 derived from the breeding of BDF1 and C57BL/6N mice. Potential founder mice were 490 screened by genomic PCR of ear biopsy DNA with following primers: 5'-491 CCAAGGTCCTGACCAGCGAAG-3' and 5'- CCTTAGTCACCGCCTTCTTGGAG -3' 492 (product size: 163 base pairs). These primers were also used for routine genotyping of this 493 mouse line. The transgene-positive founder mice were bred with wild-type C57BL/6N mice 494 and some of the offspring were used for immunostaining of eGFP to confirm the expression and nuclear localization of the H2B-eGFP protein. We have established 16 nuclear-GFP-495 496 positive transgenic mouse lines and confirmed the GFP expression in the upper bulge in 13 497 mouse lines.

498

## 499 Cell lines

500 Cell lines used in this study were 293F cells (Thermo Fisher), human primary keratinocytes 501 (Watt lab), human embryonic kidney epithelial cell line HEK293 (RIKEN Bioresource 502 Center), human glioblastoma cell line T98G (JCRB Cell Bank), Human glioma cell line 503 KG-1-C (JCRB Cell Bank), human skin fibroblast cell line SF-TY (JCRB Cell Bank), 504 human embryonic fibroblast cell line NTI-4 (JCRB Cell Bank), human sarcoma cell line 505 HT-1080 (JCRB Cell Bank), human myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 (JCRB Cell 506 Bank) and human myelogenous leukemia cell line transfected with human integrin  $\alpha 8$ cDNA K562-a8 (Gift from L. Reichardt). 507

Human primary keratinocytes were cultured on irradiated J2 feeder cells with DMEM/Ham's F12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma), 0.1 nM cholera toxin (Sigma), 10  $\mu$ g/ml EGF (Peprotech), 2 mM GlutaMax (Invitrogen) and 5  $\mu$ g/ml Insulin (Sigma) at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Other cell lines were cultured according to the cell culture instructions from each source cell bank or company.

#### 513

### 514 Antibodies

- 515 Antibodies used in this study were listed in Table 1.
- 516

## 517 Generation of antibodies

518 Rabbit antiserum to mouse EGFL6 was generated by immunizing rabbits with a Myc-His-519 tagged Mucin-MAM domain of recombinant mouse EGFL6 (S257-G550). The antigen was 520 expressed in the 293F mammalian expression system (Invitrogen) and purified from the 521 supernatant of the transfected cells with a Ni-NTA column. The antibody in the antiserum 522 was affinity-purified with an antigen conjugated CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B. The 523 specificity of the antibody to mouse EGFL6 was confirmed by the absence of the antibody 524 immunoreactivity to tissue samples from *Egfl6* knockout mice. Rabbit antiserum to mouse 525 nephronectin was generated by the same method described above. Recombinant flag-tagged 526 full-length mouse nephronectin was expressed in 293F cells and purified with a FLAG-M2 527 immuno-affinity column (Sigma). The antibody in the antiserum was affinity-purified with 528 a CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B conjugated with purified His-tagged mouse full-length 529 nephronectin. The specificity of the antibody to mouse nephronectin was confirmed by the 530 absence of the antibody immunoreactivity to tissue samples from Npnt knockout mice.

531

## 532 **FACS**

Mouse adult dorsal telogen keratinocytes were isolated and stained for cell surface markers as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011) with some modifications. We utilized *Lgr6-GFP-ires-CreERT2*, *Gli1-eGFP* and *Cdh3-eGFP* mice to fluorescently visualize the epidermal stem cells in the lower isthmus (*Lgr6*<sup>+</sup>), upper bulge (*Gli1*<sup>+</sup>), and hair germ (*Cdh3*<sup>+</sup>) with eGFP. Dissected dorsal skin of the 8-week-old female mice was treated with

538 0.25% trypsin solution (Nakalai tesque) at 37°C for 1 hr. The epidermal tissue was scraped 539 off from the dermal tissue with a scalpel. This epidermis separation protocol leaves hair 540 germ cells in the dermal tissue. Effectiveness of this tissue separation was verified by the 541 following qRT-PCR, RNA-seq and immunohistochemical analyses with compartment-542 specific markers as described below. For the sorting of lower isthmus (Lgr6-eGFP<sup>+</sup>), upper-543 bulge (*Gli1*-eGFP<sup>+</sup>) and mid-bulge (CD34<sup>+</sup>) epidermal stem cells, the separated epidermis 544 was minced with scalpels and mixed with repeated pipetting to make a single cell suspension. 545 To deplete haematopoietic and endothelial cells (lineage-positive cells; Lin<sup>+</sup>), the cell 546 suspension was stained with PE-Cy7-conjugated antibodies for CD45, TER-119 and CD31. 547 To sort the target cells, the cell suspension was also stained with Sca-1-PerCP-Cy5.5, CD34-548 eFluor660, CD49f (integrin  $\alpha$ 6)-PE. Sca-1 was used to remove epidermal basal cells of the 549 interfollucular epidermis and follicle infundibulum (Jensen et al., 2008). Cells were sorted 550 with a FACSAria II according to the expression of cell surface markers, after gating out 551 dead and Lin<sup>+</sup> cells (Figure 1–figure supplement 1A).

Hair germ epidermal cells (*Cdh3*-eGFP<sup>+</sup>) were sorted from the remaining dermal tissue since the most hair germ epidermal cells were retained in the dermal tissue after the separation of the epidermis. The dermal tissue was minced with scalpels and incubated with 2 mg/ml of collagenase type I at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 2 hr with gentle mixing. Single cell suspension was obtained by repeated pipetting. The cell suspension was stained with the same antibodies used above and subjected to the sorting procedure.

The purity of sorted cells was confirmed by qRT-PCR with compartment-specific genes: *Lrig1* (junctional zone and lower-isthmus), *Lgr6* (lower-isthmus and upper bulge), *Gli1* (upper-bulge and hair germ), *Bdnf* (upper-bulge), *Cd34* (mid-bulge), *Cdh3* (hair germ) (Figure 1–figure supplement 1B). While the expression of *Gli1*-eGFP was detected in both upper-bulge and hair germ regions (Figure 1B), our cell isolation and sorting methods gave

563 highly pure upper-bulge cells and hair germ cells, respectively. For example, a marker for 564 upper-bulge cells, *Bdnf*, was highly enriched in *Gli1*+ upper bulge cells, but not in *Cdh3*+ 565 hair germ cells (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B). On the other hand, the expression of Cdh3, 566 a marker for hair germ cells, was high in the Cdh3+ hair germ population, but was very low 567 in the *Gli1*+ upper-bulge population (Figure 1–figure supplement 1B). We identified *Spon1* 568 as a gene exclusively expressed in the hair germ from our gene expression profiling of 569 isolated stem cell populations and confirmed that it was not detected in the Gli1+ upper-570 bulge population (Figure 1-figure supplement 1C). Subsequent protein tissue-localization 571 analysis of compartment-specific genes, which were identified in our gene expression 572 profiling, further confirmed the validity and purity of sorted cells. For example, gene 573 products of upper-bulge-enriched ECM genes, including Aspn, Crispld1, Egfl6, Col4a3 and 574 Col4a4, were deposited in the upper-bulge (Figure 2A), while SPON1 protein was localized 575 in the hair germ region, but not in the upper-bulge. Collectively, our data demonstrate that 576 the purity of each isolated stem cell population was very high.

577

## 578 **qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the sorted cells using an RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen). qRTPCR was performed to determine target cell populations using Power SYBR Green PCR
Master Mix (Life Technologies) with specific primer sets (Table 2) on 7900HT real-time
PCR system (Applied Biosystems).

583

# 584 **RNA library preparation and sequencing**

585 For each library, isolated total RNA samples were quantified with the Qubit RNA HS Assay 586 Kit on a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and their qualities were analyzed 587 with the RNA 6000 Pico Kit on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Qubit

588 measurements were used to ensure that each library was prepared with 10 ng of total RNA. 589 Library preparation was processed following the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit 590 (Illumina) protocol till adapter ligation, except that the duration of the initial RNA fragmentation was shortened to 7 minutes. The adapter-ligated cDNA was amplified with 591 592 14 PCR cycles. The prepared libraries were sequenced using the Rapid Run mode with 80 593 cycles on the HiSeq1500 (Illumina), operated by HiSeq Control Software v2.2.58 using 594 HiSeq SR Rapid Cluster Kit v2 (Illumina) and HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2 (Illumina). Base 595 calling was performed with RTA v1.18.64 and the fastq files were generated with bcl2fastq 596 v1.8.4 (Illumina).

597

# 598 Mapping and expression quantification

599 Qualities of the RNA-seq reads were evaluated with FastQC v0.11.3. The program 600 fastq quality filter, part of the FASTX Toolkit v0.0.14, was used to trim bases with a 601 quality value below 30. Additionally, if more than 20% of the bases of a read were removed 602 by this trimming procedure, then the whole read was discarded. Once this quality trim was 603 completed, Trim galore v0.3.3 was executed to remove adapter sequences from the 3'-ends 604 of reads, and to discard reads that were shorter than 50nt. The processed reads were mapped 605 genome to the mm10 assembly, obtained from iGenomes mouse 606 (http://support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing\_software/igenome.html), using the 607 splice-aware aligner TopHat v2.0.14 with default parameter settings. Reads mapping to 608 ribosomal DNA accounted for  $\sim 1\%$  of the total number of reads in each library, and were 609 removed. Details for each library concerning sequencing and read statistics, including the 610 total amount that uniquely mapped to the genome, are displayed in Table 3.

611 Gene expression quantification was performed using the Cuffdiff program in the 612 Cufflinks package v2.2.1, with the frag-bias-correction and multi-read-correction options

613 enabled. Cuffdiff used the mm10 gene model obtained from iGenomes to estimate the 614 number of fragments that originated from individual genes. For each gene, in addition to 615 this produced raw count data, Cuffdiff also calculated normalized expression values, which 616 are referred to as fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM).

617

# 618 GO and clustering analysis

619 FPKM values from RNA-seq data were analysed by Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment 620 analysis. Genes with low expression (FPKM < 5) were filtered out and considered not 621 expressed. Then the remaining FPKM values were log2-transformed for further analysis. 622 To characterize global gene expression profiles of each epidermal stem cell population, gene 623 set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed using GSEA software (2.2.2 from Broad 624 Institute) with GO biological processes as a gene set collection (c5.bp.v5.1.symbols.gmt). 625 In this analysis, pairwise differences between basal epidermal stem cells and each epidermal 626 stem cell population were examined. The normalized enrichment score (NES) and False 627 Discovery Rate (FDR) were calculated for each gene set and compared among cell 628 populations using a bioconductor R with heatmap.2 gplots package.

629

# 630 Immunohistochemistry and imaging

Whole-mount immunostaining and horizontal imaging of mouse dorsal skin were performed as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011). Vertical whole-mount imaging of dorsal and whisker skin was performed as described below. Mouse skin tissues were dissected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 1 hr at 4°C. Fixed tissues were washed and embedded in OCT compound and frozen on liquid nitrogen. Vertical skin sections (150  $\mu$ m thick) were made using a cryostat (Leica) and washed with PBS to remove remnant OCT compound. Skin sections were blocked with a blocking buffer (0.5% skim

638 milk/0.25% fish skin gelatin/0.5% Triton X-100/PBS) for 1 hr at 4°C and then incubated 639 with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Skin samples were 640 washed with 0.2% Tween 20/PBS for 4 hr and then incubated with DAPI and secondary 641 antibodies diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Finally, skin samples were washed 642 with 0.2% Tween20/PBS for 4 hr at 4°C and mounted with BABB clearing solution. Images 643 were acquired using a Leica TSC SP8. Z stack maximum projection images and three 644 dimensional reconstructed images of skin whole-mount preparation were produced using 645 Imaris 4D rendering software (Bitplane) and Volocity 3D imaging software (Perkin Elmer).

646

# 647 Transmission electron microscopy

648 Dissected skin tissues were immediately immersed in 2% fresh formaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), sliced into 0.5-1 mm-thin 649 650 sections with a scalpel and fixed for 2 hr at room temperature. After washing three times 651 with 0.1M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 5 min, tissues were post-fixed with ice-cold 1% 652 OsO<sub>4</sub> in the same buffer for 2 hr. Samples were rinsed with distilled water, stained with 653 0.5% aqueous uranyl acetate for 2 hr or overnight at room temperature, then dehydrated 654 with ethanol and propylene oxide, and embedded in Poly/Bed 812 (Polyscience). Ultra-thin 655 sections were cut, doubly-stained with uranyl acetate and Reynold's lead citrate, and viewed 656 with a JEM 1010 or JEM 1400 plus transmission electron microscope (JEOL) at an 657 accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

658

# 659 Immunoelectron microscopy

660 Skin samples were dissected, fixed and stained according to the whole-mount 661 immunostaining method. In-house rabbit EGFL6 antibody was used as the primary antibody 662 and Alexa Fluor 488 FluoroNanogold-anti rabbit IgG (Nanoprobes) (1:200 dilution) was

663 used for the secondary antibody. After confirming the localization of Alexa Fluor 488
664 labelled EGFL6 under a fluorescent microscope, the samples were fixed for 1 hr in 1%
665 glutaraldehyde in PBS. GoldEnhance EM (Nanoprobes) was used in accordance with the
666 manufacturer's protocol to enlarge the size of gold particles. Samples were then embedded
667 in resin (poly/bed 812; Polyscience). Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and
668 lead citrate before observation with an electron microscope (JEM 1400 plus; JEOL).

#### 669

# 670 Quantification of axon and tSC processes

671 Neural filaments and tSC protrusions were visualized, measured and analysed using 672 the Imaris software program FilamentTracer (Bitplane). To detect Aδ- and C-LTMRs and tSCs in Egfl6 knockout mice, we crossed Egfl6 knockout mice with Ret-eGFP knock-in 673 674 mice (Jain et al., 2006) that express eGFP in C-LTMRs (Li et al., 2011). Skin whole-mounts 675 were immunostained for TrkB, eGFP and nestin to visualize Aδ- and C-LTMRs and tSCs, 676 respectively. To examine the structure of lanceolate complexes, we analysed old bulge 677 regions, but not new bulge regions, since the new bulge regions tend to show a small amount 678 of EGFL6 and an irregular shape of lanceolate complexes. Axon endings and tSC processes 679 were automatically detected in three dimensionally reconstructed immunohistochemical 680 images using FilamentTracer. Traced axonal endings and tSC protrusions were visualized 681 as fixed-diameter cylinders in green (Ret-eGFP), red (TrkB) and white (nestin). Length, 682 number and width of axon endings and tSC processes were automatically measured by the 683 software. Overlapped filament points were detected three-dimensionally using the cylinder 684 display mode.

For the measurement of the upper-bulge perimeter, immunostained whole-mount zstack images were reconstituted to 3D images with Imaris 7.2.1 software. An Imaris Oblique
Slicer function was used to make a plane cutting through the region of tSC processes and

this plane was defined as a measurement layer. The perimeter of the hair follicle was tracedand the length of the trace was automatically measured by the software.

690

# 691 Quantification of the expressions of *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP and αv integrins

692 GFP expression in *Egfl6*-H2BeGFP reporter mice were used to examine the expression of 693 Egfl6 transcripts. Adult telogen dorsal skin of Egfl6-H2BeGFP mice were immunostained 694 for GFP, keratin 14 (marker for basal keratinocytes) and nestin (marker for tSCs), with 695 DAPI nuclear counter staining, as described above. Vertical 3D images of zigzag hair 696 follicles were obtained. The number of total and GFP-positive basal epidermal cells, 697 terminal Schwann cells and other dermal cells at the upper-bulge were counted with 698 reference to GFP, keratin-14, nestin and DAPI staining using Imaris software. Twenty-one 699 zigzag hair follicles from three mice were used. The total number of cells counted were 700 1,010 basal epidermal stem cells, 91 terminal Schwann cells and 319 other dermal cells.

701 To investigate the expression of *Egfl6* in sensory nerves of lanceolate complexes, 702 we examined the GFP expression in the adult thoracic dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) of Egfl6-703 H2BeGFP mice since the nuclei of skin sensory nerves are located in the DRGs. DRGs were 704 isolated and fixed with 4% PFA for 1 hr at 4°C. Whole DRG tissues were immunostained 705 for *βIII* tubulin (marker for DRG neurons) and GFP, with DAPI counter stain. Single 706 sectional plane images were obtained as described above. Twenty-five DRGs from three 707 mice were examined and 2,138 DRG neurons were quantified for their nuclear GFP 708 expression.

To quantify the expression level of  $\alpha v$  integrins, we stained telogen adult dorsal skin of wild-type and *Egfl6* knockout mice for EGFL6,  $\alpha v$  integrin and nestin, with DAPI counter stain. Signal intensity of  $\alpha v$  integrins at the outer surface of the lanceolate

complexes was measured using Fiji ImageJ 1.0. Thirteen hair follicles from three wild-type
mice and 16 hair follicles from three *Egfl6* knockout mice were used for quantification.

714

### 715 Ex vivo skin-nerve preparations

716 Receptive properties of cutaneous Aδ-LTMRs were studied using mouse hindlimb skin-717 saphenous nerve preparations ex vivo (Zimmermann et al., 2009). Ten- to twelve-week-old 718 male mice, which were in the resting hair growth phase, were euthanized by inhalation of 719 CO<sub>2</sub> gas and the preparations were quickly isolated from the left hindlimb. The excised skin 720 was oriented with the dermis side up in the test chamber and affixed with pins. The 721 preparation was maintained at  $32 \pm 0.3$  °C (pH 7.4) during the experiment under superfusion 722 with modified Krebs-Henseleit solution (Krebs solution), which contained 110.9 mM NaCl, 723 4.7 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 20 724 mM glucose. The perfusate was continuously bubbled and equilibrated with a gas mixture

725 of 95%  $O_2$  and 5%  $CO_2$ . The hindlimb skin of mouse is thinner than dorsal skin.

726

#### 727 Recordings of Aδ-LTMRs

728 Single A $\delta$ -LTMR was searched and identified when it fulfilled the following criteria: 1) 729 fibers with conduction velocity between 2-10 m/s, 2) fibers responding well to innocuous 730 touch stimulus applied by a blunt glass rod compared to noxious vertical indentation of the 731 skin (compression), 3) fibers without mechanical stimulus intensity-dependent increase in 732 the firing rate, 4) fibers exhibiting a brisk, rapidly adapting discharge at the onset of a supramaximal constant force stimulus (Koltzenburg, Stucky, & Lewin, 1997), 5) fibers not 733 734 responding to noxious heat and cold stimuli (Figure 3-figure supplement 2) (Zimmermann 735 et al., 2009), and 6) fibers showing a stronger excitation typically in the ramp phase of 736 mechanical stimulation when the stimulus probe was horizontally moving compared to the

hold phase, as shown in Figure 3–figure supplement 2. The fibers identified by these criteria in wild type dermis-up skin-nerve preparation exhibited von Frey Hair threshold (vFH) values of ≤0.51–3.23 mN (median: 1.3 mN, IQR: 0.8–2.0 mN) (Figure 3D), which fell into the range of previously reported vFH threshold values of Aδ-LTMRs (or D-hair) with the same dermis-up method (<1–5.7 mN), but not that of high-threshold Aδ-mechano nociceptors (5.7–128 mN) (Zimmermann et al., 2009).

743 When an A $\delta$ -LTMR was identified, the receptive field (RF) was stimulated with 744 electronic pulses via a bipolar stimulating electrode (frequency of 0.5 Hz, pulse duration of 745 100  $\mu$ s and stimulus intensity of <50 V) to measure the conduction velocity of a fiber. The 746 conduction velocity was calculated from the distance and conduction latency of a spike 747 induced by electrical stimulation of the RF. Spontaneous activity of Aδ-LTMRs was 748 analysed for 20 s just before a series of touch mechanical stimulation. Distribution (size and 749 location) of the RFs was mapped on a standardized chart. The size of the RF was measured 750 by calculating the number of pixels in the RF that was drawn on a chart with Image J 751 software. All of the data were stored in a computer via an A/D converter (Power Lab/16s, ADInstruments) with a sampling frequency of 20 kHz. Action potentials were analysed on 752 753 a computer with the DAPSYS data acquisition system (http://www.dapsys.net). 754 Quantitative mechanical, cold and heat stimuli were then applied to the identified RF in the 755 following order: 1) ramp-and-hold touch mechanical stimulation, 2) cooled from 32°C to 756 8°C and 3) heated from 32°C to 50°C.

757

## 758 Mechanical stimulation

At first, the mechanical sensitivity of Aδ-LTMRs was semi-quantitatively analysed using a
series of self-made von Frey hairs (VFHs: 0.5-17.6 mN, 0.5 mm in diameter). The strength
of the weakest filament that caused a mechanical response was taken as the threshold.

762 For quantitative analysis of the mechanical sensitivity of a fiber, a light touch 763 stimulus was applied using a piezo-controlled micromanipulator (Nanomoter MM3A, 764 Kleindiek Nanotechnik, Reutlingen, Germany). The stimulator had a glass probe with a spherical tip (diameter: 0.5 mm). After placing the probe tip to the most sensitive point on 765 766 the identified RF, a series of ramp-and-hold touch mechanical stimuli were applied by 767 driving the stimulator with a pre-programed computerized protocol. The tip was moved 768 alternately in rostral and caudal directions with displacement in a progressively increasing 769 manner (4–1280 µm) at a speed of 300 µm/s (Figure 3–figure supplement 2A and C). The holding time was set for 2 s between the rostral and caudal movement of the probe tip. 770

771 Since all the A $\delta$ -LTMRs were spontaneously silent at the beginning of the experiment without any intentional stimuli, the mechanical response threshold was defined 772 773 as the displacement that induced the first discharge during the stimulation protocol. If a fiber 774 showed no action potentials during the course of the protocol, even though it exhibited firing 775 as a result of manual touch with a blunt glass rod, then the mechanical threshold was defined 776 to be 1,280 µm. The magnitude of the touch response was represented by the number of 777 spikes evoked during the rostral or caudal movement of the probe (i.e. ramp phases), but 778 not during holding phases of 2 s without probe movement.

779

## 780 Cold and Heat Stimulation

We applied ramp-shaped thermal stimuli to the RF using a feedback-controlled Peltier device (intercross-2000N, Intercross, Co. Ltd., Japan) with a small probe (diameter: 1 mm). From a baseline temperature of 32°C, the RF was gradually cooled down to 8°C over 40 s or heated up to 50°C over 30 s at a constant rate of 0.6°C/s. Original temperature traces are shown in Figure 3–figure supplement 2B.

786

## 787 Preparation of Extracellular Matrix Proteins

788 FLAG-tagged mouse full-length EGFL6 was purified as described below. 293F cells were 789 transfected with the *Egfl6-flag* expression vector and cultured for 4 days according to the 790 manufacturer's instruction (Invitrogen). The supernatant was collected and incubated with 791 anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma) overnight at 4°C. The FLAG affinity gel was collected 792 in an empty Econo-Column (Bio-Rad) and washed with PBS. Bound protein was eluted 793 with 100 µg/ml FLAG peptide in PBS. The eluted protein solution was dialyzed with PBS. 794 Protein concentration was measured with a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit using bovine 795 serum albumin (BSA) as a control. The expression vector of RGE-mutant Egfl6 was 796 generated using the Toyobo KOD-Plus-Mutagenesis kit (Toyobo). FLAG-tagged mouse 797 full-length nephronectin was purified as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 2011). 798 Human plasma fibronectin (Wako) and laminin-511-E8 (Nippi) were purchased from the 799 companies indicated.

800

# 801 Solid-Phase Cell Adhesion Assays

802 Solid-phase cell adhesion assays were performed as described previously (Fujiwara et al., 803 2011) with minor modifications. Briefly, 96-well cell culture plates were coated with 804 purified ECM proteins and blocked with 1% heat-denatured BSA. Human primary and 805 cultured cell lines were suspended in serum-free DMEM, plated on the coated plates, and incubated for 30 min in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37°C. Attached cells were fixed and stained with 806 807 0.5% crystal violet in 20% methanol for 15 min. The cell-bound crystal violet was extracted 808 with 1% SDS solution and the absorbance was measured at 595 nm. To inhibit cell adhesion 809 activity of different integrins in cell adhesion assays, integrin function blocking antibodies 810 were added to cell suspension before seeding to the coated wells. The cell suspension with 811 the antibodies was incubated for 20 min and then plated on the coated dishes. The function-

blocking integrin antibodies used in this study were listed below: integrin  $\alpha 2$  (P1E6),  $\alpha 3$ (P1B5),  $\alpha 5$  (P1D6),  $\alpha 6$  (GoH3),  $\alpha v$  (L230), and  $\beta 1$  (P5D2). P1E6, P1B5, P1D6, and P5D2 were purchased from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank of the University of Iowa. GoH3 and L230 were purchased from BioLegend and ATCC, respectively. Cell lines used in the assays were human primary keratinocytes, HEK293, T98G, KG-1-C, SF-TY, NTI-4, HT-1080, K562 and K562-a8.

818

#### 819 Hair plucking assays

820 Dorsal hairs of 20-day-old anesthetised BALB/c mice were dyed using a neon orange hair 821 colour cream for 30 min. Mice were maintained till the tip of new growing undyed hairs 822 could be observed at the skin surface under a dissection microscope (P32-35). The dyed 823 long club hairs, but not short emerging undyed new hairs, were plucked using tweezers 824 under anaesthesia in an area of 10 x 15 mm. Three days after club hair plucking (P36-38), 825 dorsal skin tissue samples containing both plucked and unplucked hair follicles were 826 collected and subjected to whole-mount immunostaining. Plucked and unplucked skin areas 827 could be easily distinguished by hair colour: the unplucked area showed dyed orange hair 828 colour and the plucked area showed undyed white hair colour. In skin tissue samples, hair 829 shafts could be identified by their autofluorescence. This allowed us to distinguish plucked 830 and unplucked hair follicles within tissue samples under a confocal microscope. Zigzag hair 831 follicles were selected for the analysis. Hair follicle types were distinguished by the 832 following criteria under light-field illumination of 150 µm-thick tissue sections. Zigzag hair 833 follicles are the most abundant follicle type in adult mouse dorsal skin (~81%) (Driskell, 834 Giangreco, Jensen, Mulder, & Watt, 2009). Growing new zigzag hairs in plucked and 835 unplucked hair follicles have one row of medulla cells and the smallest diameter (9.58  $\pm$  $0.90 \mu m$ , n = 32 hair follicles) at the bulge region in comparison to other hair types. Awl 836

837	and auchene hair follicles together make up ~17% of the dorsal skin hair follicles. Growing
838	awl/auchene hairs in plucked and unplucked hair follicles have more than three rows of
839	medulla cells and have a large hair shaft diameter (15.7 $\pm$ 1.95 $\mu m,$ n = 27 hair follicles) at
840	the bulge region. Guard hair follicles are rare (~1% of the adult dorsal skin hair follicles)
841	and can be clearly identified by their prominent large follicle diameter.
842	
843	Statistical analysis
844	Statistical parameters including the numbers of samples and replicates, types of statistical
845	analysis and statistical significance are indicated in the Results, Figures and Figure Legends.
846	p values: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
847	
848	Data availability
849	Fastq files of RNA-seq data have been submitted to NCBI SRA, and these data can be
850	accessed through the BioProject ID: PRJNA342736.
851	

## 853 Supplemental Figures and Tables






Figure 1–S1 Cheng et al.

855 Figure 1-figure supplement 1. FACS-Based Cell Isolation Procedures for Distinct 856 **Epidermal Stem Cell Populations** (A) FACS sorting procedures of all basal epidermal cell 857 populations using wild-type C57BL/6N mice, Lgr6<sup>+</sup> lower isthmus epidermal stem cells 858 using Lgr6-GFP-ires-CreERT2 mice, Gli1<sup>+</sup> upper-bulge epidermal stem cells using Gli1-859 eGFP mice, CD34<sup>+</sup> mid-bulge epidermal stem cells using wild-type C57BL/6N mice, Cdh3+ hair germ epidermal cells using Cdh3-eGFP mice. Gates are indicated by red-line 860 861 boxes and cells in the gates were further analysed in the next plots or sorted. The numbers 862 in the plots represent the percentage of cells in the gates. Lin<sup>-</sup> indicates lineage-negative 863 cells, which are negative for the markers of haematopoietic and endothelial cells (lineage-

864 positive cells). (B) Z-score heat map representing qRT-PCR analysis of sorted cells with 865 compartment-specific gene primers. See Methods for more detail. Data are mean of 3-4 independently isolated biological replicates. (C) Expression levels of Spon1 gene in 866 867 different stem cell pools. Immunostaining pattern of SPON1 protein in 8-week-old telogen 868 dorsal hair follicle was shown. White arrow indicates the restricted localization of SPON1 869 in dermal papilla and the basement membrane between dermal papilla and hair germ. This 870 restricted expression and deposition of SPON1 corroborates little contamination of hair 871 germ cells into the *Gli1*+ upper-bulge population. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of 872 transcript per million mapped reads. Basal, basal epidermal stem cell pool. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3-4. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m. 873

875

876





Figure 2–S2 Cheng et al.



882 primary keratinocytes, human embryonic kidney epithelial cell line HEK293, human 883 glioblastoma cell line T98G, Human glioma cell line KG-1-C, human skin fibroblast cell 884 line SF-TY, human embryonic fibroblast cell line NTI-4, human sarcoma cell line HT-1080, 885 human myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 and K562 transfected with human integrin  $\alpha 8$ 886 cDNA K562-a8. (C) Cell adhesion assays with T98G and KG-1-C cells plated on EGFL6 887 or RGE-mutant EGFL6-coated dishes. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 3. (D) Cell adhesion 888 inhibition assays with integrin antibodies. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 3. (E) 8-week-old 889 telogen dorsal HFs of wild-type and Egfl6 knockout mice were stained for EGFL6, integrin 890  $\alpha v$  and nestin. Scale bar, 10  $\mu m$ . Blue dashed lines indicate the surface of follicle epidermis 891 and white dashed lines indicate cross-section positions. (F) Quantification of  $\alpha v$  integrin 892 signal intensity in lanceolate complexes. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD. Statistics (C, D, F): two-893 tailed unpaired *t*-test.





Figure 3–S1 Cheng et al.



900	for wild-type and $n = 33$ hair follicles for knockout from 3 mice each. (B) Length of axonal
901	endings in the old bulge of zigzag hair follicles was measured. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM, n =
902	444 axonal endings for wild-type and $n = 455$ axonal endings for knockout from 3 mice
903	each. (C) Length and width of tSC processes were measured. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM, n = 70
904	tSCs for wild-type and $n = 46$ tSCs for knockout from 3 mice each. (D) Width of tSC
905	processes were measured. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM, n = 69 tSCs for wild-type and n = 45 tSCs
906	for knockout from 3 mice each. (E) Schematic representation of mouse hair follicle
907	morphogenesis and the following regeneration cycles. During the first anagen phase (P25-
908	P35), old and new hair bulge structures form. (F) H&E-stained dorsal skin of wild-type and
909	<i>Egfl6</i> knockout mice. Scale bars, 100 μm.
910	





Figure3-S2 Cheng et al.



917 displacement in a progressively increasing manner (4–1280  $\mu$ m) at a speed of 300  $\mu$ m/s. 918 The holding time was set for 2 s between the rostral and caudal movement of the tip. (B) 919 Representative responses in the wild-type (upper panel) and *Egfl6* knockout skin (lower panel). Note the first spike of the wild-type in response to touch stimulus with caudal 920 921 displacement of 32 µm (i.e. 32C). No firings were observed in response to cold (from 32°C 922 to 8°C in 40 s) or heat (from 32°C to 50°C in 30 s) stimulations. In the knockout, smaller 923 responses to touch stimuli can be observed with the higher threshold displacement of 512 924 um. Spike shapes are shown in insets squared at the left side. All of the spikes generated 925 during a series of stimuli are superimposed (264 spikes in wild-type, and 155 spikes in 926 knockout). The distribution of receptive fields is indicated by an arrow. (C) Individual 927 response patterns in the wild-type (n = 23, upper panel) and the knockout (n = 24, lower 928 panel).

929




Figure 4-figure supplement 1. The old bulge formation provides stable epidermalneuronal interfaces (A) A cross-sectional view of 7-week-old telogen upper bulge stained
for *Ret*-eGFP, TrkB and DAPI. Closed arrowheads indicate a gap of lanceolate endings. (B)
The width of tSCs in new and old bulges in the first anagen (P35) and second telogen (P49)

936 follicles were quantified. n = 60-92 tSCs from 3 mice. Individual measurement data are 937 shown as circles. Mean  $\pm$  SEM are shown as bars, n = 3 mice. Statistical comparisons were 938 performed using two-tailed unpaired *t*-test. (C) Procedure of club hair plucking experiments. 939 (D) Transverse sectional views of upper-bulge with club-hair unplucked and plucked P32 940 early anagen hair follicles stained for BIII-tubulin, nestin, EGFL6 and DAPI. Just after 941 plucking, little structural damage to the old bulge epidermis and LCs were observed. (E) 3D 942 reconstituted immunostaining images of upper-bulge region of 7-week-old telogen 943 Awl/auchene hair follicles of wild-type and epidermis-specific conditional nephronectin 944 (Npnt) knockout mice (Keratin5-Cre/Npnt fl/fl). Upon epidermal deletion of Npnt gene, 945 arrector pili muscles (APM) moved above the bulge (Fujiwara et al., 2011), where LCs were 946 located. Arrowheads indicate the nuclei of tSCs. In the mutant, some hair follicles showed 947 disrupted patterning of longitudinal sensory nerve endings and tSCs processes. This mutant 948 image shows a significantly fewer number of longitudinal lanceolate endings and tSC 949 processes (see also 3D cropped LC images). (F) The perimeter and number of LCs in 950 randomly selected non-guard hair follicles of wild-type and Npnt conditional knockout mice 951 were measured. No statistically significant difference was observed. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD, n 952 = 23 hair follicles from wild-type and mutant mice, respectively. (G) The number of tSCs 953 were plotted against the perimeter of LCs. In the wild-type, the number of tSCs correlated 954 well with the perimeter of LCs, *i.e.* perimeter of hair follicles, while in the *Npnt* knockout 955 mice, distribution of the values of "number of tSC/perimeter of LCs" was scattered and their 956 correlation was lost (see R<sup>2</sup> and p-value), indicating the anatomical changes of LCs in Npnt 957 mutants. n = 23 hair follicles from wild-type and mutant mice, respectively. Scale bars, 10 958 μm.

959

# 961 Figure 1– table supplement 1. Normalized Enrichment Score (NES) of Gene Set

# 962 Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)

Cdh3	<b>CD34</b>	Lgr6	Gli1	GO terms		
1.674	0.916	-0.868	1.210	NEGATIVE REGULATION OF TRANS		
1.074	0.710	0.000	1,210	CRIPTION DNA DEPENDENT		
1.662	0.899	-0.859	1.204	REGULATION OF TRANSCRIPTION F		
1.002	0.077	0.007	1.201	ROM RNA POLYMERASE II PROMO		
				TER		
1.655	0.930	-0.808	1.201	POSITIVE REGULATION OF NUCLEO		
				BASENUCLEOSIDENUCLEOTIDE AN		
				D NUCLEIC ACID METABOLIC PRO		
				CĒSS		
1.639	0.907	-0.863	1.186	NEGATIVE REGULATION OF RNA		
				METABOLIC_PROCESS		
1.571	0.981	-0.898	1.228	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_NUCLE		
				OBASENUCLEOSIDENUCLEOTIDE_A		
				ND_NUCLEIC_ACID_METABOLIC_PR		
				OCESS		
1.591	0.989	-0.862	1.167	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANSC		
				RIPTION		
1.561	0.928	-0.817	1.148	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_RNA_M		
				ETABOLIC_PROCESS		
1.552	0.928	-0.823	1.145	POSITIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANSC		
				RIPTIONDNA_DEPENDENT		
1.492	0.990	-0.850	1.252	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_META		
				BOLIC_PROCESS		
1.474	1.000	-0.854	1.249	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_CELLU		
1.505	0.001	0.0.00	1.0.00	LAR_METABOLIC_PROCESS		
1.507	0.921	0.860	1.260	NEGATIVE_REGULATION_OF_TRANS		
				CRIPTION_FROM_RNA_POLYMERASE		
1 500	1.006	0.907	1.099	II PROMOTER		
1.508	1.006	0.897	1.099	TRANSMEMBRANE_RECEPTOR_PROT EIN TYROSINE KINASE SIGNALING		
				PATHWAY		
1.688	-0.999	-0.902	1.191	REGULATION OF RNA METABOLIC		
1.000	-0.999	-0.902	1.171	PROCESS		
1.652	-0.988	-0.896	1.187	REGULATION OF TRANSCRIPTIOND		
1.032	-0.700	-0.070	1.10/	NA DEPENDENT		
1.666	-0.918	-0.864	1.253	REGULATION OF TRANSCRIPTION		
1.616	-0.969	-0.914	1.192	REGULATION OF NUCLEOBASENUC		
1.010	0.202	V.711	1.1/4	LEOSIDENUCLEOTIDE AND NUCLEI		
				C ACID METABOLIC PROCESS		
1.510	-0.938	-0.885	1.134	POSITIVE REGULATION OF METAB		
				OLIC PROCESS		
1.496	-0.939	-0.911	1.122	POSITIVE REGULATION OF CELLUL		
				AR_METABOLIC_PROCESS		
1.503	-1.349	-1.005	1.305	MULTI_ORGANISM_PROCESS		

1.568         -0.953         0.965         1.224         TRANSCRIPTION_FROM_RIMERASE_II_PROMOTER           1.537         -1.115         0.972         1.195         PROTEIN_KINASE_CASCAI           1.604         -0.901         0.988         1.234         PROTEIN_AMINO_ACID_PH           1.551         -1.108         1.041         1.316         REGULATION_OF_MAP_KIN           IVITY         IVITY         IVITY         IVITY	NA_POLY	
1.537         -1.115         0.972         1.195         PROTEIN_KINASE_CASCAI           1.604         -0.901         0.988         1.234         PROTEIN_AMINO_ACID_PH           1.551         -1.108         1.041         1.316         REGULATION_OF_MAP_KII		
1.604         -0.901         0.988         1.234         PROTEIN_AMINO_ACID_PH           1.551         -1.108         1.041         1.316         REGULATION_OF_MAP_KID		
LATION           1.551         -1.108         1.041         1.316         REGULATION_OF_MAP_KIN		
1.551 -1.108 1.041 1.316 REGULATION_OF_MAP_KI	IOSPHORY	
	NASE_ACT	
1.665 -0.999 1.095 1.032 FEEDING_BEHAVIOR		
1.629 -0.837 1.165 1.424 MAPKKK_CASCADE		
1.473 -1.314 1.197 1.330 RESPONSE_TO_BIOTIC_STI	MULUS	
1.818 1.208 -0.932 1.375 MUSCLE_DEVELOPMENT		
1.715 1.316 -1.093 1.341 ENZYME_LINKED_RECEPT	OR_PROTE	
IN_SIGNALING_PATHWAY		
1.544 1.286 -1.377 1.332 TRANSMEMBRANE_RECEP		
EIN_SERINE_THREONINE_I	KINASE_SI	
GNALING_PATHWAY		
1.700 1.052 0.824 1.335 NEGATIVE_REGULATION_0	OF_TRANS	
CRIPTION		
1.624 1.093 0.835 1.186 POSITIVE_REGULATION_O	F_TRANSC	
RIPTION_FROM_RNA_POLY	YMERASE_	
II_PROMOTER		
1.623 1.231 -1.321 1.039 FEMALE_PREGNANCY		
1.390 -1.241 -0.947 0.995 REGULATION_OF_KINASE	ACTIVITY	
1.385 -1.198 -1.146 0.998 G_PROTEIN_COUPLED_REG	CEPTOR_P	
ROTEIN_SIGNALING_PATH	ROTEIN_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	
1.379 -1.250 -0.898 0.994 REGULATION_OF_TRANSF	ERASE_AC	
TIVITY		
1.338 -1.188 -0.889 1.047 REGULATION_OF_MOLECU	JLAR_FUN	
CTION		
1.449 -1.128 -0.957 1.055 REGULATION_OF_SIGNAL	TRANSDU	
CTION		
1.446 -1.213 -0.911 1.033 REGULATION_OF_PROTEIN	REGULATION_OF_PROTEIN_KINASE_	
ACTIVITY		
1.301 -1.199 -0.998 1.278 CELL_PROLIFERATION		
1.466 -1.023 1.053 1.219 PHOSPHORYLATION		
1.417 -1.100 0.979 1.203 POST_TRANSLATIONAL_PI	ROTEIN_M	
ODIFICATION		
1.425 -0.968 1.021 1.091 SECOND_MESSENGER_ME	DIATED_SI	
GNALING		
1.275 -1.170 1.081 1.087 REGULATION_OF_DEVELO	PMENTAL	
PROCESS		
1.523 -1.081 -1.118 0.774 NUCLEOCYTOPLASMIC_TF	RANSPORT	
1.516 -1.095 -1.097 0.759 NUCLEAR_TRANSPORT		
1.555 -1.082 -1.215 0.785 NUCLEAR_IMPORT		
1.629 -1.056 -1.234 0.804 PROTEIN_IMPORT_INTO_N	UCLEUS	
1.618 -1.180 0.850 0.915 B_CELL_ACTIVATION		
1.514 0.913 -0.943 0.933 STRIATED_MUSCLE_DEVE	LOPMENT	
1.213 -1.495 1.241 1.433 RESPONSE TO WOUNDING		

1.303         -1.510         1.144         1.365         RESPONSE_TO_EXTERNAL_STIMULUS           1.304         -1.822         1.296         1.300         DEFENSE_RESPONSE           1.384         -1.278         1.255         1.621         DETECTION_OF_EXTERNAL_STIMUL           1.211         -1.096         1.146         1.599         GROWTH           1.139         -1.151         0.978         1.487         CATION HOMEOSTASIS           1.205         1.116         1.222         1.631         NEURON DEVELOPMENT           1.152         1.097         1.242         1.577         NEUROON DEVELOPMENT           1.605         1.097         1.144         1.537         GENERATION OF NEURONS           1.233         1.0168         0.493         1.375         NERVOUS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT           1.605         1.198         1.168         1.634         GLUTAMATE SIGNALING PATHWAY           1.461         1.090         1.112         1.654         BRAIN DEVELOPMENT           1.462         1.202         1.180         1.412         CELL CELL SIGNALING           1.461         1.090         1.300         G PROTEIN SIGNALING COUPLED_T           1.624         1.024         1.160         1.300 <t< th=""><th>1 202</th><th>1 510</th><th>1 1 4 4</th><th>1.0.05</th><th></th></t<>	1 202	1 510	1 1 4 4	1.0.05		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.303	-1.510	1.144	1.365		
	1 204	1.000	1 207	1 200		
US         US           1.211         -1.096         1.146         1.599         GROWTH           1.139         -1.151         0.978         1.487         CATION HOMEOSTASIS           1.205         1.116         1.222         1.631         NEURON DIFFERENTIATION           1.152         1.097         1.242         1.577         NEURON DEVELOPMENT           1.153         1.075         1.114         1.537         GENERATION OF NEURONS           1.023         1.012         1.089         1.520         NEUROGENESIS           1.233         1.168         0.943         1.375         NERVOUS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT           1.605         1.198         1.168         1.634         GLUTAMATE SIGNALING PATHWAY           1.461         1.090         1.112         1.654         BRAIN DEVELOPMENT           1.463         1.273         0.969         1.585         ORGAN MORPHOGENESIS           1.603         1.140         1.287         1.360         CYCLIC_NUCLEOTIDE_MEDIATED_SI           1.641         1.024         1.60         1.300         G PROTEIN SIGNALING         COUPLED_T           0_CYCLIC_NUCLEOTIDE_SECOND_M         ESSENGER         1.162         -0.900         1.621         1.517	-					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1.384	-1.2/8	1.255	1.621		
	1.011	1.000	1 1 4 6	1 500		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					— —	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
I.487         I.102         I.180         I.412         CELL CELL SIGNALING           1.624         1.024         1.160         1.300         G PROTEIN SIGNALING COUPLED T O_CYCLIC_NUCLEOTIDE_SECOND_M ESSENGER           1.162         -0.900         1.621         1.517         SODIUM ION_TRANSPORT           1.081         -1.806         1.593         1.514         DEFENSE_RESPONSE_TO_BACTERIU M           1.261         -1.316         1.669         1.552         METAL_ION_TRANSPORT           1.261         -1.175         1.430         1.604         TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT           1.083         1.052         1.476         1.761         DEVELOPMENTAL MATURATION           1.055         1.061         1.603         1.492         MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT           1.022         -1.147         1.529         1.554         EPIDERMIS DEVELOPMENT           0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS DEVELOPMENT           -0.603         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.1526         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION TRANSPORT	1.450	1.273	0.969	1.585		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1.603	1.140	1.287	1.360		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
Image: Construct of the system of t	1.487	1.102	1.180	1.412		
Image: Instant Structure         Estence F         Image: Instant Structure           1.162         -0.900         1.621         1.517         SODIUM_ION_TRANSPORT           1.081         -1.806         1.593         1.514         DEFENSE_RESPONSE_TO_BACTERIU M           1.261         -1.316         1.669         1.552         METAL_ION_TRANSPORT           1.251         -1.175         1.430         1.604         TISSUE DEVELOPMENT           1.083         1.052         1.476         1.761         DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION           1.055         1.061         1.603         1.492         MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT           1.022         -1.147         1.529         1.558         ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT           0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS DEVELOPMENT           0.9693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208	1.624	1.024	1.160	1.300	G_PROTEIN_SIGNALING_COUPLED_T	
1.162         -0.900         1.621         1.517         SODIUM_ION_TRANSPORT           1.081         -1.806         1.593         1.514         DEFENSE_RESPONSE_TO_BACTERIU M           1.261         -1.316         1.669         1.552         METAL_ION_TRANSPORT           1.251         -1.175         1.430         1.604         TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT           1.083         1.052         1.476         1.761         DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION           1.055         1.061         1.603         1.492         MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT           1.022         -1.147         1.529         1.558         ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT           0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS DEVELOPMENT           -0.800         -1.495         1.683         1.511         KERATINOCYTE DIFFERENTIATION           -0.693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -1.170         -1.286         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.015					O_CYCLIC_NUCLEOTIDE_SECOND_M	
1.081       -1.806       1.593       1.514       DEFENSE_RESPONSE_TO_BACTERIU         1.261       -1.316       1.669       1.552       METAL_ION_TRANSPORT         1.251       -1.175       1.430       1.604       TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT         1.083       1.052       1.476       1.761       DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION         1.055       1.061       1.603       1.492       MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT         1.022       -1.147       1.529       1.558       ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT         0.957       -1.205       1.505       1.554       EPIDERMIS DEVELOPMENT         -0.800       -1.495       1.683       1.511       KERATINOCYTE DIFFERENTIATION         -0.693       -0.899       1.608       1.414       REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE         -1.175       -0.977       1.651       1.799       SYNAPTOGENESIS         -1.526       -1.289       1.591       1.748       SYNAPS_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS         -1.015       -1.208       1.454       1.344       CATION_TRANSPORT         -1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELUULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS						
M         M           1.261         -1.316         1.669         1.552         METAL_ION_TRANSPORT           1.251         -1.175         1.430         1.604         TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT           1.083         1.052         1.476         1.761         DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION           1.055         1.061         1.603         1.492         MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT           1.022         -1.147         1.529         1.558         ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT           0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT           -0.800         -1.495         1.683         1.511         KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION           -0.693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROC	1.162	-0.900	1.621		SODIUM_ION_TRANSPORT	
1.261       -1.316       1.669       1.552       METAL_ION_TRANSPORT         1.251       -1.175       1.430       1.604       TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT         1.083       1.052       1.476       1.761       DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION         1.055       1.061       1.603       1.492       MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT         1.022       -1.147       1.529       1.558       ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT         0.957       -1.205       1.505       1.554       EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT         -0.800       -1.495       1.683       1.511       KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION         -0.693       -0.899       1.608       1.414       REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE         -1.175       -0.977       1.651       1.799       SYNAPTOGENESIS         -1.526       -1.289       1.591       1.748       SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS         -0.849       0.874       1.491       1.286       ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.015       -1.208       1.454       1.344       CATION_TRANSPORT         -1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS      <	1.081	-1.806	1.593	1.514	DEFENSE_RESPONSE_TO_BACTERIU	
1.251       -1.175       1.430       1.604       TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT         1.083       1.052       1.476       1.761       DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION         1.055       1.061       1.603       1.492       MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT         1.022       -1.147       1.529       1.558       ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT         0.957       -1.205       1.505       1.554       EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT         -0.800       -1.495       1.683       1.511       KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION         -0.693       -0.899       1.608       1.414       REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE         -1.175       -0.977       1.651       1.799       SYNAPTOGENESIS         -1.526       -1.289       1.591       1.748       SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS         -0.849       0.874       1.491       1.286       ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.015       -1.208       1.454       1.344       CATION_TRANSPORT         -1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE						
1.083         1.052         1.476         1.761         DEVELOPMENTAL_MATURATION           1.055         1.061         1.603         1.492         MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION TRANSPORT           1.022         -1.147         1.529         1.558         ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT           0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT           -0.800         -1.495         1.683         1.511         KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION           -0.693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE <t< td=""><td>1.261</td><td>-1.316</td><td>1.669</td><td>1.552</td><td>METAL_ION_TRANSPORT</td></t<>	1.261	-1.316	1.669	1.552	METAL_ION_TRANSPORT	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.251	-1.175	1.430	1.604	TISSUE_DEVELOPMENT	
Image:		1.052	1.476	1.761	_	
1.022         -1.147         1.529         1.558         ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT           0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS DEVELOPMENT           -0.800         -1.495         1.683         1.511         KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION           -0.693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROC           ESS         -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663	1.055	1.061	1.603	1.492	MONOVALENT_INORGANIC_CATION	
0.957         -1.205         1.505         1.554         EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT           -0.800         -1.495         1.683         1.511         KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION           -0.693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROC ESS           -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL L_ADHESION						
-0.800         -1.495         1.683         1.511         KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION           -0.693         -0.899         1.608         1.414         REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE           -1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROC ESS           -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL L_ADHESION	1.022	-1.147	1.529	1.558	ECTODERM_DEVELOPMENT	
-0.693       -0.899       1.608       1.414       REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON SE         -1.175       -0.977       1.651       1.799       SYNAPTOGENESIS         -1.526       -1.289       1.591       1.748       SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS         -0.849       0.874       1.491       1.286       ALCOHOL METABOLIC PROCESS         -1.015       -1.208       1.454       1.344       CATION_TRANSPORT         -1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -0.947       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE         1.501       1.102       1.530       1.662       EXCRETION         1.521       -1.415       1.419       1.633       DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS         1.663       -1.418       1.902       1.663       CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL L_ADHESION	0.957	-1.205	1.505	1.554	EPIDERMIS_DEVELOPMENT	
-1.175         -0.977         1.651         1.799         SYNAPTOGENESIS           -1.526         -1.289         1.591         1.748         SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS           -0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROC           -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL	-0.800	-1.495	1.683	1.511	KERATINOCYTE_DIFFERENTIATION	
-1.175       -0.977       1.651       1.799       SYNAPTOGENESIS         -1.526       -1.289       1.591       1.748       SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS         -0.849       0.874       1.491       1.286       ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.015       -1.208       1.454       1.344       CATION_TRANSPORT         -1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -0.947       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE         1.501       1.102       1.530       1.662       EXCRETION         1.521       -1.415       1.419       1.633       DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS         1.663       -1.418       1.902       1.663       CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL L_ADHESION	-0.693	-0.899	1.608	1.414	REGULATION_OF_DEFENSE_RESPON	
-1.526       -1.289       1.591       1.748       SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO GENESIS         -0.849       0.874       1.491       1.286       ALCOHOL_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.015       -1.208       1.454       1.344       CATION_TRANSPORT         -1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -0.947       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE         1.501       1.102       1.530       1.662       EXCRETION         1.521       -1.415       1.419       1.633       DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS         1.663       -1.418       1.902       1.663       CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL L_ADHESION					SE	
-0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL	-1.175	-0.977	1.651	1.799	SYNAPTOGENESIS	
-0.849         0.874         1.491         1.286         ALCOHOL METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL	-1.526	-1.289	1.591	1.748	SYNAPSE_ORGANIZATION_AND_BIO	
-1.015         -1.208         1.454         1.344         CATION_TRANSPORT           -1.170         -1.286         1.437         1.190         LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -1.047         -1.143         1.345         1.164         CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS           -0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL						
-1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -0.947       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE         1.501       1.102       1.530       1.662       EXCRETION         1.521       -1.415       1.419       1.633       DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS         1.663       -1.418       1.902       1.663       CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL	-0.849	0.874	1.491	1.286	ALCOHOL METABOLIC PROCESS	
-1.170       -1.286       1.437       1.190       LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROCESS         -0.947       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE         1.501       1.102       1.530       1.662       EXCRETION         1.521       -1.415       1.419       1.633       DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS         1.663       -1.418       1.902       1.663       CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL	-1.015	-1.208	1.454	1.344	CATION TRANSPORT	
-1.047       -1.143       1.345       1.164       CELLULAR_LIPID_METABOLIC_PROC ESS         -0.947       -1.732       1.647       1.132       INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE         1.501       1.102       1.530       1.662       EXCRETION         1.521       -1.415       1.419       1.633       DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS         1.663       -1.418       1.902       1.663       CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL			1.437			
-0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL           L_ADHESION         L         L         ADHESION						
-0.947         -1.732         1.647         1.132         INNATE_IMMUNE_RESPONSE           1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL           L_ADHESION         L         L         ADHESION						
1.501         1.102         1.530         1.662         EXCRETION           1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL           L_ADHESION         L_ADHESION         L         L	-0.947	-1.732	1.647	1.132	INNATE IMMUNE RESPONSE	
1.521         -1.415         1.419         1.633         DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS           1.663         -1.418         1.902         1.663         CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL           L_ADHESION         L_ADHESION						
1.663     -1.418     1.902     1.663     CALCIUM_INDEPENDENT_CELL_CEL       L_ADHESION						
L_ADHESION					<b>— — —</b>	
	1.497	1.355	1.321	1.673	CELL MATURATION	

1.465	1.344	1.219	1.599	ANATOMICAL STRUCTURE MORPH
				OGENESIS
1.711	1.409	1.291	1.590	PATTERN_SPECIFICATION_PROCESS
1.388	1.539	1.376	1.665	POTASSIUM_ION_TRANSPORT
1.609	1.805	1.517	1.718	AXON_GUIDANCE
1.899	1.849	-0.724	1.802	DETECTION_OF_ABIOTIC_STIMULUS
1.870	1.802	-0.720	1.725	DETECTION_OF_STIMULUS_INVOLV
				ED_IN_SENSORY_PERCEPTION
1.576	1.753	-0.730	1.556	HORMONE_SECRETION
1.695	1.504	-1.197	1.495	SKELETAL_DEVELOPMENT
1.867	1.718	1.092	1.274	MESODERM_DEVELOPMENT
0.875	1.736	0.825	1.504	REGULATION_OF_HEART_CONTRAC
				TION
0.909	1.959	-1.410	1.177	GENERATION_OF_A_SIGNAL_INVOL
				VED_IN_CELL_CELL_SIGNALING

963

# 965 Figure 2-table supplement 1. List of ECM proteins screened for upper-bulge

## 966 **localization with immunohistochemical analysis**

				Upper-	
Gene	Protein name	Antibody		bulge	
symbol	(Abbreviation)	ID	Manufacturer	localization	Tissue localization
					Upper bulge sensory
Aspn	Asporin	ab58741	abcam	Yes	neuron
	Cysteine-rich				
	secretory protein				
	LCCL domain				D
Criandd	containing 1 (CRISPLD1)	ah122020	ahaam	Var	Basement membrane
Crispld1	Ependymin related	ab123039	abcam	Yes	zone of upper-bulge
Epdr1	protein 1 (EPDR1)	PA283664	Cusabio	No	Uncertain
Lpari		1A205004	Cusabio	NO	Broadly distributed
					in the epidermal-
	Collagen, type V,				dermal basement
Col5a2	alpha 2 (COL5A2)	ab7046	abcam	Yes	membrane zone
	von Willebrand				
	factor A domain-				
	containing protein 2				Follicle-arrector pili
Vwa2	(VWA2)	ab111164	abcam	No	muscle junction
Fam101b	Refilin B	orb183474	biorbyt	No	Uncertain
	Latent TGF-beta-				
	binding protein 1	<b>D</b> 4 0 0 <b>T</b> 0 1 0	a 1.		
Ltbp1	(LTBP1)	PA807018	Cusabio	No	Uncertain
					Basement membrane
Postn	Periostin	ab14041	abcam	Yes	zone of upper-and mid-bulge
Spon2	Spondin-2	PA006509	Cusabio	No	Uncertain
sponz	Epidermal growth	FA000309	Cusabio	INU	Uncertain
	factor-like protein 6		Fujiwara lab		Upper-bulge collar
Egfl6	(EGFL6)	CUK1203	(in house)	Yes	matrix
	Insulin-like growth		(		
	factor binding				Upper bulge sensory
Igfbp5	protein 5 (IGFBP5)	AF578	R&D	Yes	neuron
	Collagen, type VIII,				
Col8a2	alpha 2 (COL8A2)	34099	USBiological	No	Uncertain
	Insulin-like growth				
	factor binding				
Igfbp7	protein 7 (IGFBP7)	ab129302	abcam	No	Uncertain
	ADAMTS-like 4				
Adamtsl4	(ADAMTSL4)			Not tested	
Ca14-2	Collagen, type IV,	1121	Shigei Med.	Vec	Basement membrane
Col4a3	alpha 3 (COL4A3)	H31	Res. Inst.	Yes	zone of upper-bulge
Caldad	Collagen, type IV,	DU42	Shigei Med.	Vac	Basement membrane
Col4a4	alpha 4 (COL4A4)	RH42	Res. Inst.	Yes	zone of upper-bulge

967 968

Outcomes	WT	<i>Egfl6</i> KO	<i>p</i> value
	(n=23 nerves)	(n=24 nerves)	_
Activation threshold (V)	3.0 (2.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.0-6.0)	p = 0.778
Conduction velocity (m/s)	6.6 (5.1-7.7)	7.7 (5.0-8.6)	p = 0.115
Spontaneous activity (imp/s)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	p > 0.999
Receptive field size (mm <sup>2</sup> )	6.5 (4.7-13.2)	4.8 (2.8-7.3)	p = 0.059

# 970 Figure 3-table supplement 1. General characteristics of Aδ-LTMRs

971

# 973 Table 1. Antibodies used in this study

ANTIBODY	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Rabbit anti-mouse EGFL6	Fujiwara Lab	This paper
Rabbit anti-mouse nephronectin	Fujiwara Lab	This paper
Mouse anti-keratin 14	abcam	LL002 (ab77684)
Mouse anti-keratin 15	Santa Cruz	LHK15 (sc-47697)
Mouse anti-βIII-tubulin	abcam	2G10 (ab78078)
Chicken anti-nestin	Aves Labs	NES0407
Rat anti-nestin	Millipore	rat-401 (MAB353)
Chicken anti-GFP	abcam	ab13970
Rabbit anti-GFP	MBL	598
Mouse anti-laminin γ1	Millopore	MAB1914
Rat anti-integrin αv	abcam	RMV-7
Goat anti-TrkB	R&D Systems	AF1494
Rat anti-CD45-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	30-F11
Rat anti-TER-119-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	TER119
Rat anti-CD31-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	390
Rat anti-Sca-1-PerCP-Cy5.5	eBioscience	D7
Rat anti-CD34-eFluor660	eBioscience	RAM34
Rat anti-CD49f-PE	eBioscience	GoH3
Mouse anti-integrin $\alpha 2$	DSHB	P1E6
Mouse anti-integrin $\alpha 3$	DSHB	P1B5
Mouse anti-integrin $\alpha 5$	DSHB	P1D6
Rat anti-integrin α6	BioLegend	GoH3 (313614)
Mouse anti-integrin av	ATCC	L230
Mouse anti-integrin β1	DSHB	P5D2

974

975

Target genes	Primer sequences
Gapdh (Forward)	TGCCAGCCTCGTCCCGTAG
Gapdh (Reverse)	CGGCCTTGACTGTGCCGTTG
Lrig1 (Forward)	TGTGTGTCTGAGAGACCCGAGC
Lrig1 (Reverse)	CAGAGCCACTGTGTGCTGTTGT
Lgr6 (Forward)	GGCTCCGAATCCTGGAGCTGT
Lgr6 (Reverse)	CTCAGGGTGGATGGCACGGA
Gli1 (Forward)	ATCTCCGGGCGGTTCCTACG
Gli1 (Reverse)	TGACTTCAGCTGGCAGGTTGC
<i>Bdnf</i> (Forward)	GCGGCGCCCATGAAAGAAGT
Bdnf (Reverse)	GGCCGAACCTTCTGGTCCTCA
Cd34 (Forward)	TGGCGCTGGGTAGCTCTCTG
Cd34 (Reverse)	TAGTCTCTGAGATGGCTGGTGTGG
Cdh3 (Forward)	TGGGGAAAGTAGCCTTGGCTGG
Cdh3 (Reverse)	CAGCCTCTGAGGGAAGGGACC

# 977 **Table 2. Primer sequences for qRT-PCR**

978

980	Table 3. RNA-seq read counts and mapping statistics

	Raw Read Count	Trimmed Read Count	rDNA Read Count	Multi-Mapped Read Count	Uniquely Mapped Read Count
Mouse_Basal_ Cells_Rep1	11,757,494	11,278,061	148,700	170,077	10,456,332
Mouse_Basal_ Cells_Rep2	11,245,045	10,668,752	73,729	134,777	10,051,376
Mouse_Basal_ Cells_Rep3	11,313,239	10,747,103	108,596	146,602	10,089,518
Mouse_Bulge_ StemCells_Rep1	11,735,034	11,181,377	64,614	183,557	10,520,864
Mouse_Bulge_ StemCells_Rep2	11,917,049	11,515,851	54,154	175,176	10,889,932
Mouse_Bulge_ StemCells_Rep3	13,417,100	12,895,113	88,655	218,495	12,090,872
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep1	10,875,593	10,409,342	68,193	177,407	9,574,901
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep2	11,565,616	10,993,093	65,001	185,981	10,079,620
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep3	11,405,265	10,746,768	76,143	206,384	9,790,096
Mouse_Cdh3+_ Cells_Rep4	11,759,881	11,186,543	85,902	191,154	10,274,371
Mouse_Gli1+_ Cells_Rep1	12,063,488	11,478,537	57,630	178,646	10,751,521
Mouse_Gli1+_ Cells_Rep2	19,762,234	18,804,713	178,685	303,848	17,446,776
Mouse_Gli1+_ Cells_Rep3	19,543,117	18,568,695	122,017	287,214	17,378,904
Mouse_Lgr6+_ Cells_Rep1	20,044,455	19,058,807	121,507	307,804	17,798,594
Mouse_Lgr6+_ Cells_Rep2	19,756,354	18,736,071	197,458	298,652	17,386,391
Mouse_Lgr6+_ Cells_Rep3	19,639,299	18,680,969	217,502	298,146	17,210,635

981

982