Influence of deterministic and stochastic processes on microbial

community assembly during aerobic granulation

Raquel Liébana [a]*, Enikö Szabó [a], Frank Persson [a], Oskar Modin [a], Malte Hermansson [b] and

Britt-Marie Wilén [a]

[a] Division of Water Environment Technology, Department of Architecture and Civil

Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Gothenburg, Sweden

[b] Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, SE-40530

Gothenburg, Sweden

*Corresponding author. Postal address: Division of Water Environment Technology,

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Sven

Hultins gata 8, SE- 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden; Telephone number: +46 031-772-1912,

Email address: raquel.liebana@chalmers.se

Running title: Microbial community assembly during granulation

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

This work was supported by FORMAS (Contract no. 245-2013-627), the Swedish Research

Council for Environment, Agriculture Sciences and Spatial Planning.

ABSTRACT

Aerobic granular sludge is an energy efficient and compact biofilm process for wastewater

treatment which has received much attention during the last decades and is now being

implemented in full-scale. However, the factors involved in microbial community assembly

during formation of granules are poorly understood and little is known about the

reproducibility in treatment performance and community structure. Here we show that both

deterministic and stochastic factors exert a dynamic influence during microbial community

assembly into granular sludge. During granulation, the microbial communities in three

replicate sequencing batch reactors followed similar successional trajectories of the most

abundant taxa and showed similar dynamics in diversity. Deterministic factors dominated the

assembly of the most abundant community members as the microbial community transitioned

from floccular to granular form. Stochastic factors mostly affected rare members of the

communities and caused the microbial community structure to diverge in one of the reactors;

however, this did not have an impact on the treatment performance. This demonstrates that

the reactor function and the dynamics of the most abundant community members are in fact

reproducible during the formation of aerobic granules.

Introduction

The structure of microbial communities depends on complex and dynamic ecological mechanisms. Studying the compositional differences between two different communities (β-diversity) is useful in order to understand the underlying ecological mechanisms that have shaped the communities, since it provides a link between biodiversity at the local scale (α-diversity) and at the regional scale (γ-diversity) [1, 2]. Vellend (2010) developed a conceptual framework, modified by Nemergut *et al* (2013), where the ecological mechanisms responsible for community assembly are framed into four fundamental processes: selection, dispersal, diversification and drift. Selection results from deterministic factors that modify the community structure according to the environmental conditions, differences in fitness between individuals and microbial interactions. Dispersal results both from deterministic and stochastic factors which enable the movement and establishment of organisms among communities. Diversification results mainly from stochastic factors which generate genetic variation. Drift refers to stochastic changes due to birth, death and reproduction. These four processes act simultaneously in natural ecosystems and their influences vary in time and space [4, 5].

Generally, the design and development of wastewater treatment systems is hindered by the lack of understanding of the ecology of the microbial communities on which they rely [6, 7]. The importance ascribed to the different ecological mechanisms for shaping the microbial community composition in wastewater treatment bioreactors vary in the literature. Some studies report similar microbial communities in replicate reactors, such as membrane bioreactors [8], anaerobic digesters [9-11], microbial fuel cells [12] and sequencing batch reactors [13, 14], due to selection caused by the reactor environmental conditions. On the

contrary, diverging trajectories have been reported in replicate microbial electrolysis cell

reactors [15], sequencing batch reactors [16] and anaerobic digesters [17, 18], due to the roles

of stochastic factors. However, deterministic and stochastic factors are not mutually exclusive

but exert a combined effect on the microbial community assembly and need to be assessed in

conjunction [4, 5].

Wastewater treatment bioreactors are engineered to select for the functional groups needed

for water purification. Also, the reactor operational conditions are adjusted to cultivate the

microorganisms in the desired aggregate modes. The microbial communities in wastewater

treatment are either assembled as flocs in activated sludge or as biofilms on different

substrata. Free-floating spherical biofilms, so called granules, combine many of the properties

of these two growth modes [19, 20], which has gained increasing interest and implementation

in recent years [21]. The possibility to remove carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous

simultaneously is one of the most important attributes of granular sludge, which renders highly

compact and less energy demanding wastewater treatment [22]. The granules are compact

and spherical suspended biofilms with a diameter of approximately 1-3 mm obtained in

defined reactor conditions of high up-flow air velocity, large shear forces, large temporal

variation of electron donors and -acceptors causing feast-famine operation and short settling

time to select for well settling biomass [23, 24]. Despite well-established methods for granule

cultivation, detailed studies on the microbial community ecology in aerobic granules are scarce

and the ecological processes underpinning the microbial community assembly during

granulation are poorly understood [25].

Laboratory experiments in wastewater treatment are often performed in one reactor due to

practical reasons. Hence, conclusions regarding reactor performance and microbial community

structure are generally drawn from single reactors operated at different conditions [26-29]. It

is unclear how reliable these conclusions are, especially regarding complex processes such as

microbial community assembly. Furthermore, laboratory scale wastewater studies that enable

controlled environmental conditions and tests of reproducibility are valuable test-benches for

studies of microbial ecology [30]. To better understand he microbial community assembly and

reproducibility of granule formation, we have used amplicon sequencing to investigate the

succession during the transition from floccular to granular sludge in three replicate reactors

seeded with the same inoculum and operated at identical conditions for 35 days. At the same

time, the function of the microbial communities was monitored. We hypothesized that similar

communities would develop in all three reactors if deterministic factors were dominating,

whereas the opposite would support the importance of stochastic factors. We also

investigated possible shifts in the importance of deterministic/stochastic factors for

community assembly during the operation period.

96   Material and methods

97   Experimental set-up

Three identical sequencing batch reactors (6 cm diameter and 132 cm height) with a working

volume of 3 L were operated for 35 days at room temperature (20-22°C) in 4-hour cycles (0:05

h filling, 0:55 h anaerobic, 2:53 h aerobic, 0:02 h settling, 0:05 h withdrawal) with a volumetric

exchange ratio of 43%. The settling time was gradually decreased to promote granulation and

at the same time allow retention of slow growing organisms (Figure S1), and the aerobic phase

was adjusted accordingly to permit even 4-hour cycle lengths. Air was provided from the

bottom of the reactors with porous diffusers (pore size 1 μm) at a flow rate of 2.5 L min^{-1} and

superficial up-flow velocity of 1.5 cm s^{-1}. The reactors were inoculated with aerobic/anoxic

activated sludge from a full-scale wastewater treatment plant (Gryaab AB, Gothenburg,

Sweden). Synthetic wastewater was pumped in at the bottom of the reactor with a chemical

oxygen demand (COD) to nitrogen ratio of 100:25 (3 kg COD m^{-3}d^{-1} and 0.75 kg NH$_4$-N m^{-3}d^{-1})

and pH of approximately 7 and consisted of 1.6 g l^{-1} NH$_4$CH$_3$COO, 12.5 mg l^{-1} MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 15.0

mg l^{-1} CaCl$_2$, 10.0 mg l^{-1} FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 22.5 mg l^{-1} K$_2$HPO$_4$, and 1 ml l^{-1} micronutrient solution

(0.05 g l^{-1} H$_3$BO$_3$, 0.05 g l^{-1}ZnCl$_2$, 0.03 g l^{-1} CuCl$_2$, 0.05 g l^{-1} MnSO$_4$.H$_2$O, 0.05 g l^{-1}

(NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$.4H$_2$O, 0.05 g l^{-1} AlCl$_3$, 0.05 g l^{-1} CoCl$_2$.6H$_2$O, and 0.05 g l^{-1} NiCl$_2$). Biomass samples

(100 ml) were withdrawn from the reactors daily for analysis. The replicate reactors were

operated identically.

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Samples for microbial community analysis were collected from the reactor three times per

week. Total genomic DNA was extracted using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals)

following the manufacturer's protocol. The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified in

duplicates, using the forward primer 515'F (5´-GTGBCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3´) and the reverse

primer 806R (5´-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3´), indexed according to Kozich *et al* (2013). The

PCR reactions were conducted in a 20 µl reaction volume using 17 µl of AccuPrime Pfx

SuperMix (Life Technologies), 1 µl of genomic DNA (20 ng template), and 1 µl each of the

forward and reverse primers (10 µM). The PCR reactions were carried out in a Biometra T3000

Thermocycler with the following thermal cycling parameters: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5

min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 20 s), annealing (50°C, 15 s) and elongation

(68°C, 60 s), and finished by a 10 min final elongation at 68°C. PCR products were purified with

the MagJET NGS Cleanup and Size Selection Kit (Thermo Scientific) and the DNA concentration

was measured using a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen), using the dsDNA HS assay kit

(Invitrogen). The PCR products were pooled in equimolar amounts, the concentration and size

was confirmed by TapeStation 2200 (Agilent Technologies) and sequencing was performed

with a MiSeq using reagent kit v3 (Illumina). To increase the confidence in the obtained results,

a second MiSeq sequencing run was performed on the same pool. Raw sequencing data were

deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA), BioProjectID PRJNA472243, accession

number SRP148672.

Sequence processing and data analysis

Raw sequence reads were processed following the UNOISE pipeline [32, 33] with USEARCH

v.10 [34]. Briefly, paired-end reads were merged with a maximum number of mismatches of

15 (5% of the read length) and a minimum of 80% of identity in the alignment. Merged reads

were filtered (maximum expected error per read of 0.5), dereplicated (default settings) and

denoised (minimum abundance of 4), resulting in zero-radius operational taxonomic units

(OTUs). OTUs were taxonomically classified with the SINTAX algorithm [35] based on the MiDAS

database v.2.1 [36] and analysed in R version 3.4.1 (http://www.r-project.org). The dataset was

rarefied, subsampling each sample to 17395 sequences. Basic R functions were used to

perform Wilcoxon signed-rank tests and to calculate Pearson correlation coefficients. Non-

metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination and heatmaps were created using the R

package ampvis [37]. Constrained analysis of proximities (CAP) was performed using the R

package vegan [38]. The sequences were aligned with the R package DECIPHER [39] and a

maximum likelihood tree was generated with FastTree 2 software [40] using the GTR+CAT

(General Time Reversible with per-site rate CATegories) model of approximation for site rate

variation and computation of Gamma20-based likelihood.

α-diversity for each sample was calculated using numbers equivalents (Hill numbers) [41]. We

refer to these indices as naïve diversity (^qND) because the phylogenetic distance between OTUs

are not considered. The parameter q is the diversity order. At a q of 0, all OTUs are considered

equally important and, hence, ^0ND is the sample richness (i.e. the number of OTUs in a sample).

For higher values of q, more weight is put on abundant OTUs. We also calculated diversity

numbers which take the sequence dissimilarity into account using the framework described by

Chiu and Chao (2014). The metric, here referred to as ^qPD (phylogenetic diversity), represents

the total effective phylogenetic distance between all OTUs in a sample. For all pairwise

comparisons of samples, β-diversity was obtained using the same calculation framework. The

β-diversities were converted into dissimilarity indices ($^q\beta dis$) constrained between 0 (two

identical samples) and 1 (two samples with no shared OTUs) [42, 43]. The parameters $^q\beta dis_{ND}$

and $^q\beta dis_{PD}$ are based on the naïve and the phylogenetic β-diversity values, respectively.

Null model analysis

Null models were used to analyse the taxonomic- and phylogenetic successional turnover

between consecutive samples in the reactors. Taxonomic turnover was estimated with Raup-

Crick-based measures based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (RC_{bray}), calculated with the R

package vegan as in Stegen *et al* (2013). Shortly, a null distribution (999 randomizations) of

expected Bray-Curtis dissimilarities was created for each pair of communities, which was

compared to the empirically observed Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. The RC_{bray} index is calculated

by adding the number of times the null distribution is greater than the empirical Bray-Curtis

distribution to half the number of times the null and the empirical distribution are equal. Then

this count is normalized to a value between -1 and 1. A negative value means that the two

communities share more taxa than expected by chance whereas a positive value means that

they share less taxa. Values > |0.95| were considered statistically significant. Values < |0.95|

indicates that the taxonomic turnover between the community pair was not different from the

null expectation and therefore, influenced by stochastic factors [5].

The R package PICANTE [45] was used to calculate the β nearest-taxon index (βNTI), which

measures if the phylogenetic turnover is greater or less than the null expectation. For this, the

β mean nearest-taxon distance (βMNTD), which measures the mean phylogenetic distance

between the most closely related OTUs in two communities, was first calculated based on

relative abundance data, as in Stegen *et al* (2013). In each iteration (999 randomizations) the

OTUs were moved randomly across the tips of the regional pool phylogeny and the resulting

phylogenetic relationships were used to calculate the βMNTD$_{null}$. The βNTI was calculated as

the difference in standard deviation units between the observed βMNTD and the mean of

βMNTD$_{null}$ in the pairwise sample comparisons. A negative βNTI value means that the samples

are more phylogenetically close to each than expected by chance and a positive value means

that the two samples are more phylogenetically distant from each other. Previous studies have

found that short phylogenetic distances between OTUs, quantified using βMNTD and βNTI,

reflect ecological distances [44, 46, 47]. Pairwise comparisons with βNTI > |2| were considered

statistically significant. Values < |2| were not significantly different from the null expectation,

which indicate that stochastic factors influenced the phylogenetic turnover [5].

Analytical methods

Concentrations in the effluent of total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) were

measured with TOC-TN analyser 87 (TOC-V, Shimadzu, Japan). Total suspended solids and

volatile suspended solids in the in the reactor (MLSS, MLVSS) and in the effluent (effTSS, effVSS)

were measured according to standard methods. Microscopy was performed using an Olympus

BX60 light microscope (Olympus Sverige AB, Solna, Sweden) with particle size assessment by

measuring the diameter of 10 random flocs/granules using the CellSens (Olympus) software.

199   Results

200   Granulation of the sludge and reactor performance

The sludge started to granulate around day 7, when small granules emerged (Figure S2) and

the mean particle sizes of the reactor biomass increased similarly in the three reactors (Figure

S3). At day 25, the granulated biomass dominated in the reactors and at the end of the

experiment (day 35), the granules had an average diameter of 1.5 mm. The three reactors also

followed similar patterns over time in suspended solids concentrations in the reactor and in

the effluent (Figure 1A-B), although R1 had significantly lower reactor concentrations (MLSS

and MLVSS) than R2 and R3 ($p<0.05$) (Table S1). Removal of TOC and TN was similar among the

reactors (Figure 1, C-D). TOC removal was above 90% since day 4, with an average of 95% for

R1 and R3 and 96% for R2. The average TN removal was above 50% for the three reactors.

Figure 1. Sludge properties and reactor performance over time for R1, R2 and R3. A: reactor

suspended solids concentrations; B: effluent suspended solids concentrations; C: TOC

concentrations in the influent and effluent; D: TN concentrations in the influent and effluent.

Temporal variation of abundant taxa

The microbial community composition was highly dynamic during the transition from floccular

to granular sludge. The seed sludge, mainly composed of *Betaproteobacteria* (38% of total

reads), *Alphaproteobacteria* (14%), *Sphingobacteria* (11%) and *Clostridia* (7%) at class level,

changed rapidly after inoculation to a community dominated by *Gammaproteobacteria* (70%)

with *Acinetobacter* as the dominant genus during the first 7 days (Figure 2, S4). Thereafter,

Betaproteobacteria dominated in the three reactors, with high abundances of the genera

Thauera, Acidovorax, Simplicispira, Comamonas, Curvibacter and *Alicycliphilus* (Figure 2). From

days 21-25, *Actinobacteria* dominated until the end of the experiment, with *Corynebacterium*

sp. as only representative of this class, having more than 30% of the total reads in the three

reactors. Many taxa that were rare or undetected in the seed sludge became abundant during

the granulation, e.g. *Corynebacterium* sp., *Brevundimonas* sp., *Pedobacter* sp., *Fluviicola* sp.

and *Bacteriovorax* sp., while other taxa that were common in the seed sludge decreased

drastically in relative abundance, e.g. *Rhodoferax* sp., *Rhodobacter* sp., *Pseudorhodobacter* sp.

and *Hydrogenophaga* sp.

Figure 2. Temporal variation of the 25 most abundant genera as percentages of reads in the

replicate reactors (R1, R2 and R3) and the seed sludge (AS), days 1 to 35.

Microbial diversity and dynamics during granulation

The three reactors followed the same trends in α-diversity (Figure 3A-C). After inoculation,

there was a drop in diversity, followed by a peak around day 9 and then a continuous decrease.

R1 differed somewhat from R2 and R3 during the dynamic period after the inoculation and

during days 21-35 when ^{0}ND was consistently lower in R1, while ^{1}ND and ^{2}ND were similar in

the three reactors, showing that the rare OTUs were fewer in R1. The observed trends for naïve

diversity were very similar to the trends for the phylogenetic diversity (Figure S5A-C).

Succession, measured as β-diversity between successive sample points, showed that after

inoculation there were large temporal dynamics in each reactor with dissimilarities of around

0.5 for ^{0}βdisND and even higher peak dissimilarities (0.8) for ^{2}βdisND, which gives large weight

to abundant OTUs (Figure 3D-F). Thereafter, the dissimilarities between successive samples

decreased considerably to values of about 0.3 for ^{0}βdisND and 0.05 for ^{1}βdisND and ^{2}βdisND.

For β-diversity between the reactors (Figure 3G-I), ^{0}βdisND, was around 0.3-0.4, showing that

60-70% of the OTUs were shared among the reactors at any given time. ^{1}βdisND was around

0.1 and ^{2}βdisND around 0.05, i.e. the reactors were more similar to each other when

considering the more abundant OTUs. R2-R3 were more similar than R1-R2 or R1-R3 for

diversity order 0 and 1, but not for diversity order 2. Also for β-diversity between successive

sampling points or between reactors, the naïve diversity patterns were highly similar to the

ones for phylogenetic diversity (Figure S5).

NMDS shows initial, rapid changes after inoculation and that R1 and R2 deviated from the other

reactors at day 7 and day 11 (Figure 4). From day 14, R2 and R3 converged and evolved similarly

after that, whereas R1 diverged. CAP analysis based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities confirmed R1

to be different to R2 and R3 (Figure S6). Pearson correlation based on CAP showed that 144

out of the 2540 OTUs were significantly different (p<0.05 in the correlation to CAP2 axis, see

Table S2) in relative abundance between reactors. 58 OTUs were more abundant in R1 than

R2-R3 and 82 OTUs more abundant in R2-R3 than R1. These OTUs, mainly of low relative

abundance added up to 8% of the total reads.

Figure 3. Dynamics of the naïve diversity (taxonomic distance between OTUs) for the replicate

reactors. A, B and C: α-diversity; D, E and F: β-diversity over time between two successive

sample points; G, H and I: β-diversity over time between the reactors.

Figure 4. NMDS ordination based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities after square root transformation. Each line represents the community succession of each reactor (R1, R2 and R3) starting from the seed sludge (AS, day 1). Numbers refer to days after startup. Stress = 0.047.

Null model analysis

We wished to determine the factors behind the shifts in β-diversity over time in each reactor. However, α-, β- and γ-diversity are interconnected so that e.g. a difference in richness between samples may in itself cause a difference in β-diversity between the samples [15, 48]. Null model analysis was therefore used to disentangle turnover due to succession from changes in α- and γ-diversity. We used two different types of null models for this, RC_{bray}, based on taxonomic turnover, and βNTI, based on phylogenetic turnover. Turnover between sequential samples from the same reactor would show successional phases. Thus, RC_{bray} and βNTI values lower than the null expectation, would indicate "steady-state" microbial community composition. In

R1, RC_{bray} values were close to 1 during the first 9 days (Figure 5A), indicating higher taxonomic turnover than the null expectation, and thereafter turnover remained lower than the null expectation ($RC_{bray} < -0.95$). R2 an R3 showed a somewhat different trend with turnover closer to the null expectation (RC_{bray} between 0.95 and -0.95) during the first 11 days and after this period, the taxonomic turnover between successive sample points remained lower than expected by chance ($RC_{bray} < -0.95$). Similar patterns were observed using βNTI, with higher phylogenetic turnover for R1 than for R2 and R3 until day 14, followed by lower turnover than expected by chance in all reactors (Figure S7). RC_{bray} was also used for the fraction of OTUs having significantly different relative abundance between the reactors, as determined by correlations to the CAP axes (Figure S6 and Table S2). For this fraction of the community, the taxonomic turnover between succesive sample points in R2 and R3 gradually increased from day 9 to values not significantly different to the null expectation whereas in R1, the taxonomic turnover remained lower than the null expectation throughout the reactor operation (Figure 5B).

Figure 5. Taxonomic turnover (RC_{bray}) in community composition between two succesive sample points of; A: the entire community and; B: the subset of OTUs having significant correlation for the CAP2 axis of the replicate reactors.

Sequencing reproducibility

To ensure that the observed differences between the reactors, mainly caused by OTUs of low

abundance, were not caused by limited precision of sequencing, the samples were sequenced

twice. Highly similar results were obtained from the two sequencing runs with regard to

relative read abundance of major OTUs, NMDS ordination and CAP (Figures S8-S10).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated how communities of microorganisms assembled over time as

granules formed in three replicate reactors for wastewater treatment. Granule growth,

successional patterns of taxa, microbial diversity and reproducibility are discussed together

with an assessment of the mechanisms that influence the community assembly.

Succession of taxa during the granulation process

During the early transformation from flocs to granule-like particles, *Acinetobacter* sp. became

increasingly abundant in all reactors (Figure 2). *Acinetobacter* sp. has been reported as primary

colonizers and bridging bacteria in aggregate/biofilm development [49, 50] and have

previously been observed during initial stages of granulation [51]. *Acinetobacter* sp. is a

hydrophobic bacterium and produces EPS, which are decisive properties for early stage

aggregate formation [52] and likely explains their frequent occurrence during the initial phase.

As the granules started to increase in size, after one week of operation (Figure S3), the

microbial communities shifted and bacteria within *Comamonadaceae*, *Rhodocyclaceae*,

Flavobacteriaceae, *Xanthomonadaceae* and *Caulobacteraceae* became more abundant. Many

of these bacteria are associated with granulation [26, 51]. *Thauera* sp., which was particularly

abundant in this period (Figure 2), is an important EPS producer commonly found in acetate-

fed aerobic granules and is also a well-known denitrifier, as are many of the other common

microorganisms in this period [26, 53]. For instance, bacteria within the *Comamonadaceae*

family are considered important for acetate-fed denitrification in aerobic granules [54].

Despite this, the total nitrogen removal was incomplete, around 50% until day 28. Thereafter

nitrogen removal increased to 70% until the end of the study period, day 35. In this last period,

Corynebacterium sp. was highly abundant in the reactors (Figure 2). *Corynebacteria* can

remove nitrogen both by assimilatory reduction and denitrification [55].

None of the common members of the microbial community in the granules were highly

abundant, or even detected in the seed sludge. This is commonly observed in reactor studies

[9, 27, 56] and experimental evidence suggests that during succession, rare taxa can be

promoted to the dominant fraction of the microbial community following a perturbation or a

change of environment [57-59].

Microbial community assembly during granulation

The microbial communities in the replicate reactors followed similar succession patterns but

with a clear divergence of R1 from R2 and R3 (Figure 4). Since the inoculum, feed composition,

and reactor operation were the same among the reactors, this suggests that stochastic factors

had a role in the community assembly. Let us first consider the two extreme cases of either

stochastic or deterministic factors acting alone. With only stochastic factors, i.e. drift, neutral

theory predicts that the microbial communities in the three reactors would eventually diverge

and the dissimilarity index between reactors would increase and reach unity. On the other

hand, if deterministic factors, i.e. selection, were acting alone, the reactors would follow the

same trajectory and the dissimilarity index between reactors would be zero. The ecological

processes responsible for microbial community assembly can be classified as selection,

dispersal, drift, and diversification [3]. Our experimental design excluded dispersal as a major

factor because synthetic feed was used. Diversification can most likely also be neglected

because of the short time frame of the study (35 days). This leaves selection as the major

deterministic process and drift as the major stochastic process affecting community assembly.

During the early start-up, the microbial community shifted rapidly from the inoculum in a

similar manner in all reactors (Figure 4) and the phylogenetic successional turnover was

relatively high, especially in R1 (Figure S7). The settling time for the biomass was long (Figure

S1), thus the microorganisms were not washed out due to their settling properties, but

according to their ability to grow at these reactor conditions [60]. Therefore, the changes in

the microbial community structure, resulting in a harsh decrease in diversity (Figure 3), were

to a large extent caused by the switch from municipal wastewater to a synthetic feed with

acetate as carbon source. A switch from a complex substrate to a simple and easily

biodegradable substrate would favour specific taxa, and consequently, the biodiversity, both

taxonomic and phylogenetic, would decrease [26, 51]. Indeed, the drop in diversity was

particularly evident for highly abundant OTUs (^{2}ND and ^{2}PD, Figure 3, S5) and the dissimilarity

between the consecutive days 2 and 4 was also higher for the more abundant OTUs (^{2}βdisND

and ^{2}βdisPD, Figure 3). In addition, the lack of immigration of new taxa (dispersal) to the

reactors due the synthetic feed probably contributed to the decrease in α-diversity [61].

The settling time was thereafter drastically reduced, from 30 min to 5 min between days 5 and

11, to promote granulation (Figure S1) and the first granules were observed at day 7. This led

to increased α-diversity in the reactors as new, previously undetected, OTUs grew in relative

abundance (Figure 3), presumably due to the formation of new niches in the emerging

granules. The communities changed rapidly over time, in particular around day 7 (Figure 3),

and it was clear that the community assembly took different paths in the three reactors in this

phase (Figure 4). The null-models indicated that stochastic factors, here mainly drift, were

important for the succession during this dynamic phase (Figure 5, S7). This could be due to the

random distribution of microorganisms between aggregates of different sizes of which only

the larger and denser ones were retained by sedimentation [60].

From day 11, the decrease in settling time was less dramatic (Figure S1) and the granules

increased gradually in size (Figure S3). In this phase, the microbial communities changed more

slowly with time (Figure 3, S5) and turnover was lower than predicted by chance by the null-

models (Figure 5, S7), suggesting that deterministic forces, i.e. selection, were important. The

microbial succession in R2 and R3 followed the same trajectory until the end of the experiment,

but R1 diverged (Figure 4). The divergence of R1 was not due to the most abundant OTUs, the

community was dominated by the same genera in the three replicate reactors (Figure 2).

However, α-diversity (^{0}ND) was lower in R1 than R2 and R3 and β-diversity between reactors

(Figure 3) showed that the divergence of R1 was mainly caused by rare and intermediate OTUs.

A subset of the OTUs were significantly different among the reactors, as assessed by

correlation to the CAP2 axis (Figure S6), and these OTUs were of low or intermediate relative

abundance (Table S2). For these OTUs, successional turnover was lower than by chance in R1,

while in R2 and R3, turnover was higher and the null-model predicted stochasticity (drift) to

have larger influence (Figure 5B) Within the same microbial community, selection and drift can

affect different subpopulations differently [5]. The less abundant OTUs were likely more

susceptible to drift, since many events could result in extinction [4]. Interestingly, predatory

bacteria belonging to the genus *Bdellovibrio*, *Bacteriovorax* and *Peredibacter* were detected in

the three reactors at as high as 10.45% maximum relative abundance. *Bdellovibrio* and like

organisms (BALOs) prey on gram negative bacteria, with some BALOs being generalist and

some specialists in their prey preferences [62]. BALOs have been found in granules [28, 63, 64]

and have recently been shown to affect the granular microbial structure [65]. R2 and R3

harboured considerably more BALOs than R1, especially in the later stages of the study (Figure

2 and Figure S8). Also, out of a total of 60 OTUs belonging to BALOs, 11 were significantly lower

in abundance in R1 compared to R2 and R3 according to CAP (Table S2). It could hence be

hypothesized that BALOs caused a higher turnover in R2 and R3, contributing to the divergence

of these reactors from R1.

We tentatively interpret the difference between the reactors in terms of underlying

mechanisms: During the early phase the change of feed selected for certain taxa, which caused

the biodiversity to decrease (Figure 3). The successional turnover was high, but the microbial

communities in replicate reactors were similar (Figure 2 and 4), therefore the acclimation to a

new feed seems to be mostly deterministic. A second transitional phase occurred when the

settling time was decreased and granules began to emerge. This likely created new niches,

which allowed low-abundant taxa, previously below the detection threshold, to increase in

abundance resulting in temporarily increased α-diversity (Figure 3). During this phase,

stochasticity seemed to cause the microbial community in reactor 1 to take a different path

(Figure 4). However, selection eventually led to the same dominating taxa in the parallel

reactors (Figure 2). The successional turnover was low during this last period, presumably

because selection had led to the development of a microbial community adapted to the

specific reactor conditions, but drift caused reactors 2 and 3 to slowly diverge from reactor 1.

Ecological processes and wastewater treatment

Biological processes for wastewater treatment are often tested in laboratory- or pilot-scale bioreactors. Bioreactors are designed as if they were solely affected by deterministic processes and the treatment process relies on this assumption. But the literature is inconsistent regarding the reproducibility of wastewater biological reactors. Vanwonterghem *et al* (2014) observed that the microbial community structure and dynamics in three replicate anaerobic digesters were highly reproducible, influenced by deterministic processes. Ayarza *et al* (2010) reported the microbial community dynamics to follow similar patterns in four replicate sequencing batch reactors treating synthetic wastewater due to deterministic processes, although, drift caused the reactors to display a differences. Zhou *et al* (2013) demonstrated divergent microbial communities in 14 replicate microbial electrolysis cell reactors treating wastewater due to a dominant role of stochastic processes. Despite highly dynamic microbial communities, stable performance of parallel bioreactors treating wastewater are often found for such general functions as aerobic/anoxic oxidation of organic matter in activated sludge [16, 66-68]. On the other hand, for complex processes that require multispecies cooperation, such as anaerobic digestion or specialized processes such as microbial electrolysis, stochastic variations in community composition has resulted in altered microbial functions [15, 68]. In the present study the differences in the microbial community dynamics were due to differences in the abundance of a small fraction of the total OTUs, which did not have major impact on the reactor functions since the replicate reactors behaved similarly (Figure 1). The same dynamics in dominant OTUs and a higher variability of the less abundant fraction of the community has also been observed in full-scale activated sludge reactors [69]. From the engineering perspective our results are important since they not only confirm the possibility to select for

certain dominating taxa by manipulating the reactors conditions, even for a process as

common as acetate oxidation, but also provide information about fundamental ecological

processes involved in sludge granulation. Moreover, the results presented here suggest that it

is possible to draw conclusions about the reactor performance and microbial community

dynamics (at least for abundant community members) in experiments with single aerobic

granular sludge reactors.

In summary, our study shows that three parallel granular sludge reactors operated identically

and inoculated with the same seed sludge behaved similarly, both in terms of treatment

performance and microbial community assembly. Deterministic and stochastic processes

exerted a dynamic influence during the different successional stages. Deterministic factors

shaped the community composition of abundant members whereas stochastic factors mostly

affected rare members of the communities. Drift caused the microbial community in one of

the reactors to diverge from the other two. Predation seems to have an influence in the

divergence of the reactors. Despite these differences in the microbial community, the reactors

functions were stable and similar between reactors. More studies dealing with fundamental

ecological processes involved in granulation are needed. For instance, it is important to know

how perturbations affect the microbial community and the resilience of the system. This

knowledge will help to better understand the technology which ultimately will improve its

application and management.

452 Acknowledgements

453 This work was supported by FORMAS (Contract no. 245-2013-627), the Swedish Research

454 Council for Environment, Agriculture Sciences and Spatial Planning. The authors acknowledge

455   the Genomics core facility at the University of Gothenburg for support and use of their

456   equipment.

457 Competing Interests

458   The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson MJ, Crist TO, Chase JM, Vellend M, Inouye BD, Freestone AL *et al*. Navigating the multiple meanings of β diversity: a roadmap for the practicing ecologist. *Ecology Letters* 2011; **14**(1): 19-28.

2. Shade A. Diversity is the question, not the answer. *The Isme Journal* 2016; **11**: 1.

3. Vellend M. Conceptual synthesis in community ecology. *The Quarterly review of biology* 2010; **85**(2): 183-206.

4. Nemergut DR, Schmidt SK, Fukami T, O'Neill SP, Bilinski TM, Stanish LF *et al*. Patterns and processes of microbial community assembly. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 2013; **77**(3): 342-356.

5. Zhou J, Ning D. Stochastic Community Assembly: Does It Matter in Microbial Ecology? *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 2017; **81**(4).

6. McMahon KD, Martin HG, Hugenholtz P. Integrating ecology into biotechnology. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 2007; **18**(3): 287-292.

7. Xia Y, Wen X, Zhang B, Yang Y. Diversity and assembly patterns of activated sludge microbial communities: A review. *Biotechnology Advances* 2018; **36**(4): 1038-1047.

8. Falk MW, Song KG, Matiasek MG, Wuertz S. Microbial community dynamics in replicate membrane bioreactors - Natural reproducible fluctuations. *Water Research* 2009; **43**(3): 842-852.

9. Vanwonterghem I, Jensen PD, Dennis PG, Hugenholtz P, Rabaey K, Tyson GW. Deterministic

processes guide long-term synchronised population dynamics in replicate anaerobic digesters.

ISME J 2014; **8**(10): 2015-2028.

10. Lucas R, Kuchenbuch A, Fetzer I, Harms H, Kleinsteuber S. Long-term monitoring reveals

stable and remarkably similar microbial communities in parallel full-scale biogas reactors

digesting energy crops. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 2015; **91**(3): fiv004-fiv004.

11. Luo G, De Francisci D, Kougias PG, Laura T, Zhu X, Angelidaki I. New steady-state microbial

community compositions and process performances in biogas reactors induced by

temperature disturbances. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 2015; **8**(1): 3.

12. El-Chakhtoura J, El-Fadel M, Rao HA, Li D, Ghanimeh S, Saikaly PE. Electricity generation

and microbial community structure of air-cathode microbial fuel cells powered with the

organic fraction of municipal solid waste and inoculated with different seeds. *Biomass and*

Bioenergy 2014; **67:** 24-31.

13. Wittebolle L, Van Vooren N, Verstraete W, Boon N. High reproducibility of ammonia-

oxidizing bacterial communities in parallel sequential batch reactors. *Journal of Applied*

Microbiology 2009; **107**(2): 385-394.

14. Ayarza JM, Guerrero LD, Erijman L. Nonrandom Assembly of Bacterial Populations in

Activated Sludge Flocs. *Microbial Ecology* 2010; **59**(3): 436-444.

15. Zhou J, Liu W, Deng Y, Jiang Y-H, Xue K, He Z *et al*. Stochastic Assembly Leads to Alternative

Communities with Distinct Functions in a Bioreactor Microbial Community. *mBio* 2013; **4**(2).

16. Kaewpipat K, Grady CPL. Microbial population dynamics in laboratory-scale activated

sludge reactors. *Water Science and Technology* 2002; **46**(1-2): 19-27.

17. Solli L, Håvelsrud OE, Horn SJ, Rike AG. A metagenomic study of the microbial communities

in four parallel biogas reactors. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 2014; **7**(1): 146.

18. Han S, Liu Y, Zhang S, Luo G. Reactor performances and microbial communities of biogas

reactors: effects of inoculum sources. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2016; **100**(2):

987-995.

19. Chen Y, Lan S, Wang L, Dong S, Zhou H, Tan Z *et al*. A review: Driving factors and regulation

strategies of microbial community structure and dynamics in wastewater treatment systems.

Chemosphere 2017; **174**: 173-182.

20. Ferrera I, Sánchez O. Insights into microbial diversity in wastewater treatment systems:

How far have we come? *Biotechnology Advances* 2016; **34**(5): 790-802.

21. Zhang Q, Hu J, Lee D-J. Aerobic granular processes: Current research trends. *Bioresource*

Technology 2016; **210**: 74-80.

22. Bengtsson S, de Blois M, Wilén B-M, Gustavsson D. A comparison of aerobic granular sludge

with conventional and compact biological treatment technologies. *Environmental Technology*

2018: 1-10.

23. Nancharaiah YV, Kiran Kumar Reddy G. Aerobic granular sludge technology: Mechanisms

of granulation and biotechnological applications. *Bioresource Technology* 2018; **247**: 1128-

1143.

519 24. Wilén B-M, Liébana R, Persson F, Modin O, Hermansson M. The mechanisms of granulation

520 of activated sludge in wastewater treatment, its optimization, and impact on effluent quality.

521 *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2018: 1-16.

522 25. Sarma SJ, Tay JH, Chu A. Finding Knowledge Gaps in Aerobic Granulation Technology.

523 *Trends in Biotechnology* 2017; **35**(1): 66-78.

524 26. Szabó E, Liébana R, Hermansson M, Modin O, Persson F, Wilén B-M. Microbial Population

525 Dynamics and Ecosystem Functions of Anoxic/Aerobic Granular Sludge in Sequencing Batch

526 Reactors Operated at Different Organic Loading Rates. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2017; **8**: 770.

527 27. Fan X-Y, Gao J-F, Pan K-L, Li D-C, Zhang L-F, Wang S-J. Shifts in bacterial community

528 composition and abundance of nitrifiers during aerobic granulation in two nitrifying

529 sequencing batch reactors. *Bioresource Technology* 2018; **251**: 99-107.

530 28. Weissbrodt DG, Shani N, Holliger C. Linking bacterial population dynamics and nutrient

531 removal in the granular sludge biofilm ecosystem engineered for wastewater treatment. *FEMS*

532 *Microbiology Ecology* 2014; **88**(3): 579-595.

533 29. Gonzalez-Martinez A, Muñoz-Palazon B, Maza-Márquez P, Rodriguez-Sanchez A, Gonzalez-

534 Lopez J, Vahala R. Performance and microbial community structure of a polar Arctic Circle

535 aerobic granular sludge system operating at low temperature. *Bioresource Technology* 2018;

536 **256**: 22-29.

537 30. Widder S, Allen RJ, Pfeiffer T, Curtis TP, Wiuf C, Sloan WT *et al*. Challenges in microbial

538 ecology: building predictive understanding of community function and dynamics. *The Isme*

539 *Journal* 2016; **10**: 2557.

31. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a dual-index

sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq

Illumina sequencing platform. *Applied and environmental microbiology* 2013; **79**(17): 5112-

5120.

32. Edgar RC. UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon

sequencing. *bioRxiv* 2016.

33. Edgar RC, Flyvbjerg H. Error filtering, pair assembly and error correction for next-generation

sequencing reads. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 2015; **31**(21): 3476-3482.

34. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*

(Oxford, England) 2010; **26**(19): 2460-2461.

35. Edgar R. SINTAX: a simple non-Bayesian taxonomy classifier for 16S and ITS sequences.

bioRxiv 2016.

36. McIlroy SJ, Saunders AM, Albertsen M, Nierychlo M, McIlroy B, Hansen AA *et al*. MiDAS:

the field guide to the microbes of activated sludge. *Database* 2015; **2015**.

37. Albertsen M, Karst SM, Ziegler AS, Kirkegaard RH, Nielsen PH. Back to Basics–The Influence

of DNA extraction and primer choice on phylogenetic analysis of activated sludge communities.

PloS one 2015; **10**(7): e0132783.

38. Oksanen F, Blanchet G, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D *et al*. vegan: Community

Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-4. http s. *CRAN R-pro j ect org/pack age= vega n* 2017.

39. Wright ES. DECIPHER: harnessing local sequence context to improve protein multiple

sequence alignment. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2015; **16**(1): 322.

40. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees for

Large Alignments. *PLOS ONE* 2010; **5**(3): e9490.

41. Jost L. Entropy and diversity. *Oikos* 2006; **113**(2): 363-375.

42. Chiu C-H, Chao A. Distance-Based Functional Diversity Measures and Their Decomposition:

A Framework Based on Hill Numbers. *PLOS ONE* 2014; **9**(7): e100014.

43. Jost L. Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. *Ecology* 2007;

88(10): 2427-2439.

44. Stegen JC, Lin X, Fredrickson JK, Chen X, Kennedy DW, Murray CJ *et al*. Quantifying

community assembly processes and identifying features that impose them. *The Isme Journal*

2013; **7**: 2069.

45. Kembel S, Ackerly D, Blomberg S, Cornwell W, Cowan P, Helmus M *et al* (2015). Picante: R

tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. R package version 1.6-2.

46. Dini-Andreote F, Stegen JC, van Elsas JD, Salles JF. Disentangling mechanisms that mediate

the balance between stochastic and deterministic processes in microbial succession.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2015; **112**(11): E1326-E1332.

47. Wang J, Shen J, Wu Y, Tu C, Soininen J, Stegen JC *et al*. Phylogenetic beta diversity in

bacterial assemblages across ecosystems: deterministic versus stochastic processes. *ISME J*

2013; **7**(7): 1310-1321.

48. Chase JM, Kraft NJB, Smith KG, Vellend M, Inouye BD. Using null models to disentangle

variation in community dissimilarity from variation in α-diversity. *Ecosphere* 2011; **2**(2): 1-11.

49. Liébana R, Arregui L, Santos A, Murciano A, Marquina D, Serrano S. Unravelling the

interactions among microbial populations found in activated sludge during biofilm formation.

FEMS Microbiology Ecology 2016; **92**(9): fiw134-fiw134.

50. Katharios-Lanwermeyer S, Xi C, Jakubovics NS, Rickard AH. Mini-review: Microbial

coaggregation: ubiquity and implications for biofilm development. *Biofouling* 2014; **30**(10):

1235-1251.

51. Weissbrodt DG, Lochmatter S, Ebrahimi S, Rossi P, Maillard J, Holliger C. Bacterial selection

during the formation of early-stage aerobic granules in wastewater treatment systems

operated under wash-out dynamics. *Front Microbiol* 2012; **3**: 332.

52. Ding Z, Bourven I, Guibaud G, van Hullebusch ED, Panico A, Pirozzi F *et al*. Role of

extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) production in bioaggregation: application to

wastewater treatment. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2015; **99**(23): 9883-9905.

53. Xia J, Ye L, Ren H, Zhang X-X. Microbial community structure and function in aerobic

granular sludge. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2018; **102**(9): 3967-3979.

54. Lu H, Chandran K, Stensel D. Microbial ecology of denitrification in biological wastewater

treatment. *Water Res* 2014; **64**.

55. Seenivasagan R, Rajakumar S, Kasimani R, Ayyasamy PM. Screening of assimilatory and

dissimilatory denitrifying microbes isolated from nitrate-contaminated water and soil.

Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology 2014; **44**(6): 586-597.

56. Szabó E, Liébana R, Hermansson M, Modin O, Persson F, Wilén B-M. Microbial population

dynamics and ecosystem functions of anoxic/aerobic granular sludge in sequencing batch

reactors operated at different organic loading rates. *Front Microbiol* 2017; **8**.

57. Coveley S, Elshahed MS, Youssef NH. Response of the rare biosphere to environmental

stressors in a highly diverse ecosystem (Zodletone spring, OK, USA). *PeerJ* 2015; **3**: e1182.

58. Pagaling E, Strathdee F, Spears BM, Cates ME, Allen RJ, Free A. Community history affects

the predictability of microbial ecosystem development. *The Isme Journal* 2013; **8**: 19.

59. Shade A, Jones SE, Caporaso JG, Handelsman J, Knight R, Fierer N *et al*. Conditionally Rare

Taxa Disproportionately Contribute to Temporal Changes in Microbial Diversity. *mBio* 2014;

5(4).

60. Szabó E, Liébana R, Hermansson M, Modin O, Persson F, Wilén B-M. Comparison of the

bacterial community composition in the granular and the suspended phase of sequencing

batch reactors. *AMB Express* 2017; **7**(1): 168.

61. Albright MBN, Martiny JBH. Dispersal alters bacterial diversity and composition in a natural

community. *The Isme Journal* 2017; **12**: 296.

62. Johnke J, Cohen Y, de Leeuw M, Kushmaro A, Jurkevitch E, Chatzinotas A. Multiple micro-

predators controlling bacterial communities in the environment. *Current Opinion in*

Biotechnology 2014; **27**: 185-190.

63. Li Y, Zou J, Zhang L, Sun J. Aerobic granular sludge for simultaneous accumulation of mineral

phosphorus and removal of nitrogen via nitrite in wastewater. *Bioresource Technology* 2014;

154: 178-184.

64. Wan C, Yang X, Lee D-J, Liu X, Sun S. Partial nitrification using aerobic granule continuous-

flow reactor: Operations and microbial community. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical*

Engineers 2014; **45**(5): 2681-2687.

65. Feng S, Tan CH, Constancias F, Kohli GS, Cohen Y, Rice SA. Predation by Bdellovibrio

bacteriovorus significantly reduces viability and alters the microbial community composition

of activated sludge flocs and granules. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 2017; **93**(4): fix020-fix020.

66. Ayarza JM, Erijman L. Balance of neutral and deterministic components in the dynamics of

activated sludge floc assembly. *Microbial Ecology* 2011; **61**(3): 486-495.

67. Bagchi S, Tellez B, Rao H, Lamendella R, Saikaly P. Diversity and dynamics of dominant and

rare bacterial taxa in replicate sequencing batch reactors operated under different solids

retention time. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2015; **99**(5): 2361-2370.

68. Goux X, Calusinska M, Lemaigre S, Marynowska M, Klocke M, Udelhoven T *et al*. Microbial

community dynamics in replicate anaerobic digesters exposed sequentially to increasing

organic loading rate, acidosis, and process recovery. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 2015; **8**(1): 122.

69. Griffin JS, Wells GF. Regional synchrony in full-scale activated sludge bioreactors due to

deterministic microbial community assembly. *The Isme Journal* 2016; **11**: 500.

637