1

Full title: Antagonistic paralogs control a switch between growth and pathogen

resistance in *C. elegans*

Short title: A switch for *C. elegans* resistance to diverse natural pathogens

Kirthi C. Reddy*, Tal Dror*, Ryan S. Underwood*, Guled A. Osman#, Christopher A.

Desjardins^, Christina A. Cuomo^, Michalis Barkoulas#, and Emily R. Troemel*

*Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

USA

^Infectious Disease and Microbiome Program, Broad Institute, Cambridge MA 02142

USA

Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, UK

Lead contact: Emily Troemel, 9500 Gilman Dr #0349, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

etroemel@ucsd.edu

Abstract

Immune genes are under intense pressure from pathogens, which cause these genes to

diversify over evolutionary time and become species-specific. Through a forward genetic

screen we recently described a *C. elegans*-specific gene called *pals-22* to be a repressor

of "Intracellular Pathogen Response" or IPR genes. Here we describe *pals-25*, which,

like *pals-22*, is a species-specific gene of unknown biochemical function. We identified

pals-25 in a screen for suppression of *pals-22* mutant phenotypes and found that

mutations in *pals-25* suppress all known phenotypes caused by mutations in *pals-22*.

These phenotypes include increased IPR gene expression, thermotolerance, and

immunity against natural pathogens. Mutations in *pals-25* also reverse the reduced

lifespan and slowed growth of *pals-22* mutants. Transcriptome analysis indicates that

pals-22 and *pals-25* control expression of genes induced not only by natural pathogens

of the intestine, but also by natural pathogens of the epidermis. Indeed, in an

independent forward genetic screen we identified *pals-22* as a repressor and *pals-25* as

an activator of epidermal defense gene expression. These phenotypic and evolutionary

features of *pals-22* and *pals-25* are strikingly similar to species-specific R gene pairs in

plants that control immunity against co-evolved pathogens.

Introduction

Evolutionarily ancient genes control core processes in diverse organisms. For example,

the >500 million-year-old Hox gene cluster is required for establishing body plan polarity

in animals as diverse as worms, flies and humans [1]. However, evolutionarily young

genes can also play key roles in development. For example, the *Drosophila* Umbrea

gene only evolved within the *Drosophila* lineage in the last 15 million years but is

essential for chromosome segregation in *Drosophila melanogaster* [2]. In general, the

functions of evolutionarily young genes are less well understood than the function of

evolutionarily ancient genes.

New genes can arise through gene duplication and diversification [3]. Extensive gene

duplication can lead to large, expanded gene families, which appear 'species-specific' if

there is significant diversification away from the ancestral gene. The function of species-

specific genes can provide insight into the pressures imposed upon organisms in their

recent evolutionary past. Pathogen infection imposes some of the strongest selective

pressure on organisms, and accordingly, many species-specific, expanded gene families

are involved in immunity. One example is the family of mouse Naip genes, which encode

sensor proteins in the inflammasome that detect bacteria to trigger cytokine release and

cell death [4]. Another example is the plant R genes, which detect virulence factors from

co-evolved pathogens to activate effector-triggered immunity [5]. Interestingly, a growing

theme in plant R genes is that they can function as opposing gene pairs, with one R

gene promoting host defense and the other R gene inhibiting host defense. Of note, both

the Naip and R genes were identified through unbiased forward genetic screens for

immune genes.

Recently, we described a forward genetic screen in *C. elegans* for genes that regulate

the transcriptional response to natural intracellular pathogens [6]. From this screen we

identified a *C. elegans*-specific gene called *pals-22* that regulates expression of

Intracellular Pathogen Response or IPR genes. Interestingly, we found that *pals-22* also

regulates proteostasis, potentially through ubiquitin ligase activity (see below). The '*pals*'

signature stands for protein containing ALS2CR12 signature, which is found in the single

pals gene in humans called ALS2CR12. A genome-wide association study implicated

ALS2CR12 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) [7], although this gene has no known

role in ALS, and its biological function is unknown. The *pals* gene family has only a

single member each in the mouse and human genomes, but is substantially expanded in

Caenorhabditis genomes: *C. elegans* has 39 *pals* genes; *C. remanei* has 18 *pals* genes;

C. brenneri has 8 *pals* genes; and *C. briggsae* has 8 *pals* genes [8].

pals-22 mutants have several striking phenotypes in *C. elegans*. First, *pals-22* mutants

have constitutive expression of several IPR genes including the cullin gene *cul-6*, which

is predicted to encode a component of a Cullin-Ring Ligase complex. Second, *pals-22*

mutants have increased tolerance of proteotoxic stressors, and this increased tolerance

requires the wild-type function of *cul-6*. Third, *pals-22* mutants have less robust health in

the absence of stressors. In particular, they have slowed development and shorter

lifespans compared to wild-type animals. Fourth, as shown by another group who

identified *pals-22* in an independent forward genetic screen, *pals-22* mutants have

increased transgene silencing, and increased RNA interference (RNAi) against

exogenous RNA [8]. Thus, loss-of-function mutations in *pals-22* appear to broadly

reprogram the physiology of *C. elegans*.

Here we describe a forward genetic screen for suppressors of *pals-22* and identify

another *pals* gene called *pals-25.* Interestingly, although it appears that *pals-25* and

pals-22 are in an operon together, these two genes function antagonistically and direct

opposing phenotypes. We show that mutations in *pals-25* strongly suppress all the

physiological phenotypes seen in *pals-22* mutants, including IPR gene expression,

stress resistance, lifespan, development and transgene silencing. Furthermore, we find

that *pals-22* mutants have increased resistance against natural intracellular pathogens,

like the microsporidian species *Nematocida parisii* and the Orsay virus. This increased

resistance is suppressed by mutations in *pals-25*. Also, we use RNA-seq analysis to

show that the *pals-22/pals-25* gene pair (hereafter referred to as *pals-22/25*) regulate

expression of a majority of the genes induced by natural pathogens of the intestine and

find that most of these genes are also induced by blockade of the proteasome.

Interestingly, we observe that *pals-22* and *pals-25* also regulate expression of genes

induced by natural eukaryotic pathogens infecting through the epidermis. Indeed, in an

independent forward genetic screen to find regulators of epidermal defense gene

expression we identified additional mutant alleles of *pals-22* and *pals-25*. In summary,

the species-specific *pals-22/25* gene pair control an entire physiological program that

balances growth with increased proteostasis capacity and resistance against diverse

natural pathogens.

Results

***pals-25* is required for increased IPR gene expression in *pals-22* mutants**

Previously we found that wild-type *pals-22* represses expression of IPR genes: *pals-22*

mutants have constitutive expression of several IPR genes including *pals-5* [6]. A

transcriptional reporter consisting of the 1273 bp upstream region of *pals-5* fused to

GFP, *pals-5p::GFP,* is a reliable marker of IPR gene expression [9] and exhibits strong

GFP expression in a *pals-22* mutant background [6] (Fig 1A-C). To find positive

regulators of IPR gene expression, we mutagenized *pals-22*; *pals-5p::GFP* strains and

screened for loss of GFP expression in F2 animals. From one screen in the *pals-22(jy1)*

mutant background and one screen in the *pals-22(jy3)* mutant background we screened

a total of ~23,000 haploid genomes and found eight independent mutant alleles that

almost entirely reverse the increased *pals-5p::GFP* expression back to wild-type levels in

pals-22 mutants (Fig 1A-F). All of these alleles are recessive, segregate in Mendelian

ratios, and fail to complement each other. These results suggest they all have loss-of-

function mutations in the same gene.

Fig 1. *pals-25* is required for increased *pals-5p::GFP* expression in *pals-22*

mutants.

(A-E) Mutants isolated from *pals-22* suppressor screens show decreased expression of

the *pals-5p::GFP* reporter. Shown are (A) wild-type, (B) *pals-22(jy1),* (C) *pals-22(jy3),* (D)

pals-22(jy1) pals-25(jy11), and (E) *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* animals. Green is *pals-*

5p::GFP, red is *myo-2p::mCherry* expression in the pharynx as a marker for presence of

the transgene. Images are overlays of green, red and Nomarski channels and were

taken with the same camera exposure for all. Scale bar, 100 *µ*m. (F) *pals-5p::GFP*

expression quantified in *pals-22* suppressor mutants using a COPAS Biosort machine to

measure the mean GFP signal and length of individual animals, indicated by green dots.

Mean signal of the population is indicated by black bars, with error bars as SD. Graph is

a compilation of three independent replicates, with at least 100 animals analyzed in each

replicate. *** $p < 0.001$ with Student's t-test. (G) *pals-22* and *pals-25* gene coding

structure (UTR not shown), with blue exons for *pals-22* and red exons for *pals-25*. See

S1 Table for residues altered. (H) *pals-5p::GFP* expression in animals treated with either

L4440 RNAi control or *pals-25* RNAi, quantified using a COPAS Biosort machine to

measure the mean GFP signal and length of animals. Parameters the same as in (F).

We used whole-genome sequencing of two mutant strains (*jy9* and *jy100*) to identify the

causative alleles [10] and found predicted loss of function mutations in *pals-25* in both

strains. Further sequencing identified *pals-25* mutations in the remaining six mutant

strains (Fig 1G, S1 Table). *pals-25* appears to be in an operon just downstream of *pals-*

22, and while these two genes are paralogs, they share limited sequence similarity, with

no significant similarity on the DNA level and only 19.4% identity on the amino acid level.

Of note, neither *pals-22* nor *pals-25* have obvious orthologs in other *Caenorhabditis*

species, and thus appear to be specific to *C. elegans* [8]. To further confirm that *pals-25*

regulates *pals-5p::GFP* gene expression in *pals-22* mutants, we performed RNAi against

pals-25 in a *pals-22*; *pals-5p::GFP* strain. As expected, we found lowered expression of

pals-5p::GFP (Fig 1H, S2 Fig), indicating that wild-type *pals-25* is required for the

increased expression of *pals-5p::GFP* seen in a *pals-22* mutant background.

These observations suggest that *pals-25* acts downstream of *pals-22* to activate mRNA

expression of IPR genes. To test this hypothesis, we used qRT-PCR to measure levels

of endogenous mRNA in *pals-22 pals-25* mutants compared to *pals-22* mutants and

wild-type animals (Fig 2A). We analyzed mRNA levels of *pals-5*, as well as seven other

IPR genes including nematode-specific genes of unknown function (F26F2.1, F26F2.3,

and F26F2.4), and predicted ubiquitin ligase components *skr-3, skr-4, skr-5* and *cul-6*.

Here we found that mutations in *pals-25* reverse the elevated mRNA levels of all eight of

these IPR genes in a *pals-22* mutant background back to near wild-type levels.

Importantly, a non-IPR gene, *skr-1*, is not affected by mutations in *pals-22* or *pals-25*.

These results indicate that in a *pals-22* mutant background, wild-type *pals-25* activates

IPR gene expression.

Fig 2. *pals-25* is required for increased IPR gene expression in *pals-22* mutants,

but not for IPR induction in response to infection or proteasome inhibition.

(A) qRT-PCR measurement of gene expression in *pals-22* and *pals-22 pals-25* animals,

shown as the fold change relative to wild-type control. (B-C) qRT-PCR measurement of

IPR gene expression in *pals-22(jy3)* and *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* animals following 4

hours of infection with *N. parisii* (B) or treatment with the proteasome inhibitor

bortezomib (C). For (A-C), results shown are the average of two independent biological

replicates and error bars are SD. * p < 0.05 with Student's t-test.

Previous analysis indicated that *pals-22* is broadly expressed in several tissues in the

animal, including the intestine and the epidermis [6, 8]. Similarly, we found that *pals-25* is

broadly expressed. Using a fosmid containing *pals-25* with endogenous *cis* regulatory

control and tagged at the C terminus with GFP and 3xFLAG [11], we observed PALS-

25::GFP expression throughout the animal, including expression in the neurons,

epidermis, and intestine (S2B Fig). We did not see any change in PALS-25::GFP

expression after *pals-22* RNAi treatment (S2C Fig).

IPR genes are induced by infection and by proteasome blockade in *pals-22 pals-*

***25* mutants**

As *pals-25* is required to activate IPR gene expression in a *pals-22* mutant background,

we wondered whether *pals-25* was required for inducing IPR gene expression in

response to external triggers. We originally identified IPR genes because of their

induction by *N. parisii* infection [6, 9], which is an intracellular pathogen in the

Microsporidia phylum that invades and undergoes its entire replicative life cycle inside *C.*

elegans intestinal cells [12]. We therefore infected animals with *N. parisii* and compared

induction of IPR genes in *pals-22 pals-25* mutants and wild-type animals at 4 hours (Fig

2B). Here we found similar levels of IPR gene induction in *pals-22 pals-25* and wild-type

animals, suggesting that *pals-22/25* regulate expression of IPR genes in parallel to

infection. Next, we examined the role of *pals-22/25* in the transcriptional response to

proteasome blockade, which is another trigger of IPR gene expression [9] (Fig 2C). We

used bortezomib, which is a small molecule inhibitor of the 26S proteasome. Here again,

we found that bortezomib treatment induced IPR gene expression in *pals-22 pals-25*

mutants at levels similar to wild-type animals. Therefore *pals-22/25* appear to regulate

IPR gene expression in parallel to infection and proteasomal stress.

pals-25 mutations reverse multiple physiological phenotypes caused by _pals-22_

mutations

pals-22 mutants have several striking physiological phenotypes, including slowed growth

and shorter lifespans, as well as increased resistance to proteotoxic stress like heat

shock [6]. Therefore, we investigated whether mutations in *pals-25* suppress these

phenotypes of *pals-22* mutants. First, we investigated developmental rate by measuring

the fraction of animals that reach the fourth larval (L4) stage by 48 hours after

embryogenesis. Nearly all wild-type animals are L4 at this timepoint, whereas less than

20% of *pals-22* mutants are L4 (Fig 3A). We found that mutations in *pals-25* completely

reverse this delayed development of *pals-22* mutants, with nearly all *pals-22 pals-25*

mutants reaching the L4 stage by 48 hours (Fig 3A). Next, we analyzed lifespan, as

previous work showed that *pals-22* mutants have a significantly shortened lifespan

compared to wild-type animals [6, 8]. Here again we found that *pals-25* mutations

reversed this effect, with *pals-22 pals-25* mutants having lifespans comparable to wild-

type animals (Fig 3B, S3A-B Fig). Next, we investigated the effect of *pals-25* mutations

on the thermotolerance capacity of *pals-22* mutants, which is greatly enhanced

compared to wild-type animals. We found that *pals-22 pals-25* double mutants have

survival after heat shock at levels similar to wild-type animals (Fig 3C, S3C-D Fig),

indicating that *pals-25* is required for the enhanced thermotolerance of *pals-22* mutants.

Thus, these results show that in a *pals-22* mutant background, wild-type *pals-25* is

required to delay development, shorten lifespan and enhance thermotolerance.

Fig 3. *pals-25* mutations suppress diverse phenotypes of *pals-22* mutants.

(A) *pals-25* mutation suppresses the developmental delay of *pals-22* mutants. Fraction of

animals reaching the L4 larval stage 48 hours after eggs were laid is indicated. Results

shown are the average of three independent biological replicates, with 100 animals

assayed in each replicate. Error bars are SD. *** $p < 0.001$ with Student's t-test. (B)

Lifespan of wild type, *pals-22(jy3)*, and *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* animals. Assays were

performed with 40 animals per plate, and three plates per strain per experiment. This

experiment was repeated three independent times with similar results, with data from a

representative experiment shown. See Figures S2A and S2B for other replicates. p-value

for *pals-22(jy3)* compared to *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* is <0.0001 using the Log-rank test.

(C) The increased survival of *pals-22* mutants after heat shock is suppressed by

mutations of *pals-25*. Animals were incubated for 2 hours at 37°C followed by 24 hours

at 20°C, and then assessed for survival. Strains were tested in triplicate, with at least 30

animals per plate. Mean fraction alive indicates the average survival among the

triplicates, errors bars are SD. ** $p < 0.01$. Assay was repeated three independent times

with similar results, and data from a representative experiment are shown. See Figures

S2C and S2D for other replicates. (D-I) *pals-25* mutation suppresses transgene silencing

in *pals-22* mutants. (D) *ric-19p::GFP* expression quantified in *pals-22* and *pals-22 pals-*

25 mutants using a COPAS Biosort machine to measure the mean GFP signal and

length of individual animals, indicated by green dots. Mean signal of the population is

indicated by black bars with error bars as SD. Graph is a compilation of three

independent replicates, with at least 100 animals analyzed in each replicate. *** p <

0.001 with Student's t-test. In (E-I), green is neuronal expression of *ric-19p::GFP*. Shown

are (E) wild-type, (F) *pals-22(jy1)*, (G) *pals-22(jy3)*, (H) *pals-22(jy1) pals-25(jy11)*, and (I)

pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9) animals. Images are overlays of green and Nomarski channels

and were taken with the same camera exposure for all. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Previous work from the Hobert lab identified *pals-22* in a screen for regulators of reporter

gene expression in neurons [8]. They found that mutations in *pals-22* led to decreased

levels of GFP reporter expression in neurons and other tissues, and wild-type *pals-22*

thus acts as an 'anti-silencing' factor of multi-copy transgene expression. Therefore, we

analyzed the effects of *pals-25* mutations on transgene silencing in *pals-22* mutants.

Here we found that *pals-25* mutations reverse the enhanced silencing of a neuronally

expressed GFP transgene in *pals-22* mutants (Fig 3D-I), indicating that wild-type *pals-25*

activity is required to silence expression from multi-copy transgenes in a *pals-22* mutant

background. Of note, previous work found that a *pals-25* mutation alone does not affect

transgene silencing [8]. In summary, mutations in *pals-25* appear to fully reverse all

previously described phenotypes of *pals-22* mutants.

pals-22 mutants have immunity against coevolved intestinal pathogens of the

intestine, which is suppressed by pals-25 mutations

In addition to the previously described phenotypes of *pals-22* mentioned above, we

analyzed resistance of these mutants to intracellular infection. First we analyzed the

resistance of *pals-22* mutants to *N. parisii* infection. We fed animals a defined dose of

microsporidia spores and measured pathogen load inside intestinal cells. We analyzed

pathogen load at 30 hours post infection (hpi), when *N. parisii* is growing intracellularly in

the replicative meront stage, and found greatly lowered pathogen load in *pals-22*

mutants compared to wild-type animals (Fig 4A-F). We then tested *pals-22 pals-25*

double mutants and found these animals to have resistance comparable to wild-type.

One explanation for the altered levels of *N. parisii* observed in the intestines of *pals-22*

mutant animals is that these mutants have lowered feeding or accumulation of pathogen

in the intestine, and thus simply have a lower exposure to *N. parisii*. To address this

concern, we added fluorescent beads to our *N. parisii* infection assay and measured

accumulation in the intestinal lumen. Here we found that *pals-22* mutants and *pals-22*

pals-25 double mutants accumulated fluorescent beads at comparable levels to wild-type

animals (S4A Fig), suggesting that their pathogen resistance to *N. parisii* is not simply

due to lowered exposure to the pathogen in the intestinal lumen. As a positive control in

this assay we tested *eat-2(ad465)* mutants and found that they had reduced fluorescent

bead accumulation, consistent with their previously characterized feeding defect [13].

Altogether, these results indicate that *pals-22* and *pals-25* regulate resistance to

infection by microsporidia.

Figure 4. *pals-22* mutants have increased resistance to infection by *N. parisii* or

Orsay virus, dependent on *pals-25*.

(A-E) Images of (A) wild-type, (B) *pals-22(jy1)*, (C) *pals-22(jy3)*, (D) *pals-22(jy1) pals-*

25(jy11), and (E) *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* animals infected with *N. parisii* as L1s, fixed

30 hours post infection, and stained by FISH with an *N. parisii*-specific probe (red). Scale

bar, 100 μm. (F) *N. parisii* FISH signal quantified using a COPAS Biosort machine to

measure the mean red signal and length of individual animals, indicated by red dots.

Mean signal of the population is indicated by black bars, with error bars as SD. Graph is

a compilation of three independent replicates, with at least 100 animals analyzed in each

replicate. *** p < 0.001 with Student's t-test. (G) Fraction of animals infected with the

Orsay virus 18 hours post infection is indicated. Animals were fixed and stained by FISH

with a virus-specific probe, and scored visually for infection. Results shown are the

average of three independent biological replicates, with 100 animals assayed in each

replicate. Error bars are SD. *** p < 0.001 with Student's t-test. (H-L) Images of (H) wild-

type, (I) *pals-22(jy1)*, (J) *pals-22(jy3)*, (K) *pals-22(jy1) pals-25(jy11)*, and (L) *pals-22(jy3)*

pals-25(jy9) animals infected with the Orsay virus as L1s, fixed 18 hours post infection,

and stained by FISH with a virus-specific probe (red). Scale bar, 100 μm. (M)

Quantification of dsRed fluorescence levels in wild-type, *pals-22*, and *pals-22 pals-25*

animals after 16 hours of exposure to dsRed-expressing PA14. Red fluorescence was

measured using a COPAS Biosort machine to measure the mean red signal and length

of individual animals, indicated by red dots. Mean signal of the population is indicated by

black bars, with error bars as SD. Graph is a compilation of three replicates, with at least

100 animals analyzed in each replicate. *** p < 0.001 with Student's t-test.

We also investigated resistance of *pals-22* mutants and *pals-22 pals-25* double mutants

to other pathogens. First, we measured resistance to infection by the Orsay virus. Like

N. parisii, Orsay virus is a natural pathogen of *C. elegans,* and replicates inside of *C.*

elegans intestinal cells [14]. We used FISH staining of Orsay viral RNA to quantify the

fraction of worms infected at 18 hpi. Here we found that *pals-22* mutants had significantly

decreased viral load when compared to wild-type animals (Fig 4G-L). This lowered viral

infection in *pals-22* mutants was fully reversed in *pals-22 pals-25* mutants back to wild-

type levels. Importantly, we confirmed that *pals-22* and *pals-22 pals-25* mutants do not

have altered fluorescent bead accumulation in the intestine compared to wild-type

animals in the presence of virus (S4B Fig), indicating that their lowered viral load is not

likely due to lowered exposure to the virus.

Interestingly, we found that *pals-22* mutants did not have reduced pathogen loads when

infected with the Gram-negative bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (clinical

isolate PA14) (Fig 4M). In fact, these mutants had increased pathogen load, which was

suppressed by mutations in *pals-25*. To our knowledge *P. aeruginosa* species are not

common pathogens of nematodes in the wild, although under laboratory conditions, *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 does accumulate in the *C. elegans* intestinal lumen and causes a

lethal infection [15]. In summary *pals-22* mutants have increased resistance to natural

pathogens of the intestine, but increased susceptibility to PA14, a 'non-natural' pathogen

of the intestine.

RNA-seq analysis of *pals-22/25*-upregulated genes define the IPR

Previous work indicated that *N. parisii* and the Orsay virus induce a common set of

genes, despite these being very different pathogens [9]. We called eight of these genes

the IPR subset [6], and here we show they are regulated by *pals-22/25* (Fig 2A). To

identify additional genes regulated by *pals-22/25*, we performed RNA-seq analysis of

pals-22 mutants, *pals-22 pals-25* mutants, and wild-type animals. We performed

differential gene expression analysis using a FDR<0.01 cutoff (see Materials and

Methods for a complete description of criteria) and determined that 2,756 genes were

upregulated in *pals-22* mutants compared to wild-type animals (Fig 5A, S7 Table). Next

we compared *pals-22* mutants to *pals-22 pals-25* double mutants and found that 744

genes were upregulated (Fig 5A, S7 Table). Of these two comparisons, there are 702

genes in common that are upregulated both in *pals-22* mutants compared to wild-type

animals and in *pals-22* mutants compared to *pals-22 pals-25* double mutants (Fig 5A).

Therefore, these 702 genes are negatively regulated by wild-type *pals-22* and require

the activity of the wild-type *pals-25* for their induction in the absence of *pals-22.* These

702 genes include genes like our *pals-5* reporter (Fig 1) and other IPR genes (Fig 2).

Fig 5. The *pals-22/25* gene pair transcriptionally regulates genes that are induced

by *N. parisii* infection or proteasome blockade

(A) Venn diagram comparing 1) genes upregulated in *pals-22* mutants compared to wild-

type animals, 2) genes upregulated in *pals-22* mutants compared to *pals-22 pals-25*

double mutants and 3) genes induced in wild-type animals in response to *N. parisii*

infection. Gene sets 1 and 2 were obtained from RNA-seq data outlined in this study,

and Gene set 3 was obtained via RNA-seq in a previous study [9]. We define the IPR

genes as the 80 genes common across the three gene sets. (B-D) The relative mRNA

levels measured by RNA-seq in: *pals-22(jy3)* animals compared to wild-type; *pals-*

22(jy3) pals-25(jy9) animals compared to wild-type; and wild-type animals treated with

the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (BTZ) compared to DMSO (vehicle control for BTZ).

* FDR<0.01 as calculated by edgeR and limma (see Materials and Methods) indicates

the gene is considered to be differentially expressed. (B) *pals* genes induced by *N.*

parisii infection are also regulated by *pals-22/25* and induced by BTZ. (C) Species-

specific F26F2 genes are regulated by the *pals-22/25* gene pair and are induced by BTZ.

(D) The Cullin-Ring Ligase components Cullin (*cul*) and Skp-related (*skr)* genes that are

upregulated during *N. parisii* infection are also regulated by the *pals-22/25* gene pair and

induced by BTZ. (E) Venn Diagram showing overlap between: IPR genes defined in (A);

genes upregulated by treatment with BTZ; and genes upregulated due to *skn-1* RNAi

[16]. See Tables S2 and S3 for detailed expression levels of genes discussed here.

We next compared these 702 *pals-22/25* regulated genes to genes induced during *N.*

parisii infection identified in a previous study [9] to expand our list of IPR genes. Out of

127 genes induced during *N. parisii* infection we found that the *pals-22/25* gene pair

regulated mRNA expression of 80 of these genes (Fig 5A). Specifically, of the 25 *pals*

genes induced upon intracellular infection, all are induced in *pals-22* mutants and

reverted back to wild-type levels in *pals-22 pals-25* double mutants (Fig 5B, S7 Table).

Notably, all *pals* genes that are not regulated by *pals-22/25* are also not induced by

infection. Furthermore, the other nematode-specific genes F26F2.1, F26F2.3, and

F26F2.4, which are induced by *N. parisii* and Orsay virus infection, were also found to be

induced in *pals-22* mutants and brought back to wild-type levels in *pals-22 pals-25*

double mutants (Fig 5C). In addition, we found that the ubiquitin ligase components are

similarly regulated (Fig 5D). These studies thus define IPR genes as the 80 genes that

are: 1) induced by *N. parisii* infection, 2) induced in a *pals-22* mutant background, and 3)

reversed back to wild-type levels in *pals-22 pals-25* double mutants.

Genes regulated by *pals-22/25* and infection are also regulated by proteasomal

stress

Previous work indicated that blockade of the proteasome, either pharmacologically or

genetically, will induce expression of a subset of IPR genes [9]. To determine the IPR

genes that are induced by proteasome stress, we performed RNA-seq analysis to define

the whole-genome response to this stress. Again, we conducted differential expression

analysis and compared gene expression of animals after 4 hours of exposure to the

proteasome inhibitor bortezomib compared to the DMSO vehicle control. From these

experiments we determined that 988 genes are induced following bortezomib treatment,

using the cut-off mentioned above and described in the Materials and Methods.

Interestingly, nearly all of the IPR genes described above are also induced following

bortezomib treatment (Fig 5E). Previous work has shown that genes induced by *N.*

parisii do not include the proteasome subunits induced by proteasome blockade as part

of the bounceback response [9]. The bounceback response is induced via the

transcription factor SKN-1. Consistent with these results, here we find that the IPR genes

induced by bortezomib are distinct from those regulated by the transcription factor SKN-

1, as defined by a previous study [16]. The overlap between SKN-1 regulated genes and

IPR genes includes only one gene (Fig 5E).

As shown earlier, *pals-22* mutants have increased resistance to heat shock, and

previous work indicated that there is overlap between genes induced by chronic heat

stress and genes induced by *N. parisii* and virus infection [9]. However, the genes in

common are distinct from the canonical chaperones, or Heat Shock Proteins (HSPs),

which are induced by the heat shock transcription factor HSF-1. To learn more about the

connection between heat shock response, HSF-1, and the IPR, we compared the IPR

genes with those induced by HSF-1 as defined in a previous study [17]. Here we found 8

genes in common between our set of 80 IPR genes and the set of 368 genes

upregulated by HSF-1, none of which are predicted to encode chaperone proteins (S10

Table). We also compared the 368 genes upregulated by HSF-1 with the 702 genes that

are regulated by *pals-22/25* and found 59 genes in common (S10 Table). These genes

include secreted C-type lectins and F-box genes, but do not include chaperones. In

summary, HSF-1 regulates 59 genes in common with those regulated by *pals-22/25*, but

only 8 of these are IPR genes.

***pals-22* and *pals-25* regulate expression of genes induced by other natural**

pathogens

Next, we used Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) to broadly compare *pals-22/25*-

regulated genes to genes regulated by other pathogens, stressors, and stress-related

pathways. Here we found that *pals-22/25* does not significantly regulate expression of

genes induced by the Gram-negative bacterial pathogen *P. aeruginosa* or the Gram-

positive bacterial pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis* as

analyzed in previous studies (Fig 6). Notably, the strains used in these studies are

clinical isolates. Furthermore, these pathogen species are not known to be natural

pathogens of nematodes and are not found inside *C. elegans* intestinal cells before there

is extensive tissue damage in the host [18]. (Refer to S9 Table for additional

comparisons among genes regulated by *pals-22/25*, bortezomib treatment, and other

pathogens and stress pathways.)

Fig 6. Functional analysis of genes transcriptionally regulated by the *pals-22/25*

gene pair

Correlation of genes transcriptionally regulated by the *pals-22/25* gene pair and genes

differentially expressed due to bortezomib treatment with genes that are up- or

downregulated in response to infection by pathogens or other environmental stresses.

Analysis was performed using the GSEA 3.0 software package (see Materials and

Methods) and correlation is quantified as a Normalized Enrichment Score (NES). A

positive NES (yellow) indicates correlation with upregulated genes in the denoted

comparison while a negative NES (blue) indicates correlation with downregulated genes.

Black cells indicate no significant correlation was detected, a FDR>0.25, or p>0.05).

*FDR<0.05. For more detailed results, see S9 Table. For details on the gene sets used

see S8 Table.

Because *pals-22* and *pals-25* regulate expression of a majority of the genes induced by

natural intestinal pathogens like *N. parisii* and the Orsay virus, we investigated whether

they regulate the transcriptional response to natural pathogens that infect other tissues.

The fungal pathogen *Drechmeria coniospora* infects and penetrates the epidermis of

nematodes, triggering a GPCR signaling pathway that upregulates expression of

neuropeptide-like (*nlp*) genes including *nlp-29* to promote defense [15]. Our

transcriptome analysis shows that *pals-22/25* regulate a significant number of genes in

common with *Drechmeria* infection (S10 Table). Notably these genes do not include the

well-characterized neuropeptide *nlp* defense genes, although they do include many of

the *pals* genes. A more recently described natural pathogen of *C. elegans* is

Myzocytiopsis humicola, which is an oomycete that also infects through the epidermis

and causes a lethal infection [19]. Here as well, *pals-22/25* regulate a significant number

of genes in common with those induced by *M. humicola* infection, including the chitinase-

like '*chil'* genes that promote defense against this pathogen (S10 Table). Interestingly,

these *chil* genes, like the *pals* genes, are species-specific [8, 19].

We next used Ortholist [20] to determine which genes identified from our RNA-seq

analyses have predicted human orthologs. Of the 702 genes regulated by *pals-22/25,*

279 genes (39.7%) have predicted human orthologs (S11 Table). In contrast, of the 368

genes induced in *hsf-1* mutants 190 (51.6%) have predicted human orthologs.

Therefore, more of the genes regulated by the conserved transcription factor *hsf-1* have

human orthologs compared to genes regulated by the *C. elegans*-specific *pals-22/25*

gene pair. Furthermore, when we restrict our analysis to just the 80 IPR genes, only 14

(17.5%) have predicted human orthologs, indicating that the transcriptional response to

natural infection is enriched for genes that are not well-conserved.

***pals-22/25* control expression of epidermal defense genes induced by oomycetes**

As described above, the RNA-seq analysis of genes regulated by *pals-22/25* indicated

that this gene pair controls expression of genes induced by diverse natural pathogens of

C. elegans. Indeed, in a forward genetic screen for *C. elegans* genes that regulate

expression of the *M. humicola*-induced *chil-27p::GFP* reporter, we isolated independent

loss-of-function alleles of *pals-22* (Fig 7A). These mutant alleles cause constitutive

expression of *chil-27p::GFP* in the epidermis in the absence of infection (Fig 7B). RNAi

against *pals-22* also led to constitutive GFP expression (S12 Fig), in a manner that is

indistinguishable from that observed upon infection with *M. humicola*. These results

indicate that *pals-22* acts as a negative regulator of *chil-27* expression in the epidermis.

Fig 7. *pals-22* and *pals-25* regulate expression of *chil-27* in the epidermis.

(A) *pals-22* and *pals-25* gene coding structure (UTR not shown), with blue exons for

pals-22 and red exons for *pals-25*. See S1 Table for residues altered. (B) Expression of

chil-27p::GFP is regulated by *pals-22* and *pals-25*. Shown are control, *pals-22(icb88)*,

pals-22(icb90), *pals-22(icb90) pals-25(icb91)*, and *pals-22(icb90) pals-25(icb92)*

animals. The *col-12p::mCherrry* transgene is constitutively expressed in the epidermis.

Scale bar, 100 *µ*m. (C) Relative levels of *chil* gene expression. *pals-22/25* regulate *chil*

genes that are induced upon infection by oomycete. *FDR<0.01 as calculated by edgeR

and limma (see Materials and Methods) indicates the gene is considered to be

differentially expressed.

We then used a *pals-22; chil-27p::GFP* strain for a suppressor screen, analogous to the

one described earlier for suppressors of GFP expression in *pals-22; pals-5p::GFP* (Fig

1). Interestingly, in this new screen we isolated two new alleles of *pals-25* which fully

suppress the constitutive gene expression of *chil-27p::GFP* seen in *pals-22* mutants (Fig

7A-B), indicating that wild-type *pals-25* acts as a positive regulator of *chil-27* expression.

These observations are consistent with our differential expression analysis, which

determined that *chil-27* is induced in a *pals-22* mutant background and that *pals-25* is

required for this induction (Fig 7C). Therefore, *pals-22/25* act as a switch not only for

genes induced in the intestine by natural intestinal pathogens, but also as a switch for

genes induced in the epidermis by natural epidermal pathogens of *C. elegans*.

Discussion

In many organisms, there is a balance between growth and pathogen resistance. In

particular, many studies in plants have indicated that genetic immunity to disease comes

at a cost to the yield of crops [21]. Here we define a program in *C. elegans* that controls

a balance between organismal growth with resistance to natural pathogens, which is

regulated by the *pals-22/25* species-specific gene pair. These genes act as a switch

between a 'defense program' of enhanced resistance against diverse natural pathogens

like microsporidia and virus, improved tolerance of proteotoxic stress and increased

defense against exogenous RNA [8], and a 'growth program' of normal development and

lifespan (Fig 8). We call this physiological defense program the "IPR" and it appears to

be distinct from other canonical stress response pathways in *C. elegans*, including the

p38 MAP kinase pathway, the insulin-signaling pathway, and the heat shock response,

among others [6]. Our previous analyses indicated that ubiquitin ligases may play a role

in executing the IPR program, as the cullin/CUL-6 ubiquitin ligase subunit is required for

the enhanced proteostasis capacity of *pals-22* mutants [6].

Fig 8. Model for *pals-22/pals-25* regulation of response to natural pathogens of *C.*

***elegans*.**

pals-22 mutants are highly resistant to the microsporidian pathogen *N. parisii*, which is

the most common parasite found in wild-caught *C. elegans* [22, 23]. Little is known about

innate immune pathways that provide defense against *N. parisii*. Canonical immune

pathways in *C. elegans* like the p38 MAP kinase pathway provide defense against most

pathogens tested in *C. elegans* but do not provide defense against *N. parisii* [12]. The

mechanism by which *pals-22/25* regulate resistance to *N. parisii* is not clear. Our RNA-

seq analysis demonstrates that *pals-22/25* affect expression of hundreds of genes in the

genome. In particular, most of the genes induced by the natural intracellular pathogens

Orsay virus and *N. parisii* are controlled by *pals-22* and *pals-25*, although the function of

these IPR genes in defense is unknown. Interestingly, we found that *pals-22/25* regulate

expression of genes induced not only by natural intestinal pathogens but also of genes

induced by natural epidermal pathogens, such as the oomycete species *M. humicola*. *M.*

humicola induces expression of *chil*-gene family, and genetic analysis shows these

genes promote defense against *M. humicola* [19]. Notably, we identified *pals-22/25* in

independent forward genetic screens for regulators of *chil-27* and found that they

regulate expression of this defense gene in the epidermis. Thus, *pals-22/25* regulate

expression of genes induced by diverse natural pathogens.

While *pals-25* is required to activate IPR gene expression in a *pals-22* mutant

background, it is not required for activation of IPR gene expression in response to *N.*

parisii infection or proteasomal stress. Therefore, *pals-22/25* may not mediate detection

of these pathogens, although they might mediate detection and be redundant with other

factors. Intriguingly, the *pals-22/25* gene pair share evolutionary and phenotypic features

with plant R gene pairs, which serve as sensors for virulence factors delivered into host

cells by co-evolved plant pathogens. For example, the *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene pair

RRS1 and RPS4 are species-specific, share the same promoter, and direct opposite

outcomes, with RRS1 inhibiting and RPS4 promoting 'effector-triggered immunity'

against natural pathogens [24, 25]. Similarly, *pals-22* and *pals-25* are species-specific,

appear to be in an operon together, and direct opposite physiological outcomes including

defense against natural pathogens. RRS1 and RPS4 proteins directly bind to each other,

and RRS1 normally inhibit RPS4 function until detection of bacterial virulence factors, at

which point RRS1 inhibition is relieved and RPS4 is free to promote pathogen defense,

although the steps downstream of RRS1/RPS4 are poorly understood. Although the *pals*

genes do not share sequence similarity with the R genes, in this analogy PALS-22 would

inhibit PALS-25 and serve as the 'tripwire' to detect virulence factors from natural

pathogens and free PALS-25 to promote the IPR defense program. While this model is

attractive, it is purely speculative as we currently have no direct evidence that PALS-22

detects virulence factors. Identification of such hypothetical virulence factors would be

the focus of future studies.

The molecular events by which *C. elegans* detects infection are poorly understood,

although nematodes do appear to use a form of effector-triggered immunity or

'surveillance immunity'. Studies with several distinct pathogens have indicated that *C.*

elegans induces defense gene expression in response to perturbation of core processes

like translation and the ubiquitin-proteasome system [26]. For example, studies with *P.*

aeruginosa demonstrated that *C. elegans* detects the presence of the translation-

blocking Exotoxin A through its effects on host translation, not through detection of the

shape of the toxin [27, 28]. In addition to this mode of detection, *C. elegans* may also

detect specific molecular signatures like canonical Pathogen-Associated Molecular

Patterns (PAMPs). In all likelihood, several types of pathogen detection are used by *C.*

elegans. Surprisingly however, there have been no direct PAMP ligand/receptor

interactions demonstrated for pattern recognition receptors (PRR) in the worm, although

there has been a Damage-Associated Molecular Pattern (DAMP)/G-protein-coupled

receptor interaction demonstrated to be critical for response to *Drechmeria* infection [29].

Indeed, *C. elegans* lacks many PRR signaling pathways that are well described in flies

and mammals. For example, the *C. elegans* single Toll-like receptor *tol-1* does not act

canonically and worms appear to have lost its downstream transcription factor NFkB,

which is critical for innate immunity in flies and mammals [30]. Perhaps conservation of

immune genes is only reserved for defense against rare, 'non-natural' pathogens,

because genes that are important for immunity are subject to attack and inhibition by

microbes [31]. Thus, immune genes that provide defense against natural pathogens from

the recent evolutionary past will not be broadly conserved but rather will be species-

specific, like rapidly evolving R genes in plants. While R genes have been shown to

encode proteins that detect virulence factors secreted into host cells by co-evolved plant

pathogens, the mechanism by which they activate downstream immune signaling is

unclear. We propose that the IPR physiological program regulated by the *pals-22/25*

antagonistic paralogs in *C. elegans* could be analogous to effector-triggered immunity

regulated by opposing R gene pairs like RRS1/RPS4 used in plants for resistance

against co-evolved pathogens.

Interestingly, an example of vertebrate-specific antagonistic paralogs has recently been

described to play a role in regulating nonsense-mediated RNA decay (NMD) [32]. These

studies provide a potential explanation to the long-standing question of how gene

duplications are retained, when they are presumably redundant immediately following

gene duplication. Specifically, this model predicts that gene duplication events can be

rapidly retained if the proteins made from these genes are involved in protein-protein

interactions. With just one non-synonymous nucleotide change that switches a wild-type

copy to become dominant negative within a multimeric signaling complex, a gene

duplication event can be selected for and retained in the heterozygote state – i.e. in one

generation. Perhaps in this way, new genes can be born and survive, when gene pairs

can evolve to direct opposing functions like the Upf3a/3b paralogs in NMD, and the

RRS1/RPS4 and *pals-22/25* paralogs in immunity/growth.

608
Methods

Strains

C. elegans were maintained at 20°C on Nematode Growth Media (NGM) plates seeded

with Streptomycin-resistant *E. coli* OP50-1 bacteria according to standard methods [33].

We used N2 wild-type animals. Mutant or transgenic strains were backcrossed at least

three times. See S1 Table for a list of all strains used in this study.

EMS screens and cloning of alleles

pals-22 mutant worms (either the *jy1* or *jy3* allele) carrying the *jyIs8[pals-5p::GFP, myo-*

2p::mCherry] transgene were mutagenized with ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) (Sigma)

using standard procedures as described [34]. L4 stage P0 worms were incubated in 47

mM EMS for 4 hours at 20°C. Worms were screened in the F2 generation for decreased

expression of GFP using the COPAS Biosort machine (Union Biometrica).

Complementation tests were carried out by generating worms heterozygous for two

mutant alleles and scoring *pals-5p::GFP* fluorescence. For whole-genome sequencing

analysis of mutants, genomic DNA was prepared using a Puregene Core kit (Qiagen)

and 20X sequencing coverage was obtained. We identified only one gene (*pals-25*) on

LGIII containing variants predicted to alter function in both mutants sequenced (*jy9* and

jy100). Additional *pals-25* alleles were identified by Sanger sequencing. Screens in the

strains carrying the *icbIs4[chil-27p::GFP, col-12p::mCherry]* transgene were performed

in a similar manner except that we used 24 mM EMS to recover the *pals-22* alleles

(*icb88*, *icb90*) and 17 mM EMS for the *pals-22(icb90)* suppressor screen and that in both

cases we selected F2 animals manually using a Zeiss Axio ZoomV16 dissecting scope.

The two *pals-22* alleles (*icb88*, *icb90*) were identified by whole genome sequencing of

GFP positive F2 recombinants after crossing to the polymorphic isolate CB4856 as

previously described [35] whereas the two *pals-25* alleles were found by direct

sequencing of the mutant strains. The *pals-22(icb89)* allele was identified by Sanger

sequencing. See S1 Table for a list of all mutations identified.

RNA interference

RNA interference was performed using the feeding method. Overnight cultures of RNAi

clones in the HT115 bacterial strain were seeded onto NGM plates supplemented with

5mM IPTG and 1mM carbenicillin and incubated at 25°C for 1 day. Eggs from bleached

parents or synchronized L1 stage animals were fed RNAi until the L4 stage at 20°C. For

all RNAi experiments an *unc-22* clone leading to twitching animals was used as a

positive control to test the efficacy of the RNAi plates. The *pals-22* RNAi clone (from the

Ahringer RNAi library) was verified by sequencing. The *pals-25* RNAi clone was made

with PCR and includes 1079 base pairs spanning the second, third, and fourth exons of

pals-25. This sequence was amplified from N2 genomic DNA, cloned into the L4440

RNAi vector, and then transformed into HT115 bacteria for feeding RNAi experiments.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Endogenous mRNA expression changes were measured with qRT-PCR as previously

described [6]. Synchronized L1 worms were grown on NGM plates at 20°C to the L4

stage and then collected in TriReagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc.) for RNA

extraction. For *N. parisii* infection, 7×10^6 spores were added to plates with L4 stage

worms and then incubated at 25°C for 4 hours before RNA isolation. Bortezomib (or an

equivalent amount of DMSO) was added to L4 stage worms for a final concentration of

20 µM; plates were then incubated at 20°C for 4 hours before RNA isolation. At least two

independent biological replicates were measured for each condition, and each biological

replicate was measured in duplicate and normalized to the *snb-1* control gene, which did

not change upon conditions tested. The Pffafl method was used for quantifying data [36].

Heat shock assay

Worms were grown on standard NGM plates until the L4 stage at 20°C and then shifted

to 37°C for two hours. Following heat shock, plates were laid in a single layer on the

bench top for 30 minutes to recover, and then moved to a 20°C incubator overnight.

Worms were scored in a blinded manner for survival 24 hours after heat shock; animals

not pumping or responding to touch were scored as dead. Three plates were assayed for

each strain in each replicate, with at least 30 worms per plate, and three independent

assays were performed.

GFP fluorescence measurement

Synchronized L1 stage animals were grown at 20°C to the L4 stage. The COPAS Biosort

machine (Union Biometrica) was used to measure the time of flight (as a measure of

length) and fluorescence of individual worms. At least 100 worms were measured for

each strain, and all experiments were repeated three times.

Lifespan

L4 stage worms were transferred to 6 cm NGM plates seeded with OP50-1 bacteria and

incubated at 25°C. Worms were scored every day, and animals that did not respond to

touch were scored as dead. Animals that died from internal hatching or crawled off the

plate were censored. Worms were transferred to new plates every day throughout the

reproductive period. Three plates were assayed for each strain in each replicate, with 40

worms per plate.

Microscopy

Worms were anesthetized with 10 μM levamisole in M9 buffer and mounted on 2%

agarose pads for imaging. Images in Figure S1B and S1C were captured with a Zeiss

LSM700 confocal microscope. All other *C. elegans* images were captured with a Zeiss

AxioImager M1 or Axio Zoom.V16.

N. parisii and Orsay virus infection assays

N. parisii spores were prepared as previously described [37], and Orsay virions were

prepared as described previously [9]. For pathogen load analysis, synchronized L1

worms were plated with a mixture of OP50 bacteria and 5×10^5 *N. parisii* spores or a

1:20 dilution of Orsay virus filtrate, and then incubated at 25°C for either 30 hours (*N.*

parisii) or 18 hours (Orsay virus) before fixing with paraformaldehyde. Fixed worms were

stained with individual FISH probes conjugated to the red Cal Fluor 610 dye (Biosearch

Technologies) targeting either *N. parisii* ribosomal RNA or Orsay virus RNA. *N. parisii*

pathogen load was measured with the COPAS Biosort machine (Union Biometrica).

Orsay virus infection was assayed visually using the 10x objective on a Zeiss

AxioImager M1 microscope. In feeding measurement assays, plates were set up as for

pathogen infection with the addition of fluorescent beads (Fluoresbrite Polychromatic

Red Microspheres, Polysciences Inc.). Worms were fixed in paraformaldehyde after 30

minutes and red fluorescence signal was measured with the COPAS Biosort machine

(Union Biometrica).

P. aeruginosa pathogen load

Overnight cultures of a *P. aeruginosa* PA14-dsRed strain [38] were seeded onto SK

plates with 50 µg/ml ampicillin, and then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours followed by

25°C for 24 hours. Worms at the L4 stage were washed onto the PA14-dsRed plates,

incubated at 25°C for 16 hours, and then assayed with a COPAS Biosort machine

(Union Biometrica) for the amount of red fluorescence inside each animal.

RNA-seq sample preparation

Synchronized L1 stage worms were grown on 10 cm NGM plates seeded with OP50-1

E. coli at 20°C until worms had reached the L4 stage. N2, *pals-22(jy3)*, and *pals-22(jy3)*

pals-25(jy9) strains were then shifted to 25°C for 4 hours before harvesting for RNA

extraction. Bortezomib (or an equivalent amount of DMSO) was added to plates with L4

stage N2 worms for a final concentration of 20uM; plates were then incubated at 20°C for

4 hours before RNA isolation. RNA was isolated with TriReagent purification, followed by

RNeasy column cleanup (Qiagen), as described [39]. RNA quality was assessed by

Tapestation analysis at the Institute for Genomic Medicine (IGM) at UC San Diego.

Paired-end sequencing libraries were then constructed with the TruSeq Stranded mRNA

method (Illumina), followed by sequencing on HiSeq4000 machine (Illumina).

RNA-seq analysis
725
Sequencing reads were aligned to WormBase release WS235 using Bowtie 2 [40], and

transcript abundance was estimated using RSEM [41]. Differential expression analysis

was performed in RStudio (v1.1.453) [42] using R (v3.50) [43] and Bioconductor (v3.7)

[44] packages. As outlined in the RNAseq123 vignette [45], data was imported, filtered

and normalized using edgeR [46], and linear modeling and differential expression

analysis was performed using limma [47]. An FDR [48] cutoff of <0.01 was used to

define differentially expressed genes; no fold-change criteria was used. Lists of

upregulated genes used for comparisons were exported and further sanitized to remove

dead genes and update WBGeneIDs to Wormbase release WS263.

Functional analysis

Functional analysis was performed using Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) v3.0

software [49, 50]. Normalized RNA-seq expression data were converted into a GSEA-

compatible filetype and ranked using the signal-to-noise metric with 1,000 permutations.

Gene sets from other studies were converted to WBGeneIDs according to WormBase

release WS263. Independent analyses were performed for each of three comparisons:

untreated *pals-22(jy3)* versus untreated N2 animals; untreated *pals-22(jy3)* versus

untreated *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* animals; bortezomib treated N2 versus DMSO vehicle

control treated N2. Results were graphed based on their NES-value using GraphPad

Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the IGM for RNAseq library construction and sequencing, Corrina

Elder, Jessica Sowa, Ivana Sfarcic, and Michael K. Fasseas for technical support, and

Vladimir Lazetic, Robert Luallen, Johan Panek, Ivana Sfarcic, Eillen Tecle, Samira Yitiz

and Elina Zuniga for comments on the manuscript.

References

1.   Pearson JC, Lemons D, McGinnis W. Modulating Hox gene functions during
animal body patterning. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2005;6(12):893.
2.   Ross BD, Rosin L, Thomae AW, Hiatt MA, Vermaak D, de la Cruz AFA, et al.
Stepwise evolution of essential centromere function in a Drosophila neogene.
Science. 2013;340(6137):1211-4.
3.   Joshi RK, Nayak S. Perspectives of genomic diversification and molecular
recombination towards R-gene evolution in plants. Physiology and Molecular
Biology of Plants. 2013;19(1):1-9.
4.   von Moltke J, Ayres JS, Kofoed EM, Chavarría-Smith J, Vance RE. Recognition
of bacteria by inflammasomes. Annual review of immunology. 2013;31:73-106.
5.   Li X, Kapos P, Zhang Y. NLRs in plants. Current opinion in immunology.
2015;32:114-21.

6.   Reddy KC, Dror T, Sowa JN, Panek J, Chen K, Lim ES, et al. An intracellular
pathogen response pathway promotes proteostasis in C. elegans. Current Biology.
2017;27(22):3544-53. e5.
7.   Hentati A, Bejaoui K, Pericak-Vance MA, Hentati F, Speer MC, Hung W-Y, et al.
Linkage of recessive familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis to chromosome 2q33–
q35. Nature genetics. 1994;7(3):425.
8.   Leyva-Díaz E, Stefanakis N, Carrera I, Glenwinkel L, Wang G, Driscoll M, et al.
Silencing of repetitive DNA is controlled by a member of an unusual Caenorhabditis
elegans gene family. Genetics. 2017;207(2):529-45.
9.   Bakowski MA, Desjardins CA, Smelkinson MG, Dunbar TA, Lopez-Moyado IF,
Rifkin SA, et al. Ubiquitin-mediated response to microsporidia and virus infection in
C. elegans. PLoS pathogens. 2014;10(6):e1004200.
10.   Zuryn S, Jarriault S, editors. Deep sequencing strategies for mapping and
identifying mutations from genetic screens. Worm; 2013: Taylor & Francis.
11.   Sarov M, Murray JI, Schanze K, Pozniakovski A, Niu W, Angermann K, et al. A
genome-scale resource for in vivo tag-based protein function exploration in C.
elegans. Cell. 2012;150(4):855-66.
12.   Troemel ER, Félix M-A, Whiteman NK, Barrière A, Ausubel FM. Microsporidia
are natural intracellular parasites of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS
biology. 2008;6(12):e309.
13.   Raizen DM, Lee R, Avery L. Interacting genes required for pharyngeal
excitation by motor neuron MC in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics.
1995;141(4):1365-82.
14.   Félix M-A, Ashe A, Piffaretti J, Wu G, Nuez I, Bélicard T, et al. Natural and
experimental infection of Caenorhabditis nematodes by novel viruses related to
nodaviruses. PLoS biology. 2011;9(1):e1000586.
15.   Kim D, Ewbank J. Signaling in the Immune Response. 2015 Dec 22.
WormBook [Internet] http://wwwwormbookorg.1-51.
16.   Oliveira RP, Abate JP, Dilks K, Landis J, Ashraf J, Murphy CT, et al. Condition‐
adapted stress and longevity gene regulation by Caenorhabditis elegans SKN‐
1/Nrf. Aging cell. 2009;8(5):524-41.
17.   Li J, Chauve L, Phelps G, Brielmann RM, Morimoto RI. E2F coregulates an
essential HSF developmental program that is distinct from the heat-shock response.
Genes Dev. 2016;30(18):2062-75. Epub 2016/11/01. doi: 10.1101/gad.283317.116.
PubMed PMID: 27688402; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5066613.
18.   Irazoqui JE, Troemel ER, Feinbaum RL, Luhachack LG, Cezairliyan BO,
Ausubel FM. Distinct pathogenesis and host responses during infection of C. elegans
by P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. PLoS pathogens. 2010;6(7):e1000982.
19.   Osman GA, Fasseas MK, Koneru SL, Essmann CL, Kyrou K, Srinivasan MA, et
al. Natural infection of C. elegans by an oomycete reveals a new pathogen-specific
immune response. Current Biology. 2018;28(4):640-8. e5.
20.   Shaye DD, Greenwald I. OrthoList: a compendium of C. elegans genes with
human orthologs. PloS one. 2011;6(5):e20085.
21.   Ning Y, Liu W, Wang G-L. Balancing Immunity and Yield in Crop Plants.
Trends in plant science. 2017.

22.   Schulenburg H, Félix M-A. The natural biotic environment of Caenorhabditis
elegans. Genetics. 2017;206(1):55-86.
23.   Zhang G, Sachse M, Prevost M-C, Luallen RJ, Troemel ER, Félix M-A. A large
collection of novel nematode-infecting microsporidia and their diverse interactions
with Caenorhabditis elegans and other related nematodes. PLoS pathogens.
2016;12(12):e1006093.
24.   Le Roux C, Huet G, Jauneau A, Camborde L, Trémousaygue D, Kraut A, et al. A
receptor pair with an integrated decoy converts pathogen disabling of transcription
factors to immunity. Cell. 2015;161(5):1074-88.
25.   Sarris PF, Duxbury Z, Huh SU, Ma Y, Segonzac C, Sklenar J, et al. A plant
immune receptor detects pathogen effectors that target WRKY transcription factors.
Cell. 2015;161(5):1089-100.
26.   Cohen LB, Troemel ER. Microbial pathogenesis and host defense in the
nematode C. elegans. Current opinion in microbiology. 2015;23:94-101.
27.   Dunbar TL, Yan Z, Balla KM, Smelkinson MG, Troemel ER. C. elegans detects
pathogen-induced translational inhibition to activate immune signaling. Cell host &
microbe. 2012;11(4):375-86.
28.   McEwan DL, Kirienko NV, Ausubel FM. Host translational inhibition by
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exotoxin A Triggers an immune response in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell host & microbe. 2012;11(4):364-74.
29.   Zugasti O, Bose N, Squiban B, Belougne J, Kurz CL, Schroeder FC, et al.
Activation of a G protein-coupled receptor by its endogenous ligand triggers the
Caenorhabditis elegans innate immune response. Nature immunology.
2014;15(9):833.
30.   Irazoqui JE, Urbach JM, Ausubel FM. Evolution of host innate defence:
insights from Caenorhabditis elegans and primitive invertebrates. Nature Reviews
Immunology. 2010;10(1):47.
31.   Maltez VI, Miao EA. Reassessing the evolutionary importance of
inflammasomes. The Journal of Immunology. 2016;196(3):956-62.
32.   Shum EY, Jones SH, Shao A, Dumdie J, Krause MD, Chan W-K, et al. The
antagonistic gene paralogs Upf3a and Upf3b govern nonsense-mediated RNA decay.
Cell. 2016;165(2):382-95.
33.   Brenner S. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 1974;77(1):71-
94.
34.   Kutscher LM, Shaham S. Forward and reverse mutagenesis in C. elegans.
WormBook: the online review of C elegans biology. 2014:1.
35.   Minevich G, Park DS, Blankenberg D, Poole RJ, Hobert O. CloudMap: a cloud-
based pipeline for analysis of mutant genome sequences. Genetics.
2012;192(4):1249-69.
36.   Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time
RT–PCR. Nucleic acids research. 2001;29(9):e45-e.
37.   Balla KM, Andersen EC, Kruglyak L, Troemel ER. A wild C. elegans strain has
enhanced epithelial immunity to a natural microsporidian parasite. PLoS pathogens.
2015;11(2):e1004583.

38.   Djonović S, Urbach JM, Drenkard E, Bush J, Feinbaum R, Ausubel JL, et al.
Trehalose biosynthesis promotes Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogenicity in plants.
PLoS pathogens. 2013;9(3):e1003217.
39.   Troemel ER, Chu SW, Reinke V, Lee SS, Ausubel FM, Kim DH. p38 MAPK
regulates expression of immune response genes and contributes to longevity in C.
elegans. PLoS genetics. 2006;2(11):e183.
40.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature
methods. 2012;9(4):357.
41.   Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data
with or without a reference genome. BMC bioinformatics. 2011;12(1):323.
42.   Team R. RStudio: integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc, Boston, MA URL
http://wwwrstudiocom. 2015.
43.   Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.
44.   Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, et al.
Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and
bioinformatics. Genome biology. 2004;5(10):R80.
45.   Law CW, Alhamdoosh M, Su S, Smyth GK, Ritchie ME. RNA-seq analysis is
easy as 1-2-3 with limma, Glimma and edgeR. F1000Research. 2016;5.
46.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics.
2010;26(1):139-40.
47.   Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers
differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.
Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(7):e47-e.
48.   Storey JD, Tibshirani R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2003;100(16):9440-5.
49.   Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson K-F, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, et al.
PGC-1α-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately
downregulated in human diabetes. Nature genetics. 2003;34(3):267.
50.   Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et
al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting
genome-wide expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2005;102(43):15545-50.
892

Supporting information

S1 Table. Lists of strains and mutations.

S2 Figure. *pals-25* RNAi suppresses increased *pals-5p::GFP* expression in *pals-22*

mutants and PALS-25::GFP is expressed broadly.

(A) Wild-type or *pals-22* mutant animals carrying the *pals-5p::GFP* transgene, treated

with either L4440 RNAi control or *pals-25* RNAi. Green is *pals-5p::GFP*, red is *myo-*

2p::mCherry expression in the pharynx as a marker for presence of the transgene.

Images are overlays of green, red, and Nomarski channels and were taken with the

same camera exposure for all. Scale bar, 100 μm. (B,C) Confocal fluorescence images

of adult animals carrying a fosmid transgene expressing PALS-25::GFP from the

endogenous promoter. Animals were treated with either (B) L4440 RNAi control or (C)

pals-22 RNAi. Scale bar, 50 μm.

S3 Figure. *pals-25* mutation suppresses the lifespan and thermotolerance

phenotypes of *pals-22* mutants.

(A,B) Lifespan of wild type, *pals-22(jy3)*, and *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* animals. Assays

were performed with 40 animals per plate, and three plates per strain per experiment. p-

value for *pals-22(jy3)* compared to *pals-22(jy3) pals-25(jy9)* is <0.0001 using the Log-

rank test. (C,D) Survival of animals after 2 hour heat shock treatment at 37°C followed

by 24 hours at 20°C. Strains were tested in triplicate, with at least 30 animals per plate.

Mean fraction alive indicates the average survival among the triplicates, errors bars are

SD. ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$.

S4 Figure. Mutation of *pals-22* or *pals-25* does not affect feeding rates of animals

in pathogen infection assays.

(A,B) Quantification of fluorescent bead accumulation in wild-type, *pals-22*, *pals-22 pals-*

25, and *eat-2* mutant animals. Beads were mixed with OP50-1 bacteria and either (A) *N.*

parisii spores or (B) Orsay virus and fed to worms as in infection assays. Worms were

fixed in paraformaldehyde after 30 minutes of feeding, and fluorescence of accumulated

beads in each animal was measured using a COPAS Biosort machine to measure the

mean red signal and length of individual animals, indicated by red dots. Mean signal of

the population is indicated by black bars, with error bars as SD. Graph is a compilation of

three independent replicates, with at least 100 animals analyzed in each replicate.

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA. *** $p < 0.001$, ns, not

significant.

S5 Table. RNA-seq statistics.

S6 Table. FPKM values for all genes in data set.

S7 Table. Differentially expressed genes, as determined by edgeR and limma.

S8 Table. Gene sets used for GSEA and their sources.

S9 Table. Detailed GSEA results.

S10 Table. Gene set overlaps.

S11 Table. Human orthology analysis.

S12 Figure. Induction of *chil-27p::GFP* expression seen after *pals-22* RNAi

treatment.

Shown are animals treated with either L4440 RNAi control, *pals-22* RNAi, or *M. humicola*

infection. The *col-12p::mCherrry* transgene is constitutively expressed in the epidermis.

Scale bar, 100 *µ*m.

A

B

C

pals-22 vs. wt	*pals-22* vs. *pals-22 pals-25*	wt+BTZ vs. control	Gene expression pattern	Category
*	*	*	UP *N. parisii* 8hpi	Intracellular pathogens
*	*	*	UP *N. parisii* 16hpi	
*	*	*	UP *N. parisii* 30hpi	
*	*	*	UP *N. parisii* 40hpi	
*	*	*	UP *N. parisii* 12hpi	
*	*	*	UP Orsay virus 12hpi	
*	*	*	UP Orsay virus 4dpi	
*			UP *M. humicola* 12hpi	Natural pathogens
*	*	*	UP *M. humicola* 24hpi	
			UP *D. conispora* 12hpi	
		*	UP *P. aeruginosa* 12hpi	Other pathogens
			UP *E. faecalis* 24hpi	
			UP *S. aureus* 8hpi	
	*	*	UP heat-shock 24h	Temperature response
			DOWN heat-shock 24h	
		*	UP cold-warming 2h	
		*	DOWN cold-warming 2h	
		*	UP Cry5B 3h	Stress response
		*	UP Cadmium 3h	
			UP RPW-24 16h	
	*	*	UP *hsf-1*	Gene activity depletions
			UP *pmk-1*	
			UP *daf-16*	

-2.5   0   2.5

Not significant (FDR>0.25 or p>0.05)   * FDR<0.05

A

pals-22   *pals-25*

250 bp

B

	chil-27p::GFP	*col-12p::mCherry*	Brightfield
control			
icb88			
icb90			
icb90 icb91			
icb90 icb92			

C

Relative difference

- *pals-22* vs. wt
- *pals-22 pals-25* vs. wt
- wt+BTZ vs. control

chil-1, *chil-3*, *chil-4*, *chil-5*, *chil-6*, *chil-9*, *chil-18*, *chil-27*, *chil-28*, *chil-10*, *chil-13*, *chil-14*, *chil-19*, *chil-22*, *chil-23*, *chil-24*, *chil-25*