Phylogeographic analysis and identification of factors impacting the diffusion of Foot-and-Mouth disease virus in Africa

Florian Duchatel[1], Prof. Mark Bronsvoort[1], Dr. Samantha Lycett[1*]

[1]Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush Campus, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK

*Corresponding author: Samantha Lycett, The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh

Email: Samantha.lycett@ed.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0) 131 651 9232

ABSTRACT

Foot and mouth disease (FMD) is endemic in sub-Saharan Africa and can lead to important and continuous economic losses for affected countries. Due to the complexity of the disease epidemiology and the lack of data there is a need to use inferential computational approaches to fill the gaps in our understanding of the circulation of FMD virus on this continent. Using a phylogeographic approach we reconstructed the circulation of FMD virus serotypes A, O and SAT2 in Africa and evaluated the influence of potential environmental and anthropological predictors of virus diffusion. Our results show that over the last hundred year the continental circulation of the tree serotypes was mainly driven by livestock trade. Whilst our analyses show that the serotypes A and O were introduced in Africa trough livestock trades, the SAT2 serotype probably originates from African wildlife population. The circulation of serotype O in eastern Africa is impacted by both indirect transmission through persistence in the environment and anthropological activities such as cattle movements.

Foot and mouth disease (FMD) affects more than 70 species of cloven-hoofed animals [1]. The disease

is characterised by the development of vesicles in and around the mouth, on the feet and other sites

of the skin [1]. The causal agent is a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus of the *Picornaviridae*

family [2] called foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV). Its genome encodes the information for 4

structural proteins (VP1-4) and 8 non-structural proteins (7 proteases and one RNA polymerase).

Based on the level of cross protection between strains, the virus can be divided into seven serotypes,

O, A, C, Southern African Territories [SAT] 1, SAT 2, SAT 3 and Asia 1 [3,4], which are clinically

indistinguishable from each other but which have different epidemiologies. The hosts that are

considered to play an active role in these epidemiologies are cattle, buffaloes, pigs, sheep and goats

[5].

FMD susceptibility varies according to the host and strain of FMDV involved. The severity of the

infection depends of the amount of virus inoculated, the serotype, the host species and the individual

immunity [6]. The commonest route of infection for a new host is by direct contact with an infected

animal [1,7]. The infection may also occur indirectly by contacts with contaminated surfaces or products,

such as infected personnel, vehicle or fomites [5]. Movement of animals and animal products are

considered to play an important role in the disease circulation in endemic areas and are considered

the main factors for FMDV transboundary spread [8].

FMD has been eradicated in many high income countries but is still endemic in numerous low and

middle income countries (LMICs) [9] particularly in Africa and South and East Asia. Although FMD has

a low mortality rate in adult animals it causes significant productivity losses that may lead to important

and continuous economic losses for farmers and impact countries trading ability at a national level [8].

Although work has been done to understand the impact of FMDV in large scale dairy farms in LMICs

[10] there is still a lack of data to quantify its impact more broadly on the economy of endemically

infected countries [11].

FMDV is endemic in most of sub-Saharan Africa with an epidemiology considered to be more

complex than in other regions of the world due to multiple serotype and wildlife reservoirs [12].

However, due to a general lack of surveillance and animal traceability, very few statistics on disease

incidence and circulation exist for Africa. Although there are a few studies on animal trade and

seasonal migration of nomadic and pastoralist herds in sub-Saharan African [8,12–14] we need analytical

approaches that use existing data to improve our understanding of the circulation of FMDVs in this

part of the world.

Many wildlife species can be infected by FMDVs in Africa [6] but amongst all these potential hosts, only

the Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and impala have been implicated in the transmission of FMDV to

domestic cattle [15]. Even though the Cape buffalo is suspected to be the primary reservoir and the

main source of SAT serotypes in Southern Africa[16], its role as a viral source for livestock epidemics

for the FMDV O, A and C serotypes elsewhere in Africa is still unclear[11,13,17,18], and might be

inexistent[19].

It has already been observed that the spatio-temporal occurrence and circulation of FMDV in Africa is

mainly affected by human activities though domestic animal movements[20–23]. However, several

environmental characteristics and attributes such as the landscape, vegetation, natural barriers to

animal movements such as roads, rivers or mountains have the potential to influence the dynamics

and circulation of FMD [24–26].

Because FMDV are single stranded RNA viruses and lack a proof-reading mechanism for their

genome replication they are subject to a high evolutionary mutation rate[4]. The history of these

mutations can provide information on the ecological processes and population events that shaped the

virus evolution even if not directly observed. These processes, along with other evolutionary

parameters, can be modelled while reconstructing the phylogenetic trees[27,28]. Furthermore, by

combining genetic data and spatial information, phylogeographic tree reconstruction can be used to

estimate the unobserved geographical circulation of a pathogen[29]. Virus movements can be modelled

as discrete transmission events between the sampled locations[30] or as a continuous process using

different random walk diffusion models[31]. Recently both discrete and continuous approaches have

been extended to test and quantify the contribution of potential environmental and anthropological

parameters (predictors of viral diffusion) that might influence the spread and circulation of the studied

pathogen [32,33].

The aim of this paper is to gain a better understanding of the circulation of FMDV in Africa, comparing

discrete and continuous approaches[32,34]. A detailed discrete phylogeographical analysis of serotypes

A, O and SAT2 sequences was performed, and the influence of 13 potential environmental and

anthropological predictors of virus diffusion were quantified and tested using both discrete and

continuous approaches.

RESULTS

Discrete phylogenetic analysis

Evolutionary parameters estimation

Using a relaxed log-normal clock and a skygrid population model for the serotype A and O; and a constant clock and skygrid population model for the serotype SAT2 we estimated evolutionary parameters and reconstructed the phylogenetic trees (see Figure 1). Overall, we observed a mean mutation rate of 4.67×10^{-3} substitutions per site per year and 3.69×10^{-3} for the serotypes A and O respectively. We also estimated a significantly slower mutation rate of 1.1×10^{-3} for the serotype SAT2 (see supp. table 1).

Figure 1: Bayesian MCC time scaled discrete phylogeographic tree for the three studied serotypes. a. Bayesian phylogeographic tree for serotype A using 107 VP1 sequences. b. Bayesian phylogeographic tree for serotype O using 192 VP1 sequences. c. Bayesian phylogeographic tree for serotype SAT2 using 135 VP1 sequences. The phylogeny branches are coloured according to their descendent nodes location with the key for colours shown on the right. The main clades for each of the studied serotypes are identified on the phylogeographic trees. The nodes of the isolated clades are annotated with their posterior probabilities.

Phylogeographic tree reconstruction for serotype A

The reconstructed phylogeographic tree of the African serotype A viruses has a time to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of around 1926 (1889.6 – 1950 95%HPD), with geographic origin in the eastern part of Africa and high posteriors probabilities for Kenya (49.83 %) and Ethiopia (35.95 %) (see fig. 1a). For serotype A there is no clear clade separation between the western and eastern sides of Africa, as the first isolated clade combines all the western African sequences as well as sequences from Sudan, Ethiopia and Egypt. Although a few transmissions events are observable between the two sides of Africa, all of them involve Sudan as a link between them.

Phylogeographic tree reconstruction for serotype O

The TMRCA of the African serotype O is estimated to be 1937 (1921 – 1952 95%HPD) and located in the eastern part of Africa with high posteriors probabilities for Kenya (61.49 %), Sudan (17.15 %) and Uganda (11.42 %) (see fig.1b). The reconstructed phylogeographical tree is composed of four geographically defined main clades. The first clade is almost entirely composed of Kenyan, Tanzanian and Ugandans sequences with only a few transmissions to other countries. The second clade is mostly situated in Ethiopia with few transitions to Kenya and Somalia. The third clade is centred in Sudan with incursions into Nigeria, Cameroon, Egypt and Ethiopia. The fourth clade is centred in West and Central African countries (Cameroon, Nigeria, Niger and Togo) and seems to originate from Sudan. Overall, we can see that the situation for the serotype O is quite similar to that of serotype A with only few observed transmissions between the Eastern and western sides of Africa, with Sudan acting as a link between the two sides of Africa.

Phylogeographic tree reconstruction for serotype SAT2

The diversity for serotype SAT2 viruses is much greater than for serotypes A and O, and the TMRCA is much older, estimated as 1583 (1722 – 1440 95% HPD). Due to these long timescales and low posterior probabilities on the location it is difficult to estimate an origin location (see fig.1c).

Five geographically defined main clades, with location posterior probabilities above 45% can be observed. The first clade is exclusively composed of sequences from Botswana, Namibia and Zimbabwe and seems to have its origin in the first half of the 19th century. The second clade is composed of Ethiopian, Kenyan, Ugandan and Tanzanian sequences and seems to originate at the transition between the 19th and 20th century. The third clade seems to have emerged at the end of the

18th century and is composed of Zimbabwean and all the South-African sequences. The fourth clade

has its TMRCA in the first half of the 19th century and is composed of sequences from Botswana,

Namibia and Zambia. The last clade is the most more diverse and emerged over the last century and

is composed of Eastern, Western and Northern African sequences (Cameroon, Egypt, Ethiopia,

Libya, Nigeria and Sudan). Compared to the other serotypes, the SAT2 serotype seems to have

appeared first in Southern Africa before moving to other parts of the continent; with a separation

between Southern countries and the other African countries. Whilst the presence of the virus in

Southern Africa seems to be geographically defined, the virus seems to circulate more freely amongst

the other African countries.

Bayesian stochastic search variable selection analysis
140

Using a Bayesian stochastic search variable selection (BSSVS) analysis we identified well supported

rates of transition between the sampled countries. The support for the rates was quantified with Bayes

factors (BF), and rates with BF >=3 are shown in Figure 2. Globally, the results for the serotypes A

and O look quite similar, with Sudan acting as a link between the Eastern, Northern and Western part

of the continent (see fig. 2a and 2b). For both serotypes there is a clear transmission route starting

from Ethiopia, passing through Kenya to Tanzania. Although most of the observed transmission

routes have low BF values, the situation for the SAT2 serotype is fairly similar to what is observed for

the serotypes A and O (see fig.2c). For SAT2 multiple transitions rates can be observed within

Eastern and western Africa with Sudan acting as link between the two sides. However, with only two

rates linking South-Africa to the rest of the continent, Southern-African countries are quite isolated

from the other African countries (see supp table 1,2 and 3).

Figure 2 : Outputs of the BSSVS analysis for the three studied FMDV serotypes showing the best supported rates of transition between the sampled countries. The edges colours represent the relative strength by which the rates are supported (red: X-Y, yellow: X-Y, green: >= Z). a. For FMDV serotype A. b. For FMDV serotype O. c. For FMDV serotype SAT2.

Markov jumps analysis

To complement the BSSVS analysis, an estimation of the number of transmission events between the

different locations using a Markov jump analysis was performed. For both FMDV serotypes A and O

we observe some transmission events starting in Kenya toward other East African countries such as

Tanzania, Ethiopia and Uganda. For these two serotypes we also detected jumps from Sudan in the

direction of North-Eastern and Western African countries such as Egypt, Eritrea, Cameroon and

Nigeria. Therefore, it seems that for these two serotypes Kenya and Sudan act as sources for the

virus, but toward different directions (see supp. table 4 and 5). For the serotype SAT2 the situation is

quite different. In this case we observed fewer transition events between the different sampled

168   countries (see supp. table 6), with most of the observed transitions occurring within Eastern African

169   and Southern Africa with no clear link between them.

Figure 3: Heatmap showing the number of transitions between the sampled countries for the three studies FMDV serotypes. The heatmaps are coloured according to the number of observed transitions between countries. a. FMDV serotype A. b. FMDV serotype O. c. FMDV serotype SAT2

Environmental and anthropological factors affecting FMDV diffusion

Using the output from previous discrete phylogeographical analysis we isolated a FMDV serotype O monophyletic clade with a time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) below 25 years and a high posterior probability on the location for all its nodes. The selected 46 sequences originated from Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda (See supp. figure 1 and supp. table 8). Using a general linear model (GLM) for the discrete location approach and the recently developed *SERAPHIM* package[34] for the continuous location approach, we tested the impact of 13 different anthropological and environmental factors (predictors) on the FMDV diffusion in Eastern Africa.

Table 1 : Environmental and anthropological predictors tested for an effect on the FMDV serotype O diffusion in Eastern Africa

Environmental predictors	Anthropological predictor
Distance	Accessibility
Elevation	Cattle density
Precipitation	Presence of crop
Temperature	Presence of fragmented crop
Presence of forest	Human density
Presence of herbaceous vegetation	Logarithm of cattle density
	Logarithm of human density

Predictive factors for FMDV diffusion using a discrete location approach

A generalized linear model (GLM) was used to parametrize the transition rate matrices between the sampled locations as a function of our selected predictors[32] on a posterior set of time-resolved trees. We considered the set of predictors to be 'conductors' – i.e. enhancing viral diffusion, or 'resistors' – i.e. impeding viral diffusion. We observed that the diffusion process was enhanced by the average daily temperature (BF 4), the logarithm of the cattle density (BF 4) and human densities (BF 9) (see table 2). It was impeded by the accessibility (BF 8), the distance between sampled locations (BF 8), average amount of precipitation (BF 7) per year and by the average daily temperature (BF 7) (for all the results see supp. Table 9 and 10). To gain a better understanding of the impact of the average temperature and precipitation on the viral diffusion we selected different thresholds of precipitation and temperature to parametrize our GLM analysis (see supp. table 11 and 12). We detected that low precipitation values (< 80 mL/year) were associated with an impeding

(negative) impact on the viral diffusion processes whereas high precipitation was associated with an

enhancing (positive) effect on the diffusion process. We also observed that in the case of low

temperature (below 22℃) a positive effect on the diffusion could be observed whereas temperatures

around 22℃ had a negative effect on virus diffusion. Temperatures above 24℃ seemed again to have

a positive impact on the virus spread. It was difficult to distinguish between the effects of accessibility

and human density because the two were strongly negatively correlated confounding the analysis

(see sup. table 13).

Predictive factors for FMDV diffusion using a continuous diffusion approach
207

Figure 4: Map showing the continuous diffusion of the isolated clade of FMDV serotype O, with the
sampled locations as grey circles. The virus movments were reconstructed using a random walk model
with a underlying lognormal distribution

Using a random walk model, we were able to reconstruct the virus diffusion in a continuous setting for

the isolated FMDV serotype O. Using the R package *SERAPHIM* [34] we evaluated the impact of the

predictors on the virus diffusion and observed an impeding (negative) influence of the cattle density

(BF 3), the presence of cropland (fragmented cropland and pure cropland areas combined) (BF4) and

by the presence fragmented cropland (BF6). We were not able to detect a predictor with an

enhancing (positive) influence on the diffusion process (see table 2).

To gain a better understanding of the role of the fragmented crop and cattle density we isolated the

areas newly covered over the course of the infection and observed how the presence of the two

predictors evolved. Overall, we noticed an opposite trend in how their densities evolved with the

elapsed time (see supp.fig.2). For the fragmented crop density, high values of crop densities became

more common over the course of the epidemic, with the disease moving from areas with low crop

densities to areas with high crop densities. For the cattle density the opposite trend was observed

with high values of cattle density more common at the start of the epidemic, the disease starting in an

area where cattle densities were high and moving toward areas with lower densities of cattle. To

better understand the effect that the cattle density had on virus diffusion we looked at selected areas

above different thresholds of cattle density and used them as inputs in in the *SERAPHIM* package. By

doing so we were able to observe that densities of cattle above 125 cattle per square km have the

biggest negative impact on the virus diffusion (see supp. table 14).

Table 2: Bayes factor values associated with the effect of each predictor on the connectivity between the
sampled locations using a discrete or continuous location approach. Each predictor raster was used as
conductance or resistance to evaluate if the predictor have a positive or negative effect on the viral
genetic connectivity.

Predictor	*Discrete locations*		*Continuous locations*	
	Conductance	**Resistance**	**Conductance**	**Resistance**
	Bayes factor	**Bayes factor**	**Bayes factor**	**Bayes factor**
Accessibility	0	**8**	0	0
Cattle density difference	-	0	-	-
Cattle density	2	0	0	**3**
Presence of crop	0	0	1	0
Presence of crop (combined)	0	0	0	**4**
Distance	-	**8**	-	-
Elevation	1	0	0	1
Presence of forest	1	0	1	0
Presence of fragmented crop	1	0	0	**6**
Human density	1	0	1	0
Precipitation	2	**7**	0	0
Presence of herbaceous vegetation	1	0	0	0
Temperature	**4**	**7**	0	1
Logarithm Cattle density	**4**	1	1	0
Logarithm Human density	**9**	2	0	0

DISCUSSION

In this paper we have applied the most recent existing phylogenetic methods on all available African FMDV VP1 sequences for the serotypes A, O and SAT2. Our work has some limitations, especially regarding the limited availability of sequences. Our sampling is obviously unbalanced as it is based on submissions by individual countries or ad hoc research projects and the effect that it has on the results quality is uncertain. Increasing the number of available FMDV sequences from diverse locations and hosts would help to develop models that better represent the diffusion of FMDV in Africa and lead to better environmental and anthropological effect estimation.

The estimated substitution rates of 4.67×10^{-3}, $3.69E \times 10^{-3}$ and 1.1×10^{-3} mutations per site per year for the serotypes A, O and SAT2 from our results are similar to previous estimates of 4.26×10^{-3}, 3.14×10^{-3} and 1.07×10^{-3} mutations per site per year for the same serotypes as found by Tully et al[35]. Overall, we observed similar evolutionary patterns for both FMDV serotypes A and O. Those serotypes seem to have appeared in Eastern Africa around 1930. Our results pointed to the possible role of Kenya as a viral source for East African countries and the role of Sudan as a link between East Africa and North-East Africa. The evolution of the SAT2 serotype seems to be quite different. According to our results, this serotype seems to have emerged around 1583. Over the 5 main clades that compose the SAT2 phylogeny only two emerged outside Southern Africa (clades 2 and 5). Those two clades involved Eastern (Kenya, Ethiopia and Tanzania), or Western/Central/Northern African countries (Libya, Nigeria, Cameroon and Egypt) with Sudan being the only country present in both clades. Additionally, these two clades have emerged more recently than those involving Southern African countries (second part of 19th century/early 20th century for the clade 2 and 5 against late 18th century/early 19th century for the other clades).

For the FMDV serotypes A and O the observation of well supported rates of viral transmission between Eastern Africa and Western Africa can be explained by the existence of commercial routes between those areas. It is indeed acknowledged that livestock trades play an important role in FMDV circulation in sub-Saharan Africa, through trading routes exist between the Horn of Africa and Eastern Africa, with Sudan acting as key commercial intermediate[8]. Additionally, the existence of a relatively recent common ancestor for the FMDV serotypes A and O is further support for the idea that these serotypes were imported into Africa at the start of the 19th century through livestock trade from Asia and Europe[11].

The SAT2 serotype analysis shows the signs of the impact that the African rinderpest epidemics had

on FMDV circulation in Africa. Although FMDV was first reported in southern Africa in 1795 it likely

had coevolved with buffalo over millennia resulting in a large diverse viral pool, but the rinderpest

epidemic decimated almost all FMDV potential carriers and probably pushed it through a huge

bottleneck[36]. It is thought that FMDV re-emerged from wild buffalo population that survived the

rinderpest epidemic, before being reported again in 1932 in Southern Africa[11]. Consistent with this

hypothesis, of all the clades present in the reconstructed phylogeny, only those originating from

Southern African countries have an TMRCA older than the African rinderpest epidemic (clades 1, 3

and 4). The SAT2 serotype probably spread into non-Southern African countries through infected

livestock movements, increasing the virus mobility and explaining the more recent TMRCA and the

highest country diversity observed in the more recent clades (clades 2 and 5). Additionally, with the

observation of similar transition rates patterns amongst the three serotypes, the BSSVS analysis

suggest that over the last hundred years the SAT2 circulation between African countries was mainly

driven by domestic animal movements, with the relative isolation of southern Africa being the result of

the different control measures in place in this region[37].

Using both a discrete and continuous framework, we looked at the effect that diverse environmental

and anthropological factors had on the diffusion of an isolated FMDV serotype O clade that circulated

in Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania. The results of the discrete approaches suggest that the FMDV

diffusion is facilitated by low average daily temperature (<22℃), high averages precipitations (>80

mL/year) as well as high human and cattle densities. We were also able to observe that the virus

diffusion was negatively impacted by the accessibility (long travel time needed to join the closest

major city) in addition to high daily temperatures and low average precipitations. Since lower

temperatures and higher humidity values are usually associated with a longer virus survivability in the

environment[38], our results may suggest a more important role than what was previously believed of

the indirect transmission through viral persistence in the environment for FMV in this region.

Additionally, with the viral diffusion being positively affected by high cattle and human densities and

negatively affected by large accessibility values, anthropological activities seem to have an impact on

the virus diffusion. These observations could be the consequence of infected herds of cattle moving

from smaller rural localities toward nearby larger cities with cattle markets[14,39].

Regarding the effect of the different selected predictors on virus diffusion in a continuous setting, our

results suggest that cattle densities above 125 cattle per km^2 and the presence of cropland (pure

cropland or mixed with other types of land) both have a negative impact on virus diffusion. Ours

results suggest that the virus had difficulties to spread beyond the geographic region located at the

root of the tree, where high cattle densities and low crop densities were present and to spread to

areas with low cattle densities but high crop densities, presumably due to lack of suitable hosts.

However, it is difficult to know exactly whether it is the cattle or crop density that had the most impact

due to high correlation of the two variables at the time and in the region of origin.

The location uncertainty found at the root of the continuous tree could explain the differences between

the discrete and continuous methods in estimating the effect of the cattle density on virus diffusion.

For our analysis, this uncertainty seems to be translated by the *SEREPHIM* programme as a period

where the virus is almost not moving. This uncertainty seems to drive *SEREPHIM* to the conclusion

that the high cattle densities found near the origin of the epidemic are related to this lack of movement

and therefore estimate that they have a negative influence on the virus diffusion. Although we suspect

a link between the cattle density and the location of emergence of the analysed clade, we think that

the continuous analysis does not offer the resolution needed to understand that relation (i.e. the

spatial HPD confidence interval is too large). By parameterizing each rate of among-location

movement as a function of predictors, the discrete approach seems therefore more appropriate to

characterise the environmental and anthropological effect of the virus diffusion in this endemic

situation.

In conclusion, the reconstituted phylogeographical tree pattern for the FMDV serotypes A, O and

SAT2 reflects a situation where the recent FMDV circulation is mainly driven by commercial

exchanges, through pastoral herd movements, and where wildlife seems to have almost no influence

on the intra-continental circulation of the disease. However, the observed patterns for SAT2 reflects a

situation where wildlife (wild buffaloes) constitute the original host of the serotype, whilst the

observations for A and O suggest that those serotypes were imported in Africa at the start of the 19th

century. We observed that indirect transmission through the environment and direct transmission

through anthropological activities had an enhancing effect on the virus diffusion in Eastern Africa.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection

To obtain a comprehensive genetic dataset we first retrieved all available African FMDV A, FMDV O and FMDV SAT2 genetic sequences in Genbank (accessed on the 15/12/2016). From these datasets we selected all VP1 sequences with at least information on the country of sampling and the year of sampling. In total we gathered 191 FMDV A, 351 FMDV O and 477 FMDV SAT2 sequences. The sequences were aligned using Multiple Alignment Fast Fourier transformation (*MAFFT*) [40]. Potential recombinant sequences were detected with RDP4 software and any such sequences were removed[41].

To reduce the effect of potential sampling bias we removed all sequences coming from countries with less than three sequences available (in the whole-time span) and ran a stratified subsampling procedure to allow a maximum of three sequences per country of origin and per month. The spatial coordinates of sampling for each sequence was retrieved using the *GGMAP* package in R and the most precise sampling localisation name available for each sequence[42]. The final FMDV A dataset was composed of 107 sequences from eight countries, dates ranging from 1966 to 2016. The final FMDV O dataset was composed of 192 sequences from 12 countries, dates ranging from 1964 to 2016. The final FMD SAT2 dataset was composed of 135 sequences from 15 countries, dates ranging from 1970 to 2015 (see supp tables 15,16 and 17).

Bayesian Evolutionary Inference

Discrete phylogeographic tree inference

Time-scaled phylogenetic trees were inferred using *BEAST* 1.8 with the *BEAGLE* library[43], and different substitution clock and population evolution models were evaluated by estimating their marginal likelihoods using the Akaike's Information Criterion for MCMC samples (AICM) in Tracer 1.6. Ultimately a general-time-reversible (GTR) model with site to site rate variation between two categories was selected as nucleotide substitution model[44] with a Bayesian skygrid population model and a relaxed uncorrelated log-normal molecular clock model were chosen to model the evolution of the FMDA and FMDO serotypes. For the FMD SAT2 serotype a HKY nucleotide substitution model with a constant clock model with a Bayesian skygrid population model were chosen to model its

evolution[45,46].   Posterior sets of trees were generated for each serotype by combining at least 2

independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo run of 40 million steps sampling every thousand with 10%

burn-in.

We first reconstructed the time-scaled phylogenetic trees for the three studied serotypes. Thereafter

to reduce the computation time of the GLM and the spatial diffusion analyses we estimated the spatial

model components using subsets of 1000 trees from the original posterior distributions of trees as

input empirical tree distributions. We used *TreeAnnotator* to summarize maximum clade credibility

(MCC) trees and *FigTree* version 1.4.1 to visualize the annotated trees[47,48]. The software *SPREAD3*

and *Cytoscape* were used to identify and visualize the well supported rates of transmission through a

Bayes factor test [49].

For the three serotypes we reconstituted the discrete transition events between the different sampled

countries through the whole phylogeny using the "mugration model". Therefore, an asymmetric

continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC) model with an incorporated Bayesian stochastic search

variable selection (BSSVS) was used to determine which set of transition rates sufficiently

summarizes the epidemiological connectivity between the countries[30]. A posterior inference of the

complete Markov jump history through the whole genealogy was also performed, in order to quantify

state transitions and the time spent in each country by the virus.

367   Environmental and anthropological effect estimation

368   *Monophyletic clade selection*

Using the previously reconstructed discrete phylogreographic tree of the FMDV O serotype we

selected a monophyletic clade with a MRCA under 25 years and a posterior probability over 50 % on

the location for all its nodes. To avoid uncertainty in the predictor effect estimation analysis we

removed all sequences connected to branches with length more than 10 years. At the end of the

process the dataset was composed of 46 FMDV O sequences coming from 31 locations across

Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania.

375   *Generation of predictive factors of FMDV diffusion*
A Generalised Linear Model (GLM) extension of the discrete approach was used to test and quantify

the enhancing (positive) or impeding (negative) effect of potential predictors on the viral diffusion

process[32]. This model parametrizes the transmission rate matrix between discrete locations as a log

linear function of the potential predictive factor matrices. While reconstructing the phylogeographic

history the model performs Bayesian model averaging to determine which combination of predictor

matrices are the best to explain the spatial diffusion process. For each predictor a Bayes factor (BF)

value is calculated based on the ratio of posterior to prior probabilities of inclusion [50].

The different predictors of FMD diffusion considered were: the accessibility to the sampled location,

cattle density, crop density, the elevation of the location, the forest density, the human density, the

average yearly precipitation, the shrubland area density, the average daily temperature (for the

provenance see sup, table 7). Each potential predictor was retrieved as a raster matrix, representing

the predictor spatial localisation, and aggregated to a resolution of 0.08 by 0.08, corresponding to

pixels of approximately 8 km by 8 km.

The circuitscape software was used to determine the predictors values used in our GLM analysis[51].

For each predictor, two predictor values were generated, one using the raster as resistance values

(impeding the viral diffusion) and the other using the raster as conductance value (enhancing the viral

diffusion). To obtain those values we used a circuit theory approach to estimate modified distances,

used as predictor values, between each pair of locations using the raster values as heterogeneity

factors[50]. Consequently, if a raster was used as a resistance surface, we would estimate large

predictor values between the locations separated by high raster values and small predictor values

between the locations separated by small raster values. Prior to their inclusion in the GLM analyses

the predictor values were log transformed and standardised. Each analysis was run by comparing the

effect of a predictor with a null predictor, corresponding to a random raster.

Complementary to the discrete GLM approach, we tested and quantified the effect of the potential

predictors using a continuous coordinate approach. Therefore, we inferred the diffusion of the virus

using a random walk model of diffusion and used the SERAPHIM package to test and estimate the

effect of the predictors on the virus diffusion[31,34]. Like the discrete approach, *SERAPHIM* estimates a

modified distance for each pair of locations find at the start and end of the phylogeny branches. The

correlation between the time spent on each branch and the estimated distance value is then

estimated. The statistical significance of this correlation is tested using a randomized phylogeny and

expressed in the form of a BF[34].

REFERENCES

1. Alexandersen, S., Zhang, Z., Donaldson, A. I. & Garland, A. J. M. The pathogenesis and diagnosis of foot-and-mouth disease. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **129,** 1–36 (2003).

2. Belsham, G. J. DISTINCTIVE FEATURES OF FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE VIRUS, A MEMBER OF THE PICORNAVIRUS FAMILY; ASPECTS OF VIRUS PROTEIN SYNTHESIS, PROTEIN PROCESSING AND STRUCTURE. *Prog. Biophys. molec. Biol.,* **60,** 241–260 (1993).

3. Fuquay, J. W., Schrijver, R. S. & Vosloo, W. Infectious Diseases: Foot-and-Mouth Disease. *Encycl. Dairy Sci.* 160–167 (2011). doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374407-4.00132-1

4. Domingo, E., Baranowski, E., Escarmís, C. & Sobrino, F. Foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **25,** 297–308 (2002).

5. Alexandersen, S. & Mowat, N. Foot-and-mouth disease: host range and pathogenesis. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **288,** 9–42 (2005).

6. Genevieve V. Weaver, Joseph Domenech, Alex R. Thiermann, and W. B. K. FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE A LOOK FROM THE WILD SIDE. *J. Wildl. Dis.* **49,** 759–785 (2013).

7. Donaldson, A. I., Alexandersen, S., Sorensen, J. H. & Mikkelsen, T. Relative risks of the uncotrollable (airborne) spread of FMD by different species. *Vet. Rec.* **148,** 602–604 (2001).

8. Di Nardo, a, Knowles, N. J. & Paton, D. J. Combining livestock trade patterns with phylogenetics to help understand the spread of foot and mouth disease in sub-Saharan Africa, the East and Southeast Asia.Middle. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* **30,** 63–85 (2011).

9. Robinson, T. P. *et al. Global livestock production systems.* (2011).

10. Lyons, N. A. *et al.* Impact of foot-and-mouth disease on milk production on a large-scale dairy farm in Kenya. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **120,** 177–186 (2015).

11. Casey, M. B. *et al. Patterns of Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus Distribution in Africa: The Role of Livestock and Wildlife in Virus Emergence. The Role of Animals in Emerging Viral Diseases* (Elsevier, 2013). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-405191-1.00002-8

12. Vosloo, W., Bastos, A. D., Sangare, O., Hargreaves, S. K. & Thomson, G. R. Review of the status and control of foot and mouth disease in sub-Saharan Africa. *Rev Sci Tech* **21,** 437–449 (2002).

13. Tekleghiorghis, T., Moormann, R. J. M., Weerdmeester, K. & Dekker, A. Foot-and-mouth Disease Transmission in Africa: Implications for Control, a Review. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **63,** 136–151 (2016).

14. Motta, P. *et al.* Implications of the cattle trade network in Cameroon for regional disease prevention and control. (2017). doi:10.1038/srep43932

15. Vosloo, W., Bastos, A. D. S., Sangare, O., Hargreaves, S. K. & Thomson, G. R. Review of the status and control of

foot and mouth disease in sub-Saharan Africa. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* **21,** 437–49 (2002).

16.   Miguel, E. *et al.* Contacts and foot and mouth disease transmission from wild to domestic bovines in Africa. *Ecosphere*
4, 1–32 (2013).

17.   Bastos, A. D., Boshoff, C. I., Keet, D. F., Bengis, R. G. & Thomson, G. R. Natural transmission of foot-and-mouth
disease virus between African buffalo (Syncerus caffer) and impala (Aepyceros melampus) in the Kruger National
Park, South Africa. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **124,** 591–8 (2000).

18.   Thomson, G. R., Vosloo, W. & Bastos, A. D. S. Foot and mouth disease in wildlife. *Virus Res.* **91,** 145–161 (2003).

19.   Casey-Bryars, M. *et al.* Waves of endemic foot-and-mouth disease in eastern Africa suggest feasibility of proactive
vaccination approaches. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1 (2018). doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0636-x

20.   Allepuz, A. *et al.* Risk factors for foot-and-mouth disease in Tanzania, 2001-2006. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **62,** 127–
136 (2015).

21.   Wungak, Y. S., Olugasa, B. O., Ishola, O. O., Lazarus, D. D. and Ularamu, G. H. Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD)
prevalence and exposure factors associated with seropositivity of cattle in north-central , Nigeria. **15,** 1224–1232
(2016).

22.   Dean, A. S. *et al.* Potential Risk of Regional Disease Spread in West Africa through Cross-Border Cattle Trade. *PLoS*
One **8,** 1–9 (2013).

23.   Hamoonga, R., Stevenson, M. A., Allepuz, A., Carpenter, T. E. & Sinkala, Y. Risk factors for foot-and-mouth disease
in Zambia, 1981-2012. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **114,** 64–71 (2014).

24.   Bessell, P. R., Shaw, D. J., Savill, N. J. & Woolhouse, M. E. Geographic and topographic determinants of local FMD
transmission applied to the 2001 UK FMD epidemic. *BMC Vet. Res.* **4,** 40 (2008).

25.   Dion, E., VanSchalkwyk, L. & Lambin, E. F. The landscape epidemiology of foot-and-mouth disease in South Africa: A
spatially explicit multi-agent simulation. *Ecol. Modell.* **222,** 2059–2072 (2011).

26.   Flood, J. S., Porphyre, T., Tildesley, M. J. & Woolhouse, M. E. The performance of approximations of farm contiguity
compared to contiguity defined using detailed geographical information in two sample areas in Scotland: implications
for foot-and-mouth disease modelling. *BMC Vet. Res.* **9,** 198 (2013).

27.   Kühnert, D., Wu, C.-H. & Drummond, A. J. Phylogenetic and epidemic modeling of rapidly evolving infectious
diseases. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **11,** 1825–1841 (2011).

28.   Drummond, A., Pybus, O. G. & Rambaut, A. Inference of Viral Evolutionary Rates from Molecular Sequences. *Adv.*
Parasitol. **54,** 331–358 (2003).

29.   Pybus, O. G. & Rambaut, A. Evolutionary analysis of the dynamics of viral infectious disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10,**
540–550 (2009).

30. Lemey, P., Rambaut, A., Drummond, A. J. & Suchard, M. A. Bayesian Phylogeography Finds Its Roots. *PLoS*
Comput. Biol. **5,** e1000520 (2009).

31. Lemey, P., Rambaut, A., Welch, J. J. & Suchard, M. A. Phylogeography Takes a Relaxed Random Walk in
Continuous Space and Time. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **27,** 1877–1885 (2010).

32. Lemey, P. *et al.* Unifying Viral Genetics and Human Transportation Data to Predict the Global Transmission Dynamics
of Human Influenza H3N2. *PLoS Pathog.* **10,** e1003932 (2014).

33. Dellicour, S., Rose, R. & Pybus, O. G. Explaining the geographic spread of emerging viruses: a new framework for
comparing viral genetic information and environmental landscape data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **in press,** 1–12 (2016).

34. Dellicour, S., Rose, R., Faria, N. R., Lemey, P. & Pybus, O. G. SERAPHIM: studying environmental rasters and
phylogenetically-informed movements. *Bioinformatics* btw384 (2016). doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTW384

35. Tully, D. C. & Fares, M. A. The tale of a modern animal plague: Tracing the evolutionary history and determining the
time-scale for foot and mouth disease virus. *Virology* **382,** 250–256 (2008).

36. Knowles, N. J. FMD history. (1990). Available at: http://www.picornaviridae.com/aphthovirus/fmdv/fmd_history.htm.
(Accessed: 25th May 2018)

37. Perry, B. D. *et al.* The impact and poverty reduction implications of foot and mouth disease control in southern Africa
with special reference to Zimbabwe. (2003).

38. Bartley, L. M., Donnelly, C. A. & Anderson, R. M. Review of foot-and-mouth disease virus survival in animal excretions
and on fomites. *Vet. Rec.* **151,** 667–9 (2002).

39. Robinson, S. E. & Christley, R. M. Exploring the role of auction markets in cattle movements within Great Britain. *Prev.*
Vet. Med. **81,** 21–37 (2007).

40. Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J. & Yamada, K. D. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence
choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* (2017). doi:10.1093/bib/bbx108

41. Martin, D. P., Murrell, B., Golden, M., Khoosal, A. & Muhire, B. RDP4: Detection and analysis of recombination
patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evol.* **1,** vev003 (2015).

42. Kahle, D. & Wickham, H. ggmap: Spatial Visualization with ggplot2.

43. Drummond, A. J. & Rambaut, A. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7,** 214
(2007).

44. Tavare, S. Some probabilistic and statistical problems in the analysis of dna sequences. *Lect. Math. Life Sci.*
(American Math. Soc. **17,** 57–86 (1986).

45. Drummond, A. J., Ho, S. Y. W., Phillips, M. J. & Rambaut, A. Relaxed Phylogenetics and Dating with Confidence.
PLoS Biol. **4,** e88 (2006).

46. Gill, M. S. *et al.* Improving bayesian population dynamics inference: A coalescent-based model for multiple loci. *Mol.*
Biol. Evol. **30,** 713–724 (2013).

47. Rambaut, A. FigTree. (2009). Available at: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/. (Accessed: 25th April 2018)

48. Bouckaert, R. *et al.* BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **10,**
e1003537 (2014).

49. Bielejec, F. *et al.* SpreaD3: Interactive Visualization of Spatiotemporal History and Trait Evolutionary Processes. *Mol.*
Biol. Evol. **33,** 2167–2169 (2016).

50. Trovão, N. S. *et al.* Host ecology determines the dispersal patterns of a plant virus. *Virus Evol.* **1,** vev016 (2015).

51. McRae, B. H. Isolation By Resistance. *Evolution (N. Y).* **60,** 1551 (2006).

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by Roslin Institute Strategic grant funding from the the Biotechnology and
Biological Sciences Research Council, UK (BBS/E/D/20002173). SL is supported by a Chancellor's
Fellowship from the University of Edinburgh, and FD is supported by a Chancellor's Fellowship
studentship.

Author contributions

FD, SL and MB conceived the study; FD analysed and interpreted the data, and drafted the
manuscript; SL and MB provided guidance on the study design and the analysis, and contributed to
interpreting the data and scientific discussions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Early pre-print of this manuscript on BioRxiv **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/358044

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/06/28/358044.1