The Growth Hormone Secretagogue Receptor, Ghrelin and Biochemical Signaling Molecules

in Human Heart Failure

Authors:

Rebecca Sullivan[1], Varinder K Randhawa[6], Anne Stokes[2], Derek Wu[1], Tyler Lalonde [3], Bob Kiaii[9], Leonard

Luyt[3,5], Gerald Wisenberg[4,7,8], and Savita Dhanvantari[1,4,7,10]

Affiliations:

1. Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; 2. Health Sciences,

University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; 3. Chemistry, Western University, London, Ontario,

Canada; 4. Imaging Program, Lawson Health Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada; 5. Department

of Oncology, London Regional Cancer Program, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; 6.

Cardiology Division, Toronto General Hospital, University Health Network, and University of Toronto,

Ontario, Canada; 7. Medical Biophysics, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; 8. Cardiac Imaging

Research, Lawson Health Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada; 9; Cardiac Surgery, Western

University, London, Ontario, Canada; 10. Metabolism and Diabetes, Lawson Health Research Institute,

London, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding Address:

Lawson Health Research Institute, F4-127a

PO Box 5777, Stn B

London, ON

N6A 4V2

Rebecca Sullivan: rsulli4@uwo.ca

Abstract

Background

Currently, the early pre-clinical detection of left ventricular (LV) dysfunction is difficult as

biomarkers are not specific for the cardiomyopathic process. The underlying molecular

mechanisms leading to heart failure remain elusive, highlighting the need for identification of

cardiac-specific markers. The growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR) and its ligand

ghrelin are present in cardiac tissue and are known to contribute to myocardial energetics. Here,

we examined tissue ghrelin-GHSR levels as specific markers of cardiac dysfunction in patients

who underwent cardiac transplantation.

Methods and Results

Samples of cardiac tissue were obtained from 10 cardiac transplant patients at the time of organ

harvesting, and during serial post-transplant biopsies. Quantitative fluorescence microscopy using

a novel fluorescent ghrelin analog was used to measure levels of GHSR, and immunofluorescence

was used to measure levels of ghrelin, b-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and tissue markers of

cardiomyocyte contractility and growth. GHSR and ghrelin expression levels were highly variable

in the explanted heart, less in the grafted heart biopsies. GHSR and ghrelin were strongly positively

correlated, and both markers were negatively correlated with LV ejection fraction. Ghrelin had

stronger positive correlations than BNP with the signaling markers for contractility and growth.

Conclusions

These data suggest that GHSR-ghrelin have potential use as an integrated marker of cardiac

dysfunction. Interestingly, tissue ghrelin appeared to be a more sensitive indicator than BNP to the

biochemical processes that are characteristic of heart failure. This work allows for further use of

ghrelin-GHSR to interrogate cardiac-specific biochemical mechanisms in pre-clinical stages of

HF.

Precis

This study shows the relationships between GHSR, ghrelin, and signaling molecules with relation

to heart function in human heart failure with tissue from diseased heart and healthy heart biopsies.

1. Introduction

The clinical syndrome of heart failure (HF) is most commonly associated with significant impairment of left ventricular (LV) contractility, leading to elevated intra-cardiac diastolic pressures and extravasation of fluid into the lung parenchyma and other tissues. The early detection and treatment of HF are limited by two issues: a) the specific series of molecular mechanisms leading to impaired contractility remain elusive in patients with idiopathic cardiomyopathies, and b) the responses to guideline-directed medical therapies remain highly variable, such that many patients continue to deteriorate, leading to either the need for cardiac transplantation or ultimately death. Clinically, there is a critical need to prospectively identify groups of patients who will ultimately be at higher risk, particularly in the early stages of left ventricular dysfunction, when the clinical status and ventricular function are not by themselves consistent reliable predictors of disease progression and clinical outcomes.

One way to potentially anticipate HF outcomes in response to therapeutic interventions is to define profiles of biomarkers that characterize and will reliably predict HF progression. Such biomarkers would help to guide HF therapy by uncovering the cellular and biochemical mechanisms that are associated with and may play key roles in the early stages of cardiomyopathy. Currently, the most commonly used cardiac biomarkers include those indicating changes within the cardiomyocyte that lead to stress, injury and apoptosis: natriuretic peptide type-B (BNP), particularly the N-terminal form (NT-proBNP), and troponins T and I[1–3]. Although these are easily measured by simple peripheral blood tests, they are produced whenever heart tissue is damaged or dysfunctional by any direct or indirect injury to the myocardium. As well, there may be discordance between tissue and circulating levels of these biomarkers. These are important limitations to the use of peripheral or systemic biomarkers compared with those that can be directly

measured in cardiac tissue. However, tissue sampling through endomyocardial biopsy is likely to

never become a practical alternative to blood-borne markers.

The peptide hormone ghrelin and its receptor, the growth hormone secretagogue receptor

(GHSR) are both expressed in cardiomyocytes where they function through an axis that is

independent of their well-documented role in regulating energy expenditure through the GH-IGF-

1 axis [4]. Activation of GHSR in cardiomyocytes results in changes in downstream signaling

molecules including sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+}-ATPase pump (SERCA2a)[5–7], extracellular

signaling related kinase1/2 (ERK1/2)[5,6], and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase / Akt (PI3K/AKT)[6,8].

Myocardial expression of ghrelin and GHSR are altered in heart failure patients [9]. Given the

importance of intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis in atrial and ventricular contractility and the role of

phospho-ERK 1/2 in cardiomyocyte growth, changes in the ghrelin-GHSR axis in myocardial

tissue could potentially reflect derangements in cardiomyocyte contractility and initiation of

cardiac hypertrophic reprogramming that underlie HF progression.

In this study, we evaluated the role of tissue ghrelin-GHSR levels as a specific marker of

cardiac dysfunction in a cohort of patients who underwent cardiac transplantation. We examined

samples from the diseased explanted heart and biopsies from the same individual's healthy donor

heart followed through to one-year post-transplantation. In addition, we aimed to determine the

relationship between ghrelin-GHSR and BNP to biochemical signaling molecules in cardiac

dysfunction.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Patient Cohort

Tissue samples were harvested from 10 patients who underwent cardiac transplantation at the

London Health Sciences Center (LHSC) between 2011 and 2013. The protocol for sample

dissections was approved by Western University's Health Sciences Research Ethics Board.

Samples, roughly 0.5cm to 1.5cm in length, were collected from the right atrium (RA) and left

ventricle (LV) of the explanted/diseased heart (DH) from each cardiac transplant patient.

Endomyocardial biopsies, roughly 0.1 to 0.3cm in length, from the right ventricle (RV) of the

newly grafted heart were also taken at various time-points post-transplantation (PTx), generally

weekly for the first 4 weeks, monthly for months 2-6 and then at 1 year PTx. Patient demographics,

cardiac function (LVEF), and medications pre- and post-transplantation are shown in Tables 1 and

2, respectively. All patient samples and patient data were kept anonymous and all marker analyses

was done prior to receiving clinical data.

2.2 Immunofluorescence microscopy

Samples from both the explanted (diseased) and grafted hearts were frozen and embedded in

optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT), and subsequently sectioned at 7 μm thickness, as

previously described [5,10]. Immunohistochemistry using fluorescent antibodies was conducted as

previously described [5,10]. In brief, tissue sections were incubated with primary polyclonal or

monoclonal antibodies (Table 1) for 1h at room temperature in a humidified chamber. These

antibodies were used to identify ghrelin (1:100), BNP (1:1000), pERK1/2 (1:250); SERCA2a

(1:300), and collagen I (1:500). Samples were rinsed twice in phosphate buffered solution (PBS)

and incubated for 2h at room temperature with secondary antibodies (1:500) (Table 1). We have

previously used the far-red ghrelin analog probe (Ghrelin(1-18, Lys^{18}(Cy5)) to quantify GHSR *in*

situ[5]. This analog binds with high specificity to GHSR in mouse cardiac tissue samples[7].

Therefore, we used Ghrelin(1-18, Lys^{18}(Cy5) to quantify the expression of GHSR. Following

incubation with secondary antibodies, this probe was added to tissue sections for 30 min and used

for GHSR detection. Sections were washed with PBS, incubated 8 min with DAPI nuclear stain

(1:1000), and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade (Life Technologies) to prevent the tissues from

photobleaching. Images were captured with a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S fluorescent microscope.

Five random fields of view were acquired for each of 4 tissue sections at 20X magnification (Nikon

NIS Elements v. BR 4.50.00).

Table 1. Information on antibodies used.

Antigen	Catalog #	Dilution	Host	RRID#
Ghrelin	sc-10359	1:100	Goat	AB_2111733
Serca2A	ab3625	1:300	Rabbit	AB_303961
pERK1/2	sc-377400	1:250	Mouse	
BNP	ab19645	1:1000	Rabbit	AB_445037
Collagen I	ab34710	1:500	Rabbit	AB_731684
DAPI	62247	1:1000	-	AB_2629482
Alexafluor 488	A11055	1:500	Donkey anti-goat	AB_2534102
Alexafluor 594	A21207	1:500	Donkey anti-rabbit	AB_141637
Alexafluor 594	A21203	1:500	Donkey anti-mouse	AB_141633
Alexafluor 488	A21206	1:500	Donkey anti-rabbit	AB_141708

11   *2.3 Fibrosis imaging*

In order to assess fibrosis, heart tissue sections were stained with Masson's Trichrome stain by the

core Pathology laboratory at LHSC. Sections were acquired using bright field microscopy at 10X,

20X or 40X magnifications with a Zeiss Axioskop EL-Einsatz microscope and Northern Eclipse

software. Fluorescence microscopy was also used to acquire collagen I images as described above.

2.4 Data Analysis

Images of GHSR, ghrelin, and biochemical signaling molecules were analyzed with FIJI v. 1.49v,

a distribution of ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda). Fluorescence of each

section was quantified using a custom FIJI script that integrates raw density images that represent

protein expression levels, as previously reported by us[5,11]. Briefly, thresholding was conducted for

each image to determine the fluorescence intensity (positive pixel count above threshold minus the

background). Fibrosis was analyzed using an online script which quantified the percentage of

fibrotic tissue in each sample by distinguishing fibrotic tissue from non-fibrotic tissue[12]. Statistical

analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.02 or IBM SPSS statistics 25, as

follows: unpaired student *t* test, a one-way ANOVA with analysis of variance using Tukey *post-*

hoc test to compare differences between diseased hearts and biopsies of the grafted hearts; Pearson

correlation and logistic linear regression for correlations between markers: and Spearman bivariate

correlation for relationships between LVEF and the following markers: GHSR, ghrelin, BNP,

pERK1/2 and SERCA2a, all with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1 Cardiac transplant patient cohort

Sixty percent of the patients who underwent cardiac transplantation were male, with an overall

mean age of 54 years (Table 2). Fifty percent had significant coronary artery disease pre-transplant,

and none had diabetes. All patients had elevated pulmonary artery pressures pre-transplant, along

with severely reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF <30%) by echocardiographic

assessment. Serial echocardiographic assessment of LV function following cardiac transplant

showed LV recovery to an LVEF > 50% in 80% of patients by 1 month PTx. By 6 months PTx,

all patients had normal LV function (Table 2). Although most patients were receiving HF

medications, i.e., angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors/beta blockers/diuretics, prior to

transplantation, only a small number continued to receive these medications following surgery.

Table 3 contains a complete listing of medications pre- and post-Tx.

3.2 GHSR and ghrelin expression in cardiomyocytes

In any given cardiac transplant patient, the expression of GHSR and ghrelin in both LV and RA

appeared to be elevated in the explanted heart in comparison to their expression PTx in the grafted

heart tissue biopsies over time (Figure 1 A-C). By logistic regression analysis of all patient

specimens, both pre-and post-transplant, the level of GHSR expression demonstrated a strong and

highly significant positive correlation with the level of ghrelin expression (r = 0.7817, *p*<0.0001).

To examine associations of ghrelin and GHSR with cardiac dysfunction, data were divided into 2

groups of LVEF < 30% (pre-transplant) and LVEF > 50% (post-transplant), as there were no mid-

range values of LVEF. In the pre-transplant hearts with LVEF < 30%, expression of GHSR and

ghrelin clustered towards the higher end of the regression line, while in grafted heart biopsies at 1

and 6 months (LVEF > 50%), expression of GHSR and ghrelin clustered towards the lower end of

the regression line (Figure 1 D). To more closely examine correlations between ghrelin/GHSR and

cardiac dysfunction, we used a Spearman bivariate correlation test, and showed significant

negative correlations between GHSR and LVEF (p=0.018) and ghrelin and LVEF (p=0.004)

(Figure 1 E).

3.3 Metabolic markers in cardiac tissue

The expression of metabolic markers in cardiac tissue that are associated with cardiac dysfunction

were measured by immunofluorescence microscopy. We measured the following markers: a)

SERCA2a, as an index of cardiomyocyte contractility, b) pERK1/2, as a marker of cardiomyocyte

growth/hypertrophy, and c) BNP, as a validated clinical tool used to determine the presence and

degree of HF. Representative images of SERCA2a, pERK1/2 and BNP expression are shown in

Figures 2A and 3A, respectively. The expression of SERCA2a was significantly elevated in

cardiac tissue from the RA of the diseased heart (Figure 2 B) when compared to its expression in

endomyocardial biopsies taken at weeks 1, 2, and 3 PTx by 3.2, 2.4, and 2.7 fold, respectively.

However, there was no significant difference in SERCA2a expression in the RA after the first

month PTx. There were also no significant differences in the expression of SERCA2a in the LV

tissue of the diseased heart when compared to the PTx endomyocardial biopsies. pERK1/2

expression was significantly increased in the cardiac tissue of the diseased heart taken from the

RA by 1.8-3.1-fold and LV by 1.7-2.9-fold when compared to the grafted heart tissue biopsies

taken at any time-point PTx (Figure 2 C). The degree of BNP expression (Figure 3B) showed

similar trends as for GHSR and ghrelin. Logistic regression analyses were performed to determine

the association between SERCA2a, pERK1/2, GHSR and ghrelin levels (Figure 2). Highly

significant and strong positive correlations were found between pERK1/2 and SERCA2a (r =

0.6867, *p*<0.0001), pERK1/2 and ghrelin (r = 0.5719, *p*<0.0001), and SERCA2a and ghrelin (r =

0.7171, $p<0.0001$). By contrast, there was a much weaker correlation between SERCA2a and

GHSR (r = 0.2320, $p=0.0483$), and there was no correlation between pERK1/2 with GHSR.

Logistic regression analyses were performed between all metabolic markers and BNP to determine

any possible relationships (Figure 3 C-F). There was a positive correlation between ghrelin and

BNP (r = 0.6782, $p<0.0001$), and SERCA2a and BNP (r = 0.4838, $p<0.0001$). However, there

were weak correlations between GHSR and BNP (r = 0.2423, $p=0.0282$), and pERK1/2 and BNP

(r = 0.2745, $p=0.0196$). Spearman bivariate correlations (correlation coefficients, CorC) were

calculated as above and indicated highly significant negative associations between LVEF and

SERCA2a ($p<0.001$, CorC = -0.63), and pERK ($p < 0.001$, CorC = -0.814), and between LVEF

and BNP ($p < 0.001$, CorC = -0.773).

3.4 Cardiac fibrosis

Cardiac fibrosis was determined in all patient samples using Masson's trichrome stain which

measured the presence of collagen I and III (in blue) and compared that to the non-fibrotic tissue

(in red). Quantification of fibrosis is illustrated in Figure 4 A, and revealed a high degree of

variability both between and within patients from one time point to another (Table 4).

Representative images showing the high degree of variability between patients taken from a single

time-point are shown in Figure 4 B where significant fibrosis was seen in one patient with large

amounts of collagen I and II (blue) and minimal fibrosis was seen in another patient at the same

time-point To determine whether GHSR tissue levels were contributed to by fibrosis, cardiac tissue

was examined for colocalization between collagen I and GHSR by fluorescence microscopy

(Figure 5 A and B). These analyses showed no colocalization between collagen I and GHSR in the

human tissue samples (Figure 5C and D).

4. Discussion

This study is the first comprehensive and longitudinal study to examine tissue GHSR, ghrelin and subsequent downstream biochemical signaling molecules involved in cardiomyocyte contractility and growth, over time, in the same patients with two different hearts. Our findings have demonstrated a relationship between cardiac function, as measured by LVEF, and the expression of both ghrelin and GHSR, suggesting that the cardiac ghrelin-GHSR axis may represent a new cardiac-specific biomarker in HF. Our findings further showed an association between the biochemical signaling molecules and their relationship to LVEF. This study is the first to examine the relationship between GHSR, ghrelin, and biochemical signaling molecules in human cardiac tissues and their relationship to LV function, therefore providing substantial new findings related to this myocardial ghrelin/GHSR axis.

Currently the "gold standard" for cardiac biomarkers of heart failure are BNP and NT-proBNP, which are markers of myocardial stress. Historically, past studies using BNP have focused on characterizing HF with reduced EF as associated with and directly linked to elevated circulating BNP levels[3,13]. More recent studies have also attempted to understand the mechanisms leading to HF in the setting of preserved EF. To explore this and other etiologies of HF, a variety of biomarkers including extracellular matrix proteins (matrix metalloproteinase, galectin-3); oxidative stress proteins (8-hydroxy-2,-deoxyguanosine, neopterin); and inflammatory molecules (c-reactive protein, pentraxin 3)[14] have been identified and their association with the presence and degree of heart failure investigated. Further, circulating biomarkers of HF continue to be discovered through proteomic and gene sequencing of blood serum analyses[15–17]. Many novel serum biomarkers are currently being identified[18,19], and ghrelin/ GHSR axis may provide a

complementary cardiac-specific indicator of LV function in HF. Certainly, biomarkers that are

intimately involved in the different pathological processes in HF would help to both enhance early

diagnosis and might have the potential to optimize targeted therapies[14,20]. We propose that the

ghrelin-GHSR axis represents the first cardiac-specific indicator of HF.

A prior study has shown that GHSR is elevated in chronic heart failure in humans[9]. In contrast to

our findings, where both GHSR and ghrelin were elevated, that study also reported a decrease in

ghrelin expression in chronic heart failure. The difference in the degree of ghrelin expression

between these two studies could reflect the variability in the severity and/or the stage of

progression of HF between these two studies. This previously referenced study was also limited

by assessment at a single time point with samples only of end stage heart failure[9]. In contrast, our

study assessed levels of GHSR and ghrelin at end stage HF and in serial biopsies taken up to a

year after transplant surgery, and furthermore, demonstrated significant relationships between

LVEF and tissue ghrelin and GHSR levels in two hearts from the same patient. Now that we have

established that higher levels of GHSR and ghrelin associate with LVEF in the very low range,

these results set the stage for examining changes in cardiac GHSR and ghrelin in patients with a

range of LVEF values that reflect the evolution of heart failure.

Circulating ghrelin has also previously been suggested to be a potential single biomarker of HF,

and from a prognostic perspective, a level of 85 pmol/l or higher was predictive of increased

survival [21]. However, circulating ghrelin levels are also elevated in the fasting state, and are

decreased in both the elderly population and with patients who have a higher BMI[22], therefore

skewing this prognostic cut-off level. In contrast, our data in cardiac tissue samples provide the

first direct measure of the ghrelin-GHSR axis being elevated in the human myocardium, thus

further strengthening the case for its use as a novel cardiac-specific biomarker of HF within tissue.

As mentioned above, circulating BNP and NT-pro BNP are the currently used clinical biomarkers

of HF, as levels rise with decreasing LVEF[23–25]. Our results indicate that levels of BNP in human

cardiac tissue trend towards an increase when LVEF is in the very low range, and was more

strongly correlated with LVEF than was ghrelin, suggesting that tissue levels of BNP could also

be a sensitive biomarker for severe HF. Interestingly, in our study, levels of both GHSR and ghrelin

were also associated with LVEF, indicating that the ghrelin-GHSR axis may also be a good cardiac

biomarker of LV function. As discussed below, ghrelin showed stronger correlations with

biochemical signalling molecules, indicating that tissue ghrelin is more closely associated than is

BNP with the biochemical mechanisms that underlie the development of HF.

To better understand the potential mechanisms underlying HF, we examined the downstream

signaling pathways that link the ghrelin-GHSR axis to cardiomyocyte contractility and growth.

The significant elevation of pERK1/2 levels we observed in end-stage HF is consistent with the

reported elevations in pERK1/2 in cardiac tissue in mouse and rat models of HF[26]. Signalling

through pERK1/2 is associated with pressure overload-induced myocardial hypertrophy, and thus

indicates maladaptive alterations under conditions of persistent myocardial stress. Interestingly,

ghrelin and *GHSR* gene variants are also associated with LV hypertrophy[27,28]. Therefore, our data

suggest a new upregulated ghrelin-GHSR-pERK1/2 pathway that may mediate HF in humans

through myocardial hypertrophy.

The strong positive correlation between ghrelin and SERCA2A is in accordance with the role of

ghrelin in the improvement of Ca^{2+} dynamics in cardiomyocytes isolated from rodents with

ischemia-reperfusion myocardial injury[29]. In this study, activation of GHSR by either ghrelin or

hexarelin increased SERCA2a expression and activity through increased phosphorylation of its

regulatory binding protein, phospholamban, thus replenishing Ca^{2+} stores in the sarcoplasmic

reticulum. However, our results indicating that SERCA2a levels are actually elevated in end-stage

HF are in sharp contrast to the literature documenting a decrease in SERCA2a expression and

activity in the failing human myocardium[30]. In contrast to the literature, we compared SERCA2a

expression between end-stage heart disease and engrafted hearts, and not to healthy controls. The

relative decrease in SERCA2a expression in biopsies taken at earlier time points may reflect a

subclinical immune response, as a recent study has suggested that decreases in tissue SERCA2a

correlate with graft rejection[31].

Cardiac fibrosis due to a deposition of extracellular matrix proteins including fibroblasts and

collagen, occurs in all etiologies of heart disease and heart failure and is a marker of increased

heart failure severity[32,33]. Our results indicate an increased collagen I and III deposition in the

diseased hearts although there was significant variability both between and within patients. The

variability could be a consequence of sampling location; a high presence of fibrosis in the

apparently healthy implanted hearts likely indicates a considerable degree of geographic

heterogeneity within any given patient's heart. Traditionally biopsies are acquired from the RV,

as what was done here, although a recent study found LV biopsies to be not only possible with low

risk via radial access, but preferable for determination of heart function through

immunohistochemistry and molecular analyses[34]. Since there was such a large degree of variability

in the amount of fibrotic tissue in both pre-transplant hearts and grafted heart tissue, there was a possibility that GHSR expression originated within the fibrotic tissue, thus potentially skewing our results. However, we have shown that GHSR was found only in non-fibrotic tissue; therefore, the variability in expression likely lies in HF type and severity, and not in sampling location. Since the diseased heart tends to have larger amounts of collagen deposition, the positive fluorescent signal is only originating from a limited, non-fibrotic component of the whole tissue section. Therefore, the measurement of GHSR which we used was affected by the extent of collagen deposition within any given sample, with samples with higher degrees of fibrosis having an artificially depressed measurement of "myocardial" levels.

Overall, we have identified the ghrelin-GHSR axis as a cardiac-specific biomarker of cardiac dysfunction in human heart failure. This biomarker was demonstrated to have a stronger sensitivity to the downstream signaling molecules linked to cardiomyocyte contractility and hypertrophy when compared to BNP, the "gold standard" clinically used biomarker. Cardiac fibrosis was highly variable both within and between patients and GHSR was not expressed in fibrotic tissue. We are currently examining the expression and relationship of GHSR-ghrelin and downstream signaling molecules that contribute to defective cardiomyocyte programming in other types of heart disease in humans. This work will help to identify GHSR-ghrelin as a cardiac-specific biomarker that can be used to determine the progression of HF at earlier stages.

Acknowledgements

We like to thank Dr. Peter Pflugfelder, Ms. Anna McDonald and Ms. Stephanie Fox for assistance in the collection of endomyocardial biopsies, and the Pathology Core Lab at the London Health sciences center for their help in fibrosis staining of all tissue samples.

Sources of Funding

This work was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council grant to SD, LL and GW.

Disclosures

We have no financial, personal or professional relationships to disclose.

References

1.   Gaggin HK, Januzzi JL. Biomarkers and diagnostics in heart failure. *Mol Basis Dis*. 2013;1832:2442-2450. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2012.12.014

2.   Van Kimmenade RRJ, Januzzi JL. Emerging biomarkers in heart failure. *Clin Chem*. 2012;58(1):127-138. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2011.165720

3.   Braunwald E. Biomarkers in Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(20):2148-2159. http://www.nejm.org.proxy1.lib.uwo.ca/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMra0800239. Accessed June 22, 2017.

4.   Kishimoto I, Tokudome T, Hosoda H, Miyazato M, Kangawa K. Ghrelin and cardiovascular diseases. *Int J Cardiol*. 2012;59(1):8-13. doi:10.1016/j.jjcc.2011.11.002

5.   Douglas GAF, McGirr R, Charlton CL, et al. Characterization of a far-red analog of ghrelin for imaging GHS-R in P19-derived cardiomyocytes. *Regul Pept*. 2014;54:81-88. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2014.01.011

6.   Baldanzi G, Filigheddu N, Cutrupi S, et al. Ghrelin and des-acyl ghrelin inhibit cell death in cardiomyocytes and endothelial cells through ERK1/2 and PI 3-kinase/AKT. *J Cell Biol*. 2002;159(6):1029-1037. doi:10.1083/jcb.200207165

7.   Sullivan R, McGirr R, Hu S, et al. Changes in the Cardiac GHSR1a-Ghrelin System Correlate With Myocardial Dysfunction in Diabetic Cardiomyopathy in Mice. *J Endocr Soc*. 2018;2(2):178-189. doi:10.1210/js.2017-00433

8.   Yuan M-J, Wang T, Kong B, Wang X, Huang C-X, Wang D. GHSR-1a is a novel pro-angiogenic and anti-remodeling target in rats after myocardial infarction. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2016;788:218-225. doi:10.1016/J.EJPHAR.2016.06.032

9.   Beiras-Fernandez A, Kreth S, Weis F, et al. Altered myocardial expression of ghrelin and

its receptor (GHSR-1a) in patients with severe heart failure. *Peptides*. 2010;31(12):2222-2228. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2010.08.019

10. McGirr R, McFarland MS, McTavish J, Luyt LG, Dhanvantari S. Design and characterization of a fluorescent ghrelin analog for imaging the growth hormone secretagogue receptor 1a. *Regul Pept*. 2011;172(1-3):69-76. doi:10.1016/j.regpep.2011.08.011

11. Guizzetti L, McGirr R, Dhanvantari S. Two dipolar α-helices within hormone-encoding regions of proglucagon are sorting signals to the regulated secretory pathway. *J Biol Chem*. 2014;289:14968-14980. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.563684

12. Kennedy DJ, Vetteth S, Periyasamy SM, et al. Central role for the cardiotonic steroid marinobufagenin in the pathogenesis of experimental uremic cardiomyopathy. *Hypertension*. 2006;47(3):488-495. doi:10.1161/01.HYP.0000202594.82271.92

13. Friões F, Lourenço P, Laszczynska O, et al. Prognostic value of sST2 added to BNP in acute heart failure with preserved or reduced ejection fraction. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2015;104(6):491-499. doi:10.1007/s00392-015-0811-x

14. Takeishi Y. Biomarkers in Heart Failure. *Int Hear J*. 2014;55(6):474-481. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/ihj/55/6/55_14-267/_pdf. Accessed April 6, 2018.

15. Senthong V, Kirsop JL, Tang WHW. Clinical Phenotyping of Heart Failure with Biomarkers: Current and Future Perspectives. *Curr Hear Fail Rep*. 2017;14(2):106-116. doi:10.1007/s11897-017-0321-4

16. Meder B, Haas J, Sedaghat-Hamedani F, et al. Epigenome-Wide Association Study Identifies Cardiac Gene Patterning and a Novel Class of Biomarkers for Heart Failure. *Circulation*. 2017;136(16):1528-1544. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.027355

17. Xuan L, Sun L, Zhang Y, et al. Circulating long non-coding RNAs NRON and MHRT as novel predictive biomarkers of heart failure. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2017;21(9):1803-1814. doi:10.1111/jcmm.13101

18. Stenemo M, Nowak C, Byberg L, et al. Circulating proteins as predictors of incident heart failure in the elderly. *Eur J Hear Fail*. 2018;20:55-62. doi:10.1002/ejhf.980

19. Van Boven N, Kardys I, van Vark LC, et al. Serially measured circulating microRNAs and adverse clinical outcomes in patients with acute heart failure. *Eur J Hear Fail*. 2018;20:89-96. doi:10.1002/ejhf.950

20. Bishu K, Redfield MM. Acute Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction: Unique Patient Characteristics and Targets for Therapy. *Curr Hear Fail Rep*. 2013;10(3):190-197. doi:10.1007/s11897-013-0149-5

21. Chen Y, Ji X, Zhang A, Lv J, Zhang J, Zhao C. Prognostic value of plasma ghrelin in predicting the outcome of patients with chronic heart failure. *J Inst Mex Seg Soc*. 2014;45(3):263-269. doi:10.1016/j.arcmed.2014.01.004

22. Rigamonti AE, Pincelli AI, Viarengo R, et al. Plasma ghrelin concentrations in elderly subjects: comparison with anorexic and obese patients. *J Endocrinol*. 2002;175:1-5. http://joe.endocrinology-journals.org/content/175/1/R1.full.pdf. Accessed April 23, 2018.

23. Karakilic E, Kepez A, Abali G, Coskun F, Kunt M, Tokgozoglu L. The relationship between B-type natriuretic peptide levels and echocardiographic parameters in patients with heart failure admitted to the emergency department. *Anadolu Kardiyol Dergisi/The Anatol J Cardiol*. 2010;10(2):143-149. doi:10.5152/akd.2010.040

24. Jiang Yanxia MC, Yanxia J, Xingjun C, Xintao T, Lei S. The Correlation between Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction and Peripheral Blood MCP-1 NT-Pro BNP in Patients with

Acute Coronary Syndrome. *Intern Med Open Access*. 2014;04(01):1-4. doi:10.4172/2165-8048.1000139

25.   Belagavi AC, Rao M, Pillai AY, Srihari US. Correlation between NT proBNP and left ventricular ejection fraction in elderly patients presenting to emergency department with dyspnoea. *Indian Heart J*. 2012;64(3):302-304. doi:10.1016/S0019-4832(12)60091-1

26.   Bueno OF, Molkentin JD. Involvement of extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 in cardiac hypertrophy and cell death. *Circ Res*. 2002;91(9):776-781. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000038488.38975.1A

27.   Baessler A, Kwitek AE, Fischer M, et al. Association of the ghrelin receptor gene region with left ventricular hypertrophy in the general population: Results of the MONICA/KORA Augsburg echocardiographic substudy. *Hypertension*. 2006;47(5):920-927. doi:10.1161/01.HYP.0000215180.32274.c8

28.   Ukkola O, Pääkkö T, Kesäniemi YA. Ghrelin and its promoter variant associated with cardiac hypertrophy. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2012;26(7):452-457. doi:10.1038/jhh.2011.51

29.   Ma Y, Zhang L, Edwards JN, et al. Growth Hormone Secretagogues Protect Mouse Cardiomyocytes from in vitro Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury through Regulation of Intracellular Calcium. Lewin A, ed. *PLoS one*. 2012;7(4):e35265. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035265

30.   Gorski PA, Ceholski DK, Hajjar RJ. Altered myocardial calcium cycling and energetics in heart failure - A rational approach for disease treatment. *Cell Metab*. 2015;21(2):183-194. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2015.01.005

31.   Tarazón E, Ortega A, Gil-Cayuela C, et al. SERCA2a: A potential non-invasive biomarker of cardiac allograft rejection. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2017;36(12):1322-1328.

doi:10.1016/j.healun.2017.07.003

32.   Majumder R, Nayak AR, Pandit R. Nonequilibrium Arrhythmic States and Transitions in

a Mathematical Model for Diffuse Fibrosis in Human Cardiac Tissue. Chen X, ed. *PLoS*

one. 2012;7(10):e45040. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045040

33.   Boldt A, Wetzel U, Lauschke J, et al. Fibrosis in left atrial tissue of patients with atrial

fibrillation with and without underlying mitral valve disease. *BMJ Hear*. 2004;90(4):400-

405. doi:10.1136/HRT.2003.015347

34.   Frey N, Meder B, Katus HA. Left Ventricular Biopsy in the Diagnosis of Myocardial

Diseases. *Circulation*. 2018;137(10):993-995.

doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.030834

Figures

Figure 1. Ghrelin and GHSR expression in patients pre- and post- cardiac transplant. A) Representative fluorescent images of GHSR (red) and ghrelin (green) are shown in explanted heart tissue (left) and grafted heart biopsies (right) taken from the same patient. B and C) Quantified fluorescence intensity of GHSR (red) and ghrelin (green) is shown from explanted heart tissue (LV and RA, black dots) and grafted heart biopsies (Bi 1-10, coloured dots). D) Positive correlation of GHSR and ghrelin expression is shown in the entire cardiac transplant cohort with EF <30 in green and EF>50 in red. Each dot represents one transplant patient sample. LV: Left Ventricle; RA: Right atrium; Bi 1 – Bi 10: Biopsy 1 – Biopsy 10; EF: ejection fraction.

Figure 2. Cardiac metabolic markers in patients pre- and post-cardiac transplant in entire transplant patient cohort. A) Representative fluorescent images of pERK1/2 (green) and SERCA2a (red) are shown from explanted heart tissue (left) and grafted heart biopsies (right) samples taken from the same patient. B and C) Quantified fluorescence intensity of pERK1/2 (green) and SERCA2a (red) are shown for explanted heart tissue (LV and RA, black dots) and grafted heart biopsies (Bi 1-10, coloured dots). D-H) Positive correlation is seen in the entire cardiac transplant cohort for pERK1/2 vs SERCA2a, pERK1/2 vs ghrelin, SERCA2a vs ghrelin, pERK1/2 vs GHSR, and SERCA2a vs GHSR. Each dot represents one transplant patient sample. LV: Left Ventricle; RA: Right atrium; Bi 1 – Bi 10: Biopsy 1 – Biopsy 10. *$p<0.05$ from RA; ** $p<0.01$ from RA; *** $p<0.001$ from RA; **** $p<0.0001$ from RA; # $p<0.05$ from LV; ## $p<0.01$ from LV.

Figure 3. BNP expression in patients pre- and post-cardiac transplant. A) Representative fluorescent images of BNP are shown from explanted heart tissue (left) and grafted heart biopsies (right) taken from the same patient. B) Quantified fluorescence intensity of BNP is shown in explanted heart tissue (LV and RA, black dots) and grafted heart biopsies (Bi 1-10, coloured dots). C-F) Positive correlation is shown in the entire cardiac transplant cohort for ghrelin vs BNP, BNP vs GHSR, pERK1/2 vs BNP, and SERCA2a vs BNP. Each dot represents one transplant patient sample. LV: Left Ventricle; RA: Right atrium; Bi 1 – Bi 10: Biopsy 1 – Biopsy 10.

Figure 4. Cardiac fibrosis in patients pre- and post-cardiac transplant. A) Quantified fibrotic data are shown for explanted heart tissue (LV and RA, black dots) and grafted heart biopsies (Bi 1-10, coloured dots). B) Representative images of the fibrotic variability between patients showing high levels of fibrosis (top) and low levels of fibrosis (bottom) where blue is fibrotic tissue (collagen I and III) and red is healthy cardiac tissue. Images of the RA, Bi 1, Bi 4, Bi 10 showing different patients at same time point pre- and post-cardiac transplant. LV: Left Ventricle; RA: Right atrium; Bi 1 – Bi 10: Biopsy 1 – Biopsy 10.

Figure 5. Fibrosis and GHSR in human myocardial tissue. Representative images from grafted heart biopsy 1 showing the same patient sample in all images. A and B show no colocalization of GHSR (red) and collagen I (green) with DAPI showing nuclei (blue). C and D show Masson's trichrome staining of same patient sample as in A and B where blue is fibrotic tissue (collagen I and III) and red is healthy tissue. A and C show 10X magnification where B and D show 20X magnification.

Tables

Table 2. Cardiac Transplant Recipient Patient Demographics

Recipient Condition	Number of Patients with Condition (n of 10)
Male gender	6
Mean recipient age (years)	54
Coronary artery disease	5
Hypertension	1
High pulmonary artery pressure	10
Diabetes	0
Assist Device Pre-Transplant	3
LVEF <30% Pre-Transplant	10
LVEF >50% 1 month Post-Transplant	8
LVEF >50% ≥ 6 months Post-Transplant	10

Table 3. Patient Medications Pre- and Post-Cardiac Transplant.

Medications	Pre-transplant (n of 10)	Post-transplant 1 month (n of 10)	Post-transplant 6 months (n of 10)	Post-transplant 1 year (n of 10)
ACE Inhibitor *	8	2	2	8
Anti-arrhythmic	5	2	0	0
Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB)	0	0	0	0
Anti-platelet	5	7	7	8
Coumadin	7	1	0	0
Beta blocker	10	1	0	0
Calcium channel blocker	10	2	4	3
Digoxin	8	0	0	0
Diuretics	10	7	2	2
Statins	5	5	6	6
Nitrates	0	0	0	0
Nitroglycerin	0	0	0	0
Anti-diabetics	0	0	0	0
Inotropic support	1	0	0	0

(Milrinone / Dobutamine)				
Tacrolimus	0	9	10	10
Mycophenolate mofetil	0	9	9	9
Prednisone	0	7	9	6

Table 4. Fibrosis Percentages of explanted hearts and healthy implanted heart biopsies. (RA and LV of explanted heart; Biopsy 1-10 of grafted heart). All values listed in percentages (%).

	LV	RA	Bi 1	Bi 2	Bi 3	Bi 4	Bi 5	Bi 6	Bi 7	Bi 8	Bi 9	Bi 10
Patient 1	26.1	10.6	1.3	2.9	5.4	1.5	15.8	2.2	1.3	-	-	-
Patient 2	24.6	6.0	19.1	3.8	3.8	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Patient 3	-	7.0	3.9	11.4	22.2	0.9	-	-	-	3.6	2.2	-
Patient 4	2.6	32.5	1.2	0.3	-	3.9	4.6	-	-	13.1	-	-
Patient 5	53.6	-	2.4	7.3	3.9	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Patient 6	3.7	7.8	2.4	38.0	1.3	-	-	-	4.1	5.9	2.3	11.7
Patient 7	5.5	-	39.4	2.9	2.7	3.1	17.4	3.5	1.8	6.1	6.4	0.3
Patient 8	7.6	1.6	10.7	1.1	6.9	3.6	0.9	1.3	2.8	0.3	6.6	3.6
Patient 9	-	21.9	8.9	6.1	3.5	-	1.2	2.3	-	17.3	-	-
Patient 10	11.5	11.9	3.8	8.3	13.8	0.9	30.9	2.2	3.2	-	-	-