Long-term blooming filamentous calcareous alga provides substrate for other coral-competitive macroalgae in the degraded lagoon of Dongsha Atoll (Pratas), Taiwan

Short title: Filamentous calcareous alga provides substrate for other coral-competitive macroalgae

Carolin Nieder[1], Chaolun Allen Chen[2,3,4], Shao-Lun Liu[1*]

[1]Department of Life Science, Tunghai University, Taichung, Taiwan

[2] Biodiversity Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

[3]Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

[4]Department of Life Science, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

* Corresponding author

E-mail: shaolunliu@gmail.com (SL)

Abstract

Background: Red calcareous seaweeds of the genus *Galaxaura* have been associated with coral reef degradation. *Galaxaura* has low nutritional value for most herbivorous fishes and produces allelopathic chemicals in competition with corals. In this study, the abundance of the filamentous *Galaxaura divaricata* was surveyed on 13 spatially independent patch reefs across the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll, an isolated coral reef ecosystem in the northern South China Sea. Variations in *Galaxaura* cover on a degraded reef were monitored over a period of 17 months. Epiphytic macroalgae associated with *Galaxaura* were quantified, and species identifications aided through DNA barcoding.

Results: Patch reefs in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll were degraded, exhibiting relatively low living coral cover (21 $\pm$ 3%), but high cover of macroalgae (30 $\pm$ 4%), and rubbles and dead coral (47 $\pm$ 4%). The distribution of *Galaxaura* was heterogeneous across the lagoon, with highest abundance in the southeast area. In that area *Galaxaura* has persistently bloomed on degraded reef for at least four years, covering up to 41% of the substrate. *Galaxaura* provides substrate for various macroalgae, 15 of which were identified to the species level, four to the genus level, one to the order Gelidiales, and one to the phylum cyanobacteria. Some of these epiphytes are allelopathic and known to frequently overgrow corals. For instance, the brown alga *Lobophora* sp28, third most common epiphytic macroalga on *Galaxaura*, frequently overgrows corals across the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll.

Conclusions: Our study demonstrated that an allelopathic and unpalatable seaweed, such as *Galaxaura* can bloom on degraded coral reefs for several years. The complex thallus-structure of *Galaxaura* provides suitable substrate for other macroalgae, some of which are noxious to corals.

By increasing the substrate availability for macroalgae, *Galaxaura* could facilitate the abundance of macroalgae, and decrease the recovery potential of degraded coral reefs.

Keywords: coral reef, epiphyte; *Galaxaura*; lagoon; macroalgae; phase-shift.

Introduction

Coral-macroalgae competition is a major ecological process on coral reefs [1,2]. However, climate change, overfishing, and anthropogenic pollution have driven corals worldwide to a decline and often facilitated macroalgae dominance on degraded reefs [3-9]. The replenishment of corals on degraded reefs is strongly influenced by the types of dominant macroalgae [10,11]. Macroalgae that produce noxious allelochemicals in competition with corals are considered most detrimental for the resilience of degraded coral reefs [10,12-14]. Allelopathic macroalgae may perpetuate their dominance on degraded reefs by inhibiting the process of successful recruitment of juvenile corals, the key process of coral reef recovery [15-17].

Species of the red, calcareous genus *Galaxaura* are common on coral reefs in the Pacific Ocean [18-22]. *Galaxaura* is one of the least nutritious macroalga to herbivores fish [23-26], and highly competitive with coral because of its allelopathic effects on coral and coral larvae [15,27]. Extracts of the lipid-soluble secondary metabolites of *Galaxaura filamentosa* were shown to cause bleaching and death of coral tissue upon direct contact [13-15,28], and deterred coral larvae from settlement [29]. It has been suggested that high abundance of *Galaxaura* on degraded reefs accounts for the inhibition of coral reef recovery [12,29]. More detailed investigations of the distribution and ecology of reef-associated seaweeds, such as *Galxaura*, and

their roles in outcompeting corals will provide valuable information for coral reef management and conservation [1,30].

Dongsha Atoll (also known as Pratas Islands) is the only large coral reef atoll (>500 km^2) in the northern South China Sea. The South China Sea is the largest tropical Pacific marginal sea in Southeast Asia [31], including numerous coral reef atolls and fringing reefs that are great natural resources, supplying large numbers of people with goods and services, such as fish and important ecological values [32-34]. The ring-shaped reef flat of Dongsha Atoll encircles a large lagoon with seagrass beds and hundreds of coral patch reefs [35]. The Lagoon patch reefs are structured into tops (1-5 m depth) and slopes (5-12 m depth), and provide important habitat and sheltered nursery grounds for numerous marine organisms, such as green sea turtles and coral reef fish, including rays and sharks [32,35-37]. The catastrophic mass bleaching event in 1998 and reoccurring bleaching events thereafter have caused severe mass mortalities of corals in the lagoon, followed by a marked increase of macroalgae [36,38].

The filamentous, calcareous macroalga *Galaxaura divaricata* is conspicuous on coral reefs in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll [19] where it blooms in certain areas, overgrowing large parts of degraded reef substrate. Several macroalgae, e.g. *Sargassum* and *Lobophora*, are known to provide substrate and form habitats for epiphytic algae [39-41]. Increased substrate availability can lead to increased macroalgae biomass on coral reefs [1]. However, whether *Galaxaura* provides a suitable substrate for macroscopic epiphytes remains unexplored.

The aims of this study are to document the spatial patterns and persistence of *Galaxaura* blooms in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll, show several aspects of *Galaxaura*-coral competition, and identify and quantify epiphytic macroalgae that grow on *Galaxaura*. Potential implications

of our observations, regarding the recovery potential of *Galaxaura* dominated reefs, and the role of *Galaxaura* as substrate for the facilitation of macroalgae abundance, are discussed.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted from April 2016 to September 2017 in Dongsha Atoll (Pratas Island) (Latitude 20°40’43”N, Longitude 116°42’54”E), an isolated coral reef atoll in the north of the South China Sea. The climate shows seasonal variability under the East Asian monsoon system, with a colder northeast monsoon season (as winter) and a warm southwest monsoon season (as summer) [31]. The ring-shaped atoll covers an area of approximately 500 km^2 and is situated 450 km southwest from the coast of Taiwan and 350 km southeast from Hong Kong (Fig 1). The outer reef flat is interrupted by two channels that are located north and south of a small islet (1.74km^2), allowing for water exchange between the lagoon and the open ocean [36,42]. The semi-closed lagoon is about 20 km wide with a maximum depth of 16 m deep near the center [36].

The abundance of the red, calcareous, filamentous seaweed *Galaxaura divaricata* was surveyed on 13 spatially independent lagoon patch reefs with SUBA (Fig 1B and S1 Table). Two 45-m transect lines were laid out across the reef top (1-5 m depth) and the reef slope (5-12 m depth) respectively. The percent cover of *Galaxaura*, living coral, macroalgae (MA; including low growing, filamentous turf algae [23, 24]), crustose coralline algae (CCA), and other substrate was estimated, using a 35 cm x 50 cm PVC quadrat [43]. Estimations were done at 1 m intervals and a total of 90 replicate quadrats were analyzed for each site. ‘Other substrate’ mainly constituted dead corals, rubbles, larger rocks covered with sediments and sparse turf algae, and

sand. The seawater temperature was measured every 30 min from March 2016 to September 2017, using Hobo temperature loggers that were fixed at the reef top and reef slope of each site.

To assess variations over time we monitored the percent cover of *Galaxaura* and living coral across the slope of site 7 at 5 m depth in April, July, and September 2016 and in September 2017. 45 replicate photos were taken with an Olympus Stylus-TOUGH TG4 digital camera (25-100 lens, 35mm equivalent) mounted onto a PVC-quadrat at 0.64 cm above a sampling 35 cm x 50 cm quadrat [43], using the survey method described above. Percent cover estimations of *Galaxaura* were aided by superimposing a 10 x 10 reference grid onto each photograph, where 1 quadrat represented 1% of the total area.

To quantify epiphytic macroalgae associated with *Galaxaura*, we randomly collected 30 thalli of *Galaxaura* from site 7 at 5 m depth. The samples contained an equal proportion of small (6 ± 4 g), medium (23 ± 6 g), and large (49 ± 20 g) thalli. Epiphytic macroalgae were identified to the closest identifiable taxonomic unit, using either the guidebook [19] or DNA barcoding. The presence and absence of each taxonomic unit was recorded, and the occurrence frequency (f) was calculated as follows: $f = c\ (taxonomic\ unit_i)/n$, where $c\ (taxonomic\ unit_i)$ stands for the count number of thalli that have the epiphyte taxonomic unit i, and n stands for the total number of thalli analyzed.

Two-paired t-tests were used to detect significant differences of live coral, macroalgae, and CCA percent cover between reef tops and reef slopes. A two-way ANOVA and a post-hoc Tukey test were applied to test for significant differences of *Galaxaura* percent cover between reef top and reef slope and among sites. Percent cover of *Galaxaura* and living coral cover at site 7 from four surveys conducted over a 17-month period were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA, and p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Macroalgae samples were preserved in silica gel and species identified through DNA barcoding [44]. DNA was extracted with Quick-DNA™ Plant/Seed Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research Co., USA). Primers for the plastid gene specific amplifications were used as follows: *rbc*L *F7/R753* for rhodophytes [45], *rbc*L *F68/R708* for Phaeophyceae [46], and *tufA F210/R1062* for green algae [47]. The newly generated sequences were deposited in GenBank and searched using BLASTn against the GenBank database (S2 and S3 Tables). Sequence similarities of > 98% were considered for species identification.

Results

Seawater temperatures in the lagoon were highest during the southwest monsoon season (June-Sept.), averaging 30.1^{o}C, and lowest during the northeast monsoon season (Nov.-April), averaging 24.8^{o}C. In July and August, maximum temperatures reached 34°C on reef tops and 32.7^{o}C on reef slopes. Across sites the average coral cover accounted for 21 $\pm$ 3% ($\pm$ SE) of the benthic substrate (range: 5-43%) (Table 1). Average coverage of the substrate was 30 $\pm$ 4% (range: 13-58%) by macroalgae, and 2 $\pm$ 0.2% (range: 1-3%) by crustose coralline algae (CCA). Dead corals, rubbles, larger rocks, and sand accounted for an average of 47 $\pm$ 4% (range: 23-69%) of the substrate. Living corals dominated the reef substratum on two out of 13 patch reefs (sites 1 and 13), whereas dead corals, rubbles, larger rocks, and sand dominated the substrate on nine out of 13 sites. Macroalgae cover exceeded live coral cover on seven out of 13 sites. No significant difference in coral cover and macroalgae cover between reef tops and reef slopes was detected (P-values = 0.394 and 0.552).

The percent cover of *Galaxaura divaricata* was significantly different between reef tops and reef slopes (P-value < 0.01), as well as among the 13 survey sites (P-value < 0.001). The

post-hoc Tukey test showed that *Galaxaura* was more abundant on reef slopes than on reef tops (P-value <0.05). The percent cover of *Galaxaura* was classified as very low (0-1.5%), low (1.5-5%), moderate (5-20%), and high (>20%) (P-value < 0.05; post-hoc Tukey). *Galaxaura* was most abundant on patch reef sites in the southeast lagoon (Table 2). The percent cover of *Galaxaura* was highest at site 9 (41%) and on the slope of site 7 (16%). Patch reefs in the northeast lagoon exhibited moderate, low, and very low cover of *Galaxaura* (range: 0.21-5.7%). Survey sites in the south, center, west, and north section of the lagoon were characterized by very low cover of the alga (range: 0-1.4%). The thallus shape and size of *Galaxaura* varied across sites (S1 Fig). Medium (5-15 cm diameter), ball-shaped thalli and large (15-30 cm), carpet-like thalli were exclusively present in the southeast lagoon. Small (1-5 cm), ball-shaped thalli and small, slender thalli were dominant on patch reefs in the northeast lagoon. DNA barcodes revealed that all samples of *Galaxaura* from various sites across the lagoon were 100% identical in their *rbc*L sequences, indicative of conspecificity (S3 Table).

Our observations suggest that a *Galaxaura* bloom can persist for several years. Thick canopies of *Galaxaura* have overgrown dead *Acropora* rubbles for at least 4 years on a degraded patch reef in the southeast section of the lagoon, with 41 $\pm$ 25% *Galaxaura* cover and 5 $\pm$ 14% living coral cover (site 9, 3-5 m depth) (Fig 2A-B). *Galaxaura* was frequently observed in contact with coral, which in some cases showed beached and dead tissue underneath or in contact with *Galaxaura*. The holdfast of *Galaxaura* penetrates the calcium carbonate structure, creating a strong attachment to the coral (Fig 2C). In some cases, fluorescent pink and bleached coral tissue occurred in contact with *Galaxaura*, indicative of potential allelopathic effects of *G. divaricata* on corals (Fig 2D).

The percent cover *Galaxaura* did not vary significantly among surveys at site 7 conducted over a period of 17 months (Fig 3). The cover of *Galaxaura* and living corals did not change significantly among surveys (P-value = 0.595). *Galaxaura* cover averaged 15.91 ± 0.6% (± SE), and coral cover remained low, averaging 16.45 ± 1.17%. Coral cover and *Galaxaura* cover were not significantly different (P-value = 0.770), and no interaction between the two was detected (P-value = 0.780).

In our epiphyte survey we identified 21 taxonomic groups of macroalgae in association with *Galaxaura*. Among those groups, we identified 15 to the species level, four to the genus level, one to the order Gelidiales and one to the phylum cyanobacteria (Table 3 and S2 Table). Among the 15 identified species, red algae were most abundant (10), followed by brown algae (5), and green algae (5). The most common species associated with *Galaxaura* were the red algae *Hypnea caespitosa* [48] (100% relative abundance) (Fig 4D), *Coelothrix irregularis* (87%), *Ceramium dawsoniia* (43%) and the brown algae *Lobophora* sp28 [49] (57%) (Fig 4D), *Padina* sp5 [50] (53%), and *Dictyota bartayresiana* (30%). The most common green algae associated with *Galaxaura* were *Derbesia marina* (37%), *Caulerpa chemnitzia* (27%) (Fig 4B), and *Boodlea composita* (20%). Epiphytic macroscopic cyanobacteria (filamentous > 1cm) had a relative abundance of 17%. *Lobophora* sp28, third most common macroalga on *Galaxaura*, was identified to frequently overgrow corals across the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll (Fig 5 and S2 Fig).

Discussion

The results of the benthic surveys indicate that coral reefs in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll are highly degraded, with high abundance of dead corals, rubbles, larger rocks, and sand (47%). Our observation is consistent with previous surveys that noted signs of coral degradation in the

lagoon, with an extremely large proportion of coral rubbles and dead corals [36,51,52]. The majority of patch reef sites exhibited a coral cover of lower than 25%, which is considered degraded [53]. Coral reefs in the South China Sea have been facing increasing chronic and acute thermals stress over the past decades [33,38,51,54]. The summer mean sea surface temperature shows an average upward trend of 0.2□ / decade, with waters surrounding Dongsha Atoll warming at a faster rate than other areas of the South China Sea [33]. Prior to the 2007 establishment of Dongsha Atoll Marine National Park, Dongsha Atoll was heavily overfished, and large coral reef areas were destroyed through dynamite and cyanide fishing [36,52,54,55]. According to a previous survey coral cover and the number of new small corals were especially low on patch reefs in the south section of the lagoon [56]. Recurrent coral bleaching events [36,38,57], coral damage by annual typhoons, and destructive fishing practices may have acted synergistically, leading to the failure of recovery for some of the lagoon patch reefs. Coral cover and coral recruitment rate was especially low on patch reefs in the southeast lagoon [56].

Species of the red calcareous alga genera *Galaxaura* occur in two morphological forms, a smooth and a filamentous form, which is characterized by fine, assimilatory filaments [21]. Our observations suggested that, under certain conditions, the filamentous *Galaxaura divaricata* can become dominant on degraded reefs and form long-standing canopies that grow frequently in contact with corals. *Galaxaura* was heterogeneously distributed across the lagoon, and bloomed on degraded patch reefs in the southeast section, where it attained largest thallus sizes. Maybe something like this:

Our observations further show the persistence of *Galaxaura* on degraded reefs both over a 17-month period and for at least four years. However, our data do not resolve potential seasonal variations of *Galaxaura* during the cooler northeast monsoon season. In the tropical

waters of Florida, U.S., *Galaxaura* shows seasonal persistence with no significant change in abundance among seasons [58].

The causes for *Galaxaura* blooms in the southeast area of the Dongsha lagoon are not understood. The dominance of macroalgae, such as *Galaxaura*, on degraded reefs is probably the consequence rather than the cause of initial coral decline [1,30,59,60]. There are several potential factors that could facilitate a *Galaxaura* outgrowth after a disturbance, including shallow, calm, and sheltered habitats, and nutrient-rich waters with high turbidity. Other members of the *Galaxauraceae* family, such as *Tricleocarpa*, are known to prefer sheltered habitats [61], and bloom under high water turbidity and low irradiance [62]. The southeast section of the lagoon, where *Galaxaura* is most abundant, is sheltered by the relatively wide reef flat (2 km), and characterized by shallow waters (1-5 meter) and low current [63]. *Galaxaura* was absent from environments with strong water currents, i.e. west lagoon, channels, and forereef, where strong, erosive currents [35,63] may create unfavorable conditions for *Galaxaura* to establish.

Due to limited water exchange with the open ocean, coral communities and associated fauna of the shallow, semi-closed lagoons are highly vulnerable to heat stress, eutrophication, and hypoxia [64-66], especially under the backdrop of climate change [67]. Recurrent mass mortality events in the Dongsha lagoon in 2014 and 2015 killed thousands of fish, cephalopods, gastropods, and crustaceans, and eradicated more than 25 km^2 of seagrass, potentially caused by hypoxia [35,68]. During our 2017 survey we recorded extremely low densities of macroinvertebrates, including echinoids, sea cucumbers, lobsters, and giant clams (Table S4). *Galaxaura filamentosa* was among the very few macroalgae that proliferated in the Hikeru the lagoon of French Polynesia, following a hypoxia-induced mass mortality event [18]. Elevated

nutrients from seagrass die offs in the southeast lagoon and a potentially higher resistance to hypoxia may be additional factors promoting blooms of *Galaxaura.*

A long-term bloom of *Galaxaura* is likely to have profound implications on the recovery potential of degraded reefs. The observations of this study further suggest that *Galaxaura divaricata* is potentially allelopathic in competition with corals. Allelopathic competition with corals has previously been demonstrated for *Galaxaura filamentosa* [12,14,15,27-29]. Extract of *G. filamentosa* were shown to inhibit the settlement of *Acropora tenuis* larvae [29]. The susceptibility of coral to algal overgrowth depends on the type of coral [1,59] and the coral colony form. Branching corals appear most vulnerable to algal overgrowth [69]. In the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll *G. divaricata* frequently grew in contact with massive and branching *Porites*, e.g., *P. lobata*, *P. cylindrica*, and *P. solida*. Previous studies in the tropical Pacific reported similar observations, where *Porites*, in particular *Porites cylindrica*, was frequently found in contact with the allelopathic *Galaxaura filamentosa*, suggesting that *Porites* may be relatively tolerant to *Galaxaura* allelopathic chemicals [15]. *Acropora* corals are fast growing and considered competitive against macroalgae overgrowth [59]. However, the majority of the thermal-susceptible Acroporid species were eradicated following reoccurring bleaching events since 1998 [36,57]. The coral community in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll has since been dominated by more thermal tolerant genera, such as *Porites*, *Echinopora*, *Pavona*, and *Turbinaria* [51]. These coral genera are rather slow-growing, and thought to be less competitive to macroalgae overgrowth [17,59]. Unlike crustose coralline algae (CCA), *Galaxaura* does not stabilize the coral reef matrix, and a long-term bloom of *Galaxaura* is likely to exacerbate the flattening of the complex three-dimensional coral reef structure, which may have consequences for reef biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and associated services [64,70].

Insufficient grazing after disturbance can lead to the establishment and full outgrowth of macroalgae beyond their initial stages [30]**.** *Galaxaura* is known to be largely unpalatable for various herbivorous fishes due to its calcareous thallus and low nutritional content [23,25,26,71,72]. Once established, large macroalgae are less efficiently consumed by herbivorous fishes [30,73]. Local herbivorous fish population on *Galaxaura*-dominated reefs in the Dongsha lagoon may not be effective to prevent or reverse the space monopolization by *Galaxaura* [30,74]. It is suggested that *Galaxaura* can alter the chemical microclimate on degraded reefs with potential implications on fish behavior. Butterfly fishes and other corallivores avoid corals in close association with *Galaxaura filamentosa*, making it potentially difficult for these trophic guilds to find food [75,76]. Water soluble chemicals of *Galaxaura rugosa* negatively impact the predator risk assessment of damselfish by nullifying their perception of predator warn odors [11]. In summary, the long-standing canopies of *Galaxaura* are likely to hamper coral larvae recruitment through allelopathic recruitment inhibition [29], ultimately preventing coral reef recovery [1,15].

Galaxaura divaricata provides a suitable substrate to host a variety of macroalgae. Some of the identified macroalgae on *Galaxaura* are widely important in coral overgrowth in response to disturbances, and are known for their allelopathic inhibition coral larvae recruitment, e.g. *Lobophora* [77,78], *Dictyota bartayresiana* [79,80], and cyanobacteria [81,82]. Here, we firstly report that the yet undescribed species, *Lobophora* sp28 [49], third most abundant macroepiphyte on *Galaxaura*, was frequently observed to overgrow and kill corals, preferentially branching *Porites* spp. (primarily *P. cylindrica*) through epizoism (**Figs 5 and S2**). Similarly, *Lobophora hederacea* overgrows and kills corals in New Caledonia through epizoism [83].

Galaxaura is known to be of low preference to various herbivorous fishes due to its calcification [84,85] and low nutritional value [25,84,86,87]. *Galaxaura* was proposed to provide associational refuge to more palatable macroalgae [86]. For instance, rabbitfishes prefer *Caulerpa* and *Dictyota* [88,89], but avoid *Galaxaura* [23]. These epiphytic macroalgae thus could indirectly gain the benefit by growing between the branches of *Galaxaura*, as they are less likely to be spotted by herbivorous fish. Commensalistic interactions are important for the establishment of less common, nutrient-rich seaweeds that grow in association with common, nutrient-poor seaweeds [80]. Even if the common seaweeds lowered the growth rate of the less common ones (competition), evidence suggest that the benefits provided by macroalgae associations outweigh the drawbacks through competition [80,90]. Moreover, the microscopic filaments of *Galaxaura* may facilitate the attachment of macroalgae spores, while the calcium carbonate branches may provide structural support for other macroalgae. Considering that an increase in substrate availability can enhance the biomass of macroalgae on the reef [1], we hypothesize that, by providing a suitable and sheltered substrate for epiphytic macroalgae, *Galaxaura* may facilitate the diversity and abundance of macroalgae on degraded reefs. This study is merely observational and does not provide experimental evidence for the facilitation of macroalgae diversity and abundance by *Galaxaura.* However, the abovementioned hypotheses would be of great interest awaiting future validation.

Conclusions

Our observations illustrated that the allelopathic and unpalatable seaweed *Galaxaura divaricata* can become dominant on degraded reefs in shallow, sheltered environments. On degraded coral reefs, the dominant macroalgae have a profound impact on coral recruitment and

coral recovery. Allelopathic and unpalatable macroalgae such as *Galaxaura* were proposed to perpetuate their dominance on degraded reefs by chemically inhibiting the process of coral recruitment. Thus, a long-term dominance by the allelopathic, nutrient-poor *Galaxaura* could provide a negative feedback, perpetuating reef degradation. In addition, we suggest that *Galaxaura* provides a suitable substrate for a variety of macroalgae, potentially facilitating macroalgae growth and abundance on degraded reefs. Several common macroepiphytes on *Galaxaura* have been proven to be allelopathic in competition with corals and inhibit coral larvae settlement. Thus, degraded coral reefs dominated by *Galaxaura* may fail to recover, and face a substantial decline in biodiversity of corals, fishes, and other associated fauna, which may have far-reaching effects on coral reef ecosystem functioning and services. Macroalgal assemblages, such as the *Galaxaura*-macroepiphyte system, warrant further investigation to better understand the interactions between macrophyte inhabitants and habitat forming seaweeds and their ecological implications. There are 439 listed coral reef atolls on earth; among them are 335 with open or semi-enclosed lagoons [91]. Atoll lagoons are highly productive and serve as valuable and nursery habitat for marine life; however, they are most vulnerable to the effects of climate change [18,66,67]. Results from our study can be informative for the management and conservation of lagoons and shallow, inshore coral reef ecosystems, especially for coral reefs in the South China Sea and the Pacific Ocean, where *Galaxaura* is a common seaweed.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank our colleagues of the joint project: “Patterns of Resilience in Dongsha Atoll Coral Reefs” for their wonderful collaboration and great support throughout this study. We thank Keryea Soong, the staff of the Dongsha Atoll Research Station (DARS), and the Dongsha Marine National Park (DAN), Coastal Guard Administration,

Ministry of Marine Affairs for the logistic support. We would like to thank Prof. George P. Lohmann and Cherng-Shyang Chang for assistance with benthic surveys, as well as Jie-Shuen Li, and Pin-Chen Chen for assistance with fieldwork and DNA barcoding.

References

1. McCook L, Jompa J, Diaz-Pulido G. Competition between corals and algae on coral reefs: a review of evidence and mechanisms. Coral Reefs. 2001;19: 400-417.

2. Tanner JE. Competition between scleractinian corals and macroalgae: an experimental investigation of coral growth, survival and reproduction. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 1995;190: 151-168.

3. Done TJ. Phase shifts in coral reef communities and their ecological significance. In: Anonymous The Ecology of Mangrove and Related Ecosystems. : Springer; 1992. pp. 121-132.

4. Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR, Card M, Connolly SR, Folke C, et al. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. Science. 2003;301: 929-933.

5. Hughes TP, Rodrigues MJ, Bellwood DR, Ceccarelli D, Hoegh-Guldberg O, McCook L, et al. Phase shifts, herbivory, and the resilience of coral reefs to climate change. Current Biology. 2007;17: 360-365.

6. Smith JE, Brainard R, Carter A, Grillo S, Edwards C, Harris J, et al. Re-evaluating the health of coral reef communities: baselines and evidence for human impacts across the central Pacific. Proc Biol Sci. 2016;283: 10.1098/rspb.2015.1985.

7. Hughes TP. Catastrophes, phase shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean coral reef. Science-AAAS-Weekly Paper Edition. 1994;265: 1547-1551.

8. McManus JW, Polsenberg JF. Coral–algal phase shifts on coral reefs: ecological and environmental aspects. Prog Oceanogr. 2004;60: 263-279.

9. Anthony K, Marshall PA, Abdulla A, Beeden R, Bergh C, Black R, et al. Operationalizing resilience for adaptive coral reef management under global environmental change. Global Change Biol. 2015;21: 48-61.

10. Birrell CL, McCook LJ, Willis BL, Diaz-Pulido GA. Effects of benthic algae on the replenishment of corals and the implications for the resilience of coral reefs. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev. 2008;46: 25-63.

11. McCormick MI, Barry RP, Allan BJ. Algae associated with coral degradation affects risk assessment in coral reef fishes. Scientific reports. 2017;7: 16937.

12. Rasher DB, Hay ME. Seaweed allelopathy degrades the resilience and function of coral reefs. Communicative & integrative biology. 2010;3: 564-566.

13. Rasher DB, Stout EP, Engel S, Kubanek J, Hay ME. Macroalgal terpenes function as allelopathic agents against reef corals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 17726-17731.

14. Bonaldo RM, Hay ME. Seaweed-coral interactions: variance in seaweed allelopathy, coral susceptibility, and potential effects on coral resilience. PloS one. 2014;9.

15. Rasher DB, Hay ME. Chemically rich seaweeds poison corals when not controlled by herbivores. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107: 9683-9688.

16. Fong P, Paul VJ. Coral reef algae. In: Anonymous Coral reefs: an ecosystem in transition. : Springer; 2011. pp. 241-272.

17. Birrell CL, McCook LJ, Willis BL, Diaz-Pulido GA. Effects of benthic algae on the replenishment of corals and the implications for the resilience of coral reefs. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev. 2008;46: 25-63.

18. Adjeroud M, Andréfouët S, Payri C. Mass mortality of macrobenthic communities in the lagoon of Hikueru atoll (French Polynesia). Coral Reefs. 2001;19: 287-291.

19. Wei-Lung Wang, Shao-Lun Liu, Tsung-Hsuan Li. Seaweeds of Dongsha Atoll in the South China Sea. Kaohsiung, Taiwan: Marine National Park Headquarters; 2015.

20. Rasher DB, Hoey AS, Hay ME. Consumer diversity interacts with prey defenses to drive ecosystem function. Ecology. 2013;94: 1347-1358.

21. Liu S, Liao LM, Wang W. Conspecificity of two morphologically distinct calcified red algae from the northwest Pacific Ocean: Galaxaura pacifica and G. filamentosa (Galaxauraceae, Rhodophyta). Botanical Studies. 2013;54: 1.

22. Wang W, Liu S, Lin S. Systematics of the calcified genera of the Galaxauraceae (Nemaliales, Rhodophyta) with an emphasis on Taiwan species. J Phycol. 2005;41: 685-703.

23. Mantyka CS, Bellwood DR. Macroalgal grazing selectivity among herbivorous coral reef fishes. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007;352: 177-185.

24. Mantyka C, Bellwood D. Direct evaluation of macroalgal removal by herbivorous coral reef fishes. Coral Reefs. 2007;26: 435-442.

25. Duffy JE, Hay ME. Herbivore resistance to seaweed chemical defense: the roles of mobility and predation risk. Ecology. 1994;75: 1304-1319.

26. Paul VJ, Hay ME. Seaweed susceptibility to herbivory: chemical and morphological correlates. . 1986.

27. Rasher DB, Stout EP, Engel S, Kubanek J, Hay ME. Macroalgal terpenes function as allelopathic agents against reef corals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 17726-17731.

28. Rasher DB, Hay ME. Competition induces allelopathy but suppresses growth and anti-herbivore defence in a chemically rich seaweed. Proc Biol Sci. 2014;281: 20132615.

29. Dixson DL, Abrego D, Hay ME. Reef ecology. Chemically mediated behavior of recruiting corals and fishes: a tipping point that may limit reef recovery. Science. 2014;345: 892-897.

30. Bozec Y, Doropoulos C, Roff G, Mumby PJ. Transient Grazing and the Dynamics of an Unanticipated Coral–Algal Phase Shift. Ecosystems. 2018: 1-16.

31. Fang G, Chen H, Wei Z, Wang Y, Wang X, Li C. Trends and interannual variability of the South China Sea surface winds, surface height, and surface temperature in the recent decade. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans. 2006;111.

32. Huang D, Licuanan WY, Hoeksema BW, Chen CA, Ang PO, Huang H, et al. Extraordinary diversity of reef corals in the South China Sea. Marine Biodiversity. 2015;45: 157-168.

33. Zuo X, Su F, Wu W, Chen Z, Shi W. Spatial and temporal variability of thermal stress to China's coral reefs in South China Sea. Chinese geographical science. 2015;25: 159-173.

34. Burke L, Selig E, Spalding M. Reefs at risk in Southeast Asia. . 2006.

35. Chou Y. Dongsha Atoll Reaearch Station-A steady research platform in South China Sea. . 2016.

36. Dai C. Dong-sha Atoll in the South China Sea: Past, present and future. . 2004.

37. Leis JM. Coral sea atoll lagoons: closed nurseries for the larvae of a few coral reef fishes. Bull Mar Sci. 1994;54: 206-227.

38. DeCarlo TM, Cohen AL, Wong GT, Davis KA, Lohmann P, Soong K. Mass coral mortality under local amplification of 2° C ocean warming. Scientific Reports. 2017;7: 44586.

39. Fricke A, Titlyanova T, Nugues M, Bischof K. Depth-related variation in epiphytic communities growing on the brown alga Lobophora variegata in a Caribbean coral reef. Coral Reefs. 2011;30: 967-973.

40. Prince JS, O'Neal SW. The ecology of Sargassum pteropleuron Grunow (Phaeophyceae, Fucales) in the waters off South Florida: I. Growth, reproduction and population structure. Phycologia. 1979;18: 109-114.

41. Aguilar-Rosas R, Galindo AM. Ecological aspects of Sargassum muticum (Fucales, Phaeophyta) in Baja California, Mexico: reproductive phenology and epiphytes. . 1990: 185-190.

42. Wang Y, Dai C, Chen Y. Physical and ecological processes of internal waves on an isolated reef ecosystem in the South China Sea. Geophys Res Lett. 2007;34.

43. Preskitt LB, Vroom PS, Smith CM. A rapid ecological assessment (REA) quantitative survey method for benthic algae using photoquadrats with scuba. Pac Sci. 2004;58: 201-209.

44. Liu S, Lin S, Chen P. Phylogeny, species diversity and biogeographic patterns of the genus Tricleocarpa (Galaxauraceae, Rhodophyta) from the Indo-Pacific region, including T. confertus sp. nov. from Taiwan. Eur J Phycol. 2015;50: 439-456.

45. Freshwater DW, Rueness J. Phylogenetic relationships of some European Gelidium (Gelidiales, Rhodophyta) species, based on rbcL nucleotide sequence analysis. Phycologia. 1994;33: 187-194.

46. Draisma SG, Prud'Homme van Reine, Willem F, Stam WT, Olsen JL. A reassessment of phylogenetic relationships within the Phaeophyceae based on rubisco large subunit and ribosomal DNA sequences. J Phycol. 2001;37: 586-603.

47. Famà P, Wysor B, Kooistra WH, Zuccarello GC. Molecular phylogeny of the genus Caulerpa (Caulerpales, Chlorophyta) inferred from chloroplast tufA gene1. J Phycol. 2002;38: 1040-1050.

48. Geraldino PJL, Riosmena□Rodriguez R, Liao LM, Boo asm. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus hypnea (gigartinales, rhodophyta), with a description of h. Caespitosa sp. Nov. 1. J Phycol. 2010;46: 336-345.

49. Vieira C, Camacho O, Sun Z, Fredericq S, Leliaert F, Payri C, et al. Historical biogeography of the highly diverse brown seaweed Lobophora (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2017;110: 81-92.

50. Silberfeld T, Bittner L, Fernández□García C, Cruaud C, Rousseau F, de Reviers B, et al. Species diversity, phylogeny and large scale biogeographic patterns of the genus Padina (Phaeophyceae, Dictyotales). J Phycol. 2013;49: 130-142.

51. Tkachenko KS, Soong K. Dongsha Atoll: A potential thermal refuge for reef-building corals in the South China Sea. Mar Environ Res. 2017;127: 112-125.

52. Morton B. Dong-Sha Atoll, South China Sea: Ground Zero!. 2002.

53. Gomez E, Yap H. Monitoring reef condition. Coral Reef Management Handbook, UNESCO/ROSTSEA.Edt.By.Kenchington RA, Hudson BT.Jakarta. 1988: 187-195.

54. Morton B, Blackmore G. South China Sea. Mar Pollut Bull. 2001;42: 1236-1263.

55. Hughes TP, Huang H, Young MA. The wicked problem of China's disappearing coral reefs. Conserv Biol. 2013;27: 261-269.

56. Keryea Soong, Chaolun Allen Chen2. Research on the resilience of Dongsha Atoll coral reef. Dongsha Atoll Marine National Park. 2010;Research on the resilience of Dongsha Atoll coral reef: 1-205.

57. Li J, Lee T, Tew KS, Fang L. Changes in the coral community at Dong-Sha Atoll, South China Sea from 1975 to 1998. Acta Zoologica Taiwanica. 2000;11: 1-15.

58. Lirman D, Biber P. Seasonal dynamics of macroalgal communities of the northern Florida reef tract. Bot Mar. 2000;43: 305-314.

59. Diaz-Pulido G, McCook LJ, Dove S, Berkelmans R, Roff G, Kline DI, et al. Doom and boom on a resilient reef: climate change, algal overgrowth and coral recovery. PloS one. 2009;4: e5239.

60. Roff G, Doropoulos C, Zupan M, Rogers A, Steneck RS, Golbuu Y, et al. Phase shift facilitation following cyclone disturbance on coral reefs. Oecologia. 2015;178: 1193-1203.

61. El-Manawy IM, Shafik MA. Morphological characterization of Halimeda (Lamouroux) from different biotopes on the Red Sea coral reefs of Egypt. American–Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environment. 2008;3: 532-538.

62. Su S, Chung I, Lee T. Temporal dynamics of rocky-shore macroalgal assemblage structures in relation to coastal construction threats in Orchard Island (Taiwan): impacts of turbidity and nutrients on the blooms of Galaxaura oblongata and a red alga-sponge symbiose Ceratodictyon/Haliclona. . 2009.

63. Yu-Huai Wang, Yi-Huan Li. Introduction: Marine physical environment in Dongsha Atoll. Dongsha Atoll Marine National Park. Available: http://dongsha.mr.nsysu.edu.tw/files/11-1253-9953.php?Lang=zh-tw.

64. Alvarez-Filip L, Dulvy NK, Gill JA, Cote IM, Watkinson AR. Flattening of Caribbean coral reefs: region-wide declines in architectural complexity. Proc Biol Sci. 2009;276: 3019-3025.

65. Charpy L, Dufour P, Garcia N. Particulate organic matter in sixteen Tuamotu atoll lagoons (French Polynesia). Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1997: 55-65.

66. Andréfouët S, Dutheil C, Menkes CE, Bador M, Lengaigne M. Mass mortality events in atoll lagoons: environmental control and increased future vulnerability. Global Change Biol. 2015;21: 195-205.

67. Altieri AH, Gedan KB. Climate change and dead zones. Global Change Biol. 2015;21: 1395-1406.

68. Gajdzik L, DeCarlo TM. The perfect calm: Reoccurring mass die-offs on a remote coral atoll. Matters. 2017;3: e201707000003.

69. Swierts T, Vermeij MJ. Competitive interactions between corals and turf algae depend on coral colony form. PeerJ. 2016;4: e1984.

70. Cheal A, MacNeil MA, Cripps E, Emslie M, Jonker M, Schaffelke B, et al. Coral–macroalgal phase shifts or reef resilience: links with diversity and functional roles of herbivorous fishes on the Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs. 2010;29: 1005-1015.

71. Rasher DB, Engel S, Bonito V, Fraser GJ, Montoya JP, Hay ME. Effects of herbivory, nutrients, and reef protection on algal proliferation and coral growth on a tropical reef. Oecologia. 2012;169: 187-198.

72. Wylie CR, Paul VJ. Feeding preferences of the surgeonfish Zebrasoma flavescens in relation to chemical defenses of tropical algae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1988: 23-32.

73. Steneck R. Herbivory on coral reefs: a synthesis. . 1988;1: 37-49.

74. Rasher DB, Hoey AS, Hay ME. Consumer diversity interacts with prey defenses to drive ecosystem function. Ecology. 2013;94: 1347-1358.

75. Brooker RM, Brandl SJ, Dixson DL. Cryptic effects of habitat declines: coral-associated fishes avoid coral-seaweed interactions due to visual and chemical cues. Scientific Reports. 2016;6: 18842.

76. Brooker RM, Sih TL, Dixson DL. Contact with seaweed alters prey selectivity in a coral-feeding reef fish. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2017;580: 239-244.

77. Morrow KM, Bromhall K, Motti CA, Munn CB, Bourne DG. Allelochemicals Produced by Brown Macroalgae of the Lobophora Genus Are Active against Coral Larvae and Associated Bacteria, Supporting Pathogenic Shifts to Vibrio Dominance. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016;83: 10.1128/AEM.02391-16.

78. Vieira C, Thomas OP, Culioli G, Genta-Jouve G, Houlbreque F, Gaubert J, et al. Allelopathic interactions between the brown algal genus Lobophora (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) and scleractinian corals. Scientific reports. 2016;6: 18637.

79. Barott KL, Rodriguez-Mueller B, Youle M, Marhaver KL, Vermeij MJ, Smith JE, et al. Microbial to reef scale interactions between the reef-building coral Montastraea annularis and benthic algae. Proc Biol Sci. 2012;279: 1655-1664.

80. Hay ME. Associational plant defenses and the maintenance of species diversity: turning competitors into accomplices. Am Nat. 1986;128: 617-641.

81. Kuffner IB, Walters LJ, Becerro MA, Paul VJ, Ritson-Williams R, Beach KS. Inhibition of coral recruitment by macroalgae and cyanobacteria. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2006;323: 107-117.

82. Jorissen H, Skinner C, Osinga R, de Beer D, Nugues MM. Evidence for water-mediated mechanisms in coral-algal interactions. Proc Biol Sci. 2016;283: 10.1098/rspb.2016.1137.

83. Vieira C, Payri C, De Clerck O. Overgrowth and killing of corals by the brown alga Lobophora hederacea (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) on healthy reefs in New Caledonia: a new case of the epizoism syndrome. Phycol Res. 2015;63: 152-153.

84. Paul VJ, Hay ME. Seaweed susceptibility to herbivory: chemical and morphological correlates. . 1986.

85. Solandt J, Campbell AC. Macroalgal feeding characteristics of the sea urchin Diadema antillarum Philippi at Discovery Bay, Jamaica. Caribb J Sci. 2001;37: 227-238.

86. Loffler Z, Bellwood D, Hoey A. Associations among coral reef macroalgae influence feeding by herbivorous fishes. Coral Reefs. 2015;34: 51-55.

87. Hay ME, Fenical W. Marine plant-herbivore interactions: the ecology of chemical defense. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1988;19: 111-145.

88. Paul VJ, Nelson SG, Sanger HR. Feeding preferences of adult and juvenile rabbitfish Siganus argenteus in relation to chemical defenses of tropical seaweeds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1990: 23-34.

89. Wylie CR, Paul VJ. Feeding preferences of the surgeonfish Zebrasoma flavescens in relation to chemical defenses of tropical algae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1988: 23-32.

90. Thomsen MS, Hildebrand T, South PM, Foster T, Siciliano A, Oldach E, et al. A sixth-level habitat cascade increases biodiversity in an intertidal estuary. Ecology and evolution. 2016;6: 8291-8303.

91. Goldberg W. Atolls of the world: revisiting the original checklist. Atoll Res Bull. 2016;610.

Tables

Table 1. Cover[a] (%) of live coral, macroalgae (MA), crustose coralline algae (CCA), and other substrate on 13 patch reefs in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll, South China Sea.

	Location	Coral	MA	CCA	Other[b]
1	North	40 ± 24	35 ± 23	1.93 ± 2.18	23 ± 25
2	North	22 ± 25	13 ± 12	2.57 ± 5.58	63 ± 32
3	North	24 ± 22	29 ± 23	1.47 ± 2.39	46 ± 24
4	Northeast	10 ± 15	20 ± 16	1.37 ± 2.42	69 ± 22
5	Northeast	17 ± 19	29 ± 25	1.62 ± 1.91	53 ± 32
6	Northeast	24 ± 20	19 ± 15	2.15 ± 3.01	55 ± 25
7	Southeast	14 ±17	58 ± 24	2.79 ± 2.59	25 ± 22
8	Southeast	29 ± 29	20 ± 14	2.07 ± 2.15	50 ± 33
9	Southeast	5 ± 14	41 ± 25	0.84 ± 0.89	53 ± 25
10	Center	10 ± 13	43 ± 27	3.33 ± 3.07	43 ± 25
11	Center	24 ± 27	18 ± 19	1.07 ± 1.27	56 ± 25
12	West	11 ± 19	42 ± 24	2.46 ± 2.94	45 ± 26
13	West	43 ± 25	22 ± 18	3.00 ± 3.63	32 ± 29
	mean ± SE	**21 ± 3**	**30 ± 4**	**2.05 ± 0.21**	**47 ± 4**

[a]Data are mean (%) ± SD of 90 replicate quadrats.
[b] "Other substrate" constituted mainly dead coral, larger rocks covered with sediments and sparse turf algae, rubbles and sand.

Table 2. Cover (%) of *Galaxaura divaricata* on 13 patch reefs in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll, South China Sea.

Site	Location	Patch reef area		Cover rank
		Top (1-5 m)	Slope (5-10 m)	
1	North	0.02	0.02	very low
2	North	0	0	very low
3	North	0.27	0.31	very low
4	Northeast	1.52	1.4	very low - low
5	Northeast	5.69	3.37	low - moderate
6	Northeast	0.79	0.21	very low
7	Southeast	0.02	15.86	moderate
8	Southeast	0.17	4.31	very low - low
9	Southeast	41.87	40.87	high
10	South	0	0	very low
11	Center	0.46	0.02	very low
12	West	0	0	very low
13	West	0	0	very low

[a]Data are mean (%) of 45 replicate quadrats for reef top and reef slope respectively.

Table 3. Relative abundance (%) of epiphytic macroalgae on *Galaxaura divaricata* from a degraded patch reef.

Epiphyte taxon	Phylum	Relative abundance
Acanthophora spicifera[a]	Red	3
Ceramium dawsonii (MH048927)[b]	Red	43
Coelothrix irregularis (MH048928)	Red	87
Dichotomaria obtusata	Red	3
Gelidiales	Red	27
Gracilaria spp.	Red	7
Hypnea caespitosa (MH048929, MH048930, MH048931)	Red	100
Hypnea sp. (MH048932)	Red	30
Laurencia dendroidea	Red	13
Laurencia spp.	Red	20
Dictyota bartayresiana	Brown	30
Dictyota spp.	Brown	13
Lobophora sp28[c] (MH048934, MH048935, MH048936, MH048937)	Brown	57
Padina sp5[d] (MH048933)	Brown	53
Sargassum spp.	Brown	3
Boodlea composita	Green	20
Caulerpa chemnitzia (MH048959)	Green	27
Derbesia marina	Green	37
Phyllodictyon anastomosans	Green	10
Valonia ventricosa	Green	10
Cyanobacteria (filamentous > 1cm)	Cyanobacteria	17

Macroscopic epiphytes were identified from 30 thalli of *G. divaricata* from site 7 at 5 m depth.
[a]Identification of species and taxonomic groups according to [19].
[b]GenBank number in parentheses based on species identifications through DNA barcoding.
[c]Denomination according to [49].
[d]Denomination according to [50].

Figure legends

Fig 1. Study site. A) Geographical location of Dongsha Atoll in the northern South China Sea. B) Lagoon patch reef sites surveyed in this study.

Fig 2. *Galaxaura divaricata* overgrowth of corals. A-B) *Galaxaura* has overgrown a degraded patch reef in the southeast lagoon of Dongsha Atoll for at least 4 years (site 9, 3-5 m depth). C) The holdfast of *G. divaricata* penetrates into a branching Porites coral (*Porites cylindrica*), creating small holes (inlet). D) Coral (*Porites solida*) tissue bleaching (arrows) following direct contact with *Galaxaura*, allelopathic chemicals produced by the alga.

Fig 3. Long-lasting bloom of *Galaxaura divaricata* on site 7 in the southeast lagoon of Dongsha Atoll. The percent cover of *G. divaricata* did not change significantly among surveys conducted over a period of 17 months (P-value > 0.05; Two-way ANOVA). Data are mean + SE of 45 replicate quadrats.

Fig 4. Examples showing epiphytic macroalgae that frequently grow on *Galaxaura divaricata*. A) *Valonia ventricosa*, B) *Caulerpa chemnitzia*, C) *Dictyota* sp., D) *Lobophora* sp28 [49] (arrow head), and *Hypnea caespitosa* (arrow).

Fig 5. Coral overgrowth by *Lobophora* sp28. A) Example showing *Lobophora* sp28 growing on *Galaxaura divaricata* (arrows), and in contact with coral (*Porites solida*) (arrowheads). B) Coral overgrowth (*Porites cylindrica* in this case) by *Lobophora* sp28 is wide spread in the shallow lagoon of Dongsha Atoll.

Supplementary information

S1 Table. GPS coordinates of patch reef survey sites in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll, South China Sea (Taiwan).

Site	Latitude	Longitude
1	20°44'26.28"	116°47'8.879"
2	20°43'31.62"	116°47'52.679"
3	20°42'16.14"	116°48'27.419"
4	20°44'20.52"	116°51'35.699"
5	20°42'29.88"	116°52'54.599"
6	20°42'6.72"	116°50'53.279"
7	20°38'24"	116°50'20.999"
8	20°38'3.12"	116°49'30.479"
9	20°36'52.86"	116°49'24.179"
10	20°36'53.4"	116°46'2.399"
11	20°39'52.2"	116°46'32.159"
12	20°41'49.2"	116°44'45.659"
13	20°42'12.36"	116°44'14.639"

S2 Table. Information and Genbank numbers of macroalgae samples used for DNA barcoding in this study.

Species	Phylum	Voucher#	Date	Site	Area	Substrate	Marker	GenBank#
Ceramium dawsonii	Red	KO208	Oct-16	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048927
Coelothrix irregularis	Red	K0206	Oct-16	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048928
Hypnea caespitosa	Red	K0204	Oct-16	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048929
Hypnea caespitosa	Red	K0203	Oct-16	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048930
Hypnea caespitosa	Red	SD17120	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048931
Hypnea sp.	Red	K0205	Oct-16	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048932
Lobophora sp28[a]	Brown	SD17023	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048934
Lobophora sp28	Brown	SD17021	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048935
Lobophora sp28	Brown	SD17019	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048936
Lobophora sp28	Brown	SD17017	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048937
Lobophora sp28	Brown	K0173	Apr-16	7	slope	Coral	*rbc*L	MH048940
Lobophora sp28	Brown	SD17058	Aug-17	9	slope	Coral	*rbc*L	MH048941
Padina sp5[b]	Brown	SD17114	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*rbc*L	MH048933
Caulerpa chemnitzia	Green	SD17117	Aug-17	7	slope	*G. divaricata*	*tufA*	MH048959

[a]Denomination according to [49].
[b]Denomination according to [50].

S3 Table. Information and Genbank numbers of *Galaxaura divaricata* samples from various locations in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll that were used for DNA barcoding in this study.

Species	Voucher#	Date	Site	Area	Substrate	Thallus size	Marker	GenBank#
G. divaricata	K0210	Apr-16	7	slope	rock	medium	*rbc*L	MH048946
G. divaricata	R90B12	Feb-14	9	top	rock	large	*rbc*L	MH048942
G. divaricata	SD17048	Aug-17	9	slope	rubble	large	*rbc*L	MH048957
G. divaricata	SD17098	Aug-17	6	top	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048943
G. divaricata	SD17099	Aug-17	1	top	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048956
G. divaricata	SD17100	Aug-17	5	top	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048955
G. divaricata	SD17101	Aug-17	5	top	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048958
G. divaricata	SD17102	Aug-17	5	slope	rock	medium	*rbc*L	MH048954
G. divaricata	SD17103	Aug-17	1	slope	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048953
G. divaricata	SD17104	Aug-17	6	slope	rock	medium	*rbc*L	MH048952
G. divaricata	SD17105	Aug-17	5	slope	rock	medium	*rbc*L	MH048951
G. divaricata	SD17106	Aug-17	6	slope	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048950
G. divaricata	SD17107	Aug-17	6	slope	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048944
G. divaricata	SD17110	Aug-17	6	top	coral	medium	*rbc*L	MH048949
G. divaricata	SD17112	Aug-17	4	slope	rock	medium	*rbc*L	MH048948
G. divaricata	SD17113	Aug-17	4	slope	rock	small	*rbc*L	MH048947

S4 Table. Paucity of macrobenthic invertebrates in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll.

Macrobenthic fauna	Count	Density (individual/ 100 m^2)
Diadema savignyi	3	0.03
Diadema setosum	1	0.01
Echinometra mathaei	126	1.26
Echinothrix calamaris	3	0.03
Tripneustes gratilla	4	0.04
Culcita novaeguineae	54	0.54
Echinaster luzonicus	2	0.02
Fromia spp.	8	0.08
Linckia multifora	15	0.15
Holothuria	0	0
Cypraea tigris	3	0.03
Giant clam	34	0.34
Lambis spp.	3	0.03
Lobster	0	0

Data derived from a belt transect survey of 13 patch reefs and seven seagrass beds (10,000 m^2 total area surveyed) across the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll in September 2017.

S1 Fig. Various sizes and thallus shapes of *Galaxaura divaricata* from different locations in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll. A-B) Small, ball-shaped thalli, and C-D) small, slender thalli were dominant on patch reefs in the north and northeast lagoon. E) Medium, ball-shaped thalli, and F) large carpet-like thalli were exclusively present in the southeast section of the lagoon.

S2 Fig. Coral overgrowth by *Lobophora* sp28. A) Coral overgrowth (*Porites cylindrica* in this case) by *Lobophora* sp28 is a wide spread in the lagoon of Dongsha Atoll. B) The same coral showing dead tissue (arrow) after removal of the algae.


A.
China
Taiwan
Dongsha Atoll
Philippines
South China Sea
0 100 200 km
28
26
24
22
20
18
16
110
115
120
125
B.
N
North Channel
Reef flat
Dongsha Island
Lagoon
Forereef
South Channel
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
10 km
Google Earth
20.8
20.7
20.6
20.5
116.6
116.7
116.8
116.9
117.0

Fig. 1.



Fig. 2.


25
20
15
10
5
0
Cover (%)
Galaxaura
Coral
Apr/16
2 mo.
Jul/16
Sep/16
10 mo.
Aug/17

Fig. 3.



Fig. 4.



Fig. 5.



Fig. S1.



Fig. S2.