The PedS2/PedR2 two-component system is crucial for the rare

earth element switch in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440

Matthias Wehrmann[a], Charlotte Berthelot[b,c], Patrick Billard[b,c], Janosch Klebensberger[a]#

[a]*University of Stuttgart, Institute of Technical Biochemistry, Stuttgart, Germany*

[b]*Université de Lorraine, LIEC UMR7360, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Vandoeuvre-*
lès-Nancy, France
[c]*CNRS, LIEC UMR7360, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France*

Running title: Rare earth element switch in *Pseudomonas putida*

#Address correspondence to Janosch Klebensberger, janosch.klebensberger@itb.uni-
stuttgart.de.

Keywords: Lanthanides, rare earth element switch, signal transduction, *Pseudomonas*
putida, PQQ, two-component regulatory system, PedS2, PedR2, histidine kinase, LuxR-type
regulator, dehydrogenases, periplasm

ABSTRACT

In *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440, two pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent ethanol dehydrogenases (PQQ-EDHs) are responsible for the periplasmic oxidation of a broad variety of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Depending on the availability of rare earth elements (REEs) of the lanthanide series (Ln^{3+}), we have recently described that the transcription of the genes encoding the Ca^{2+}-utilizing enzyme PedE and the Ln^{3+}-utilizing enzyme PedH are inversely regulated. With adaptive evolution experiments, site-specific mutations, transcriptional reporter fusions, and complementation approaches, we herein demonstrate that the PedS2/PedR2 (PP_2671/PP_2672) two-component system (TCS) plays a central role in the observed REE-mediated switch of PQQ-EDHs in *P. putida*. We provide evidence that in the absence of lanthanum (La^{3+}), the sensor histidine kinase PedS2 phosphorylates its cognate LuxR-type response regulator PedR2, which in turn not only activates *pedE* gene transcription but is also involved in repression of *pedH*. Our data further suggests that the presence of La^{3+} lowers kinase activity of PedS2, either by the direct binding of the metal ions to the periplasmic region of PedS2 or by an uncharacterized indirect interaction, leading to reduced levels of phosphorylated PedR2. Consequently, the fading *pedE* expression and concomitant alleviation of *pedH* repression causes – in conjunction with the transcriptional activation of the *pedH* gene by a yet unknown regulatory module – the Ln^{3+}-dependent transition from PedE to PedH catalysed oxidation of alcoholic VOCs.

IMPORTANCE

The function of lanthanides for methano- and methylotrophic bacteria is gaining increasing attention, while knowledge about the role of rare earth elements (REEs) in non-methylotrophic bacteria is still limited. The present study investigates the recently described differential expression of the two PQQ-EDHs of *P. putida* in response to lanthanides. We demonstrate that a specific TCS is crucial for their inverse regulation and provide evidence for a dual regulatory function of the LuxR-type response regulator involved. Thus, our study represents the first detailed characterization of the molecular mechanism underlying the REE switch of PQQ-EDHs in a non-methylotrophic bacterium and stimulates subsequent investigations for the identification of additional genes or phenotypic traits that might be co-regulated during REE-dependent niche adaptation.

INTRODUCTION

In its natural soil habitat, *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 is exposed to a broad range of potential carbon and energy sources (Regenhardt *et al.*, 2002; Wackett, 2003; Wu *et al.*, 2011), including plant-, fungi-, or bacterially derived volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with alcohols or aldehydes as functional groups (Insam and Seewald, 2010; Penuelas *et al.*, 2014; van Dam *et al.*, 2016). For efficient capture and metabolism of such VOCs, *P. putida* makes use of two PQQ-dependent ethanol dehydrogenases (PQQ-EDHs) – namely PedE and PedH – to carry out the initial oxidation steps in the periplasm of the cell (Mückschel *et al.*, 2012; Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017). In a recent study, we found that these two type I quinoproteins (Anthony, 2001; Toyama *et al.*, 2004) exhibit a similar substrate scope, but require different metal cofactors for their catalytic activity (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017). In contrast to PedE, which uses Ca^{2+} ions, PedH was characterized as a rare earth element (REE)-dependent enzyme that relies on the presence of lanthanide (Ln^{3+}) ions for catalytic activity. Notably, due to their low solubility in most natural environments, REEs have long been considered to have no biological function (Sarkar, 2002). However, the discovery of the wide-spread occurrence of the REE-dependent XoxF-type of PQQ-dependent methanol dehydrogenases (PQQ-MDHs), together with the more recent description of Ln^{3+}-dependent ethanol dehydrogenases, has highlighted the important role of REEs for many bacterial species in various environmental compartments (Fitriyanto *et al.,* 2011a; Fushimi, *et al.*, 2011; Pol *et al.*, 2014; Taubert *et al.*, 2015; Chu and Lidstrom, 2016; Good *et al.*, 2016; Vekeman *et al.*, 2016; Shiller *et al.*, 2017; Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017; Lv *et al.*, 2018; Masuda *et al.*, 2018).

While in the absence of Ln^{3+} the oxidation of methanol in methylotrophs is driven by Ca^{2+}-dependent PQQ-MDHs (MxaF-type), the presence of low amounts of REE ions is usually sufficient to trigger a transcriptional switch to the XoxF-type of PQQ-MDHs. This inverse

regulation, called the REE switch, has been described for many methano- and/or

methylotrophic organisms (Farhan Ul Haque *et al.*, 2015; Chu and Lidstrom, 2016; Vu *et al.*,

2016; Gu and Semrau, 2017; Masuda *et al.*, 2018; Zheng *et al.*, 2018). From the growing

number of studies, it has become apparent that the molecular mechanism underlying this

switch for PQQ-MDHs is complex and can substantially differ among species. For example,

the inverse regulation in the non-methanotrophic methylotroph *Methylobacterium*

extorquens strain AM1 is controlled by two different two-component systems (TCS) (MxcQE

and MxbDM) and the orphan response regulator MxaB (Xu *et al.*, 1995; Springer *et al.*, 1997,

1998). In this organism it has been found that the transcriptional activation of both enzymes,

the Ca^{2+}-dependent MxaF and the two Ln^{3+}-dependent XoxF1 and XoxF2, is entirely lost in a

$\Delta xoxF1\Delta xoxF2$ double mutant (Skovran *et al.*, 2011). As a consequence, a complex and

consecutive regulation was postulated, in which the different binding affinities of the *apo*

(no Ln^{3+} bound to the enzyme) and *holo* (Ln^{3+} bound to the enzyme) form of the XoxF

proteins to the periplasmic domain of the sensor histidine kinase MxcQ is essential to

regulate the switch (Vu *et al.*, 2016).

The type I methanotroph *Methylomicrobium buryatense* strain 5GB1C is lacking homologs of

the aforementioned TCS systems MxcQE and MxbDM (Chu and Lidstrom, 2016). In this

organism, the REE switch is regulated predominantly by the sensor histidine kinase MxaY

(Chu *et al.*, 2016). Chu and coworkers found that the activation of MxaY in the absence of

lanthanum activates the transcription of the Ca^{2+}-dependent enzyme MxaF by a so-far

unknown response regulator. In addition, the deletion of MxaY was found to almost entirely

eliminate the Ln^{3+}-mediated transcriptional activation of the Ln^{3+}-dependent enzyme XoxF in

a partially MxaB-dependent manner that also results in a severe growth defect, both in the

absence and presence of Ln^{3+}. As an additional layer of complexity, recent studies found that

the presence of other metal ions such as copper, which is needed as a cofactor for the

membrane-bound or particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) in methanotrophs, can

significantly impact the REE-mediated switch (Farhan Ul Haque *et al.*, 2015; Gu and Semrau,

2017; Semrau *et al.*, 2018).

In contrast to the increasing knowledge about the regulation of PQQ-MDHs in

methylotrophs, the molecular basis underlying such a regulatory switch for PQQ-EDHs in

non-methylotrophic organisms is not established. In the present study, we identify the TCS

encoded by PP_2671/PP_2672 (hereinafter referred to as PedS2/PedR2 according to the

genetic nomenclature from Arias *et al.*, 2008), consisting of the sensor histidine kinase PedS2

and its cognate LuxR-type transcriptional response regulator PedR2, as an essential

regulatory module for the REE-mediated switch of PQQ-EDHs in *P. putida* KT2440. We

provide evidence that the activity of PedS2 in the absence of lanthanides leads to

phosphorylation of PedR2 (PedR2^P), which serves a dual regulatory function. On the one

hand, PedR2^P acts as a strong transcriptional activator of the *pedE* gene, which is essential to

allow growth with 2-phenylethanol in the absence of Ln^{3+}. At the same time, PedR2^P also

functions as a repressor of *pedH*. From our data, we conclude that the presence of Ln^{3+} ions

triggers a reduction in PedS2 activity, either by a direct binding of the metal to the

periplasmic region of PedS2 or by an uncharacterized indirect interaction. This reduction of

PedS2 activity, together with a proposed phosphatase activity of PedS2 in its inactivated

state, causes the accumulation of non-phosphorylated PedR2, which over-time results in the

loss of the regulatory activity of the protein, and facilitates – in concert with the positive

feedback function of PedH in the presence of Ln^{3+} ions – the switch between PedE and PedH-

dependent growth.

RESULTS

Identification of the sensor histidine kinase PedS2 as a lanthanide-responsive sensor

As a consequence of the inverse regulation of *pedE* and *pedH*, a *pedH* deletion strain does not grow within 48 h with 2-phenylethanol as sole carbon source in the presence of a critical concentration of La^{3+} in the culture medium (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017). To test whether strains can be evolved, which are capable of overcoming the repression of *pedE* in the presence of Ln^{3+} ions, an adaptive evolution experiment was performed (see **Figure 1** for a general scheme).

When Δ*pedH* cultures were incubated with 2-phenylethanol in the presence of 10 μM La^{3+} ions for longer than 5 d, growth was observed, indicating the occurrence of adapted strains (data not shown). When independent clones were isolated from such cultures and passaged several times on LB agar medium, their growth phenotype with 2-phenylethanol was still much faster (< 2 d) than that observed for their Δ*pedH* parental strain and very similar to the growth phenotype of the wild-type strain KT2440. Similar spontaneous suppressor mutants have been described recently in methylotrophic organisms such as *M. extorquens* strain AM1, *M. buryatense* strain 5GB1C, and *M. aquaticum* strain 22A during growth with methanol (Chu and Lidstrom, 2016; Chu *et al.*, 2016; Masuda *et al.*, 2018). In *M. buryatense*, whole genome sequencing revealed that the suppressor mutant strain was characterized by a mutation in the membrane bound two-component sensor histidine kinase MxaY (Chu *et al.*, 2016). In *P. putida* KT2440, the gene PP_2671 (hereinafter referred to as *pedS2*), located in close genomic proximity to *pedE* (PP_2674), encodes a membrane bound histidine kinase sharing 25 % amino acid sequence identity with MxaY (**Figure 1D-F**). To test the hypothesis that mutations in *pedS2* are responsible for the emergence of suppressor phenotypes in strain Δ*pedH* during growth in the presence of La^{3+}, the gene was sequenced in three

individually isolated mutants ($\Delta pedH^{S1-3}$) (**Figure 1A-D**). This analysis revealed that all strains

contained a mutation in the *pedS2* sequence leading to a non-synonymous substitution.

These mutations were either located within a predicted periplasmic domain of unknown

function (R73C [$\Delta pedH^{S1}$] and R111W [$\Delta pedH^{S2}$]) or within the HAMP domain (S178P

[$\Delta pedH^{S3}$]), which is responsible for signal transduction from the periplasm into the

cytoplasm of the cell (Stock *et al.*, 2000; Parkinson, 2010). To verify that the identified

mutations in *pedS2* are the primary cause of the suppressor phenotype, the S178P mutation

from $\Delta pedH^{S3}$ was introduced into the genetic background of strain $\Delta pedH$, resulting in strain

$\Delta pedH$_PedS^{S178P}.

In subsequent growth experiments with 2-phenylethanol in the absence of La^{3+}, strains

$\Delta pedH$ and $\Delta pedH$_PedS2^{S178P} showed a similar growth behavior with a lag phase of < 32 h

(**Figure 2A1**; **Figure 2A2**). In the presence of 10 μM La^{3+}, however, $\Delta pedH$ showed no growth

within 72 h, whereas $\Delta pedH$_PedS2^{S178P} reached its maximum optical densities again after

about 32 h of incubation, verifying that the observed mutation in the histidine kinase *pedS2*

was sufficient to cause the suppressor phenotype. We speculated that the LuxR-type

response regulator PP_2672 (hereinafter referred to as *pedR2*), which is located adjacent to

pedS2 within the genome of *P. putida* KT2440, represents the target of PedS2 activity. This

assumption is based on the fact that PedR2 represents a homologue (65% amino acid

sequence identity) of EraR (ExaE; PA1980), which forms a TCS with the cytosolic histidine

kinase EraS (ExaD; PA1979) that activates expression of the *pedE* homologue *exaA* in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Schobert and Görisch, 2001; Mern *et al.*, 2010). To test this

hypothesis, *pedS2* as well as its potential cognate response regulator encoding gene *pedR2*,

were deleted in a $\Delta pedH$ background. In addition, strains suitable for probing promoter

activity of *pedE* in strains $\Delta pedH$, $\Delta pedH$_PedS2^{S178P}, $\Delta pedH\Delta pedS2$ and $\Delta pedH\Delta pedR2$ were

constructed and subsequently analyzed during growth with 2-phenylethanol in the presence

and absence of La^{3+} (**Figure 2B**).

The TCS PedS2/PedR2 regulates pedE transcription in response to lanthanide availability

In accordance with the observed growth patterns, *pedE* promoter activities in strain

Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P} were almost identical in both the absence and the presence of La^{3+} (ratio

no La^{3+} to 1 μM La^{3+}: 0.89 ± 0.02) but increased more than 24-fold (24 ± 1 and 27 ± 1-fold,

respectively) compared to the *pedE* promoter activities determined for cells of strain Δ*pedH*

in the absence of La^{3+} (**Figure 2B**). Strain Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2* also showed a La^{3+}-independent

growth phenotype similar to strain Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P}, however with a clear delay (< 48 h vs.

< 32 h) (**Figure 2A3**). Promoter activities for *pedE* were almost identical in this strain in the

absence and presence of La^{3+} (ratio no La^{3+} to 1 μM La^{3+}: 1.07 ± 0.09) (**Figure 2B**).

In contrast, incubations of 72 h (**Figure 2A4**) or even prolonged incubations for more than 7

d (data not shown) did not result in detectable growth of strain Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2* with 2-

phenylethanol, both in the presence and absence of La^{3+}. Correspondingly, *pedE* promoter

activities in this strain were low compared to those observed for cells of strain Δ*pedH* in the

presence of La^{3+} but in a similar range as those observed for Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2* in the presence

and absence of La^{3+}. These data demonstrate that the PedS2/PedR2 system is the

predominant element in the La^{3+}-dependent regulation of *pedE* and that PedR2 is essential

for PedE-dependent growth. However, as PedE-dependent growth can still be observed in

the absence of PedS2 after prolonged incubations and in a PedR2-dependent manner (**Figure**

2A3; Figure 2A4), we assume that at least one additional lanthanide-independent kinase

must be able to phosphorylate PedR2, leading to transcriptional activation of *pedE* and

functional production of the calcium-dependent enzyme under these conditions.

The TCS PedS2/PedR2 regulates the partial repression of pedH in the absence of

lanthanides

Based on the critical role of PedS2/PedR2 in the regulation of *pedE* and the fact that LuxR-

type regulators have been demonstrated to be capable of acting as both transcriptional

activators and repressors (Waters and Bassler, 2006; Van Kessel *et al.*, 2013), we speculated

that this TCS could also be involved in the regulation of *pedH*. To test this hypothesis, strains

Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}, Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2*, and Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2* were generated and a transcriptional

reporter suitable for probing *pedH* promoter activities was integrated into the genome of

each of these strains.

Experiments with 2-phenylethanol revealed that strains Δ*pedE*, Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2*, and

Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2* showed La^{3+}-dependent growth after a lag-phase < 24 h and reached the

maximum OD$_{600}$ after ≤ 32 h (**Figure 3A1**; **Figure 3A3**; **Figure 3A4**). In contrast, strain

Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} exhibited an extended lag-phase (> 24 h) and consistently reached the

maximal OD$_{600}$ only after prolonged incubations (≥ 32 h; **Figure 3A2**). In accordance with

these growth results, *pedH* promoter activities of strains Δ*pedE*, Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2,* and

Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2* were in a similar range, whereas *pedH* promoter activities in strain

Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} were 45 ± 2-fold and 30 ± 2-fold lower than strain Δ*pedE* in absence or

presence of La^{3+}, respectively (**Figure 3B**). Assuming that the S178P mutation in PedS2

results in a sensor kinase activity that mimics that of the wild-type protein in the absence of

lanthanides, it is very likely that PedS2 is responsible for the repression of *pedH* under the

La^{3+}-free conditions leading to the observed delay in growth. To find out whether this

regulatory effect on *pedH* proceeds *via* the response regulator PedR2, as it is the case for

pedE, or is caused by an unknown additional target of PedS2, the triple mutant strain

Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2* was generated and characterized for its growth phenotype (**Figure**

4A). In this experiment, Δ*pedE* and Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} both grew with 2-phenylethanol,

however, with a clear difference in the corresponding lag-phases confirming the previous

results from growth in 96-well plates (**Figure 3A**). In contrast, the additional deletion of the

response regulator PedR2 eliminated the growth defect caused by the PedS2^{S178P} allele,

leading to a growth behavior of Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2* (**Figure 4A**), which was

indistinguishable from that of strain Δ*pedE*.

The conserved phosphorylation site D53 in PedR2 is essential for the REE-mediated switch

In order to study the essentiality of the phosphorylation site at position D53 of PedR2

(Bourret *et al.*, 1990; Milani *et al.*, 2005), we used inducible constructs for the production of

the wild-type PedR2 protein (pJEM[PedR2]) and a mutated variant, in which the conserved

aspartate in the CheY-like receiver domain was replaced by an alanine (pJEM[PedR2^{D53A}]).

After transformation of these plasmids into strains Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2* and

Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2* their growth with 2-phenylethanol in the absence and presence of

La^{3+} was monitored. When the plasmid-borne wild-type regulator PedR2 was induced in cells

of Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2,* growth was observed after a lag-phase of < 24 h whereas the

PedR2^{D53A} variant was unable to restore PedE-dependent growth in the same strain (**Figure**

4B). Intriguingly, the reverse result was obtained in strain Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2*. Here,

the PedR2^{D53A} variant allowed PedH-dependent growth, whereas the wild-type regulator

PedR2 did not lead to significant growth within 120 h of incubation (**Figure 4C**).

DISCUSSION

We recently demonstrated that in *P. putida* KT2440 the production of the two PQQ-EDHs PedE and PedH is both tightly and inversely regulated depending on lanthanide availability, representing the first reported REE switch for PQQ-EDHs in a non-methylotrophic organism (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017). While we were able to show that Ln^{3+}-dependent transcriptional activation of *pedH* is mostly, but not entirely, dependent on the presence of the PedH protein itself, the Ln^{3+}-dependent transcriptional repression of *pedE* remained elusive. In this study, we present a detailed characterization of the mechanism underlying PedE and PedH regulation (**Figure 5**), in which the TCS PedS2/PedR2 acts as an essential signaling module for the REE-mediated switch between the two quinoproteins. Similar to the recently characterized spontaneous mutant of *M. buryatense* (Chu *et al.*, 2016), we found that a single non-synonymous mutation within the periplasmic region of the sensor histidine kinase PedS2 (PedS2^{S178P}), which differs from the LapD/MoxY domain found in MxaY of *M. buryatense* (**Figure 1**), is sufficient to terminate the Ln^{3+}-mediated repression of *pedE*. Notably, various mutations at different sites of the protein can cause the observed suppressor phenotype. This might explain the repeatedly and fast occurrence of these suppressor mutants in our experiments and would support a similar notion in *M. buryatense* (Chu and Lidstrom, 2016; Chu *et al.*, 2016).

Besides the essentiality for *pedE* regulation, our experimental data further provide strong evidence that PedS2 is also involved in the repression of *pedH* in the absence of lanthanides, but not in its Ln^{3+}-dependent activation. This is based on the observation that the Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2* deletion strain did not show any differences in growth and *pedH* regulation, while the suppressor mutant Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} displayed decreased *pedH* promoter activity and a strongly increased lag-phase in growth experiments in the presence of La^{3+}. We

additionally show that the *pedS2*-dependent regulations of *pedE* and *pedH* are both

mediated by the LuxR-type response regulator PedR2. From these data we conclude that in

absence of La^{3+} ions the PedS2 sensor histidine kinase is active and triggers phosphorylation

of PedR2 at the conserved position D53 (PedR2^P) (Bourret *et al.*, 1990; Milani *et al.*, 2005).

The phosphorylated state of PedS2 subsequently has a dual regulatory function, namely the

activation of *pedE* and concomitant repression of *pedH* transcription (**Figure 5A**). In this

context, it is interesting to note that expression of the gene *exaA* in *Azospirillum brasilense*

Sp7, which encodes for a PQQ-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase, is dependent on σ^{54} and

its interaction with a LuxR-type response regulator that shares 45% sequence identity with

PedR2 (Singh *et al.*, 2017). Whether the transcriptional activation of *pedE* is dependent on a

similar interaction of PedR2 with a specific sigma factor is currently unknown.

To our surprise, also a Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2* mutant was able to grow on 2-phenylethanol, even

though with an increased lag-phase and low *pedE* promoter activities (**Figure 2A3**). This

indicates that at least one additional kinase beside PedS2 must be capable of

phosphorylating PedR2 to facilitate growth of this strain under these conditions. Given that

such an additional kinase exists, it is surprising that a *pedH* single mutant, in contrast to the

aforementioned Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2* double mutant, is only able to grow in the presence of REEs

when PedS2 is mutated (e.g. PedS2^{S178P}; **Figure 2A1**). This suggests that the activity of the

additional, non-specific histidine kinase towards PedR2 in the presence of La^{3+} is repressed

as long as PedS2 is functional. We hence propose that PedS2, as many other sensory

histidine kinases in bacteria (Stock *et al.*, 2000; Rowland and Deeds, 2014; Agrawal *et al.*,

2016), also exhibits phosphatase activity on PedR2^P in the presence of La^{3+}, thereby ensuring

specificity of the signal transduction pathway and eliminating the interference from other

non-specific kinases. In our working hypothesis, the presence of lanthanides in the medium

leads to the repression of PedS2 kinase activity, most likely by direct binding of the metal

ions to its periplasmic domain (**Figure 5B**). The reduced kinase- and postulated phosphatase

activity of PedS2 consequently leads to the accumulation of unphosphorylated PedR2, which

finally results in the loss of its regulatory functions. In addition, the transcription of *pedH* is

activated *via* a yet unknown pathway, in which a functional PedH protein is an essential

component most likely by acting as a lanthanide sensor (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017).

In conclusion, it appears that the REE-mediated switches in *M. extorquens* AM1 and *M.*

buryatense during growth with methanol are predominantly dependent on only one

lanthanide responsive pathway, which either proceeds via the XoxF1 and XoxF2 proteins or

MxaY (Chu *et al.*, 2016; Vu *et al.*, 2016). Our results establish that in *P. putida* KT2440, a

combination of at least two independent pathways are important to orchestrate the inverse

regulation of *pedE* and *pedH* in response to lanthanides efficiently.

Several recent studies suggest that in methano- and methylotrophic bacteria, the REE switch

might affect more genes than only those needed for the periplasmic oxidation system itself

(Gu and Semrau, 2017; Good *et al.*, 2018; Masuda *et al.*, 2018). Reports on physiological

consequences are, however, inconsistent as some studies found no effects (Nakagawa *et al.*,

2012; Chu and Lidstrom, 2016; Vu *et al.*, 2016; Masuda *et al.*, 2018), whereas other studies

reported a stimulating effect on biofilm formation, growth rates, and overall yields in the

presence of REE (Fitriyanto *et al.*, 2011b; Nakamura, *et al.*, 2011; Good *et al.*, 2018). We

think it is not unlikely that additional REE-mediated regulatory effects do also exist in *P.*

putida KT2440 in a context-dependent manner. Thus, one of our current foci is to investigate

the global regulatory impact and physiological consequences of the presence and absence of

lanthanides under varying environmental conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids and culture conditions

A detailed description of the strains and plasmids used in this study can be found in **Table 1**.

If not stated otherwise *Escherichia coli* and *P. putida* KT2440 strains were maintained on

solidified LB medium. Routinely, strains were cultured in liquid LB medium (Maniatis *et al.*,

1982) or a modified M9 salt medium (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017) supplemented with 25 mM

succinate or 5 mM 2-phenylethanol as source of carbon and energy at 30°C and shaking, if

not stated otherwise. For maintenance and selection, 40 µg mL^{-1} kanamycin or 15 µg mL^{-1}

gentamycin for *E.coli* and 40 µg mL^{-1} kanamycin, 20 µg mL^{-1} 5-fluoro uracil, or 15 µg mL^{-1}

gentamycin for *P. putida* strains was added to the medium, if indicated.

Liquid medium growth experiments

All liquid growth experiments were carried out using modified M9 with 25 mM succinate or

5 mM 2-phenylethanol as sole source of carbon and energy (see above) in 125 ml or 250 ml

polycarbonate Erlenmeyer flask (Corning) or in 96-well 2 ml deep-well plates (Carl Roth), as

described previously (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017). Briefly, washed cells from overnight cultures

grown with succinate at 30°C and 180 rpm shaking were used to inoculate fresh medium

with an OD$_{600}$ of 0.01 and incubated at 30°C and 180 rpm (growth experiments in

polycarbonate Erlenmeyer flasks) or 350 rpm (growth experiments in 96-well plates)

shaking.

Construction of plasmids

For construction of the deletion plasmids pMW55, pMW56 and pMW61 the 600 bp regions

upstream and downstream of gene *pedS2* (*PP_2671*), amino acid residue S178 in gene *pedS2*

(*PP_2671*), or gene *pedR2* (*PP_2672*) were amplified from genomic DNA of *P. putida* KT2440

using primers MWH90-93, MWH98-101 or MWH106-109 (**Table 1**). The two up- and

downstream fragments and BamHI digested pJOE6261.2 were then joined together using

one-step isothermal assembly (Gibson, 2011). Upon subsequent transformation of the

constructs into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells, the correctness of the plasmids was confirmed by

Sanger sequencing. Plasmids pJeM[pedR2] and pJEM[PedR2^{D53A}] encoding for PedR2 or

PedR2 with mutated amino acid residue 53 (D→A) under a rhamnose inducible promoter

were ordered from an external source (Eurofins).

Strain constructions and isolation of suppressor mutants

The *pedS2* (*PP_2671*) and *pedR2* (*PP_2672*) negative mutants as well as the PedS2^{S178P} allele

were constructed using a recently described system for markerless gene deletion in *P. putida*

KT2440 (Graf and Altenbuchner, 2011). Briefly, the integration vectors harboring the up- and

downstream regions of the target genes (pMW55 and pMW61) or the up- and downstream

regions of the region to be mutated including the desired S178P mutation (pMW56) were

transformed into *P. putida* KT2440* and kanamycin (Kan) resistant and 5-flourouracil (5-FU)

sensitive clones were selected on LB Kan agarose plates. After incubation at 30°C for 24 h in

LB medium without selection markers, clones that were 5-FU^R and Kan^S were tested for

successful gene deletion using primer pair MWH90/MWH93 or MWH106/MWH109 for gene

pedS2 or *pedR2*, respectively. The presence of the underlying PedS2^{S178P} mutation was

verified by Sanger sequencing after gene *pedS2* of 5-FU^R and Kan^S clones was amplified using

primer pair MWH85/MWH86. ΔpedH suppressor mutant strains were isolated from 25 ml

liquid M9 cultures with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol as sole source of carbon and energy

supplemented with 10 μM La^{3+} upon > 5 d incubation at 30°C and 180 rpm. Strains were

passed thrice over LB agar plates and reevaluated for growth in liquid M9 medium

supplemented with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol and 10 μM La^{3+}. From cultures that showed

growth upon a similar lag-phase as the KT2440* wild-type strain, the *pedS2* gene was

amplified by PCR using primer pair M85/M86 and mutations were identified by Sanger

sequencing.

To construct reporter strains for the analysis of *pedE* and *pedH* promoter activity in different

genetic backgrounds, plasmids pUC18-mini-Tn7T-*pedE-lux*-Gm and pUC18-mini-Tn7T-*pedH-*

lux-Gm (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017) were co-electroporated with the helper plasmid pTNS2 into

selected mutant strains of *P. putida* KT2440 (**Table 1**). Proper chromosomal integration of

the mini-Tn7 element in gentamycin resistant transformants was verified by colony PCR

using $P_{put-glmSDN}$ and P_{Tn7R} primers as described previously (Choi *et al.*, 2005).

Reporter gene fusion assays

For quantitative measurement of *pedE* and *pedH* promoter activity, strains of *P. putida*

harboring a Tn7-based *pedE-lux* or *pedH-lux* transcriptional reporter fusion were grown

overnight in LB medium with gentamycin (15 µg.ml^{-1}), diluted to an OD_{600} = 0.2 in fresh LB

medium and grown to an OD_{600} of 0.6. Cells were then washed three times in M9 medium

without carbon source and finally adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.2 in M9 medium with 1 mM 2-

phenylethanol. For luminescence measurements, 198 µl of cell suspension was added to 2 µl

of a 100 µM LaCl$_3$ solution in white 96-well plates with a clear bottom (µClear; Greiner Bio-

One). Microtiter plates were placed in a humid box to prevent evaporation and incubated at

28°C with continuous agitation (180 rpm), and light emission and OD_{600} were recorded at

regular intervals in an FLX-Xenius plate reader (SAFAS, Monaco) for 6 h. For both

parameters, the background provided by the M9 medium was subtracted and the

luminescence was normalized to the corresponding OD_{600}. Experiments were performed

with biological triplicates, and data are presented as the mean value with error bars

representing the corresponding standard deviation.

Sequence identity determination

Protein sequence identities were determined based on amino acid sequence alignments of

the proteins of interest generated using the Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool

(Sievers *et al.*, 2014).

FUNDING INFORMATION

The work of Matthias Wehrmann and Janosch Klebensberger was supported by an individual

research grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, KL 2340/2-1). The work of

Charlotte Berthelot and Patrick Billard was supported in part by Labex Ressources21 (ANR-

10-LABX-21-01).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Prof. Bernhard Hauer for his continuous support. The

authors further declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial

or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Tables

389   **Table 1:** Strain, plasmids, and primer used in the study

Strains	Relevant features
KT2440	Wild-type strain of *Pseudomonas putida* (ATCC 47054)
KT2440*	KT2440 with a markerless deletion of *upp* | Parent strain for deletion mutants (Graf and Altenbuchner, 2011)
Δ*pedE*	KT2440* with a markerless deletion of *pedE* (Mückschel *et al.*, 2012)
Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2*	Δ*pedE* with a markerless deletion of *pedS2* (*PP_2671*) (this study)
Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2*	Δ*pedE* with a markerless deletion of *pedR2* (*PP_2672*) (this study)
Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}	Δ*pedE* with S178P mutation in PedS2 (this study)
Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2*	Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} with a markerless deletion of *pedR2* (this study)
Δ*pedH*	KT2440* with a markerless deletion of *pedH* (Mückschel *et al.*, 2012)
Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2*	Δ*pedH* with a markerless deletion of *pedS2* (this study)
Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2*	Δ*pedH* with a markerless deletion of *pedR2* (this study)
Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P}	Δ*pedH* with S178P mutation PedS2 (this study)
Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2*	Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P} with a markerless deletion of *pedR2* (this study)
E. coli BL21 (DE3)	F⁻ *ompT gal dcm lon hsdS_B(r_B⁻ m_B⁻) λ(DE3 [lacI lacUV5-T7 gene 1 ind1 sam7 nin5])*
E. coli DH5α	*fhuA2 lac(del)U169 phoA glnV44 Φ80' lacZ(del)M15 gyrA96 recA1 relA1 endA1 thi-1 hsdR17*
KT2440*::Tn7-*pedE-lux*	KT2440* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedE*-lux (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)
KT2440*::Tn7-pedH-lux	KT2440* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedH*-lux (Wehrmann et al., 2017)
Δ*pedE*::Tn7-*pedE-lux*	Δ*pedE* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedE*-lux (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)
Δ*pedE*::Tn7-*pedH-lux*	Δ*pedE* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedH*-lux (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)
Δ*pedH*::Tn7-*pedE-lux*	Δ*pedH* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedE*-lux (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)
Δ*pedH* ::Tn7-*pedH-lux*	Δ*pedH* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedH*-lux (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)
Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2*::Tn7-*pedH-lux*	Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedH-lux* (this study)
Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2*::Tn7-*pedH-lux*	Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedH-lux* (this study)
Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} ::Tn7-*pedH-lux*	Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} with insertion of miniTn7-*pedH-lux* (this study)
Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2*::Tn7-*pedE-lux*	Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedE-lux* (this study)
Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2*::Tn7-*pedE-lux*	Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2* with insertion of miniTn7-*pedE-lux* (this study)
Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P} ::Tn7-*pedE-lux*	Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P} with insertion of miniTn7-*pedE-lux* (this study)
Plasmids	
pJeM1	Rhamnose inducible vector (Jeske and Altenbuchner, 2010)
pJOE6261.2	Suicide vector for gene deletions (Graf and Altenbuchner, 2011)
pMW55	pJOE6261.2 based deletion vector for gene *PP_2671* (this study)
pMW56	pJOE6261.2 based vector for introducing the S178P mutation in PedS2 (this study)
pMW61	pJOE6261.2 based deletion vector for gene *PP_2672* (this study)
pUC18-mini-Tn7T-*pedE-lux-Gm*	pUC18-mini-Tn7T-Gm-lux vector with the promoter of *pedE* driving transcription of *luxCDABE* (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)

pUC18-mini-Tn*7T*-*pedH*-*lux*-Gm	pUC18-mini-Tn*7T*-*lux*-Gm vector with the promoter of *pedH* driving transcription of *luxCDABE* (Wehrmann *et al.*, 2017)	
pTNS2	Helper plasmid for Tn7 integration (Choi *et al.*, 2005)	
pJEM[PedR2]	Rhamnose inducible induction of PedR2 (this study)	
pJEM[PedR2^{D53A}]	Rhamnose inducible induction of PedR2^{D53A} (this study)	
Primer		
Name	**Sequence 5' → 3'**	**Annealing**
MWH85	GGAAATATGCAGAAAGTAGCGCTCG	60°C
MWH86	TCTTCACCACTGGCGGCCT	60°C
MWH90	GCCGCTTTGGTCCCGCAGGCACTGGCTGCTGC	60°C
MWH91	CGATATTCAAAGCGGTTCTCCTCAGGC	60°C
MWH92	GAACCGCTTTGAATATCGTGTTGGTCGATGACCAC	60°C
MWH93	GCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGATGCACAAGCTCGGCG	60°C
MWH98	GCCGCTTTGGTCCCGAGGTAGTAATTCAGTGCGGGGG	60°C
MWH99	TGCCCGCCTGGGACCTGGTG	60°C
MWH100	GGTCCCAGGCGGGCAATTG	60°C
MWH101	GCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGCAGCCATTGTCGCGAATG	60°C
MWH106	GCCGCTTTGGTCCCGGCAGGAGCAGGAGCGTAC	65°C
MWH107	TGAAATACCCACACCTCCTGGGGAATGTTAAG	65°C
MWH108	GGAGGTGTGGGTATTTCATTGCACCTGTTGGGGC	65°C
MWH109	GCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAGCCAACCTGACCC	65°C

Figures

Figure 1: Scheme of selection (**A**), clonal isolation (**B**), characterization and single nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) identification (**C-D**) in the two-component sensor histidine kinase PedS2

of the spontaneous mutants $\Delta pedH^{S1}$ (R73C), $\Delta pedH^{S2}$ (R111W), $\Delta pedH^{S3}$ (S178P). **A)** Cells of

strain $\Delta pedH$ were incubated in M9 medium supplemented with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol and

10 μM LaCl$_3$ in plastic Erlenmeyer flasks (n = 3) at 30°C with shaking at 180 rpm. **B)** After

growth was observed (> 5 days), dilutions from each culture were plated onto LB-agar plates

and incubated at 30°C. Individual clones were further streaked on LB-agar twice prior to

further characterization. **C)** Clones were characterized for their growth behavior in M9

medium with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol in the presence of 10 μM LaCl$_3$. Subsequently, one

clone from each culture exhibiting faster growth than the parental strain $\Delta pedH$ was used for

PCR amplification of the *pedS2* gene and multi sequence alignment analysis with the native

sequence of the gene from the *Pseudomonas* Genome Database (Winsor *et al.*, 2016). **D-E)**

Visualization of domain composition of PedS2 of *P. putida* (**D**) and MxaY of *M. buryatense*

5GB1C (**E**) using the prediction from the Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (Letunic

and Bork, 2018). **F)** Amino acid sequence alignment of the PedS2 and MxaY proteins

generated with Clustal Omega (Sievers *et al.*, 2014).

Figure 2: (**A**) Growth of strains Δ*pedH* (circles; 1), Δ*pedH_pedS2*^{S178P} (squares; 2), Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2* (diamonds; 3), and Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2* (triangles; 4) at 30°C and 350 rpm shaking with M9 medium in 96-well plates supplemented with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol in absence (green symbols) or presence (blue symbols) of 10 µM La^{3+}. The grey area visualizes the time point by which the parental strain Δ*pedH* (circles) reached an OD$_{600}$ > 0.4 (dotted line) (**B**) Activities of the *pedE* promoter in strains Δ*pedH*, Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P}, Δ*pedH*Δ*pedS2*, and Δ*pedH*Δ*pedR2* in the absence (green bars) or presence (blue bars) of 1 µM La^{3+} measured in M9 medium supplemented with 1 mM 2-phenylethanol. Promoter activities are presented in relative light units (RLU x 10^4) normalized to OD$_{600}$. All data represent the mean of biological triplicates and error bars correspond to the respective standard deviations.

Figure 3: (**A**) Growth of strains Δ*pedE* (circles; 1), Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} (squares; 2), Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2* (diamonds; 3), and Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2* (triangles; 4) at 30°C and 350 rpm shaking with M9 medium in 96-well plates supplemented with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol in absence (green symbols) or presence (blue symbols) of 10 µM La^{3+}. The grey area visualizes the time point by which the parental strain Δ*pedE* (circles) reached their maximum OD$_{600}$ (**B**) Activities of the *pedH* promoter in strains Δ*pedE,* Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}, Δ*pedE*Δ*pedS2,* and Δ*pedE*Δ*pedR2* in the absence (green bars) or presence (blue bars) of 1 µM La^{3+} measured in M9 medium supplemented with 1 mM 2-phenylethanol. Promoter activities are presented in relative light units (RLU x 10^5) normalized to OD$_{600}$. All data represent the mean of biological triplicates and error bars correspond to the respective standard deviations.

Figure 4: Growth of different *P. putida* strains at 30°C and 180 rpm shaking with M9 medium in polycarbonate Erlenmeyer flasks supplemented with 5 mM 2-phenylethanol and 10 µM La^{3+} in the absence (**A**) and presence of kanamycin (**B** and **C**) for plasmid maintenance. Flasks were inoculated at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.01 (**A**) or 0.03 (**B** and **C**) with washed cells from M9 overnight cultures grown with succinate in the absence (**A**) or presence (**B** and **C**) of kanamycin and 0.2% rhamnose as inducer for the plasmids pJEM[PedR2] and pJEM[PedR2^{D53A}]. **A**) Growth of Δ*pedE* (black circles), Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P} (orange dots), and Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2* (grey dots). **B**) Growth of Δ*pedH*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2* harboring pJEM[PedR2] (grey dots) or pJEM[PedR2^{D53A}] (orange dots). **C**) Growth of Δ*pedE*_PedS2^{S178P}Δ*pedR2* harboring pJEM[PedR2] (grey dots) or pJEM[PedR2^{D53A}] (orange dots). Data points represent the mean of biological triplets and error bars correspond to the respective standard deviations (positive error values).

Figure 5: Working hypothesis of REE-mediated switch of *pedE* and *pedH* in *Pseudomonas*

putida KT2440. Black lines indicate known regulations or functionalities. Grey boxes with

question marks indicate unknown regulatory components. Solid lines indicate a strong

regulatory effect on genes or production of enzymes, whereas dotted lines indicate weaker

regulatory effects or low production of enzymes. A detailed description of the working

model is given in the text.

References

Agrawal, R., Sahoo, B.K., and Saini, D.K. (2016) Cross-talk and specificity in two-component

signal transduction pathways. *Future Microbiol.* **11**: 685–697.

Anthony, C. (2001) Pyrroloquinoline Quinone (PQQ) and Quinoprotein Enzymes. *Antioxid.*

Redox Signal. **3**: 757–774.

Arias, S., Olivera, E.R., Arcos, M., Naharro, G., and Luengo, J.M. (2008) Genetic analyses and

molecular characterization of the pathways involved in the conversion of 2-

phenylethylamine and 2-phenylethanol into phenylacetic acid in *Pseudomonas putida*

U. *Environ. Microbiol.* **10**: 413–432.

Bourret, R.B., Hess, J.F., and Simon, M.I. (1990) Conserved aspartate residues and

phosphorylation in signal transduction by the chemotaxis protein CheY. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. **87**: 41–45.

Choi, K.-H., Gaynor, J.B., White, K.G., Lopez, C., Bosio, C.M., Karkhoff-Schweizer, R.R., and

Schweizer, H.P. (2005) A Tn7-based broad-range bacterial cloning and expression

system. *Nat. Methods* **2**: 443–448.

Chu, F., Beck, D.A.C., and Lidstrom, M.E. (2016) MxaY regulates the lanthanide-mediated

methanol dehydrogenase switch in *Methylomicrobium buryatense*. *PeerJ* **4**: e2435.

Chu, F. and Lidstrom, M.E. (2016) XoxF Acts as the Predominant Methanol Dehydrogenase in

the Type I Methanotroph *Methylomicrobium buryatense*. *J. Bacteriol.* **198**: 1317–1325.

van Dam, N.M., Weinhold, A., and Garbeva, P. (2016) Calling in the Dark: The Role of

Volatiles for Communication in the Rhizosphere. In, Blande,J.D. and Glinwood,R. (eds),

Deciphering Chemical Language of Plant Communication. Springer International

Publishing, Cham, pp. 175–210.

Farhan Ul Haque, M., Kalidass, B., Bandow, N., Turpin, E.A., DiSpirito, A.A., and Semrau, J.D.

(2015) Cerium Regulates Expression of Alternative Methanol Dehydrogenases in

Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **81**: 7546–7552.

Fitriyanto, N.A., Fushimi, M., Matsunaga, M., Pertiwiningrum, A., Iwama, T., and Kawai, K.

(2011a) Molecular structure and gene analysis of Ce3+ -induced methanol

dehydrogenase of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. MAFF211645. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **111**: 613–7.

Fitriyanto, N.A., Nakamura, M., Muto, S., Kato, K., Yabe, T., Iwama, T., et al. (2011b) Ce3+-

induced exopolysaccharide production by *Bradyrhizobium* sp. MAFF211645. *J. Biosci.*

Bioeng. **111**: 146–152.

Gibson, D.G. (2011) Enzymatic Assembly of Overlapping DNA Fragments. In, *Methods in*

Enzymology., pp. 349–361.

Good, N.M., Vu, H.N., Suriano, C.J., Subuyuj, G.A., Skovran, E., and Martinez-Gomez, N.C.

(2016) Pyrroloquinoline Quinone Ethanol Dehydrogenase in *Methylobacterium*

extorquens AM1 Extends Lanthanide-Dependent Metabolism to Multicarbon

Substrates. *J. Bacteriol.* **198**: 3109–3118.

Good, N.M., Walser, O.N., Moore, R.S., Suriano, C., Huff, A.F., and Martinez-Gomez, N.C.

(2018) Investigation of lanthanide-dependent methylotrophy uncovers complementary

roles for alcohol dehydrogenase enzymes. *bioRxiv*.

Graf, N. and Altenbuchner, J. (2011) Development of a method for markerless gene deletion

in *Pseudomonas putida*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **77**: 5549–5552.

Gu, W. and Semrau, J.D. (2017) Copper and cerium-regulated gene expression in

Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **101**: 8499–8516.

Insam, H. and Seewald, M.S.A. (2010) Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in soils. *Biol. Fertil.*

Soils **46**: 199–213.

Jeske, M. and Altenbuchner, J. (2010) The *Escherichia coli* rhamnose promoter rhaP BAD is in

Pseudomonas putida KT2440 independent of Crp–cAMP activation. *Appl. Microbiol.*

Biotechnol. **85**: 1923–1933.

Van Kessel, J.C., Rutherford, S.T., Shao, Y., Utria, A.F., and Bassler, B.L. (2013) Individual and

combined roles of the master regulators apha and luxr in control of the *Vibrio harveyi*

quorum-sensing regulon. *J. Bacteriol.* **195**: 436–443.

Letunic, I. and Bork, P. (2018) 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource.

Nucleic Acids Res. **46**: D493–D496.

Lv, H., Sahin, N., and Tani, A. (2018) Isolation and genomic characterization of

Novimethylophilus kurashikiensis gen. nov. sp. nov., a new lanthanide-dependent

methylotrophic species of *Methylophilaceae*. *Environ. Microbiol.* **20**: 1204–1223.

Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E., Sambrook, J., and Laboratory, C.S.H. (1982) Molecular Cloning : A

Laboratory Manual Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Masuda, S., Suzuki, Y., Fujitani, Y., Mitsui, R., Nakagawa, T., Shintani, M., and Tani, A. (2018)

Lanthanide-Dependent Regulation of Methylotrophy in *Methylobacterium aquaticum*

Strain 22A. *mSphere* **3**: e00462-17.

Mern, D.S., Ha, S.W., Khodaverdi, V., Gliese, N., and Gorisch, H. (2010) A complex regulatory

network controls aerobic ethanol oxidation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: indication of

four levels of sensor kinases and response regulators. *Microbiology* **156**: 1505–1516.

Milani, M., Leoni, L., Rampioni, G., Zennaro, E., Ascenzi, P., and Bolognesi, M. (2005) An

active-like structure in the unphosphorylated StyR response regulator suggests a

phosphorylation-dependent allosteric activation mechanism. *Structure* **13**: 1289–1297.

Mückschel, B., Simon, O., Klebensberger, J., Graf, N., Rosche, B., Altenbuchner, J., et al.

(2012) Ethylene glycol metabolism by *Pseudomonas putida*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*

78: 8531–9.

Nakagawa, T., Mitsui, R., Tani, A., Sasa, K., Tashiro, S., Iwama, T., et al. (2012) A Catalytic Role

of XoxF1 as La3+-Dependent Methanol Dehydrogenase in *Methylobacterium*

extorquens Strain AM1. *PLoS One* **7**: e50480.

Parkinson, J.S. (2010) Signaling Mechanisms of HAMP Domains in Chemoreceptors and

Sensor Kinases. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **64**: 101–122.

Penuelas, J., Asensio, D., Tholl, D., Wenke, K., Rosenkranz, M., Piechulla, B., and Schnitzler,

J.P. (2014) Biogenic volatile emissions from the soil. *Plant. Cell Environ.* **37**: 1866–1891.

Pol, A., Barends, T.R.M., Dietl, A., Khadem, A.F., Eygensteyn, J., Jetten, M.S.M., and Op den

Camp, H.J.M. (2014) Rare earth metals are essential for methanotrophic life in volcanic

mudpots. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**: 255–264.

Regenhardt, D., Heuer, H., Heim, S., Fernandez, D.U., Strompl, C., Moore, E.R.B., and Timmis,

K.N. (2002) Pedigree and taxonomic credentials of *Pseudomonas putid*a strain KT2440.

Environ. Microbiol. **4**: 912–915.

Rowland, M.A. and Deeds, E.J. (2014) Crosstalk and the evolution of specificity in two-

component signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**: 5550–5555.

Sarkar, B. (2002) Heavy Metals in the Environment CRC Press.

Schobert, M. and Görisch, H. (2001) A soluble two-component regulatory system controls

expression of quinoprotein ethanol dehydrogenase (QEDH) but not expression of

cytochrome c(550) of the ethanol-oxidation system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Microbiology **147**: 363–372.

Semrau, J.D., DiSpirito, A.A., Gu, W., and Yoon, S. (2018) Metals and Methanotrophy. *Appl.*

Environ. Microbiol. **84**: e02289-17.

Shiller, A.M., Chan, E.W., Joung, D.J., Redmond, M.C., and Kessler, J.D. (2017) Light rare earth

element depletion during Deepwater Horizon blowout methanotrophy. *Sci. Rep.* **7**:

10389.

Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T.J., Karplus, K., Li, W., et al. (2014) Fast, scalable

generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega.

Mol. Syst. Biol. **7**: 539–539.

Singh, V.S., Dubey, A.P., Gupta, A., Singh, S., Singh, B.N., and Tripathi, A.K. (2017) Regulation

of a Glycerol-Induced Quinoprotein Alcohol Dehydrogenase by σ 54 and a LuxR-Type

Regulator in *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp7. *J. Bacteriol.* **199**: e00035-17.

Skovran, E., Palmer, A.D., Rountree, A.M., Good, N.M., and Lidstrom, M.E. (2011) XoxF Is

Required for Expression of Methanol Dehydrogenase in *Methylobacterium extorquens*

AM1. *J. Bacteriol.* **193**: 6032–6038.

Springer, A.L., Auman, A.J., and Lidstrom, M.E. (1998) Sequence and characterization of

mxaB, a response regulator involved in regulation of methanol oxidation, and of mxaW,

a methanol-regulated gene in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*

160: 119–24.

Springer, A.L., Morris, C.J., and Lidstrom, M.E. (1997) Molecular analysis of mxbD and mxbM,

a putative sensor-regulator pair required for oxidation of methanol in

Methylobacterium extorquens AM1. *Microbiology* **143**: 1737–1744.

Stock, A.M., Robinson, V.L., and Goudreau, P.N. (2000) Two-Component Signal Transduction.

Annu. Rev. Biochem. **69**: 183–215.

Taubert, M., Grob, C., Howat, A.M., Burns, O.J., Dixon, J.L., Chen, Y., and Murrell, J.C. (2015)

XoxF encoding an alternative methanol dehydrogenase is widespread in coastal marine

environments. *Environ. Microbiol.* **17**: 3937–3948.

Toyama, H., Mathews, F.S., Adachi, O., and Matsushita, K. (2004) Quinohemoprotein alcohol

dehydrogenases: structure, function, and physiology. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **428**: 10–

21.

Vekeman, B., Speth, D., Wille, J., Cremers, G., De Vos, P., Op den Camp, H.J.M., and Heylen,

K. (2016) Genome Characteristics of Two Novel Type I Methanotrophs Enriched from

North Sea Sediments Containing Exclusively a Lanthanide-Dependent XoxF5-Type

Methanol Dehydrogenase. *Microb. Ecol.* **72**: 503–509.

Vu, H.N., Subuyuj, G.A., Vijayakumar, S., Good, N.M., Martinez-Gomez, N.C., and Skovran, E.

(2016) Lanthanide-Dependent Regulation of Methanol Oxidation Systems in

Methylobacterium extorquens AM1 and Their Contribution to Methanol Growth. *J.*

Bacteriol. **198**: 1250–1259.

Wackett, L.P. (2003) Pseudomonas putida- a versatile biocatalyst. *Nat. Biotechnol.*

Waters, C.M. and Bassler, B.L. (2006) The *Vibrio harveyi* quorum-sensing system uses shared

regulatory components to discriminate between multiple autoinducers. *Genes Dev.* **20**:

2754–2767.

Wehrmann, M., Billard, P., Martin-Meriadec, A., Zegeye, A., and Klebensberger, J. (2017)

Functional Role of Lanthanides in Enzymatic Activity and Transcriptional Regulation of

Pyrroloquinoline Quinone-Dependent Alcohol Dehydrogenases in *Pseudomonas putida*

KT2440. *MBio* **8**: e00570-17.

Winsor, G.L., Griffiths, E.J., Lo, R., Dhillon, B.K., Shay, J.A., and Brinkman, F.S.L. (2016)

Enhanced annotations and features for comparing thousands of *Pseudomonas* genomes

in the *Pseudomonas* genome database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**: D646–D653.

Wu, X., Monchy, S., Taghavi, S., Zhu, W., Ramos, J., and van der Lelie, D. (2011) Comparative

genomics and functional analysis of niche-specific adaptation in *Pseudomonas putida*.

FEMS Microbiol. Rev. **35**: 299–323.

Xu, H.H., Janka, J.J., Viebahn, M., and Hanson, R.S. (1995) Nucleotide sequence of the mxcQ

and mxcE genes, required for methanol dehydrogenase synthesis in *Methylobacterium*

organophilum XX: a two-component regulatory system. *Microbiology* **141**: 2543–2551.

Zheng, Y., Huang, J., Zhao, F., and Chistoserdova, L. (2018) Physiological Effect of XoxG(4) on

Lanthanide-Dependent Methanotrophy. *MBio* **9**: e02430-17.

600