Repeated evolution of asexuality involves convergent gene

expression changes

Darren J. Parker[1,2,*], Jens Bast[1], Kirsten Jalvingh[1], Zoé Dumas[1], Marc Robinson-Rechavi[1,2], and

Tanja Schwander[1]

1. Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

2. Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland

* Corresponding author: DarrenJames.Parker@unil.ch

Abstract

Asexual reproduction has evolved repeatedly from sexual ancestors across a wide range of taxa. While the costs and benefits associated with the proliferation of asexual lineages has received considerable attention, the molecular underpinnings of the changes required for asexual reproduction remain relatively unexplored. In particular, it is completely unknown whether the repeated evolution of asexual phenotypes involves similar molecular changes, as previous studies have focused on changes occurring in single lineages. Here we investigate the extent of convergent gene expression changes and patterns of molecular evolution across five independent transitions to asexuality in stick insects. We compared gene expression of asexual females to those of females from close sexual relatives in whole-bodies and two tissues: the reproductive tract and legs. We identified a striking amount of convergent gene expression change, ranging from 5 to 8% of genes examined, greatly exceeding that expected by chance. Convergent changes were also tissue-specific, with most convergent genes changing in only one tissue type, as expected from selection for functional changes. Conversely, lineage-specific changes were not tissue-specific, as expected from drift. Functional enrichment tests found that genes showing convergent changes in the reproductive tract were associated with meiotic spindle formation and centrosome organization. These genes are particularly interesting as they can influence the production of unreduced eggs, a key barrier to asexual reproduction. Changes in legs and whole-bodies were likely involved in female sexual trait decay, with enrichment in terms such as sperm-storage and pigmentation. By identifying changes occurring across multiple independent transitions to asexuality, our results provide a rare insight into the molecular basis of asexual phenotypes and suggest that the evolutionary path to asexuality is highly constrained, requiring repeated changes to the same key genes.

Keywords: convergent evolution, parthenogenesis, *Timema*

Introduction

Sexual reproduction is extremely costly. Sex is less efficient than asexuality for transmitting genes to future generations (Maynard Smith 1971) and in order to outcross, an individual has to find a partner, forgo foraging, and risk contracting sexually transmitted diseases and predation while mating (Bell 1982; Lehtonen et al. 2012). Yet, the overwhelming number of sexual, as compared to asexual, animal and plant species (Avise 2008; van der Kooi et al. 2017) indicates that sexual reproduction is highly advantageous. Identifying potential advantages conferred by sex has motivated decades of research and a rich body of work on the evolution and maintenance of sexual and asexual reproduction has been produced (reviewed in Bell 1982; Lewis 1987; West et al. 1999; Otto 2009; Neiman et al. 2017)). By contrast, little is known about the molecular underpinnings of transitions between reproductive systems (Neiman et al. 2014). Yet these molecular underpinnings have the potential to provide insights into the processes involved in the evolution of asexuality, and to help understand how sex is maintained. For example, sex is more easily maintained if asexuality evolves gradually in a sexual population than if it emerges suddenly via major effect mutations (Templeton 1982; Burt 2000; Schwander et al. 2010).

Some insight into the genetic basis of asexuality has been gained from studies of individual asexual lineages (Innes and Hebert 1988; Lynch et al. 2008; Eads et al. 2012; Jaquiéry et al. 2014), but a broad comparative framework for exploring common principles of the molecular basis of asexuality is lacking. For example, a major unresolved question is whether independent transitions to asexuality involve similar or different molecular changes. To address these shortcomings, we explored the molecular underpinnings of asexuality in stick insects of the genus *Timema*, a genus of wingless, herbivorous insects native to the West coast of North America and the mountains of the Desert Southwest. This group is uniquely suited for comparative studies of asexuality, as asexuality has evolved at least seven times independently (Schwander et al. 2011; Fig. 1), allowing us to study convergence across replicate transitions from sexual to asexual reproduction. Furthermore, close sexual relatives are at hand for each asexual lineage for comparison. All asexual *Timema* species reproduce via obligate parthenogenesis (Schwander and Crespi 2009), meaning that they evolved the ability to produce unreduced eggs which develop without fertilization by sperm. Additional phenotypic changes evolved convergently as adaptations to asexuality, including a reduced sperm storage organ, and reduced sexual pheromone production (Schwander, Crespi, et al. 2013). Thus,

asexual *Timema* females are less attractive to sexual males (Schwander, Crespi, et al. 2013),

which use both airborne and contact signals to identify suitable mates (Nosil et al. 2007;

Arbuthnott and Crespi 2009; Schwander, Arbuthnott, et al. 2013), and even when copulations

between sexual males and asexual females are forced under laboratory conditions, eggs are

not fertilized (Schwander, Crespi, et al. 2013).

To capture molecular changes associated with the evolution of asexuality we performed whole-

body and tissue-specific transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) on females from five sexual and

five asexual *Timema* species (Fig. 1). We chose two different tissues, the reproductive tract and

legs, to identify the molecular mechanisms underlying the production of asexual offspring

(reproductive tract), and adaptations to a celibate life (e.g. reduction of various different sexual

traits in the reproductive tract and legs). Note that the reproductive tract and leg samples

actually represent a collection of tissues, but we refer to them as tissues throughout for brevity.

Whole-body samples were included as they allow us to identify important changes that may be

missing in the tissue-specific transcriptomes. Using this approach, we identified convergent

expression changes which were likely driven by selection. We also observed changes specific

to each sexual-asexual species-pair which typically showed concerted changes across tissues,

consistent with being a product of drift (Blekhman et al. 2008; Liang et al. 2018). Finally, to

complement our expression analyses, we examined patterns of molecular evolution in genes

showing convergent expression changes following a transition to asexuality.

Results

Transcriptomes and orthology

Reference transcriptome assemblies for each species were generated previously (Bast et al. 2018). Bast et al. (2018) also identified 3010 one-to-one orthologs, which were used as our transcriptome reference. For each tissue, orthologs with low expression (counts per million less than 0.5 in two or more libraries per species) were filtered prior to expression analyses. Thus, the final number of orthologs kept for analyses of whole-body, reproductive tract, and leg samples was 2984, 2753, and 2740, respectively.

Convergent gene expression changes

We identified convergent gene expression changes between sexual and asexual species by modelling gene expression as a function of species-pair (see Fig. 1), reproductive mode (sexual or asexual), and their interaction in edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010). In such a model, convergence is indicated by an overall effect of reproductive mode (FDR < 0.05), but no interaction (FDR > 0.05) (Supplemental Table 1). Approximately four times as many genes changed convergently in the reproductive tract (7%; 203/2754) and legs (8%; 206/2737) as compared to the whole-body (2%; 57/2985), perhaps reflecting the relative difficulty in identifying expression changes in complex tissue assemblies such as whole-bodies (Johnson et al. 2013). The amount of convergence we observe is considerable and approximately double what we would expect by chance, for all tissues (whole-body: $p = 0.0128$, reproductive tract: $p < 0.0001$, legs: $p < 0.0001$, Supplemental Fig. 1). The amount of change between sexual and asexual females was relatively small for convergent genes, with a mean fold change of approximately 1.4 (absolute $\log_2$ expression change for whole-body = 0.55, reproductive tract = 0.68, and legs = 0.46) (Fig. 2).

As expected for selection-driven gene expression changes (Blekhman et al. 2008; Liang et al. 2018), convergent changes between sexual and asexual species were highly tissue-specific. Only 22 of the convergent genes in the reproductive tract (203) and legs (206) overlapped between the two tissues, a value not significantly greater than expected by chance (Table 1). There was also little overlap between convergent genes in the two tissues and whole-bodies (Table 1, Supplemental Fig. 2). This supports the interpretation that the convergent changes in

expression are driven by selection rather than by drift, as drift is more likely cause similar
changes across multiple tissues. This interpretation of selection also predicts that convergent
genes will be involved in divergent functions in each tissue which, as we show below, is indeed
the case.

Functional processes of convergently expressed genes

To detect convergence at the process level, we performed gene set enrichment analyses
(GSEA). Briefly, we scored Gene Ontology (GO) terms according to the rank of convergent
expression change of genes annotated to the terms; GO terms were then called significant if
they had a better average rank than expected by chance (see Methods). More than 100 GO
terms are enriched in each tissue studied (FDR < 0.05), providing strong support for
convergence of biological processes between asexual species (Supplementary Tables 2-4).
This signal is not dependent on any threshold at the gene level, and thus provides information
on convergence at the process level due to small but consistent contributions from many genes.
Consistent with the gene expression results, enriched GO terms were generally tissue specific;
we found no significant overlap between GO-terms enriched in the legs and reproductive tract
(11 shared terms, FDR = 0.123), between the legs and whole-body (4 shared terms, FDR =
0.799), or between whole-body and reproductive tract samples (10 shared terms, FDR = 0.064).

To reduce the number of enriched GO terms to examine we semantically clustered enriched GO
terms using ReviGO (Supek et al. 2011) (Supplemental Tables 5-7). The annotations of
convergent changes in the reproductive tract reflect the convergent evolution of
parthenogenesis in asexual *Timema*, as they were linked to meiosis (meiotic spindle
organization, meiosis II, centrosome duplication, meiosis I cytokinesis, meiosis II cytokinesis),
and reproduction (growth of a germarium-derived egg chamber, sperm individualization, gamete
generation). However, convergent changes were also linked to neuron development
(neurogenesis, neuron development, neuron recognition), as well as several GO terms involved
in development and metabolic processes for which the link to asexuality is less clear. In legs we
identified GO terms involved in immune defence (response to fungus, regulation of production of
molecular mediator of immune response, regulation of antimicrobial peptide production,
regulation of humoral immune response), which may be because asexual females are no longer
susceptible to the costs associated with diseases transmitted from sexual interactions (Knell
and Webberley 2004). Convergent changes were also linked to sex determination (primary sex

determination; soma, primary response to X:A ratio), which may control changes in the
expression of sexual traits, and several metabolic processes. In whole-body samples we find
some reproduction associated terms (courtship behavior, male mating behavior, male courtship
behavior, sperm storage, regulation of ovulation) as in the reproductive tract, and behavioral,
and immune related terms (immune response-regulating cell surface receptor signaling
pathway) as in legs, but also some unique terms relating to the cuticle (ecdysone, pupal chitin-
based cuticle development).

Convergently expressed genes in whole-bodies show evidence for sexual trait decay

Several of the enriched functional processes described above are suggestive of sexual trait
decay. Under this scenario we expect a reduction of purifying selection on genes underlying
sexually dimorphic traits in asexual species, indicated by an increased accumulation of non-
synonymous changes.

The power to detect differences in pN/pS or dN/dS between gene sets in asexuals is low, as
genes are inherited as a single linkage group. Nevertheless, we found that genes showing
convergent changes in expression in whole-bodies showed elevated pN/pS and dN/dS when
compared to the genomic background (permuted t-test p-value for: pN/pS < 0.0001, dN/dS =
0.0084, Fig. 3, Supplemental Figs. 3 and 4), consistent with the idea of sexual trait decay.
Sexual trait decay is further supported by the examination of functional annotations for such
genes which include one gene (OG-2854) that is produced primarily in male accessory glands in
Drosophila, and at least three other genes (OG-2197, OG-663, OG-1014) that are involved in
pigment synthesis pathways (pigmentation is sexually dimorphic in *Timema* see Fig. 1B). In
contrast, genes showing convergent changes in expression in the reproductive tract and legs
did not show elevated pN/pS or dN/dS (Fig. 3, Supplemental Figs. 3 and 4), suggesting that
convergent expression changes in these tissues do not coincide with reduced purifying selection
acting on their sequences.

We conducted several additional analyses to check the robustness of our results and
corroborate our interpretations. Firstly, we examined in detail the functions of candidate gene
sets for which there was very strong evidence for convergent changes, and secondly, we used
cross-species mapping to examine expression changes occurring across the whole
transcriptome, rather than only in the subset of genes we identified as single copy orthologs

between the 10 species. Both approaches support the results from our original analyses and are
described below.

Strongly convergent candidate genes and their function

Although all the convergent genes we identified showed an overall shift in expression across the
five species-pairs, often expression change in one or two of the pairs was small (<1.2 fold
change). We defined top candidate genes as convergent genes for which the absolute $\log_2$ fold
change in expression was more than 0.25 (~1.2 fold change) for all species-pairs. Most of these
top genes showed convergent shifts in the reproductive tract (36 genes, relative to 4 and 15
genes for whole-body and legs, respectively) (Figure 4, Supplemental Table 1). The functions of
these candidate genes largely reflected the functional processes identified for the full set of
convergently expressed genes, and highlight a number of key genes potentially involved in
producing asexual phenotypes.

For the reproductive tract four genes are involved in meiotic spindle formation and centrosome
organization (OG-513, OG-1448, OG-1488, OG-314). In particular we find two genes (OG-1448,
OG-1488) belonging to a family of Elovl proteins that mediate elongation of very-long-chain fatty
acids, including an ortholog to *D. melanogaster* gene *bond*, which effects spindle formation and
has been shown to be important for meiotic, but not mitotic, cytokinesis (Szafer-Glusman et al.
2008). In particular, *D. melanogaster* males defective for *bond* commonly display two to four
nuclei in spermatids causing sterility. Female *bond* mutants are also infertile (Szafer-Glusman et
al. 2008), although the mechanism is unknown. The other two genes (OG-513, OG-314) have
roles in centrosome function, including an ortholog to *poc1* which is involved in centrosome
formation (Blachon et al. 2009). Six genes (OG-758, OG-2002, OG-1478, OG-1993, OG-2686,
OG-148) were annotated with reproduction associated terms which may be responsible for the
convergent reproductive changes we observe between asexual and sexual females.
Interestingly, one gene, OG-511, is an ortholog to glucose dehydrogenase which is important for
sperm storage in female *D. melanogaster (Bloch Qazi et al. 2003).* Finally, we find that 11
genes (OG-1195, OG-1478, OG-1841, OG-2197, OG-2808, OG-366, OG-445, OG-511, OG-
705, OG-712, OG-758, OG-810) have annotations to the nervous system. The majority of these
appear to be sensory in nature, and in particular seven are annotated with the GO term
"sensory perception of pain". Changes in these genes may represent changes associated with
female receptivity and post-mating behaviour in asexual females, which are target of

substances in the male ejaculate (Heifetz and Wolfner 2004; Sakai et al. 2009; Heifetz et al.
2014).

For leg samples three genes (OG-1651, OG-2048 and OG-1081) are involved in immune
defence. In particular orthologs of both genes (*Trx-2* and *MP1*) are involved in the activation of
melanisation in response to fungal and bacterial infection in *D. melanogaster* (Tang et al. 2006;
Jin et al. 2008). Three genes are involved in cuticle development (OG-2221, OG-2738, and OG-
2995). Orthologs of two other genes (OG-1371 and OG-2031) are involved in male specific
behaviours (male courtship behavior and inter-male aggressive behavior) in *D. melanogaster*
(*CaMKII* and *Fkbp14*) (Mehren and Griffith 2006; Edwards et al. 2009). Since these genes are
also expressed in females, changes to their expression may have resulted from the release of
intralocus sexual conflict.

Whole-body samples had only four strong candidate genes, and all either have no annotation or
have only broad GO-terms annotated. One potentially interesting gene, OG-2188, has an
ortholog (*CG12237*) that has been associated with female sterility in *D. melanogaster* (Sopko et
al. 2014). Finally, the remaining candidate genes across all tissues were either unannotated (12
genes) or only have very broad GO-terms annotated (10 genes).

Cross-species mapping

Using only the 3010 genes with 1-to-1 orthologs across all species could impact our ability to
detect convergent changes since we only use a relatively small fraction of the total number of
transcripts in each assembly (23435 to 37847; Supplemental Table 8). To investigate more
genes, we mapped reads from all samples to genes from each species which had a reciprocal-
best-blast-hit between species-pairs (which includes the 1-to-1 orthologs analysed above). This
approach generated 10 different datasets (one for each species assembly), with between 15500
and 17583 genes. After filtering out genes with low expression (using cpm, see Methods) in
each dataset, this approach allowed us to examine between 2.43-3.12 (dependent on species
and tissue) times more genes than using the 1-to-1 orthologs (Supplemental Table 8). Results
from this approach qualitatively confirmed the results found using only the 1-to-1 orthologs: the
percentage of genes showing a convergent expression ranged from 4-5% for whole-body
samples and 6-8% for the reproductive tract and leg samples, dependent on which of the

species transcriptome was used (Supplemental Table 8), and GSEA produced similar enriched

GO terms (Supplemental Tables 9-11)

Species-pair specific changes

The approach taken above allowed us to identify genes which showed convergent changes in

expression across independent transitions to asexuality. This approach will not identify

expression changes confined to a single or few species-pairs. Such changes are clearly not

convergent at the gene expression level, however, these changes could be convergent at the

functional process level, whereby species-pair specific changes in gene expression are involved

in common functional processes between species-pairs. To test this, we compared each

asexual species to its closest sexual relative and called differentially expressed (DE) genes from

each pairwise comparison.

The number of significantly DE genes between each pair varied greatly depending on species-

pair and tissue (59 to 626, Supplementary Fig. 5), with a generally greater number of genes DE

in leg tissue likely due to the smaller variation between replicates (common biological coefficient

of variation was lowest for legs: whole-body = 0.314, reproductive tract = 0.340, legs = 0.238).

There were no genes that showed overlap between all sexual-asexual species-pairs in any

tissue (Fig. 5A). Examination of overlaps between pairs of sexual-asexual species-pairs found

some overlapping genes, but these were close to the expectation by chance (Fig. 5B, for all

levels see Supplemental Table 12). The majority of the DE genes also showed a significant

interaction between species-pair and reproductive mode in the model used to identify

convergently changing genes (whole-body = 69%, reproductive tract 66%, and legs = 81%),

corroborating the finding that the vast majority of the DE genes are species-pair specific. Note

the species-pair by reproductive mode interactions do not appear to be generated by one

specific species-pair as generally genes DE between one species-pair were not DE between the

other 4 species-pairs.

The DE genes of the different species pairs are not involved in convergent functional processes.

Species-pair-specific genes were enriched for a number of GO terms, however no GO terms

were found to overlap between all pairs in any tissue (Supplemental Fig. 6). Examination of

overlaps between pairs of sexual-asexual species-pairs found some overlapping GO terms, but

these were close to the expectation by chance (Supplemental Table 13). This pattern remained

even when a more liberal approach, whereby related GO-terms were considered as a unit, was

applied (Supplemental Fig. 7A). This overall lack of overlap suggests that species-pair-specific

genes are not involved in producing convergent phenotypes, but are instead the product of

either lineage-specific selection or drift. These two processes are difficult to disentangle, but our

results are more consistent with drift rather than lineage-specific selection. Indeed, species-pair

specific genes showed similar changes in gene expression across tissues, in contrast to the

mainly tissue-specific changes uncovered for convergently changing genes. The overlap of

species-pair specific genes between tissues was significantly greater than expected by chance

(Table 1).

Finally, these results were reproduced when examining a much larger set of genes (genes with

reciprocal-best-blast-hits between species-pairs, see above) as both genes DE between each

species-pair, and their enriched GO terms, showed little overlap (Supplemental Figs 8 and 9,

and Supplemental Tables 14 and 15).

Discussion

Asexuality has convergently evolved numerous times across the tree of life, and a large body of research focuses on the reasons why sexual reproduction persists in the face of competition from asexual lineages. By contrast, the molecular underpinnings of transitions from sexual to asexual reproduction remain largely unknown (Neiman et al. 2014). In this study we examined gene expression changes associated with transitions to asexuality across five independently evolved asexual lineages, in whole-bodies, reproductive tracts and legs. The changes we observe provide, for the first time, insights into the convergent evolution of asexuality at the molecular level.

We found evidence for convergent changes in gene expression in all three tissues. Three lines of evidence suggest that these changes are a product of selection. Firstly, parallel changes across multiple independent transitions represent strong evidence of selection and thus are unlikely to be due to drift (Losos 2011; Natarajan et al. 2016). Secondly, convergent changes were primarily tissue-specific. This finding is consistent with selection, because expression changes due to drift are likely to be correlated across tissues (Blekhman et al. 2008; Liang et al. 2018). Indeed, the different functional roles of reproductive tracts and legs make it unlikely that selection would drive changes in the same genes in all tissues. Finally, the functional processes of convergently expressed genes mirror the changes observed at the phenotypic level, supporting the interpretation that these genes contribute to the convergent phenotypic changes observed between sexual and asexual females.

The overall amount of convergence is striking, particularly in the reproductive tract and legs with approximately 8% of genes showing a convergent shift in expression. Such a large amount of convergence suggests that the path from sexual reproduction to asexuality is strongly constrained, requiring changes to the same genes and biological processes in order to produce asexual phenotypes.

Convergent changes in gene expression reveal the mechanisms underlying the production of asexual offspring

Asexuality is a complex adaptation that includes two major components: the ability to produce viable asexual offspring, and secondary adaptive changes that would not have been selected

for in sexual species (e.g. the reduction of costly sexual traits). A key change necessary for the
production of asexual offspring is the ability to produce unreduced eggs (Engelstädter 2008).
Convergently expressed genes in the reproductive tract were enriched for changes in meiosis,
and in particular meiotic spindles, which are key for the proper division of cells during meiosis.
Mutations in meiotic spindles have been shown to result in unreduced meiotic products in *D.*
melanogaster, and specifically in two genes (*bond* (Szafer-Glusman et al. 2008) and *pelo*
(Eberhart and Wasserman 1995)) which show convergent changes in expression in asexual
Timema. As such we suggest that these changes may underlie the non-reduction of eggs in
asexual *Timema.* An alternative hypothesis is that since *Timema* reproduce parthenogenetically
(and thus likely no longer recombine) changes in meiotic genes represent trait decay. Although
possible, previous work has shown that, in fact, meiotic genes are not only retained in asexual
lineages without damaging mutations, but often appear to be subject to selection for changes in
expression, via duplication or differential upregulation of promoters (Srinivasan et al. 2010;
Hanson et al. 2013; Raborn et al. 2016; Warren et al. 2018). Taken together with our results, we
suggest that modifications to meiotic genes, specifically those that that disrupt meiotic cell
division, are key in overcoming a major barrier to the evolution of asexuality: the production of
unreduced eggs.

The production of unreduced eggs is not the only barrier to producing offspring asexually. In
most species, sperm transfer essential components for the formation of a functioning
centrosome (Schatten 1994; Manandhar et al. 2005). This paternal contribution represents a
second key barrier in the evolution of parthenogenesis in many systems (Engelstädter 2008).
However, in phasmids the centrosome is assembled without any contribution from sperm in both
sexual and asexual species (Marescalchi et al. 2002). This may act as pre-adaptation for
asexuality in stick insects and account, in part, for the large number of asexual stick insects.

A final barrier to asexual offspring production in many systems is egg activation. In many
species mature oocytes are arrested at a specific stage (e.g. at metaphase II in mammals, and
metaphase I in most insects), and must be activated by sperm to re-enter the cell-cycle (Stricker
1999; Engelstädter 2008; Nishiyama et al. 2010). In insects however, egg activation does not
require sperm as activation is induced by the transit through the reproductive tract (Sartain and
Wolfner 2013). Despite this, ovulation and egg-laying rates are strongly tied to mating (Eberhard
1996; Gillott 2003) meaning this signal must be modified in order for asexual insects to have
normal levels of fecundity. In insects, the signal to a female that she has successfully mated is

likely detected by sensory neurons in her reproductive tract (Yapici et al. 2008). Consistent with
this, we find changes in gene expression linked to sensory neurons in the reproductive tract of
asexual females, which may act to cue high levels of ovulation without mating. Alternatively,
these changes may represent the decay of these neurons since they are no longer needed to
detect mating events, or these changes may result from cessation of sexual conflict. Sensory
neurons in the reproductive tract are known targets of substances in the male ejaculate (Heifetz
and Wolfner 2004; Sakai et al. 2009; Heifetz et al. 2014) to induce the release of eggs and to
reduce female receptivity (Gillott 2003). This manipulation is countered by female resistance
adaptations which are likely costly, meaning that, following a transition to asexuality, there will
be selection against them.

Convergent changes in gene expression show evidence for the decay of female sexual
traits

Sexual traits in asexual females are often observed to be reduced or lost (van der Kooi and
Schwander 2014). For instance, in insects, females typically produce pheromones as a sexual
cue to attract males (Greenfield 2002), and this cue has been repeatedly reduced or lost in
several asexual species (see van der Kooi and Schwander 2014), including *Timema*
(Schwander, Crespi, et al. 2013). Such trait decay can be the result of either reduced purifying
selection acting on traits that are now selectively neutral, or selection to reduce the cost of
producing sexual traits. In asexual *Timema* reproductive decay has been primarily attributed to
selection rather than reduced purifying selection, as reproductive trait decay in very young
asexual lineages is as extensive as in old ones (Schwander, Crespi, et al. 2013).

Convergent gene expression changes underlying the decay of reproductive traits are mostly
observed in *Timema* whole-bodies. In particular, we find enrichment of terms associated with
sperm storage and sexual behaviour. Changes in the legs were less obviously associated with
reproductive trait decay, however we do find changes in genes involved in cuticle development,
pigment biosynthesis, sensory perception of touch, and changes in sexual behavior. These
changes could represent the reproductive decay of both sexual cues (e.g. cuticular
hydrocarbons and pigmentation which are both important for mate choice in insects (reviewed in
Hunt and Sakaluk 2014), and their detection (via sensory receptors on the leg (reviewed in
Dahanukar et al. 2005)). In addition, we also find changes in genes associated with sex
determination in the soma, including *sex-lethal*, a master-feminizing switch in *Drosophila* (Cline

et al. 2010) which may have a major influence the development of many sexual traits in the

legs.

Although we focus on expression, it is possible that the decay of sexual traits is also evident at

the sequence level. By examining the coding regions of genes, we found evidence for reduced

purifying selection acting on the sequence of genes showing convergent expression changes in

the whole-body. This suggests, that in some cases, the reduction of sexual traits may be

accomplished by both expression and sequence changes, which potentially act interactively to

produce a phenotypic change.

Unexpectedly, we also find changes to immune function in the legs and whole-body, the

majority of which show down-regulation in asexual females. A possible explanation for this is

that asexual females are likely to face a reduced number of immune challenges compared to

sexual females due to the elimination of sexually transmitted diseases, the costs of which can

be considerable, even shaping the evolution of many aspects of an organism's life history, such

as mate choice, mating rate, and sexual signal investment (Kokko et al. 2002; Knell and

Webberley 2004). As such we suggest asexual females may be reducing the allocation of

resources to immune function due to the absence sexually transmitted diseases. This effect

may be particularly strong in solitary species such as *Timema*, where the majority of socially

transmitted diseases come from sexual interactions.

Species-pair specific changes

In addition to convergent changes, we also identified many species-pair specific gene

expression changes. In contrast to convergent genes, species-pair specific genes showed

common shifts in expression across tissues, and inconsistent associations with functional

processes between species-pairs, that were largely unrelated to asexual phenotypes. Taken

together, these results suggest that the majority of changes we observe from a single sex-asex

species-pair comparison are due to drift rather than selection. Our findings thus highlight the

problem of drawing inferences on the causes or consequences of asexuality from the

examination of only a single transition to asexuality, whereas examining several transitions

allows us to disentangle adaptive changes and those due to drift.

Overall, we find evidence for a striking number of convergent changes across five transitions to
asexuality. The amount of molecular convergence to expect, however, is dependent on several
factors including the complexity of the phenotype, and the size of the mutational target (Martin
and Orgogozo 2013). For instance, here we find that a key change required for asexual
reproduction, the production of unreduced eggs, likely requires changes to meiotic spindle
regulation. The pathways that govern meiotic spindle regulation are relatively small in number
(Bennabi et al. 2016), meaning that only a small minority of genes are likely able to confer the
relevant changes, making the chance of convergence for this trait relatively high. In contrast, the
observed reduction of sexual traits could be produced by changes to numerous genes and
pathways (i.e. there is a large mutational target) making convergent changes for these traits
less likely. Despite this, our and previous studies examining trait loss have also demonstrated a
high amount of convergence (Whittall et al. 2006; Gross et al. 2009; Partha et al. 2017; Sackton
et al. 2018), implying that certain genes have a disproportionate role in not only the convergent
evolution of novel phenotypes, but also in their convergent loss (Martin and Orgogozo 2013;
Stern 2013).

Materials and Methods

Samples

Females for whole-body samples were collected from the field as juveniles in spring 2013. All individuals were then raised in common garden conditions (23°C, 12h:12h, 60% humidity, fed with Ceanothus cuttings) until eight days following their final molt. Prior to RNA extraction, individuals were fed with artificial medium for two days to avoid RNA contamination with gut content and then frozen at -80°C. Individuals used for tissue-specific samples were collected in spring 2014 as juveniles and raised in the same common-garden conditions as whole-body samples. For leg samples three legs were used from each individual (one foreleg, one midleg, and one hindleg). Reproductive tracts were dissected to consist of ovaries, oviducts and spermatheca. Note the same individuals were used for leg and reproductive tract samples. Collection locations for all samples are given in Supplemental Table 16.

RNA extraction and sequencing

The three biological replicates per species and tissue consisted of 1-9 individuals per replicate, which were combined prior to RNA extraction (207 individuals in 90 replicates in total; see Supplemental Table 16). RNA extraction was performed by freezing individuals in liquid nitrogen followed by addition of Trizol (Life Technologies) before being homogenized using mechanical beads (Sigmund Lindner). Chloroform and ethanol were then added to the samples and the aqueous layer transferred to RNeasy MinElute Columns (Qiagen). RNA extraction was then completed using an RNeasy Mini Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantity and quality was measured using NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific) and Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Strand-specific library preparation and single-end sequencing (100 bp, HiSeq2000) were performed at the Lausanne Genomic Technologies Facility.

The 90 libraries produced a total of just over 3 billion single-end reads. Four whole-body and six tissue-specific libraries produced significantly more reads than the average for the other samples. To reduce any influence of this on downstream analyses, these libraries were sampled down to approximately the average number of reads for whole-body or tissue-specific libraries respectively using seqtk (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk Version: 1.2-r94).

Transcriptome references

De novo reference transcriptome assemblies for each species were generated previously (Bast

et al. 2018). For our analyses we used the 3010 one-to-one orthologs present in all 10 *Timema*

species as identified by Bast et al. (2018). Identified ortholog sequences varied in length among

different species. Since length variation might influence estimates of gene expression, we

aligned orthologous sequences using PRANK (v.100802, default options) (Löytynoja and

Goldman 2005) and trimmed them using alignment_trimmer.py (Parker 2016) to remove

overhanging gaps at the ends of the alignments. If the alignment contained a gap of greater

than 3 bases then sequence preceding or following the alignment gap (whichever was shortest)

was discarded. Three genes were discarded at this stage as the trimmed length of sequence

was <300 bp. These trimmed sequences were then used as reference transcriptomes for read

mapping. Note that genes with significant Blast hits to rRNA sequences were removed prior to

mapping.

Read trimming and mapping

Raw reads were trimmed before mapping. Firstly CutAdapt (Martin 2011) was used to trim

adapter sequences from the reads. Reads were then quality trimmed using Trimmomatic v 0.36

(Bolger et al. 2014): first clipping leading or trailing bases with a phred score of <10 from the

read, before using a sliding window from the 5' end to clip the read if 4 consecutive bases had

an average phred score of <20. Following quality trimming any reads <80 bp in length were

discarded. Surviving reads from each library were then mapped separately to the reference

transcriptome using Kallisto (v. 0.43.1) (Bray et al. 2016) with the following options -l 210 -s 25 -

-bias --rf-stranded for whole-body samples and -l 370 -s 25 --bias --rf-stranded for tissue specific

samples (the -l option was different for whole-body and tissue specific samples as the fragment

length for these libraries was different).

Differential expression analysis

Expression analyses were performed using the Bioconductor package EdgeR (v. 3.18.1)

(Robinson et al. 2010) in R (v. 3.4.1) (R Core Team 2017). Analyses were done separately for

each tissue. Genes with counts per million less than 0.5 in 2 or more libraries per species were

excluded from expression analyses. Normalization factors for each library were computed using

the TMM method in EdgeR. To estimate dispersion we then fit a generalized linear model (GLM)

with negative binomial distribution with the terms species-pair, reproductive mode and their

interaction. We used a GLM likelihood ratio test to determine significance of model terms for

each gene by comparing appropriate model contrasts. P-values were corrected for multiple tests

using Benjamini and Hochberg's algorithm (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995), with statistical

significance set to 5%. Using this approach, we classified genes as convergently differentially

expressed when there was a significant effect of reproductive mode (FDR < 0.05) but no

interaction effect of species-pair by reproductive mode (FDR > 0.05). Differentially expressed

genes within each species-pair were identified using pairwise contrasts between each sexual

and asexual pair.

To determine if genes DE within each species-pair and tissue show greater than expected

number of overlapping genes we used the SuperExactTest package (v. 0.99.4) (Wang et al.

2015) in R which calculates the probability of multi-set intersections. When examining multiple

intersections p-values were multiple test corrected using Benjamini and Hochberg's algorithm

implemented in R.

To test if the observed number of convergent genes was significantly greater than expected by

chance we performed a permutation test by whereby, for the read counts of each gene, we

randomly switched the assignment of reproductive mode (sexual or asexual) within a species-

pair. Note that all biological replicates from a particular group were always assigned to the same

reproductive mode (i.e. In the event of a switch, all sexual replicates were assigned as asexual,

and vice versa). This process was repeated to produce 10,000 permuted data sets, which were

then ran through the gene expression pipeline described above to generate a distribution of the

number of convergent genes we expect to find by chance.

Go term analysis

Genes were functionally annotated using Blast2GO (version 4.1.9) (Götz et al. 2008) as follows:

sequences from each sexual species were compared with BlastX to either NCBI's nr-arthropod

or *Drosophila melanogaster* (drosoph) databases, keeping the top 20 hits with e-values $<1 \times 10^{-}$

^{3}. Interproscan (default settings within Blast2GO) was then run for each sequence, and the

results merged with the blast results to obtain GO terms. This produced two sets of functional

annotations, one derived from all arthropods and one specifically from *Drosophila melanogaster*.
The *D. melanogaster* GO term annotation generated around four times more annotations per
sequence than NCBI's nr-arthropod database. We therefore conducted all subsequent analyses
using the GO terms derived from *D. melanogaster*, but note that results using the annotations
from all arthropods were qualitatively the same (see Supplementary Fig. 7B).

We conducted gene set enrichment analyses using the R package TopGO (v. 2.28.0) (Alexa
and Rahnenfuhrer 2016) using the elim algorithm to account for the GO topology. Gene set
enrichment analyses identify enriched GO terms in a threshold-free way, by finding GO-terms
that are overrepresented at the top of a ranked list of genes. For comparisons within a species-
pair, genes were ranked by FDR; to identify enrichment of convergent genes, genes were
ranked by FDR value for reproductive mode, with the FDR value for genes that showed a
significant lineage by reproductive mode set to 1. GO terms were considered to be significantly
enriched when $p < 0.05$. Enriched GO terms were then semantically clustered using ReviGO
(Supek et al. 2011) to aid interpretation.

The significance of overlapping GO terms was determined using SuperExactTest as described
above. The hierarchical nature of GO terms generates a bias towards finding a significant
amount of overlap, since enrichment terms are non-independent. It is however possible that the
complexity of the GO term hierarchy could lead to convergent functional processes being
overlooked. For instance if a GO term is enriched in one comparison, but its parent term is
enriched in another comparison, then there would be no apparent overlap. To address this, we
also looked at the amount of 'linked overlap' of GO terms, whereby significant GO terms were
first clustered together based on parent or child terms.

For the GO term enrichment analyses of convergently differential expressed genes we used
only the annotation from *T. bartmani* as it had the most number of sequences annotated.
Annotations to each of the other species were very similar to those from *T. bartmani,* with 80%
of annotations being identical across all 5 species annotations. The remaining 20% of
sequences were typically characterized by an additional term in one or more of the species. For
comparisons within a lineage we used the annotation of the sexual species in that lineage.
Although the annotations are very similar across all ten species the small differences in
annotation could create differences in the amount of overlap observed between contrasts (e.g. if
a term is annotated to an ortholog in one annotation but not another). To examine this, we

repeated the analysis using only annotations from *T. bartmani*. This produced a virtually
identical result (Supplemental Fig. 7C) as when using the species-pair specific annotations.
614

Polymorphism and divergence

To test for differences in the rate of evolutionary divergence between gene categories, we used
dN/dS ratios for each of the one-to-one orthologs from (Bast et al. 2018). To obtain an estimate
for pN/pS reads from the whole-body libraries for each asexual species were mapped to the
reference using RSEM/bowtie2 with default parameters and fragment length mean = 200
fragment length sd = 100 (Li and Dewey 2011; Langmead and Salzberg 2012). Samtools v1.2
was then used to create an mpileup file, which was filtered with VarScan v2.3.2 (minimum
coverage = 20, minor allele frequency = 10%, and minimum average phred quality = 20) to
obtain SNPs. To identify nonsynonymous and synonymous segregating polymorphisms we
identified the n-fold degenerate positions following Li et al. (1985) from which pN, pS and
(pN/pS) could be calculated per gene. Comparison of mean pN/pS and dN/dS between
convergent and non-convergent (background) genes was conducted using a permutation t-test
(number of permutations = 10000) in R.

Cross-species mapping

All of the above analyses used only the one-to-one orthologs. To examine a larger fraction of
the transcriptome we produced species-pair references by using a reciprocal blast between the
assemblies of sexual-asexual sister species (blastN, minimum e-val = 0.00001, minimum query
coverage = 30%). Prior to this step potential contaminants were filtered from these by blasting
transcripts to local versions of the nt (using blastN, default options except task blastn,
max_target_seqs = 10) and nr (using blastX, default options except, max_target_seqs = 10)
databases (downloaded: 07/08/2016) using NCBI's blast client (v. 2.2.30+). Blast hits with an e-
value > 0.0000001 were discarded. The remaining blast hits were used to assign a phylum to
sequences if >=50% of Blast hits came from one phylum (in the event of a tie, the taxa with the
highest e-value was used as a tiebreaker). Transcripts that were assigned to a non-arthropoda
phylum were discarded (note that transcripts with no Blast hits or that blasted to mixed phyla
were retained). This filtering removed between 4-8% of transcripts (see Supplemental Table 8).
Reads of each species were then mapped to each species-pair references in the same way as

for the 1-to-1 orthologs. Differential expression analyses and GO-term enrichment analyses
were then repeated as described above.

Data

Raw reads have been deposited in the SRA. Accession codes are given in Supplementary
Table 16. Scripts for the analyses in this paper are available at:
https://github.com/DarrenJParker/Timema_convergent_gene_expression.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Swiss FNS grants PP00P3_170627, PP00P3_139013, and
CRSII3_160723. We would like to thank Chloé Larose and Bart Zijlstra for their assistance in
the field.

Author contributions

T.S., D.J.P. and K.J. designed the study. Z.D., K.J. and TS collected samples, performed
dissections and molecular work. D.J.P. analysed the data with input from J.B., T.S. and M.R.R.
D.J.P. and T.S. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

References

Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J. 2016. topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology.

Arbuthnott D, Crespi BJ. 2009. Courtship and mate discrimination within and between species
of *Timema* walking-sticks. Anim. Behav. 78:53–59.

Avise JC. 2008. Clonality: The Genetics, Ecology and Evolution of Sexual Abstinence in
Vertebrate Animals. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Bast J, Parker DJ, Dumas Z, Jalvingh KM, Tran Van P, Jaron KS, Figuet E, Brandt A, Galtier N,
Schwander T. 2018. Consequences of asexuality in natural populations: insights from stick

insects. Mol. Biol. Evol. [Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy058

Bell G. 1982. The Masterpiece of Nature: The Evolution and Genetics of Sexuality. CUP Archive

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful
approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Series B Stat. Methodol. 57:289–300.

Bennabi I, Terret M-E, Verlhac M-H. 2016. Meiotic spindle assembly and chromosome
segregation in oocytes. J. Cell Biol. 215:611–619.

Blachon S, Cai X, Roberts KA, Yang K, Polyanovsky A, Church A, Avidor-Reiss T. 2009. A
proximal centriole-like structure is present in Drosophila spermatids and can serve as a
model to study centriole duplication. Genetics 182:133–144.

Blekhman R, Oshlack A, Chabot AE, Smyth GK, Gilad Y. 2008. Gene regulation in primates
evolves under tissue-specific selection pressures. PLoS Genet. 4:e1000271.

Bloch Qazi MC, Heifetz Y, Wolfner MF. 2003. The developments between gametogenesis and
fertilization: ovulation and female sperm storage in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev. Biol.
256:195–211.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120.

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq
quantification. Nat. Biotechnol. 34:525–527.

Burt A. 2000. Perspective: Sex, recombination, and the efficacy of selection—Was Weismann
right? Evolution 54:337–351.

Cline TW, Dorsett M, Sun S, Harrison MM, Dines J, Sefton L, Megna L. 2010. Evolution of the
Drosophila feminizing switch gene *Sex-lethal*. Genetics 186:1321–1336.

Dahanukar A, Hallem EA, Carlson JR. 2005. Insect chemoreception. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.
15:423–430.

Eads BD, Tsuchiya D, Andrews J, Lynch M, Zolan ME. 2012. The spread of a transposon
insertion in *Rec8* is associated with obligate asexuality in *Daphnia*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.
S. A. 109:858–863.

Eberhard W. 1996. Female Control: Sexual Selection by Cryptic Female Choice. Princeton
University Press

Eberhart CG, Wasserman SA. 1995. The *pelota* locus encodes a protein required for meiotic
cell division: an analysis of G2/M arrest in *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. Development
121:3477–3486.

Edwards AC, Zwarts L, Yamamoto A, Callaerts P, Mackay TFC. 2009. Mutations in many genes
affect aggressive behavior in *Drosophila melanogaster*. BMC Biol. 7:29.

Engelstädter J. 2008. Constraints on the evolution of asexual reproduction. Bioessays 30:1138–
1150.

Gillott C. 2003. Male accessory gland secretions: modulators of female reproductive physiology
and behavior. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 48:163–184.

Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, Robles M, Talón M,
Dopazo J, Conesa A. 2008. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the
Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 36:3420–3435.

Greenfield MD. 2002. Signalers and Receivers. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Gross JB, Borowsky R, Tabin CJ. 2009. A novel role for *Mc1r* in the parallel evolution of
depigmentation in independent populations of the cavefish *Astyanax mexicanus*. PLoS
Genet. 5:e1000326.

Hanson SJ, Schurko AM, Hecox-Lea B, Welch DBM, Stelzer C-P, Logsdon JM Jr. 2013.
Inventory and phylogenetic analysis of meiotic genes in monogonont rotifers. J. Hered.
104:357–370.

Heifetz Y, Lindner M, Garini Y, Wolfner MF. 2014. Mating regulates neuromodulator ensembles
at nerve termini innervating the *Drosophila* reproductive tract. Curr. Biol. 24:731–737.

Heifetz Y, Wolfner MF. 2004. Mating, seminal fluid components, and sperm cause changes in
vesicle release in the *Drosophila* female reproductive tract. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
101:6261–6266.

Hunt J, Sakaluk SK. 2014. Mate choice. The evolution of insect mating systems. Oxford Univ.
Press, Oxford, UK:129–158.

Innes DJ, Hebert PDN. 1988. The origin and genetic basis of obligate parthenogenesis in
Daphnia pulex. Evolution 42:1024–1035.

Jaquiéry J, Stoeckel S, Larose C, Nouhaud P, Rispe C, Mieuzet L, Bonhomme J, Mahéo F,
Legeai F, Gauthier J-P, et al. 2014. Genetic Control of Contagious Asexuality in the Pea
Aphid. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004838.

Jin LH, Shim J, Yoon JS, Kim B, Kim J, Kim-Ha J, Kim Y-J. 2008. Identification and functional
analysis of antifungal immune response genes in *Drosophila*. PLoS Pathog. 4:e1000168.

Johnson BR, Atallah J, Plachetzki DC. 2013. The importance of tissue specificity for RNA-seq:
highlighting the errors of composite structure extractions. BMC Genomics 14:586.

Knell RJ, Webberley KM. 2004. Sexually transmitted diseases of insects: distribution, evolution,
ecology and host behaviour. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 79:557–581.

Kokko H, Ranta E, Ruxton G, Lundberg P. 2002. Sexually transmitted disease and the evolution
of mating systems. Evolution 56:1091–1100.

van der Kooi CJ, Matthey-Doret C, Schwander T. 2017. Evolution and comparative ecology of
parthenogenesis in haplodiploid arthropods. Evolution Letters 1:304–316.

van der Kooi CJ, Schwander T. 2014. On the fate of sexual traits under asexuality. Biol. Rev.
Camb. Philos. Soc. 89:805–819.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods

9:357–359.

Lehtonen J, Jennions MD, Kokko H. 2012. The many costs of sex. Trends Ecol. Evol. 27:172–
178.

Lewis WM Jr. 1987. The cost of sex. In: Stearns SC, editor. The evolution of sex and its
consequences. Verlag: Birkhauser. p. 33–57.

Liang C, Musser JM, Cloutier A, Prum RO, Wagner GP. 2018. Pervasive correlated evolution in
gene expression shapes cell and tissue type transcriptomes. Genome Biol. Evol. [Internet].
Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evy016

Li B, Dewey CN. 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or
without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics 12:323.

Li WH, Wu CI, Luo CC. 1985. A new method for estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous
rates of nucleotide substitution considering the relative likelihood of nucleotide and codon
changes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2:150–174.

Losos JB. 2011. Convergence, adaptation, and constraint. Evolution 65:1827–1840.

Löytynoja A, Goldman N. 2005. An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences
with insertions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:10557–10562.

Lynch M, Seyfert A, Eads B, Williams E. 2008. Localization of the genetic determinants of
meiosis suppression in Daphnia pulex. Genetics 180:317–327.

Manandhar G, Schatten H, Sutovsky P. 2005. Centrosome reduction during gametogenesis and
its significance. Biol. Reprod. 72:2–13.

Marescalchi O, Zauli C, Scali V. 2002. Centrosome dynamics and inheritance in related sexual
and parthenogenetic *Bacillus* (Insecta Phasmatodea). Mol. Reprod. Dev. 63:89–95.

Martin A, Orgogozo V. 2013. The Loci of repeated evolution: a catalog of genetic hotspots of
phenotypic variation. Evolution 67:1235–1250.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.journal 17:10–12.

Maynard Smith J. 1971. The origin and maintenance of sex. In: Williams GC, editor. Group
Selection. Chicago: Aldine Atherton. p. 163–175.

Mehren JE, Griffith LC. 2006. Cholinergic neurons mediate CaMKII-dependent enhancement of
courtship suppression. Learn. Mem. 13:686–689.

Natarajan C, Hoffmann FG, Weber RE, Fago A, Witt CC, Storz JF. 2016. Predictable
convergence in hemoglobin function has unpredictable molecular underpinnings. Science
354:336–339.

Neiman M, Lively CM, Meirmans S. 2017. Why Sex? A Pluralist Approach Revisited. Trends
Ecol. Evol. [Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.05.004

Neiman M, Sharbel TF, Schwander T. 2014. Genetic causes of transitions from sexual

reproduction to asexuality in plants and animals. J. Evol. Biol. 27:1346–1359.

Nishiyama T, Tachibana K, Kishimoto T. 2010. Cytostatic arrest: post-ovulation arrest until
fertilization in metazoan oocytes. Oogenesis: The Universal Process:357–387.

Nosil P, Crespi BJ, Gries R, Gries G. 2007. Natural selection and divergence in mate preference
during speciation. Genetica 129:309–327.

Otto SP. 2009. The evolutionary enigma of sex. Am. Nat. 174 Suppl 1:S1–S14.

Parker DJ. 2016. fasta_tools v1.2. Zenodo. https://zenodo.org/record/59775 [Internet]. Available
from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.162913

Partha R, Chauhan BK, Ferreira Z, Robinson JD, Lathrop K, Nischal KK, Chikina M, Clark NL.
2017. Subterranean mammals show convergent regression in ocular genes and enhancers,
along with adaptation to tunneling. Elife [Internet] 6. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.25884

Raborn RT, Spitze K, Brendel VP, Lynch M. 2016. Promoter Architecture and Sex-Specific
Gene Expression in *Daphnia pulex*. Genetics 204:593–612.

R Core Team. 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available from:
https://www.R-project.org/

Riesch R, Muschick M, Lindtke D, Villoutreix R, Comeault AA, Farkas TE, Lucek K, Hellen E,
Soria-Carrasco V, Dennis SR, et al. 2017. Transitions between phases of genomic
differentiation during stick-insect speciation. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1:0082.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26:139–140.

Sackton TB, Grayson P, Cloutier A, Hu Z, Liu JS, Wheeler NE, Gardner PP, Clarke JA, Baker
AJ, Clamp M, et al. 2018. Convergent regulatory evolution and the origin of flightlessness in
palaeognathous birds. bioRxiv [Internet]:262584. Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/02/08/262584

Sakai T, Kasuya J, Kitamoto T, Aigaki T. 2009. The *Drosophila* TRPA channel, Painless,
regulates sexual receptivity in virgin females. Genes Brain Behav. 8:546–557.

Sartain CV, Wolfner MF. 2013. Calcium and egg activation in *Drosophila*. Cell Calcium 53:10–
15.

Schatten G. 1994. The centrosome and its mode of inheritance: the reduction of the centrosome
during gametogenesis and its restoration during fertilization. Dev. Biol. 165:299–335.

Schwander T, Arbuthnott D, Gries R, Gries G, Nosil P, Crespi BJ. 2013. Hydrocarbon
divergence and reproductive isolation in *Timema* stick insects. BMC Evol. Biol. 13:151.

Schwander T, Crespi BJ. 2009. Multiple direct transitions from sexual reproduction to apomictic
parthenogenesis in *Timema* stick insects. Evolution 63:84–103.

Schwander T, Crespi BJ, Gries R, Gries G. 2013. Neutral and selection-driven decay of sexual
traits in asexual stick insects. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280:20130823.

Schwander T, Henry L, Crespi BJ. 2011. Molecular evidence for ancient asexuality in *Timema*
stick insects. Curr. Biol. 21:1129–1134.

Schwander T, Vuilleumier S, Dubman J, Crespi BJ. 2010. Positive feedback in the transition
from sexual reproduction to parthenogenesis. Proc. Biol. Sci. 277:1435–1442.

Sopko R, Foos M, Vinayagam A, Zhai B, Binari R, Hu Y, Randklev S, Perkins LA, Gygi SP,
Perrimon N. 2014. Combining genetic perturbations and proteomics to examine kinase-
phosphatase networks in *Drosophila* embryos. Dev. Cell 31:114–127.

Srinivasan DG, Fenton B, Jaubert-Possamai S, Jaouannet M. 2010. Analysis of meiosis and cell
cycle genes of the facultatively asexual pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera:
Aphididae). Insect Mol. Biol. 19 Suppl 2:229–239.

Stern DL. 2013. The genetic causes of convergent evolution. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14:751–764.

Stricker SA. 1999. Comparative biology of calcium signaling during fertilization and egg
activation in animals. Dev. Biol. 211:157–176.

Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. 2011. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists
of gene ontology terms. PLoS One 6:e21800.

Szafer-Glusman E, Giansanti MG, Nishihama R, Bolival B, Pringle J, Gatti M, Fuller MT. 2008.
A role for very-long-chain fatty acids in furrow ingression during cytokinesis in *Drosophila*
spermatocytes. Curr. Biol. 18:1426–1431.

Tang H, Kambris Z, Lemaitre B, Hashimoto C. 2006. Two proteases defining a melanization
cascade in the immune system of *Drosophila*. J. Biol. Chem. 281:28097–28104.

Templeton AR. 1982. The Prophecies of Parthenogenesis. In: Dingle H, Hegmann JP, editors.
Evolution and Genetics of Life Histories. New York: Springer. p. 75–101.

Wang M, Zhao Y, Zhang B. 2015. Efficient test and visualization of multi-set intersections. Sci.
Rep. 5:16923.

Warren WC, García-Pérez R, Xu S, Lampert KP, Chalopin D, Stöck M, Loewe L, Lu Y, Kuderna
L, Minx P, et al. 2018. Clonal polymorphism and high heterozygosity in the celibate genome
of the Amazon molly. Nat Ecol Evol [Internet]. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0473-y

West SA, Lively CM, Read AF. 1999. A pluralist approach to sex and recombination. J. Evol.
Biol. 12:1003–1012.

Whittall JB, Voelckel C, Kliebenstein DJ, Hodges SA. 2006. Convergence, constraint and the
role of gene expression during adaptive radiation: floral anthocyanins in *Aquilegia*. Mol.
Ecol. 15:4645–4657.

Yapici N, Kim Y-J, Ribeiro C, Dickson BJ. 2008. A receptor that mediates the post-mating switch
in *Drosophila* reproductive behaviour. Nature 451:33–37.

858
859

861 **Table 1 |** Overlap of differentially expressed genes between different tissue types. Number of
862 genes expected by chance given in parentheses. P-values are from a fisher's exact test
863 corrected for multiple tests. Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tbi = *T. bartmani*, Tce =
864 *T. cristinae*, Tps = *T. poppensis*, Tcm = *T. californicum*, Tpa = *T. podura*, Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms =
865 *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.

	Reproductive tract & legs	Whole-body & legs	Whole-body & reproductive tract
Convergent genes	22 (16) $p = 0.14$	7 (5) $p = 0.21$	4 (4) $p = 0.65$
Species-pair (Tbi-Tte)	62 (24) $p = 1.30 \times 10^{-14}$	68 (29) $p = 4.57 \times 10^{-14}$	25 (7) $p = 4.53 \times 10^{-9}$
Species-pair (Tce-Tms)	88 (47) $p = 1.36 \times 10^{-10}$	48 (9) $p = 6.19 \times 10^{-25}$	47 (14) $p = 3.86 \times 10^{-16}$
Species-pair (Tcm-Tsi)	42 (11) $p = 4.45 \times 10^{-15}$	24 (5) $p = 4.64 \times 10^{-11}$	19 (3) $p = 4.64 \times 10^{-11}$
Species-pair (Tpa-Tge)	153 (134) $p = 1.99 \times 10^{-2}$	102 (60) $p = 1.22 \times 10^{-9}$	86 (54) $p = 8.75 \times 10^{-07}$
Species-pair (Tps-Tdi)	56 (20) $p = 1.31 \times 10^{-15}$	59 (37) $p = 6.08 \times 10^{-5}$	32 (7) $p = 7.81 \times 10^{-14}$

Figures

Figure 1 | A. Phylogeny of described *Timema* species (redrawn from (2017) with asexual species added from (2011)). Sexually reproducing species are shown in red, independently derived asexual lineages in blue. Our study used the five asexual species (for *T. douglasi* only the southern lineage was used) and their sexual sister species. **B.** Sexual dimorphism in Timema (*T. knulli*).

Figure 2 | Heatmaps of genes showing convergent gene expression changes between sexual and asexual females for whole-bodies, reproductive tract, and legs. Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.

887
888 **Figure 3** | pN/pS ratios (A) and dN/dS ratios (B) for convergently expressed genes versus all
889 other genes expressed in that tissue for whole-bodies, reproductive tracts and legs. Significance
890 is indicated by asterisks (** < 0.01, *** < 0.001).
891
892

Reproductive tract

N = 36

Legs

N = 15

Log₂ fold change

Tte Tms Tdi Tsi Tge

Tte Tms Tdi Tsi Tge

Figure 4 | Heatmaps of candidate convergent gene expression changes between sexual and asexual females for the reproductive tract and legs. Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.

901
Figure 5 | A. Venn-diagrams showing the number differentially expressed (DE) genes between
sexual and asexual females that are shared among species-pairs for whole-body, reproductive
tract, and legs for 10 species orthologs (FDR < 0.05). B. Matrices showing pairwise overlap of
DE genes between sex-asex species with the number of genes expected by chance given in
parentheses. Colours represent a significantly greater overlap than expected by chance (red,
FDR < 0.001, orange < 0.05). The phylogeny shows the relationships between asexual species
(from (2011)). Species-pair names are abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T.*
monikensis, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.
Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1 | Number of genes expected to show a convergent expression pattern by chance (assessed by assigning reproductive mode randomly within species pairs for each gene for 10,000 permuted datasets). The observed number of convergent genes is indicated by the red dashed line. P-values refer to the probability of observing a number of convergent genes greater-than or equal-to the observed value.

917
918 **Supplementary Figure 2** | Convergently changing genes are largely tissue-specific. Heatmaps
919 of genes showing convergent gene expression changes between sexual and asexual females
920 for whole-bodies, reproductive tract, and legs, including their expression in other tissues.
921 Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T.*
922 *douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.
923

924
925 **Supplementary Figure 3 |** pN/pS ratios for convergently expressed genes versus all other
926 genes expressed in that tissue for whole-bodies, reproductive tracts and legs for each asexual
927 species. Significance is indicated by asterisks (*** < 0.001) from a Wilcoxon test.
928
929
930

931
932 **Supplementary Figure 4 |** dN/dS ratios for convergently expressed genes versus all other
933 genes expressed in that tissue for whole-bodies, reproductive tract and legs for each asexual
934 species. No comparisons were significantly different (Wilcoxon test, p > 0.05).
935
936
937

938
939 **Supplementary Figure 5** | Number of DE genes (FDR < 0.05) between sexual and asexual
940 females for each species-pair for whole-body, reproductive tract, and legs for the 10 species
941 orthologs. Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tbi = *T. bartmani*, Tce = *T. cristinae*, Tps
942 = *T. poppensis*, Tcm = *T. californicum*, Tpa = *T. podura*, Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*,
943 Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.
944
945

946
Supplementary Figure 6 | A. Venn-diagrams showing the number of enriched GO-terms
between sexual and asexual females that are shared among species-pairs for whole-body,
reproductive tracts, and legs for 10 species orthologs (FDR < 0.05). B. Matrices showing
pairwise overlap of enriched GO-terms between sexual and asexual females with the number of
GO terms expected by chance given in parentheses. Colours represent a significantly greater
overlap than expected by chance (red, FDR < 0.001, orange < 0.05). The phylogeny shows the
relationships between asexual species (from Schwander et al (2011)). Species names are
abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T.*
shepardi, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.
956

Supplementary Figure 7 | Venn-diagrams showing the number of enriched GO-terms (p <
0.05) for differences between sexual and asexual females that are shared among species-pairs
in the whole-body, reproductive tract, and legs when: **A** GO terms were first clustered together
based on parent or child terms, **B** only NCBI's nr annotation was used, **C** only the *T. bartmani*
annotation. Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi
= *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.

975
976 **Supplementary Figure 8** | Ratio of observed to expected amount of overlap for genes when
977 reads were mapped to the whole transcriptome for each species. Note boxes are only shown
978 when the expected overlap was >= 1. Species names are abbreviated as follows: Tte = *T.*
979 *tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T. genevievae*.

986
987 **Supplementary Figure 9** | Ratio of observed to expected amount of overlap of enriched GO-
988 terms when reads were mapped to the whole transcriptome for each species. Note boxes are
989 only shown when the expected overlap was >= 1. Species names are abbreviated as follows:
990 Tte = *T. tahoe*, Tms = *T. monikensis*, Tdi = *T. douglasi*, Tsi = *T. shepardi*, and Tge = *T.*
991 *genevievae*.
992
993