Evaluation of 1, 4, 7-Triazacyclononane (TACN) as a potential Metallo-β-Lactamase inhibitor in Enterobacteriaceae: Restoring the Activity of β-lactams

Anou M. Somboro[1, 2*], Daniel G. Amoako[1, 2], John Osei Sekyere[3], Hezekiel M. Kumalo[4], René Khan[4], Linda A. Bester[2], Sabiha Y. Essack[1]

[1]Antimicrobial Research Unit, School of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa;

[2]Biomedical Resource Unit, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal; Durban, South Africa;

[3] Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa;

[4]Discipline of Medical Biochemistry, School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

*Corresponding author: Anou Dit Moise Somboro, Antimicrobial Research Unit, Discipline of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X54001, Durban, South Africa.

Email: anou.somboro@gmail.com, Tel: +27(0) 312607671.

Running title: Inhibition of MBL by TACN

Abstract:

Metallo-β-lactamase (MBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae are of grave clinical concern particularly as there are no MBL inhibitors approved for clinical use. The discovery and development of MBL inhibitors to restore the efficacy of available β-lactams is thus imperative. We investigated a zinc-chelating moiety, 1, 4, 7-triazacyclononane (TACN) for its inhibitory activity against clinical carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE). Minimum inhibitory concentrations, minimum bactericidal concentrations, serum effect, fractional inhibitory concentrations index and time-kill kinetics were performed using broth

micro-dilution techniques according to the CLSI guidelines. Enzyme kinetic parameters and cytotoxicity effects of TACN were determined using spectrophotometric assays. The interactions of the enzyme-TACN complex were investigated by computational studies. Meropenem (MEM) regained its activity against carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, with the MIC decreasing to 0.03 mg/L in the presence of TACN. TACN-MEM combinations showed bactericidal effect with MIC/MBC ratio of ≤4 and synergistic activity was observed. Human serum effects on the MICs were insignificant and TACN was found to be non-cytotoxic at concentrations above the MIC values. Computational studies predicted that TACN inhibit MBLs by targeting their catalytic active site pockets. This was supported by its inhibition constant $K_i = 0.044$ µM and inactivation constant $k_{inact} = 0.0406$ (min^{-1}) demonstrating that TACN inhibits MBLs efficiently and holds promise as a potential inhibitor.

Importance of study

Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)-mediated infections remain a huge public health concern and have been reported as critical in the World Health Organization's Priority Pathogens List for the Research and Development of New Antibiotics. CRE produce enzymes such as Metallo-β-lactamases (MBLs), which inactivate β-lactam antibiotics. Combination therapies involving a β-lactam antibiotic and a β-lactamase inhibitor remain a major treatment option for infections caused by β-lactamase-producing organisms. Currently, no MBL inhibitor-β-lactam combination therapy is clinically available for MBL-positive bacterial infections. Hence, developing efficient molecules capable of inhibiting these enzymes remain a way forward to overcome this phenomenon. TACN played a significant role in the inhibitory activity of the tested molecules against CREs by potentiating the carbapenem. This study demonstrated that TACN inhibits MBLs efficiently and holds promise as a potential MBLs inhibitor to help curb the global health threat posed by MBL-producing CREs.

Keywords: TACN, metallo-β-lactamase inhibitor, binding affinity, β-lactams, Enterobacteriaceae

Introduction

β-lactams are broad-spectrum antibiotics with relatively minimal toxicity, making them antibiotics of choice for many bacterial infections(1). However, the surge in resistance to β-lactams in Gram-negative bacteria is affecting the management of infections worldwide(2). β-lactams are rendered ineffective through different mechanisms, including reduced membrane permeability through porin down-regulation and efflux, target site modification, and most importantly, the production of β-lactamases, enzymes that hydrolyze β-lactams(3).

Metallo-β-lactamases (MBLs) are Ambler class B β-lactamases with zinc ions at their active sites. The zinc ions orient a hydroxide nucleophile to attack the carbonyl on a β-lactam ring(4, 5). Sub-class B1 MBLs are the most clinically relevant among the class B MBLs, they possess a binuclear active site, which can contain either one or two Zn (II) ions and they hydrolyze all β-lactam antibiotics in clinical use with the exception of monobactams(6). MBLs such as New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM), Verona Integron-Borne Metallo-β-Lactamase (VIM) and Imipenemase (IMP) mediate high-level resistance to antibiotics in carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)(7). CRE have been identified across the globe, including in all African regions(8–10) and have been ranked as critical in the World Health Organization's Priority Pathogens List for the Research and Development of New Antibiotics(11). It is therefore imperative to invest in the discovery and development of MBL inhibitors (MBLIs) for combination therapy with carbapenems.

Potential MBLIs investigated to date have shown substantial *in vitro* activity but cannot be used clinically due to the simultaneous inhibition of human metallo-enzymes or their cytotoxicity effects(12, 13). MBLIs such as aspergillomarasmine A (AMA)(13), Ca-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Ca-EDTA)(12), 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (NOTA) and 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA)(14) are the few non-toxic MBLIs identified to date and act by chelating the zinc ions(15).

We investigated the inhibitory activity of 1, 4, 7-triazacyclononane (TACN) against well-characterized

sub-class B1 MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae. TACN is a cyclic organic compound within the NOTA

and DOTA series and is derived from cyclononane by replacing three equidistant CH_2 groups with NH

groups(16) (Figure 1). We previously demonstrated NOTA and DOTA as potent MBLIs, and they

showed great inhibitory activity against MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae with neither toxic nor

hemolytic effects at effective concentrations *in vitro*(14). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first

time TACN has been investigated for its inhibitory activity against MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae to

potentiate the activity of meropenem (MEM).

Materials and methods:

Materials

MEM, TACN, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), human serum, cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth

(CAMHB) and Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA), phosphate buffered saline (PBS), β-lactamase inhibitor

screening kit (MAK222) and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were

purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Purified NDM-1 was obtained from RayBiotech,

Norcross, GA 30092, USA. Immortalized liver carcinoma (HepG2) cells and cell culture consumables

were procured from Highveld Biologicals (Johannesburg, South Africa) and Whitehead Scientific

(Johannesburg, South Africa) respectively.

Bacterial isolates

Thirty-three (33) clinical CRE strains were used for this study; these were obtained from Lancet

laboratories (private sector, South Africa) (Table 2)(17). Seventeen (17) carbapenem-resistant

Enterobacteriaceae reference isolates (including 15 MBLs and 2 SBLs) obtained from France (Institut

Pasteur de France) were also included in this study (Table 1)(18). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC BAA

1706 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)

were susceptible reference strains used as quality control. All bacterial isolates were fully characterized

in previous studies for their phenotypic and genotypic resistance profiles(17, 18). The isolates were

sub-cultured twice from freezer stocks onto MHA plates and incubated at 37 °C prior to the

experiments. All subsequent liquid subcultures were derived from colonies isolated from the agar plates

and were grown in broth medium (CAMHB).

Methods

Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs), Minimum Bactericidal Concentrations (MBCs)

MICs/MBCs determinations were performed according to CLSI guidelines and protocols described by

Keepers *et al*(19, 20). Briefly, two-fold dilutions of MEM and TACN, from 0.015 to 16 mg/L and 1 to 64

mg/L respectively, were made with CAMHB in 96-well microtiter plates using the checkerboard

method(13). A 0.5 McFarland-standardized bacterial inoculum was suspended into 200 µl CAMHB in

each microtiter well. The plates were then incubated for 18-22 h at 37°C under aerobic conditions. The

MIC was determined as the lowest concentration at which there was no visible growth. Afterward, an

aliquot of 100 µL was taken from the MIC assay wells in which no visible growth was observed and

inoculated onto MHA plates for MBC determination by incubating at 37 °C for 24 h. The MBC was

determined as the lowest concentration of the test compound that resulted in a 99.9% decrease in

bacterial viable count on the agar plates. Control wells were filled with the amount of solvent(s) used in

dissolving drug candidates, CAMHB and bacteria. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Serum effects on the MIC

The effects of serum on the MIC of TACN-MEM combination were performed using the above-

described MIC method. In this assay however, sterile-filtered serum from human male AB plasma, was

added to the broth (CAMHB) to prepare 50% human serum in the final culture broth. Reference CRE

strains carrying different enzymes (NDM-1, NDM-4, VIM-1, IMP-1 and IMP-8) were used to conduct this

experiment.

 Synergistic activity

 The synergistic effect between TACN and MEM was determined by the combination assay as

 described previously with few modifications(21). Briefly, two-fold serial dilutions of MEM were titrated

 with a fixed concentration (8 mg/L) of TACN. This 8 mg/L TACN was the lowest concentration that did

 not inhibit the growth of bacteria alone but considerably potentiated the activity of MEM. MEM and

 TACN were also tested individually to determine their MICs. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC)

 index was calculated as follows: FIC of MEM (MIC of MEM + TACN/MIC of MEM alone) + FIC of TACN

 (MIC of MEM + TACN/MIC of TACN alone). The effect/activity of a combination with a FIC index of ≤

 0.5 was considered synergistic while a FIC index of 1 was defined as additive and a FIC index of > 4

 was characterized as antagonistic.

 Time-kill assays

 Time-kill kinetic studies were conducted referring to the previously reported methods, including those

 described by CLSI (19, 20). *E. coli* producing bla_{NDM-1}, *E. coli* carrying bla_{VIM-1} as well as *E. cloacae*

 producing bla_{IMP-1} were selected for this assay. Briefly, freshly prepared colonies were re-suspended in

 10 mL CAMHB and incubated in an orbital shaking incubator (37°C, 180 rpm) for 1 to 2 h. Cultures

 were then adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard (approximately $1.5x\ 10^8$ CFU/mL) and further diluted

 1:20 in CAMHB so that the starting inoculum was approximately $1.5x\ 10^6$ CFU/mL. MEM was added to

 the prepared bacterial suspensions at final concentrations corresponding to 1x, 4x or 8x the MIC of

 MEM whilst in combination with TACN at a fixed concentration of 8 mg/L. A growth control with no

 antibiotic was includedin the assay. The initial bacterial load was determined from the growth control

 test tube instantly after dilution step and was recorded as the CFU count at time zero. The test tubes

 were incubated in an orbital shaking incubator at 37°C and 180 rpm, and bacterial cell viability counts

 were performed at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 24 hours by removing 100 µL of the culture, diluting as appropriate,

 and plating on MHA. The agar plates were incubated at 37°C for at least 22 h. Colonies were counted,

and the results were recorded as the number of CFU/mL. A ≥ 3-$\log_{10}$ decrease in the number of CFU/mL was considered bactericidal. The assays were executed in triplicate.

Cytotoxicity Assay

HepG2 cells were maintained at 37°C in 10% complete culture medium (CCM; Eagles minimum essential medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum, antibiotics and L-glutamine) until confluent. Following trypsinization, 1.5×10^4 cells/well were allowed to adhere to a 96-well plate overnight. The cells were treated with seven dilutions of TACN (16 – 1024 mg/L) prepared in CCM. Untreated cells (CCM only) served as the control. After 24 hours the treatment was replaced with 20µl MTT solution (5mg/mL MTT in PBS) and 100µl CCM for 4 hours. The resulting formazan product was solubilized in 100µl DMSO (1 hour) and the absorbance at 570nm/690nm was determined (BioTek µQuant plate reader; BioTek Instruments Inc., USA). The average absorbance values were used to calculate cell viability ($\frac{Absorbance\ of\ treatment}{Absorbance\ of\ control} \ x\ 100$) and determine the IC_{50} (GraphPad Prism$^{®}$ v5.01). All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Enzymatic assays: Enzyme inhibition and inactivation kinetic parameters

The inhibitory effect of TACN was monitored by observing the rate of hydrolysis of the chromogenic nitrocefin, using purified preparations of sub-class B1 MBL enzyme (NDM-1) obtained from Raybiotech (Norcross, GA 30092, USA). The assays were performed using the β-lactamase inhibitor screening kit's (MAK222) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 5 nM of NDM-1 was pre-incubated with various concentrations of TACN varying from 0 - 50 µM at 25°C in a final experimental volume of 100 µL of β-lactamase assay buffer prior to adding nitrocefin. The nitrocefin hydrolysis was monitored at 25°C by following the absorbance variation at 490nm using a plate reader spectrophotometer (SPECTROstar^{Nano} BMG Labtech, Germany). The residual activity was determined and compared to that from the pre-incubation of the enzyme with the same procedure, but without TACN. The effect of

TACN-mediated inactivation of NDM-1 was determined by plotting the natural logarithm (LN)-linear plot

of the percentage of the remaining enzyme residual activity versus the pre-incubation time, and the

observed initial inactivation rate constant (K_{obs}) was calculated from the pseudo-first-order kinetic slope.

The rate constant for enzyme inactivation (k_{inact}) and the inactivation constant (K_I) were calculated

(GraphPad Prism5; Software Inc., San Diego, CA) according to the following hyperbolic equation as

previously described by Wong *et al.* (22):

$$Kobs = \frac{Kinact - [I]}{Ki + [I]}$$

Where K_{obs} is the initial rate constant for TACN inactivation and [I] is the initial inhibitor concentration.

The preliminary mode of inhibition of NDM-1 by TACN was also assessed using the β-lactamase

inhibitor screening kit reagents. Briefly, 500 nM of purified NDM-1 was pre-incubated   with 20 µM of

TACN at 25°C for 20 min, followed by rapid dilution with the addition of 30 µM of nitrocefin; after which,

changes in absorbance were monitored at 490 nm for 30 min. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Molecular modelling

System preparation

The crystal structures (PDB ID: 3Q6X, and 5ACU) were retrieved from the RSCB Protein Data Bank

(https://www.rcsb.org/pdb/). The missing residues were added using a graphical user interface of

Chimera, a molecular modelling tool(23). A ligand interaction map was generated using the web version

of PoseView(24).

Molecular Docking

Docking calculations were obtained using AutoDock Vina software(25). Geister partial charges were

assigned and the AutoDock atom types were defined using the AutoDock graphical user interface

supplied by MGL tools(26). The docked conformations were generated using the Lamarckian genetic

algorithm (LGA)(27). The reports for each calculation were in Kcal/mol. This technique has been

validated in previous studies(28). The grid box was defined using Autodock Vina with the grid

parameters being X= 26, Y= 18 and Z= 20 for the dimensions and X= -2.502, Y= -5.255 and Z= 32.723

for the center grid box. After using Autodock Vina, the 10 conformations with lowest binding energy was

chosen for molecular docking.

Molecular dynamics simulation

Missing parameters for the ligand in the Cornell et al. force field were created (29) in the absence of

available parameters. Optimization of the ligands was first performed at the HF/6-31G* level with the

Gaussian 03 package. The restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) procedure(30) was used to

calculate the partial atomic charges. General amber force GAFF(31) force field parameters and RESP

partial charges were assigned using the ANTECHAMBER module in the Amber14 package. Hydrogen

atoms of the proteins were added using the Leap module in Amber12. The standard AMBER force field

for bioorganic systems (ff03) was used to define the enzyme parameters. Counter ions were added to

neutralize the enzyme charge. The system was enveloped in a box of equilibrated TIP3P water

molecules with 8 Å distance around the enzyme. Cubic periodic boundary conditions were imposed and

the long-range electrostatic interactions were treated with the particle-mesh Ewald method (32)

implemented in Amber12 with a non-bonding cut-off distance of 10 Å.

Initial energy minimization, with a restraint potential of 2 kcal/mol Å^2 applied to the solute, was carried

out using the steepest descent method in Amber12 for 1000 iterations followed by conjugate gradient

protocol for 2000 steps. The entire system was then freely minimized for 1000 iterations. Harmonic

restraints with force constants 5 kcal/mol Å^2 were applied to all solute atoms during the heating phase.

A canonical ensemble constant number (N), volume (V), and temperature (NVT) MD was carried out for

50 ps, during which the system was gradually annealed from 0 to 300 K using a Langevin thermostat

with a coupling coefficient of 1/ps. Subsequently, the system was equilibrated at 300 K with a 2 fs time

step for 100 ps whilst maintaining the force constants on the restrained solute. The SHAKE

algorithm(33) was employed on all atoms covalently bonded to a hydrogen atom during equilibration

and production runs. A production run was performed for 2 ns in an isothermal isobaric (NPT) ensemble

using a Berendsen barostat(34) with a target pressure of 1 bar and a pressure coupling constant of 2

ps with no restraints imposed. The coordinate file was saved every 1 ps and the trajectory was

analyzed every 1 ps using Ptraj module implemented in Amber14.

Results

MICs, MBCs, MIC/MBC ratio

TACN successfully restored the activity of MEM against characterized clinical isolates of CRE

expressing acquired sub-class B1 carbapenemases and MBL reference strains at concentrations as

low as 0.03 mg/L. Different concentrations of TACN considerably enhanced the efficacy of MEM

against the MBL-producing bacteria (varying from 2-64 mg/L). The lowest concentration of TACN at

which most of the MEM activity was restored was 8 mg/L. All subsequent experiments were therefore

conducted with a fixed concentration of 8 mg/L. None of the tested serine β-lactamases (SBLs) types

(*K. pneumonia* OXA-48 and *E. cloacae* KPC-2) were affected by TACN, substantiating the substrate

spectrum of this compound. MBCs of MEM were determined in the presence of TACN at a fixed

concentration and the MBC/MIC ratio of the combination ranged from 1- to 4-fold against both

reference and clinical CRE isolates used in this study, with the exception of two clinical isolates, which

had 8x the MIC values (Table 2). *K. pneumoniae* ATCC BAA 1706 and *E. coli* ATCC 25922 had no

changes in their MIC values when challenged with MEM alone and MEM+TACN.

Serum effect on MIC

231 The MIC values of MEM-TACN combinations in the presence of 50% human serum varied from ±1- to

232 4-fold dilutions compared to that of MEM-TACN in the absence of serum indicating that the inhibition

233 properties of the combination were not affected by the presence of human serum (Table 1).

Synergistic effect of TACN and MEM on CRE reference strains

Synergy between TACN and MEM against CRE isolates was investigated. In most of the cases, the minimum concentrations of TACN alone required to inhibit the MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae were ≥128mg/L (Table 1). MEM alone exhibited inhibitory concentrations of ≥8mg/L, indicating carbapenem-resistant phenotype of these pathogens[20]. Challenging these CREs with a combined regimen of MEM-TACN potentiated the effectiveness of MEM. The calculated FIC index of MEM-TACN was ≤0.5, indicating a synergistic effect (Table 1).

Time-kill kinetics

The combination of MEM-TACN caused a considerable decrease in the CFU/mL relative to the initial bacterial density of approximately 10^6 CFU/mL) over the increasing time points (0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 24 h) against the tested isolates at different concentrations of the MIC values evaluated in this study (1x, 4x, 8x MICs) (Figure 2). A $3\log_{10}$ decrease in the CFU/ml was observed when cells were treated with MEM-TACN at 1x, 4x and 8x MICs at 4 h. The time-kill kinetics results indicated that the TACN-MEM combinations had bactericidal activity against the selected CRE isolates.

Cytotoxicity

The MTT assay was used to measure TACN cytotoxicity (0 – 1024mg/L) in HepG2 cells after 24-hour exposure. A decrease in cell viability was associated with an increase in TACN concentration (Figure 3) and the regression analysis yielded an IC_{50} of 56 mg/L. However, this IC_{50} of TACN was far higher than the fixed MIC value of 8 mg/L used in this study.

Enzymatic assays

TACN efficiently inhibited the activity of NDM-1 in a concentration- and time-dependent manner illustrated by Figure 4A where the effect of increasing concentrations of TACN (0 – 50 µM) on the time-dependent decrease in NDM-1 activity. The kinetic parameters were constructed by nonlinear

257   regression of the K_{obs} versus TACN concentration plot shown in figure 4B. TACN was found to be a

258   potent time-dependent inhibitor with inhibition constant K_i and the inactivation constant K_{inact} of

259   0.044±018 µM and 0.0406 ±0.007 (min^{-1}) respectively.

Computational simulations

The fluctuations of enzyme-ligand complex and ligand-associated movements were analyzed to

ascertain their structural stability and movements during the course of simulation. These movements,

which ensure their functional reliability and working efficiency, are important for functionality and

existence inside the living system. The overall systems showed appreciable stability as depicted in

Figure 6. The root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of the entire systems complex remained stable

during the course of simulation of 100 ns.

The calculated binding free energy of TACN to NDM-1 and VIM-2 by the Molecular

Mechanics/Poisson−Boltzmann Surface Area *(MM/GBSA) protocol is shown in Table 3. The calculated

contributions favoring the inhibitors' binding include the electrostatic interactions (Δ Eele), which were -

9.4229 kcal/mol for NDM-1$_{TACN}$ complex. The intermolecular van der Waals energy (Δ Evdw) for NDM-

1$_{TACN}$ was -46.0898 kcal/mol, also favoring binding. The total binding free energies (Δ Gbind) for NDM-

1$_{TACN}$ was -39.1603 kcal/mol. A similar trend was also observed with the complex VIM-2$_{TACN}$ as shown

in table 3. The results suggest that the theoretical calculations of the binding free energies support the

experimental findings in term of potentiality of TACN to inhibit the MBL enzymes.

To gain further understanding of the active-site residue interactions with the compounds, the simulated

complexes were observed with LigPlot protein-ligand interaction software. It was observed that the

ligand is attached with the active site residues in all complexes as shown in Figure 7. For TACN,

His250, Asp124 residues provided H-bonding capability whilst His122, Asn220 and Trp93 roof the

hydrophobic active-site pocket. These residues are providing the hydrophobic surface area whilst

maintaining H-bonding capability.

Discussion

This study evaluated the TACN-MEM combination against clinical and reference CRE isolates and identified TACN as a promising MBLI. The affinity of metal chelators for binding/sequestering metal ions(35) such as zinc at MBLs' active sites makes them potential inhibitors that can be developed for treating CRE infections. TACN is a metal chelating agent that exhibited no cytotoxic effect at effective concentrations.

We showed that TACN significantly reduced the MIC of MEM, reinstating the carbapenem's efficacy against thirty-three (33) South African clinical isolates and 15 MBL-producing reference strains. MEM MICs decreased by 4- to >512-fold changes in the presence of TACN against all the tested isolates, except for SBL producers and susceptible ATCC strains. The SBL-producing *E. cloacae* KPC-2 and *K. pneumoniae* OXA-48 only exhibited 2 or no fold decrease in MEM MICs, showing that the inhibitory effect of TACN was specific for zinc-based β-lactamases. In our previous study NOTA and DPA exhibited similar activity against MBLs at 4 and 8 mg/L(14, 36). Metal chelators are known for their strong affinity toward metal ions(35). Class B MBLs possess zinc at their active sites, thus enabling the TACN to bind or sequester the zinc ions and inhibit the enzymes' β-lactam hydrolysis activity.

MEM regained its pharmacological properties when combined with TACN. Most of the tested isolates exhibited MBC/MIC ratio of ≤ 4, except for 2 clinical isolates, *S. marcescens and E. cloacae,* where the MBC/MIC ratio was 8 (Table 2). Based on the MBC/MIC ratio values, MEM demonstrated its known bactericidal activity in the presence of TACN and time-kill kinetic studies confirmed the bactericidal property of MEM-TACN combinations. The MEM-TACN combination was synergistic, with an FIC index ranging from ≤ 0.005 to 0.25 for all the CRE reference strains used in the study. Aspergillomarasmine A, a metal chelating agent, was also found synergistic in combination with MEM toward MBLs(37).

The results also showed that serum had no substantial effect on the MICs of TACN-MEM combinations. The minimal or no effects of serum on the activity of MEM was attributed to MEM's low protein-binding

properties(38). An investigation of the effects of serum on TACN or MEM's MICs may allow speculation

on the probable *in vivo* properties of the molecules at pre-clinical stage. Furthermore, TACN did not

affect the pharmacological property of MEM, suggesting that TACN could have low plasma protein-

binding properties either with or without MEM.

The MTT assay measures the ability of cells to reduce the MTT salt to a formazan product (39). This

reaction can only occur in living cells with healthy mitochondria, as it is reliant on NADPH-dependent

oxidoreductase enzymes. The cell viability obtained therefore reflects the number of viable cells

present. TACN exposure resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in cellular metabolic activity and

viability in HepG2 cells (Figure 3). However, the IC_{50} obtained was ≥4-fold higher than the TACN

inhibitory dose (8mg/L) and the cell viability was 91% at the upper limit of the inhibitory dose. This

cytotoxicity analysis on TACN found the compound possessing tolerable toxicity on HepG2 cell line at

the applied concentration, hence showing potential for development as MBLI for clinical use.

An experimental assay together with computational simulation demonstrated good binding affinity

between ligand and enzyme complexes as well as potential inhibitory properties. It is known that Class

B β-lactamases are susceptible to inhibition by chelating agents during interactions between the

chelators (ligands) and the metal ions after ions are released from the proteins (MBL) active site or

while still associated with the enzyme complex(40). TACN possessed an apparent potential inhibition

property toward NDM-1 enzyme like Aspergillomarasmine A(37) as shown in figure 5. Overall, the

calculated variation of RMSD did not show considerable structural shifts, suggesting the stability of the

enzyme structure and strength of ligand attachment inside the active site pocket. The binding free

energy analysis showed that the intermolecular van der Waals and the electrostatic interaction were the

forces driving both systems. However, the total solvation energy (ΔGsol) was unfavorable for all the

complexes. Even though hydrogen bonds between the ligand and receptors were observed using

LigPlot and chimera (Figure 7), their influences could not compensate for the large desolvation

penalties during the interaction, thereby leading to the total solvation energy (ΔGsol). Similar results

have been reported previously where inhibition was dominated by the van der Waals interactions (41,

42).

This study showed that TACN inhibits Class B1 MBL-producing CREs with minimal cytotoxic effect at

effective concentrations. TACN successfully potentiated the antimicrobial properties of MEM as should

thus be further investigated as a MBLI for clinical development, in combination with carbapenems.

Molecular modeling and docking studies demonstrated the mechanistic pathway of TACN to inhibit

NDM-1 and VIM-2 sustaining a stable enzyme-ligand complex with the caveat that this is only

simulation of a prediction and not likely to represent the actual binding mode. In-depth analysis and

optimization of the metal-chelating agent (TACN), employing a multidisciplinary research approach

involving advanced computational simulations, biochemical, microbiological, bio-informatics are needed

in order to provide more evidence.

Author contributions:

Co-conceptualized the study: AMS, DGA, JOS, LAB and SYE. Performed the experiments: AMS, DGA,

HMK and RK. Analyzed the data: AMS, DGA, HMK and RK. Vetting of the results: All. Wrote the paper:

AMS. Undertook critical revision of the manuscript: All

Acknowledgment: We are grateful to the South African National Research Foundation (**Grant No.:**

85595 awarded to Professor S.Y. Essack as Incentive Funding for Rated Researchers) and College of

Health Sciences, UKZN, for funding this study.

Funding information: The College of Health Sciences, University of Kwa-Zulu Natal, Durban, South

Africa and the South African National Research Foundation (NRF) supported this study.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest

References

1.   Wilke MS, Lovering AL, Strynadka NCJ. 2005. Beta-Lactam antibiotic resistance: A current

structural perspective. Curr Opin Microbiol 8:525–533.

2.   Gaude G, Hattiholli J. 2013. Rising bacterial resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics: Can there be

solutions? J Dr NTR Univ Heal Sci 2:4–9.

3.   Heesemann J. 1993. Mechanisms of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics. Infection 21:S4-9.

4.   Hall BG, Salipante SJ, Barlow M. 2003. The metallo-β-lactamases fall into two distinct

phylogenetic groups. J Mol Evol 57:249–254.

5.   Garau G, García-Sáez I, Bebrone C, Anne C, Mercuri P, Galleni M, Frère JM, Dideberg O.

2004. Update of the standard numbering scheme for class B β-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents

Chemother 48:2347–2349.

6.   Walsh TR, Toleman MA, Poirel L, Nordmann P. 2005. Metallo-β-lactamases: The quiet before

the storm? Clin Microbiol Rev 18:306–325.

7.   Falconer SB, Reid-Yu SA, King AM, Gehrke SS, Wang W, Britten JF, Coombes BK, Wright GD,

Brown ED. 2016. Zinc Chelation by a Small-Molecule Adjuvant Potentiates Meropenem Activity

in Vivo against NDM-1-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. ACS Infect Dis 1:533–543.

8.   Gupta N, Limbago BM, Patel JB, Kallen AJ. 2011. Carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae:

Epidemiology and prevention. Clin Infect Dis 53:60–67.

9.   Sekyere JO, Govinden U, Essack S. 2016. The Molecular Epidemiology and Genetic

Environment of Carbapenemases Detected in Africa. Microb Drug Resist 22:59–68.

10.   Osei Sekyere J. 2016. Current State of Resistance to Antibiotics of Last-Resort in South Africa:

A Review from a Public Health Perspective. Front Public Heal 4:209.

11.   World Health Organization. 2017. Global Priority List Of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria To Guide

Research, Discovery, And Development Of New Antibiotics.

12.   Yoshizumi A, Ishii Y, Livermore DM, Woodford N, Kimura S, Saga T, Harada S, Yamaguchi K,

376   Tateda K. 2013. Efficacies of calcium-EDTA in combination with imipenem in a murine model of

377   sepsis caused by Escherichia coli with NDM-1 Beta-lactamase. J Infect Chemother 19:992–995.

378   13.   King AM, Reid-Yu SA, Wang W, King DT, De Pascale G, Strynadka NC, Walsh TR, Coombes

379   BK, Wright GD. 2014. Aspergillomarasmine A overcomes metallo-β-lactamase antibiotic

380   resistance. Nature 510:503–506.

381   14.   Somboro AM, Tiwari D, Bester LA, Parboosing R, Chonco L, Kruger HG, Arvidsson PI,

382   Govender T, Naicker T, Essack SY. 2014. NOTA: A potent metallo-β-lactamase inhibitor. J

383   Antimicrob Chemother 70:1594–1596.

384   15.   McGeary RP, Tan DT, Schenk G. 2017. Progress toward inhibitors of metallo-β-lactamases.

385   Future Med Chem 9:673–691.

386   16.   Weighardt P. & Chaudhuri K. 1987. The Chemistry of 1,4,7-Triazacyclononane and Related

387   Tridentate Macrocyclic Compounds. Prog Inorg Chem 35:329–436.

388   17.   Osei Sekyere J, Amoako DG. 2017. Genomic and phenotypic characterisation of

389   fluoroquinolone resistance mechanisms in Enterobacteriaceae in Durban, South Africa. PLoS

390   One 12:e0178888.

391   18.   Nordmann P, Poirel L, Dortet L. 2012. Rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing

392   enterobacteriaceae. Emerg Infect Dis 18:1503–1507.

393   19.   Keepers TR, Gomez M, Celeri C, Nichols WW, Krause KM. 2014. Bactericidal activity, absence

394   of serum effect, and time-kill kinetics of ceftazidime-avibactam against Beta-lactamase-

395   producing Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

396   58:5297–5305.

20.   Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2017. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing: 27th edition Informational Supplement M100-S27. CLSI, Wayne, PA,

USA.

21.   Pankey GA, Ashcraft DS. 2005. In vitro synergy of ciprofloxacin and gatifloxacin against

ciprofloxacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:2959–2964.

22.   Wong SG, Fan PW, Subramanian R, Tonn GR, Henne KR, Johnson MG, Lohr MT, Wong BK.

2010. Bioactivation of a novel 2-methylindole-containing dual chemoattractant receptor-

homologous molecule expressed on T-helper type-2 cells/D-prostanoid receptor antagonist

leads to mechanism-based CYP3A inactivation: glutathione adduct characterization and

prediction of in vivo drug-drug interaction. Drug Metab Dispos 38:841–850.

23.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. 2004.

UCSF Chimera—A Visualization System for Exploratory Research and Analysis. J Comput

Chem 25:1605–1612.

24.   Stierand K, Rarey M. 2010. PoseView - molecular interaction patterns at a glance. J

Cheminform 2:50.

25.   Trott O, Olson AJ. 2010. Software news and update AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and

accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J

Comput Chem 31:455–461.

26.   Sanner MF. 1999. Python: a programming language for software integration and development. J

Mol Graph Model 17:57–61.

27.   Huey R, Morris GM, Olson AJ, Goodsell DS. 2007. Software news and update a semiempirical

free energy force field with charge-based desolvation. J Comput Chem 28:1145–1152.

28. Kumalo HM, Soliman ME. 2016. Per-Residue Energy Footprints-Based Pharmacophore

Modeling as an Enhanced In Silico Approach in Drug Discovery: A Case Study on the

Identification of Novel β-Secretase1 (BACE1) Inhibitors as Anti-Alzheimer Agents. Cell Mol

Bioeng 9:175–189.

29. Cornell WD, Cieplak P, Bayly CI, Gould IR, Merz KM, Ferguson DM, Spellmeyer DC, Fox T,

Caldwell JW, Kollman PA. 1995. A Second Generation Force Field for the Simulation of

Proteins, Nucleic Acids, and Organic Molecules. J Am Chem Soc 117:5179–5197.

30. Cieplak P, Cornell WD, Bayly C, Kollman PA. 1995. Application of the multimolecule and

multiconformational RESP methodology to biopolymers: Charge derivation for DNA, RNA, and

proteins. J Comput Chem 16:1357–1377.

31. Wang J, Wolf RM, Caldwell JW, Kollman PA, Case DA. 2004. Development and testing of a

general Amber force field. J Comput Chem 25:1157–1174.

32. Essmann U, Perera L, Berkowitz ML, Darden T, Lee H, Pedersen LG. 1995. A smooth particle

mesh Ewald method. J Chem Phys 103:8577–8593.

33. Ryckaert JP, Ciccotti G, Berendsen HJC. 1977. Numerical integration of the cartesian equations

of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. J Comput Phys

23:327–341.

34. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, DiNola A, Haak JR. 1984. Molecular

dynamics with coupling to an external bath. J Chem Phys 81:3684–3690.

35. Zhang Y, Hong H, Engle JW, Bean J, Yang Y, Leigh BR, Barnhart TE, Cai W. 2011. Positron

Emission Tomography Imaging of CD105 Expression with a 64Cu-Labeled Monoclonal

Antibody: NOTA Is Superior to DOTA. PLoS One 6:e28005.

36.   Azumah R, Dutta J, Somboro AM, Ramtahal M, Chonco L, Parboosing R, Bester LA, Kruger

442   HG, Naicker T, Essack SY, Govender T. 2016. In vitro evaluation of metal chelators as potential

443   metallo- β -lactamase inhibitors. J Appl Microbiol 120:860–867.

444   37.   King AM, Reid-Yu SA, Wang W, King DT, De Pascale G, Strynadka NC, Walsh TR, Coombes

445   BK, Wright GD. 2014. Aspergillomarasmine A overcomes metallo-β-lactamase antibiotic

446   resistance. Nature 510:503–506.

447   38.   Craig WA, Welling PG. 1977. Protein Binding of Antimicrobials: Clinical Pharmacokinetic and

448   Therapeutic Implications. Clin Pharmacokinet 2:252–268.

449   39.   Mosmann T. 1983. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to

450   proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods 65:55–63.

451   40.   Viswanatha T, Marrone L, Goodfellow V, Dmitrienko GI. 2008. Assays for beta-lactamase

452   activity and inhibition. Methods Mol Med 142:239–260.

453   41.   Liang Z, Li L, Wang Y, Chen L, Kong X, Hong Y, Lan L, Zheng M, Guang-Yang C, Liu H, Shen

454   X, Luo C, Li KK, Chen K, Jiang H. 2011. Molecular basis of NDM-1, a new antibiotic resistance

455   determinant. PLoS One 6:e23606.

456   42.   Meini M-R, Llarrull L, Vila A. 2014. Evolution of Metallo-β-lactamases: Trends Revealed by

457   Natural Diversity and in vitro Evolution. Antibiotics 3:285–316.

459 **Table 1:** Inhibitory activity of TACN and MEM alone and in combination against reference strains of

460 carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Bacterial species	Metallo-β-lactamase (MBLs) produced (Class B)	MIC (mg/L)			MBC (mg/L)	MIC/MBC (mg/L)	Serum effect (mg/L)		FIC index
		MEM	TACN	{MEM-TACN}	MEM-TACN8*	MEM-	MEM-		

							TACN8	TACN8/Serum
Zinc-metallo-β-lactamases								
E. coli	NDM-1	128	1024	{0.125-4} {0.125-8} {0.06-16}	0.5	2	0.25	0.008
E. cloacae	NDM-1	16	>1024	{0.125-2} {0.06-4} {0.03-8}	0.125	2	0.125	≤0.005
C. freundii	NDM-1	8	1024	{0.25-4} {0.125-8} {0.125-16}	0.125	1	0.25	0.023
P. rettgeri	NDM-1	64	>1024	{8-4} {2-8}	2	1	2	≤0.035
P. stuartii	NDM-1	16	>1024	{2-4} {2-8}	2	1	4	≤0.253
E. coli	NDM-4	128	1024	{0.125-8} {0.06-16}	0.125	1	1	0.008
E. coli	VIM-1	32	1024	{0.25-4} {0.125-8} {0.06-16}	0.125	1	0.25	0.011
K. pneumoniae	VIM-1	32	512	{2-4} {0.125-8} {0.06-16}	0.5	4	8	0.019
E. cloacae	VIM-1	8	128	{1-4} {1-8}	1	1	2	0.187
E. coli	VIM-2	8	128	{0.06-4} {0.03-8}	0.06	1	0.125	0.066
E. cloacae	IMP-1	32	512	{0.25-4} {0.125-8}	0.5	2	2	0.019
K. pneumoniae	IMP-1	32	512	{8-4} {4-8}	4	1	16	0.141
E. coli	IMP-1	16	512	{1-4} {0.06-8} {0.03-16}	0.125	2	0.25	0.019
E. cloacae	IMP-8	8	512	{0.5-4} {0.125-8} {0.03-16}	0.25	2	0.125	0.031
K. pneumoniae	IMP-8	16	1024	{8-4} {4-8} {2-16}	8	2	4	0.250
Serine-metallo-β-lactamases								
K. pneumoniae	OXA-48	8	128	{8-8} {8-16} {4-64}	NA**	NA	NA	NA
E. cloaeae	KPC-2	32	256	{32-32} {32-64}	NA	NA	NA	NA
Susceptible ATCC strains								
K. pneumoniae ATCC BAA 1706	Susceptible strain	0.5	1024	NE***	NA	NA	NA	NA
E. coli ATCC 25922	Susceptible strain	0.03	1024	NE	NA	NA	NA	NA

461 *Fixed concentration of TACN (8 mg/L)

462 **Not applicable

463 *** No inhibitory effect

465 **Table 2**: Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBC) of
466 TACN against South African clinical isolates.

Bacterial species and isolates	MBLs produced (Class B)	Other β-lactamase (s)	MIC (mg/L)	MBC (mg/L)	MIC/MBC ratio (mg/L)
			MEM with TACN	MEM with TACN	MEM with TACN
K. pneumoniae (N=11)					
D(UNN40_S4)	NDM-1	DHA-1, CTX-M-15, SHV-1, OXA-1	0.5	2	4
C(UNN39_S3)	NDM-1	SHV-1, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, DHA-1	0.5	2	4

Bacterial species and isolates	MBLs produced (Class B)	Other β-lactamase (s)	MIC (mg/L) MEM with TACN	MBC (mg/L) MEM with TACN	MIC/MBC ratio (mg/L) MEM with TACN
I(UNN45_S9)	NDM-1	OXA-1, CTX-M-15, SHV-99, TEM-1B	4	8	2
J(UNN46_S10)	NDM-1	OXA-1, CTX-M-15, SHV-1&-28, DHA-7	0.5	2	4
53_S27	NDM-1	OXA-1, DHA-1, CTX-M-15, SHV-1&-28,	4	16	4
12_S5	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, SHV-1&-28, DHA-1, OXA-1	2	8	4
13_S6	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, SHV-1&-28, DHA-7	4	16	4
20_S11	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, TEM-1A, OXA-9, SHV-1&-28, OXA-1&-9	0.125	1	4
29_S13	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, SHV-1&-28, OXA-1&-9, TEM-1A	0.125	1	4
32_S15	NDM-1	DHA-1, CTX-M-15, SHV-1&-28, OXA-1	0.125	1	4
21_S12	NDM-1	TEM-1A, SHV-1&-28, CTX-M-15, OXA1&-9	0.5	1	2
S. marcescens (N=10)					
B(UNN38_S2)	NDM-1	OXA-10, OXA-1, CTX-M-15, SCO-1, TEM-133, TEM-198	0.125	1	4
E(UNN41_S5)	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, SCO-1, TEM-198, OXA-10, OXA - 1	1	2	2
G(UNN43_S7)	NDM-1	OXA-10, TEM-1B	1	4	4
K(UNN47_S11)	NDM-1	TEM-1B, OXA-1, OXA-10, SCO-1	2	16	8
L(UNN48_S12)	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, OXA-10, OXA -1, SCO-1, TEM-1	2	4	2
7_S3	NDM-1	TEM- 1B, OXA-10	2	8	4
56_S29	NDM-1	NONE	0.5	2	4
59_S30	NDM-1	TEM-1B, CTX-M-3	1	2	2
67_S33	NDM-1	OXA-10, CTX-M -15, OXA-1, TEM-1, SCO-1	4	16	4
71_S36	NDM-1	CTX-M-11, TEM -1B, OXA -10, OXA-1, SCO-1	2	2	1
E. cloacae (N=9)					
A(UNN37_S1)	NDM-1	CTX-M-3, ACT-3	0.5	1	2
F (UNN42_S6)	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, TEM -1B, OXA-1, ACT-7	0.5	2	4
H(UNN44_S8)	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, TEM -1B, OXA-1, ACT-14	1	1	1
16_S9	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, OXA-1, ACT-4,	0.125	2	8
43_S20	NDM-1	TEM -1B, SHV-12, MIR-1	2	4	2
49_S24	NDM-1	CTX-M-15, TEM-1B, OXA-1, ACT-3, CMY-77	0.5	1	2
51	NDM-1	CTX-M-3, TEM-1B, CMY-77	0.25	1	4
55_S28	NDM-1	TEM -1B, ACT-4, SHV-12	4	2	2
63_S31	NDM-1	TEM-1B, ACT-1	0.5	2	4
E.coli (N=1)					
10	NDM-5	CMY-42	2	4	2
C. freundii (N=1)					

Bacterial species and isolates	MBLs produced (Class B)	Other β-lactamase (s)	MIC (mg/L)	MBC (mg/L)	MIC/MBC ratio (mg/L)
			MEM with TACN	MEM with TACN	MEM with TACN
48	NDM-1	CTX-M-3, TEM-1B, CMY-77	0.25	2	4
K.oxytoca (N=1)					
69	NDM-1	CTX-M-3, TEM-1B, OXY-14	0.25	2	4

468   **Table 3**: MM/GBSA binding free energy profile for NMD1$_{TACN}$, and VIM-2$_{TCN}$

Binding free energy profile					
Systems	**Evdw**	**Eelec**	**ΔGsolv**	**ΔGgas**	**ΔGbind**
NDM-1$_{TACN}$ complex	-46.0898	-9.4229	16.3523	-55.5126	-39.1603
VIM-2$_{TACN}$ complex	-50.9300	-9.9143	19.6230	-63.4178	-41.2199

ΔG$_{bind}$- binding energy; ΔE_{ele} – electrostatic; ΔE_{vdw} – van der waals; ΔE_{gas} - gas-phase energy; ΔE_{sol} – solvation energy

List of figures

Figure 1: Chemical structure of 1, 4, 7-triazacyclononane (TACN)

Figure 2: Time kill kinetics at varying concentrations of MEM and fixed concentration of TACN (8

mg/mL)**.** A) *E. coli* producing NDM-1; B). *E. coli* producing VIM-1; C) *E. cloacae* producing IMP-1 were

challenged at 1x, 4x and 8x MIC levels with MEM-TACN combination. The surviving CFU were plated

at different time intervals (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24 hours). All data points represent the average results for 3

independent experiments.

Figure 3: TACN induced a dose-dependent decrease in HepG2 cell viability following treatment for 24-

hour treatment. Higher TACN concentrations resulted in increased cell death.

Figure 4: A) Time- and concentration-dependent inhibition of NDM-1 by TACN, B) The logarithmic plot

of kobs of TACN against TACN concentrations.

Figure 5: Residual activity after rapid dilution of enzyme-inhibitor complex. The absorbance changes

from the co-incubation of NDM-1 with no inhibitor (●) and NDM-1 + TACN (□) are shown. Slope of the

initial rate represents the hydrolytic activity of NDM-1.

Figure 6: Time-dependent RMSD plots of A) NDM1$_{TACN}$, B) VIM-2$_{TACN}$. The complexes are represented

in black and the free enzymes in red.

Figure 7: Binding mechanism, illustrated by the interaction of docked ligand (TACN) at the active side

of NDM-1 (A) and VIM-2 (B).

A

B