1 **Genomic signatures of honey bee association in an acetic acid symbiont**

3 Eric A. Smith[a] and Irene L. G. Newton[a]#

5 [a]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, USA

7 Running head: Evolutionary signatures in an acetic acid symbiont

9 #Address correspondence to Irene L. G. Newton: irnewton@indiana.edu

ABSTRACT

Honey bee queens are central to the success and productivity of their colonies; queens are the

only reproductive members of the colony, and therefore queen longevity and fecundity can

directly impact overall colony health. Recent declines in the health of the honey bee have

startled researchers and lay people alike as honey bees are the most important pollinators in

agriculture. Honey bees are important pollinators of many major crops and add billions of

dollars annually to the US economy through their services. One factor that may influence queen

and colony health is the microbial community. Although honey bee worker guts have a

characteristic community of bee-specific microbes, the honey bee queen digestive tracts are

colonized predominantly by a single acetic acid bacterium: *Parasaccharibacter apium.* This

bacterium is related to flower-associated microbes such as *Saccharibacter floricola,* and initial

phylogenetic analyses placed it as sister to these environmental bacteria. We used comparative

genomics of multiple bee-associated strains and the flower-associated *Saccharibacter* to

identify genomic changes associated with the ecological transition to bee association. We

identified several genomic differences in the bee-associated strains, including a complete

CRISPR/Cas system. Many of the changes we note here are predicted to confer upon them the

ability to survive in royal jelly and defend themselves against mobile elements, including

phages. Our results are a first step towards identifying potential benefits provided by the honey

bee queen microbiota to the matriarch of the colony.

IMPORTANCE

The health of the world's most important agricultural pollinator, the honey bee, depends on the health of the matriarchs: honey bee queens. These queens are colonized by a specific microbe not found in associated workers but instead, present in the diet given to queen bees. Here we identified genomic signatures of the transition to bee association in this microbe, showing that the queen-associated microbe has adapted to the acids present in honey and royal jelly through the acquisition of acid resistance genes and an enzyme important in de-acidification. Our results highlight potential benefits provided to the queen by her microbial associates.

INTRODUCTION

The honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) is extremely important economically because of the pollination services it provides to numerous agricultural crops. As a result, there is increasing interest in determining how the microbiome supports and influences bee function. While a honey bee colony is made up of bees with diverse roles, or castes, the majority of studies on bee microbiomes have focused on workers specifically. The microbial community of worker bees consists of eight to ten core bacterial species (1-6). The characterization of these groups led to speculation about their role in honey bee health and whether or not they provision nutrients (5) or assist in the breakdown of plant-derived carbohydrates, as is the case in other insect-microbe interactions (7-9). There has also been speculation as to the role of the microbiome in resistance to pathogens, as microbial communities have been shown to protect the bumble bee (*Bombus terristris*) from the parasite *Crithidia bombi* (10). Honey bee-associated microbes interact with each other in diverse ways both *in vitro* and *in vivo*,

suggesting that they may interact syntrophically within workers (2, 11). While these studies

focused on honey bee workers are intriguing, it is surprising that only recently was the

microbiome of queen bees throughout development recently characterized (12).

Interestingly, the microbial community associated with queen bees is vastly different

than associated workers and is comprised of a large percentage of acetic acid bacteria, a group

of bacteria present only at very small percentages in workers. One of the primary bacteria that

differentiate queens from workers is the recently described *Parasaccharibacter apium* (13). *P.*

apium is in the family *Acetobacteraceae* and occupies defined niches within the hive, including:

queen guts, nurse hypopharyngeal glands, nurse crops, and royal jelly, and is only rarely found

outside of these areas (14, 15). Evidence suggests that it might play a role in protecting

developing larvae and queens from pathogens such as *Nosema* (13, 16). Given that *P. apium*

makes up a large proportion of the queen gut microbiome, it is possible that it plays an

important role in queen nutrition, protection from pathogens, and possibly modulating queen

fertility, fecundity, and longevity (17).

P. apium is part of a clade of acetic acid bacteria (AAB, a group within the family

Acetobacteraceae) that contains both free-living and bee-associated members. Comparative

genomics, then, can give us insights into the changes associated with the transition to bee-

association in this clade. This comparison can also help elucidate what sets *P. apium* apart from

closely related species and the role(s) it might be playing in the hive environment. To that end,

we used the genomes of four recently announced *P. apium* strains (18), an unpublished *P.*

apium genome assembly, as well as four genomes of the closely-related genus *Saccharibacter*

(19-21), and a genome of the bumblebee symbiont, *Bombella intestini* (22), to begin to tease

apart the unique capabilities of *P. apium*. Insights gained here could prove critical in

determining the factors responsible for maintaining queen health in colonies and could

ultimately lead to the development of interventions to improve queen health and mitigate the

detrimental impacts of queen failure on this economically critical species.

RESULTS

Acetic acid bacteria phylogeny

To robustly determine where the *Parasaccharibacter apium* strains and *Saccharibacter*

spp. are placed among the AAB, we constructed a maximum likelihood phylogeny using 16S

rRNA sequences derived from each of the 17 AAB genera. Our final tree largely agrees with

previously published ABB phylogenies (Figure 1) (23-26). Bootstrap support along the backbone

of the tree is low, likely owing to the inclusion of sequences from multiple strains of the same

species with little sequence divergence. Sequences were largely grouped into monophyletic

clades by genus, with one exception; the genus *Gluconacetobacter* is split into a paraphyletic

grade, with each branch dominated by a different *Gluconacetobacter* species (clades 1-5, Figure

1A). *Parasaccharibacter* plus *Saccharibacter* comes out as sister to the *Gluconobacter* clade,

and the clade encompassing all three of these genera (clade 6, Figure 1A) is sister to

Acetobacter. Within the *Parasaccharibacter*/*Saccharibacter* clade, the flower-associated *S.*

floricola is sister to the remaining clade (clade 7, Figure 1B), comprised of a mix of taxonomic

classifications from *Parasaccharibacter*, *Saccharibacter*, and *Bombella* species. The inconsistent

taxonomic nomenclature of the microbes within this clade is typified by the *Parasaccharibacter*

classification, which is applied to one *P. apium* strain (AS1), which clusters with a handful of

uncharacterized *Acetobacteraceae* bacteria (clade 8, Figure 1B), to the exclusion of all the other

P. apium and *Saccharibacter* strains. It should be noted that, while the genome for *P. apium*

AS1 is on GenBank, little else is known about this strain. Further taxonomic ambiguity is

highlighted by the presence of a member of a completely separate genus, *Bombella apis,*

grouped squarely among *P. apium* and *Saccharibacter* strains, indicating that it is likely a

member of one of those two genera. However, branch lengths within this clade are generally

short, especially among the main *Parasaccharibacter*/*Saccharibacter* clade (clade 9, Figure 1B),

making it difficult to resolve exact relationships.

Core ortholog phylogeny of Parasaccharibacter apium *and* Saccharibacter *strains*

121   We used OrthoMCL (v2.0.9 (27)) to define clusters of orthologous genes (COGs) using

the *Parasaccharibacter*, *Saccharibacter*, and *Bombella* genomes listed in Table 1; *Gluconobacter*

oxydans H24 was used as an outgroup (see Supplementary Information for more detail; Table

S1). To better resolve the phylogenetic relationships between *P. apium*, *Saccharibacter* spp.,

and *Bombella intestini*, we constructed a second maximum likelihood phylogeny using aligned

and concatenated amino acid sequences of the 1,259 single-copy COGs. This robustly supported

amino acid phylogeny broadly agrees with our previously constructed 16S phylogeny (Figure 2).

In the core ortholog tree, *B. intestini* interrupts the monophyly of honey bee-associated

Saccharibacter spp plus *P. apium*. Notably, this tree groups *B. intestini* more closely to the

majority of the *P. apium* strains, while *P. apium* AS1 is more distantly related. Similar to the 16S

tree, we again see quite short branch lengths within the bee-associated AAB species,

particularly among those in clade D, which includes all *P. apium* and *Saccharibacter* genomes

except *P. apium* AS1 and *S. floricola* (Figure 2).

Given the discrepancy between nomenclature and phylogeny and the short branch

lengths, we calculated genome-wide Average Nucleotide Identity (gANI) and aligned fraction

(AF) to clarify species relationships. All pairwise comparisons between genomes in clade D met

both gANI and AF thresholds for being considered the same species (namely, gANI > 96.5 and

AF > 0.6 (28)), while no other genome pairs reach both thresholds (Figures S1 and S2). Because

of the genetic similarity within clade D and phylogenetic distinction from the rest of the

genomes in this tree, the remainder of our analyses focus largely on this clade; for clarity we

will refer to this clade as the '*Parasaccharibacter* clade' from here forward.

Signatures of bee association in the P. apium genomes

To identify genes associated with the transition to bee association, we identified COGs

that contained at least one gene from each bee-associated AAB genome (*Parasaccharibacter*

clade, *B. intestini*, and *P. apium* AS1) and were also missing in *S. floricola*. There were a total of

1,286 COGs containing at least one gene from each of the aforementioned genomes, but only

89 were also missing in *S. floricola*. We determined the putative functions of these genes using

the *P. apium* reference genome representative for each COG (Table S2). It should be noted that

all annotations discussed from here forward are putative and require further functional

characterization.

Several bee-associated unique genes stood out as particularly interesting, the first being

gluconolactonase. Lactonases, such as gluconolactonase, reversibly catalyze the hydrolysis of

lactones (such as gluconolactone) to the hydroxyl acid form (such as gluconic acid).

Gluconolactone is found in both honey and royal jelly and is thought to be partially responsible

for the antibacterial properties of both compounds (29). In water, this compound can be

hydrolyzed into gluconic acid, acidifying the environment and preventing bacterial growth (30-

32). The presence of this gene capable of reversing this acidification – at least locally – may

explain how *Parasaccharibacter* is able to thrive in the presence of royal jelly (13). BLAST

searches of the metatranscriptomes and metagenomes of bacteria in the "core" honey bee

microbiome (33) resulted in zero hits, indicating that none of the "core" microbiome members

possess a homolog of this gene. The presence of gluconolactonase may help explain the unique

distribution of *Parasaccharibacter* within the hive. Another bee-associated unique gene is an

HdeD family acid-resistance protein, which in *E. coli* participates in resistance to acids at high

cell densities (34). The presence of this gene in *P. apium* may indicate an adaptation to living in

low pH environments – such as the queen bee digestive tract or royal jelly (35).

An AI-2 E family transporter was identified as unique to the bee-associated AAB. AI-2 is

an auto-inducer responsible for activating cascades associated with quorum sensing. While *P.*

apium does not contain any AI-2 synthesis genes, the presence of an AI-2 E family transporter

indicates that it may be responding to exogenous AI-2 produced by other bacteria, possibly in a

competitive interaction. Bolstering the competition hypothesis is the presence of fusaric acid

resistance (FUSC) genes in the *P. apium* genomes. Fusaric acid and its analogs can be quorum

sensing inhibitors (36), so the presence of FUSC genes might be an adaptation that allows *P.*

apium to evade quorum sensing inhibition attempts by other microbes. Alternatively, these

FUSC genes may play a role in competition with fungal species. Fusaric acid is produced by

several species of fungus and is antibacterial (37). Therefore, the FUSC genes may play a role in

P. apium's protection of honey bee larvae and queens from infection with *Nosema* pathogens

(16).

An invasion-associated locus B (Ialb) protein was identified as present in bee-associated

AAB, but absent in *S. floricola*. In *Bartonella bacilliformis*, *ialb* mutants are impaired in their

ability to colonize human erythrocytes, suggesting a role for this protein in eukaryotic cell

invasion (38). While it is not clear whether *P. apium* is ever intracellular, the presence of *ialb*

suggests that it may have this capability.

The final set of genes of particular interest in this analysis is a complete Type I-E

CRISPR/Cas cassette. To determine if this CRISPR/Cas cassette was active, we annotated the

genomes for the presence of CRISPR arrays, and found that all of the genomes that have this

CRISPR/Cas cassette contain multiple CRISPR arrays. It is possible that these CRISPR arrays were

present in the most recent common ancestor of the *Parasaccharibacter* clade and have simply

been maintained in these current genomes; if that were the case, we would expect the spacers

in these CRISPR arrays to be highly similar between all strains. However, if these arrays are part

of an active CRISPR/Cas system, we would expect the spacers to differ from strain to strain,

reflecting unique challenges encountered by each strain. To rule out the possibility that these

arrays are ancestral, we aligned each spacer sequence from a given genome to all other spacer

sequences from the other genomes and calculated the percent identity. The minimum best

intergenomic match for any spacer was 40%, while the maximum was just 65% identical over

the length of the spacer, indicating that the spacer sequences are unique from genome to

genome and the CRISPR/Cas systems identified here are likely active.

B. intestini was isolated from a bumble bee gut, so we also looked at genes that were

unique to this bacterium to determine whether there are any obvious signatures of bumble bee

association in its genome. There were a total of 65 genes that were unique to *B. intestini*,

including a complete type IV secretion system (T4SS) and several genes involved in antibiotic

production or resistance. Putative annotations of these 65 genes are in Table S3.

Identification of horizontally transferred gene regions

205   Horizontal transfer of DNA between unrelated bacteria is a commonly known

mechanism by which bacteria can acquire new traits and adapt to novel environments (39-42).

We identified two regions of phage origin, one in *S. floricola* and one in the *P. apium* reference

genome (Figure 3; see Supplementary Information for more detail). Movement and insertion of

bacteriophage sequences in a genome can have profound effects on the evolution of that

genome (43-45) and future work will determine whether these phage are lytic and what their

host ranges may be. To determine whether the bacteria in the *Parasaccharibacter* clade have

undergone other potential horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events, we determined the spatial

distribution of genes of particular interest (e.g. clade-specific, species-specific, or strain-specific

genes) across the bacterial genomes (Figure 3). Some of the genes specific to different clades

occur in clusters, an indication that they may have originated elsewhere and been horizontally

inherited as a chunk of contiguous DNA. We then looked for anomalies in sequence

composition (%GC) and phylogeny to determine whether they were putatively horizontally

transferred. Using this combination of methods, we identified a total of five HGT regions in the

Parasaccharibacter clade, which we have numbered 1-5 (see Table S4 for %GC and lineage

probability index (LPI)-difference deviations for each gene in each HGT).

HGT1 (Figure 4A) is present in all genomes in the *Parasaccharibacter* clade, and contains

10 genes, although *P. apium* C6 is missing one of the genes (the second-to-last gene at the 3'

end of the HGT, annotated as an ABC transport auxiliary component). The three most 5' genes

show homology to YfaP (an uncharacterized conserved protein), SrfB (part of the surfactin

antibiotic synthesis machinery), and an uncharacterized bacterial virulence factor. The genes in

the 3' half of this HGT contain a number of domains involved in membrane transport. We

hypothesize that the two halves of this HGT work together to synthesize and export antibiotics

as a form of defense or regulation of competing bacteria. Lending support to the hypothesis

that this HGT is involved in defense or immunity is the fact that a CRISPR array lies immediately

5' of this HGT in each genome (Table S5). Bacterial defense mechanisms tend to occur in

clusters of "defense islands" (46), so the presence of this CRISPR array is perhaps a further

indication of this HGTs role in bacterial immunity.

HGTs 2 and 3 (Figure 4B and 4C) are restricted solely to the *P. apium* reference genome

and are both bacterial restriction-modification (R-M) systems. Bacterial R-M systems are a

defense against invading DNA (i.e. bacteriophage). They act by methylating host DNA at specific

sites; invading DNA with the same recognition site will be un-methylated, recognized as foreign,

and targeted for degradation (47). HGT2 contains 6 genes, which make up the core components

of a bacterial (R-M) system. Interestingly, the domain architecture in this R-M system has been

recognized as a precursor to eukaryotic defenses against transposable elements (48). HGT3

(Figure 4C) consists of 3 genes comprising 5 domains; the 5'-most gene consists of a predicted

restriction-modification DNA methylase coupled to a specificity domain, the middle gene is

predicted to be an XhoI restriction enzyme, and the 3'-most gene is a PHP phosphoesterase

coupled to a RecN DNA repair ATPase. Taken together, it appears that HGTs 2 and 3 are

responsible for recognition of and defense against foreign DNA.

HGT4 (Figure 4D) is present in all *P. apium* genomes in the *Parasaccharibacter* clade and

contains 3 genes: two GDP-D-mannose dehydratases (GMD) and an O-linked N-

acetylglucosamine transferase (OGT). GMD plays a role in the metabolism of mannose and

fructose, sugars commonly found in nectar (49). The presence of GMD in *P. apium* genomes

might allow for the consumption of nectar or nectar components by these bacteria. OGT, on

the other hand, plays a role in post-translational modification of thousands of identified

proteins (50). However, while OGT-mediated post-translational modification is common in

eukaryotes, it is far more rare in bacteria (51). To date, only a handful of prokaryotic OGTs have

been identified, and the targets of these OGTs remain unclear (52, 53). Given the role OGTs

play in eukaryotic post-translational modification and the fact that many bacterial effector

proteins show homology to eukaryotic proteins (54), it is possible that the presence of OGT in *P.*

apium represents a pathway for host-microbe interaction and symbiont-mediated protein

modification.

HGT5 (Figure 4E) is unique to the *P. apium* strains A29, B8, and C6, all strains that had

been isolated from honey bee larvae. Like HGTs 1-3, HGT5 contains genes that may play a role

in protection against foreign DNA. There are four genes in the 5' section of HGT5, three of

which are kinases, and the fourth contains a SAD/SRA domain in its 5' end, and an HNH

endonuclease domain in its 3' end. In bacteria, the SAD/SRA domain is often found associated

with an HNH domain (55) and it is thought that the two domains act together to recognize and

cleave foreign DNA (56). The 3' section of HGT5 consists of a conjugative relaxase, a TraG/TraD

family ATPase (a coupling protein involved in bacterial conjugation and/or T4SS), a homolog of

the pyocin activator protein PrtN, a homolog of a yeast RNA polymerase I subunit, and two

additional genes with no annotations. The presence of a PrtN homolog is particularly

interesting, as in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pyocins are antibacterial agents, often acting to

depolarize the membrane of target cells (57),(58). Interestingly, one of the two unannotated

genes in the 3' region of HGT5 shows weak homology to a phage shock protein, which are

proteins involved in the response to stress that may weaken the energy status of the cell (59).

This protein, then, may play a part in immunity to membrane depolarization. Given the

presence in HGT5 of: an HNH endonuclease coupled to a SAD/SRA domain, a conjugative

relaxase, a TraG/TraD family ATPase, a pyocin activator protein, and a protein with at least

some homology to a phage shock protein, we hypothesize that it may play a role in

pathogenesis or defense.

DISCUSSION

Here, we used the genomes of five *P. apium* strains, four *Saccharibacter* strains, and the

closely related *B. intestini* to gain insight into the genomic changes associated with the

transition to honey bee symbiosis in this group. We note several genomic differences – some of

which were horizontally acquired – between bee-associated bacteria and the flower-associated

S. floricola that may have allowed for the expansion of *P. apium* into previously unoccupied

niches within the honey bee colony. These differences can be classified as changes that

introduce: 1) novel metabolic capabilities, 2) defense and/or virulence mechanisms, and 3)

mechanisms for interaction with other microbes and/or the host.

Metabolic genes identified here include gluconolactonase, which may allow for the de-

acidification of royal jelly (29-32), and two copies of GMD, a gene that plays a role in the

metabolism of mannose and fructose, components of nectar and honey (49). Distinct defense

and/or virulence mechanisms were identified, including: a functional CRISPR/Cas system, two R-

M systems, and an HGT with some homology to known virulence mechanisms. Interestingly,

the R-M systems were identified in the only genome in the clade that also contains a phage

sequence (the *P. apium* reference sequence). Restriction modification systems, like phages, can

act as selfish genetic elements (60), so their presence in this genome may indicate that it was

historically more permissive to invading DNA. These R-M systems may also have been coopted

by the prophage to prevent super-infection with additional phages (61).

Genes involved in the interaction with other microbes and/or the host that we identified

include: an AI-2 family transporter, fusaric acid resistance genes, *ialb*, and *ogt*. Given that *P.*

apium does not encode any of the canonical genes for the production of quorum-sensing

molecules, it seems likely that *P. apium* is responding to exogenous AI-2 (and/or fusaric acid

and its analogs) produced by other members of the bee microbiome (62). The *ialb* and *ogt*

genes provide routes for interaction with the host, as *ialb* may play a role in eukaryotic cell

invasion (38) and *ogt* is known to modify thousands of eukaryotic proteins (50). Taken together,

we hypothesize that the novel combination of metabolic, quorum-sensing, defense/virulence,

and eukaryotic interaction genes in the *Parasaccharibacter* clade genomes allowed for the

utilization of a unique food source and protection from an onslaught of previously un-

encountered challenges and facilitated the transition to honey bee association in this clade.

P. apium has been shown to benefit honey bee larval development and provide

protection against *Nosema* (16). Some of the genes identified here, while allowing *P. apium* to

transition to honey bee symbiosis, may also be related to its ability to protect the bee host from

infection with *Nosema* or other pathogens. If indeed these genes are responsible for the

transition to, and maintenance of, honey bee symbiosis, we would expect to see a modified

evolutionary trajectory relative to those genes not involved in the symbiosis. We currently lack

sufficient sampling of non-bee-associated bacteria in this clade to do such analyses; however,

future studies addressing this question should allow for further elucidation of the genes

involved in the transition to honey bee association. Those analyses, coupled with functional

characterization of the genes of interest identified here, should lay the foundation for the

development of beneficial intervention strategies in this economically critical insect.

METHODS

Acetic acid bacteria phylogeny

To determine the placement of *Parasaccharibacter* and *Saccharibacter* among the AAB,

we downloaded all 16S rRNA sequences from the Silva database (63-65) that met the following

criteria: 1) from a species belonging to one of the seventeen genera of AAB (26), 2) length at

least 1200 bases, and 3) sequence quality >90. Additionally, the 16S sequence for *Rhodopila*

globiformis – which is in the family *Acetobacteraceae* but is not part of AAB – was included as

an outgroup. Given the close relation to *Saccharibacter floricola*, 16S sequences for *Bombella*

intestini (66) and *Bombella sp.* MRM1 (*Bombella apis*)(67) were included. We BLASTed the

Saccharibacter floricolca 16S sequence against the *Parasaccharibacter* and *Saccharibacter*

genomes (Table 1) to pull out their respective 16S sequences for use in this phylogeny. All

sequences were aligned using the SINA aligner (68); parameters used were set using the --auto

option. A maximum likelihood phylogeny was constructed using RAxML with the GTRGAMMA

substitution model and 1000 bootstrap replicates (v8.2.11, (69)). The final tree was visualized

using FigTree (v1.4.2, http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Orthology analysis

To facilitate downstream analyses, we clustered genes from all genomes in Table 1 –

plus *Gluconobacter oxydans* H24 as an outgroup – into clusters of orthologous genes (COGs)

using OrthoMCL (v.2.0.9, (27)). Amino acid sequences were downloaded from NCBI and

clustering was performed using default OrthoMCL parameters. These clusters were then

classified as single-copy orthologs (defined as containing exactly one representative from each

genome), variable (defined as missing a representative from at least one genome and having

varying numbers of representatives from each of the other genomes), multi-copy ortholog

(containing at least one representative from each genome, but multiple copies from at least

one genome), or genome-specific (containing at least two genes that all came from the same

genome) using an in-house Perl script.

Parasaccharibacter *and* Saccharibacter *core ortholog phylogeny*

We constructed a phylogeny using concatenated amino acid alignments of all single-

copy COGs. The amino acid sequences were aligned using the MAFFT L-INS-I algorithm (v7.310,

(70)), and alignments were then concatenated, and used to construct a maximum likelihood

phylogeny using RAxML with substitution model PROTGAMMALGF and 1000 bootstrap

replicates (v8.2.11, (69)). The final tree was visualized using FigTree (v1.4.2,

http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Calculation of genomic similarity

To determine relatedness and species assignment, we calculated genome-wide Average

Nucleotide Identity (gANI) and aligned fraction (AF) for each pairwise comparison using

ANIcalculator (28). Predicted transcript sequences for each pairwise comparison were passed to

the software, which output gANI and AF in each direction for the pairwise comparison. As gANI

and AF can vary depending on the direction of comparison due to differences in genome length,

we report the average of the pairwise calculations in each direction.

Synteny analysis

We used Mauve (71, 72) to determine the syntenic regions between the

Parasaccharibacter apium and *Saccharibacter* spp. genomes. The *Parasaccharibacter apium*

reference genome is resolved to a single chromosome, so it was used as the reference

sequence in Mauve's "move contigs" tool, and the likely order and orientation of contigs in the

other genomes was determined. To facilitate downstream analyses, the output of Mauve's

"move contigs" tool was used to order, orient, and concatenate contigs into single pseudo-

chromosomes for each genome. Structural rearrangements were then visualized using Mauve's

built-in graphical interface.

Annotation of CRISPR arrays and phage sequences

Pseudo-chromosomes for each genome were uploaded to CRISPRFinder to determine

location and sequence of CRISPR arrays (73). We used an in-house Perl script to determine the

maximum intergenomic percent identity of spacer sequences. We used PHAge Search Tool

Enhanced Release (PHASTER) (74, 75) to define phage-like regions. Any region determined to be

"questionable" or "intact" by PHASTER that also appeared as an insertion in the host genome in

our synteny analysis was labeled as likely to be of phage origin.

Determination of bee-associated bacteria-specific orthologs

We identified all COGs that contained at least one gene from each genome of bee-

associated bacteria and no genes from *S. floricola.* We then took the *P. apium* reference

genome representative for each of these COGs and got KEGG annotations for as many as

possible using BlastKOALA (76). For those genes that we were not able to get KEGG

annotations, we used NCBI's BLAST to aid in determining potential function of these bee-

associated bacteria-specific genes. This list of genes and their potential functions was then

manually curated to hypothesize genes that may have allowed for the transition to bee-

association.

Analysis of horizontal gene transfers

To determine whether or not genes in any of the *Parasaccharibacter* and *Saccharibacter*

genomes arrived via horizontal transfer, we employed a combination of sequence-composition,

phylogenetic, and synteny approaches. We mapped genes of particular interest (e.g. genes

unique to certain clades, species, or strains) to their locations on the linear pseudo-

chromosomes constructed during synteny analysis. Additionally, we calculated the %GC for

each gene. We then determined how many standard deviations each gene was from the

genome-wide mean %GC. The third prong of this analysis involved identifying genes that were

phylogenetically aberrant. To do this, we used Darkhorse (77) to calculate the lineage

probability index (LPI) twice for each gene, once including BLAST hits to *Parasaccharibacter* and

Saccharibacter subject sequences, and once excluding such hits. In doing so, genes that are

likely to be horizontally transferred will have a larger discrepancy between LPI values than

genes that were vertically inherited (see supplemental methods for details). We then identified

regions as likely to be HGTs if they met the following criteria: 1) a block of at least three

syntenic genes that show interesting phylogenetic distributions (e.g. unique to clade, species,

or strain) where 2) a majority of genes in the region are at least 1 standard deviation from the

mean %GC or LPI difference (or both).

Domain annotation of genes of interest

We used HHpred (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/#/tools/hhpred, (78)) to determine

domain architecture and gain an understanding of potential function of the genes in each HGT.

For genes of interest that were part of a COG, all members of the COG were first aligned using

the MAFFT L-INS-I algorithm (v7.310, (70)). These multiple sequence alignments (or single

amino acid sequences in the case of strain-unique genes) were then uploaded to HHpred's

online tool and homology was determined using HMMs in the COG_KOG_v1.0, Pfam-A_v31.0,

and SMART_v6.0 databases; only domains scoring above 60% probability are discussed here.

Gene models for each region of interest were then constructed and visualized using the HHpred

results and in-house R scripts. HGT5 occurs at the junction of two contigs in the linear pseudo-

chromosomes we constructed. The abutting ends of each contig have annotations for partial

pseudogenes, such that when they are joined a complete gene is created. We BLASTed the

nucleotide sequence of this gene against the NCBI nr database to determine a putative

function.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Amelia R.I. Lindsey for feedback on initial versions of this manuscript. E.A.S. was

supported by a Faculty Research Support grant from Indiana University.

REFERENCES

1.   Martinson VG, Danforth BN, Minckley RL, Rueppell O, Tingek S, Moran NA. 2011. A
433   simple and distinctive microbiota associated with honey bees and bumble bees. Mol Ecol
434   20:619–628.

435   2.   Martinson VG, Moy J, Moran NA. 2012. Establishment of Characteristic Gut Bacteria
436   during Development of the Honeybee Worker. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:2830–2840.

437   3.   Moran NA, Hansen AK, Powell JE, Sabree ZL. 2012. Distinctive Gut Microbiota of Honey
438   Bees Assessed Using Deep Sampling from Individual Worker Bees. PLoS ONE 7.

440   4.   Sabree ZL, Hansen AK, Moran NA. 2012. Independent Studies Using Deep Sequencing
441   Resolve the Same Set of Core Bacterial Species Dominating Gut Communities of Honey
442   Bees. PLoS ONE 7.

443   5.   Moran NA. 2015. Genomics of the honey bee microbiome. Curr Opin Insect Sci 10:22–28.

444   6.   Anderson KE, Rodrigues PAP, Mott BM, Maes P, Corby-Harris V. 2016. Ecological
445   Succession in the Honey Bee Gut: Shift in Lactobacillus Strain Dominance During Early
446   Adult Development. Microb Ecol 71:1008–1019.

447   7.   McCutcheon JP, Moran NA. 2007. Parallel genomic evolution and metabolic
448   interdependence in an ancient symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:19392–19397.

449   8.   Gündüz EA, Douglas AE. 2009. Symbiotic Bacteria Enable Insect to Use a Nutritionally
450   Inadequate Diet. Proceedings: Biological Sciences 276:987–991.

451   9.   Douglas AE. 2013. Microbial Brokers of Insect-Plant Interactions Revisited. J Chem Ecol
452   39:952–961.

453   10.   Koch H, Schmid-Hempel P. 2011. Socially transmitted gut microbiota protect bumble
454   bees against an intestinal parasite. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:19288–19292.

455   11.   Rokop ZP, Horton MA, Newton ILG. 2015. Interactions between Cooccurring Lactic Acid
456   Bacteria in Honey Bee Hives. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:7261–7270.

457   12.   Tarpy DR, Mattila HR, Newton ILG. 2015. Development of the Honey Bee Gut
458   Microbiome throughout the Queen-Rearing Process. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:3182–
459   3191.

460   13.   Corby-Harris V, Snyder LA, Schwan MR, Maes P, McFrederick QS, Anderson KE. 2014.
461   Origin and Effect of Alpha 2.2 Acetobacteraceae in Honey Bee Larvae and Description of
462   Parasaccharibacter apium gen. nov., sp nov. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:7460–7472.

463   14.   Anderson KE, Sheehan TH, Mott BM, Maes P, Snyder L, Schwan MR, Walton A, Jones BM,
464   Corby-Harris V. 2013. Microbial Ecology of the Hive and Pollination Landscape: Bacterial
465   Associates from Floral Nectar, the Alimentary Tract and Stored Food of Honey Bees (Apis
466   mellifera). PLoS ONE 8.

467   15.   Vojvodic S, Rehan SM, Anderson KE. 2013. Microbial Gut Diversity of Africanized and
468   European Honey Bee Larval Instars. PLoS ONE 8.

469   16.   Corby-Harris V, Snyder L, Meador CAD, Naldo R, Mott B, Anderson KE. 2016.
470   Parasaccharibacter apium, gen. nov., sp nov., Improves Honey Bee (Hymenoptera:
471   Apidae) Resistance to Nosema. J Econ Entomol 109:537–543.

17.   Anderson KE, Ricigliano VA, Mott BM, Copeland DC, Floyd AS, Maes P. 2018. The queen's
gut refines with age: longevity phenotypes in a social insect model. Microbiome 6:108.

18.   Corby-Harris V, Anderson KE. 2018. Draft Genome Sequences of Four Parasaccharibacter
apium Strains Isolated from Honey Bees. Genome Announc 6:e00165–18.

19.   Jojima Y, Mihara Y, Suzuki S, Yokozeki K, Yamanaka S, Fudou R. 2004. Saccharibacter
floricola gen. nov., sp nov., a novel osmophilic acetic acid bacterium isolated from pollen.
Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54:2263–2267.

20.   Chouaia B, Gaiarsa S, Crotti E, Comandatore F, Degli Esposti M, Ricci I, Alma A, Favia G,
Bandi C, Daffonchio D. 2014. Acetic Acid Bacteria Genomes Reveal Functional Traits for
Adaptation to Life in Insect Guts. Genome Biol Evol, 3rd ed. 6:912–920.

21.   Veress A, Wilk T, Kiss J, Olasz F, Papp PP. 2017. Draft Genome Sequences of
Saccharibacter sp. Strains 3.A.1 and M18 Isolated from Honey and a Honey Bee (Apis
mellifera) Stomach. Genome Announc 5:e00744–17.

22.   Li L, Illeghems K, Van Kerrebroeck S, Borremans W, Cleenwerck I, Smagghe G, De Vuyst L,
Vandamme P. 2016. Whole-Genome Sequence Analysis of Bombella intestini LMG
28161(T), a Novel Acetic Acid Bacterium Isolated from the Crop of a Red-Tailed Bumble
Bee, Bombus lapidarius. PLoS ONE 11.

23.   Roh SW, Nam Y-D, Chang H-W, Kim K-H, Kim M-S, Ryu J-H, Kim S-H, Lee W-J, Bae J-W.
2008. Phylogenetic characterization of two novel commensal bacteria involved with
innate immune homeostasis in Drosophila melanogaster. Appl Environ Microbiol
74:6171–6177.

24.   Cleenwerck I, De Vos P, De Vuyst L. 2010. Phylogeny and differentiation of species of the
genus Gluconacetobacter and related taxa based on multilocus sequence analyses of
housekeeping genes and reclassification of Acetobacter xylinus subsp. sucrofermentans
as Gluconacetobacter sucrofermentans (Toyosaki et al. 1996) sp. nov., comb. nov. Int J
Syst Evol Microbiol 60:2277–2283.

25.   Matsutani M, Hirakawa H, Yakushi T, Matsushita K. 2011. Genome-wide phylogenetic
analysis of Gluconobacter, Acetobacter, and Gluconacetobacter. FEMS Microbiol Lett
315:122–128.

26.   Yamada Y. 2016. Systematics of Acetic Acid Bacteria, pp. 1–50. *In* Acetic Acid Bacteria,
3rd ed. Springer, Tokyo, Tokyo.

27.   Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Roos DS. 2003. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for
eukaryotic genomes. Genome Res 13:2178–2189.

28.   Varghese NJ, Mukherjee S, Ivanova N, Konstantinidis KT, Mavrommatis K, Kyrpides NC,
Pati A. 2015. Microbial species delineation using whole genome sequences. Nucleic Acids
Res 43:6761–6771.

29.   Sagona S, Turchi B, Fratini F, Giusti M, Bull BT. 2015. Preliminary evaluation of glucose
oxidase and its products in vitro antimicrobial activities on Paenibacillus larvae ATCC9545
vegetative form. Bulletin of Insectology 68:233–237.

30.   Furusawa T, Rakwal R, Nam HW, Shibato J, Agrawal GK, Kim YS, Ogawa Y, Yoshida Y,
Kouzuma Y, Masuo Y, Yonekura M. 2008. Comprehensive Royal Jelly (RJ) Proteomics
Using One- and Two-Dimensional Proteomics Platforms Reveals Novel RJ Proteins and
Potential Phospho/Glycoproteins. J Proteome Res 7:3194–3229.

31.   Li J, Wang T, Zhang Z, Pan Y. 2007. Proteomic analysis of royal jelly from three strains of
western honeybees (Apis mellifera). J Agric Food Chem 55:8411–8422.

32.   Schönleben S, Sickmann A, Mueller MJ, Reinders J. 2007. Proteome analysis of Apis
mellifera royal jelly. Anal Bioanal Chem 389:1087–1093.

33.   Lee FJ, Miller KI, McKinlay JB, Newton ILG. 2018. Differential carbohydrate utilization and
organic acid production by honey bee symbionts. FEMS Microbiol Ecol.

34.   Mates AK, Sayed AK, Foster JW. 2007. Products of the Escherichia coli acid fitness island
attenuate metabolite stress at extremely low pH and mediate a cell density-dependent
acid resistance. J Bacteriol 189:2759–2768.

35.   Anderson KE, Sheehan TH, Eckholm BJ, Mott BM, DeGrandi-Hoffman G. 2011. An
emerging paradigm of colony health: microbial balance of the honey bee and hive (*Apis
mellifera*). Insect Soc 58:431–444.

36.   Tung TT, Jakobsen TH, Dao TT, Fuglsang AT, Givskov M, Christensen SB, Nielsen J. 2017.
Fusaric acid and analogues as Gram-negative bacterial quorum sensing inhibitors. Eur J
Med Chem 126:1011–1020.

37.   Crutcher FK, Puckhaber LS, Stipanovic RD, Bell AA, Nichols RL, Lawrence KS, Liu J. 2017.
Microbial Resistance Mechanisms to the Antibiotic and Phytotoxin Fusaric Acid. J Chem
Ecol 43:996–1006.

38.   Coleman SA, Minnick MF. 2001. Establishing a direct role for the Bartonella bacilliformis
invasion-associated locus B (IalB) protein in human erythrocyte parasitism. Infect Immun
69:4373–4381.

39.   Gogarten JP, Doolittle WF, Lawrence JG. 2002. Prokaryotic evolution in light of gene
transfer. Mol Biol Evol 19:2226–2238.

40. Nakamura Y, Itoh T, Matsuda H, Gojobori T. 2004. Biased biological functions of
horizontally transferred genes in prokaryotic genomes. Nat Genet 36:760–766.

41. Wiedenbeck J, Cohan FM. 2011. Origins of bacterial diversity through horizontal genetic
transfer and adaptation to new ecological niches. FEMS Microbiol Rev 35:957–976.

42. Roberts AP, Kreth J. 2014. The impact of horizontal gene transfer on the adaptive ability
of the human oral microbiome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 4:1.

43. Casas V, Maloy S. 2011. Role of bacteriophage-encoded exotoxins in the evolution of
bacterial pathogens. Future Microbiol 6:1461–1473.

44. Koskella B, Brockhurst MA. 2014. Bacteria-phage coevolution as a driver of ecological and
evolutionary processes in microbial communities. FEMS Microbiol Rev 38:916–931.

45. Harrison E, Hall JPJ, Paterson S, Spiers AJ, Brockhurst MA. 2017. Conflicting selection
alters the trajectory of molecular evolution in a tripartite bacteria-plasmid-phage
interaction. Mol Ecol 26:2757–2764.

46. Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Snir S, Koonin EV. 2011. Defense islands in bacterial and archaeal
genomes and prediction of novel defense systems. J Bacteriol 193:6039–6056.

47. Rodic A, Blagojevic B, Zdobnov E, Djordjevic M, Djordjevic M. 2017. Understanding key
features of bacterial restriction-modification systems through quantitative modeling.
BMC Systems Biology 2017 11:1 11:1–15.

48. Iyer LM, Abhiman S, Aravind L. 2008. MutL homologs in restriction-modification systems
and the origin of eukaryotic MORC ATPases. Biol Direct 3.

49. Freeman CE, Madroño RW. 1985. Some floral nectar-sugar compositions of species from
southeastern Arizona and southwestern New Mexico. Madrono 32:78–86.

50. Love DC, Hanover JA. 2005. The hexosamine signaling pathway: deciphering the "O-
GlcNAc code". Sci STKE 2005:re13–re13.

51. Ostrowski A, Gundogdu M, Ferenbach AT, Lebedev AA, van Aalten DMF. 2015. Evidence
for a Functional O-Linked N-Acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) System in the Thermophilic
Bacterium Thermobaculum terrenum. J Biol Chem 290:30291–30305.

52. Shen A, Kamp HD, Grundling A, Higgins DE. 2006. A bifunctional O-GlcNAc transferase
governs flagellar motility through anti-repression. Genes Dev 20:3283–3295.

53. Sokol KA, Olszewski NE. 2015. The Putative Eukaryote-Like O-GlcNAc Transferase of the
Cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 Hydrolyzes UDP-GlcNAc and Is
Involved in Multiple Cellular Processes. J Bacteriol 197:354–361.

54.   Galán JE. 2009. Common themes in the design and function of bacterial effectors. Cell
574   Host Microbe 5:571–579.

575   55.   Makarova KS, Aravind L, Wolf YI, Tatusov RL, Minton KW, Koonin EV, Daly MJ. 2001.
576   Genome of the extremely radiation-resistant bacterium Deinococcus radiodurans viewed
577   from the perspective of comparative genomics. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 65:44–79.

578   56.   Iyer LM, Abhiman S, Aravind L. 2011. Natural History of Eukaryotic DNA Methylation
579   Systems. Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science 101:25–104.

580   57.   Jacob F. 1954. Induced biosynthesis and mode of action of a pyocine, antibiotic produced
581   by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Ann Inst Pasteur (Paris) 86:149–160.

582   58.   Michel-Briand Y, Baysse C. 2002. The pyocins of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Biochimie
583   84:499–510.

584   59.   Flores-Kim J, Darwin AJ. 2016. The Phage Shock Protein Response. Annu Rev Microbiol
585   70:83–101.

586   60.   Kobayashi I. 2001. Behavior of restriction-modification systems as selfish mobile
587   elements and their impact on genome evolution. Nucleic Acids Res 29:3742–3756.

588   61.   Van Etten JL, Xia YN, Burbank DE, Narva KE. 1988. Chlorella Viruses Code for Restriction
589   and Modification Enzymes. Gene 74:113–115.

590   62.   Newton I, Miller KI, Franklin CD, Mattila HR. 2018. Social communication between
591   microbes colonizing the social honey bee Apis mellifera. bioRxiv 287995.

592   63.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Gloeckner FO. 2013.
593   The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-
594   based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590–D596.

595   64.   Yilmaz P, Parfrey LW, Yarza P, Gerken J, Pruesse E, Quast C, Schweer T, Peplies J, Ludwig
596   W, Gloeckner FO. 2014. The SILVA and "All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)" taxonomic
597   frameworks. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D643–D648.

598   65.   Gloeckner FO, Yilmaz P, Quast C, Gerken J, Beccati A, Ciuprina A, Bruns G, Yarza P, Peplies
599   J, Westram R, Ludwig W. 2017. 25 years of serving the community with ribosomal RNA
600   gene reference databases and tools. J Biotechnol 261:169–176.

601   66.   Li L, Praet J, Borremans W, Nunes OC, Manaia CM, Cleenwerck I, Meeus I, Smagghe G, De
602   Vuyst L, Vandammel P. 2015. Bombella intestini gen. nov., sp nov., an acetic acid
603   bacterium isolated, from bumble bee crop. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:267–273.

605   67.   Yun J-H, Lee J-Y, Hyun D-W, Jung M-J, Bae J-W. 2017. Bombella apis sp nov., an acetic
606   acid bacterium isolated from the midgut of a honey bee. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol
607   67:2184–2188.

608   68.   Pruesse E, Peplies J, Gloeckner FO. 2012. SINA: Accurate high-throughput multiple
609   sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics 28:1823–1829.

610   69.   Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses
611   with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22:2688–2690.

612   70.   Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma KI, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
613   sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30:3059–3066.

614   71.   Darling A, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. 2004. Mauve: Multiple alignment of conserved
615   genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res 14:1394–1403.

616   72.   Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: Multiple Genome Alignment with
617   Gene Gain, Loss and Rearrangement. PLoS ONE 5.

618   73.   Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. 2007. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered
619   regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res 35:W52–W57.

620   74.   Zhou Y, Liang Y, Lynch KH, Dennis JJ, Wishart DS. 2011. PHAST: A Fast Phage Search Tool.
621   Nucleic Acids Res 39:W347–W352.

622   75.   Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better,
623   faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16–W21.

624   76.   Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2016. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for
625   Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. Journal of
626   Molecular Biology 428:726–731.

627   77.   Podell S, Gaasterland T. 2007. DarkHorse: a method for genome-wide prediction of
628   horizontal gene transfer. Genome Biol 8.

629   78.   Soding J. 2005. Protein homology detection by HMM-HMM comparison. Bioinformatics
630   21:951–960.

631   79.   Ge X, Zhao Y, Hou W, Zhang W, Chen W, Wang J, Zhao N, Lin J, Wang W, Chen M, Wang
632   Q, Jiao Y, Yuan Z, Xiong X. 2013. Complete Genome Sequence of the Industrial Strain
633   Gluconobacter oxydans H24. Genome Announc 1:e00003–13–e00003–13.

Table 1. Genome names, accession number, and isolation sources for complete genomes used in these analyses. *Parasaccharibacter apium* G7_7_3c is the *P. apium* reference genome.

Genome	GenBank accession number	Isolation source
Parasaccharibacter apium G7_7_3c	GCA_002079945.1	*Apis mellifera* hindgut(18)
Parasaccharibacter apium A29	GCA_002917995.1	*Apis mellifera* larva(18)
Parasaccharibacter apium B8	GCA_002917945.1	*Apis mellifera* larva(18)
Parasaccharibacter apium C6	GCA_002917985.1	*Apis mellifera* larva(18)
Parasaccharibacter apium AS1	GCA_002592045.1	*Apis melliera* larva
Saccharibacter sp. AM169	GCA_000723565.1	*Apis mellifera* stomach(20)
Saccharibacter sp. M18	GCA_002150105.1	*Apis mellifera* stomach(21)
Saccharibacter sp. 3.A.1	GCA_002150125.1	Honey(21)
Saccharibacter floricola	GCA_000378165.1	Flower(19)
Bombella intestini	GCA_002003665.1	*Bombus lapidarius* crop(22)
Gluconobacter oxydans H24	GCA_000311765.1	Industrial sample(79)

FIGURES AND LEGENDS

640
Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of Acetic Acid Bacteria constructed from full-
length 16S rRNA sequences. Bootstrap scores are indicated at each node, and numbers at
certain nodes are for reference in the main text. A) Full tree of all 17 genera of Acetic Acid
Bacteria. Clades were collapsed based on genus. Genera that make up at least 40% of the
sequences in a clade are listed, except for the highlighted clade. Numbers in parentheses
represent the total number of sequences in each collapsed clade (Supplemental File S3 for full
tree). B) Zoomed-in look at the *Parasaccharibacter/Saccharibacter* clade. The bolded
Parasaccharibacter apium represents the reference *P. apium* sequence.

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the *Parasaccharibacter*/*Saccharibacter* clade constructed from concatenated amino acid alignment of 1259 single copy orthologous genes. Bootstrap scores are indicated at each node. Letters at certain nodes are for reference in the main text. Colored boxes represent the presence (red) or absence (black) of each of 7 genomic regions of interest. Genome size and %GC are also displayed. Bolded *Parasaccharibacter apium* represents the reference *P. apium* sequence.

Figure 3. Genomic locations of genes of interest in *Parasaccharibacter* and *Saccharibacter* genomes. Each gray bar is a representation of the genome, with each dot representing the location of a gene in each of four categories (see legend). Regions of interest mentioned in the text are highlighted and labeled. %GC for every gene is plotted above each genome representation, with the green line indicating the genome-wide average %GC. Bolded *Parasaccharibacter apium* represents the *P. apium* reference sequence.

664
Figure 4. Gene models for each of 5 genomic regions of interest. Gene models are drawn to
scale within each panel, but not across panels. A) HGT1. Abbreviations are: CrpC: cysteine rich
protein C, AM: automated matches, SMF2: sulfatase modifying factor 2, GsvP: gas vesicle
protein C. B) HGT2. Abbreviations are: CrpC: cysteine rich protein C, AIPR: abortive infection
phage resistance protein. C) HGT3. Abbreviations are: RM: restriction-modification. D) HGT 4.
Abbreviations are: Gmd: GDP-D-mannose dehydratase, OGT: O-linked N-acetylglucosamine
transferase OGT. E) HGT5. Abbreviations are: SAD/SRA: SET and Ring finger Associated, PrtN:
pyocin activator protein.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES AND TABLE LEGENDS

Table S1. OrthoMCL clusters and gene counts for each type of COG in each genome.

Table S2. Accession number, annotation source, annotation score, and putative annotation for

each of the bee-specific genes identified.

Table S3. Accession number, annotation source, annotation score, and putative annotation for

each of the *Bombella intestini* genes identified.

Table S4. %GC and LPI-difference standard deviations for each gene in each genome harbing

each HGT.

Table S5. Positions and spacer counts for each CRISPR array identified in the *Parasaccharibacter*

clade genomes.

Figure S1. Pairwise genome-wide average nucleotide identity (gANI) for all genomes analyzed.

Figure S2. Pairwise aligned fraction (AF) for all genomes analyzed.

Supplemental file S1. Supplemental methods and results.

Supplemental file S2. BLAST results for genes present in phage 1, present in *Saccharibacter*

floricola.

Supplemental file S3. BLAST results for genes present in phage 2, present in the

Parasaccharibacter apium reference sequence.

Supplemental file S4. Newick format file for complete 16S rRNA gene tree (Figure 1).