Implementation of the Water Framework Directive: Lessons learned and future perspectives for an ecologically meaningful classification of the status of Greek lakes, Mediterranean region

Maria Moustaka-Gouni [1*], Ulrich Sommer[2*], Athena Economou-Amilli[3], George B. Arhonditsis[4], Matina Katsiapi[1], Eva Papastergiadou[5], Konstantinos A. Kormas[6], Elisabeth Vardaka[7], Hera Karayanni[8] and Theodoti Papadimitriou[6]

[1]Department of Botany, School of Biology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 541 24, Thessaloniki, Greece

[2]GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Germany, Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany

[3]National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Biology, Department of Ecology & Systematics, Panepistimiopolis, Athens 15784, Greece

[4]Department of Physical & Environmental Sciences, University of Toronto, 1065 Military Trail, Toronto, ON, M1C 1A4, Canada

[5]Department of Biology, University of Patras, University Campus, 26504 Rio, Greece

[6] Department of Ichthyology & Aquatic Environment, School of Agricultural Sciences, University of Thessaly, 384 46, Volos, Greece

[7]Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Alexander Technological Educational Institute of Thessaloniki, 574 00 Thessaloniki, Greece

[8]Department of Biological Applications and Technology, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

[*]Corresponding authors: mmustaka@bio.auth.gr ; usommer@geomar.de

34   **ABSTRACT**

36   The enactment of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) initiated scientific efforts to
develop reliable methods for comparing prevailing lake conditions against *reference* (or non-

38   impaired) states, using the state of a set biological elements. Drawing a distinction between
impaired and natural conditions can be a challenging exercise, as it stipulates the robust

40   delineation of reference conditions along with the establishment of threshold values for key
environmental variables used as proxies for the degree of system impairment. Another

42   important aspect is to ensure that water quality assessment is comparable among the different
Member States. In this context, the present paper offers a constructive critique of the practices

44   followed during the WFD implementation in Greece by pinpointing methodological
weaknesses and knowledge gaps that undermine our ability to classify the ecological status of

46   Greek lakes. One of the pillars of WDF is a valid lake typology that sets ecological standards
transcending geographic regions and national boundaries. The national typology of Greek

48   lakes has failed to take into account essential components (e.g. surface area, altitude, salinity).
WFD compliance assessments based on descriptions of phytoplankton communities are

50   oversimplified and as such should be revisited. Exclusion of most chroococcal species from
the analysis of cyanobacteria biovolume in Greek lakes and most reservoirs in the

52   Mediterranean Geographical Intercalibration Group (Greece, Spain, Portugal and Cyprus) is
not consistent with the distribution of those taxa in lakes. Similarly, the total biovolume

54   reference values and the indices used in their classification schemes reflect misunderstandings
of WFD core principles. This hampers the comparability of ecological status across Europe

56   and leads to quality standards that are too relaxed to provide an efficient target especially for
the protection and management of Greek/transboundary lakes such as Lake Megali Prespa,

58   one of the oldest lakes in Europe.

INTRODUCTION

        The WFD (European Commission 2000) is considered the most important and

64   innovative European environmental legislation for maintaining and improving the aquatic
environment in the European Union (Boeuf and Fritsch 2016). The main objective of the

66   WFD is that all surface waters should be in good or better ecological status by 2015 or at the
latest in 2027.To achieve the "good status" objective, the Member States (MSs) should define

68 and implement the necessary remediation programs. WFD is the first legislation establishing

the innovative concept of ecological status of surface waters based on Biological Quality

70 Elements (i.e. phytoplankton) in addition to physical and chemical conditions used in

traditional legislation. As articulated in Directive definitions (Article 2), ecological status is

72 an expression of the quality of the structure and functioning of aquatic ecosystems. MSs have

the mandate to develop assessment methods of the ecological status for all biological quality

74 elements listed in the normative definitions given in the Directive's Annex V (European

Commission 2000).

76   The ecological quality assessment based on ecological quality ratios (EQR; the ratio of

observed to reference values) requires the delineation of type-specific reference conditions, as

78 an essential piece of knowledge for distinguishing between "good" and "moderate" ecological

conditions. Climatic and geological variability prohibits the establishment of absolute

80 standards for the entire Community, and therefore type-specific biological reference

conditions should be defined by MSs as targeted standards for maintaining and restoring

82 ecological status. To ensure comparable definitions of good ecological status across Europe,

MSs are also responsible for inter-calibrating the good ecological status class boundaries of

84 their methods for each biological element in each water category with other MSs having

common types of water bodies. Therefore, scientifically sound types design has a key role in

86 setting standards to adequately partition prevailing ecological variation (i.e. in phytoplankton

and macrophytes).

88   Lakes are usually assigned to types according to morphometric, geological, and

altitudinal properties. Each MS can develop its own typology in System B by using common

90 descriptors interpreted differently (i.e. different lake mean depths as thresholds for "deep

lakes") or different descriptors. Nevertheless, the common types do not include the lake

92 surface area as an operational descriptor although it is prescribed as an obligatory descriptor

by WFD, System A (ANNEX II) (European Commission 2000). Despite the intention of

94 WFD to establish comparable typology standards across the Community, the existing

practices have resulted in diverse national standards, even though the underlying ecological

96 mechanisms are not geographically diverse and aquatic ecology has no national boundaries

(Moss 2008). The typology descriptors should ensure that the derivation of type-specific

98 biological reference conditions is defensible and thus the classification of lake ecological

status, the heart of WFD, is reliable. Following this line of reasoning, it can be inferred that

100 crucial to any implementation of the WFD is to first establish what reference (natural or

undisturbed) conditions mean in terms of ecological variables suitable for monitoring

programs (e.g. biomass, abundance, taxonomic composition, functional traits) based on sound limnological principles.

Defining biological reference conditions and setting boundaries for ecological status classes continues to represent a major challenge across Europe, and thus effective guidance by

the scientific community appears to be a decisive factor for operational implementation of the framework. The definition should be based on transparent analyses of empirical data from a

network of references sites if available. Based on new findings, Baattrup-Pedersen et al. (2017) suggest that more effort should be directed at describing reference conditions by

experts instead of focusing solely on the development of assessment systems using pressure-impact frameworks. Each GIG or even MSs have their own diagnostics for identifying

reference sites, occasionally with insufficient clarification of the methods chosen by authorities and insufficient clarification of legislative classification of the water bodies (e.g.

Stoyneva et al. 2014).

            For ecological status classification, hundreds of indices characterizing the status of

biological elements have been developed during WFD implementation. Most indices have limitations for a variety of reasons, including applicability within a limited biogeographical

area; reliance on a list of taxa rather than structure and functions; correlation rather than causation; high precision but poor accuracy; and poorly defined reference conditions (e.g.

Moss 2008). Several indices are complex and expensive and therefore unsuitable to address the need for cost-effective and scientifically defensive ecological assessment (e.g. Katsiapi et

al. 2016; Baattrup-Pedersen et al. 2013, 2017). Ecological quality of lakes judged by experts can be predicted reasonably well and affordably from water transparency, expressed as Secchi

Disc depth (Peeters et al. 2008; Katsiapi et al. 2016). Among biological indicators for water quality assessment, phytoplankton is of particular interest due to its direct response to nutrient

level variability or other disturbances through changes in biomass and composition (e.g. Moustaka-Gouni 1993; Ptacnik et al. 2009).

Problems in aquatic ecosystem management include insufficient data quantity and quality, absence of systemic thinking and lack of empirical evidence for important

mechanisms that presumably modulate lake patterns (Hammer et al. 2011; Vlachopoulou et al. 2014; Voulvoulis et al. 2017). Lack of standardization, inconsistencies in taxonomy,

uncertainties in the characterization of eutrophication status based on phytoplankton taxa, poorly defined reference conditions, heterogeneity in data sets and lake types, weak links of

national types to IC types which in turn pose comparability problems of ecological status

across Europe (e.g. Nixon et al. 2012; Katsiapi et al. 2016; Søndergaard et al. 2016) remain a
challenge eighteen years after WFD introduction.

Departure from the original WFD theoretical underpinning and the actual
implementation efforts needs to be critically evaluated across the Community. In a recent
comprehensive study, Voulvoulis et al. (2017) reviewed the problems with interpretation and
the implementation of the Directive, indicating a profound misunderstanding even of its core
principles. Moss (2008) argued, "A *rich resource of genuine ecological expertise in the*
universities and non-governmental organizations has been avoided in favour of consultancy
contracts controlled to deliver a politically expedient product". According to Nixon's et al.
(2012) overview of main and supporting competent authorities in the MSs only Denmark,
Sweden and Portugal refer to universities as supporting competent authorities. Although
knowledge and experience of experts is a valuable source of information in ecological
assessment of lakes, there has been little formal recognition that expert knowledge can shed
light on the actual implications of measured environmental and/or biological variables
(Peeters et al. 2008). Administration and water management authorities depend on reliable
measurements and robust assessment methods, whereas the lack of a European-wide
harmonization for comparability undermines on-going environmental protection efforts and
aspirations to satisfy public demands (Arle et al. 2016).

In this article, we aim to analyse knowledge gaps, inconsistencies and limitations of
WFD implementation based on lessons learned from Greece. Our examples are drawn from
Greek/transboundary lakes and reservoirs in Med GIG that experience eutrophication
pressure, which still represents an important threat to the integrity of freshwater ecosystems
and one of the main reasons that 44% of European lakes fail to meet the "good" ecological
status (EEA-ETC 2012). We revisit results from WFD implementation in Greek lakes and
reservoirs in Med GIG (Greece, Spain, Portugal and Cyprus) with an intention to translate
them back to ecological understanding for the protection of European lakes and to ideally
strengthen the science-policy dialogue. The main pillars of our attempt to address the
"knowing-doing" gap are as follows:

i)   enhance ecological validity and comparability of lake typology and classification
     methods across Europe;

ii) question the justification of reference sites and phytoplankton reference conditions and
     revisit the characterization of phytoplankton components in Greek/transboundary lakes
     and reservoirs classification methods in Med GIG (Greece, Spain, Portugal and Cyprus);
     and

iii) protect Greek/transboundary Balkan lakes from misclassification and especially Lake

170   Megali Prespa, one of the oldest lakes in Europe

172 **METHODS**

        For this article, we obtained information from several sources:

174 (i)   a literature search of scientific publications

(ii)   a search of Joint Research Center technical reports

176   (https://circabc.europa.eu/faces/jsp/extension/wai/navigation/container.jsp)

(iii)   Greek lake datasets, the original physical-chemical and phytoplankton data (period:

178   2012-2015) from the National Water Monitoring Network (NWMN) of the Greek

    Special Secretariat for Waters of the Ministry of Environment and Energy

180 (iv)   Greek Report on the application of phytoplankton index NMASRP for reservoirs in

    Greece submitted in June 2016 and approved in October 2016 by ECOSTAT

182   (https://circabc.europa.eu/webdav/CircaBC/env/wfd/Library/working_groups/ecological

    _status/05%20%20Intercalibration%20of%20Ecological%20Status/Intercalibration%20

184   of%20new%20or%20revised%20methods/Lakes/Phytoplankton/GR_PHP_phytoplankt

    on%20reservoirs%20report%20to%20IC%20RP%20(1).pdf)

186 (v)   Greek Report on the development of the national method for the assessment of

    ecological status of natural lakes in Greece using the biological quality element

188   "phytoplankton" revised and approved in January 2017 by ECOSTAT

    (https://circabc.europa.eu/webdav/CircaBC/env/wfd/Library/working_groups/ecological

190   _status/05%20%20Intercalibration%20of%20Ecological%20Status/Intercalibration%20

    of%20new%20or%20revised%20methods/Lakes/Phytoplankton/GR_phyto_natural%20

192   lakes_jan%202017.pdf)

(vi)   reports and reviews on WFD platform CIRCABC (https://circabc.europa.eu)

194 (vii)   direct contact with ECOSTAT members, WFD Intercalibration (IC) coordinator and

    Greek Special Secretariat for Waters of the Ministry of Environment and Energy

196 (viii) Unpublished data of the authors

198 **LAKE TYPOLOGY**

200   **General**. In his classic lake typology, Thienemann (1925, 1927) distinguished

oligotrophic and eutrophic lakes by their morphometric and hydrographic properties. Small

202 and shallow lakes with broad littoral banks and a high perimeter: area ratios are more often

conducive to eutrophic conditions, while large and deep lakes with narrow littoral banks and a

low perimeter: area ratio are often characterized by oligotrophic conditions. Meanwhile, anthropogenic eutrophication and its regional variability disconnected this equivalence

between morphometry and trophic state. Even a lake as big as Lake Erie (25,744 km^2) became impacted by harmful cyanobacterial blooms (Brooks et al. 2016). On the other hand, small

high elevation mountain lakes with catchment areas containing little soil and mainly bare rock can be oligotrophic (Lampert and Sommer 2007). Still it remains that small low- or midland

lakes can be eutrophic even under pristine conditions and, therefore, a reference state other than large lakes is needed. During the period of intensive eutrophication studies in the 1970s,

a suite of different morphological and hydrographic properties were related to the sensitivity of lakes to eutrophication, such as lake volume, lake area, lake mean depth, drainage basin

area, retention time, and diverse ratios between these fundamental properties (Dillon and Rigler 1975; Vollenweider and Kerekes 1982). Nutrient loading (per unit are or volume) was

defined as a key process linking nutrient export from the watershed to the nutrient inventory of a lake. Smaller lakes receive higher areal or volumetric loading at the same level of nutrient

export. In addition to external loading, internal loading of the epilimnion from the sediment will be stronger in non-stratified or stratified lakes with high ratio of the area of the

epilimnion sediment to epilimnion volume (Fee 1979). Whether a lake stratifies depends on both the maximum lake depth and the surface area, whereas thermocline depth (epilimnion

volume) depends primarily on lake surface area (Gorham and Boyce 1989). The importance of depth is also reflected in the morphoedaphic index (MEI) used to predict fish yield of lakes

(Ryder 1965).

        Lakes morphometry is not only important for the response of in-lake nutrient

inventories to loading, it also has direct implications for several ecosystem functional and structural properties, either as a stand-alone factor or in conjunction with lake productivity,

such as the extent of physiological P-limitation (Guilford et al. 1994) because of increased mixing depth and, therefore, a higher vertical upward transport form nutrients and shift

towards light imitation with increasing wind fetch. Guilford et al. (1994) analysed several physiological indicators of P-limitation of phytoplankton (seston C:P and N:P-ratios,

C:chlorophyll ratio, alkaline phosphatase activity) in 9 Canadian, P-deficient lakes from ca. 0.3 to 82,000 km^2 (all stratified with water renewal time >5 yr) and found a decrease of P-

limitation with increasing area, although the response began to flatten at intermediate areas (around 10 - 20 km^2), depending on the P indicator chosen. A weaker physiological limitation

by a nutrient means that less biomass is built up per unit nutrient (Droop 1973). Along the

same line of thinking, Staehr et al. (2012) studied several measures of whole-ecosystem

238   metabolism in 25 meso- to hypertrophic Danish lakes with surface areas from 0.001 to 17 km^2

and mean depths from 0.5 to 13.5 m and found that gross primary productivity (GPP) and

240   ecosystem respiration (R) showed a negative response to depth while net ecosystem

productivity (NEP = GPP-R) showed a positive response to area and a negative response to

242   percentage forest cover along the shoreline.

The positive relationship of species richness and ecosystem size, as shown by the

244   species-area curve, is one of the cornerstones of ecology. For lakes, such a positive

relationship between lake size and species richness has been reported for fish (Griffith 1997),

246   macrophytes (Rørslett 1991), and zooplankton (Dodson et al. 1992). Surface area appeared

important in favoring the dominance of particular species in an analysis of phytoplankton data

248   from about 1500 lakes in twenty European countries (Maileht et al. 2013). Dodson et al.

(2000) reported a significant interplay between productivity and lake area. In particular, an

250   optimum of phytoplankton species richness was found at intermediate productivity for lake

areas <100 km^2, while a transition to a slightly U-shaped pattern with an overall declining

252   trend was registered for lake areas >100 km^2. In a similar manner, Smith et al. (2005)

analyzed data from 142 different natural ponds, lakes, and oceans and 239 experimental

254   ecosystems that revealed a strong phytoplankton species-area relationship. Similar to taxon

diversity within functional groups, lake size also influences the number of trophic levels.

256   Using stable isotopes as a trophic level proxy, Vander Zanden et al. (1999) found an increase

of the trophic level of the top predator (lake trout) with fish diversity and lake area, except for

258   the largest lakes (Lake Ontario, Lake Superior) in the data set. They argued in favor of

"productive space" (area x productivity) as a more sensible predictor for food chain length.

260   By contrast, Post et al. (2000) showed a linear increase of food chain length with lake volume,

casting doubt on the ability of productivity and productive space to be used as predictors.

262   The aim of lake typology is to produce a simple, ecologically-relevant classification scheme

that effectively balances the degree of specificity (or detail) with ease of implementation.

264   Increased granularity, i.e. more descriptors of typology and finer scale thresholds can

conceivably provide a better representation of all the influential factors, but if the types

266   become too narrowly defined, the number of lakes per type diminishes, reducing the

likelihood of finding a reference (i.e. pristine) lake in each type. Moreover, "natural" limits

268   (gaps in frequency distribution, breakpoints in response curves) should be preferred over

arbitrary ones, but are often difficult to find, because of continuous responses or because

270   different ecological response variables suggest different limits (see citations above). The only

obvious limit is the one between polymictic and summer stratified lakes, which obviously

depends on depth. However, the depth limit between both types depends also on local climate, lake length/wind fetch and water transparency (Read et al. 2014; Kirillin and Shatwell 2016).

But even in the case of stratification type ambiguity arises when a lake with complex morphology consists of stratifying and polymictic basins. Moreover, the traditional mid-lake

or deepest point-sampling misses water quality differences between the different lake basins, which in extreme cases should be dealt as separate water bodies while shoreline features can

comprise a hydraulic storage zone that enhances harmful algal persistence (e.g. Grover et al. 2010).

The difficulties in choosing appropriate depth and area boundaries could be circumvented by using two alternative descriptors, which capture the two dominant

biogeochemical issue related to morphometry, sediment - surface water interactions and land/shore based influences on the lake: the percent share of epilimnion area water in contact

with the sediment (by definition 100% in non-stratifying lakes) and the lake volume: watershed area ratio. Values for type boundaries will have to be decided in the discussion and

decision processes during future modifications of the WFD whereas systems thinking is a pre-requisite to effective WFD implementation (Vlachopoulou et al. 2014; Voulvoulis et al.

2017).

We emphasize, that our typological considerations are tailored to fresh-water and

clear-water lakes, while oligo-haline, brown-water and silted lakes need special treatment, because their specific physico-chemical properties affect biological elements. Accordingly,

WFD (ANNEX II and V) requests transparency and salinity be included in the physico-chemical properties that shape the biological response, while the mean substratum

composition is considered as an optional factor of typology system B (European Commission 2000).

Lake typology in GIGs and Greece. Greece belongs to the Med GIG, started its WFD implementation work in 2004 and the first results for lakes were published in

2008 (phytoplankton metrics and their boundaries). The next phase results for lakes were published in 2014 (phytoplankton methods and their boundaries) (de Hoyos et al. 2014).

Greece participated in the collection of intercalibration data set only with one reservoir (Tavropos) assigned preliminarily by the Med GIG to the Mediterranean type LM5/7 (deep,

large, siliceous with low alkalinity). Phytoplankton metrics and the reference conditions (as site-specific not type-specific) for Tavropos Reservoir were provided to the Med GIG by the

first author of the present work (see Phillips et al. 2013; Pahissa et al. 2015). The next step

followed throughout the WFD implementation in Greece was the 2012-2015 NWMN. In the following, the proposed national typology of Greek lakes resulting from this monitoring effort will be revisited.

The flexibility allowed by the WFD for MSs to develop national methods and typologies has resulted in a wide variety of classification schemes (ca. 673 lake types; Nixon et al. 2012; Poikane et al. 2014). After the IC exercise, only one third of the national lake types were linked to common IC types (Solheim et al. 2011). Even with the reduced classification scheme significant variation exists in selecting descriptors in different GIGs (Pardo et al. 2011). The common biogeographical types across Europe were insufficiently standardized to determine consistent, type-specific reference conditions (Poikane et al. 2014). A characteristic example is the omission of surface area as a lake type descriptor by IC exercise (e.g. Pahissa et al. 2015; Table 2) although a high number (18) of MSs selected this as an important underlying factor (Solheim et al. 2011). A lack of explicit consideration of surface area boundaries for large lakes was indirectly addressed by the adoption of a threshold value of 0.5 km^2 to delineate small lakes (e.g. Wolfram et al. 2014). This is not explained by known lake functional and structural properties (i.e. Guilford et al. 1994) while a high number of lakes (1150) within the EU territory have surface areas larger than 10 km^2 (Tsavdaridou pers.com.).

Correspondence between the IC typology of reservoirs and national typologies can be problematic (de Hoyos et al. 2014). In the Mediterranean GIG, all the reservoirs considered had a mean depth >15 m, a surface area >0.5 and < 50 km^2, a catchment area <20,000 km^2, and were intercalibrated based on different alkalinity/geology and climatic factors (Pahissa et al. 2015). In the same context, Greece recently applied the New Mediterranean Assessment System for Reservoirs Phytoplankton (NMASRP), a multiparametric index composed of four parameters (chlorophyll *a*, total biovolume, IGA Index Des Grups Algals, biovolume of cyanobacteria) (de Hoyos et al. 2014). Twenty reservoirs in Greece were assigned to LM5/7 (deep, large, siliceous with low alkalinity) and LM8 (deep, large, calcareous with high alkalinity) common types of the Mediterranean GIG (Table S1). However, NWMN data (Table S1) suggest that the reservoirs assigned to LM5/7 are characterized by high alkalinity values characteristic of LM8. It is also worth noting that large and deep Greek reservoirs for which the Mediterranean GIG was applied had a wide range of surface areas and mean depth, varying from 0.47 km^2 and 10.5 m (Techniti Limni Feneou) to 66.6 km^2 and 46.7 m (Techniti Limni Kremaston) (Table S1). According to the Med GIG scheme (surface are <50 km^2), Techniti Limni Polyphytou and Kremaston should not be assigned either to LM5/7 or LM8.

In addition, retention time or flushing rate should have been considered as a fundamental type

340   descriptor, because water bodies with faster water renewal can assimilate a larger phosphorus

load with no adverse eutrophication responses compared with slower-flushing water bodies

342   (Lampert and Sommer 2007; Pardo et al. 2011). Flow in riverine reservoirs can be strong

enough to wash out phytoplankton populations, including harmful algae (Grover et al. 2010).

344   Greek national typology splits natural lakes only by mean depth using 9 m as a

threshold for deep stratified lakes. This threshold, not linked to any IC type of the GIGs (e.g.

346   de Hoyos et al. 2014; Wolfram et al. 2014), was a failed attempt to distinguish between

stratification regimes (polymictic and warm monomictic). In fact, the depth separating

348   polymictic from monomictic / dimictic lakes also depends on altitude, lake length, wind

shelter, water transparency and local climate, as shown by recent, physics-based analyses by

350   Read et al. (2014) and Kirillin and Shatwell (2016). The failure of the 9 m threshold can be

seen by comparing two similar shallow and similar sized transboundary lakes of different

352   altitudes in the Mediterranean region, Lake Mikri Prespa (4.7 m deep, 854 m ASL, surface

area 48 km^2) is polymictic (Tryfon et al. 1994), whereas Lake Doirani (4.3 m deep, 146 m

354   ASL, surface area 30 km^2) tends to stratify during the summer months (Temponeras et al.

2000). For this reason, we suggest using actual stratification regimes of shallow lakes instead

356   of a fixed threshold.

          Lake assignment into national types. When designing national typology, the

358   mandate of MSs is to focus on the overall purpose of the Directive outlined in Article 1, i.e.

establish a framework for the protection of inland and other waters, prevent further

360   deterioration, and protect and enhance the ecosystem status. Typology is the tool to assist the

Article 1 goal by facilitating the impartial relative assessment of comparable ecosystems.

362   Using defensible values for water quality descriptors, lake typology should ensure that

appropriate phytoplankton reference sites with type-specific baseline conditions are selected

364   in order to offer a reliable ecological status classification of non-reference lakes with similar

basic characteristics (e.g. surface area, altitude, salinity, turbidity). Here, we will use the

366   example of Greece to illustrate our doubts about the scientific validity of the practices

followed. Lakes Kourna and Paralimni (Table 1) have been selected as phytoplankton

368   reference sites for deep and shallow lakes, respectively, while lake size and any other basic

features like altitude, salinity, climate factors, inherent detritus water content have not been

370   considered as typology descriptors. Furthermore, descriptors that capture systems

biogeochemical issues related to morphometry such as sediment - surface water interactions

372   and land/shore based influences on the lake have also not considered. As shown in Table 1,

the ecological-grouping of lakes is highly questionable as it clusters together systems with

374   significant differences in their basic limnological and climatic characteristics. Based on

evidence provided in Table 1, Figures 1-3 and Tables S2, S3 Kourna and Paralimni cannot

376   serve as reference sites for the large lakes of Greece and transboundary Balkan lakes. As will

be discussed in the following section, the biological justification of the selected reference sites

378   is also profoundly problematic.

Table 1. Evidence for ecologically invalid national lake typology

Lake Kourna, the reference site for deep stratified lakes (type GR-DNL) is:

- small (0.6 km^2 surface area) at 19 m ASL in the Mediterranean island of Crete with an average annual air temperature of the area 19 °C (Dimitriou et al. 2009)

- brackish water with salinity in the range of oligo-haline transitional waters (ANNEX II, WFD): Cl concentrations 308-1194 mg L^{-1} (Table S2)

Lake Megali Prespa assigned to the same type GR-DNL, is:

- *large freshwater (250 km^2 surface area) at ~850 m ASL with an average annual air temperature of the area 9.5 °C (Popovska and Bonacci 2007)*

Lake Paralimni the reference site for shallow polymictic lakes (type GR-SNL) is:

- located at 37 m ASL with annual range of water temperature from 7.4 to 27.7°C (Table S3)

- dried up during the decades of 1990 and 2000, characterized by high suspended matter (detritus and inorganic; Fig. 1) and a subsequent low water transparency (0.7 - 1.0 m Secchi depth at low phytoplankton biovolume 0.8- 1.4 mm^3 L^{-1}, respectively in July-August 2017; Table S4).

Lake Mikri Prespa assigned to the same type GR-SNL is:

- *located at ~854 m ASL, covered with ice in cold winters*

- *not characterized by high concentrations of non- living suspended matter, low water transparency is associated with high phytoplankton biomass (e.g. Tryfon et al. 1994).*

Figure 1. Micrograph of a water sample of Lake Paralimni in July 2017: phytoplankton

382   individuals, aggregates of colonial small-celled cyanobacteria and non-living suspended

particles. Black arrows show aggregates. Scale bar is 20 μm. Insert: An aggregate in

384   magnification. Scale bar is 10 μm.

386   Figure 2. Temporal phytoplankton and cyanobacteria biovolume variations in Greek shallow

lakes, Paralimni (reference site) and Mikri Prespa (moderate ecological status).

Figure 3. Micrograph of a water bloom sample of Lake Paralimni in September 2017. *Microcystis* colonies attacked by bacteria. Scale bar is 50 μm. Insert: A magnification of attacked *Microcystis* colony. Scale bar is 10 μm.

UNDERSTANDING THE ECOLOGY BEHIND PHYTOPLANKTON METRICS AND INDICES

Ecological gaps associated with phytoplankton and cyanobacteria biovolume. The selection of two highly questionable reference sites led to the paradoxical situation, in which the reference value for total phytoplankton biovolume in deep lakes became higher than that for shallow lakes (1.29 mm^3 L^{-1} in deep lakes; median of 7 values from the warm period based on two years of data from Lake Kourna) as opposed to 0.74 mm^3 L^{-1} in shallow lakes (median of 12 values from the warm period based on four years of data from Lake Paralimni) (Tables S4, S5). This delineation of the baseline conditions contradicts widespread limnological experience, as well as the reference definitions by other MSs across the GIGs (Table S6). For example the reference values for Austria are 0.3 mm^3 L^{-1} for deep L-AL3 lakes (annual means) and 0.7 mm^3 L^{-1} for shallower L-AL4 lakes (Wolfram et al. 2009). While the higher values set for Greek lakes may partly stem from the warm period values and overly ambitious Austrian targets, the inversion between Greek shallow and deep lakes is void of any ecological plausibility.

Phytoplankton has been sampled during 2012-2015, four consecutive years for most lakes, but in some cases only during three years (e.g. Prespa lakes and Lake Kourna). For the reference Lake Kourna, one of the three sampling years (2014) has been excluded from the analysis (Table S5), without obvious scientific reason. Noteworthy is that the excluded phytoplankton biovolume values were higher (range from 1.28 to 6.54 mm^3 L^{-1}) than those

from the two remaining years (Table S5) included in the analysis, indicative of significant

414   interannual variability in phytoplankton biomass, as is usually the case for other lakes (e.g.
Moustaka-Gouni 1993; Roelke et al. 2004). Inclusion of the year 2014 would have led to an

416   even higher reference phytoplankton biovolume value (2.0 mm^3 L^{-1} instead of 1.29 mm^3 L^{-1},
almost three times the reference value of shallow Greek lakes), which reinforces our point

418   that Lake Kourna is absolutely unsuitable as a reference site (Table 2). The reference
phytoplankton biovolume levels for large, deep Greek reservoirs, assigned into two IC types

420   LM5/7 and LM8 (Table S1) in application of the NMASRP index (Greece, Cyprus and
Portugal), are 1.2 and 0.9 mm^3 L^{-1} (Table S6), respectively (de Hoyos et al. 2014). Given that

422   the lower retention time in reservoirs compared to lakes should lead to a weaker biovolume
response to nutrients (Lampert and Sommer 2007), it can be easily inferred that the practices

424   followed in Greece have resulted in counter intuitively higher reference values relative to the
one established for shallow Greek lakes (0.74 mm^3 L^{-1}). Compared to other Mediterranean

426   countries using the same metrics/indices (Table S6), the reference phytoplankton biovolume
of 1.2 mm^3 L^{-1} for Tavropos Reservoir of LM5/7 IC type used by Greece, Cyprus and

428   Portugal is much higher than the 0.36 mm^3 L^{-1} reference phytoplankton biovolume used for
the same IC type LM5/7 by Spanish MS in the MASRP index (de Hoyos et al. 2014).

430   Interestingly, the 0.36 mm^3 L^{-1} value was originally derived from the Tavropos Reservoir data
and provided by the first author of this work to the IC data set (see Maileht et al. 2013;

432   Pahissa et al. 2015), but Greece applied the phytoplankton index NMASRP (used in Cyprus
and Portugal) and not the MASRP (used in Spain) and the adopted reference-value ended up

434   being four times higher for the same reservoir. Insufficient clarification of the methods chosen
by authorities and insufficient evaluation of alternative indices have also been reported from

436   other MSs (e.g. Stoyneva et al. 2014). Comparing the reference phytoplankton biovolume
levels for deep reservoirs of the three Mediterranean MSs (Greece, Cyprus and Portugal) with

438   the reference values of deep/stratified lakes in other GIGs (Table S6) shows higher biovolume
values in reservoirs, although their expected lower retention time should lead to lower

440   biovolume because of faster washout.

Table 2. Evidence for unjustified reference phytoplankton sites/conditions

Lake Kourna, reference for GR-DNL type is characterized by:

- High phytoplankton biovolume (average 2.0 mm^3 L^{-1}; Table S5)
- Strong dominance of *Scenedesmus* (Table 3), known from shallow hypertrophic lakes (e.g. Sommer 1989; Reynolds et al. 2002) or being predominant in eutrophic deep lakes (Salmaso et al. 2006) or in shallow tropical brackish waters (Panigrahi et al. 2009)

Lake Paralimni, reference for GR-SNL lake type is characterized by:

- High phytoplankton annual and inter annual variability with maxima exceeding 4 mm^3 L^{-1} (Fig. 2; Table S4)
- Average summer 2017 cyanobacterial biovolume 1.4 mm^3 L^{-1} exceeding the G/M boundary (Katsiapi et al. 2016)
- Average cyanobacteria biovolume during national monitoring 16fold the defined reference value of 0.01 mm^3 L^{-1}. This contradiction emerged, because in the Greek methods for one and the same lake the median instead of the mean of biovolume (total and cyanobacteria) was set as the reference value, ignoring the seasonal and interannual phytoplankton variability in contrast to other MSs or GIG's methods (e.g. Mischke et al. 2008; Wolfram et al. 2009, 2014)
- Strong dominance of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and *M. panniformis* forming a thin surface bloom (max biovolume 2.9 mm^3 L^{-1}; Figs 2 and 3, Table S4)
- Similar average total phytoplankton biovolume (1.60 mm^3 L^{-1}) to that of Lake Mikri Prespa (1.67 mm^3 L^{-1})- classified as moderate ecological status by the Greek method- during the years 2012-2017 (Fig. 2, Table S4)

According to WFD Annex V (European Commission 2000), frequency and intensity
448 of planktonic blooms are important facets of phytoplankton dynamics. Cyanobacteria
biovolume or their percentage contribution to total phytoplankton biovolume has been a
450 recommended taxonomic or bloom metric for MSs of GIG's (e.g. de Hoyos et al. 2014;
Solheim et al. 2014) because of the well-known relationships between cyanobacterial blooms
452 and eutrophication and the adverse effects of cyanobacterial blooms on water quality and
ecosystem services (e.g. Brooks et al. 2016). However, not all cyanobacteria are included in
454 the Greek classification method for lakes and reservoirs as well as in the Med GIG assessment
methods for reservoirs (de Hoyos et al. 2014) in contrast to the methods of MSs of other

456 GIGs, except the method of UK in the Northern lakes GIG (Solheim et al. 2014). In

particular, all genera of the Chroococcales except for *Microcystis* and *Woronichinia* spp. were

458 excluded in the Mediterranean GIG methods (de Hoyos et al. 2014). *Microcystis* species were

deemed an essential surrogate of eutrophication severity due to their dominance and bloom

460 formation in eutrophic water bodies. However, significant ecological information (early

warning signals of on-going degradation) can be lost by excluding other chroococcal

462 cyanobacteria species forming blooms in mesotrophic or slightly eutrophic lakes. For

example, recurring blooms of small-sized chroococcal cyanobacteria dominated by

464 *Aphanocapsa*, typically found in eutrophic waters, have been reported in Prespa lakes (Tryfon

et al. 1994; Reynolds et al. 2002; Katsiapi et al. 2012). Further, *Woronichinia*, known from

466 eutrophic and mesotrophic lakes in central - north Europe (e.g. Wilk-Woźniak et al. 2003), is

abundant together with *Snowella* in oligotrophic and mesotrophic Finish lakes (Rajaniemi-

468 Wacklin et al. 2005). In Greece, *Woronichinia* is rare in lakes over the entire trophic

spectrum, while the sister-genus *Snowella* is among the dominant genera in eutrophic lakes

470 (Moustaka-Gouni 1993; Tryfon et al. 1994; Moustaka- Gouni et al. 2010). In addition, some

Aphanocapsa and *Microcystis* species, commonly observed in Greek lakes, are mere

472 synonyms (e.g. the previously named *Microcystis incerta*, and now known as *Aphanocapsa

incerta*) and/or have substantial overlap in cell/colony dimensions and morphology, while

474 other excluded species of chroococcal genera (*Cyanodictyon*, *Aphanothece*, *Radiocystis*,

Merismopedia, *Sphaerocavum*) are abundant in mesotrophic and eutrophic lakes (Hindak and

476 Moustaka 1988; Tryfon et al. 1994; Reynolds et al. 2002). Therefore, the unjustified

exclusion of several important chroococcal species from the assessment of cyanobacteria

478 biovolume used to characterize phytoplankton blooms in Greece, Cyprus and Portugal can

potentially undermine our ability to detect the very critical "moderate" ecological conditions.

Problems associated with phytoplankton indices. For Greek reservoirs as for other

482 Mediterranean MSs (Spain, Portugal, Cyprus), the index IGA (Table S7), originally

developed for Catalonian reservoirs (Catalan and Ventura 2003) was adopted as a

484 phytoplankton compositional index. This seems to be a plausible choice in principle due to

the similarity of the prevailing conditions in the Mediterranean region (Moustaka-Gouni et al.

486 2014). However, there are problems with the inclusion of at least four indicator phytoplankton

groups (colonial chrysophytes, colonial diatoms, non-colonial diatoms, dinoflagellates) and

488 with the weighting factor of one group (colonial Volvocales). Colonial chrysophytes are not

indicative of eutrophication, e.g. *Dinobryon* is also dominant in most oligotrophic Greek

reservoirs (Moustaka-Gouni and Nikolaidis 1992). The association of colonial diatoms with eutrophication might be true for *Aulacoseira*, while genera of the Fragilariaceae family (*Asterionella*, *Fragilaria*, *Tabellaria*) can be abundant throughout the spectrum from oligotrophic to eutrophic lakes and reservoirs, e.g. *Asterionella gracillima* is dominant in the oligotrophic reservoir Tavropos (Moustaka-Gouni and Nikolaidis 1992), a reference Greek site. Colonial Volvocales are correctly assigned to the numerator but the high weighting factor (3, as compared to 4 for the Cyanobacteria) seems unjustified, because none of their blooms qualifies as HAB (harmful algal bloom). Including dinoflagellates into the denominator mischaracterizes the occurrence of blooms of *Ceratium* and *Peridinium* in eutrophic reservoirs (e.g. Hart and Wragg 2009; Kang et al. 2008), the *Ceratium* blooms in eutrophic lakes (e.g. Temponeras et al. 2000; Reynolds et al. 2002), the *Peridinium gatunense* blooms in meso-eutrophic Lake Kinneret (Zohary et al., 1998), and the *Peridinium-Woronichinia* association in stratified mesotrophic lakes (Reynolds et al. 2002). The position of non-colonial diatoms in the denominator is also problematic. It is justified for some solitary *Synedra* spp. but not all (e.g. *Synedra acus* known from eutrophic shallow lakes and rivers), while the presence of *Cyclotella* spp. and *Stephanodiscus* spp. along the oligo- to eutrophic continuum is also species-specific (Sommer 1985; Sommer et al. 1993; Reynolds et al. 2002). Instead of the IGA index, it is evident that species-specific indicators of composition metrics may be more appropriate (e.g. Marchetto et al. 2008 in Italy), taking into consideration the tolerance to flushing as a key phytoplankton trait for reservoir community assembly and the reservoir phytoplankton as an intermediate between lake plankton and potamoplankton. On the other hand, the high weighting factor for cyanobacteria resulting in high IGA values whenever a reservoir experiences a cyanobacterial bloom does not add value to the simply assessed bloom by calculating cyanobacteria biovolume. There is also a contradiction between the two phytoplankton compositional descriptors (community description and IGA index) used in the Mediterranean GIG methods regarding the taxa used as eutrophication indicators in LM5/7 reservoirs (see de Hoyos et al. 2014). For instance according to the community description, reservoirs at Maximum Ecological Potential in the Mediterranean are dominated by the colonial chrysophyte *Dinobryon*, colonial chlorococcales (*Sphaerocystis* and *Coenochloris*) and the colonial diatom *Asterionella*, which are all placed in the numerator together with cyanobacteria as indicators of eutrophication.

Table 3. List of phytoplankton genera: a) dominant with contribution >10 % to the total abundance or biovolume in each sampling of the reference sites Lake Kourna (type GR-DNL)

and Lake Paralimni (type GR-SNL) of the national monitoring data set and b) which are
presented as the descriptors of the phytoplankton community of the high status in the Greek
classification method on the basis of the same national monitoring data set. In Bold: the
wrong genera. Bold with one asterisk: genera of (a) not included in (b). Bold with two
asterisks: genera of (b) not included in (a). D: dominant.

| | GR-DNL type Reference site Lake Kourna | | | GR-SNL type Reference site Lake Paralimni | |
	Abundance	Biomass		Abundance	Biomass
CYANOBACTERIA			CYANOBACTERIA		
			Microcystis	D	D
			Anabaena		D
CHLOROPHYTES			CHLOROPHYTES		
***Sphaerocystis**			***Sphaerocystis**		
Monoraphidium	D		*Monoraphidium*	D	
Oocystis	D	D	*Oocystis*	D	D
***Scenedesmus**	D	D			
***Elakatothrix**					
***Chlamydomonas**	D				
DESMIDS			DESMIDS		
***Closterium**			***Cosmarium**		
***Staurastrum**			***Staurastrum**		
DIATOMS			DIATOMS		
Cyclotella	D	D	*Cyclotella*	D	D
			Synedra	D	D
			***Aulacoseira**		
EUGLENOPHYTES			EUGLENOPHYTES		
			***Euglena**	D	D
CRYPTOPHYTES			CRYPTOPHYTES		
***Cryptomonas**			*Cryptomonas*	D	D
***Rhodomonas**			*Rhodomonas*	D	
DINOPHYTES			DINOPHYTES		
Ceratium		D	*Ceratium*	D	D
Peridiniopsis		D	*Peridiniopsis*		D
***Peridinium**		D	*Peridinium*	D	D
CHRYSOPHYTES			CHRYSOPHYTES		
***Dinobryon**			*Dinobryon*	D	D

The Nygaard index, modified by Ott and Laugaste (1996) for small Estonian lakes,
was selected for the ecological classification of large Greek lakes (Table S7). Latvia (Central
Baltic GIG) also used the adapted Estonian lake phytoplankton method including this
modified Nygaard index (Phillips et al. 2015). In this index, the centric diatoms are placed in
the numerator together with cyanobacteria as indicators of eutrophication while the presence

of the most common centrales *Cyclotella* and *Stephanodiscus* along the oligo- to eutrophic continuum is species-specific (e.g. Reynolds et al. 2002). Because the diatoms *Cyclotella commensis* and *C. bodanica* from Centrales were restricted to high and good quality reservoirs, the selected index was further modified by the Greek authorities to exclude Centrales as an indicator of eutrophication according to Katsiapi et al. (2016). However, according to this modification of the Nygaard index (Table S7), cryptophytes should also be excluded (Katsiapi et al. 2016) since they are not restricted to eutrophic waters, while strong seasonality characterizes their dominance in oligotrophic large lakes (Sommer et al. 1993). Most importantly, there is a clear contradiction between the two phytoplankton compositional descriptors (community description and modified Nygaard index) used in the Greek method regarding the taxa used as eutrophication indicators in the same report. For instance according to the phytoplankton community description, high ecological status Greek lakes are dominated by the chlorococcales *Oocystis* and *Monoraphidium*, the cryptophytes *Cryptomonas* and *Rhodomonas* along with a small number of chrysophytes (Table 3), while chlorococcal genera can also be encountered in lakes of good ecological status. However, in the modified Nygaard index, Chlorococcales and Cryptophyta (which include the above mentioned genera) are placed in the numerator together with cyanobacteria and euglenophytes, as indicators of eutrophication. Consequently, the application of the Greek modified Nygaard index on phytoplankton communities can lead to mischaracterization of lake ecological status relative to existing empirical evidence.

Major phytoplankton genus/species level changes occurring during seasons are widespread in lake phytoplankton. Therefore, the description of phytoplankton communities for high, good and moderate status presented in reports is not a reliable status indication because of insufficient coverage of seasons. Moreover, there is a great discrepancy between the genera in described communities at high status in both deep and shallow lakes and the genera dominant in abundance or biovolume in the reference sites Lake Kourna and Lake Paralimni, all genera/data based on one and the same national monitoring (NWMN) data set (Table 3).

PhyCoI, a new phytoplankton community index suggested by Katsiapi et al. (2016) for assessing ecological water quality and tested by research data from Greek lakes and reservoirs was rejected by the Greek authorities because of a formal lack of compliance with WFD requirements. The lack of compliance according to the Greek reports was that no conversion of the 0-5 scale of the five ecological classes into the scale of 0-1 of EQR was provided and the scoring was made by expert judgment based on spatial data. While the conversion from a

570 0-5 to a 0-1 scale could have easily been made, Greek authorities refused expert judgment

but see Baattrup-Pedersen et al. 2017). This index is calculated from the scores of five

572 different metrics/sub-indices including two sub-indices of biodiversity metrics, which is

among the priority issues identified for enhancing WFD implementation (Reyjol et al. 2014).

574 The Greek ecological classification method for lakes is composed of four metrics(chlorophyll

a, total biovolume, modified Nygaard index, biovolume of cyanobacteria), that(except

576 chlorophyll) have been critically reviewed above. The establishment of the Greek method was

based on regression analyses of the developed index from 2014 and 2015 phytoplankton data

578 against TP concentrations from 2015 alone, i.e. the same TP values were apparently regressed

against the phytoplankton data from 2014 (Table S8). This double use is conceptually

580 illegitimate and statistically invalid, because of non-independence of the data used for the

predictor variable. Lakes Kourna and Paralimni, which as made abundantly clear in our paper,

582 are not appropriate types for determining the status of large deep and shallow

Greek/transboundary lakes and do not qualify as representing reference conditions. In doing

584 so, the protection targets of Greek/transboundary Balkan lakes are unjustifiably relaxed and

introduce comparability problems of ecological status across Europe, even though the

586 cognitive basis of the WFD amply demonstrates how knowledge is supposed to support the

definition, monitoring and assessment of water management actions (Steyaert and Ollivier

588 2007).

590 **THE PARADIGM OF LAKE MEGALI PRESPA, A EUROPEAN ENDAGERED MONUMENT NEEDING PROTECTION**

592 Lake Megali Prespa is a transboundary lake shared by Greece, the Former

Yugoslavian Republic of Macedonia (FYROM) and Albania. It is a monomictic lake located

594 at ~850 m above sea level with a surface area of ~250km^2 (Table 1; Katsiapi et al. 2012),

maximum depth ~50 m, and mean depth ~20 m (Löffler et al. 1998). Lake Megali Prespa has

596 been designated as part of the largest national park in Greece and is covered by the Ramsar

Convention (http://www.ramsar.org), as it hosts a significant number of rare and endemic

598 species (Albrecht and Wilke 2008). However, over the last few decades there has been

increasing concern over its ecological status due to ongoing eutrophication and water level

600 decline. Based on the NMWN phytoplankton biovolume data (2012-2014; Table S9) and

using the boundaries for status classes and the type - specific functions for stratified lake

602 types (IC relevant lake types AL3 and AL4) according to Mischke et al. (2008) Lake Megali

Prespa is classified as lower than good ecological status (Table S9). Phytoplankton biovolume

604 (3.85 mm^3 L^{-1}) was measured in the lake in 2008, indicative of a lower than good water

quality (Katsiapi et al., 2012). The phytoplankton community index PhyCoI (Katsiapi et al.

606 2016) using the most recent phytoplankton data (2015-2016; Katsiapi et al. in prep.) is

indicative of moderate ecological water quality. Furthermore, cyanobacteria made a high

608 contribution (29.7 - 60.0%) to the annual total phytoplankton biovolume during the years

2012 – 2014 (Table S9). In June 2016, a cyanobacterial bloom occurred in Lake Megali

610 Prespa, dominated by the species *Anabaena/Dolichospermum lemmermanii* (Figure 4), known

for its ability to produce various toxins (e.g. microcystins, anatoxins, saxitoxin) (Lepistö et al.

612 2005). It has been confirmed as an anatoxin producer and cause of bird kills in Danish Lakes

(Onodera et al. 1997).

616 Figure 4. Micrograph of water bloom sample of Lake Megali Prespa in June

2016. *Anabaena lemmermanii*, *Dinobryon bavaricum* and *Cyclotella* species are shown. Scale

618 bar is 50 μm.

620   Prespa lakes is a well-known location in Europe for bird breeding and overwintering

due to its high richness and internationally important endangered species (Catsadorakis 1997),

622 holding the largest population of Dalmatian pelicans in the Mediterranean (Catsadorakis et al.

2015). The Dalmatian pelican (*Pelecanus crispus*) is currently a species of global

624 conservation concern, listed as "Vulnerable" in the IUCN Red List (IUCN 2014).

Cyanotoxins were a plausible cause for this bird's mass mortality episode in the Greek Karla

626 Reservoir in 2016 (Papadimitriou et al. 2018). Therefore, such cyanobacterial blooms pose

threats for the rich, unique and vulnerable bird life of the lake. In the same context of water

628 quality degradation, Salmaso et al. (2015) identified a strong association between the shift of Lake Garda (large and deep lake south of Alps) from ultraoligotrophy-oligotrophy to oligo-

630 mesotrophy and the development of *D. lemmermannii* surface blooms. The biovolume value of the same species in the trophogenic layer of Lake Megali Prespa in 2016 was 50 times

632 higher than the corresponding biovolume in Lake Garda indicating higher than mesotrophic conditions. Moreover, in Lake Megali Prespa, a persistent *Anabaena* toxic bloom occurred

634 during May - September 2010in the area of the lake close to the Greek - FYROM borders (Krstić 2012). Therefore, the recorded phytoplankton blooms in the lake during 2008-2016

636 are obvious symptoms of eutrophication, calling for action by the local and national water authorities. Further, functional metrics/indices of food-web, i.e. zooplankton grazing potential

638 (although not included in the biological elements of WFD) showed that Lake Megali Prespa is undergoing eutrophication and water quality degradation (Katsiapi et al. 2012; Katsiapi et al.

640 in prep.). The overall status of the lake that the WFD seeks to improve rather than the individual element phytoplankton outlined in Annex V of the Directive is required (see

642 Voulvoulis et al. 2017).

   All the above mentioned plankton attributes in combination with the strong lowering

644 of mean annual water transparency in the deep and large Lake Megali Prespa, 4.4 to 2.2 m during 2012-2016 (Table S10) as opposed to 10.0 to 7.2 m during the 30s and 50s (Löffler et

646 al. 1998), the recent summer anoxia and accumulation of phosphate phosphorus and ammonia nitrogen above sediment (Matzinger et al. 2006) are signs of degradation of high and good

648 water quality. The ecological health and integrity of Lake Megali Prespa is further deteriorated by water losses to irrigation, which have been shown to accentuate the severity of

650 eutrophication phenomena and may put at risk the future status of the neighboring Lake Ohrid (Matzinger et al. 2006). Yet, in the revised RBMP of Greece in 2017 based on the NWMN

652 (http://wfdver.ypeka.gr/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/EL09_1REV_P13_Prosxedia_LAP_v02.pdf), Lake Megali Prespa

654 has been classified in good ecological status compared to the moderate ecological status classification based on expert judgment and reported in the first RBMP

656 (http://wfdver.ypeka.gr/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/files/GR09/GR09_P26d_Perilipsi_Prespes_EN.pdf), although no

658 evidence of water quality improvement has been reported during the 2014-2017 period. This may demonstrate how invalid reference site (Lake Kourna in Crete) and reference conditions,

660 choice of ecologically inappropriate indices (as made clear in our paper) and lack of expert judgment can lead to misclassification of Lake Megali Prespa ecological status.

662   Misclassification may lead to wrong management decisions (Søndergaard et al. 2016), i.e. to omission or at least delay of necessary and sufficient restoration measures to prevent further

664   deterioration, protect Greek lakes and especially Lake Megali Prespa, the ancient sister of Lake Ohrid.

SYNTHESIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Our analysis is meant to offer a constructive critique, sensu Toomey (2016), driven by the

motivation to help legal authorities to understand better how scientific shortcomings in lake typology, selection of reference sites and inappropriate biological indices may bias the

outcome of lake ecological classification. The ecological misclassification of Greek/transboundary lakes and reservoirs based on phytoplankton metrics during the current

WFD implementation is seen as just one example, helping to identify similar analogies elsewhere and to overcome comparability difficulties of ecological status assessment across

Europe. Deficiencies are seen in all steps needed to establish a valid assessment of lake and reservoir ecological status:

1) The lake and reservoir typology of Greek/transboundary lakes and reservoirs has failed to take essential components such as surface area, salinity, content of non-living matter and

retention time into account. In addition, links of national types to common intercalibration types are missing (depth) or are unclear (alkalinity).

2) The choice of reference lakes has been arbitrary, which consequently are poor representatives of their "type". Besides being minimally impacted, reference lakes should

also represent typical properties of their type in terms of surface area, altitude, salinity, suspended non-living matter, etc. rather than being outliers.

3) The phytoplankton indices originally used in other Mediterranean and Central Baltic GIG MSs partly contain erroneous assignments of phytoplankton groups to eutrophic vs.

oligotrophic waters when applied to Greek lakes and reservoirs. The reference values of phytoplankton metric biovolume in Greek deep lakes and deep Mediterranean reservoirs

in Greece, Cyprus and Portugal are higher compared to the reference values of the same reservoir types in Spain, of the Greek shallow lakes and of the deep and shallow lake

types (most relevant) in other MSs of the GIGs. Exclusion of most chroococcal species from the assessment of cyanobacterial metric in Greek lakes and most Mediterranean

reservoirs in contrast to other GIGs can potentially undermine the ability to detect on-going degradation in water quality.

4) As a consequence of the shortcomings listed above, there is limited comparability of the ecological status between Greek/transbounbdary lakes with other European lakes and the bar of quality standards has been too low in order to provide an efficient target for the protection and restoration of critically important lakes such as the ancient European Lake Megali Prespa.

We seek to overcome the deficiencies of the current practice in order to protect and restore Greek/transboundary lakes from environmental degradation, in particular eutrophication. Since the Commission plans to review the practices followed during WFD implementation, our paper intends to shed light on methodological weaknesses and knowledge gaps that undermine the ecological foundation of WFD and the efficacy of the associated remedial measures in restoring eutrophic lakes. Our recommendations are:

1) Retain depth and add surface area size as main pillars of lake/reservoir typology in order to improve comparability and ecological relevance across Europe. Add retention time as a fundamental type descriptor in reservoirs.

2) Replace fixed limits between "shallow" and "deep" lakes by the boundary between polymictic and stratifying lakes. In addition, stratifying lakes should be divided into "medium deep stratifying" and "deep stratifying" lakes to discern the naturally eutrophic and oligotrophic type.

3) The scientific community should consider to add descriptors or replace size and depth criteria by two alternative descriptors, which capture dominant biogeochemical issues related to morphometry: the share of epilimnion area water in contact with the sediment (by definition 100% in non-stratifying lakes) and the lake volume: watershed area ratio.

4) Reference lakes and reservoirs should not only be non-impacted (or minimally impacted) but should also be representative of physical conditions of their type. If salinity, turbidity, retention time etc. do not justify the establishment of separate types because of the small numbers of systems found, atypical lakes and reservoirs must not be selected as reference sites.

5) Despite the high number of indices developed during WFD implementation there is a need to critically review and further develop biological indices with the primary goal of eliminating ecologically erroneous species/genera/group assignments and the secondary goal of achieving greater unification. Future index development should be based both on structural and functional attributes of the community such as biodiversity and food web metrics.

730   6) Reference values of the key metric of phytoplankton method, the biovolume, should be ecologically sound to achieve comparability across Europe.

732   Cyanobacteria biovolume used as a taxonomic or bloom metric should be the sum of all cyanobacterial species biovolume.

734   7) In accordance with the precautionary principle, special scrutiny is needed when class boundaries (e.g. in typology, reference conditions) set by one MS are

736   conspicuously relaxed compared to other MSs.

       8) Ecological expertise in the Universities should not be avoided in favor of

738   consultancy contracts. Universities should function as supporting competent authorities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

       We thank the Directorate for the Protection and Management of Water Resources of

744   the Special Secretariat for Waters, Ministry of Environment and Energy for providing to M. M-G, member of the Greek National Committee for ecological classification, the lake data

746   sets, the original physical-chemical and phytoplankton data (2012-2015) from the Greek National Water Monitoring Network.

REFERENCES

Albrecht C, Wilke T (2008) Ancient Lake Ohrid: biodiversity and evolution. Hydrobiologia 615: 103-140

Arle J, Mohaupt V, Kirst I (2016) Monitoring of Surface Waters in Germany under the Water Framework Directive-A Review of Approaches, Methods and Results. Water 8: 217

Baattrup-Pedersen A, Larsen ES, Riis T (2013) From expert judgement to supervised classification: A new approach to assess ecological status in lowland streams. Sci Total Environ 447: 116–122

Baattrup-Pedersen A, Göthe E, Riis T, Andersen KD, Larsen ES (2017) A new paradigm for biomonitoring: an example building on the Danish Stream Plant Index. Methods Ecol Evol 8: 297–307

Boeuf B, Fritsch O (2016) Studying the implementation of the Water Framework Directive in Europe: a meta-analysis of 89 journal articles. Ecol Soc 21: 19

Brooks BW, Lazorchak JM, Howard MD, Johnson MV, Morton SL, Perkins DA, Reavie E D, Scott GI, Smith SA, Steevens JA (2016) Are harmful algal blooms becoming the greatest inland water quality threat to public health and aquatic ecosystems? Environ Toxicol Chem 35: 6-13

Catalan J, Ventura M (2003) Desenvolupament d´un índex integral de qualitat ecológica iregionalizació ambiental dels sistemes lacustres de Catalunya. Agència Catalana de l´Aigua.

Catsadorakis G (1997) The importance of Prespa National Park for breeding and wintering birds. In: Crivelli AJ, Catsadorakis G (eds) Lake Prespa, Northwestern Greece. Developments in Hydrobiology, vol 122, Springer, Dordrecht

Catsadorakis G, Onmus O, Bugariu S, Gül O, Hatzilacou D, Hatzofe O, Malakou M, Michev T, Naziridis T, Nikolaou H, Rudenko A, Saveljic D, Shumka S, Sıkı M, Crivelli A (2015) Current status of the Dalmatian pelican and the great white pelican populations of the Black Sea/Mediterranean flyway. Endanger Species Res 27: 119-130

De Hoyos C, Catalán J, Dorflinger G, Ferreira J, Kemitzoglou D, Laplace-Treyture C, Pahissa J, Marchetto A, Mihail O, Morabito G, Polykarpou P, Romão F, Tsiaoussi V (2014) Water Framework Directive Intercalibration Technical Report: Mediterranean Lake Phytoplankton Ecological Assessment Methods. Publications Office of theEuropean Union. Available at http:// publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ repository/ bitstream/

111111111/294/1/reqno_jrc51339_3008_08-volumeriver_dec09.pdf. Assessed 29
December 2017

Dillon PJ, Rigler FA (1975) A simple method for predicting the capacity of a lake for development based o lake trophic status. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32: 1519-1531

Dimitriou E, Moussoulis E, Stamati F, Nikolaidis N (2009) Modelling hydrological characteristics of Mediterranean temporary ponds and potential impacts from climate change. Hydrobiologia 634: 195–208.

Dodson SI (1992) Predicting crustacean zooplankton species richness. Limnol Oceanogr 37:848-856

Dodson SI, Arndt SE, Cottingham KL (2000) The relationship in lake communities between primary productivity and species richness. Ecology 81: 2662-2679

Droop M (1973) Some thoughts on nutrient limitation in algae. J Phycol 9: 264-272

EEA-ETC (2012) European Environment Agency. Ecological and chemical status and pressures in European waters ETC/ICM Technical Report 1/2012. http://icm.eionet.europa.eu/ETC_Reports/EcoChemStatusPressInEurWaters_201211. Accessed 2 January 2018

European Commission (EC) (2000) Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for the Community action in the field of water policy. Official Journal of the European Communities L 327: 1-72, European Commission, Brussels.

Fee JE (1979) A relation between lake morphometry and primary productivity and its use in interpreting whole-lake eutrophication experiments. Limnol Oceanogr 24: 401-416

Gorham E, Boyce FM (1989) Influence of Lake Surface Area and Depth Upon Thermal Stratification and the Depth of the Summer Thermocline. J Great Lakes Res 15: 233-245

Griffith D (1997) Local and regional species richness in North American lacustrine fish. J Anim Ecol 66:49-56

Grover J, Crane K, Baker J, Brooks B, Roelke D (2010) Spatial variation of harmful algae and their toxins in flowing-water habitats: a theoretical exploration. J Plankton Res 33: 211-227.

Guildford SJ, Hendzel LL, Kling HJ, Fee EJ, Robinson GGC, Hecky RE, Kasian SEM (1994) Effects of Lake Size on Phytoplankton Nutrient Status. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 51: 2769-2783

Hammer M, Balfors B, Mörtberg U, Petersson M, Quin A (2011) Governance of Water Resources in the Phase of Change: A Case Study of the Implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive in Sweden. Ambio 40: 210–220

Hart RC, Wragg PD (2009) Recent blooms of the dinoflagellate Ceratium in Albert Falls Dam (KZN): History, causes, spatial features and impacts on a reservoir ecosystem and its zooplankton. Water SA 35: 455-468

Hindak F, Moustaka M (1988) Planktic cyanophytes of Lake Volvi, Greece. Algol Stud/Archiv Hydrobiol Suppl 80: 497–528

IUCN. 2014. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. http://www.iucnredlist.org. Accessed 29 December 2017

Kang YK, Cho SY, Kang YH, Katano T, Jin ES, Kong DS, Han MS (2008) Isolation, identification and characterization of algicidal bacteria against *Stephanodiscus hantzschii* and *Peridinium bipes* for the control of freshwater winter algal blooms. J Appl Phycol 20: 375–386

Katsiapi M, Michaloudi E, Moustaka-Gouni M, Pahissa Lopez J (2012) First ecological evaluation of the ancient Balkan Lake Megali Prespa based on plankton. J Biol Res Thessalon 17: 51–56

Katsiapi M, Moustaka-Gouni M, Sommer U (2016) Assessing ecological water quality of freshwaters: PhyCoI - a new phytoplankton community Index. Ecol Inform 31: 22–29

Kirillin G, Shatwell T (2016) Generalized scaling of seasonal thermal stratification in lakes. Earth Sci Rev 161: 179-190

Krstić SS (2012) Environmental Changes in Lakes Catchments as a Trigger for Rapid Eutrophication - A Prespa Lake Case Study. In: Piacentini T, Miccadei E (eds) Studies on Environmental and Applied Geomorphology, InTech

Lampert W, Sommer U (2007) Limnoecology. 2nd edition, Oxford University Press Inc, New York

Lepistö L, Rapala J, Lyra C, Berg KA, Erkomaa K, Issakainen J (2005) Occurrence and toxicity of cyanobacterial blooms dominated by *Anabaena lemmermannii* P. Richter and *Aphanizomenon* spp. in boreal lakes in 2003. Algol Stud 117: 315-328

Löffler H, Schiller E, Kusel E, Kraill H (1998) Lake Prespa, a European natural monument, endangered by irrigation and eutrophication? Hydrobiologia 384: 69-74

Maileht K, Nõges T, Nõges P, Ott I, Mischke U, Carvalho L, Dudley B (2013) Water colour, phosphorus and alkalinity are the major determinants of the dominant phytoplankton species in European lakes. Hydrobiologia 704: 115–126

Matzinger A, Jordanoski M, Veljanoska-Sarafiloska E, Sturm M, Müller B, Wüest A (2006)

854   Is Lake Prespa jeopardizing the ecosystem of ancient Lake Ohrid? Hydrobiologia 553:
           89-109

856   Marchetto A, Padedda BM, Mariani MA, Luglié A, Sechi N (2009) A numerical index
           for evaluating phytoplankton response to changes in nutrient levels in deep

858   Mediterranean reservoirs. J Limnol 68: 106–121

        Mischke U, Riedmüller U, Hoehn E, Schönfelder I, Nixdorf B (2008) Description of the

860   German system for phytoplankton-based assessment of lakes for implementation of the
           EU Water Framework Directive (WFD). In: Mischke U, Nixdorf B (eds),

862   Gewässerreport 10, Aktuelle Reihe 2/2008 ISBN 978-3-940471-06-2: p. 117-146 p,
           University Cottbus. http://opus.kobv.de/btu/volltexte/2009/953/

864   Moss B (2008) The Water Framework Directive: Total environment or political compromise?
           Sci Total Environ 400: 32 – 41

866   Moustaka-Gouni M (1993) Phytoplankton succession and diversity in a warm monomictic,
           relatively shallow lake: Lake Volvi, Macedonia, Greece. Hydrobiologia 249: 33–42

868   Moustaka-Gouni M, Nikolaidis G (1992) Phytoplankton and physical–chemical features of
           Tavropos Reservoir, Greece. Hydrobiologia 228: 141–149

870   Moustaka-Gouni M, Kormas KA, Polykarpou P, Gkelis S, Bobori DC, Vardaka E (2010)
           Polyphasic evaluation of *Aphanizomenon issatschenkoi* and *Raphidiopsis mediterranea*

872   in a Mediterranean lake. J Plankton Res 32: 927-936

        Moustaka-Gouni M, Michaloudi E, Sommer U (2014) Modifying the PEG model for the

874   Mediterranean - no biological winter and strong fish predation. Freshw Biol 59: 1136-
           1144

876   Nixon S, Bewes V, Mills D (2012) Study of Pressures and Measures in the Major River Basin
           Management Plans: Comparison of Typologies. Project Report. WRc.

878   http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/water/implrep2007/pdf/Governance-
           Pressures%20and%20measures.pdf. Accessed 29 December 2017

880   Onodera H, Oshima Y, Henriksen P, Yasumoto T (1997) Confirmation of anatoxin-a(s), in
           the cyanobacterium *Anabaena lemmermannii*, as the cause of bird kills in Danish Lakes.

882   Toxicon 35: 1645-1648

        Ott I, Laugaste R (1996) Fütoplanktoni koondindeks (FKI), üldistus Eesti järvede kohta. Eesti

884   Keskkonnaministeeriumi infoleht 3: 7 – 8 [The Phytoplankton Compond Quotient
           (PCQ) generalisation about Estonian small lakes. In Estonian]

886   Pahissa J, Catalan J, Morabito G, Dörflinger G, Ferreira J, Laplace-Treyture C, Gîrbea R, Marchetto A, Polykarpou P, de Hoyos C (2015) Benefits and limitations of an
888   intercalibration of phytoplankton assessment methods based on the Mediterranean GIG reservoir experience. Sci Total Environ 538: 169-179

890   Panigrahi S, Wikner J, Panigrahy RC, Satapathy KK, Acharya BC (2009) Variability of nutrients and phytoplankton biomass in a shallow brackish water ecosystem (Chilika
892   Lagoon, India). Limnology 10: 73-85

Papadimitriou T, Katsiapi M, Vlachopoulos K, Christopoulos A, Laspidou C, Moustaka-
894   Gouni M, Kormas K (2018) Cyanotoxins as the "common suspects" for the Dalmatian pelican (*Pelecanus crispus*) deaths in a Mediterranean reconstructed reservoir. Environ
896   Pollut 234: 779-787

Pardo I, Poikane S, Bonne W (2011) Revision of the consistency in Reference Criteria
application in the Phase I of the European Intercalibration exercise. JRC Scientific & Technical Reports.
http://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC65230/rc_tech%20report_fin_printversion.pdf. Accessed 2 January 2018

Peeters ETHM, Franken RJM, Jeppesen E, Moss B, Bécarese E, Hansson LA, Romo S, Kairesalo T, Gross EM, van Donk E, Nõges T, Irvine K, Kornijów R, Scheffer M
(2008) Assessing ecological quality of shallow lakes: Does knowledge of transparency suffice? Basic Appl Ecol 10: 89-96

Phillips G, Lyche-Solheim A, Skjelbred B, Mischke U, Drakare S, Free G, Järvinen M, de Hoyos C, Morabito G, Poikane S, Carvalho L (2013) A phytoplanktontrophic index to
assess the status of lakes for the Water Framework Directive. Hydrobiologia 704: 75-95

Phillips G, Jekabsone J, Barda I, Purina I (2015) On fitting Latvian lake phytoplankton index,
910   selected for the evaluation of the ecological quality of Latvian lake waterbodies to the results of the Central-Baltic Geographic Intercalibration Group. 2. Description of
912   national assessment methods - CIRCABC – Europa EU. Accessed 25 March 2018

Poikane S, Zampoukas N, Borja A, Davies SP, van de Bund W, Birk S (2014) Intercalibration
914   of aquatic ecological assessment methods in the European Union: Lessons learned and way forward. Environ Sci Policy 44: 237-246

916   Popovska C, Bonacci, O (2007) Basic data on the hydrology of Lakes Ochrid and Prespa. Hydrol Process 21: 658-664

918   Post DM, Pace ML, Hairston NG Jr (2000) Ecosystem size determines food-chain length in lakes. Nature 405: 1047-1049

920 Ptacnik R, Solimini AG, Brettum P (2009) Performance of a new phytoplankton composition
   metric along a eutrophication gradient in Nordic lakes. Hydrobiologia 633: 75–82

922 Rajaniemi-Wacklin P, Rantala A, Mugnai MA, Turicchia S, Ventura S, Komárkova J, Lepistö
   L, Sivonen K (2005) Correspondence between phylogeny and morphology of *Snowella*

924   spp. and *Woronichinia naegeliana*, cyanobacteria commonly occurring in lakes. J
   Phycol 42: 226–232

926 Read JS, Winslow LA, Hansen GJA, Van Den Hoek J, Hanson PC, Bruce LC, Markfort CD
   (2014) Simulating 2368 temperate lakes reveals weak coherence in stratification

928   phenology. Ecol Model 291: 142-159

   Reyjol Y, Argillier C, Bonne W, Borja A, Buijse A, Cardoso AN, Daufresne M, Kernanf M,

930   Ferreira MT, Poikane S, Prat N, Solheim A-L, Stroffek S, Usseglio-Polatera P,
   Villeneuve B, van de Bund W (2014) Assessing the ecological status in the context of

932   the European Water Framework Directive: Where do we go now? Sci Total Environ
   497: 332-344

934 Reynolds CS, Huszar V, Kruk C, Naselli-Flores L, Melo S (2002) Towards a functional
   classification of the freshwater phytoplankton. J Plankton Res 24: 417–428

936 Roelke D, Buyukates Y, Williams M, Jean J (2004) Interannual Variability in the Seasonal
   Plankton Succession of a Shallow, Warm-Water Lake. Hydrobiologia 513: 205–218

938 Rørslett B (1991) Principal determinant of aquatic macrophyte richness. Aquat Bot 39: 173-
   193

940 Ryder RA (1965) A method for estimating the potential fish production of north-temperate
   lakes. Trans Am Fish Soc 94: 214-218

942 Salmaso N, Boscaini A, Capelli C, Cerasino L, Milan M, Putelli S, Tolotti M (2015)
   Historical Colonisation Patterns of *Dolichospermum lemmermannii* (Cyanobacteria) in

944   a Deep Lake South of the Alps. Adv Oceanogr Limnol 6:1/2

   Smith HV, Foster LB, Grover PJ, Holt DR, Leibold AM, de Noyelles F (2005)

946   Phytoplankton species richness scales consistently from laboratory microcosms to the
   world's oceans**.** PNAS 102: 4393-4396

948 Solheim AL, Moe J, Persson J (2011) Task 2a: Comparison of typologies. Bottom-up
   approach. Contract No. 070311/2011/603663/ETU/D1 "Comparative Study of Pressures

950   and Measures in the Major River Basin Management Plans". NIVA.
   http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/water/implrep2007/pdf/Task%202a%20Typol

952   ogy%20Report_bottom-up_final.pdf. Accessed 29 December 2017

   Solheim AL, Phillips G, Drakare S, Free G, Järvinen M, Skjelbred B, Tierney D,

954   Wayne T (2014) Water Framework Directive Intercalibration Technical Report: Northern lake phytoplankton ecological assessment methods. Publications Office of the

956   European Union.

Sommer U (1985) Comparison between steady state and non-steady state competition:

958   Experiments with natural phytoplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 30: 335-346

Sommer U (1989) Nutrient status and nutrient competition of phytoplankton in a shallow,

960   hypertrophic lake. Limnol Oceanogr 34: 1162–1173.

Sommer U, Gaedke U, Schweizer A (1993) The first decade of oligotrophication in Lake

962   Constance. II: the response of phytoplankton taxonomic composition. Oecologia 93: 276–284

964   Søndergaard M, Larsen SE, Johansson LS, Lauridsen TL, Jeppesen E (2016) Ecological classification of lakes: Uncertainty and the influence of year-to-year variability. Ecol

966   Indic 61: 248-257

Staehr PA, Baastrup-Spohr L, Sand-Jensen K, Stedmon C (2012) Lake metabolism scales

968   with lake morphometry and catchment conditions. Aquatic Sci 74: 155-169

Steyaert P, Ollivier G (2007) The European Water Framework Directive: how ecological

970   assumptions frame technical and social change. Ecol Soc 12: 25

Stoyneva M, Traykov I, Tosheva A, Uzunov B, Zidarova R, Descy JP (2015) Comparison of

972   ecological state/potential assessment of 19 Bulgarian water bodies based on macrophytes and phytoplankton (2011–2012). Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 29: S33-

974   S38

Temponeras M, Kristiansen J, Moustaka-Gouni M (2000) Seasonal variation in phytoplankton

976   composition and physical–chemical features of the shallow Lake Doirani, Macedonia, Greece. Hydrobiologia 424: 109–122

978   Thienemann A (1925) Die Binnengenwässrer Mitteleuropas – eine limnologische Einführung. In: Thienemann A (ed) Die Binnengewässer, Stuttgart, Schweizerbarth, pp 199-207

980   Thienemann A (1927) Der Bau des Seebeckens in seiner Bedeutung für den Ablauf des Lebens im See. Verh Zool-Bot Ges Wien 77: 87–91

982   Toomey AH (2016) What happens at the gap between knowledge and practice? Spaces of encounter and misencounter between environmental scientists and local people. Ecol

984   Soc 21:28

Tryfon E, Moustaka-Gouni M, Nikolaidis G, Tsekos I (1994) I. Phytoplankton and physical-

986   chemical features of the shallow Lake Mikri Prespa, Macedonia, Greece. Arch Hydrobiol 131: 477-494

988   Vander Zanden MJ, Shuter BJ, Lester N, Rasmussen JB (1999) Patterns of food chain length in lakes: A stabe isotope study. Am Nat 154: 406-416

990   Vlachopoulou M, Coughlin D, Forrow D, Kirk S, Logan P, Voulvoulis N (2014) The potential of using the ecosystem approach in the implementation of the EU Water
992   Framework Directive. Sci Total Environ 470–471: 684–694.

Vollenweider RA, Kerekes J (1982) Eutrophication of waters, monitoring, assessment and
994   control. Paris, OECD

Voulvoulis N, Arpon KD, Giakoumis T (2017) The EU Water Framework Directive: From
996   great expectations to problems with implementation. Sci Total Environ 575: 358-366

Wilk-Woźniak E, Bucka H, Mrozińska T (2003) Contribution to a broadening of taxonomical
998   and ecological knowledge on *Woronichinia naegeliana* (Unger) Elenkin. Algol Stud 109: 609-615

1000   WolframG, Argillier C, De Bortoli J, Buzzi G, Dokulil MT, Hoehn E, Marchetto A, Martinez PJ, Morabito G, Reichmann M, Remec-Rekar Š, Riedmüller U, Rioury C, Schaumburg
1002   J, Schulz L, Urbanič G (2009) Reference conditions and WFD compliant class boundaries for phytoplankton biomass and chlorophyll-a in Alpine lakes. Hydrobiologia
1004   633: 45 – 58

Wolfram G, Buzzi F, Dokulil M, Friedl F, Hoehn E, Laplace- Treyture C, Menay M,
1006   Marchetto A, Morabito G, Reichmann M, Remec-Rekar S, Riedmüller U, Urbanič G (2014) Water Framework Directive Intercalibration Technical Report: Alpine lake
1008   phytoplankton ecological assessment methods. Publications Office of the European Union.

1010   Zohary T, Pollingher U, Hadas O, Hambright D (1998) Bloom dynamics and sedimentation of *Peridinium gatunense* in Lake Kinneret. Limnol Oceanogr 43: 175-186