1   Prepared for: Brain
2
3   Title: A Predictive Epilepsy Index Based on Probabilistic Classification of Interictal Spike
4   Waveforms
5

6   Jesse A. Pfammatter[1*], Rachel A. Bergstrom[2*], Eli P. Wallace[1], Rama K. Maganti[3], and
7   Mathew V. Jones[1]
8
9   [1]Department of Neuroscience, University of Wisconsin, 1111 Highland Avenue,
10   Madison, WI, 53705
11
12   [2]Department of Biology, Beloit College, 700 College Street, Beloit, WI 53511
13
14   [3]Department of Neurology, University of Wisconsin, 1685 Highland Avenue, Madison,
15   WI 53705
16
17   *These authors contributed equally to this manuscript
18
19
20   **Corresponding Author:**
21
22   Jesse Pfammatter
23   5505 WIMR II
24   1111 Highland Ave.
25   Madison WI, 53705
26   email: pfammatter@wisc.edu

Abstract

Quantification of interictal spikes in EEG may provide insight on epilepsy disease burden, but manual quantification of spikes is time-consuming and subject to bias. We present a probability-based, automated method for the classification and quantification of interictal events, using EEG data from kainate- (KA) and saline- (SA) injected mice several weeks post-treatment. We first detected high-amplitude events, then projected event waveforms into Principal Components space and identified clusters of spike morphologies using a Gaussian Mixture Model. We calculated the odds-ratio of events from KA versus SA within each cluster, converted these values to probability scores, $P(KA)$, and calculated an Hourly Epilepsy Index for each animal by summing the probabilities for events where the cluster $P(KA) > 0.5$ and dividing the resultant sum by the record duration. This Index is predictive of whether an animal received an epileptogenic treatment (i.e., KA), even if a seizure was never observed. We applied this method to an out-of-sample dataset to assess epileptiform spike morphologies in five KA animals monitored for ~1 month. The magnitude of the Index increased over time in a subset of animals and revealed changes in the prevalence of epileptiform ($P(KA) > 0.5$) spike morphologies. Importantly, in both data sets, animals that had electrographic seizures also had a high Index. This analysis is fast, unbiased, and provides information regarding the salience of spike morphologies for disease progression. Future refinement will allow a better understanding of the definition of interictal spikes in quantitative and unambiguous terms.

Keywords and Abbreviations

Keywords:

Temporal Lobe Epilepsy (TLE), kainate, automated event detection, mouse

Abbreviations:

EEG: electroencephalogram

KA: kainate

SA: saline

P(KA): probability of having received KA treatment

PCA: Principal Components Analysis

GMM: Gaussian Mixture Model

Introduction

The electroencephalogram (EEG) is an essential tool for monitoring seizures,

diagnosing epilepsy and understanding epileptogenesis. Most EEG analysis, including

the scoring of seizures and interictal epileptiform events, is carried out manually by

trained scorers. Manual scoring is labor-intensive and prone to low interobserver

agreement (Webber *et al.*, 1993) which often precludes fine-scale and quantitative

analysis of EEG, especially for chronic (>24 hours) recordings commonly employed in

clinical and research settings (Boly and Maganti, 2014; Fisher *et al.*, 2014).

Adding to the challenge of EEG analysis and diagnosis in epilepsy is a relatively

low seizure frequency, often much less than one/day, making capturing confirmatory

seizure events on EEG rare (White *et al.*, 2010). Thus, the identification and analysis of

subclinical, interictal events such as spikes may be important for diagnosis,

identification of epileptic foci and understanding the progression of epilepsy (Cascino *et*

al., 1992; Krendl *et al.*, 2008; Miller and Gotman, 2008; White *et al.*, 2010; Barkmeier *et*

al., 2012; Boly and Maganti, 2014; Avoli *et al.*, 2013; Tomlinson *et al.*, 2016). But the

definition of spike-like events for subsequent manual scoring remains an area of some

disagreement among clinicians (Fisher *et al.*, 2014) and researchers (Webber *et al.*,

1993; Webber and Lesser, 2017). Together with the laborious nature of manual EEG

analysis, this means that the frequency of interictal spiking is not typically quantified for

diagnostic purposes in the clinic, though there are special cases, and is underutilized in

epilepsy research.

There are many automated approaches for detecting interictal events, including

template-matching (Lodder *et al.*, 2013), non-linear energy operator (NEO) detection (

Mukhopadhyay and Ray, 1998; Malik *et al.*, 2016) wavelet filtering and line length

(Bergstrom *et al.*, 2013), and neural networks (Scheuer *et al.*, 2017) among others

(Wilson and Emerson, 2002). These algorithms rely in part upon a spike definition that

is based on expert visual analysis and are rooted in some version of the definition by

Chatrian et al 1974, where a spike is a transient that is clearly distinguishable from

background activity, with a duration from 20 to 70 ms (Chatrian *et al.*, 1974; Noachtar

and Schmidt, 2010; Kane *et al.*, 2017). Still, a universal ground truth definition of an

interictal spike is lacking as demonstrated in many cases where interobserver

agreement may be relatively low (Gerber *et al.*, 2008; Webber *et al.*, 1993). Further

careful analysis of spike and spike-like event morphology is needed to refine this

definition and to improve the utility of spike analysis in epilepsy.

Animal studies also demonstrate that spiking of some type can be present in both

normal and epileptic brains of rodents (White *et al.*, 2010; Fisher *et al.*, 2014; Pearce *et*

al., 2014; Twele *et al.*, 2017), making it important to identify aspects of spike-like

morphologies that are specifically associated with epilepsy. But differentiation of the

many spike types and shapes by visual or algorithmic analysis is a difficult prospect.

Rigorous differentiation and quantification of spikes in epileptic versus normal animals

could elucidate patterns of activity that shed light on spike-related mechanisms in

epilepsy and identification of epileptic foci while also contributing to an unbiased

definition of true interictal spiking activity across models of epilepsy. Given the links

between interictal spiking and disease progression in epilepsy (Goodin and Aminoff,

1984; Staley *et al.*, 2011), quantitative understanding and differentiation between

normal and epileptiform spike-like activity is essential for future progress in epilepsy

research; studies in animal models are essential to this progress.

Classification of spikes based on waveform morphology is a promising approach

for differentiating events that are normal versus those that are relevant to epilepsy.

Indeed, spike sorting by waveform clustering has been used to tune spike-detection and

quantification algorithms (Nonclercq *et al.*, 2012), to choose spike templates (Thomas *et*

al., 2016) and to identify or predict epileptic foci (Wahlberg and Lantz, 2000). A very

well-studied use of waveform clustering is to distinguish individual extracellularly

recorded action potential waveforms in order to identify neurons in single-unit

recordings (Souza *et al.*, 2018). Several clustering approaches have been used across

these applications, including K-means clustering and affinity propagation (Thomas *et al.*,

2016), graph theoretical algorithms (Wahlberg and Lantz, 2000), and Gaussian Mixture

Models (GMM) (Souza *et al.*, 2018).

Here we use a novel waveform-based analysis to compare spike-like events

recorded from mice treated with an epileptogenic insult (i.e., kainate, a model of

temporal lobe epilepsy (Lévesque and Avoli, 2013)) with spike-like events recorded

from control mice treated with saline. We identified spike-like events in both groups,

then projected these waveforms into a low-dimensional (3D) space using Principal

Components Analysis (PCA), and clustered these waveforms using a GMM. This

allowed us to estimate the probability distributions that characterize normal versus

epileptiform spike-like waveforms and to compute the probability that specific spike

waveforms reflect epileptiform activity. By assigning a probability score to each event

type, we provide a novel measure (the Hourly Epilepsy Index) for estimating the

probability that an animal is epileptic, even in the absence of observing electrographic

or behavioral seizures. The novel analysis thus provides rapid and unbiased insight into

differences among spike types in normal and epileptic animals that can be used for

early diagnosis of risk and serve as a biomarker of disease progression.

Methods

Animals

All use of animals in this manuscript conformed to the *Guide for the Care and*

Use of Laboratory Animals (2010) and was approved by the University of Wisconsin-

Madison Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Animals (C57BL/6J background, ~5 weeks old) were received from Harlan

(Madison, WI) and housed in groups with rodent chow and water available *ad libitum.*

After ~2 weeks of acclimation, animals underwent repeated low-dose kainate (KA) or

saline (SA) injections (~7 weeks old) and EEG implantation and recording (~18-20

weeks).

Epilepsy Induction

During the injection process, when not handled, mice were individually housed in

enclosed ~150 cm^3 acrylic cubicles with opaque sides and clear front portals with holes

to allow air exchange, and equipped with corn cob bedding and rodent chow. Animals

were then randomly assigned to KA or SA treatment, ear punched or tagged for

identification and weighed. Mice received a series of intraperitoneal (IP) injections

based on the following schedule (herein referred to as "repeated low-dose kainate").

Mice in the KA group first received a 10 mg/kg (5.5 mM) dose of kainate (Tocris

Bioscience, UK) delivered in 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) prepared from PBS

tablets (Dot Scientific, Michigan) dissolved in deionized distilled water (DDW) and filter

sterilized (0.22 μm, Corning, MI). Mice continued to receive injections at 2.5-5 mg/kg

every 20 minutes until status epilepticus (SE) occurred (4-9 injections) (Tse *et al.*, 2014;

Umpierre *et al.*, 2016). Mice in the SA group received the same schedule of sterile PBS

injections. Mice were considered to be in SE when displaying behavioral seizures of

level 4-5 on the Racine Scale (Racine, 1972) for a minimum of 30 minutes. Mice usually

experienced SE for 90-180 minutes and were allowed to recover spontaneously. Mice

were treated in cohorts of ~8 at a time, with a ratio of ~2:1, KA:SA animals. The first

cohort of mice received alternating 5 mg/kg and 2.5 mg/kg injections after the initial 10

mg /kg dose, but this schedule took longer than only 5 mg/kg injections without any

noticeable differences in eventual efficacy or survival, so subsequent cohorts received 5

mg/kg injections. Across all cohorts, mortality was <10%.

After the injection schedule, animals were given fresh apple slices, monitored

until SE ceased (assessed by normal posturing), and returned to group housing. They

were monitored, weighed, and given 0.4 mL of sterile 1x PBS via IP injection on a daily

basis as necessary until their weight returned to pre-injection level. No animal injected

with SA ever experienced status epilepticus, lost weight, or required recovery injections

of 1x PBS. Mice remained in the vivarium for 7-9 weeks after injections, allowing time

for the development of epilepsy in KA mice. During this time some KA mice became

aggressive and were removed from group housing and caged individually.

EEG Surgery and Recording

Animals were implanted with EEG headcaps (see (Wallace *et al.*, 2015) for

detailed methods) at ~18-20 weeks old (~11-13 weeks post injection), allowed to

recover for 3-4 days and then recorded continuously at 256, 512, or 1024 Hz sampling

rate for three days. All data not collected at 512 Hz were either resampled (1024 Hz) or

linearly interpolated (256 Hz) to 512 Hz for analysis. Data were then notch filtered at 60

Hz using a Chebyshev Type II digital filter and high-pass (>0.5 Hz) filtered with a

Chebyshev Type I digital filter. All analyses presented in this manuscript used a right-

frontal EEG channel.

High-amplitude Event Detection

To assess the severity of epilepsy in animals injected with kainate, we developed

a probability-based approach for the detection of epilepsy-related events (e.g. interictal

abnormal events like EEG spikes, seizures) and the calculation of an epilepsy severity

index.

Filtered EEG signals were normalized to using a variation of z-score

normalization that we term Gaussian normalization. First, we fit a Gaussian distribution

to the all-points histogram of each 24-hour record using the Nelder-Mead Simplex

(Nelder and Mead, 1965) method as implemented by the fminsearch function in Matlab.

We then normalized the 24-hour record by subtracting the mean from each point and

dividing by the standard deviation of the model fit. The resultant normalization is

different from the standard z-score normalization in that the mean and standard

deviation components are calculated from a model estimating the Gaussian

components of the EEG rather than empirically calculating the mean and standard

deviation. We employed this normalization because it adjusts the data based on the

normal component of the signal and is less influenced by very large and brief artifacts.

Using normalized EEG we detected high-amplitude, spike-like events using a

two-threshold strategy (Twyman and Macdonald, 1991). The final thresholds used in

this analysis were optimized to yield the largest predictive effect size (Fig. 1D). The start

of an event was triggered when the EEG signal rose 5 standard deviations above the

mean of the Gaussian fit. An event ended when the signal passed 1 standard deviation

below the mean. We then combined any events occurring within 0.2 seconds of one

another (end to start) into a single event (Kane *et al.*, 2017). This resulted in a list of

events of varying duration (98% shorter than 1s, with some representing rapid spike

bursts) from each animal/day EEG record. We then took two seconds of signal centered

on the start of each event and collated events across 72 days of recording (3-4 days

from each of 15 KA and 7 SA animals). This resulted in a matrix with ~33000 event

waveforms (1024 points each) across all treatments, recording days, and animals. We

chose optimal high (event start) and low (event end) threshold values after a grid search

to investigate high values between 3 and 8, and low values between -3 and 2 and then

performing a t-test to compare the number of events per hour identified in SA vs. KA

animals (Fig. 1D).

Epileptiform Event Confirmation

We compared the output of the two-threshold detection method with output from

another method that has been highly cited for seizure and interictal spike detection, and

that also performs similarly to manual human scoring (Bergstrom et al. 2013). Spikes,

seizures, and other abnormal epileptiform activity were detected in normalized EEG

according to Bergstrom et al. (2013). The baseline was determined using the whole

signal. The event threshold (SF value) was set at 4.0, where spikes were defined using

a modified amplitude of 15, and seizures were defined as events greater than one

second in duration. To compare the two-threshold method with that of Bergstrom et al.

(2013), all records were represented as logical vectors where 1 indicates the presence

of an event during each second of recording and 0 indicates the absence of an event.

Confusion matrices (Fawcett, 2006) between these vectors were used to compute true-

positive and false-negative rates, by provisionally assuming that Bergstrom et al. (2013)

detected "true" events.

PCA, Clustering, and P(KA)

We performed Principal Components Analysis (PCA) (Hotelling, 1933) on the

matrix (~33000 x 1024) of waveforms detected by the two-threshold method and

projected each original waveform into the space spanned by the first three Principal

Components (PCs, Fig. 3). In such 3D projections, each point represents a detected

waveform and the distance between any two points represents the difference between

their original waveform shapes. Three dimensions were chosen for ease of display, but

higher or lower dimensional projections could be used throughout this analysis in

principle.

Waveforms that are closely intertwined with epilepsy should be a) more common

in KA than in SA and b) similar to other waveforms in epileptic (KA) mice and different

from those of SA mice. Therefore, the densities of PCA-projected points for KA and SA

events are expected to overlap somewhat but, by examining quantitatively their overlap

in specific regions of PCA space, we can compute the likelihood of a waveform in any

region having come from a KA vs SA animal.

The resulting ensemble of points was fit with a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

with expectation maximization using the function fitgmdist() (McLachlan and Peel, 2005)

with nine components and then clustered based on the GMM coefficients. The GMM

defines a probability for every point in the space, and in this case reflects the prior

probability distribution that any waveform would be detected because both KA and SA

were included in the GMM fit. We then calculated the conditional probability, P(KA) that

events in each cluster are related to an epileptogenic treatment by computing the ratio

of KA (epileptogenic) to SA (control) events in each cluster (Odds ratio) and converting

it to a probability, P (using Odds = P / (1-P)).

Hourly Epilepsy Index

Given that there is some disagreement between manual scorers, we are

attempting to provide a statistical and unbiased measure of epileptiform event

frequencies. We first defined epileptiform event morphologies as events with a P(KA) >

0.50, because they are more likely to be found in KA than SA animals and are therefore

more relevant to epilepsy. The range of P(KA) values was rescaled from 0.5 -1.0 to 0 –

1, scaled P(KA) = (P(KA) - 0.5) * 2, to reflect the relative contribution of each event

morphology to the KA-specific set. Our method is probabilistic, so it can only be

interpreted as an "index" rather than an actual count of interictal events. Therefore, we

define an Hourly Epilepsy Index = $\sum$(frequency of events in each cluster with P(KA) >

0.5 x associated scaled P(KA)).

Parameter Optimization

One tunable parameter in this analysis is the choice of how many clusters to use

in modeling the data (i.e., how fine-grained should our analysis of waveform shapes

be?). To investigate this parameter, we built a set of models varying the total number of

GMM clusters. Additionally, because creation of a GMM in our implementation is a

stochastic process, we rebuilt each model at 25 unique random seeds (seeds = 1

through 25). In total, we generated 600 Gaussian mixture models and related cluster

profiles, P(KA)s, and Hourly Epilepsy Indices. From each of these model sets we

calculated: 1) the Negative Loglikelihood of the data fit to the GMM, 2) The average

belongingness of each event to its assigned cluster (Cluster Belongingness, defined

below), 3) the effect size between SA and KA treated animals and 4) P value comparing

the Hourly Epilepsy Index between SA and KA treated animals. Cluster Belongingness

was calculated by taking the sum of squares of the set of posterior probabilities,

calculated with the posterior() function in Matlab, for each event and then averaged

across all events. For each event, these posterior probabilities (as many probabilities as

there are clusters) provide the likelihood of belonging to each cluster in the model and

these probabilities sum to one. For example, in the case of a 2 cluster model, data point

A may belong equally to each cluster P = (0.5, 0.5) where its Cluster Belongingness

equals 0.5. In contrast, data point A may belong much more strongly to one cluster over

another P = (0.9, 0.1) with a cluster belongingness of 0.82. Thus, a clustering model

with poor fit will have a low value for Cluster Belongingness.

Computer Programing

All analyses, data processing, and event classification was done in Matlab

(Mathworks, Natick, MA) using home-written scripts. The final version of this algorithm

was executed with Matlab 2017b.

Statistical Analysis

The usage of statistical tests and procedures used to estimate model fit

are indicated throughout the methods section of this manuscript. All tests and

statistical applications were chosen after careful consideration of data distribution

(e.g. normality) and independence. All statistical tests were two-tailed. The

researchers were not blinded or randomized to the treatments given to animals,

but rather treatments (KA and SA) known to cause the development of epilepsy

(Tse *et al.*, 2014; Umpierre *et al.*, 2016) were used to calculate probabilistic

information that went into creating the Hourly Epilepsy Index. Indeed, the design

of this approach was to optimize for differences between the treatments.

However, we feel that this approach is warranted and importantly, the clustering

of the events morphologies was unsupervised and thus 'blinded' to the treatment

from which each event originated.

Data Availability

Code for the algorithm used in this manuscript has been made publicly available

at the GitHub repository github.com/jessePfammatter/detectIISs. Code for the

Bergstrom *et al.* algorithm can be found at github.com/bergstromr/IISdetection. Data

available upon request.

Results

EEG Recording and Event Detection

We collected three days of continuous, 24-hour EEG for 15 KA and 7 SA animals

and normalized the records as described above. The two-threshold event detection

algorithm identified 25681 and 5764 events in KA- and SA-treated animals, respectively

(Fig. 1; mean ± SD event duration for KA animals = 55 ± 148 ms; for SA animals = 169

± 380 ms). The average number of events per hour detected in KA (23.3 ± 27.4) and SA

(11.5 ± 11.0) animals using this detection method was not significantly different (Fig.

1C, P = 0.166, t = 1.44 , df = 19.83, two-sample T-test with unequal variances). The p-

value for comparison of KA and SA animals was minimized by the use of the high

threshold of +5 and low threshold of -1, though combinations of high thresholds of four

or five and low thresholds of one to -2 showed low p-values relative to all other

combinations (Fig 1D).

High-amplitude Events are Epileptiform

To confirm that the events identified by the two-threshold method include

epileptiform events, the normalized EEG signal was analyzed using an event detection

and sorting algorithm that is validated with human spike scoring (Bergstrom *et al.*,

2013). A comparison between events detected by the two-threshold method and spikes

from Bergstrom *et al.* resulted in a true positive rate (TPR) of 0.781 and a false positive

rate (FPR) of 0.005. Thus, our initial detection of spikes agrees reasonably well with a

previous method that itself agrees well with human scoring. In a comparison of the two-

threshold detection method to all epileptiform events (spikes, seizures, and other low-

amplitude, short duration abnormal) from Bergstrom *et al.* (2013), the agreement

between the algorithms drops precipitously (Fig 2D, TPR and FPR for all events are

0.038 and 0.001, respectively), suggesting that the two-threshold method is selective for

spike-type events and does not detect low-amplitude abnormal or some seizure-like

events. We illustrate specific examples of agreement (Fig 2E) and disagreement (Fig

2F) between the two detection methods, highlighting that the two-threshold detection method selects for high-amplitude spike- and seizure-like activity.

Measures Predictive of an Epileptogenic Insult.

Events from KA- and SA-treated animals were projected into Principal Components space (Fig. 3A, first three PCs shown). We used the first three PC (76.7% of the overall variance) and clustered the data into nine groups using a GMM (Fig. 3B). The probability, P(KA), that a cluster morphology is relevant to epilepsy is equal to the probability of that morphology being found in KA but not SA records and was calculated as the odds ratio of the number of KA to SA events for each cluster. The P(KA) for four of nine clusters is above 0.5 (Fig 3C, range 0.73 – 0.99), indicating that event morphologies in these clusters may be specific to the KA treatment and thus related to epilepsy. On average, KA animals exhibit a significantly higher average Hourly Epilepsy Index than do SA animals (11.6 ± 14.6 for KA, 3.0 ± 2.0 for SA; $P = 0.039$, $t = 2.26$, df = 15.04, two-sample t-test with unequal variances). Two of 15 KA animals displayed electrographic seizure activity in at least one recording (Fig 3D, magenta filled circles); the average Hourly Epilepsy Index for these animals falls above the 75th percentile (top line of box plot) of the SA hourly index. We found that there was a broad spread of Hourly Epilepsy Indices across the 17 KA mice, where eight KA mice had Hourly Epilepsy Indices similar to SA mice and 9 KA mice had Hourly Epilepsy Indices above the 95% confidence interval of the SA Index (95% confidence interval for SA = 1.14 - 4.47). This interesting result is in line with published reports of varying sensitivity to systemic KA injection in epileptogenesis (Tse *et al.*, 2014; Umpierre *et al.*, 2016).

Optimization of the Clustering Algorithm

To determine the optimum number of clusters to differentiate between KA- and

SA-specific spike morphologies, we calculated 600 repetitions of the Gaussian Mixture

Model used to cluster events at each unique value of 2 to 25 clusters and random seeds

set from 1 to 25. The Negative Loglikelihood (Fig. 4A) approaches an asymptote around

eight to ten clusters, suggesting the reasonable model fit in this range. Cluster

Belongingness (Fig. 4B) decreases across the simulation range with no clear inflection

point (i.e. elbow) in the data to indicate diminishing returns of increasing cluster number.

Effect size and p-value for the Hourly Epilepsy Index (Fig 4C and D) models indicate

that the effect size and the p-value reach an asymptote in a range similar to that

indicated by the Negative Loglikelihood results. Here, we have a fuzzy problem in terms

of cluster selection. We selected nine clusters for our purposes and discuss cluster

selection in depth in the discussion section.

Cluster Morphologies Match Published Characteristics of Interictal Spikes

We performed a preliminary waveform shape analysis by plotting individual event

duration vs amplitude for each cluster. In clusters where $P(KA) > 0.5$ (clusters one

through four, Fig. 5A) many events from KA-treated animals have a higher amplitude

than do events from the same cluster found in SA-treated animals. The majority (92%)

of events in these clusters for both treatment groups are shorter than 200 ms,

consistent with published spike definitions. This trend is not as distinct in clusters five

through nine, where $P(KA) < 0.5$. Further inspection of events in clusters one through

four reveals that the morphologies of these event types are more classically "spikey"

(short-duration events with sharp waveforms) than are events found in the remaining

clusters. Finally, the majority of the events identified in KA animals (88%) were found in

clusters one through four, whereas events in SA animals were equally distributed

among the clusters with P(KA) above (49%) and below 0.5. The average number of

events per hour for each cluster in SA and KA shows separation between event

frequency in clusters one through four but not clusters five through nine (Fig. 5B, p-

values reported on each graph).

Analysis of Out-of-Sample Data Supports the Methodology

We applied the clustering approach to events detected in a novel dataset of

longitudinal recordings from 5 KA-treated animals (Fig 6A - D, recorded on days 30 – 34

and 44 – 51 post-KA). The longitudinal data were projected into the same PC space as

the SA and KA dataset from Fig 3A and clustered according to the same parameters as

Fig 3 (Fig. 6A, B). All but one animal (Animal E) experienced similar, but not identical,

increases over time in total event rate (average for all events per hour, Fig 6C) and

Hourly Epilepsy Index (clusters one through four, Fig. 6D). Electrographic seizures were

only detected in one animal (Animal B) at days 44 and 45; this animal displayed a trend

toward increasing event rate and Hourly Epilepsy Index (Fig 6C-E, magenta-filled

circles).

Discussion

Interictal spikes are a hallmark of the epileptic brain (Fisher *et al.*, 2014). Yet the

definition of an interictal spike remains somewhat nebulous, potentially biasing spike-

detection strategies and algorithms. Previous analyses of spikes in kainate and other

models of epilepsy have relied upon scoring of spikes and other interictal events to

differentiate between KA-treated and control animals, where more spikes are observed

in epileptic than in control animals (White *et al.*, 2010; Bergstrom *et al.*, 2013). In these

studies, control animals also exhibit spike-type events in the EEG; however, given that

control (and many KA animals) animals do not proceed to have seizures, it is probable

that some spikes arise by a non-epileptic mechanism, including spike-like events in

normal brain (e.g., sharp wave ripples (Fisher *et al.*, 2014), electrographic noise,

artifact, or damage associated with electrode implantation. That non-epileptiform spikes

may also be present in both normal and kainate-treated animals further complicates the

quantification of interictal spikes and thus the interpretation of nonconvulsive EEG

events in epilepsy and epileptogenesis.

Here we present a novel automated algorithm for probability-based differentiation

of epileptiform versus non-epileptiform spike and interictal EEG event morphologies in

the kainate model of TLE. Our algorithm detects interictal events and weights them in

an Hourly Epilepsy Index based on the likelihood that they are, indeed, relevant to

epilepsy (i.e., related to an epileptogenic insult (Lévesque and Avoli, 2013)). Interictal

events and seizures projected into PC space were clustered using a Gaussian Mixture

Model. We used the probability P(KA) that an event cluster was KA-specific to calculate

an Hourly Epilepsy Index as a weighted average of the number of events with a P(KA) >

0.5. This value successfully estimates the probability that a subject had received an

epileptogenic insult, in this case a KA injection. This algorithm can, in principle, be

generally applied to chronic EEG recordings from either experimental animals or human

patients, if a control data set is available for comparison. It can also provide rapid and

quantitative scoring of interictal events to predict the likelihood that a subject is at risk

for developing epilepsy even in the absence of observing electrographic or behavioral

seizures.

High-amplitude Event Detection is an Inclusive Starting Point

Our approach to event detection using a two-threshold algorithm selected events

of high amplitude compared to background signal, but did not, at the outset, impose

limitations on event duration or morphology. This method is therefore intentionally

inclusive of spike and other high-amplitude, non-spike-type events that may be

physiologically relevant to epilepsy. Upon visual inspection of the signal and in

comparison to a validated seizure- and spike-detection algorithm, we found that this

method preferentially detects spike-type and seizure-like activity in the EEG signal (Figs

1 and 2), as well as other event morphologies, including artifact (Fig 3, cluster 8). That

our detection method primarily selects for high-amplitude events does not negate the

possibility that low-amplitude interictal events are relevant to epilepsy and

epileptogenesis. Detection and analysis of low amplitude epileptiform events is an area

for further inquiry (Bergstrom *et al.*, 2013).

Selecting the Appropriate Number of Clusters Is Flexible and Application

Dependent

We built on prior clustering approaches for EEG by considering how spike sorting

may elucidate spike morphologies relevant to epilepsy and to differentiate these

morphologies from non-epileptiform spike-like events observed in normal rodent brains

(White *et al.*, 2010; Fisher *et al.*, 2014). The high-amplitude events identified in records

from SA- and KA-treated animals were projected into PCA space and then clustered

using a GMM with expectation maximization. Similar to spike sorting in extracellular

recordings (Souza *et al.*, 2018), we found that the GMM provided a strong fit for the

clustering of high-amplitude events in our dataset.

Nine clusters provided adequate separation between SA and KA-treated animals,

though our analyses also found that this is, indeed, a fuzzy problem with many different

options for cluster number selection depending on the features to be extracted. Spike

morphology in extracellular recording is reflective of the geometry and physiological

properties of individual neurons. Spike morphology in EEG may be reflective of a

number of different parameters, including the underlying pathology of the spike, the

spike location, the recording montage/referencing, individual patient and recording

characteristics, and other features. Defining the number of clusters therefore requires

careful consideration to prevent over- or under-estimation of the true number of spike

morphologies.

We found that Cluster Belongingness and p-values for the separation between

KA vs non-KA event morphologies improve as the number of clusters increase.

However, very higher cluster numbers may be partitioning spike morphologies (clusters)

based on individual animal or recording characteristics, rather than differentiating

between KA and non-KA events. Selecting a smaller number (e.g. three rather than

nine) of clusters for analysis does not provide statistically significant separation between

KA and SA animals, so it is important to consider the tradeoffs in increasing and

decreasing the cluster number for analysis (Figure 4). Through modeling using many

different cluster numbers (from two to 25), we find that there is no clear "elbow" in the

Negative Loglikelihood or Cluster Belongingness scores across our simulations. This

indicates that there is not a hard and fast cluster number for this analysis. Still, we found

that the p-value and effect size for the epilepsy index, and the Negative Loglikelihood

values seemingly approached an asymptote by nine clusters (i.e. no major

improvements at higher cluster numbers). Thus, we selected nine clusters for our

analysis because it effectively separated KA from SA events while minimizing animal-

specific effects.

We further explored event morphologies of individual clusters by specifically

focusing on event amplitude and duration (Fig 5), as instructed by the descriptive spike

definition of Chatrian *et al.* (1974). Events with a P(KA) > 0.5 (clusters 1-4, more likely to

be found in KA than SA animals) exist within a space consistent with general definitions

of spikes, that is events with high amplitude and short duration (the far lower left portion

of the graphs in Fig 5A). As the P(KA) drops below 0.5 (clusters 5 through 9), the events

begin to shift toward longer, lower-amplitude characteristics. It must be noted, however,

that there is not a sharp demarcation in the amplitude and duration characteristics of

spikes above and below the cutoff of P(KA) = 0.5.

In applying this analysis to other models of epilepsy, we see two major

approaches to selecting an appropriate number of clusters, dependent upon the end

goal of the analysis. One approach, similar to the approach that we have taken, is to

identify the minimum number of clusters that provide significant separation between

experimental conditions or a minimum cluster number that yield clusters of visually

similar morphologies. A benefit to this approach would include the differentiation of

noise and artifact from real epileptiform activity, as we see in cluster eight (Fig 3).

Quantification of events could thus proceed relatively noise-free. This is similar to but

more specific than an approach taken by (Nonclercq *et al.*, 2012), where spike

morphologies in clusters that comprise less than 5% of the total spike count are

removed from analysis. The considerations for a fine-scaled epilepsy index are different

than this first approach, and would instead select a larger number of clusters for a

higher-resolution model of epilepsy. Care must be taken, however, not to parcellate the

data too far, resulting in over-fitting of the model.

The Hourly Epilepsy Index: What it is, What it is Not

We have introduced an Hourly Epilepsy Index as a summary descriptive variable

of the likelihood of epilepsy in an individual animal, calculated as an average hourly

event rate weighted based on the probability that an event morphology is found in KA-

but not SA-treated animals. Given that kainate injection is but one model of epilepsy, we

cannot yet claim that this is a true model that is applicable to all epilepsy models or

etiologies. However, this index does begin to address some of the challenges of rodent

models of epilepsy, namely that not all mice that receive KA-treatment will go on to

develop epilepsy (Lévesque and Avoli, 2013) and low seizure frequency may make it

difficult to identify all epileptic mice, by providing relevant probability-based estimates of

likelihood of an animal being epileptic.

There are several features of the Hourly Epilepsy Index that indicate that this

may be a valuable model by which to analyze EEG data in epilepsy studies. At its

simplest level, the Hourly Epilepsy Index provides a more robust separation between

KA and SA animals than does raw event count (Fig 1C vs Fig 3D) by excluding events

that are not likely to be epileptiform (events where $P(KA) < 0.5$) and weighting the

remaining events (where $P(KA) > 0.5$) according to the probability that they are, indeed,

epileptiform. The relevance of this new metric is further supported by data that reveals

that the Hourly Epilepsy Index is significantly higher for the few KA animals with

electrographic seizures than the average epilepsy index of SA-treated animals (fig 3D).

In further confirmation of this, we did not observe electrographic seizure activity in SA

animals or KA-treated animals that fall within the 95% CI range of the Hourly Epilepsy

Index for SA animals. However, these data do not fully confirm that all animals

displaying a high Hourly Epilepsy Index have electrographic or behavioral seizures;

further studies are required to confirm epilepsy.

Cluster one (Fig 3c, 5a) is of particular interest in considering the power of spike

sorting by clustering for epilepsy analysis. These events are relatively infrequent across

records, but are highly correlated with KA treatment (P(KA) = 0.99) and are very rare in

SA animals. Visual inspection of these events confirms that they are, indeed, spike-like,

fitting into a more classical definition of an interictal spike (Chatrian *et al.*, 1974).

Because these event types are almost exclusively seen in records from KA-treated

animals, they are weighted more strongly in the epilepsy index than are events from

cluster four, which show features of spiking (high amplitude, fast duration) but may also

cause an expert scorer to pause on their definitive identity as an interictal spike.

The Hourly Epilepsy Index is not without caveats, however. Kainate is a widely-

used model of TLE and epileptogenesis (Lévesque and Avoli, 2013), but it is only one of

many models. Other models, including pilocarpine, traumatic brain injury (TBI), genetic

or viral models of epilepsy, and kindling, rely upon different molecular mechanisms of

seizure induction and may therefore produce interictal events of different morphologies.

Ultimately, this approach may be used to produce a library of spike templates across

epilepsy models to further expand and refine the working definition of an interictal spike.

Conclusion

Clustering of interictal spike provides insight into event morphologies relevant to

epilepsy and epileptogenesis. Using this clustering method, we isolated epilepsy-like

event morphologies that were then quantified to determine the probability that a

particular animal is at risk for epilepsy or not, a variable which we have called the Hourly

Epilepsy Index. This probabilistic classification method for interictal event waveforms

provides a biomarker for risk and development of epilepsy, even in the absence of

observing electrographic or behavioral seizures. Finally, by distinguishing spike

morphologies that are preferentially present in the epileptic condition, we contribute to

an unbiased understanding of the definition of interictal spiking and interictal spike

morphologies, as compared with spike-like events present in the nonepileptic brain.

Funding: RO1 NS075366 (MVJ), DOD PR161864 (RKM)

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Event detection by two-threshold approach. Sample traces of events

identified (red) using the two-threshold method (high threshold = 5 SD above Gaussian

mean, low threshold = 1 SD below mean) in (A) SA- and (B) KA-injected animals. Each

row in A and B shows an expanded view (indicated by the blue lines) of the signal

directly above. (C) Average number of events per hour detected in SA (black) versus

KA (red) animals (P = 0.166, t = 1.44 , df = 19.83, two-sample T-test with unequal

variances). Circles represent the average number of events per hour for each animal

over 3 days of recording. Closed circles represent animals that were recorded having an

electrographic seizure. (D) P-values comparing the average number of events per hour

in SA and KA animals across a set of high and low thresholds; the p-value is minimized

at 5 and -1. The center mark of the box and whisker plot represents the median, while

the lower and upper bounds of the box represent the 25th and 75th quartiles,

respectively; the whiskers extend to the most extreme data points or 1.5x the

interquartile range.

Figure 2. Interictal events correspond to epileptiform activity. We compared events

from the two-threshold crossing method to events detected by a validated event

detection and classification algorithm (Bergstrom *et al.*, 2013). Second-by-second,

animal-specific (SA = black, KA = red) event classification agreement (True positive

rate, TPR) and the rate of detection of additional events not identified in the validated

method (Additional events, false positive rate, FPR) for spike-type events only (A) and

all event types (seizures, spikes, and other low-amplitude abnormal and epileptiform

events, (B), with corresponding confusion matrices (spikes only C, all events D). True

positives are events detected by both the validated algorithm and the two-threshold

crossing method. (E) Sample true positive events for each event type, where gray is the

original EEG trace, green is two-threshold detection output, and black is Bergstrom *et*

al., 2013 detection output. (F) Sample events for each detection method that were not

detected by the other method.

Figure 3. Event sorting by clustering and Hourly Epilepsy Index. (A) Events detected

with the two-threshold crossing method, from all animals and records, and projected into

Principal Components space (first three PCs shown, red = KA, black = SA). (B) Events in

A clustered into 9 groups using a GMM with Expectation Maximization. The upper inset

shows a rotated and expanded view of the same plot, allowing a view of cluster 5

(embedded within cluster 6, which is set to 10% transparency for visualization). (C) P(KA)

for each cluster identified in B calculated from the relative proportion of KA to SA events,

presented in descending order with examples of events. (D) The average Hourly Epilepsy

Index (15 KA, 7 SA animals) is much higher for animals treated with KA ($P = 0.039$, t =

2.26, df = 15.04, two-sample T-test with unequal variances). The center mark of the box

and whisker plot represents the median, while the lower and upper bounds of the box

represent the 25th and 75th quartiles, respectively; the whiskers extend to the most

extreme data points or 1.5x the interquartile range.

Figure 4. Selecting an appropriate number of clusters. We repeatedly generated a

set of models (n = 25, random seeds set from 1:25) specifying a range of 2 to 25 clusters

(totaling 600 models) and calculated the (A) Negative Loglikelihood, (B) Cluster

Belongingness, the (C) Effect size and (D) P value of the Hourly KA Index comparing KA

to SA treated animals (calculated using only events where P(KA) > 0.5). These values

offer guidelines for model selection via 'elbows' in the data which are indicative of

diminishing returns in model fit. It does not appear that there is a clear best value for

clustering these data into discrete groups. Therefore, the cluster number must be selected

and evaluated based on the goal of the cluster analysis.

Figure 5. Characterization of individual clusters and contribution to total event

count. (A) Graphical distribution of each cluster's events in EEG amplitude (x-axis) by

event duration (y-axis, time (s)) for events belonging to both KA (red) and SA (black).

Example events from each cluster type are displayed in the upper right corner of each

plot. For improved visualization of the majority of data, we have set the axis to exclude a

small amount of the most extreme data points (most notably from cluster 7). (B) The

average number of events per hour within each cluster for SA (black) and KA (red)

animals. P-values indicate the results of t-tests between KA and SA for each cluster of

waveform shapes.

Figure 6. Detection and categorization of interictal events in longitudinal data. We

applied our detection and categorization algorithm to longitudinal EEG records (recorded

days 30 – 34 and 44 – 51 post-KA) from 5 KA mice. (A) Events identified from these 5

animals (purple circles) projected into PCs with data from Figure 3 (black circles, SA and

KA data). (B) Clustering of these new data points using the same GMM coefficients and

clustering parameters used in Figure 3 (cluster colors correspond to those throughout

manuscript). The upper inset shows a rotated and expanded view of the same plot,

allowing a view of cluster 5 (embedded within cluster 6, which is set to 10% transparency

for visualization). (C) Events per hour as detected by the two-threshold method in each

of the 5 KA animals over time. (D) Hourly KA Index for longitudinal data set. E) Average

events per hour as detected by the two-threshold method within each cluster group. In

panels C-E, records with electrographic seizures are shown as filled in circles. An

example seizure is shown in the inset of panel D.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 5

References

Avoli M, de Curtis M, Köhling R. Does interictal synchronization influence ictogenesis? Neuropharmacology 2013; 69: 37–44.

Barkmeier DT, Senador D, Leclercq K, Pai D, Hua J, Boutros NN, et al. Electrical, molecular and behavioral effects of interictal spiking in the rat. Neurobiol Dis. 2012; 47: 92–101.

Bergstrom RA, Choi JH, Manduca A, Shin H-S, Worrell GA, Howe CL. Automated identification of multiple seizure-related and interictal epileptiform event types in the EEG of mice. Sci Rep 2013; 3: 1483.

Boly M, Maganti R. Monitoring epilepsy in the intensive care unit: Current state of facts and potential interest of high density EEG. Brain Injury 2014; 28: 1151–1155.

Cascino GD, Kelly PJ, Sharbrough FW, Hulihan JF, Hirschorn KA, Trenerry MR. Long-term follow-up of stereotactic lesionectomy in partial epilepsy: predictive factors and electroencephalographic results. Epilepsia 1992; 33: 639–644.

Chatrian GE, Bergamini L, Dondey M, Klass DW, Lennox Buchthal M, Petersen I. A glossary of terms most commonly used by clinical electroencephalographers. Electroenceph Clin Neurophysiol 1974; 37: 538–548.

Fawcett T. An introduction to ROC analysis. Pattern Recognition Letters 2006; 27: 861–874.

Fisher RS, Scharfman HE, deCurtis M. How can we identify ictal and interictal abnormal activity? Adv Exp Med Biol 2014; 813: 3–23.

Gerber PA, Chapman KE, Chung SS, Drees C, Maganti RK, Ng Y-T, et al. Interobserver agreement in the interpretation of EEG patterns in critically ill adults. J Clin Neurophysiol 2008; 25: 241–249.

Goodin DS, Aminoff MJ. Does the interictal EEG have a role in the diagnosis of epilepsy? Lancet 1984; 1: 837–839.

Hotelling H. Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components. J Educ Psychol 1933; 24: 417–441.

Kane N, Acharya J, Benickzy S, Caboclo L, Finnigan S, Kaplan PW, et al. A revised glossary of terms most commonly used by clinical electroencephalographers and updated proposal for the report format of the EEG findings. Revision 2017. Clinical Neurophysiology Practice 2017; 2: 170–185.

Krendl R, Lurger S, Baumgartner C. Absolute spike frequency predicts surgical outcome in TLE with unilateral hippocampal atrophy. Neurology 2008; 71: 413–418.

Lévesque M, Avoli M. The kainic acid model of temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurosci
Biobehav Rev 2013; 37: 2887–2899.

Lodder SS, Askamp J, van Putten MJAM. Inter-ictal spike detection using a database of
smart templates. Clin Neurophysiol 2013; 124: 2328–2335.

Malik MH, Saeed M, Kamboh AM. Automatic threshold optimization in nonlinear energy
operator based spike detection. IEEE; 2016. p. 774–777.

McLachlan G, Peel D. Finite Mixture Models. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons,
Inc; 2005.

Miller JW, Gotman J. The meaning of interictal spikes in temporal lobe epilepsy: Should
we count them? Neurology 2008; 71: 392–393.

Mukhopadhyay S, Ray GC. A new interpretation of nonlinear energy operator and its
efficacy in spike detection. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1998; 45: 180–187.

National Research Council. Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. National
Academics Press. 2010

Nelder JA, Mead R. A Simplex Method for Function Minimization. Computer J 1965; 7:
308–313.

Noachtar S, Schmidt D. Introduction. Epilepsy Behav 2010; 19: 95–95.

Nonclercq A, Foulon M, Verheulpen D, De Cock C, Buzatu M, Mathys P, et al. Cluster-
based spike detection algorithm adapts to interpatient and intrapatient variation in spike
morphology. J Neurosci Meth 2012; 210: 259–265.

Pearce PS, Friedman D, LaFrancois JJ, Iyengar SS, Fenton AA, MacLusky NJ, et al.
Spike–wave discharges in adult Sprague–Dawley rats and their implications for animal
models of temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav 2014; 32: 121–131.

Racine RJ. Modification of seizure activity by electrical stimulation. II. Motor seizure.
Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1972; 32: 281–294.

Scheuer ML, Bagic A, Wilson SB. Spike detection: Inter-reader agreement and a
statistical Turing test on a large data set. Clin Neurophysiol 2017; 128: 243–250.

Souza BC, Lopes-dos-Santos V, Bacelo J, Tort AB. Spike sorting with Gaussian mixture
models. bioRxiv 2018: 248864.

Staley KJ, White A, Dudek FE. Interictal spikes: Harbingers or causes of epilepsy?
Neurosci Lett 2011; 497: 247–250.

Thomas J, Jin J, Dauwels J, Cash SS, Westover MB. Clustering of Intericatal Spikes by
Dynamic Time Warping and Affinity Propagation. Proc IEEE Int Conf Acoust Speech
Signal Process 2016; 2016: 749–753.

Tomlinson SB, Bermudez C, Conley C, Brown MW, Porter BE, Marsh ED.
Spatiotemporal Mapping of Interictal Spike Propagation: A Novel Methodology Applied
to Pediatric Intracranial EEG Recordings. Front Neurol 2016; 7: 1881.

Tse K, Puttachary S, Beamer E, Sills GJ, Thippeswamy T. Advantages of repeated low
dose against single high dose of kainate in C57BL/6J mouse model of status
epilepticus: behavioral and electroencephalographic studies. PLoS ONE 2014; 9:
e96622.

Twele F, Schidlitzki A, Töllner K, Löscher W. The intrahippocampal kainate mouse
model of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy: Lack of electrographic seizure-like events in
sham controls. Epilepsia Open 2017; 2: 180–187.

Twyman RE, Macdonald RL. Kinetic properties of the glycine receptor main- and sub-
conductance states of mouse spinal cord neurones in culture. J Physiol (Lond.) 1991;
435: 303–331.

Umpierre AD, Bennett IV, Nebeker LD, Newell TG, Tian BB, Thomson KE, et al.
Repeated low-dose kainate administration in C57BL/6J mice produces temporal lobe
epilepsy pathology but infrequent spontaneous seizures. Exp Neurol 2016; 279: 116–
126.

Wahlberg P, Lantz G. Methods for robust clustering of epileptic EEG spikes. IEEE Trans
Biomed Eng 2000; 47: 857–868.

Wallace E, Kim DY, Kim K-M, Chen S, Braden BB, Williams J, et al. Differential effects
of duration of sleep fragmentation on spatial learning and synaptic plasticity in pubertal
mice. Brain Res. 2015; 1615: 116–128.

Webber WR, Litt B, Lesser RP, Fisher RS, Bankman I. Automatic EEG spike detection:
what should the computer imitate? Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993; 87:
364–373.

Webber WRS, Lesser RP. Automated spike detection in EEG. Clin Neurophysiol 2017;
128: 241–242.

White A, Williams PA, Hellier JL, Clark S, Dudek FE, Staley KJ. EEG spike activity
precedes epilepsy after kainate-induced status epilepticus. Epilepsia 2010; 51: 371–
383.

Wilson SB, Emerson R. Spike detection: a review and comparison of algorithms. Clin
Neurophysiol 2002; 113: 1873–1881.