Information-rich localization microscopy through machine learning

Taehwan Kim[1†], Seonah Moon[2†], & Ke Xu[2,3]

[1]*Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720*

[2]*Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720*

[3]*Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA 94158*

[†]*These authors contributed equally*

While current single-molecule localization microscopy (SMLM) methods often rely on the target-specific alteration of the point spread function (PSF) to encode the multidimensional contents of single fluorophores, we argue that the details of the PSF in an unmodified microscope already carry rich, multidimensional information. We introduce a data-driven method in which artificial neural networks (ANNs) are trained to make a direct link between an experimental PSF image and its underlying parameters. To demonstrate this concept in real systems, we deciphered in fixed cells both the colors and the axial positions of single-molecule emitters from regular SMLM data.

Originally developed toward the specific goal of superior spatial resolution, single-molecule localization (super-resolution) microscopy (SMLM), including STORM/(F)PALM[1-3] and PAINT[4], has emerged in recent years as a generic tool for sampling diverse biologically relevant information at the nanoscale[5]. For example, when combined with environment-sensitive dyes, intracellular heterogeneity in local chemical environment can be mapped by concurrently obtaining the spatial positions and emission spectra of millions of single fluorescent molecules[6]. Consequently, the full potential of SMLM is expected to be unleashed through the proper multidimensional analysis of the emission wavelength[5], brightness, dipole orientation[7], as well as the axial (3D) position[8] of single molecules.

To date, to extract information beyond the in-plane location, e.g., the emission wavelength and the axial location, of single emitters, would often oblige the explicit encoding of such high-dimensionality information into the diffraction pattern of single molecules (point spread functions; PSFs) through optical aberrations and alterations[5,8], including astigmatism[9], interference[10], wavelength-dependent splitting[11], dispersion[12], and wave-front modification[13]. The resultant, engineered PSF shape and intensity then help establish direct relationships between experimental observables and fluorophore characteristics. Such approaches, each often optimized for a single parameter of interest, inevitably increase the PSF size and/or necessitate the splitting of fluorescence across different channels, and so often incur complicated optics and compromised performances between different parameters. While recent work[14,15] has studied the PSF design for the simultaneous estimation of color and axial position, added optics and enlarged PSFs are still involved, and proper calibration of such Fourier optics-heavy systems is challenging[16].

We reason that even the simplest PSF obtained from an unmodified microscope is rich in

information—in addition to the axial location embedded in the defocused PSF, which has been

examined in recent work[17,18], the emission wavelength of a fluorophore also sets the scale of PSF

in all three dimensions[19]. Contributions from the two sources are distinct yet subtle, and would

be hard to decouple via simple models given the difficulties in fully characterizing all system-

specific properties.

We present a data-driven approach in which the relationship between a PSF image,

obtained from an unmodified commercial microscope, and the underlying multidimensional

characteristics of an emitter is directly established by a supervised machine learning algorithm.

A related approach has been recently used in astronomy for stellar classification[20]. Although

SMLM faces additional challenges associated with the vast range of axial positions (as opposed

to stars always at infinity), it benefits from the ready access to arbitrary amounts of experimental

PSFs that may be acquired under identical conditions. By training generic learning models using

such datasets, an end-to-end framework from raw PSF images to the molecule characteristics can

be constructed.

To demonstrate this concept, we developed a method for machine learning-based 3D

multi-color SMLM (**Fig. 1** and **Methods**). With typical experimental pixel sizes (~100 nm), the

dimensionality of the PSF images is moderate (modeled as 13×13 pixels), and thus artificial

neural networks (ANN) with multiple hidden layers[21] were directly used as our learning model.

ANN is beneficial here as it possesses excellent representational power; moreover, since ANN

does not require domain-specific expertise to construct nonlinear models, it offers a facile means

to train different target parameters in an end-to-end fashion.

One ANN with a final Softmax layer was first trained using cross-entropy loss to

determine the emitter color of each presented PSF. Once trained, the final Softmax output

provided an estimate for the conditional probability distribution of the fluorophore color, which

enabled the classification of each PSF image with known confidence (**Fig. 1a** and **Methods)**. For

this color-separating ANN, training data for different fluorophores were separately prepared

from multiple imaging sessions performed under the same experimental conditions as the final

sample, but using only one known fluorophore at a time. The training data contained sufficient

samples for fluorophores at different axial positions within the depth of field (~±500 nm of the

focal plane), so that the ANN was trained to recognize fluorophores for all axial positions.

In parallel, ANNs for resolving the axial position of the emitter were separately trained

for each fluorophore using L2 loss so that the final output was a scalar value[22] corresponding to

the decoded axial position (**Fig. 1b**). Training data for these axial-localization ANNs were

collected by step-scanning samples each containing one specific fluorophore, as is typically

performed for the calibration in existing 3D SMLM methods[9].

Once both trainings were completed, SMLM data from unknown samples were localized

in 2D, and the single-molecule images were first fed into the above color-separating ANN (**Fig.**

1c). The resultant, color-separated single-molecule images were then separated fed into the

above axial-localization ANNs trained with the corresponding fluorophores (**Fig. 1c**).

Multidimensional SMLM data were thus obtained by combining the ANN results with the initial

2D-localization results.

We first examined the performance of the color-separating ANN using two types of 40-

nm fluorescent beads that differed by 45 nm in emission wavelength ("yellow" and "orange").

When the beads are at the focal plane, fitting to simple Gaussian models yielded PSF sizes (2σ)

that were directly proportional to the emission wavelength (**Fig. 2a**), as expected. At photon

counts of 3000-4000, this difference gave adequate separation of the two colors (**Fig. 2a**).

However, this separation quickly fell apart when results from different axial positions are mixed:

unsurprisingly, defocusing led to substantially increased PSF sizes, and so this parameter no

longer offers useable color separation (**Fig. 2b**).

In contrast, our color-separating ANN recognized the nuances in the PSF patterns due to

differences in color vs. differences in axial position, and thus offered excellent color separation

both in the presence and absence of defocusing (**Fig. 2cd**). As mentioned, the output of this ANN

represents the conditional probabilities of each given image being classified as certain types of

fluorophores. In the binary yellow-orange system, the results can be simplified as the difference

Δ between the two probabilities of being orange and being yellow for every image (**Fig. 2cd**).

Even in the presence of defocusing, simple classification based on $\Delta>0$ and $\Delta<0$ already gave

~90% accuracy at 1000-1500 photons, and accuracies of >96% are reached at >3,000 photons

(**Fig. 2e**), a value readily achieved in STORM imaging. The results can be further improved by

only keeping classifications with $|\Delta|$ above a given confidence threshold, at the expense of

rejecting classifications of lower confidences. For example, adopting a confidence threshold of

0.8 helps improve the identification accuracy at 1000-1500 photons to 96%, with a rejection rate

of 21% (**Fig. 2e**). Our ANN approach is thus tunable for different applications.

We next applied our approach to cell imaging (**Fig. 3**). The microtubules and

mitochondria in adherent COS-7 cells were respectively immunolabeled with two STORM dyes,

CF568 and Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647). Both dyes were excited within the same STORM imaging

session, and resultant single-molecule fluorescence was collected after a multi-notch filter. For

training of the first, color-separating ANN, COS-7 cells singly-labeled by CF568 and AF647 for

microtubules were STORM-imaged on the same setup, which naturally contained single

molecules at different axial positions within the depth of field. For training of the second, axial-

localization ANN, dye-labeled antibodies were attached to the coverslip for step-scanning in the

axial direction (**Methods**).

Figure 3a presents the acquired STORM image colored by the fitted Gaussian width (2σ)

of the PSF of each molecule. Whereas it is clear that all the narrowest widths belonged to

microtubules, which were stained by the shorter-wavelength dye CF568, larger widths were

found at both microtubules and mitochondria (e.g., cyan arrows in **Fig. 3a**). This result is similar

to what we found in the bead data (**Fig. 2b**): defocusing broadens the PSF width, and so this

simple parameter can no longer be used to separate colors. Remarkably, our color-separating

ANN achieved excellent color separation for the entire image independent of axial position (and

thus defocusing) (**Fig. 3b-d**), and consistent results were obtained on different cells over

repeated experiments (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). Quantification of the color separation (**Fig. 3f**)

indicated that at a confidence threshold of 0.8 (~20% rejection rate), a ~88.4% accuracy is

achieved for molecules emitting 3000 photons, and the accuracy quickly increased to 98.5% for

~5000 photons, a value often achieved in STORM.

Based on this successful color classification, two axial-localization ANNs, each trained

for AF647 and CF568, were next used to separately decode the axial positions of the molecules

in the two color channels, the results of which were recombined into one image for presentation

(**Fig. 3e** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**). This showed the expected result that the cell edges, thinner

in height, were dominated by small z values, whereas for regions far away for the cell edges, the

cells became thicker and had increased z values. White arrows in **Fig. 3ae** further point to

regions of microtubules, labeled by the same CF568 dye, where similarly increased PSF widths

were noted, but the ANN correctly identified one being below the focal plane whereas the other

above. We further note that as the two color channels are successfully separated, they may also

be separated fed into other recent methods that extract axial positions from unmodified PSFs[17,18].

Our finding highlights the rich, multidimensional information concealed in the details of

the diffraction-limited image of a fluorophore, which was uniquely unleashed in this work

through state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms. We note that our approach is not limited to

a particular type of PSF or machine-learning algorithm. The co-evolution of our data-driven

analysis scheme with the ongoing efforts on PSF engineering[8] should thus lead to new

improvements, and conceivably, fundamentally new types of imaging modalities, for

multidimensional SMLM.

Figures

Fig. 1 | Workflow of the machine learning-based multidimensional SMLM. (a) A color-separating ANN is trained using samples each singly labeled by one known fluorophore, in which PSFs at different axial positions are well-represented. **(b)** ANNs for resolving the axial position are separately trained for each fluorophore using PSFs of known axial positions. **(c)** For the analysis of unknown samples, single-molecule images are localized in 2D, and first fed into the color-separating ANN described in (**a**). The color-separated single-molecule images are then separately fed into the axial-localization ANNs trained with the corresponding fluorophores, as described in (**b**). The resultant color and axial position information is then combined with the 2D localization of each molecule to generate the final multidimensional SMLM data.

Fig. 2 | Performance of the color-separating ANN for fluorescent beads. (**a-b**) PSF size (2σ of 2D Gaussian fitting) distribution of "yellow" and "orange" beads emitting 3000-4000 photons, for (**a**) all beads are right at the focal plane and (**b**) the focus is evenly scanned over ±200 nm of the focal center. (**c-d**) Outputs of our color-separating ANN on the same datasets, presented as the distribution for the differences in the evaluated probabilities of each bead being "orange" vs. being "yellow". (**e**) Accuracy of classification (left axis) and rejection rate (right axis) in the presence of defocusing as a function of photon count, for confidence thresholds of 0, 0.4, and 0.8.

Fig. 3 | ANN-resolved multicolor 3D SMLM in cells based on unmodified PSFs. (a) STORM image of CF568-labeled microtubules and AF647-labeled mitochondria in a fixed COS-7 cell, colored by the fitted Gaussian width (2σ) of the PSF of each molecule. **(b)** Result of the color-separating ANN at a confidence threshold of 0.8. **(c-d)** The separated AF647 **(c)** and CF568 **(d)** channels for the boxed area in **(b)**. **(e)** The merged 3D STORM image after separately determining the axial position of every single molecule based on the ANNs respectively trained for AF647 and CF568. Color here presents the axial position (z), with blue being closest to the substrate and red being the farthest away. Cyan arrows in **(a-d)** point to regions where molecules of similar PSF widths are correctly determined as different colors by the ANN. White arrows in **(a)** and **(e)** point to regions of the same CF568 labeling that showed similar defocusing effects, but determined by ANN as being on opposite sides of the focal plane. Scale bars, 2 µm **(a-e)**. **(f)** Classification accuracy (left axis) and rejection rate (right axis) of the color-separating ANN as a function of photon count across different data sets, at a confidence threshold of 0.8.

Supplementary Fig. 1. Additional examples of ANN-resolved multicolor 3D SMLM in cells based on unmodified PSFs. Scale bars, 4 µm.

Methods

Optical setup. STORM and bead experiments were performed on a Nikon Ti-E inverted fluorescence microscope using an oil-immersion objective lens (Nikon CFI Plan Apochromat λ 100×, NA 1.45) and the native 1.5x magnification on the microscope, without any modifications to the imaging path. Lasers emitting at 644, 561, and 488 nm were introduced to the back focal plane of the objective lens via a multi-line dichroic mirror (ZT405/488/561/640rpc-uf2, Chroma). A translation stage shifted the laser beams toward the edge of the objective lens so that they entered slightly below the critical angle, illuminating ~1 μm into the sample. Emission was filtered by a multi-notch filter (ZET405/488/561/640m, Chroma) and recorded by an EM-CCD camera (iXon Ultra 897, Andor). Effective magnification and pixel size were ~150x and ~107 nm, respectively.

Bead samples. 40 nm dia. fluorescent beads from Invitrogen (F10720; "yellow" and "orange" FluoSpheres with emission peaks at 515 and 560 nm, respectively) were diluted in Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS), sealed between a glass slide and a pre-cleaned #1.5 thickness coverslip, and imaged with the above optical setup. The 488-nm and 561-nm lasers were used to excite the two types of beads to similar levels of brightness. To record images at different axial positions, the objective lens was scanned by the built-in motor over a range of −200 to +200 nm of the focal plane.

Cell samples. COS-7 cells were plated on #1.5 coverslips to achieve a confluency of ~50% in ~1.5 days, and fixed with 0.1% glutaraldehyde and 3% paraformaldehyde in DPBS at room temperature. The sample was quenched with 0.1% sodium borohydride in DPBS and rinsed with DBPS three times. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in a blocking buffer (3% BSA + 0.1% Triton X-100 in DPBS) and labeled as described previously[9]. Primary antibodies were

mouse anti-tubulin (Abcam ab7291) for microtubules and rabbit anti-Tom20 (Santa Cruz sc-

11415) for mitochondria. Secondary antibodies were AF647-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG1

(Invitrogen A21240) and donkey anti-rabbit secondary conjugated with a CF568 succinimidyl

ester (Biotium 92131). The sample was mounted in a STORM buffer [10% (w/v) glucose, 120

mM cysteamine, 0.8 mg/mL glucose oxidase, and 40 µg/mL catalase in Tris-HCl (pH 7.5)] and

imaged using the optical setup described above. The sample was illuminated with the 644 and

561nm lasers at ~2 kW/cm^2, which led to the photoswitching of AF647 and CF568 single

molecules. Fluorescence was recorded by the EM-CCD for a frame size of 256x256 pixels at 55

frames per second. Each movie was typically recorded for 20,000 frames.

Antibody samples. Training data for the axial localization of AF647 and CF568 single

molecules were acquired using the above AF647- and CF568-labeled secondary antibodies. The

two antibodies were separately diluted in DPBS to ~2 µg/mL. Pre-cleaned #1.5 coverslips were

separately incubated in either solution for ~5 min, briefly air-dried, rinsed with distilled water,

and mounted and imaged as described above. To record single-molecule images at different axial

positions, the objective lens was scanned by the built-in motor in the range of −700 to +700 nm

of the focal plane.

Preprocessing of single-molecule images for ANNs. Single-molecule fluorescence in raw

STORM and bead data was first identified and localized in 2D using established methods[1].

Single-molecule PSF images were cropped as 13x13 pixels surrounding the 2D localizations; at

this time, images containing multiple emitting molecules were rejected. The cropped PSF images

were zero-centered, and their L2/Euclidean norm was normalized before being used as inputs for

ANNs.

Design and implementation of neural networks. An ANN architecture comprising multiple

hidden layers was implemented using the Tensorflow framework on a computer with 32GB

RAM, Intel i7-7800X CPU, and Nvidia GTX-1080Ti GPU. The same architecture was used for

both the color-separating and axial-localization ANNs (4 total layers of 4096-4096-2048-1024

neurons, respectively). Each hidden layer was fully connected, and rectified linear units were

used as their activation function. For color discrimination, Softmax function and cross-entropy

were used for loss calculation, the weights in the network were not directly included for

regularization, and a dropout layer was inserted before the final layer to prevent over-fitting. For

axial localization, the output of the final layer was set to be a scalar value, and L2 norm was used

to calculate the learning loss for each batch. In this case, L2 norm of the weights in each layer

was added to the loss function for regularization, and dropout was not used. Network hyper-

parameters such as the number of neurons in each layer (given above), the dropout ratio (0.5 for

the color-separating ANN), and the regularization factor (0.01 for the axial-localization ANNs)

were adjusted for optimized performance.

Neural network training. Since the network is subject to handling input images with various

noise levels, it was important to maintain a consistent noise level within the training dataset

regardless of the classification class or axial location. Therefore, experimental PSF images with

comparable photon counts of 4500-5500 were used throughout the training process, and

molecules with photon counts higher than this range were used for the validation during the

training process to check the generalization of the trained network. The weights initialized by the

Xavier method[23] are trained using the Adam optimization algorithm. An initial learning rate of

10^{-4} and 10^{-3}, and a batch size of 64 and 32 were used for the color-separating and the axial-

localization ANNs, respectively. The learning rate was set to decrease by ~5x after every 1,000

iterations. The sizes of the training sets were ~10,000 and ~6,000 per fluorophore type for the

color-separating and the axial-localization ANNs, respectively. The networks converged within

~10 epochs (training time: 231.9 s for the color-separating ANN, and 488.5 s for the axial-

localization ANN).

Neural network inference. At the inference stage, input single-molecule PSF images were first

plugged into the color-separating ANN. This ANN provides a conditional probability

distribution corresponding to the input image. Specifically, when the size of each input image is

N by N pixels, and there are M different molecule color classes, the final output from the

Softmax layer for the ith input image is

$$P(y_i|x_i), \ x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, \ y_i \in \{\text{Dye } 1, \cdots, \text{Dye M}\}. \tag{1}$$

259   From this distribution, the ANN makes the decision in a maximum-likelihood manner: the

260   molecule color class with the highest probability is chosen. This, in turn, implies that we can use

261   this distribution to quantify the classification confidence. For example, in a simple binary

262   classification problem, the confidence for the color assignment of the ith input image can be

263   evaluated as

$$|\Delta_i| = |P(\text{Dye } 1|x_i) - P(\text{Dye } 2|x_i)| \tag{2}$$

By setting a finite confidence threshold δ to reject molecules with low classification confidences

($|\Delta_i|<\delta$), improved classification accuracy may be obtained (e.g., Fig. 2e). This parameter may

thus be adjusted by the user to balance the classification accuracy and rejection rate. Once the

color of the molecule is determined, the single-molecule image is plugged into the axial-

localization ANN trained for that particular color to evaluate the axial position. With GPU

acceleration, both inference steps (passing the forward path of the neural networks) were

extremely fast: only ~300 ms was used to infer 100,000 molecules for both the color-separating

and the axial-localization ANNs.

Acknowledgments

This work was in part supported by the Beckman Young Investigator Program and the Packard

Fellowships for Science and Engineering. K.X. is a Chan Zuckerberg Biohub investigator. T.K.

acknowledges support from Kwanjeong Educational Foundation. S.M. acknowledges support

from Samsung Scholarship.

References

1. Rust, M. J., Bates, M. & Zhuang, X. Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical
reconstruction microscopy (STORM). *Nat. Methods* **3,** 793–796 (2006).

2. Betzig, E. *et al.* Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. *Science* **313,**
1642–1645 (2006).

3. Hess, S. T., Girirajan, T. P. K. & Mason, M. D. Ultra-high resolution imaging by fluorescence
photoactivation localization microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **91,** 4258–4272 (2006).

4. Sharonov, A. & Hochstrasser, R. M. Wide-field subdiffraction imaging by accumulated binding of
diffusing probes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103,** 18911–18916 (2006).

5. Yan, R., Moon, S., Kenny, S. J. & Xu, K. Spectrally resolved and functional super-resolution
microscopy via ultrahigh-throughput single-molecule spectroscopy. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **51,** 697–705
(2018).

6. Moon, S. *et al.* Spectrally resolved, functional super-resolution microscopy reveals nanoscale
compositional heterogeneity in live-cell membranes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139,** 10944–10947 (2017).

7. Backlund, M. P., Lew, M. D., Backer, A. S., Sahl, S. J. & Moerner, W. E. The role of molecular
dipole orientation in single-molecule fluorescence microscopy and implications for super-resolution
imaging. *ChemPhysChem* **15,** 587–599 (2014).

8. von Diezmann, A., Shechtman, Y. & Moerner, W. E. Three-dimensional localization of single
molecules for super-resolution imaging and single-particle tracking. *Chem. Rev.* **117,** 7244–7275
(2017).

9. Huang, B., Wang, W., Bates, M. & Zhuang, X. Three-dimensional super-resolution imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Science* **319,** 810–813 (2008).

10. Shtengel, G. *et al.* Interferometric fluorescent super-resolution microscopy resolves 3D cellular ultrastructure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106,** 3125–3130 (2009).

11. Bossi, M. *et al.* Multicolor far-field fluorescence nanoscopy through isolated detection of distinct molecular species. *Nano Lett.* **8,** 2463–2468 (2008).

12. Zhang, Z., Kenny, S. J., Hauser, M., Li, W. & Xu, K. Ultrahigh-throughput single-molecule spectroscopy and spectrally resolved super-resolution microscopy. *Nat. Methods* **12,** 935–938 (2015).

13. Pavani, S. R. P. *et al.* Three-dimensional, single-molecule fluorescence imaging beyond the diffraction limit by using a double-helix point spread function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106,** 2995–2999 (2009).

14. Shechtman, Y., Weiss, L. E., Backer, A. S., Lee, M. Y. & Moerner, W. E. Multicolour localization microscopy by point-spread-function engineering. *Nat. Photonics* **10,** 590–594 (2016).

15. Smith, C., Huisman, M., Siemons, M., Grünwald, D. & Stallinga, S. Simultaneous measurement of emission color and 3D position of single molecules. *Opt. Express* **24,** 4996 (2016).

16. Siemons, M., Hulleman, C. N., Thorsen, R. Ø., Smith, C. S. & Stallinga, S. High precision wavefront control in point spread function engineering for single emitter localization. *Opt. Express* **26,** 8397–8416 (2018).

17. Franke, C., Sauer, M. & van de Linde, S. Photometry unlocks 3D information from 2D localization microscopy data. *Nat. Methods* **14,** 41–44 (2016).

18. Li, Y. *et al.* Real-time 3D single-molecule localization using experimental point spread functions. *Nat. Methods* **15,** 367–369 (2018).

19. Gibson, S. F. & Lanni, F. Diffraction by a circular aperture as a model for three-dimensional optical microscopy. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **6,** 1357 (1989).

20. Kuntzer, T., Tewes, M. & Courbin, F. Stellar classification from single-band imaging using machine learning. *Astron. Astrophys.* **591,** A54 (2016).

21. Bishop, C. M. *Neural networks for pattern recognition*. (Oxford University Press, Inc., 1995).

22. Hara, K., Vemulapalli, R. & Chellappa, R. Designing deep convolutional neural networks for continuous object orientation estimation. *ArXiv170201499 Cs* (2017).

23. Glorot, X. & Bengio, Y. Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics* 249–256 (2010).