Fungal-bacterial interaction selects for quorum sensing mutants and a
metabolic shift towards the production of natural antifungal compounds

Andrea G. Albarracín Orio[1,2,3,4*], Daniel Petras[4,5‡], Romina A. Tobares[2,3‡], Alexander A.
Aksenov[4], Mingxun Wang[4], Florencia Juncosa[1], Pamela Sayago[1], Alejandro J.
Moyano[2,3], Pieter C. Dorrestein[4*], Andrea M. Smania[2,3*] and Daniel A. Ducasse[1,6]

[1]IRNASUS, Universidad Católica de Córdoba, CONICET, Facultad de Ciencias
Agropecuarias. Córdoba, Argentina.
[2]Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas. Departamento de
Química Biológica Ranwel Caputto. Córdoba, Argentina.
[3]CONICET. Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Centro de Investigaciones en Química
Biológica de Córdoba (CIQUIBIC), Córdoba, Argentina.
[4]Collaborative Mass Spectrometry Innovation Center, University of California, San
Diego. La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.
[5]Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego. La Jolla, CA
92093, USA.
[6]Instituto de Patología Vegetal IPAVE-CIAP, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología
Agropecuaria. Córdoba, Argentina.

*Corresponding authors:
andrea.albarracin@gmail.com (Andrea G. Albarracín Orio, the study itself, collection and
analysis of genome sequencing and mass spectrometry data); asmania@fcq.unc.edu.ar
(Andrea M. Smania, whole-genome sequencing, analysis and interpretation of sequence
data); pdorrestein@ucsd.edu (Pieter C. Dorrestein, collection of the mass spectrometry,
molecular networking, analysis and interpretation of the mass spectrometry data)

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work

Keywords: *Bacillus subtilis*, surfactin, quorum sensing, antagonistic interaction,
antifungal, biological control

32
33

Abstract

Environmental species of bacteria and fungi coexist and interact showing antagonistic and mutualistic behaviors, mediated by exchange of small diffusible metabolites, driving microbial adaptation to complex communal lifestyles[1]. Here we show that a wild *Bacilus subtilis* strain undergoes heritable phenotypic variation following interaction with the soil fungal pathogen *Setophoma terrestris* (ST) in co-culture. Metabolomics analysis revealed a differential profile in *B. subtilis* before (pre-ST) and after (post-ST) interacting with the fungus, which paradoxically involved the absence of lipopeptides surfactin and plipastatin and yet acquired antifungal activity in post-ST variants. Metabolic changes were also observed in the profile of volatile compounds, with 2-heptanone and 2-octanone being the most discriminating metabolites present at higher concentrations in post-ST during its interaction with the fungus. Most strikingly, both ketones showed strong antifungal activity against *S. terrestris*, which was lost with the addition of exogenous surfactin to the medium. Whole-genome analyses showed that mutations in the *comA* and *comP* genes of the ComQPXA quorum-sensing system, constituted the genetic bases of post-ST conversion, which allowed the concomitant production of ketones and elimination of surfactin. These findings suggest that mutations in ComQXPA stably rewired *B. subtilis* metabolism towards the depletion of surfactins and the production of antifungal compounds during its antagonistic interaction with *S. terrestris*.

The great diversity of soil microbes leads to extensive interspecies interactions[1].

Antagonistic and mutualistic behaviors, mediated by exchange of small diffusible

secondary metabolites, facilitate microbial adaptation to complex communal lifestyles. *B.*

subtilis secretes numerous metabolites, of which many play dual roles as antimicrobial

compounds and signaling molecules, participating in processes such as regulation of

development, biofilm formation, and inhibition of virulence factors released by

competitors[2, 3, 4]. Among them, the cyclic lipopetides surfactin and plipastatin are widely

recognized as the main antimicrobials that can be secreted in biologically relevant

amounts[5, 6]. Surfactin displays hemolytic, antiviral, antimycoplasma and antibacterial

activities, and is essential for biofilm formation, motility and general medium/niche

colonization capacity in *B. subtilis*[7, 8, 9, 10]. Thus, surfactin production is regulated by

quorum sensing and is activated at the end of exponential growth phase[11]. On the other

hand, plipastatin, a member of the fengycin family, displays strong, specific activity

against filamentous fungi[2, 12, 13, 14].

We previously isolated a strain of *B. subtilis* (referred to as Bs ALBA01) from the

rhizosphere of onion plants that inhibited *in vitro* growth of the soil fungus *Setophoma*

terrestris, a major plant pathogen that affects many economically important vegetable

crops[15, 16]. After 15 days of interaction with the soil fungal pathogen *S. terrestris* in co-

culture assays, *B. subtilis* acquired strong antifungal activity. Such activity was mediated

by secreted factors, since bacterial cell-free supernatants displayed fungal growth

inhibition[15] (Supplementary Fig. 1a). These Bs ALBA01 variants were termed post-ST,

to distinguish them from pre-ST variants grown without any contact with the fungus and

whose cell-free supernatants displayed no antifungal activity[15] (Supplementary Fig. 1a).

Both variants showed clear phenotypic differences, with post-ST variants showing

greater degrees of roughness and wrinkled colony phenotype relative to pre-ST variants

as well as thicker and more structured pellicles associated with robust biofilm formation

(Supplementary Fig. 1a).

Importantly, all post-ST phenotypic traits were maintained when variants were re-

inoculated onto fresh growth medium (passaging), indicating that *B. subtilis* experienced

genetically stable phenotypic variation upon co-culture with *S. terrestris.*

We next characterized the metabolites profile changes suffered by *B. subtilis* due to

conversion to post-ST, which might be responsible for the antagonistic effects observed

on *S. terrestris.* Thus, by using non-targeted metabolomic profiling based on ^{1}H-NMR

spectroscopy, we analyzed cell-free supernatants from pre- and post-ST. Pre-ST

samples clustered together and were clearly separated from post-ST samples

(Supplementary Fig. 2a and 3). S-line plots in OPLS-DA models showed clearly distinct

signals representing discriminant metabolites between the pre- and post-ST sample

groups (Supplementary Fig. 2b).

Furthermore, we also compared chemotypes of whole cells in search of significant

metabolomic differences between the two variants. Non-targeted high performance liquid

chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS)-based metabolomic

analysis revealed separation of global metabolome between the two variants in Principal

Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) (Fig. 1a). Surprisingly, the two well-known *Bacillus*

lipopeptides, surfactin and plipastatin, were severely reduced in the post-ST variant

(Supplementary Fig. 4a and 4b). In fact, random forest analysis showed that they were

the most important variables determining differences between pre- and post-ST

(Supplementary Fig. 4c). Post-ST showed notable reduction in intensity of surfactin ions

and of peaks with masses indicative of plipastatin, identified through spectrum library

matching and feature-based molecular networking[17, 18, 19]. Importantly, post-ST clustered

together with a *B. subtilis* NCBI 3610 surfactin-defective mutant, confirming the role of

surfactin in separation between the groups (Fig. 1a). Molecular networks obtained by

analysis of chemical profiles of the two variants indicate reduction in post-ST of not only

surfactin and plipastatin derivatives but also related unknown derivatives

(Supplementary Fig. 5). We further complemented this analysis with HPLC-MS/MS-

based metabolomic analysis of cell-free supernatants of the two variants. Once again,

PCoA plots evidenced a discrimination according to the origin of cell-free supernatants,

displaying separate clustering of pre-ST vs. post-ST samples (Fig. 1b). Consistently with

results of whole-cell analysis, supernatants of post-ST did not show surfactin (Fig. 1c) or

plipastatin (Fig. 1d) ions levels comparable to those of pre-ST. Accordingly, post-ST

supernatants showed no hemolytic activity (Supplementary Fig. 4d).

Since the absence of surfactin in post-ST was unexpected, we decided to evaluate the

role of surfactin deficiency on *B. subtilis* in this interaction process. To examine the

possibility that key genes involved in surfactin production are related to acquisition of

antifungal activity by post-ST variants, we generated a surfactin-defective Bs ALBA01

mutant (Δ*srfAA*) by deleting the surfactin synthase encoding gene *srfAA*, essential

component of the machinery for non-ribosomal synthesis of surfactin. Anti-*S. terrestris*

activity of this mutant was screened upon 15-day of co-culture by obtaining and testing

samples before and after co-culture. Interestingly, the absence of surfactin resulted in

the acquisition of equivalent mycelial growth-inhibitory activity to that observed in post-

ST. In fact, pre- and post-ST supernatants of surfactin-defective Δ*srfAA* showed no

notable differences in antifungal activity (Supplementary Fig. 6). Thus, inability to

produce/release surfactin resulted in enhanced anti-*S. terrestris* activity as well as

complete suppression of ST-driven transformation to post-ST phenotype. On the other

hand, unlike the post-ST variants and in agreement with previous reports[20], the Δ*srfAA*

strain lost the capacity to form biofilms.

Given that metabolomics profiles from HPLC-MS/MS showed clear differences, it was

intriguing that the main difference in the metabolome of post-ST variants was the

suppression of lipopeptides surfactin and plipistatin, whereas no candidate antifungal

metabolites showed significantly higher abundances. However, our HPLC-MS/MS

analysis was biased towards a limited area of the chemical space, and was unable to

detect small primary metabolites and volatile compounds. In order to expand our search

to small molecules, we analyzed the volatile compounds profile of the variants and their

interaction with *S. terrestris*. Thus, we adapted the growth of co-cultures to glass vials

suitable for headspace gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis using

solid phase microextraction (SPME). From partial least squares discriminant analysis

(PLS-DA), we found that 2-heptanone was the most discriminating compound produced

in greater amount post-mutation only by *B. subtilis*, and not by the fungus. Interestingly,

by performing a GC data network analysis[21] within the Global Natural Products Social

(GNPS) platform[18], we could discriminate a cluster composed of a family of ketones,

remarkably, all 2-ketones (Fig. 2a). Several of these ketones were the also among top

discriminant features between pre-ST and post-ST variants and *S. terrestris* (Fig 2b).

Interestingly, individually cultured pre-ST variants showed high levels of 2-ketones (Fig.

2b). However, co-culture with *S. terrestris* was able to induce a steep drop in 2-ketones

levels in pre-ST, but remarkably post-ST variant retained the ability to produce them.

Thus, we wondered whether 2-heptanone and a representative compound of the ketone

cluster, 2-octanone, were sufficient to exert antifungal activity on *S. terrestris*. To the best

of our knowledge, there is no specific genic pathway described in *B. subtilis* responsible

for the synthesis of 2-ketones and thus no 2-heptanone- nor 2-octanone-deficient

mutants of *B. subtilis* can be achieved. Therefore, we decided to test the antifungal effect

of either 2-ketones on *S. terrestris*. The activity of both compounds were tested in

bioassays by culturing the fungus on PDA dishes containing a filter paper disc where

different concentrations of each purified compound were loaded. The growth of *S.*

terrestris was gradually reduced as the concentration of 2-heptanone and 2-octanone

increased, and the fungal inhibition was maximum at concentrations of 0.02 M and 0.006

M, respectively (Fig. 2c). These results indicate that 2-heptanone and 2-octanone were

involved in the antagonistic process against *S. terrestris*. Considering our HPLC-MS/MS

results, the antifungal behavior of the *ΔsrfAA* mutant strain described above and the

lethal effect of both volatiles 2-heptanone and 2-octanone on *S. terrestris*, we next

explored whether the presence of surfactin was involved in the lack of antifungal activity

characteristically observed in cell-free supernatants of pre-ST variants. If this were the

case, then surfactin depletion would be a functional trait of post-ST variants necessary

to achieve antifungal capacity. To evaluate this, we added exogenous purified surfactin

to cell-free supernatant of post-ST and tested the anti-*Setophoma* activity of this

combination. The exogenous surfactin partially suppressed the antifungal activity of post-

ST supernatants (Figure 3a). Furthermore, in order to distinguish if this suppressor effect

was the outcome of surfactin directly interfering with the activity of antifungal compounds,

we tested if surfactin was able to decrease the anti-*Setophoma* activities of 2-heptanone

and 2-octanone. The exogenous addition of surfactin protected *S. terrestris* from the

antifungal activity of both, 2-heptanone and 2-octanone (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig.

7). Although these results are intriguing, previous reports proposed that surfactins could

interfere with the activity of other lipopeptides with documented antifungal activity, e.g.,

fengycins/plipastatins and iturins[3, 22].

Finally, we sequenced whole genomes of three post-ST variants (post-ST1, post-ST2,

post-ST3; Supplementary Fig. 8) derived independently from Bs ALBA01, which was

considered as pre-ST ancestor and used as reference. Reads from these three variants

were aligned against Bs ALBA01 genome[16] (NCBI Bioproject PRJNA316980) to assess

genetic changes accumulated in post-ST during interaction with the fungus. We found

one to three different mutations in coding sequence regions for each of the variants

(Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, each variant had at least one loss-of-function

mutation in genes of the ComQXPA quorum-sensing system. The post-ST1 and post-

ST2 variants had a frameshift mutation in coding sequence of the *comA* gene, while post-

ST3 variant harbored a 100 bp deletion in the kinase-encoding gene *comP.* Mutations in

comA and *comP* were further confirmed by PCR amplification and sequencing.

Then, we investigated the extent to which *com* genes in Bs ALBA01 were convergently

mutated upon interaction with *S. terrestris* by performing whole-genome sequencing of

a pool of 15 post-ST variants obtained independently following interaction with the fungus

in co-culture (Pool-seq; see Methods). To identify allelic variations in the pool, we

mapped sequence reads to the *B. subtilis* ALBA01 reference genome, and then

performed variant calling (see Supplementary Methods). Of note, six different loss-of-

function mutations were identified in the *comQXPA* operon within the pool of post-ST

variants, revealing marked convergence of mutation within these genes (Supplementary

Table 1). These findings demonstrate the occurrence of mutation-based phenotypic

changes in post-ST variants and support the notion that interaction of Bs ALBA01 with

S. terrestris induces metabolomic changes promoting bacterial antifungal activity, which

involves the ComQXPA quorum-sensing system. In addition, they suggest that single

mutations in one of the ComQXPA genic components provided pleiotropic effects for the

adaptation of *B. subtilis* to antagonistic interactions.

The ComQXPA system has been reported to be required for transcription of numerous

genes involved in competence development, antibiotic production, exopolysaccharide

production, degradative enzyme production and transport, and fatty acid metabolism[23,

24]. In fact, over 10% of the *B. subtilis* genome is controlled by the ComQXPA quorum-

sensing system[24]. Notably, ComA activates transcription of the *srfA* operon that governs

surfactin production[25, 26]. The ComQXPA system has also been reported to play a role in

transcription of regulator DegQ, which controls production of degradative enzymes and

is required for plipastatin production[27, 28, 29]. Thus, mutations in ComQXPA are in

agreement with the loss of surfactin and plipastatin production observed in post-ST. On

the other hand, mutations in ComA could possibly provoke alterations on the general

metabolism promoting chemical conditions that favor the biosynthesis of 2-heptanone

and 2-octanone, as those produced through the degradation of branched-chain amino

acids, leucine, valine and isoleucine, which would be otherwise used for surfactin or

lipopetides synthesis. Alternatively, it has also been described that ComA controls the

expression of FapR, a transcriptional regulator involved in fatty acid synthesis in *B.

subtilis*[24]. In this sense, FapR negatively regulates the expression of at least 10 genes

(the *fap* regulon)[30]. Thus, mutations in *comA/comP* could determine an increased fatty

acid biosynthesis by downregulating FapR. The release of the *fap* regulon in association

to the chemical condition derived from the global change produced by the mutation may

be favoring branched-chain fatty acid biosynthesis pathways and/or decarboxilation of

intermediates ketoacids that promote the synthesis of 2-ketones. Accordingly, a recent

report showed an enhancement of *de novo* fatty acid synthesis in *Bacillus nematocida*

B16 during 2-heptanone production[31].

This work uncovers the ComQXPA quorum sensing system as a novel pathway

employed by *B. subtilis* to achieve stable metabolic rewiring which enables the

production of extracellular metabolites required to outcompete microbial foes in

antagonistic interactions. The results suggest that mutations in ComQXPA provided a

metabolic shift that resulted in two major outcomes: *i)* post-ST variants were capable of

retaining ability to produce effective levels of antifungal 2-ketones upon interaction with

the fungus while *ii)* switching off the production of surfactin, which would otherwise

interfere with the antifungal activity of 2-ketones. As a bonus, mutations in ComQXPA

also promoted biofilm formation, another important feature to overcome biological

interactions in natural habitats such as the root of plants.

Taken together, our findings show that the interaction of *B. subtilis* with *S. terrestris*

drives specific mutation-based bacterial transformation that involves quorum-sensing

genes and mediates an adaptive process whereby the bacterium restructures its general

metabolism and profile of released molecules to respond to and ultimately overcome the

fungal pathogen.

Methods

Microorganisms and culture conditions

Bacterial and fungal strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Bacterial strains were routinely grown in LB medium (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract,

10 g/L NaCl) at 30ºC. For biofilm assays, cells were grown in either LB or LB plus glycerol

and manganese (LBGM) at 30ºC. When necessary, LB and LBGM media were solidified

with 1.5% agar.

Construction of mutant strains

Integrative plasmid was constructed to disrupt *srfAA* gene. To construct pSG1194-srfAA, an internal fragment *srfAA* from *B. subtilis* ALBA01 were amplified using primer pairs (listed in Supplementary Table 3) containing EcoRI and BamHI restriction sites. PCR products were digested with EcoRI and BamHI and ligated into pSG1194 vector digested with the same enzymes. Ligation mixtures were transformed into heat shock *E. coli* DH5α competent cells. Plasmids were purified, and ALBA01 competent cells were transformed by standard protocols[32] to generate the mutant strain Δ*srfAA*. For confirmation of gene disruption and selection of single-copy transformants, chloramphenicol-resistant colonies were analyzed by PCR and subjected to Sanger sequencing (Unidad de Genómica, Instituto de Biotecnología, C.I.C.V.yA. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Buenos Aires, Argentina).

Whole genome sequencing and analysis

Whole genome sequencing was performed using a paired-end (PE) 2x100 bp library on Illumina Hiseq 1500 system (INDEAR Genome Sequencing facility, Argentina), and *de novo* assembly on A5 pipeline[33]. ALBA01 reads were assembled into 28 scaffolds with average scaffold size 147,128 bp. For annotation of the genome, scaffolds were uploaded to Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology (RAST) server[34], and SEED-based method was applied on this server. The resulting assembly was used as reference genome sequence to map reads from post-ST variants using BWA-MEM tool (v. 0.7.5a-r405)[35, 36]. Variants were called using Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) HaplotypeCaller [37], and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were filtered based on Phred score >99%. SNPs detected from analysis of ancestral reads were excluded as false positives. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV)[38, 39] was used for manual inspection of variants and read alignments. *comA* and *comP* gene mutations were validated to confirm their presence in post-ST variants and absence in pre-ST variants or ALBA01. For this, a 484-bp *comA* fragment was amplified by PCR using oligonucleotide primers FcomA_map and RcomA_map (Supplementary Table 3). To map mutations in *comP*, a

PCR fragment was amplified using primer pair FcomP_map/RcomP_map. PCR products

were purified with Silica Bead DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Fisher, USA), subjected

to Sanger sequencing, and analyzed using BioEdit[40].

Whole-genome sequencing of pools of individuals (Pool-seq) analysis

Fifteen *B. subtilis* post-ST variants were obtained from independent co-culture

experiments as described above. Genomic DNA was collected and purified from each

clone using Promega Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit as per the manufacturer's

protocol. DNA quantity was determined using Qubit Fluorometric Quantitation

fluorometer (Thermo Fisher), and appropriate dilutions were mixed together such that

each genome was represented equally in the final pool. Libraries were prepared using

Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, USA) as per the manufacturer's

protocol. Paired-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq platform

producing 2×150 bp read lengths (INDEAR Genome Sequencing). Analysis of raw data

quality was performed with FastQC[41]. Adapter sequences were trimmed using

Trimmomatic[42]. Pool-seq reads were mapped against ALBA01 reference genome using

BWA ALN[36]. PCR duplicates, multialignment reads, improperly paired aligned reads, and

soft-clipped alignments were removed using Samtools[43]. Indels were realigned with

GATK[37]. SNP, insertion, and deletion discovery was performed using HaplotypeCaller

algorithm[37] with sample ploidy parameter set to 15. Under this methodology, variants

detected by analyzing ALBA01 ancestral reads were excluded as potential false

positives. Filter sequence variants was performed by running GATK VariantFiltration with

parameters "QD < 2.0 || FS > 60.0 || MQ < 40.0 || MQRankSum < -12.5 ||

ReadPosRankSum < -8.0" for SNP, and "QD < 2.0 || FS > 200.0 || ReadPosRankSum <

-20.0" for indels. Nucleotide sequence accession numbers: sequence of ALBA01

assembled genome was deposited in NCBI database (Bioproject PRJNA316980)[16].

Genome sequence reads from post-ST variants and Pool-seq analysis were deposited

in NCBI database (Bioproject PRJNA480851).

High performance liquid chromatography – tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS)

Biological triplicates of *Bacillus subtilis* pre and post-ST variants as well as a *B. subtilis* NCBI 3610 wild-type strain and a *B. subtilis* NCBI 3610 knock-out *srfAA* mutant were cultivated in LB medium at 30 °C at 180 rpm. The cultures were harvested after 18 hours through centrifugation at 7000 rpm, 4 °C for 5 min. Cell metabolism was quenched by resuspending the cell pellet in -80 °C methanol to a final concentration of 500 mg/ml to final volume of 500 μl in a 96 deepwell plate. The samples were then sonicated 10 minutes and the cell debris was pelleted by 10 min centrifugation at 7000 rpm. 450 μl of supernatants were transferred to a new Deepwell plate and dried overnight in a CentriVap Benchtop Vacuum Centrifuge (LabConco). The metabolites were then resuspended in 100 μl 50% methanol, 1% formic acid and submitted for HPLC-MS/MS analysis. Non-targeted HPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed according to Petras *et al.* 2016[44]. Therefore, 5 uL of the samples were injected on a Q-Exactive Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer coupled to Vanquish ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). For the LC separation, a C18 core-shell column (Kinetex, 50 x 2 mm, 1.8 um particle size, 100 A pore size, Phenomenex, Torrance, USA) with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min (Solvent A: H_2O + 0.1 % formic acid (FA), Solvent B: Acetonitrile (ACN) + 0.1 % FA) was used. During LC-MS/MS analysis, the compounds were eluted with a linear gradient from 0-0.5 min, 5 % B, 0.5-4 min 5-50 % B, 4-5 min 50-99 % B, flowed by a 2 min washout phase at 99% B and a 2 min re-equilibration phase at 5 % B. For positive mode MS/MS analysis the electrospray ionization (ESI) parameters were set to 35 L/min sheath gas flow, 10 L/min auxiliary gas flow, 2 L/min sweep gas flow and 400 °C auxiliary gas temperature. The spray voltage was set to 3.5 kV and the inlet capillary was set to 250 °C. A S-lens voltage of 50 V was applied. MS/MS product ion spectra were recorded in data dependent acquisition (DDA) mode. Both MS1 survey scans (150-1500 m/z) and up to 5 MS/MS scans per duty cycle were measured with a resolution (R) of 17,500 with

1 micro-scan. The maximum C-trap fill time was set to 100 ms. Quadrupole precursor

selection windows were set to 1 m/z. Normalized collision energy was stepwise

increased from 20 to 30 to 40 % with z = 1 as default charge state. MS/MS scans were

automatically triggered at the apex of chromatographic peaks within 2 to 15 s from their

first occurrence. Dynamic exclusion was set to 5s. Ion species with unassigned charge

states and isotope peaks were excluded from MS/MS acquisition.

Detailed descriptions of data analysis, MS/MS network analysis and metabolomic

studies based on NMR spectroscopy are presented in the Supplementary Information

section. MS/MS Data availability: all HPLC-MS/MS data can be found on the Mass

spectrometry Interactive Virtual Environment (MassIVE) at https://massive.ucsd.edu/

with the identifier MSV000082081.

Gas chromatography – Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) metabolomics

Biological replicates of *Bacillus subtilis* pre and post-ST variants and of the fungus *S.*

terrestris were cultivated individually and in co-cultures in 10 ml-borosilicate vials with a

screw cap with silicon septum containing three ml of PDA medium for seven days at

30°C and then analyzed with GC-MS to determine the emitted volatile metabolites. After

incubation, the capped vials were stored at -80 °C and thawed immediately prior to

analysis. The GC-MS analysis was carried out using the Agilent 7200 GC/QTOF

equipped with robotic sampler system. The volatiles from the sample were extracted

from headspace using Polydimethylsiloxane/Divinylbenzene (PDMS/DVB) df 65 µm

Solid Phase Microextraction Fiber (SPME) for 30 minutes at 50 °C. The fiber was then

inserted into the injector equipped with Merlin septum heated to 250 °C and the adsorbed

compounds were desorbed for 1 minute. The GC protocol analysis included:

cryofocusing on the head of the column at -20 °C for 1 min; 115 °C/min oven ramp to 40

°C (hold of 0.1 min), 20 °C/min oven ramp to 300 °C (hold of 0.1 min), ramp to 320 °C

and a), and 50 °C/min oven ramp to 320 °C purge the column. The helium carrier gas

was set to constant 2 mL/min flow and a splitless injection mode was applied. The

scanned *m*/*z* range was 35-400 Th with the acquisition rate of 20 spectra/s. The empty

vial blanks were interspersed with the samples to assess background signal. Quality

controls of natural mint oil extract were run along with samples before and after the

analysis. The GC-MS data were processed with MZmine2

(https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395)

using ADAP algorithm

(https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00633?src=recsys) deployed on

the ProteoSAFE workflow of the GNPS platform (gnps.ucsd.edu). The parameters were

set as indicated in the Supplementary Table 4. Data were uploaded to GNPS and

searched against NIST 2017 and WILEY spectral libraries (link to GNPS search results:

https://gnps.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/status.jsp?task=9569f1598a414e9a926721942e41e

d6c). The GC-MS data are available at the MassIVE depository (massive.ucsd.edu)

under ID MSV000083294.

References
375

1.   Kolter R, Greenberg PE. Microbial sciences: the superficial life of microbes.

Nature **441**, 300-302 (2006).

2.   Tang Q, Bie X, Lu Z, Lv F, Tao Y, Qu X. Effects of fengycin from *Bacillus subtilis*

fmbJ on apoptosis and necrosis in *Rhizopus stolonifer*. *Journal of Microbiology*

52, 675-680 (2014).

3.   Zihalirwa Kulimushi P, Argüelles Arias A, Franzil L, Steels S, Ongena M.

Stimulation of fengycin-type antifungal lipopeptides in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*

in the presence of the maize fungal pathogen *Rhizomucor variabilis*. *Frontiers in*

microbiology **8**, (2017).

4.   Straight PD, Kolter R. Interspecies chemical communication in bacterial

development. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **63**, 99-118 (2009).

5.   Kinsella K, P. Schulthess C, Morris T, D. Stuart J. Rapid quantification of *Bacillus*
subtilis antibiotics in the rhizosphere (2009).

6.   Debois D, Jourdan E, Smargiasso N, Thonart P, De Pauw E, Ongena M.
Spatiotemporal monitoring of the antibiome secreted by *Bacillus* biofilms on plant
roots using MALDI mass spectrometry imaging. *Analytical chemistry* **86**, 4431-
4438 (2014).

7.   Kearns DB, Losick R. Swarming motility in undomesticated *Bacillus subtilis*.
Molecular Microbiology **49**, 581-590 (2003).

8.   Kinsinger RF, Shirk MC, Fall R. Rapid surface motility in *Bacillus subtilis* is
dependent on extracellular surfactin and potassium ion. *Journal of Bacteriology*
185, 5627-5631 (2003).

9.   Julkowska D, Obuchowski M, Holland IB, Séror SJ. Comparative analysis of the
development of swarming communities of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 and a natural wild
type: critical effects of surfactin and the composition of the medium. *Journal of*
Bacteriology **187**, 65-76 (2005).

10.   Luzzatto-Knaan T, Melnik AV, Dorrestein PC. Mass Spectrometry Uncovers the
Role of Surfactin as an Interspecies Recruitment Factor. *ACS Chemical Biology*
14, 459-467 (2019).

11.   Cosby WM, Vollenbroich D, Lee OH, Zuber P. Altered *srf* expression in *Bacillus*
subtilis resulting from changes in culture pH is dependent on the Spo0K
oligopeptide permease and the ComQX system of extracellular control. *Journal*
of Bacteriology **180**, 1438-1445 (1998).

12.   Vanittanakom N, Loeffler W, Koch U, Jung G. Fengycin-a novel antifungal
lipopeptide antibiotic produced by *Bacillus subtilis* F-29-3. *The Journal of*
antibiotics **39**, 888-901 (1986).

13.   Falardeau J, Wise C, Novitsky L, Avis T. Ecological and mechanistic insights into

413   the direct and indirect antimicrobial properties of *Bacillus subtilis* lipopeptides on

414   plant pathogens. *Journal of chemical ecology* **39**, 869-878 (2013).

415   14.   Cawoy H, Debois D, Franzil L, De Pauw E, Thonart P, Ongena M. Lipopeptides

416   as main ingredients for inhibition of fungal phytopathogens by *Bacillus*

417   *subtilis/amyloliquefaciens*. *Microbial Biotechnology* **8**, 281-295 (2015).

418   15.   Albarracín Orio AG, Brücher E, Ducasse DA. A strain of *Bacillus subtilis* subsp.

419   *subtilis* shows a specific antagonistic activity against the soil-borne pathogen of

420   onion *Setophoma terrestris*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **144**, 217-223

421   (2016).

422   16.   Albarracín Orio AG, Tobares RA, Ducasse DA, Smania AM. Draft genome

423   sequence of *Bacillus subtilis* ALBA01, a strain with antagonistic activity against

424   the soilborne fungal pathogen of onion *Setophoma terrestris*. *Genome*

425   *announcements* **4**, e00455-00416 (2016).

426   17.   Watrous J*, et al.* Mass spectral molecular networking of living microbial colonies.

427   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, E1743–E1752 (2012).

428   18.   Wang M*, et al.* Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with

429   Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking. *Nature Biotechnology* **34**,

430   828 (2016).

431   19.   Nothias LF*, et al.* Feature-based Molecular Networking in the GNPS Analysis

432   Environment. *bioRxiv*, 812404 (2019).

433   20.   Bais HP, Fall R, Vivanco JM. Biocontrol of *Bacillus subtilis* against infection of

434   *Arabidopsis* roots by *Pseudomonas syringae* is facilitated by biofilm formation

435   and surfactin production. *Plant Physiology* **134**, 307-319 (2004).

436   21.   Aksenov A*, et al.* Algorithmic Learning for Auto-deconvolution of GC-MS Data to
437   Enable Molecular Networking within GNPS. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2001.2013.905091
438   (2020).

439   22.   Kim YT*, et al.* Organization and characterization of genetic regions in *Bacillus*
440   *subtilis* subsp. *krictiensis* ATCC55079 associated with the biosynthesis of iturin
441   and surfactin compounds. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0188179 (2017).

442   23.   Wolf D*, et al.* The quorum-sensing regulator ComA from *Bacillus subtilis* activates
443   transcription using topologically distinct DNA motifs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**,
444   2160-2172 (2016).

445   24.   Comella N, Grossman AD. Conservation of genes and processes controlled by
446   the quorum response in bacteria: characterization of genes controlled by the
447   quorum-sensing transcription factor ComA in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular*
448   *Microbiology* **57**, 1159-1174 (2005).

449   25.   Roggiani M, Dubnau D. ComA, a phosphorylated response regulator protein of
450   *Bacillus subtilis*, binds to the promoter region of *srfA*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **175**,
451   3182-3187 (1993).

452   26.   Nakano MM, Xia LA, Zuber P. Transcription initiation region of the *srfA* operon,
453   which is controlled by the *comP-comA* signal transduction system in *Bacillus*
454   *subtilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **173**, 5487-5493 (1991).

455   27.   Tsuge K, Ano T, Hirai M, Nakamura Y, Shoda M. The genes *degQ*, *pps*, and *lpa-*
456   *8* (*sfp*) are responsible for conversion of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 to plipastatin
457   production. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **43**, 2183-2192 (1999).

458   28.   Msadek T, Kunst F, Klier A, Rapoport G. DegS-DegU and ComP-ComA
459   modulator-effector pairs control expression of the *Bacillus subtilis* pleiotropic
460   regulatory gene *degQ*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **173**, 2366-2377 (1991).

29.   Wang P*, et al.* DegQ regulates the production of fengycins and biofilm formation

of the biocontrol agent *Bacillus subtilis* NCD-2. *Microbiological Research* **178**, 42-

50 (2015).

30.   Albanesi D, de Mendoza D. FapR: From Control of Membrane Lipid Homeostasis

to a Biotechnological Tool. *Frontiers in molecular biosciences* **3**, 64 (2016).

31.   Zhu M*, et al.* Biosynthesis of the nematode attractant 2-Heptanone and its co-

evolution between the pathogenic bacterium *Bacillus nematocida* and non-

pathogenic bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. *Frontiers in microbiology* **10**, 1489 (2019).

32.   Gryczan T, Contente S, Dubnau D. Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus*

plasmids introduced by transformation into *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of*

Bacteriology **134**, 318-329 (1978).

33.   Tritt A, Eisen JA, Facciotti MT, Darling AE. An Integrated Pipeline for de Novo

Assembly of Microbial Genomes. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e42304 (2012).

34.   Aziz RK*, et al.* The RAST Server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems

Technology. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 75-75 (2008).

35.   Kurtz S*, et al.* Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes.

Genome biology **5**, R12 (2004).

36.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).

37.   McKenna A*, et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for

analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research* **20**, 1297-

1303 (2010).

38.   Robinson JT*, et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nature biotechnology* **29**, 24

(2011).

39. Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV):
high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Briefings in*
bioinformatics **14**, 178-192 (2013).

40. Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In: *Nucleic acids symposium series*
(ed^(eds). [London]: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979-c2000. (1999).

41. Andrews S. FastQC A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
(ed^(eds) (2010).

42. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).

43. Li H*, et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*
25, 2078-2079 (2009).

44. Petras D*, et al.* Mass spectrometry-based visualization of molecules associated
with human habitats. *Analytical Chemistry* **88**, 10775-10784 (2016).

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by MINCyT-Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y
Tecnológica (ANPCyT) (grants PICT 2013-2592 to A.G.A.O and PICT 2016-1545 to
A.M.S.), U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) Inspire Track II (grant IOS-1343020),
National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grants GMS10RR029121, 5P41GM103484–07), and
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (grant PE 2600/1). The authors are grateful
to Dr. Zdenek Kamenik, Institute of Microbiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, for
kindly providing ketones compounds, to Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas
y Técnicas (CONICET), Fulbright Commission Argentina and to Dr. S. Anderson for
English editing of the manuscript.

Author contributions

A.G.A.O and A.M.S designed the experiments and supervised the study. A.G.A.O, F.J., and P.S. cultured the strains. A.G.A.O. performed interaction experiments. A.G.A.O, R.A.T., A.J.M. and A.M.S. performed DNA isolation and analyzed bioinformatic data of bacterial genomes. A.G.A.O. analyzed NMR data. A.G.A.O., D.P. and A.A.A. collected MS data. A.G.A.O., D.P., A.A.A., M.W and P.C.D. analyzed metabolomic data and performed molecular networking. A.G.A.O. and A.M.S. integrated all experimental data and made final interpretations. A.G.A.O., A.J.M., A.M.S. and D.A.D. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed, edited, and approved the finalized manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Pieter C. Dorrestein is a scientific advisor for Sirenas LLC. Mingxun Wang is a consultant for Sirenas LLC and the founder of Ometa labs LLC. Alexander A. Aksenov is a consultant for Ometa labs LLC.

Figures and Legends

Figure 1. LC-MS/MS-based metabolomic analysis showing chemical signatures

that distinguish pre- from post-ST variants

(a) PCoA plots showing strong separation between pre- and post-ST. **(b)** PCoA plots

showed clear distinctions according to the origin of cell-free supernatants, with separate

clustering of pre- vs. post-ST samples. **(c, d)** Intensities of surfactin and plipastatin ions

were strongly reduced in in cell-free supernatants of post-ST.

Figure 2. Volatile compounds change due to interaction between *B. subtilis* and *S. terrestris*; 2-ketones induce fungal growth inhibition

(a) GC network analysis revealed that Bs ALBA01 produces and releases a family of 2-ketones compounds, which arise as the most important volatile metabolites produced only by the bacterium. **(b)** Interaction with the fungus (ST) generates a steep drop of 2-heptanone (2H) and 2-octanone (2O) levels in pre-ST but not in post-ST. Both, 2H and 2O are the most discriminating features between pre- and post-ST during co-culture with ST. Boxplots show compound abundances, normalized by quantile normalization and auto-scaled (zero-centered and divided by standard deviation). **(c)** Growth inhibition of *S. terrestris* at day 7 after inoculation of 0.02 M 2H and 0.006 M 2O on a filter paper disc placed on PDA dishes. Graphs showing the gradual reduction in mycelium growth with increasing concentrations of 2-ketones. Data shown are mean values of mycelial growth from three independent replicate experiments; red arrow indicates lethal concentration of 2H and 2O at day 7 after inoculation; black square symbol indicates fungal control growth without ketones.

Figure 3. Surfactin interferes with the anti-*S. terrestris* activity of 2-ketones

 (a) Suppressor effect of exogenous surfactin (1mg/ml) on the antifungal activity of cell-free supernatants of post-ST observed at day 5 after inoculation. **(b)** Suppressor effect of a filter paper strip imbibed with surfactin (1mg/ml) on the antifungal activity of 2H 0.02 M and on 2O 0.006 M, 7 days after inoculation. Data shown are mean values of mycelial growth from three independent replicate experiments; red arrow indicates lethal concentration of ketones at day 7.