# Gene expression profiling of The Cancer Genome Atlas supports an inverse association between body mass index (BMI) and major oesophageal tumour subtypes

Inge Seim[1,2,3,4*], Penny L. Jeffery[1,2,3], Lisa K. Chopin[1,2,3]

[1] Ghrelin Research Group, Translational Research Institute – Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, School of Biomedical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[2] Comparative and Endocrine Biology Laboratory, Translational Research Institute – Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, School of Biomedical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[3] Australian Prostate Cancer Research Centre - Queensland, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[4] Integrative Biology Laboratory, College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China.

*Corresponding author

E-mail: inge@seimlab.org (I.S.).

# Abstract

In the last decade the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) program has revealed significant insights into molecular events of dozens of cancers. These data sets are continuously updated, providing an unprecedented resource to the research community. There is now an emerging link between obesity and the development and progression of cancer. In this study we wished to identify genes related to body mass index (BMI)-related in TCGA datasets. Supporting epidemiological data, our gene expression profiling analyses suggest that oesophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) can be considered a true obesity-associated cancer subtype, presenting avenues for prevention and treatment.

# Introduction

Obesity is now considered to be an epidemic. Epidemiological data links overweight and obesity, dietary fats, and a sedentary lifestyle with the risk of developing many types of cancer [1], however, mechanistic data has been relatively sparse. In recent years, the development of powerful experimental methods (such as CRISPR [2]) and new technologies (such as RNA-sequencing [3]) have fuelled research aiming to better understand how obesity affects cells and tissues at the whole-body level. Genetic, epidemiological, and experimental data show that a high-fat diet and obesity can mediate tumour progression in diverse cancers [4, 5]. To contribute to this emerging research effort, we here interrogated The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) gene expression data (RNA-sequencing), with the aim of identifying genes whose expression correlates with body mass index (BMI).

# Material and methods

## Datasets

Using the Genomics Data Commons (GDC) data portal [6, 7] we downloaded clinical, exposure (includes BMI), and gene expression (RNA-sequencing) data using the companion GDC Data Transfer Tool from the following 12 The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) datasets with corresponding BMI records: TCGA-BLCA (bladder urothelial carcinoma; $N$=358), TCGA-CESC (cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; $N$=259), TCGA-CHOL (cholangiocarcinoma; $N$=35), TCGA-COAD (colon adenocarcinoma; $N$=231),

TCGA-DLBC (lymphoid neoplasm diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; $N$=48), TCGA-

ESCA (oesophageal carcinoma; $N$=152), TCGA-KIRP (kidney renal papillary cell

carcinoma; $N$=201), TCGA-LIHC (liver hepatocellular carcinoma; $N$=334), TCGA-

READ (rectum adenocarcinoma; $N$=72), TCGA-UCEC (uterine corpus endometrial

carcinoma; $N$=511), TCGA-UCS (uterine carcinosarcoma; $N$=51), and TCGA-UVM

(uveal melanoma; $N$=53). As we interrogated the entire gene set, we employed

normalised gene expression values (FPKM-UQ; Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript

per Million mapped reads upper quartile). FPKM-UQ is a modified FPKM calculation

in which the total protein-coding read count is replaced by the 75th percentile read

count value for the sample to scale the reads (see

https://docs.gdc.cancer.gov/Data/Bioinformatics_Pipelines/Expression_mRNA_Pipeli

ne/). GDC data is annotated with Ensembl gene IDs. To obtain classical gene symbols

and to classify genes into 'gene types' (e.g. protein-coding, lincRNA, antisense, and

pseudogene), a gene annotation file (GTF; v22; GRCh38.p2) was downloaded from

the GENCODE [8] web site (https://www.gencodegenes.org/releases/22.html) and

merged with our output data using a custom R script.

## Identification of BMI-related genes

Gene expression data was parsed using custom bash and R scripts, to

generate data frames that could be merged with the associated clinical and exposure

GDC data files (includes BMI). BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height

(m$^2$). In each data set, only primary tumour samples were retained, as recommended

[7]. Clinical information was manually interrogated to remove obvious outliers (e.g.

extreme BMI values in the 132-415 range which could stem from inputting incorrect

patient height or the patient has an unspecified subnormal height condition) in the

case of TCGA-UZ-A9PU (TCGA-KIRP), TCGA-NH-A8F7 (TCGA-COAD), TCGA-

WN-AB4C (TCGA-KIRP), TCGA-SJ-A6ZI (TCGA-UCEC), and TCGA-DD-A4NR

(TCGA-LIHC). Additional TCGA-ESCA (oesophageal cancer) clinical information

(including histology) was obtained from Supplementary Table 1 of the associated

dataset manuscript [9].

To identify body mass index (BMI)-related genes Spearman's correlation

was used for examining the associations between BMI and gene expression values on

an ordinal scale [10, 11]. For each dataset, genes with no expression in $\geq$ 80% of

tumours were excluded from analysis [11] and BMI was transformed on a log-scale.

A general rule of thumb is that one requires about 30 samples to assume a normal

distribution. A sample size of 30 would result in a Spearman correlation (*rho*) cutoff

of 0.36, with *rho* decreasing as sample size increases (see [12]). In our analysis we

employed a blanket *rho* cutoff of 0.30 and retained genes with a false-discovery-

corrected *P*-value less than 0.01 (Bonferroni-corrected to account for a total of 60,483

genes tested). We also compared groups of cancer patients with a normal body mass

index value (lean; BMI <25) to overweight (BMI of 25-30) and obese (BMI >30)

patients using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U*-test (also known as Wilcoxon rank-

sum test; corrected for multiple-testing as above). Groups (e.g. cancer subtype) by

BMI category (e.g. lean versus high-BMI) were compared using a Pearson Chi-square

($\chi^2$) test. Scripts are available at GitHub (https://github.com/sciseim/BMI_MS).

## Survival outcome analysis

Survival data was obtained from a recent comprehensive clinical endpoint outcome (OS, overall survival; PFI, progression-free interval; DFI, disease-free interval; DSS, disease-specific survival) curation and analysis effort of 33 TCGA-datasets [7]. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis [13] was performed with the R package 'survival' [14], fitting survival curves (*survfit*) and computing log-rank $P$-values using the *survdiff* function, with *rho*=0 (equivalent to the method employed by UCSC Xena; see https://goo.gl/4knf62). Survival curves were plotted when survival was significantly different between two groups (log-rank $P \leq 0.05$). Datasets with fewer than 10 events per group can be considered unreliable [7].

# Results and discussion

As of May 2018, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) program had amassed clinical and molecular data of more than 30 different cancer types. Of these, 12 cancer types had associated body mass index (BMI) data (Table 1). We employed Spearman's correlation test to assess the correlation between gene expression (RNA-sequencing data) of protein- and non-protein coding genes and BMI (cut-off set at an absolute *rho* of 0.30 and a multiple testing adjusted *P*-value, *Q*, less than 0.01). Using this threshold, we observed significant correlation between gene expression and BMI in four TCGA datasets (summarised in Table 1): TCGA-CESC (cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; 1 gene with negative correlation), TCGA-LIHC (liver hepatocellular carcinoma; 4 positive and 3 negative), TCGA-UCEC (uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; 6 positive), and TCGA-ESCA (oesophageal carcinoma; 771 positive and 583 negative) (Table 1). Please see Table 2 and S1-S3 Tables,  for details.

**Table 1**. **BMI-related genes identified by Spearman's correlation test.**

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; $N_{lean}$, the number of patients with a BMI

below 25; $N_{overweight}$, the number of patients with a BMI between 25 and 30; $N_{overweight}$,

the number of patients with a BMI above 30; $N_{combined}$, the number of genes identified

by Spearman correlation at a *rho* cut-off of 0.30 and a multiple-testing adjusted *P*-

value (Bonferroni) less than 0.01; Up, the number of genes with a positive correlation

with BMI; Down, the number of genes with a negative correlation with BMI. BMI

distribution is shown as mean (lower quartile-upper quartile). The Cancer Genome

Atlas (TCGA) datasets are TCGA-BLCA (bladder urothelial carcinoma), TCGA-

CESC (cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma), TCGA-

CHOL (cholangiocarcinoma), TCGA-COAD (colon adenocarcinoma), TCGA-DLBC

(lymphoid neoplasm diffuse large B-cell lymphoma), TCGA-ESCA (oesophageal

carcinoma), TCGA-KIRP (kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma), TCGA-LIHC (liver

hepatocellular carcinoma), TCGA-READ (rectum adenocarcinoma), TCGA-UCEC

(uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma), TCGA-UCS (uterine carcinosarcoma), and

TCGA-UVM (uveal melanoma).

| Dataset | BMI distribution (kg m$^{-2}$) | $N_{lean}$ | $N_{overweight}$ | $N_{obese}$ | $N_{cor}$ | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | **All** | **Up** | **Down** |
| TCGA-BLCA | 26.99 (23.20-29.63) | 149 | 124 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCGA-CESC | 27.95 (22.62-32.41) | 98 | 75 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TCGA-CHOL | 27.99 (24.82-30.22) | 10 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCGA-COAD | 28.37 (23.85-31.83) | 78 | 80 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCGA-DLBC | 25.91 (22.01-28.37) | 25 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCGA-ESCA | 25.07 (21.30-27.47) | 84 | 46 | 22 | 1294 | 711 | 583 |
| TCGA-KIRP | 28.92 (25.26-32.34) | 50 | 86 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCGA-LIHC | 25.82 (21.76-28.66) | 177 | 90 | 67 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| TCGA-READ | 27.12 (24.60-29.15) | 20 | 37 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCGA-UCEC | 33.43 (26.37-38.83) | 95 | 114 | 302 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| TCGA-UCS | 29.71 (23.95-32.86) | 17 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| TCGA-UVM | 28.68 (23.32-30.32) | 17 | 22 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

149 **Table 2. BMI-related genes identified by Spearman correlation test in TCGA-**

150 **UCEC (uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma).** Cutoff set at a Spearman *rho* of

151 0.30 and a multiple-testing corrected *P*-value less than 0.01 (Bonferroni-adjusted *P*,

152 *BH-FDR*).

| Ensembl gene ID | gene symbol (NCBI) | description | chr | gene type | *rho* | *P* | BH-FDR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENSG00 0000785 49.13 | *ADCYAP1R1* | ADCYAP receptor type I | 7 | protein _coding | 0.30 | $8.98 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.43 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ENSG00 0000821 75.13 | *PGR* | progesteron e receptor | 11 | protein _coding | 0.30 | $9.44 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.71 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ENSG00 0001014 93.9 | *ZNF516* | zinc finger protein 516 | 18 | protein _coding | 0.31 | $3.50 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.12 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ENSG00 0001962 08.12 | *GREB1* | growth regulating estrogen receptor binding 1 | 2 | protein _coding | 0.30 | $1.67 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.01 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| ENSG00 0002041 21.2 | *ECEL1P1* | endothelin converting enzyme like 1 pseudogene 1 | 2 | unproce ssed_ps eudoge ne | 0.31 | $1.82 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.10 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ENSG00 0002442 | *ECEL1P2* | endothelin converting | 2 | transcri bed_un | 0.31 | $1.51 \times 10^{-12}$ | $9.10 \times 10^{-8}$ |

| 80.1 | | enzyme like 1 pseudogene 2 | | processed_pseudogene | | | |
|------|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|--|

In a previous study which employed an earlier iteration of the TCGA-UCEC

endometrial carcinoma dataset, analysis was limited to endometroid tumours and

linear regression (with no $r^2$ cut-off) was performed on protein-coding genes and

BMI. Comparing 185 obese (BMI >30) and 105 non-obese patients, 214 genes were

associated with body mass index. In our analysis the strength of the relationship

between a gene's expression and BMI was quantified using Spearman correlation

analysis. Interrogating a total of 40,669 non-coding and 19,814 protein-coding genes

in 302 obese and 213 non-obese TCGA-UCEC patients we identified four protein-

coding genes and two non-coding genes with significant associations between

expression and BMI. Two protein-coding genes identified are critical regulators of

hormone-dependent tumour growth and also identified in the previous study [15]:

growth regulating oestrogen receptor binding 1 (*GREB1*) and progesterone receptor

(*PGR*) were both positively associated (Spearman $r$=0.30, $Q$=5.71 × $10^{-7}$ and $r$=0.30,

$Q$=1.01 × $10^{-6}$, respectively) with body mass index (Table 2). A very recent study on

an independent clinical data set revealed that PGR expression is indeed correlated

with BMI in endometrial cancer [16, 17]. GREB1 is essential for progesterone-driven

endometrial decidualisation [18]. Hormone-targeted therapies are a common approach

for advanced endometrial cancer and are more effective in patients with progesterone

receptor positive tumours [19]. These data suggest that *PGR* and *GREB1* should be

further studied to understand the link between endometrial cancer and increasing rates

of obesity worldwide [20].

Because a large number of TCGA-ESCA (oesophageal carcinoma) genes

(1,294 of a total of 60,483 genes; 2.14%) (Table 1) correlated with BMI, we

performed additional analyses on this dataset (see S1 Fig.). First, we repeated the

analyses with a stricter Spearman *rho* (0.50) and adjusted *P*-value cut-off ($Q \leq 0.001$).

Under these conditions, 145 genes (0.24%) (S3 Table) were significant. Of these, 86

(59.3%) showed a positive correlation with BMI and 59 (46.7%) a negative

correlation. These genes were also significant when BMI was dichotomised into lean

and high-BMI (BMI above 25; overweight and obese) (Mann-Whitney $U$-test with $Q$

$\leq 0.05$). Because GENCODE [8] annotations were employed, BMI-related genes

included protein-coding genes (69 with a positive correlation with BMI; 45 with a

negative correlation) as well as non-coding RNA genes (e.g. antisense lncRNAs). In

an attempt to identify clinico-biologically meaningful groups, we performed

unsupervised hierarchical clustering (Euclidian, average) of the expression of the 145

BMI-related genes in the TCGA-ESCA dataset. The resulting heat map revealed a

striking difference between the two major subtypes of oesophageal carcinoma, ESCC

(squamous cell carcinoma) and EAC (adenocarcinoma) (Fig. 1). EAC tumours largely

clustered with high-BMI (overweight and obese). In agreement, stratifying EAC and

ESCC into lean (20 EAC and 58 ESCC) and high-BMI (46 EAC and 16 ESCC)

groups showed that the subtypes are over-represented in distinct BMI strata (Pearson

$\chi^2$-test, $P=1.09 \times 10^{-8}$). Fig. 2 illustrates genes with distinct expression in the dataset:

Elevated expression of p63 (*TP63*) [9, 21] and the long non-coding RNA *LINC01415*

in ESCC; the converse for mucin 13 (*MUC13*) [22] and the long non-coding RNA

*LINC00675* [23] in EAC.

**Fig. 1. Heat map of gene expression of 145 BMI-related genes in TCGA-ESCA**

**(oesophageal carcinoma).** Normalised to depict relative values within rows

(samples) with high (yellow) and low expression (blue). Various clinical

stratifications are shown as colour bars on the left-hand side; negative (blue) and

positive (red) correlation with BMI is shown as a bottom colour bar. Samples with no

expression of one or more of the genes were excluded from the heatmap.

**Fig. 2. Box plots showing the expression of selected BMI-associated genes in the**

**TCGA-ESCA (oesophageal cancer) data set**. The *x*-axis represents samples grouped

into lean (BMI <25; in green), overweight (BMI of 25-30; in orange), and obese (BMI

>30; in red); the *y*-axis $\log_2$(FPKM-UQ) gene expression of the gene. The expression

of patients with the oesophageal cancer subtypes and EAC (adenocarcinoma) and

ESCC (squamous cell carcinoma) are shown as distinctly-coloured dots.

A natural next question to ask is what are the implications from this result?

We considered the current literature on the relationship between the oesophagus and

BMI, and oesophageal carcinoma and obesity. Recent comparative analysis

(employing Spearman correlation) on normal tissues revealed that oesophageal tissue

is one of six tissues (the other being adipose, nerve, pancreas, pituitary, and skin)

where gene expression is strongly associated with BMI [11]. Oesophageal carcinoma

is one of the most aggressive human cancers [24]. The TCGA-ESCA companion

manuscript argued that EAC (oesophageal adenocarcinoma) and ESCC (squamous

cell carcinoma) should be considered inherently distinct subtypes, developed by

lineage-specific alterations [9]. EAC and the gastric tumour subtype chromosomal

instability (CIN) are remarkably homogenous, both at the histopathological and

molecular level, while ESCC is more similar to squamous cell carcinoma derived

from other tissues [9]. Of the two subtypes, ESCC is more prevalent worldwide and

high BMI is associated with reduced risk of developing this disease [25, 26]; while

EAC is highly prevalent in populations where obesity is common [27]. There has been

a shift in the prevalence of EAC in the USA correlating with an increase in obesity

and sedentary lifestyle, with EAC increasing from 10% of cases in 1975 to 80% in

2014 [24]. Furthermore, multiple, large population-based (epidemiological studies)

studies show a strong correlation between increased BMI and the risk of developing

EAC [25, 27, 28]. EAC is prevalent in men, and potentially associated with masculine

fat distribution (primarily abdominal) and sex hormones [9, 27]. These

epidemiological observations fit well with our data. We also compared the prognosis

of the BMI groups using various endpoints, however, there were too few patients with

clinical endpoint events when patients were divided on the basis of histological

subtypes (S4 Table). Nevertheless, correlating TCGA-ESCA data with BMI identifies

a gene set which supports distinct diet-associated origins of oesophageal cancer

subtypes.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, by integrating gene expression and BMI data from the TCGA

program, we have gained insights into the association between overweight and obesity

and cancer. Integration of genomic and clinical datasets should be carefully performed

to identify subtypes within each cancer, as recommended by TCGA [7]. Indeed, such

efforts are likely to reveal further BMI-associated cancer subtypes. Nevertheless,

when distinct subtypes associated with overweight and obesity are evident, we and

others [15] have shown that correlating gene expression and BMI alone across an

entire data set is informative. We propose that an obesogenic environment is essential

for the development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC), but not oesophageal

squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) – adding further weight to distinct prevention and

treatment strategies for these histological subtypes [9]. Future studies, integrating

additional genomic datasets (such as epigenome data) and the release of updated

clinical information by TCGA is likely to further define the link between a high BMI

and cancer.

## Funding sources

261       We acknowledge financial support from the National Health and Medical

262    Research Council Australia (grant no. 1059021; to I.S., P.L.J., L.K.C.), Cancer

Council Queensland (grant no. 1098565; to I.S. and L.K.C.), a QUT Vice-

Chancellor's Senior Research Fellowship (to I.S.), the Movember Foundation and the

Prostate Cancer Foundation of Australia through a Movember Revolutionary Team

Award, the Australian Government Department of Health, and the Australian Prostate

Cancer Research Center, Queensland (L.K.C.), and the Australian Research Council

(grant no DP140100249; to L.K.C.).

# References

1. Wolin KY, Carson K, Colditz GA. Obesity and cancer. Oncologist.
   2010;15(6):556-65. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2009-0285.

2. Hsu PD, Lander ES, Zhang F. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for
   genome engineering. Cell. 2014;157(6):1262-78. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.010.

3. Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for
   transcriptomics. Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10(1):57-63. doi: 10.1038/nrg2484.

4. Chen M, Zhang J, Sampieri K, Clohessy JG, Mendez L, Gonzalez-Billalabeitia E,
   et al. An aberrant SREBP-dependent lipogenic program promotes metastatic
   prostate cancer. Nat Genet. 2018;50(2):206-18. doi: 10.1038/s41588-017-0027-2.

5. Maugham ML, Thomas PB, Crisp GJ, Philp LK, Shah ET, Herington AC, et al.
   Insights from engraftable immunodeficient mouse models of hyperinsulinaemia.
   Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):491. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-00443-x.

6. Jensen MA, Ferretti V, Grossman RL, Staudt LM. The NCI Genomic Data
   Commons as an engine for precision medicine. Blood. 2017;130(4):453-9. doi:
   10.1182/blood-2017-03-735654.

7. Liu J, Lichtenberg T, Hoadley KA, Poisson LM, Lazar AJ, Cherniack AD, et al.

An Integrated TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource to Drive High-Quality

Survival Outcome Analytics. Cell. 2018;173(2):400-16 e11. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.052.

8. Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, Kokocinski F, et al.

GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project.

Genome Res. 2012;22(9):1760-74. doi: 10.1101/gr.135350.111.

9. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N, Analysis Working Group: Asan U, Agency

BCC, Brigham, Women's H, Broad I, et al. Integrated genomic characterization of

oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017;541(7636):169-75. doi:

10.1038/nature20805.

10.    Rai MF, Sandell LJ, Cheverud JM, Brophy RH. Relationship of age and body

mass index to the expression of obesity and osteoarthritis-related genes in human

meniscus. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013;37(9):1238-46. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2012.221.

11.    Hao RH, Yang TL, Rong Y, Yao S, Dong SS, Chen H, et al. Gene expression

profiles indicate tissue-specific obesity regulation changes and strong obesity

relevant tissues. Int J Obes (Lond). 2018;42(3):363-9. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2017.283.

12.    Ryaboshapkina M, Hammar M. Human hepatic gene expression signature of

non-alcoholic fatty liver disease progression, a meta-analysis. Sci Rep.

2017;7(1):12361. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-10930-w.

13.    Rich JT, Neely JG, Paniello RC, Voelker CC, Nussenbaum B, Wang EW. A

practical guide to understanding Kaplan-Meier curves. Otolaryngol Head Neck

Surg. 2010;143(3):331-6. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2010.05.007.

14.    Therneau T. A Package for Survival Analysis in S. version 2.38. 2015.

Reference Source. 2016.

15.     Roque DR, Makowski L, Chen TH, Rashid N, Hayes DN, Bae-Jump V.

Association between differential gene expression and body mass index among

endometrial cancers from The Cancer Genome Atlas Project. Gynecol Oncol.

2016;142(2):317-22. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.06.006.

16.     Peevey JF, Seagle BL, Maniar KP, Kim JJ. Association of body mass index

with ER, PR and 14-3-3sigma expression in tumor and stroma of type I and type II

endometrial carcinoma. Oncotarget. 2017;8(26):42548-59. doi:

10.18632/oncotarget.17209.

17.     Mauland KK, Trovik J, Wik E, Raeder MB, Njolstad TS, Stefansson IM, et al.

High BMI is significantly associated with positive progesterone receptor status and

clinico-pathological markers for non-aggressive disease in endometrial cancer. Br J

Cancer. 2011;104(6):921-6. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2011.46.

18.     Camden AJ, Szwarc MM, Chadchan SB, DeMayo FJ, O'Malley BW, Lydon

JP, et al. Growth regulation by estrogen in breast cancer 1 (GREB1) is a novel

progesterone-responsive gene required for human endometrial stromal

decidualization. Mol Hum Reprod. 2017;23(9):646-53. doi:

10.1093/molehr/gax045.

19.     Ethier J-L, Desautels DN, Amir E, MacKay H. Is hormonal therapy effective

in advanced endometrial cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Gynecologic oncology. 2017;147(1):158-66.

20.     Lortet-Tieulent J, Ferlay J, Bray F, Jemal A. International Patterns and Trends

in Endometrial Cancer Incidence, 1978-2013. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2018;110(4):354-

61. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djx214.

21.    Hu H, Xia SH, Li AD, Xu X, Cai Y, Han YL, et al. Elevated expression of p63

protein in human esophageal squamous cell carcinomas. Int J Cancer.

2002;102(6):580-3. doi: 10.1002/ijc.10739.

22.    Williams SJ, Wreschner DH, Tran M, Eyre HJ, Sutherland GR, McGuckin

MA. Muc13, a novel human cell surface mucin expressed by epithelial and

hemopoietic cells. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(21):18327-36. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M008850200.

23.    Li DD, Fu ZQ, Lin Q, Zhou Y, Zhou QB, Li ZH, et al. Linc00675 is a novel

marker of short survival and recurrence in patients with pancreatic ductal

adenocarcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21(31):9348-57. doi:

10.3748/wjg.v21.i31.9348.

24.    Napier KJ, Scheerer M, Misra S. Esophageal cancer: A Review of

epidemiology, pathogenesis, staging workup and treatment modalities. World J

Gastrointest Oncol. 2014;6(5):112-20. doi: 10.4251/wjgo.v6.i5.112.

25.    Enzinger PC, Mayer RJ. Esophageal cancer. N Engl J Med.

2003;349(23):2241-52. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra035010.

26.    Smith M, Zhou M, Whitlock G, Yang G, Offer A, Hui G, et al. Esophageal

cancer and body mass index: results from a prospective study of 220,000 men in

China and a meta-analysis of published studies. Int J Cancer. 2008;122(7):1604-10.

doi: 10.1002/ijc.23198.

27.    Lagergren J. Influence of obesity on the risk of esophageal disorders. Nat Rev

Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;8(6):340-7. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2011.73.

28.    Fang X, Wei J, He X, Lian J, Han D, An P, et al. Q uantitative association

between body mass index and the risk of cancer: A global Meta‐analysis of

prospective cohort studies. International journal of cancer. 2018.

# Supporting information

**S1 Fig. Overview of body mass index (BMI) distribution in the TCGA-ESCA (oesophageal cancer) data set**. Lean (BMI <25; in green), overweight (BMI of 25-30; in orange), and obese (BMI >30; in red) patients are shown.

**S1 Table. BMI-related genes identified by Spearman correlation test in TCGA-CESC (cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma).** Cutoff set at *rho* of 0.30 and a multiple-testing corrected *P*-value less than 0.01 (Bonferroni-adjusted *P*).

**S2 Table. BMI-related genes identified by Spearman correlation test in TCGA-LIHC (liver hepatocellular carcinoma).** Cutoff set at *rho* of 0.30 and a multiple-testing corrected *P*-value less than 0.01 (Bonferroni-adjusted *P*).

**S3 Table. BMI-related genes identified by Spearman correlation test in TCGA-ESCA (esophageal carcinoma).** Cutoff set at *rho* of 0.50 and a multiple-testing corrected P-value less than 0.001 (Bonferroni-adjusted *P*). The following genes have a different symbol in GENCODE: *AFDN-DT* (*MLLT4-AS1*), *PHETA1* (*FAM109A*), and *TMEM238L* (*LINC00675*).

379    **S4 Table**. **Survival outcome analysis of TCGA-ESCA subsets.** BMI denotes body

380    mass index; EAC. oesophageal adenocarcinoma; ESCC, oesophageal squamous cell

381    carcinoma; *P*, log-rank *P*-value; OS, overall survival; PFI progression-free interval;

382    DFI, disease-free interval; DSS, disease-specific survival.

**TCGA-ESCA *N*=142**

Gene expression

Low ——— High

BMI
- lean 21.5-24.8 (*n*=79)
- overweight 25.1-29.8 (*n*=43)
- obese 30.3-45.0 (*n*=20)

Histological subtype
- EAC (*n*=66)
- ESSC (*n*=74)
- Other (*n*=2)

Esophageal location
- Distal (*n*=103)
- Middle (*n*=34)
- Proximal (*n*=5)

Sex
- Female (*n*=18)
- Male (*n*=124)

Vital status
- Alive (*n*=88)
- Dead (*n*=54)

Negative | Positive
Correlation with BMI