TITLE: **Sex-specific memory deficits after subchronic immune challenge**

RUNNING TITLE: **Delayed memory deficits after immune challenge**

Daria Tchessalova[1,2] (dtchessa@umich.edu) and Natalie C. Tronson Ph.D[2] (ntronson@umich.edu),

[1] Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
[2] Department of Psychology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Corresponding Author: Natalie C. Tronson, Ph.D,
Department of Psychology
University of Michigan
530 Church st
Ann Arbor, 48109
United States of America

ABSTRACT

Memory impairments and cognitive decline persist long after recovery from major illness or injury, and correlate with increased risk of later dementia. Here we developed a subchronic peripheral immune challenge model to examine delayed and persistent memory impairments in females and in males. We show that intermittent injections of either lipopolysaccharide or Poly I:C cause memory decline in both sexes eight weeks after the immune challenge. Importantly, we observed sex-specific patterns of deficits. Females showed deficits in object recognition one week after challenge that persisted for at least eight weeks. In contrast, males had intact memory one week after the immune challenge, but exhibited broad memory impairments across object recognition, as well as context and tone fear conditioning tasks eight weeks later. Together, these data suggest that sex differences in neuroimmune activity result in differential vulnerabilities of females and males to memory decline after immune challenge. This model will be an important tool for determining the mechanisms in both sexes that contribute to memory impairments that develop over the weeks and months after recovery from illness, and provide new insights into the role of chronic inflammation in the pathogenesis of long lasting memory decline and dementias.

INTRODUCTION

Long-lasting memory dysfunction is common after a critical illness or major surgery. More than 25% of patients develop memory impairments that persist for months to years after recovery from major illness (Gharacholou *et al*, 2011; Semmler *et al*, 2013). These memory deficits can progress over time, contributing to neurodegenerative disorders and dementias including Alzheimer's disease (Evered *et al*, 2016). Chronic low-grade inflammatory signaling is also correlated with memory decline (Noble *et al*, 2009, 2010; Yaffe *et al*, 2003), and has been implicated as both a cause and a consequence of dementia (Stephenson et al., 2018). In this study, we examine the causal role of a subchronic peripheral immune challenge in progressive memory decline.

Immune signaling is critically important for normal neural function, and activation of the peripheral immune system modulates memory and other cognitive processes (Marin and Kipnis, 2017; Wilson *et al*, 2002; Yirmiya and Goshen, 2011). In animal models, systemic immune activation results in memory deficits during acute inflammatory signaling (Czerniawski and Guzowski, 2014; Kranjac *et al*, 2011; Pugh *et al*, 1998). Hippocampal-dependent memory tasks including novel object recognition, spatial memory, and context fear conditioning are all sensitive to disruption by an acute systemic inflammatory challenge (Frühauf *et al*, 2015; Kahn *et al*, 2012; Pugh *et al*, 1998) suggesting that the hippocampus is particularly susceptible to dysregulation by immune activation (Williamson and Bilbo, 2013).

An overwhelming immune response has long-lasting consequences for neural and cognitive function. The cecal-ligation and puncture model of sepsis results in impairments of memory that emerge soon after surgery (e.g., Barichello et al., 2007) and persist for months (e.g., Huerta et al., 2016). Similarly, a single high-dose injection of lipopolysaccharide results in memory deficits 5 months post-injection (Ming et al., 2015). However, lower intensity immune challenges may be more relevant to modeling progressive memory impairments as a consequence of chronic, low-grade inflammation (e.g., Yaffe et al., 2003). Repeated, lower dose immune challenges also cause impairments of memory (Kahn *et al*, 2012; Weintraub *et al*, 2013, 2014) and neural plasticity (Maggio et al. 2013) in the first weeks after injection. These more moderate manipulations are well suited for identifying differential susceptibility to immune-mediated memory deficits between groups or individuals.

Given the greater vulnerability of women to memory disorders such as Alzheimer's disease (Snyder et al., 2016), we are particularly interested in the differential impact of subchronic immune challenge on males and females. Sex differences in peripheral and neuroimmune responses are well described (Scotland et al. 2011; Engler et al. 2016; Acaz-Fonseca et al., 2016; Santos-Galindo et al.,

2011) and suggest that males and females will be differentially susceptible to memory decline after subchronic immune challenge. Yet to date, all studies of the lasting consequences of immune challenge on memory have been conducted with male animals.

Here we identified a causal role for subchronic immune activation on memory deficits in the months following recovery in both sexes. We demonstrate that males show delayed memory deficits across hippocampal- and amygdala-dependent tasks eight weeks after immune challenge, and females show impaired object recognition memory soon after immune challenge that persists for at least eight weeks. These findings demonstrate the long lasting impact of a transient immune challenge and the differential vulnerabilities of females and males to immune-triggered disruption of memory.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. 9-11 week old male and female C57BL/6N mice from Envigo (Indianapolis, IN) were used in all experiments. Mice were individually housed with mouse chow and water provided *ad libitum*. The facility is ventilated with constant air exchange (60 m^3/ h), temperature (22 ±1°C), and humidity (55±10%) with a standard 12 h light-dark cycle. Experiments were performed during the light portion of the cycle. Mice were acclimated to the colony room for at least seven days prior to injections. All experimental methods used in these studies were approved by the University of Michigan Committee on the Use and Care of Animals.

Immune stimulants. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS, *Escherichia coli*, serotype 0111:B4; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis) was dissolved in saline (12.5µg/mL) and was injected intraperitoneally (i.p.; 250ug/kg; Fruhauf et al., 2015). Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid (Poly I:C, P9582; Sigma-Aldrich) lyophilized powder was dissolved in distilled deionized water (10mg/ml), heated to 50°C and cooled to allow re-annealing, and injected i.p. (6mg/kg; Cunningham et al. 2007).

Subchronic Immune Challenge. Mice received five injections of LPS (250ug/kg; n= 8-9), Poly I:C (6 mg/kg; n= 8-9), or saline vehicle control (n = 8-9), spaced three days apart. Mice were weighed daily throughout the injection period and weekly until testing. Changes in weight were assessed using a repeated measures (Day × ImmuneChallenge) ANOVA. Novel object recognition and context fear conditioning were conducted one week and eight weeks after the final injection (See Fig. 1A for experimental design).

<u>Novel Object Recognition.</u> The testing arena consisted of two rectangular opaque white chambers, (LWD: 40cm × 32cm × 32.5cm; 45lux at center). To assess long-term novel object recognition memory, mice were first habituated to testing chambers (10 mins/day for 6 days). Mice

received two 10-minute training trials spaced 3 hours apart in which they explored two identical objects. A single test session occurred 24 hours after the first training session, in which mice were replaced in the arena with one familiar object (from training) and one novel object (Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2015). Novel and familiar objects were counterbalanced across animals. The time spent exploring each object (animal's nose within 2 cm of object) was measured automatically (Ethovision XT 9.0 tracking software; Ballaz et al. 2007) and corroborated by an experimenter blind to experimental conditions. Novel object preference was calculated as the percent time spent at the novel object (100*[(Time exploring novel object)/(time spent exploring both objects)]).

To assess short-term novel object recognition, a single, 10-minute training session was followed 3 hours later by a test session as described above (Ballaz *et al*, 2007).

Data analysis and Statistics. Habituation was analyzed using repeated measures (Day × ImmuneChallenge) ANOVA. Separate repeated measures (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge) ANOVA were conducted for each sex (e.g., Darcet et al., 2014). Planned comparisons were used (with LSD) to examine comparisons between exploration of novel and familiar objects for each group.

Context Fear Conditioning. The context fear conditioning apparatus consisted of rectangular chambers (LWD: 9.75″ × 12.75″ × 9.75″) containing grid floor rods connected to a shock generator, an enclosed sound-attenuating system, and a NIR camera (VID-CAM-MONO-2A) and Video Freeze software for automatic scoring of freezing behavior (MedAssociates, VT). During background context fear conditioning, mice were placed in the training context (rectangular box with white walls, lights on, an evenly sized grid floor, 70% ethanol odor) for 3 min, after which a 30-sec tone (10 kHz, 75 dB SPL) was presented co-terminating with a 2 sec 0.8mA footshock (Tronson *et al*, 2010). Mice were returned to their home cages immediately following training. Twenty-four hours later, context fear memory was assessed. Mice were replaced in the training context for 3 mins and freezing behavior was measured (Keiser *et al*, 2017). The following day, mice were tested for fear conditioning to the tone in a novel context (black angled walls, house lights off, staggered grid floors, 1% acetic acid odor). After 90 sec in the novel context, three 30-sec tones separated by 60 second intertrial intervals were presented and freezing to the context and tones were measured. To assess the effect of subchronic immune challenge on late-occurring impairments in memory retrieval, animals were trained on background context fear conditioning one week after immune challenge, and re-tested for context- and tone- fear memory seven weeks later. Foreground context fear conditioning was conducted as above, without presentation of the tone or tone fear tests (Keiser *et al*, 2017).

Data analysis and Statistics. Separate one-way ANOVA were used to assess the effect of prior

immune challenge on context fear conditioning for each sex, and repeated measures ANOVA (Tone × ImmuneChallenge) was used to assess freezing to the cue in background fear conditioning. Post hoc tests (with LSD) were used to further assess specific group differences.

RESULTS

Systemic effects of Poly I:C and LPS

To assess the long-lasting systemic effects of subchronic Poly I:C and LPS, we examined changes in weight after each injection and over the eight-week post-challenge period. We found that overall, all mice gained weight across the 15 day treatment period (Injection: Males: $F(4,88) = 43.01$, $p < 0.001$; Females: $F(4,96) = 42.72$, $p < 0.001$). Across all 5 injections, male and female mice treated with LPS or Poly I:C showed decreased weight the day after injection (Males: ImmuneChallenge × Cycle: $F(4,44) = 4.32$, $p < 0.01$; LPS: $p < 0.01$; Poly I:C $p < 0.05$ *vs* injection day; Females: ImmuneChallenge × Cycle: $F(4,48) = 4.63$, $p < 0.01$; LPS $p <0.05$, Poly I:C $p < 0.01$ *vs* injection day Fig. 1B,C). For male mice treated with LPS, this weight loss persisted on the second day after injection (LPS: $p < 0.05$; Poly I:C $p = 0.40$ *vs* injection day). Saline-treated males showed no change in weight on average across the 2 days after injection ($p = 0.22$ and $p = 0.52$, respectively *vs* injection day) and saline treated females showed a small but significant weight gain ($p < 0.01$, $p = 0.01$, respectively *vs* injection day). By eight weeks after the final injection, neither LPS- nor Poly I:C- treated mice showed persistent weight decreases compared with saline- treated controls (Males: ImmuneChallenge × Day: $F(2,22) = 1.93$; $p = 0.17$; Females: $F(2,22) = 1.91$, $p = 0.17$; Fig. 1B,C).

Subchronic immune challenge induces persistent deficits in novel object recognition memory

We first analyzed locomotor activity during habituation to testing chambers and exploration of objects during training. There were no differences in locomotor activity nor habituation to the testing chamber for either sex (Males: Day: $F(5,110) = 3.95$, $p < 0.01$; Day × Drug: $F(10,110) = 1.29$, $p = 0.27$; Females: Day $F(5, 120) = 21.17$, $p < 0.001$; Day × Drug: $F(10,120) < 1$; Fig. 2C,E). During training, there were no differences in locomotor activity (Males: Drug: $F(2,22) < 1$; Females: $F(2,24) < 1$), and all animals showed similar exploration of objects, regardless of prior treatment (Males: $F(2,24) < 1$; Females $F(2,26) < 1$; Fig. 2D,F). Prior subchronic LPS or Poly I:C treatment did not affect locomotor activity, habituation to a new arena, or object exploration in males or females.

Novel object recognition memory was tested eight weeks after LPS or Poly I:C using a paradigm resulting in a hippocampal-dependent memory 24 hours after training (Vogel-Ciernia & Wood, 2013).

During test, we observed a significant detrimental effect of prior immune challenge on exploration of the novel object compared to the familiar object in males (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge: $F(2,22) = 3.90$, $p < 0.05$), with saline ($p < 0.01$), but not LPS- ($p = 0.76$) nor Poly I:C- ($p = 0.93$) treated males showing intact object recognition memory (Fig. 2A). In females, we observed a trend towards decreased novel object recognition after immune challenge (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge: $F(2,14) = 2.97$, $p = 0.07$). Importantly, only saline-treated females showed significantly more exploration of the novel object than the familiar object (saline: $p < 0.05$; LPS: $p = 0.93$; Poly I:C $p = 0.46$; Fig. 2B). Prior immune challenge disrupted novel object recognition in both males and in females.

Male-specific impairment of context fear conditioning 8 weeks after Poly I:C challenge

In males, prior Poly I:C but not LPS challenge caused deficits in context fear conditioning ($F(2,24) = 3.63$, $p < 0.05$; Poly I:C $p < 0.05$, LPS: $p = 0.97$ *cf* saline; Fig. 3A). In contrast, females showed no deficits in context fear conditioning after either LPS or Poly I:C ($F(2,24) < 1$; Fig. 3B). Neither locomotor activity during training (Males: $F(2,24) <1$, Females: $F(2,24) < 1$; Fig. 3E,G) nor response to shock (Males: $F(2,22) = 2.08$, $p = 0.15$, Females: $F(2,24) = 1.22$, $p = 0.32$; Fig. 3F,H) differed across groups in either sex, thus deficits in context fear conditioning were not due to a failure to detect the shock US.

Fear conditioning to the tone was also impaired in males with lower freezing to the first test tone in Poly I:C-treated males (ImmuneChallenge: $F(2,22) = 4.87$, $p < 0.05$; Tone × ImmuneChallenge: $F(4,44) = 2.77$, $p < 0.05$; Tone: $F(2,44) = 1.50$ $p = 0.24$; $p < 0.05$ *cf* saline; $p < 0.01$ *cf* LPS; Fig. 3C). There were no differences between groups in freezing to the novel context ($F(2,22) = 2.15$, $p = 0.14$). In contrast, females showed no alterations of tone fear conditioning after either immune challenge (ImmuneChallenge: $F(2,44) = 1.31$, $p = 0.29$; Tone × ImmuneChallenge $F(4,48) = 1.38$; $p = 0.25$; Tone: $F(2,48) = 19.48$, $p < 0.001$ Fig. 3D), with no differences in freezing to the novel context ($F(2,24) < 1$). These finding demonstrate that males, but not females, are sensitive to disruption of fear conditioning eight weeks after subchronic Poly I:C challenge.

Poly I:C causes disruption of novel object recognition and context fear conditioning in males eight weeks after subchronic challenge

To further examine memory deficits eight weeks after subchronic Poly I:C in males, we used a short-term novel object recognition paradigm (Ennaceur et al. 1997) and replicated our context fear conditioning experiment in males. There were no differences between groups in object exploration ($t(14)$

= 1.19, $p = 0.25$; Fig. 4D) nor locomotor activity ($t(14) <1$; Fig. 4E) during training. At test, novel object preference was significantly lower in the PolyI:C-treated mice (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge: $F(1,14) = 21.55$, $p <0.01$; Fig. 4A), with significantly greater novel object exploration after saline ($p < 0.05$) and significantly lower novel object exploration after Poly I:C ($p <0.01$). Thus, consistent with our previous experiment, short-term novel object recognition was impaired after subchronic Poly I:C challenge.

Poly I:C-treated males also displayed significantly lower context fear conditioning compared with saline controls ($t(14) = 2.75$, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 6B), with no changes in locomotor activity ($t(14) < 1$; Fig. 4F) or to reactivity to the shock ($t(14) < 1$; Fig. 4G). Tone fear conditioning was also impaired after Poly I:C (ImmuneChallenge $F(1,14) = 6.67$, $p < 0.05$; Tone: $F(2, 28)$, $F <1$; ImmuneChallenge × Tone $F(2,28) = 1.27$, $p = 0.23$), with no differences in freezing to the novel context ($t(14) = 1.15$, $p = 0.27$; Fig. 4C).

LPS causes disruption of novel object recognition eight weeks after subchronic challenge

Similarly, short-term novel object recognition was impaired in both sexes eight weeks after subchronic LPS challenge compared with saline-treated controls (Males: ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge $F(1,14) = 8.03$, $p <0.05$; Females: (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge $F(1,14) = 32.88$, $p <0.01$; Fig. 5A,B). Only saline-treated animals showed preference for the novel object (Males: $p < 0.05$; Females $p < 0.01$), and LPS animals showed no preference (Males: $p = 0.23$). Prior LPS had no effect on object exploration (Males: $t(14)= 1.04$, $p = 0.31$; Females: $t(14) = 1.47$, $p = 0.17$; Fig. 5C) or locomotor activity during training (all $t(14) <1$; Fig. 5 D,F).

Consistent with our earlier experiment (Fig. 3), we observed no disruption of foreground context fear conditioning eight weeks after subchronic LPS in either sex (ImmuneChallenge $F(1,28) = 3.56$, $p = 0.07$; Sex $F(1,28) < 1$; ImmuneChallenge × Sex $F(1,28) < 1$; Fig. 5 G,H).

Female-specific object recognition memory deficits one week after subchronic immune challenge.

No decreases in body weights were observed in Poly I:C-treated mice prior to habituation one week or two weeks after the last injection, prior to novel object recognition training (Males: ImmuneChallenge × Day: $F(2,28) <1$; Females: $F(2,28) < 1$). Similarly, there were no differences in habituation to the testing chambers (Males: Day $F(5,70) = 5.75$; $p < 0.01$; Day × Drug: $F(5,70) < 1$; Females: Day $F(5,70) = 5.75$, $p < 0.01$; Day × Drug $F(4,48) = 1.07$, $p = 0.37$; Fig. 6C,E). All groups also showed similar locomotor activity (Males: $t(14) <1$; Females: $t(14) < 1$) and object exploration during

training (all $t < 1$; Fig. 6D,F).

In males, we observed preference for exploration of the novel compared to the familiar object in both saline- and Poly I:C-treated groups (ObjExpl: $F(1,14) = 7.82$, $p < 0.05$) and no differences between treatments (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge: $F(1,14) < 1$; Fig. 6A). Therefore, males showed no deficit in object recognition one week after immune challenge. In contrast, subchronic immune challenge caused impairments of novel object recognition in females (ObjExpl × ImmuneChallenge $F(1,14) = 7.96$, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 6B). Whereas saline-treated mice showed significantly greater exploration of the novel *vs* the familiar object ($p < 0.01$), Poly I:C-treated mice showed no such preference ($p = 0.46$). Thus females, but not males, are sensitive to impairments in memory in the first weeks after a subchronic immune challenge.

No impairments in context fear conditioning one week after immune challenge.

Poly I:C-treated mice did not show ongoing decreases in weight at one week post challenge (Males: ImmuneChallenge × Day: Males: $F(1,13) = 1.39$, $p = 0.19$; Females: $F(1,14) < 1$), and there were no differences in locomotor activity (Males: t(13) = 1.13, p = 0.28; Females: t(13) < 1; Fig. 7E,G)or response to the footshock during training (Males: $t(13) = 1.57$, $p = 0.14$; Females: t(13) < 1; Fig. 7F,H)

We first examined whether deficits in fear conditioning emerged one week after the immune challenge. Second, we re-tested animals seven weeks after context fear conditioning to determine whether the memory impairments observed in previous experiments were due to disruption of memory retrieval processes. In males, we found no memory deficits at either time point, and contrary to expectations, observed an increase in freezing one week after Poly I:C (ImmuneChallenge $F(1,13) = 5.17$, $p < 0.05$; Time × Immune Challenge: $F(1,13) = 2.19$, $p = 0.16$; Time: $F(1,13) < 1$; 1week: $p < 0.01$; 8 weeks: $p = 0.67$; Fig. 7A). Subchronic immune challenge did not result in either memory deficits one week later, or in retrieval deficits eight weeks after the final injection. In females, there were no effects of prior Poly I:C on context fear conditioning (ImmuneChallenge $F(1,14) = 2.1$, $p = 0.17$; Time × ImmuneChallenge $F(1,14) < 1$), however females in both groups showed a dramatic decrease in freezing to the context seven weeks after training (Time: $F(1,14) = 27.30$, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 7B), suggesting a general decrease of freezing over time in females.

We observed no effect of Poly I:C on freezing to the tone at either one week or eight weeks after the final injection (Males: ImmuneChallenge: $F(1,13) < 1$; ImmuneChallenge × Time $F(1,13) < 1$;

ImmuneChallenge × Time × Tone $F(2,26) < 1$; Fig. 7C; Females: ImmuneChallenge: $F(1,14) = 3.32$, $p = 0.09$; ImmuneChallenge × Time × Tone $F(2,28) <1$; Fig. 7D) demonstrating that Poly I:C does not cause short-term changes in auditory fear conditioning or long lasting changes in memory retrieval.

DISCUSSION

These findings are the first to demonstrate sex-specific patterns of memory deficits after a subchronic, systemic immune challenge. Object recognition memory was impaired in both sexes eight weeks after immune challenge, but females also exhibited early deficits on novel object recognition. Furthermore, males but not females showed delayed deficits in context and tone-dependent fear conditioning after Poly I:C. Retrieval of an established memory was unaffected, thus prior immune challenge specifically caused dysregulation of memory formation. Importantly, memory deficits were independent of long lasting changes in animal health. Together, these findings demonstrate progressive changes in neural function and sex-specific patterns of memory deficits emerging over the weeks and months following a mild, subchronic immune challenge.

The delayed emergence of memory deficits suggests that, in addition to the well-documented acute effects of neuroimmune signaling on memory, transient immune activity also exerts indirect effects on neural function. Previous studies have identified several processes that are persistently dysregulated after a transient immune challenge. For example, a single high dose of LPS results in persistent alterations of cholinergic function (Ming *et al*, 2015), impairments in neurogenesis (Ormerod *et al*, 2013), epigenetic modifications (Schaafsma *et al*, 2015), and late occurring striatal neurodegeneration (Liu *et al*, 2008; Qin *et al*, 2007). Other studies have demonstrated that after a surgical intervention, astrocytes but not microglia are activated 35 days after hepatectomy (Jin et al., 2014), and decreases in spine density in hippocampus (Chavan et al., 2012; Volpe et al., 2015) and amygdala (Huerta et al. 2016) are observed one month after cecal ligation and puncture. The subchronic immune challenge model described here will be an important tool for determining which of these mechanisms mediates early and progressive memory impairments after LPS and Poly I:C in both sexes.

In males, both LPS and Poly I:C disrupted object recognition, however only Poly I:C resulted in deficits in auditory and context fear conditioning. This suggests that specific patterns of immune activation lead to different patterns of neural dysfunction. LPS and Poly I:C bind to different toll-like receptors (TLR4 and TLR3, respectively), recruit different signaling pathways, and thereby exert differential effects on the brain (Doyle *et al*, 2003). Acutely, both LPS and Poly I:C cause memory impairments (Cloutier et al., 2012), but LPS causes greater decreases in wheel running and locomotor

activity (Hopwood et al., 2009), and Poly I:C causes more deleterious effects in developmental models (Arsenault et al., 2014). We observed that prior Poly I:C, but not LPS, disrupted fear conditioning, suggesting that viral mimetics trigger more neuroimmune activity in fear-related circuitry, including amygdala. Identifying how each kind of immune challenge (e.g., polymicrobial sepsis, gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, viral mimics, injury/surgery/heart attack, etc) targets different neural structures and functions will be critical for understanding dysregulation of cognitive and affective processes that persist long after a transient immune event.

In contrast to the delayed memory deficits in males, females showed greater vulnerability to memory impairments in the first week after the immune challenge. This pattern of early and persistent deficits in females in contrast to delayed, broader memory impairments in males suggests the intriguing possibility that females show more rapid activation and resolution of neuroinflammatory signaling after systemic immune challenge, whereas males show slower downregulation of the neuroimmune response. These findings are consistent with the stronger acute peripheral immune response of females compared with males (Furman et al. 2014; Klein et al. 2015), and differential regulation of neuroimmune activity, where males and females show differential patterns of astrocyte and microglial activation (Acaz-Fonseca et al., Arevalo, 2015; Bodhankar et al., 2015; Santos-Galindo et al., 2011).

That males, but not females show deficits in fear conditioning after a subchronic immune challenge also suggest sex differences in susceptibility to immune modulation across brain regions. This is consistent with previous studies of sex differences in neuroimmune activity, for example, after chronic stress, males but not females showed ongoing microglial activation in basolateral amygdala (Bollinger et al., 2017). Greater neuroimmune activity in fear-related circuitry including amygdala may contribute to the vulnerability of males, but not females, to dysregulation of fear after immune challenge. Alternatively, sex differences in strategy and mechanisms of fear memory formation may mediate differential susceptibility of males to disruption by prior Poly I:C (Keiser *et al*, 2017; Shansky, 2018). Fear conditioning triggers sex-specific patterns of activation across brain regions (Keiser *et al*, 2017; Lebron-Milad *et al*, 2012) and signaling mechanisms (Keiser and Tronson, 2015; Mizuno and Giese, 2010). Thus male-specific disruption of fear conditioning may be due to sex differences in neuroimmune signaling, and/or to disruption of circuits or mechanisms required for fear conditioning in males but not females.

This model of subchronic immune activation is an important new tool for identifying the neural mechanisms underlying progressive cognitive deficits in the weeks and months after recovery from illness. Long-lasting memory deficits have previously been described after serious illness in humans,

and in models of sepsis including high-dose LPS stimulation or cecal ligation and puncture (Huerta *et al*, 2016; Ming *et al*, 2015). Here we demonstrated that repeated administration of a lower dose of LPS or Poly I:C is sufficient to cause delayed and persistent memory deficits without adverse consequences for the general health of the animals. Importantly, this model is sensitive to sex differences in vulnerability to and time course of emergent memory deficits, and differential effects of bacterial and viral-like immune triggers. These findings thus provide a basis from which to identify immune and non-immune mechanisms that drive memory deficits long after recovery from illness, surgery, or injury.

Together, our findings demonstrate that subchronic systemic inflammation causes sex-specific patterns of memory decline over the following months. Both males and females are susceptible to impairments in memory after immune challenge, but females are more vulnerable to early-onset memory deficits, whereas males are more susceptible to amygdala-dependent memory impairments. This subchronic immune challenge model is thus a valuable tool for identifying how systemic inflammation initiates memory decline and its contributions to Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. Understanding the persistent neural changes as a consequence of neuroimmune activation will be critical for development of strategies to prevent cognitive decline after major illness or chronic inflammation in women and in men.

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURES: This work was funded by NIH/NIMH R00 MH093459 to NCT. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We would like to thank Ashley Keiser, Caitlin Posillico, and Brynne Raines for their helpful comments on this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Acaz-Fonseca E, Duran JC, Carrero P, Garcia-Segura LM, Arevalo MA (2015). Sex differences in glia reactivity after cortical brain injury. *Glia* **63**: 1966–1981.

Arsenault D, St-Amour I, Cisbani G, Rousseau LS, Cicchetti F (2014). The different effects of LPS and poly I: C prenatal immune challenges on the behavior, development and inflammatory responses in pregnant mice and their offspring. *Brain Behav Immun* **38**: 77–90.

Ballaz SJ, Akil H, Watson SJ (2007). The 5-HT7 receptor: Role in novel object discrimination and relation to novelty-seeking behavior. *Neuroscience* **149**: 192–202.

Barichello T, Martins MR, Reinke A, Constantino LS, Machado RA, Valvassori SS, *et al* (2007). Behavioral deficits in sepsis-surviving rats induced by cecal ligation and perforation. *Brazilian J Med Biol Res* **40**: 831–837.

Bodhankar S, Lapato A, Chen Y, Vandenbark AA, Saugstad JA, Offner H (2015). Role for Microglia in Sex Differences after ischemic stroke: Importance of M2. *Metab Brain Dis* **30**: 1922–2013.

Bollinger JL, Collins KE, Patel R, Wellman CL (2017). Behavioral stress alters corticolimbic microglia in a sex- and brain region-specific manner. *PLoS One* **12**: 1–22.

Chavan S, Huerta P, Robbiati S, Valdes-Ferrer S, Ochani M, Dancho M, *et al* (2012). HMGB1 Mediates Cognitive Impairment in Sepsis Survivors Sangeeta. *Mol Med* **18**: 930–937.

Cloutier CJ, Kavaliers M, Ossenkopp KP (2012). Lipopolysaccharide inhibits the simultaneous establishment of LiCl-induced anticipatory nausea and intravascularly conditioned taste avoidance in the rat. *Behav Brain Res* **232**: 278–286.

Cunningham C, Campion S, Teeling J, Felton L, Perry VH (2007). The sickness behaviour and CNS inflammatory mediator profile induced by systemic challenge of mice with synthetic double-stranded RNA (poly I:C). *Brain Behav Immun* **21**: 490–502.

Czerniawski J, Guzowski JF (2014). Acute Neuroinflammation Impairs Context Discrimination Memory and Disrupts Pattern Separation Processes in Hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **34**: 12470–12480.

Darcet F, Mendez-David I, Tritschler L, Gardier AM, Guilloux J-P, David DJ (2014). Learning and memory impairments in a neuroendocrine mouse model of anxiety/depression. *Front Behav Neurosci* **8**: 1–13.

Doyle SE, O'Connell R, Vaidya SA, Chow EK, Yee K, Cheng G (2003). Toll-Like Receptor 3 Mediates a More Potent Antiviral Response Than Toll-Like Receptor 4. *J Immunol* **170**: 3565–3571.

Evered L, Biostat M, Silbert B, Scott DA, Ames D, Maruff P, *et al* (2016). Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker fo alzheimer disease Predicts Postoperative Cognitive Dysfunction. *Anesthesiology* **124**:

353–61.

Frühauf PKS, Porto Ineu R, Tomazi L, Duarte T, Mello CF, Rubin MA (2015). Spermine reverses lipopolysaccharide-induced memory deficit in mice. *J Neuroinflammation* **12**: 1–11.

Gharacholou SM, Reid KJ, Arnold S V, Rich MW, Pellikka PA, Singh M, *et al* (2011). Cognitive Impairment and Outcomes in Older Adult Survivors of Acute Myocardial Infarction: Findings from the TRIUMPH Registry. *Am Hear J* **162**: 860–869.

Hopwood N, Maswanganyi T, Harden LM (2009). Comparison of anorexia, lethargy, and fever induced by bacterial and viral mimetics in rats. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* **87**: 211–20.

Huerta PT, Robbiati S, Huerta TS, Sabharwal A, Berlin R, Frankfurt M, *et al* (2016). Preclinical Models of Overwhelming Sepsis Implicate the Neural System that Encodes Contextual Fear Memory. *Mol Med* **22**: 1.

Jin M, Jang E, Suk K (2014). Lipocalin-2 Acts as a Neuroinflammatogen in Lipopolysaccharide-injected Mice. *Exp Neurobiol* **23**: 155.

Kahn MS, Kranjac D, Alonzo CA, Haase JH, Cedillos RO, McLinden KA, *et al* (2012). Prolonged elevation in hippocampal Aβ and cognitive deficits following repeated endotoxin exposure in the mouse. *Behav Brain Res* **229**: 176–184.

Keiser AA, Tronson NC (2015). Molecular mechanisms of memory in males and females. In Shansky RM (eds). *Sex Differ Cent Nerv Syst* 27 doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802114-9.00002-0.

Keiser AA, Turnbull LM, Darian MA, Feldman DE, Song I, Tronson NC (2017). Sex Differences in Context Fear Generalization and Recruitment of Hippocampus and Amygdala during Retrieval. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **42**: 397–407.

Kranjac D, McLinden KA, Koster KM, Kaldenbach DL, Chumley MJ, Boehm GW (2011). Peripheral administration of poly I:C disrupts contextual fear memory consolidation and BDNF expression in mice. *Behav Brain Res* **228**: 452–457.

Lebron-Milad K, Abbs B, Milad MR, Linnman C, Rougemount-Bücking A, Zeidan MA, *et al* (2012). Sex differences in the neurobiology of fear conditioning and extinction: a preliminary fMRI study of shared sex differences with stress-arousal circuitry. *Biol Mood Anxiety Disord* **2**: 7.

Liu Y, Qin L, Wilson B, Wu X, Qian L, Granholm A-C, *et al* (2008). Endotoxin induces a delayed loss of TH-IR neurons in substantia nigra and motor behavioral deficits. **29**: 864–870.

Maggio N, Shavit-Stein E, Dori A, Blatt I, Chapman J (2013). Prolonged systemic inflammation persistently modifies synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus: modulation by the stress hormones. *Front Mol Neurosci* **6**: 1–8.

Marin IA, Kipnis J (2017). Central Nervous System: (Immunological) Ivory Tower or Not. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **42**: 28–35.

Ming Z, Sawicki G, Bekar LK (2015). Acute systemic LPS-mediated inflammation induces lasting changes in mouse cortical neuromodulation and behavior. *Neurosci Lett* **590**: 96–100.

Mizuno K, Giese KP (2010). Towards a molecular understanding of sex differences in memory formation. *Trends Neurosci* **33**: 285–291.

Noble J, Borrell L, Papapanou P, Elkind M, Scarmeas N, Wright C (2009). Periodontitis is associated with cognitive impairment among older adults: analysis of NHANES-III. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **80**: 1206–11.

Noble J, Manly J, Schupf N, Tang M, Mayeaux R, Luchsinger J (2010). Association of C-Reactive Protein to Cognitive Impairment. **67**: 87–92.

Ormerod BK, Hanft SJ, Asokan A, Haditsch U, Lee SW, Palmer TD (2013). PPARγ activation prevents impairments in spatial memory and neurogenesis following transient illness. **29**: 28–38.

Pugh CR, Kumagawa K, Fleshner M, Watkins LR, Maier SF, Rudy JW (1998). Selective effects of peripheral lipopolysaccharide administration on contextual and auditory-cue fear conditioning. *Brain Behav Immun* **12**: 212–229.

Qin L, Wu X, Block ML, Liu Y, Breese GR, Hong J-S, *et al* (2007). Systemic LPS Causes Chronic Neuroinflammation and Progressive Neurodegeneration. **55**: 453–462.

Santos-Galindo M, Acaz-Fonseca E, Bellini MJ, Garcia-Segura LM (2011). Sex differences in the inflammatory response of primary astrocytes to lipopolysaccharide. *Biol Sex Differ* **2**: 7.

Schaafsma W, Zhang X, Zomeren KC van, Jacobs S, Georgieva PB, Wolf SA, *et al* (2015). Long-lasting pro-inflammatory suppression of microglia by LPS-preconditioning is mediated by RelB-dependent epigenetic silencing. *Brain Behav Immun* **48**: 205–221.

Semmler A, Widmann CN, Okulla T, Urbach H, Kaiser M, Widman G, *et al* (2013). Persistent cognitive impairment, hippocampal atrophy and EEG changes in sepsis survivors. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **84**: 62–70.

Shansky RM (2018). Sex differences in behavioral strategies: avoiding interpretational pitfalls. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **49**: 95–98.

Stephenson J, Nutma E, Valk P van der, Amor S (2018). Inflammation in CNS Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Immunology* **31**: 0–1.

Tronson NC, Guzman YF, Guedea AL, Kyu HH, Gao C, Schwarz MK, *et al* (2010). Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor 5/Homer Interactions Underlie Stress Effects on Fear. *Biol Psychiatry* **68**:

1007–1015.

Vogel-Ciernia A, Wood MA (2015). Examining Object Location and Object Recognition Memory in Mice. 1–22doi:10.1002/0471142301.ns0831s69.Examining.

Volpe BT, Berlin RA, Frankfurt M (2015). The brain at risk: the sepsis syndrome and lessons from preclinical experiments. *Immunol Res* **63**: 70–74.

Weintraub MK, Bisson CM, Nouri JN, Vinson BT, Eimerbrink MJ, Kranjac D, *et al* (2013). Imatinib methanesulfonate reduces hippocampal amyloid-beta and restores cognitive function following repeated endotoxin exposure. *Brain Behav Immun* **33**: 24–28.

Weintraub MK, Kranjac D, Eimerbrink MJ, Pearson SJ, Vinson BT, Patel J, *et al* (2014). Peripheral administration of poly I: C leads to increased hippocampal amyloid-beta and cognitive deficits in a non-transgenic mouse. *Behav Brain Res* **266**: 183–187.

Williamson LL, Bilbo SD (2013). Chemokines and the hippocampus: A new perspective on hippocampal plasticity and vulnerability. *Brain Behav Immun* **30**: 186–194.

Wilson CJ, Finch CE, Cohen HJ (2002). Cytokines and cognition - The case for a head-to-toe inflammatory paradigm. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **50**: 2041–2056.

Yaffe K, Lindquist K, Penninx, Simonsick EM, Pahor M, Kritchevsky S, *et al* (2003). Inflammatory markers and cognition in well-functioning African-American and white elders. *Neurology* **61**: 76–80.

Yirmiya R, Goshen I (2011). Immune modulation of learning, memory, neural plasticity and neurogenesis. *Brain Behav Immun* **25**: 181–213.



Figure 1. Experimental design and mouse weights after immune challenge. (A) Experimental Design. (B) Males and (C) females showed weight loss after LPS and Poly I:C injections, but regained weight by 8 weeks after final injection. Arrows indicate injection days. SAL: saline; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; Poly I:C: Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid. *$p < 0.05$ compared with injection day LPS group; #$p < 0.05$ compared with injection day Poly I:C group, +$p < 0.05$ Poly I:C vs Saline.



Figure 2. Novel object recognition memory is disrupted eight weeks after subchronic immune challenge. (A,B) Saline-treated males and females spent more time exploring the novel object (solid bar) compared with the familiar object (striped bar). This was disrupted in LPS- and Poly I:C treated mice (n = 8-9 animals/ group). (C,E) Neither locomotor activity nor habitation was affected by prior immune challenge. (D,F) There were no differences in total object exploration during training. SAL: saline; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; Poly I:C: Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$ *cf* Familiar Object.



Figure 3. Context fear conditioning is impaired in males eight weeks after subchronic Poly I:C. (A) Freezing to the training context in males is decreased eight weeks after Poly I:C but not LPS (n = 8-9 per group). (B) Context fear conditioning was not impaired in females after LPS or Poly I:C (n = 9 per group). (C) In males, Poly I:C, but not LPS, resulted in a mild impairment in tone fear conditioning. (D) Females showed no disruption of cued-fear conditioning eight weeks after either immune challenge. (E,F) Locomotor activity and (F,H) shock reactivity during training was not altered after LPS or Poly I:C either sex. SAL: saline; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; Poly I:C: Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid. *$p < 0.05$ *cf* Saline.



Figure 4. Novel object recognition and contextual fear conditioning are impaired in males 8 weeks after subchronic Poly I:C challenge. A) Saline-treated mice show preference for the novel object when tested three hours after training, and this is disrupted eight weeks after Poly I:C. (B) Context fear conditioning and (C) tone fear conditioning is decreased in males eight weeks after subchronic Poly I:C challenge. (D,E) Total object exploration and locomotor activity during novel object training are similar between groups. (F,G) In context fear conditioning, locomotor activity and reactivity to the shock is not altered by subchronic Poly I:C. SAL: saline; Poly I:C: Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid. *$p<0.05$ *cf* Familiar object, # $p<0.01$ *cf* saline.



Figure 5. Novel object recognition memory is disrupted 8 weeks after subchronic LPS. (A,B) Novel object recognition was impaired in males and females when tested 3 hours after training. Neither (C,E) Total exploration during training or (D,F) locomotor activity were altered by prior LPS. (G,H) Foreground context fear conditioning was intact in both sexes. (I,K Locomotor activity and (J,L) shock reactivity were not different between groups. SAL: saline; LPS: lipopolysaccharide. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$ *cf* Familiar Object, ##$p < 0.01$ *cf* saline.



Figure 6. Female-specific impairment of novel object recognition memory one week after subchronic Poly I:C. (A) Intact novel object recognition in males one week after Poly I:C (n = 8). (B) Subchronic Poly I:C treatment disrupted novel object recognition in females one week after the final injection (n = 8). (C, E) Neither habituation nor locomotor activity were affected one week after Poly I:C. (D, F) Total object exploration is similar amongst experimental groups during training in males and in females. SAL: saline; Poly I:C: Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid. *$p < 0.05$ *cf* Familiar Object.



Figure 7. Subchronic Poly I:C does not cause early context fear memory impairments or retrieval deficits. (A) Prior Poly I:C challenge does not disrupt freezing to the training context one week after immune challenge, or during memory retrieval seven weeks later in males (n = 7-8). (B) In females, no disruption of context fear conditioning is observed one week after immune challenge; and, all females showed decreased freezing at the remote test. (C,D) Tone fear conditioning remained intact one week and eight weeks after last injection in both sexes. (E,G) Locomotor activity and (F,H) shock reactivity were equivalent across all groups. SAL: saline; Poly I:C: Polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid. *$p < 0.05$ *cf* Saline; #$p < 0.001$ *cf* 1week.