Nematode germ granule assembly is linked to mRNA repression

Scott Takeo Aoki[1], Sarah L Crittenden[1,2], Tina R Lynch[1], Craig A Bingman[1], Marvin Wickens[1],

Judith Kimble[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Biochemistry and [2] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Wisconsin-

Madison, Madison, WI, USA

* For correspondence:

Judith Kimble

HHMI/Department of Biochemistry

University of Wisconsin-Madison

433 Babcock Drive

Madison, WI 53706-1544

Tel 608-262-6188

Fax 608-265-5820

jekimble@wisc.edu

Keywords: RNA-protein granule, germ granule, scaffold protein

Abbreviations: RNA, ribonucleic acid; UTR, untranslated region; GFP, green fluorescent

protein; CRISPR, Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats

Summary

RNA-protein (RNP) granules are non-membrane bound organelles with enigmatic roles in RNA

metabolism. Metazoa contain RNP "germ granules" specialized for germline development.

Caenorhabditis elegans P-granules are liquid droplet germ granules that require PGL proteins

for assembly. Here we investigate PGL proteins to understand the relationship between P-

granule assembly and germline function. We determine the crystal structure of a PGL N-

terminal domain (NTD) and find that it dimerizes. From the structure, we identify mutations that

disrupt PGL dimerization *in vitro* and prevent PGL granule formation in mammalian cells in

culture. These same mutations in nematodes prevent assembly of PGL into P-granules and

cause sterility. Using a protein-mRNA tethering assay, we show that mRNAs recruited to PGL-1

are repressed, while mRNAs recruited to PGL-1 mutants defective for granule assembly are

expressed. Therefore, the effects of PGL on mRNA repression and fertility are tightly linked to

its formation of higher-ordered assemblies.

Introduction

Subcellular localization can be critical for RNA control. The locations of RNAs and RNA

regulatory proteins within a cell can dictate whether RNAs are translated or repressed (Singh et

al., 2015). RNA-protein (RNP) granules are non-membrane bound "organelles" found

ubiquitously in cells. These granules can be relatively inert, with little exchange of components

between the granules and their environment, or they can behave as liquid droplets, with

components capable of freely diffusing between the granule and cytoplasm (Hyman et al., 2014;

Wu and Fuxreiter, 2016). RNP granules contain factors that regulate mRNA turnover,

sequestration and translation and have been proposed to function in mRNA regulation (Buchan,

2014). Yet despite intense interest, the connection between granule assembly and biological

function is poorly understood.

Metazoan germ cells contain specialized RNP germ granules with functions in RNA metabolism

and small regulatory RNA biogenesis (Voronina et al., 2011). *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ

granules, called P-granules, are necessary for adult germ cell maintenance and totipotency

(Strome and Updike, 2015). P-granules display liquid droplet behavior (Brangwynne et al.,

2009) and have similarities in subcellular location and composition to germ granules in

Drosophila and vertebrates (Voronina et al., 2011). In adult germ cells (**Figure 1A**), P-granules

localize to the cytoplasmic face of nuclear pores and contain mRNAs and proteins involved in

RNA metabolism (Updike and Strome, 2010). Yet the molecular function of P-granules is poorly

defined. One suggested role has been mRNA repression. This idea is based on localization of

repressed mRNAs to P-granules (Schisa et al., 2001) and upregulation of aberrant transcript

expression when P-granules are lost (Campbell and Updike, 2015; Knutson et al., 2017; Updike

et al., 2014). Because these lines of evidence are indirect and based on gene knockouts of

major P-granule assembly proteins, it remains unclear whether transcript repression depends

on P-granule components or their assembly into granules.

P-granule formation relies on key assembly proteins. Crucially important are the PGL-1 and

PGL-3 proteins (Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998), close paralogs we henceforth

refer to as PGL. PGL protein contains a central dimerization domain (DD) and C-terminal low

complexity RGG repeats (**Figure 1B**) (Aoki et al., 2016; Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kawasaki et al.,

1998). Genetic removal of PGL causes mislocalization of P-granule proteins (Amiri et al., 2001),

aberrant expression of spermatogenic and somatic mRNAs (Campbell and Updike, 2015;

Knutson et al., 2017; Updike et al., 2014), and temperature-dependent sterility (Kawasaki et al.,

2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998). PGL proteins self assemble into granules, both *in vitro* using

purified recombinant protein (Saha et al., 2016), and in intestinal nematode cells or mammalian

cells in culture when expressed on their own (Hanazawa et al., 2011; Updike et al., 2011).

These artificial PGL granules display liquid droplet behavior (Saha et al., 2016), indicating that

PGL protein alone is sufficient to recapitulate the biophysical properties of P-granules in

nematode cells (Brangwynne et al., 2009). Many RNP granule assembly proteins rely on low

complexity sequences for low affinity, multivalent interactions (Banani et al., 2017). PGL lacks

large regions of low complexity, with the exception of its C-terminal RGG repeats that are not

necessary for granule formation (Hanazawa et al., 2011; Saha et al., 2016). The central DD

domain can dimerize (Aoki et al., 2016), but higher ordered assembly demands additional

protein contacts.

P-granules serve as a paradigm for RNP granules with liquid droplet properties. We have

investigated the PGL assembly protein to understand PGL multimerization into a granule and to

probe the relationship between granule formation and its biological function. We determined the

structure of the PGL N-terminal domain (NTD), found that it dimerizes and identified amino

acids required for NTD dimerization that are also required for PGL assembly into granules *in*

vitro, in mammalian culture cells and germ cells in living nematodes. Indeed, PGL

multimerization is critical for nematode fertility. Using a protein-mRNA tethering assay in living

nematodes, we show that reporter mRNAs recruited to PGL are repressed, and that their

repression requires PGL assembly into granules. This study therefore provides direct *in vivo*

evidence that RNP granule formation is linked to mRNA repression.

Results

Structure determination of a second PGL dimerization domain

Granule formation is driven by multimer-multimer interactions (Bergeron-Sandoval et al., 2016).

The central PGL DD provides one multimerization site (Aoki et al., 2016), but since PGL protein

alone can form granules (Hanazawa et al., 2011; Saha et al., 2016), we postulated the

existence of another PGL multimerization region critical for granule assembly. The region N-

terminal to DD (**Figure 1B**) had high sequence conservation (**Figure S1A**), implying a critical

role in PGL function. Our initial efforts to express trypsin-mapped recombinant protein fragments

of this N-terminal region proved unfruitful. However, we had noticed that the original DD N-

termini were disordered in crystal structures (Aoki et al., 2016). When the N-terminal

recombinant protein was extended to include these disordered residues (**Figure S1A**), we

obtained robust expression sufficient for biochemical and structural characterization (**Figure**

S2A-B). Henceforth, we refer to this stable protein fragment as the N-terminal domain (NTD)

(**Figure 1B**).

We determined the *C. japonica* PGL-1 NTD crystal structure to 1.5 Å (**Figure 1C-D**, see **Table**

S1 for statistics, see Methods for further details on crystallization and structure determination).

The NTD had a novel fold consisting of 11 alpha helices and a single N-terminal beta strand

(**Figure 1D**). The crystal asymmetric unit (ASU) was composed of four NTD domains (**Figure**

1C). These four NTDs were structurally similar (RMSD 0.219 - 0.254, chains B-D aligned to A),

except for minor differences in their termini and internal loops. More relevant, they possessed

two pairs of identical interfaces (**Figure 1C**). One of these interface pairs consisted of a network

of conserved amino acid side chains making extensive salt bridges and hydrogen bonds

(**Figures 2A-C and S2C-E**). The complexity and conservation of these interactions suggested

biological relevance. We first tested for dimerization *in vitro*. Recombinant PGL-3 NTD formed a

dimer on a sizing column combined with multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS, **Figure 2D-E**).

We postulated that the conserved interface in the NTD crystal structure might be its dimerization

interface. To test that idea, we used our structural model and *in silico* prediction (Kortemme et

al., 2004) to design missense mutations that disrupt the interface. These analyses yielded two

distinct mutants: K126E K129E with two mutated residues and R123E with a single mutated

residue. Both NTD mutants formed monomers rather than dimers in solution (**Figure 2D-E**). We

conclude that the dimers observed in the crystal structure represent the NTD dimer detected in

solution.

PGL NTD dimerization is critical for granule formation

To assess the role of NTD dimerization in PGL granule self-assembly, we first turned to an assay in mammalian cells where PGL expressed alone assembles into granules (Hanazawa et al., 2011). Similar to that report, GFP-tagged PGL-1 formed large cytoplasmic granules in cells (**Figure 2F-G**), while GFP alone was diffuse (**Figure 2H**). However, if we mutated the GFP-tagged PGL-1 to either K126E K129E or R123E, PGL-1 no longer formed granules (**Figure 2I-J**). We conclude that NTD dimerization is essential for self-assembly of PGL granules in mammalian cells.

We next asked whether NTD dimerization was essential for PGL function and granule assembly in the nematode germline. We inserted a SNAP tag (Keppler et al., 2003) using CRISPR gene editing (Paix et al., 2015) at the endogenous *pgl-1* locus (**Figure 3A and S1B**). Wild-type PGL-1 (N2) and PGL-1::SNAP were similarly fertile at 20°C and 25°C (**Figure 3B**); in contrast, a *pgl-1* null mutant was mostly sterile at 25°C (**Figure 3B**), as reported previously (Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998). SNAP-tagged PGL-1 permitted visualization of the protein with essentially no background (**Figure 3C-D**). PGL-1::SNAP assembled into cytoplasmic granules at the nuclear periphery (**Figure 3D**), similar to those seen with antibody staining to untagged PGL-1 and PGL-3 (Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998). The SNAP-tagged protein therefore provides a simple way to evaluate PGL assembly into granules.

To probe the role of PGL NTD dimerization in the nematode germline, we introduced the assembly mutants into PGL-1::SNAP (**Figure S1B**) and assayed effects on fertility and granule formation. For both K126E K129E and R123E mutants, many were sterile at 20°C and nearly all were sterile at 25°C (**Figure 3B**). Indeed, the percentage of sterile animals was higher than seen in a *pgl-1* null mutant (**Figure 3B**), suggesting that abolishing NTD dimerization had a

dominant-negative effect. Both interface mutants had smaller than normal germlines and many

lacked oocytes (**Figure S3B-D**), similar to *pgl-1* and *pgl-1 pgl-3* null mutant germlines

(Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998). We next examined expression and localization of

mutant SNAP-tagged PGL-1 proteins, in both fertile (**Figure 3**) and sterile germlines (**Figure**

S3). Both K126E K129E and R123E mutant proteins were expressed, but their distribution was

largely diffuse (**Figures 3E-F and S3F-G**). The mutant proteins did form small perinuclear

granules in some germ cells of all gonads imaged (**Figure 3E-F and S3F-G**), and for each

mutant we found a single germline (1/59 for K126E K129E; 1/54 for R123E) with small PGL-1

perinuclear granules in all germ cells (**Figure S3H-I**). Therefore, both PGL-1 mutant proteins are

capable of incorporating into P-granules, but do so much more weakly than their wild-type

counterparts (**Figure 3D**). We conclude that PGL NTD dimerization is critical for fertility and

efficient PGL granule formation.

We wondered why sterility of PGL-1 NTD dimerization mutants was more severe than that of a

pgl-1 null mutant. One plausible explanation was interference with assembly of other P-granule

components into granules, which might yield dominant-negative effects. Normally, PGL-1

interacts with PGL-3 (Kawasaki et al., 2004), and both PGL-1 and PGL-3 rely on GLH-1 or GLH-

4 Vasa helicases to localize to the nuclear periphery (Spike et al., 2008; Updike et al., 2011). In

contrast, GLH proteins assemble at the nuclear pore independently of PGLs (Kawasaki et al.,

2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998; Kuznicki et al., 2000). We postulated that PGL-1 assembly mutants

might interfere with assembly of PGL-3 into granules but not affect GLH-1 localization. To test

this idea, we epitope-tagged endogenous *pgl-3* and *glh-1* (see Methods) and compared

localization of PGL-3::V5 and GLH-1::Myc in germ cells expressing wild type PGL-1::SNAP or

mutant PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E. With wild-type PGL-1::SNAP, all three proteins, PGL-1,

PGL-3 and GLH-1, co-localized in granules at the nuclear periphery (**Figure 3G-K**), as

previously observed for untagged proteins (Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kuznicki et al., 2000).

However, with mutant PGL-1::SNAP, the wild-type PGL-3 protein became diffuse with

occasional small perinuclear granules, a distribution similar to PGL-1 mutant protein (**Figure 3L-**

N). By contrast, GLH-1 localized at the nuclear periphery independent of PGL-1 or PGL-3

(**Figure 3O-P**). Therefore, the PGL-1 assembly mutant affected PGL-3 assembly and

incorporation into P-granules, but it did not abolish GLH-1 localization. Because the percent

sterility of PGL-1 K126E K129E mutants was similar to that of *pgl-1 pgl-3* double null mutants

(Kawasaki et al., 2004), we suggest that the severe sterility of PGL-1 NTD mutants results from

effects on both PGL-1 and PGL-3 assembly into granules.

Granular PGL represses mRNAs *in vivo*

Prior studies have suggested that P-granules regulate mRNA expression (see Introduction). To

directly test whether P-granules can regulate mRNAs, we relied on a protein-mRNA tethering

assay, widely used to investigate RNA regulatory proteins (Baron-Benhamou et al., 2004; Coller

and Wickens, 2002). Our assay examined the fate of mRNAs to which PGL-1 was tethered via

λN22, a short peptide that binds with high affinity and sequence specificity to the boxB RNA

hairpin (Baron-Benhamou et al., 2004). Versions of this method were used previously in worms

and other organisms (Baron-Benhamou et al., 2004; Wedeles et al., 2013). For the reporter, we

inserted three boxB sites into the 3'UTR of a ubiquitously-expressed, germline GFP-histone

reporter (**Figure 4A**, Methods) (Zeiser et al., 2011). To tether PGL to the GFP reporter mRNA,

we inserted the λN22 peptide sequence into our PGL-1::SNAP protein (**Figures 4A** and **S1B,**

Methods). Addition of λN22 to PGL-1 rendered homozygous worms sterile (0% fertile, n=94),

but the λN22-tagged *pgl-1* gene could be maintained and tested as a fertile heterozygote (PGL-

1::SNAP::λN22/+). The logic of our strategy is simple: if tethered wild-type PGL-1 represses

GFP expression, we can then test assembly-defective PGL-1 to ask if repression relies on

granule formation (**Figure 4A**).

We assayed reporter expression in both living animals and fixed, extruded gonads. In living

animals harboring both reporter and PGL-1::SNAP without λN22, GFP was expressed robustly

(**Figures 4B**), but in those with both reporter and PGL-1::SNAP::λN22, GFP was absent (**Figure**

4C). When fixed, control germlines expressed GFP robustly (**Figure 4E**), but those with PGL-

SNAP::λN22 had either no detectable GFP (**Figure 4F**) or extremely faint GFP (2/39 germline).

The wild-type PGL-1::SNAP::λN22 formed perinuclear granules (**Figure 4E-F**), though the

SNAP signal was lower, perhaps because animals were heterozygous. Regardless, the key

conclusion is that PGL-1 tethering dramatically decreased GFP expression from the mRNA

reporter.

One possible explanation for loss of GFP expression might have been germline silencing, a

phenomenon common for genes expressing foreign proteins and thought to prevent deleterious

mRNAs from entering the cytoplasm (Hoogstrate et al., 2014). To ask if the reporter had been

silenced, we used single molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) to detect *gfp*

RNAs. Control germ cells harboring PGL-1::SNAP without λN22 (**Figure S4A-D**) possessed

nuclear and cytoplasmic puncta (**Figure S4D**). We interpret the nuclear puncta as active

transcription sites and cytoplasmic puncta as mRNAs, based on a previous study (Lee et al.,

2016). In these control germ cells, GFP protein fluorescence was robust (**Figure S4B**), and

PGL-1::SNAP localized to perinuclear granules (**Figure S4C**). Germ cells harboring PGL-

1::SNAP::λN22 (**Figure S4E-H,M**) also possessed nuclear and cytoplasmic puncta (**Figure**

S4H,M), but cytoplasmic puncta were fewer and frequently colocalized with P-granules (**Figure**

S4G-H,M). These germ cells possessed *gfp* reporter transcripts and thus were not subject to

germline silencing. However, they had no GFP protein expression, indicating that reporter

expression was repressed by PGL-1 tethering.

Our structural insight into PGL provided an opportunity to test how PGL granule formation

affected its ability to repress mRNAs. We introduced K126E K129E into PGL-1::SNAP::λN22 to

prevent robust granule assembly (**Figure S1B**). Mutant homozygotes had modest fertility (21%

fertile, n=96) that was comparable to other NTD mutant worms (**Figure 3B**). Dimerization-

defective PGL-1 failed to repress the reporter RNA to which it was tethered: most germ cells

expressed GFP, both in living worms (**Figure 4D**) and fixed gonads (**Figure 4G**). The PGL-1

mutant protein was diffuse and non-granular (**Figure 4G**), as expected. By smFISH for gfp

reporter RNA, germ cells with mutant PGL-1::SNAP::λN22 had large puncta in their nuclei and

small puncta throughout their cytoplasm (**Figure S4I-L**), similar to gonads expressing PGL-

1::SNAP without λN22 (**Figure S4A-D**). Formally, the PGL-1 interface residue mutations might

affect granule assembly and mRNA repression independently. However, the simplest

explanation is that PGL-1 must assemble into granules for mRNA repression.

Discussion

P-granules are paradigmatic liquid droplet RNP granules and have been predicted to be sites of

mRNA repression. This idea was based on several observations. Repressed mRNAs appear

trapped in P-granules (Schisa et al., 2001); P-granules are necessary to repress aberrant

expression of spermatogenic and somatic transcripts (Campbell and Updike, 2015; Knutson et

al., 2017; Updike et al., 2014); and P-granule components include inhibitory RNA binding

proteins, like the Pumilio homolog, FBF-2 (Voronina et al., 2012) and RNA regulatory enzymes,

like the Argonaut/Piwi PRG-1 (Batista et al., 2008) and the deadenylase PARN-1 (Tang et al.,

2016). In this work, we report the discovery of PGL NTD dimerization and demonstrate that PGL

NTD dimerization is critical for granule formation, fertility and mRNA repression *in vivo*. Based

on these results, we propose that mRNAs in P-granules are repressed and that this repression

requires PGL assembly into granules (**Figure 4H**). PGL contains two dimerization domains (this

work; Aoki et al., 2016), but mutations disrupting DD dimerization have been elusive. A critical

future direction is to investigate how each dimerization domain contributes to higher order and

likely oligomeric assembly.

Granule assembly proteins form a structural network that relies on multivalency and low affinity

interactions for plasticity (Bergeron-Sandoval et al., 2016). We have discovered that PGL uses

at least one dimerization domain to form granules, but our results do not address the low affinity

interactions that must be present to drive liquid droplet behavior. Critical granule assembly

proteins have been identified for several RNP granules. Examples include Oskar and Vasa for

Drosophila polar granules (Breitwieser et al., 1996; Markussen et al., 1995; Vanzo and

Ephrussi, 2002), EDC3 and LSM4 for P-bodies (Decker et al., 2007), and MEG-3 and MEG-4

for embryonic P-granules (Wang et al., 2014). These examples rely on a combination of

multimerization domains and low complexity, intrinsically disordered sequences to facilitate

granule formation (Decker et al., 2007; Jeske et al., 2015; Ling et al., 2008; Nott et al., 2015;

Wang et al., 2014). We suggest that PGL also makes low affinity contacts that work with its

dimerization interfaces to facilitate granule formation. Recombinant PGL proteins make granules

on their own *in vitro* (Saha et al., 2016), suggesting that PGL has low affinity contacts in the full-

length protein. RGG repeats facilitate granule formation in other assembly proteins (Nott et al.,

2015), but PGL did not require its RGG repeats to form granules in mammalian cell culture

(Hanazawa et al., 2011). The RGG repeats may instead be needed to trigger robust granule

assembly with RNA (Saha et al., 2016) or impart liquid droplet properties associated with PGL in

nematodes (Brangwynne et al., 2009).

Our study provides direct evidence that recruiting mRNAs to a liquid droplet RNP granule

represses their expression. The mechanism of repression remains unclear. P-granule

components include RNA turnover enzymes and translation inhibitory proteins (Updike and

Strome, 2010) that may repress PGL-tethered mRNAs directly. Alternatively, tethered mRNAs

may be trapped within granules by avidity to high PGL concentrations, blocking their access to

translational machinery. Regardless, this work adds to the emerging theme that granules play a

general role in repression. Liquid droplet stress granules sequester the mTORC1 protein

complex to block activation of mTOR signaling (Wippich et al., 2013). Mammalian cells can trap

hormones and melanin in amyloid-like aggregates to prevent signaling (Fowler et al., 2006; Maji

et al., 2009). RNP granules have also been proposed to repress mRNAs. mRNA repressors are

found in RNP granules (e.g. DDX6/Dhh1, Decker and Parker, 2012) but their repressive

activities can function independently of granules (Carroll et al., 2011). Granule formation of a

yeast amyloid-like RNA binding protein correlates with translational inhibition of transcripts

critical for gametogenesis (Berchowitz et al., 2015), and P-bodies contain mRNAs that are

translationally repressed in cells (Hubstenberger et al., 2017). Further studies that pair insights

into mechanisms of granule assembly with direct *in vivo* assays of regulation will be pivotal

moving forward to decipher the mechanistic function of other RNP granules in their natural

biological context.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank M. Cox for equipment; J. Claycomb for plasmids and strains; T. Hoang, S.

Strome, D. Updike, and members of the Kimble and Wickens labs for helpful discussions. Use

of the LS-CAT Sector 21 was supported by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation

and the Michigan Technology Tri-Corridor (Grant 085P1000817). The Keck Biophysics Facility

(SEC-MALS) is supported in part by NCI CCSG P30 CA060553 grant awarded to the Robert H

Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center. STA was supported by K99HD081208. CAB was

supported by NIH grants GM094584, GM094622 and GM098248. MW was supported by NIH

grant GM50942. JK is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

Amiri, A., Keiper, B.D., Kawasaki, I., Fan, Y., Kohara, Y., Rhoads, R.E., and Strome, S.

(2001). An isoform of eIF4E is a component of germ granules and is required for

spermatogenesis in *C. elegans*. Development *128*, 3899-3912.

Aoki, S.T., Kershner, A.M., Bingman, C.A., Wickens, M., and Kimble, J. (2016). PGL germ

granule assembly protein is a base-specific, single-stranded RNase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA

113, 1279-1284.

Banani, S.F., Lee, H.O., Hyman, A.A., and Rosen, M.K. (2017). Biomolecular condensates:

organizers of cellular biochemistry. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *18*, 285-298.

Baron-Benhamou, J., Gehring, N.H., Kulozik, A.E., and Hentze, M.W. (2004). Using the λN

peptide to tether proteins to RNAs. Methods Mol. Biol. *257*, 135-154.

Batista, P.J., Ruby, J.G., Claycomb, J.M., Chiang, R., Fahlgren, N., Kasschau, K.D., Chaves,

D.A., Gu, W., Vasale, J.J., Duan, S*., et al.* (2008). PRG-1 and 21U-RNAs interact to form the

piRNA complex required for fertility in *C. elegans*. Mol. Cell *31*, 67-78.

Berchowitz, L.E., Kabachinski, G., Walker, M.R., Carlile, T.M., Gilbert, W.V., Schwartz, T.U.,

and Amon, A. (2015). Regulated formation of an amyloid-like translational repressor governs

gametogenesis. Cell *163*, 406-418.

Bergeron-Sandoval, L.P., Safaee, N., and Michnick, S.W. (2016). Mechanisms and

consequences of macromolecular phase separation. Cell *165*, 1067-1079.

Brangwynne, C.P., Eckmann, C.R., Courson, D.S., Rybarska, A., Hoege, C., Gharakhani, J.,

Julicher, F., and Hyman, A.A. (2009). Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by

controlled dissolution/condensation. Science *324*, 1729-1732.

Breitwieser, W., Markussen, F.H., Horstmann, H., and Ephrussi, A. (1996). Oskar protein

interaction with Vasa represents an essential step in polar granule assembly. Genes Dev. *10*,

2179-2188.

Buchan, J.R. (2014). mRNP granules. Assembly, function, and connections with disease.

RNA Biol *11*, 1019-1030.

Campbell, A.C., and Updike, D.L. (2015). CSR-1 and P granules suppress sperm-specific

transcription in the *C. elegans* germline. Development *142*, 1745-1755.

Carroll, J.S., Munchel, S.E., and Weis, K. (2011). The DExD/H box ATPase Dhh1 functions

in translational repression, mRNA decay, and processing body dynamics. J. Cell Biol. *194*, 527-

537.

Coller, J., and Wickens, M. (2002). Tethered function assays using 3' untranslated regions.

Methods *26*, 142-150.

Decker, C.J., and Parker, R. (2012). P-bodies and stress granules: possible roles in the

control of translation and mRNA degradation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *4*, a012286.

Decker, C.J., Teixeira, D., and Parker, R. (2007). Edc3p and a glutamine/asparagine-rich

domain of Lsm4p function in processing body assembly in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell

Biol. *179*, 437-449.

Fowler, D.M., Koulov, A.V., Alory-Jost, C., Marks, M.S., Balch, W.E., and Kelly, J.W. (2006).

Functional amyloid formation within mammalian tissue. PLoS Biol. *4*, e6.

Hanazawa, M., Yonetani, M., and Sugimoto, A. (2011). PGL proteins self associate and bind

RNPs to mediate germ granule assembly in *C. elegans*. J. Cell Biol. *192*, 929-937.

Hoogstrate, S.W., Volkers, R.J., Sterken, M.G., Kammenga, J.E., and Snoek, L.B. (2014).

Nematode endogenous small RNA pathways. Worm *3*, e28234.

Hubstenberger, A., Courel, M., Benard, M., Souquere, S., Ernoult-Lange, M., Chouaib, R., Yi,

Z., Morlot, J.B., Munier, A., Fradet, M.*, et al.* (2017). P-body purification reveals the

condensation of repressed mRNA regulons. Mol. Cell *68*, 144-157 e145.

Hyman, A.A., Weber, C.A., and Julicher, F. (2014). Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology.

Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol. *30*, 39-58.

Jeske, M., Bordi, M., Glatt, S., Muller, S., Rybin, V., Muller, C.W., and Ephrussi, A. (2015). The crystal structure of the *Drosophila* germline inducer Oskar identifies two domains with distinct Vasa helicase- and RNA-binding activities. Cell Rep *12*, 587-598.

Kawasaki, I., Amiri, A., Fan, Y., Meyer, N., Dunkelbarger, S., Motohashi, T., Karashima, T., Bossinger, O., and Strome, S. (2004). The PGL family proteins associate with germ granules and function redundantly in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline development. Genetics *167*, 645-661.

Kawasaki, I., Shim, Y.-H., Kirchner, J., Kaminker, J., Wood, W.B., and Strome, S. (1998). PGL-1, a predicted RNA-binding component of germ granules, is essential for fertility in *C. elegans*. Cell *94*, 635-645.

Keppler, A., Gendreizig, S., Gronemeyer, T., Pick, H., Vogel, H., and Johnsson, K. (2003). A general method for the covalent labeling of fusion proteins with small molecules *in vivo*. Nat. Biotechnol. *21*, 86-89.

Knutson, A.K., Egelhofer, T., Rechtsteiner, A., and Strome, S. (2017). Germ granules prevent accumulation of somatic transcripts in the adult *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline. Genetics *206*, 163-178.

Kortemme, T., Kim, D.E., and Baker, D. (2004). Computational alanine scanning of protein-protein interfaces. Sci STKE *2004*, pl2.

Kuznicki, K.A., Smith, P.A., Leung-Chiu, W.M.A., Estevez, A.O., Scott, H.C., and Bennett, K.L. (2000). Combinatorial RNA interference indicates GLH-4 can compensate for GLH- 1; these two P granule components are critical for fertility in *C. elegans*. Development *127*, 2907-2916.

Lee, C., Sorensen, E.B., Lynch, T.R., and Kimble, J. (2016). *C. elegans* GLP-1/Notch activates transcription in a probability gradient across the germline stem cell pool. Elife *5*, e18370.

Ling, S.H., Decker, C.J., Walsh, M.A., She, M., Parker, R., and Song, H. (2008). Crystal

structure of human Edc3 and its functional implications. Mol. Cell. Biol. *28*, 5965-5976.

Magis, C., Taly, J.F., Bussotti, G., Chang, J.M., Di Tommaso, P., Erb, I., Espinosa-Carrasco,

J., and Notredame, C. (2014). T-Coffee: Tree-based consistency objective function for

alignment evaluation. Methods Mol. Biol. *1079*, 117-129.

Maji, S.K., Perrin, M.H., Sawaya, M.R., Jessberger, S., Vadodaria, K., Rissman, R.A., Singru,

P.S., Nilsson, K.P., Simon, R., Schubert, D*., et al.* (2009). Functional amyloids as natural

storage of peptide hormones in pituitary secretory granules. Science *325*, 328-332.

Markussen, F.H., Michon, A.M., Breitwieser, W., and Ephrussi, A. (1995). Translational

control of *oskar* generates Short OSK, the isoform that induces pole plasma assembly.

Development *121*, 3723-3732.

Nott, T.J., Petsalaki, E., Farber, P., Jervis, D., Fussner, E., Plochowietz, A., Craggs, T.D.,

Bazett-Jones, D.P., Pawson, T., Forman-Kay, J.D*., et al.* (2015). Phase transition of a

disordered nuage protein generates environmentally responsive membraneless organelles. Mol.

Cell *57*, 936-947.

Paix, A., Folkmann, A., Rasoloson, D., and Seydoux, G. (2015). High efficiency, homology-

directed genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein

complexes. Genetics *201*, 47-54.

Saha, S., Weber, C.A., Nousch, M., Adame-Arana, O., Hoege, C., Hein, M.Y., Osborne-

Nishimura, E., Mahamid, J., Jahnel, M., Jawerth, L*., et al.* (2016). Polar positioning of phase-

separated liquid compartments in cells regulated by an mRNA competition mechanism. Cell

166, 1572-1584 e1516.

Schisa, J.A., Pitt, J.N., and Priess, J.R. (2001). Analysis of RNA associated with P granules

in germ cells of *C. elegans* adults. Development *128*, 1287-1298.

Singh, G., Pratt, G., Yeo, G.W., and Moore, M.J. (2015). The clothes make the mRNA: past

and present trends in mRNP fashion. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *84*, 325-354.

Spike, C., Meyer, N., Racen, E., Orsborn, A., Kirchner, J., Kuznicki, K., Yee, C., Bennett, K.,

and Strome, S. (2008). Genetic analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* GLH family of P-granule

proteins. Genetics *178*, 1973-1987.

Strome, S., and Updike, D. (2015). Specifying and protecting germ cell fate. Nat. Rev. Mol.

Cell Biol. *16*, 406-416.

Tang, W., Tu, S., Lee, H.C., Weng, Z., and Mello, C.C. (2016). The RNase PARN-1 trims

piRNA 3' ends to promote transcriptome surveillance in *C. elegans*. Cell *164*, 974-984.

Updike, D., and Strome, S. (2010). P granule assembly and function in *Caenorhabditis*

elegans germ cells. J Androl *31*, 53-60.

Updike, D.L., Hachey, S.J., Kreher, J., and Strome, S. (2011). P granules extend the nuclear

pore complex environment in the *C. elegans* germ line. J. Cell Biol. *192*, 939-948.

Updike, D.L., Knutson, A.K., Egelhofer, T.A., Campbell, A.C., and Strome, S. (2014). Germ-

granule components prevent somatic development in the *C. elegans* germline. Curr. Biol. *24*,

970-975.

Vanzo, N.F., and Ephrussi, A. (2002). Oskar anchoring restricts pole plasm formation to the

posterior of the *Drosophila* oocyte. Development *129*, 3705-3714.

Voronina, E., Paix, A., and Seydoux, G. (2012). The P granule component PGL-1 promotes

the localization and silencing activity of the PUF protein FBF-2 in germline stem cells.

Development *139*, 3732-3740.

Voronina, E., Seydoux, G., Sassone-Corsi, P., and Nagamori, I. (2011). RNA granules in

germ cells. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *3*, a002774.

Wang, J.T., Smith, J., Chen, B.C., Schmidt, H., Rasoloson, D., Paix, A., Lambrus, B.G.,

Calidas, D., Betzig, E., and Seydoux, G. (2014). Regulation of RNA granule dynamics by

phosphorylation of serine-rich, intrinsically disordered proteins in *C. elegans*. Elife *3*, e04591.

Wedeles, C.J., Wu, M.Z., and Claycomb, J.M. (2013). Protection of germline gene

expression by the *C. elegans* Argonaute CSR-1. Dev. Cell *27*, 664-671.

Wippich, F., Bodenmiller, B., Trajkovska, M.G., Wanka, S., Aebersold, R., and Pelkmans, L.

(2013). Dual specificity kinase DYRK3 couples stress granule condensation/dissolution to

mTORC1 signaling. Cell *152*, 791-805.

Wu, H., and Fuxreiter, M. (2016). The structure and dynamics of higher-order assemblies:

amyloids, signalosomes, and granules. Cell *165*, 1055-1066.

Zeiser, E., Frøkjær-Jensen, C., Jorgensen, E., and Ahringer, J. (2011). MosSCI and gateway

compatible plasmid toolkit for constitutive and inducible expression of transgenes in the *C.*

elegans germline. PLoS One *6*, e20082.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Crystal structure of the PGL NTD

(A) Left, *C. elegans* adult hermaphrodite possesses two gonadal arms with proliferating germ cells at one end (asterisk) and differentiating gametes at the other. Gonads make sperm (blue) first and then oocytes (pink). Right, P-granules (magenta) reside at the nuclear periphery of all germ cells until late oogenesis. (B) Linear diagram of *C. elegans* PGL-1. (C) Crystal structure of *C. japonica* PGL-1 NTD to 1.5 Å. NTD has four copies per asymmetric unit (ASU). Copies in yellow, gold, tan, and brown. Arrows indicate two pairs of subunit interfaces in the ASU. Red arrows highlight the interface relying on conserved amino acids (see text). (D) Enlarged image of a single NTD.

Figure 2. NTD dimerization and its role in PGL self-assembly

(A) Structural model of the NTD dimer. (B-C) Enlargement of dimer interface (red box in A). PGL-1 K126 and K129 (B), and R123 (C) interact with apposing subunit side chains. Residue labels in yellow or gold to indicate their representative subunits. (D-E) Size exclusion chromatography and multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) of recombinant PGL-3 NTD wild type (D-E), K126E K129E (D) and R123E (E) proteins. A280 UV absorbance (left y axis) was normalized to the maximum value. Molecular weight (MW, right y axis) for MALS in daltons (Da). Wild-type protein (blue) measured the approximate size of a dimer, while both mutant proteins (red) measured approximately as monomers. (F) Diagram of *C. elegans* PGL-1 C-terminally tagged with GFP. (G-J). Representative images of GFP-tagged PGL-1 (G), GFP alone (H), and GFP-tagged PGL-1 K126E K129E (I) and R123E (J) mutants expressed in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells. Cell cultures were imaged live, and GFP-positive cells counted for the presence or absence of granules. Images show the majority result (percentages noted above image). Scale bar, 10 μm.

Figure 3. NTD dimerization is critical for fertility and P-granule formation in nematodes

(A) Site of SNAP tag insertion in *C. elegans* PGL-1. (B) Fertility of SNAP tagged PGL-1 animals.

Percentages were obtained after scoring individuals for production of larval progeny after 5 days

at either 20°C or 25°C. (C-P) Extruded adult germlines, fixed, stained and imaged in same

region of meiotic pachytene (see **Figure S3A**). (C-F) Representative images of SNAP staining

to visualize PGL-1 expression and granule formation. All images are partial z-stacks to

maximize visualization of P-granules. Images were taken from germlines producing embryos;

similar images were obtained from germlines too defective to make embryos (**Figure S3F-G**).

(C) Control, wild type animal lacking SNAP tag shows virtually no background staining (n=20).

(D) PGL-1::SNAP localizes to granules around nuclei (n=49). (E) PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E

is diffuse (n=38). (F) PGL-1::SNAP R123E is diffuse (n=24). (G-P) Representative images

showing localization of three P-granule components in germ cells expressing either PGL-

1::SNAP (G-K, n=20) or PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E (L-P, n=14). (G,L) DNA (DAPI); (H,M)

SNAP (PGL-1::SNAP or mutant); (I,N) V5 (PGL-3); (J,O) MYC (GLH-1); (K,P) Merge. Scale bar,

10 μm for all images, except two-fold enlargements of nuclei in white boxes that are placed

outside main images.

Figure 4. PGL assembly is required for repression of tethered mRNA reporter *in vivo*

(A) Tethering assay. The reporter mRNA encodes GFP-histone H2B and harbors three boxb

hairpins in its 3'UTR; a ubiquitous germline promoter drives expression (see Methods). λN22

peptide (light blue) is inserted into PGL-1::SNAP. Binding of PGL-1::SNAP::λN22 to boxB

hairpins recruits PGL-1 to reporter mRNA. (B-D) GFP reporter expression in germ cells of live

animals. Above, brightfield image; below GFP fluorescence (green); auto fluorescence (red). n,

number of animals scored for GFP expression. Scale bar, 10 μm, in (B) applies to all images.

(E-G) Representative images of PGL granule formation, seen by SNAP staining (magenta), and

GFP fluorescence (green) in fixed gonads. n, number of germlines scored for GFP expression.

Scale bar, 10 μm, in (E) applies to all images. (H) Model of P-granule assembly and function.

Left, NTD dimerization allows PGL granule formation, which traps and represses mRNA

transcripts. Right, loss of PGL granule formation derepresses granule-localized mRNA

transcripts. See text for further Discussion.

Supplemental Figure Captions

Table S1. *C. japonica* PGL-1 NTD crystal structure data and model statistics

Figure S1. PGL sequence alignment and locus

(A) Sequence alignment of PGL NTD domain in *C. elegans* (*Ce*), *C. japonica* (*Cj*), *C. brenneri*

(*Cbn*), *C. briggsae* (*Cbr*), *C. remanei* (*Cr*). Alignment and conservation (cons.) determined by T-

Coffee (Magis et al., 2014). Starred residues (*) are identical. Period (.) and colon (:) residues

are similar. Residues participating in salt bridges only are in orange. Residues participating in

hydrogen bonds only are in yellow. Residues forming both hydrogen bonds and salt bridges are

highlighted in red. *C. elegans* PGL-1 missense mutations and their allele numbers are labeled.

Dashed lines mark the end of PGL-1 NTD domain and start of PGL-1 DD domain. (B) *pgl-1*

(ZK481.4a.1) primary transcript. 5' and 3' UTRs are grey, exons are white, numbered 1-8 and

separated by introns. Sites of *pgl-1* mutations are labeled, including location of SNAP tag

(magenta) and λN22 fusion (blue).

Figure S2. Supplemental biochemical and structural analyses of PGL NTD

(A,B) Coomassie-stained polyacrylamide gel of recombinant PGL NTD wild type and mutant

protein. Ladder marker sizes labeled in kilodaltons (kDa) on right. (A) Recombinant *C. japonica*

(Cj) PGL-1 NTD protein used for crystallization. Recombinant *C. elegans* PGL-3 NTD protein

included for comparison. (B) Wild type and mutant *C. elegans* PGL-3 NTD recombinant proteins

used for biochemical characterization. (C) Tables of predicted hydrogen bonds and salt bridges

at the NTD dimerization interface. Amino acid numbers correspond to *C. japonica* PGL-1 NTD.

(D-E) Surface representation of the NTD dimerization interface. (D) Amino acids colored by

identity (red) and similarity (pink). (E) Amino acids at dimerization interface (purple).

Figure S3. Supplemental images of PGL-1 dimerization mutants

(A) Schematic of an adult hermaphrodite germline. An asterisk marks proliferating germ cells

here and in images B-D. The germline produces oocytes at this stage; sperm were made earlier

and stored in the spermatheca (not shown). Red box marks region imaged in F-I. (B-D)

Representative brightfield images of extruded gonads from worms grown at 20°C. Scale bar, 10

µm. (B) PGL-1::SNAP gonads are of normal size and produce oocytes and embryos. (C)

Representative images of PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E sterile gonads, which are small and

produce no gametes. (D) PGL-1::SNAP R123E sterile gonads are also small and produce no

gametes. (F-I) Representative partial z-projection stacks of SNAP and DNA stained germlines.

Scale bar, 10 µm, applies for all images. (F,G) PGL-1 is expressed in sterile gonads (no

embryos observed). (F) n=21 gonads imaged; (G) n=30 gonads imaged. (H-I) In single rare

gonads, PGL-1 mutants were seen to assemble into granules in all germ cells. Note the diffuse

staining between nuclei, which is not seen in the wild-type PGL-1::SNAP (see **Figure 3D**).

Figure S4. GFP reporter is not subject to germline silencing

Gonads were extruded from animals harboring (A-D) PGL-1::SNAP (n=30); (E-H,M) PGL-

1::SNAP::λN22 (n=27); (I-L) PGL-1::SNAP::λN22 K126E K129E (n=10). Gonads were fixed and

imaged for *gfp* RNA using smFISH (A,E,I), GFP protein fluorescence (B,F,J), DNA (DAPI) and

SNAP (C,G,K). The three are merged in D, H, L, and M. White arrows mark examples of

intranuclear puncta; black arrows mark examples of cytoplasmic puncta. (I) Six additional

examples of germlines harboring PGL-1::SNAP::λN22, imaged for *gfp* RNA, DNA, and SNAP.

Scale bar, 5 µm, for all images, except image in inset (white box) enlarged 2.5-fold. For

germline location, see **Figure S3A**.

A

B

N-terminal domain (NTD)	Dimerization Domain (DD)	C-region (C)	RGG

1   217   223   449   664   730

C

90°

D

90°

A

| NTD | DD | C | SNAP | RGG |

B

Protein	allele	20°C % sterile	n	25°C % sterile	n
PGL-1	N2	1.0	96	2.2	93
PGL-1::SNAP	q894	1.1	93	8.6	93
PGL-1 null	bn102	3.3	92	82.3	96
PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E	q960	72.0	93	100	92
PGL-1::SNAP R123E	q975	22.1	95	97.9	96

A **PGL-1::SNAP::λN22**   **λN22**

Reporter GFP histone 3xboxb AAA → **expression?**
(GFP fluorescence in nuclei)

PGL-1::SNAP   **PGL-1::SNAP::λN22** +   **PGL-1::SNAP::λN22** K126E K129E

B   **C**   **D**

GFP auto 100% (n=219)   GFP auto 0% (n=111)   GFP auto 97.9% (n=95)

PGL-1::SNAP   **PGL-1::SNAP::λN22** +   **PGL-1::SNAP::λN22** K126E K129E

E   **F**   **G**

SNAP DNA   SNAP DNA   SNAP DNA

GFP 100% (n=35)   GFP 5% (n=39)   GFP 100% (n=22)

H

PGL: NTD DD —RGG

P-granule
mRNA repression

cytoplasm   P-granule

nucleus   mRNA expression

Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

	JaPGL-1N SeMet (5W4D)	JaPGL-1N wt (5W4A)
Wavelength	0.9786	0.984
Resolution range	48.47 - 1.599 (1.656 - 1.599)	30.36 - 1.5 (1.554 - 1.5)
Space group	C 1 2 1	C 1 2 1
Unit cell	133.3 94.8 72.5 90 91.4 90	132.77 94.67 72.95 90 90.756 90
Total reflections	880332 (81451)	2176741 (194944)
Unique reflections	115340 (11239)	143729 (14301)
Multiplicity	7.6 (7.2)	15.1 (13.6)
Completeness (%)	97.06 (95.16)	99.77 (99.33)
Mean I/sigma(I)	24.44 (2.30)	16.91 (1.85)
Wilson B-factor	22.04	22.44
R-merge	0.0469 (0.8775)	0.08108 (1.282)
R-meas	0.05038 (0.945)	0.08353 (1.332)
R-pim	0.01827 (0.348)	0.01984 (0.357)
CC1/2	0.999 (0.74)	0.997 (0.662)
CC*	1 (0.922)	0.999 (0.893)
Reflections used in refinement	115302 (11238)	143649 (14297)
Reflections used for R-free	1424 (129)	1468 (151)
R-work	0.1607 (0.2590)	0.1681 (0.3038)
R-free	0.1925 (0.2707)	0.2039 (0.3232)
CC(work)	0.962 (0.864)	0.967 (0.802)
CC(free)	0.939 (0.819)	0.974 (0.794)
Number of non-hydrogen atoms	7593	7785
macromolecules	6768	6813
ligands	168	136

solvent	657	836
Protein residues	846	853
RMS(bonds)	0.010	0.010
RMS(angles)	1.00	0.99
Ramachandran favored (%)	98.68	98.10
Ramachandran allowed (%)	1.32	1.90
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0.00	0.00
Rotamer outliers (%)	1.33	0.66
Clashscore	2.29	1.86
Average B-factor	30.58	30.21
macromolecules	29.29	28.97
ligands	53.64	51.75
solvent	37.95	36.82
Number of TLS groups	1	1

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

A

PGL-3 NTD 10 µg
ladder
CjPGL-1 NTD 10 µg
ladder

37 kDa

25 kDa

B

PGL-3 NTD 2 µg
PGL-3 NTD 5 µg
ladder
PGL-3 NTD K126E K129E 2 µg
PGL-3 NTD K126E K129E 5 µg
ladder
PGL-3 NTD R123E 2 µg
PGL-3 NTD R123E 5 µg
ladder

37 kDa

25 kDa

C

H-bonds		salt bridges	
subunit 1	subunit 2	subunit 1	subunit 2
A:R128	B:T158	A:R128	B:E189
A:R128	B:E189	A:K131	B:E162
A:K131	B:E162	A:K134	B:D167
A:L132	B:N196	A:K134	B:D169
A:K134	B:D167	A:E162	B:K131
A:K134	B:D169	A:D167	B:K134
A:T158	B:R128	A:D169	B:K134
A:E162	B:K131	A:E189	B:R128
A:D167	B:K134		
A:D169	B:K134		
A:E189	B:R128		
A:N196	B:L132		

D

E

A progrenitor zone   meiotic pachytene   cross section:

*

maturing oocytes

B PGL-1::SNAP

newly fertilized embryo →

maturing oocytes →

*

C PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E

gut

severely underproliferated germline with no oocytes or embryos

*

D PGL-1::SNAP R123E

gut

*

gut

smaller than normal germline with no oocytes or embryos

PGL-1::SNAP staining in most sterile gonads   PGL-1::SNAP granules in rare gonads

F K126E K129E   **G** R123E   **H** K126E K129E   **I** R123E

SNAP

DNA

SNAP DNA

Materials and Methods

Protein expression and purification

We previously used *C. elegans* PGL-3 recombinant protein and limited proteolysis to identify a central

dimerization domain (DD) (Aoki et al., 2016). While we could express DD efficiently we could not express

recombinant protein that was N-terminal to the cleavage site (PGL-3 amino acid residues 205-206). We

tried moving the six histidine purification tag to the N- and C- termini, shortened the protein regions used

for expression, and tried several different orthologs with little success. The insight came after aligning

protein sequences of several *Caenorhabditid sp.* and studying the DD domain boundary (**Figure S1A**).

Protease cleavage occurred in a conserved portion of the N-terminal region and this region was

disordered in our DD crystal structures. After inclusion of this region (PGL-3 amino acid residues 205-

212), we could express and purify recombinant N-terminal protein from *C. elegans* PGL-1 and its

orthologs. We henceforth refer to this region as the N-terminal domain (NTD).

This study used primarily *C. elegans* PGL-3 and *C. japonica* PGL-1 recombinant NTD proteins. The *C.*

elegans PGL-3 coding region was PCR amplified from cDNA. A codon-optimized (*E. coli*) version of *C.*

japonica PGL-1 NTD was ordered as a gBlock (IDT). We included a six-histidine tag at the C-terminus

that was removed later with carboxypeptidase A (Arnau et al., 2006). Constructs were cloned into a

pET21a vector (Merck-Millipore) with Gibson Assembly cloning (Gibson, 2011), and plasmids transformed

into Rosetta2 cells (EMD-Millipore). Cultures were grown at 37°C with shaking (225 rpm) until ~0.8 OD,

cooled for 30-60 minutes, and induced with a final concentration of 0.1 mM IPTG. Cultures were then

grown at 16°C with shaking (160 rpm) for 16-18 hours, collected, and bacterial pellets frozen until use.

Selenomethionine-incorporated *C. japonica* protein was expressed in SelenoMethionine Medium

Complete (Molecular Dimensions), and grown, induced, and collected in a similar manner.

Bacterial pellets were defrosted on ice and reconstituted in lysis buffer (20 mM Sodium Phosphate pH

7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 5 mM beta-mercaptoethanol (BME)) with protease inhibitors

(cOmplete™ EDTA-free, Roche). Lysozyme was added at 50 μg/ml and incubated on ice for 20 minutes

prior to lysis in a french press. Samples were spun at low (3220 x g, 4°C, 20 minutes) and high speed

(10,000 x g, 20°C, 10 minutes), then incubated with 1.5 ml NiNTA beads (Thermo Scientific) for 1 hour at

4°C with rotation. Sample supernatant was separated by gravity flow, washed twice with lysis buffer, and

eluted using lysis buffer with increasing imidazole concentrations (20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 250 mM). Eluted

samples were checked for protein via Bradford assay (Bio-Rad), and dialyzed overnight in HN buffer (20

mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl). The dialyzed samples were concentrated with a Centriprep 10K

concentrator (Millipore), calcium added to 1 mM $CaCl_2$, and the histidine tag removed with

carboxypeptidase A bound to agarose (Sigma) at a ratio of 10 protein:1 enzyme (w/w). Samples were

incubated at room temperature (~20°C) for 45-90 minutes with rotation prior to supernatant elution by

centrifugation in microflow columns (Pierce). Samples were run on a S200 sizing column (GE Healthcare)

in HNT buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP pH 7.4). Fractions containing

recombinant protein were collected, concentrated in an Amicon 10K concentrator (Millipore), and protein

concentration estimated by A280. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen or used immediately.

Crystallization and structure determination

C. elegans PGL-1, *C. elegans* PGL-3, and *C. japonica* PGL-1 NTD recombinant protein were screened in

crystallization conditions using 400 nl hanging and sitting drop 96-well trays set up with the Mosquito

(TTP Labtech) in 20°C. Several conditions produced labile crystal plates. Data was collected to 4 Å from

C. elegans PGL-1 crystal plates, determined to have a very large unit cell (86 Å x 86 Å x 460 Å) and P6

point group, and eventually determined to have perfect merohedral twinning. *C. japonica* PGL-1 also

crystallized as large (60-150 Å) rhomboid crystals in 40-45% PEG 400 at low (Na Citrate pH 5.5-6.0) and

physiologic pH (imidazole pH 7.5-8.0). Crystals grown in citrate or imidazole both diffracted well, but we

used imidazole (100 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 45% PEG 400, 1 mM TCEP pH 7.4) due to its higher

reproducibility for large crystals and its modestly better resolution. The crystals did not require additional

cryo-protection due to the high PEG 400. We eventually collected a full data set to 1.5 Å in space group

C2.

PGL-1 NTD was a novel domain. Novelty and translational pseudosymmetry precluded us from using any

model for molecular replacement. Trial heavy atom soaks also proved unfruitful, and the *C. japonica* PGL-

1 NTD has just two methonines past the start codon, making selenomethionine phasing challenging. To

boost anomalous signal, we mutated two non-conserved isoleucines to methionines (I63M, I212M). This

methionine mutant provided phases to 3.6 Å by single anomalous dispersion (SAD) that we used to build

a 1.6 Å model of the mutant protein (PDB ID: **5W4D**). We used this model for molecular replacement into

the wild-type data set to build a complete 1.5 Å model (PDB ID: **5W4A**). Data and model statistics are in

Supplemental Table 1. Model coordinates and data are available at RCSB (www.rcsb.org).

Size exclusion chromatography with multi-angle laser light scattering (SEC-MALS)

Molecular weights of *C. elegans* PGL-3 NTD wild type and mutant recombinant protein were determined

by conducting SEC-MALS experiments using Agilent Technologies 1260 LC HPLS system (Agilent

Technologies) equipped with Dawn® Heleos™II 18-angle MALS light scattering detector, Optilab® T-

rEX™ (refractometer with EXtended range) refractive index detector, WyattQELS™ quasi-elastic

(dynamic) light scattering (QELS) detector and ASTRA software (all four from Wyatt Technology Europe

GmbH). A total of 500 µL (1 mg/mL) of the samples in HNT buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl,

0.5 mM TCEP pH 7.4) were injected and run on a Superdex 75 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) pre-

equilibrated with the same buffer, at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min at 20°C. Lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.)

was used as a control.

Mammalian cell culture maintenance, transfection and imaging

Full length PGL-1 was cloned into a pcDNA 3.1 vector (Thermofisher) with a C-terminal eGFP and

OLLAS epitope linker. Mutations to PGL-1 were created using Gibson Assembly cloning (Gibson, 2011).

Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells (ATCC) were propagated according to distributor's

recommendations. Briefly, cells were grown in F-12K Medium (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum

(Gibco), and split with Trypsin 0.25% (Gibco) every 2-3 days. Cells were grown to 70% confluence and

transfected with TransIT-CHO Transfection Kit (Mirus Bio). Transfected cells were split the following day

and grown in Ibitreat 15 u-Slide 8 well slides (Ibidi) overnight. Hoechst stain (Invitrogen) was added to

wells prior to imaging by confocal microscopy for GFP and Hoechst fluorescence, and transmitted light.

Well dilutions were chosen based on adequate cell spacing to discern each cell, and 25 fields of view

were taken based on the highest concentration of GFP-positive cells. Experiments were repeated four

times with similar results. During image collection, we observed a single example of a granule-like blob in

the PGL-1::OLLAS::GFP K126E K129E. The cell appeared unhealthy, and thus the granule may be an

artifact of cell death, but we included it in our study for completeness.

Worm maintenance, CRISPR mutagenesis, fertility and imaging

Frozen strains:

N2 Bristol

JK5687: *pgl-1(q894)[PGL-1::SNAP] IV*

JK5902: *pgl-1(q975)[PGL-1::SNAP R123E] IV*

JK5898: *glh-1(q858)[GLH-1:: 3xMYC] I; pgl-1(q894)[PGL-1::SNAP] IV; pgl-3(q861)[PGL-3::3xV5] V*

JK5970: *qSi375[(mex-5 promoter::eGFP::linker::his-58::3xboxb::tbb-2 3'UTR) *weSi2] II; pgl-*

1(q894)[PGL-1::SNAP] IV

JK5873: *qSi375[(mex-5 promoter::eGFP::linker::his-58::3xboxb::tbb-2 3'UTR) *weSi2] II; pgl-*

1(q994)[PGL-1:SNAP::λN22]/nT1[qIs51](IV;V)

JK5874: *qSi375[(mex-5 promoter::eGFP::linker::his-58::3xboxb::tbb-2 3'UTR) *weSi2] II; pgl-*

1(q994)[PGL-1:SNAP:λN22]/nT1[qIs51](IV;V)

Worm strains that could not be frozen:

1. *pgl-1(q960)[PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E] IV*

2. *glh-1(q858)[GLH-1::3xMYC] I; pgl-1(q960)[PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E] IV; pgl-3(q861)[PGL-3::3xV5]*

V

3. *qSi375[(mex-5 promoter::eGFP::linker::his-58::3xboxb::tbb-2 3'UTR) *weSi2] II; pgl-1(q1053)[PGL-*

1:SNAP:λN22 K126E K129E]/nT1[qIs51](IV;V)

C. elegans were maintained as previously reported (Brenner, 1974). For CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis, a

Cas9 protein co-conversion approach was used (Arribere et al., 2014). Briefly, worms were injected with a

target CRISPR-Cas9 RNA (crRNA) or a plasmid expressing a Cas9-scaffold with tandem target sequence

RNA (sgRNA) to a gene of interest (Arribere et al., 2014), a target crRNA to *dpy-10* or *unc-58*, a

scaffolding tracrRNA (IDT), recombinant Cas9 protein (Paix et al., 2015), a *dpy-10/unc-58* repair DNA

oligo that inserted a dominant mutation (Arribere et al., 2014), and an epitope tag/missense mutant repair

oligo or PCR product. See below for a Table of guide RNAs and repair templates used. F1s with the co-

injection marker phenotype were additionally screened by a combination of PCR without or with restriction

enzyme digest to identify those with the repair of interest. In JK5687, a SNAP tag (Keppler et al., 2003)

was inserted between PGL-1 amino acids G713 and G714 in N2 worms. A 3xMYC tag was added to the

N-terminus of GLH-1 between G17 and F18. A 3xV5 tag was added in the C-terminal region of PGL-3

between residues G627 and S628. F2s were PCR screened to identify homozygous SNAP alleles and

the PCR product sequenced to confirm proper repair. Three worm strains were too infertile to freeze. All

worms were outcrossed at least twice with N2, with the exception of (*glh-1(q858)[GLH-1::3xMYC] I; pgl-*

1(q960)[PGL-1::SNAP K126E K129E] IV; pgl-3(q861)[PGL-3::3xV5] V) that was backcrossed with

JK5898.

Worms were singled into the peripheral wells of a 24-well plate that contained NGM agar and OP50

bacteria. Worms were allowed to propagate for 5 days at 20°C or 25°C, and then scored for progeny and

gravid progeny. We report the progeny numbers here.

To analyze GFP reporter expression, L4 larvae were propagated for approximately 24 hours at 20°C,

placed in M9 with 0.1 mM levamisole on a glass slide with a cover slip, imaged at 10x magnification on a

compound microscope and counted for the presence or absence of GFP fluorescence in its germline.

Numbers represent totals from two separate experiments. The reporter images of live worms were taken

of worms treated in a similar manner and visualized on a Leica SP8 scanning laser confocal microscope.

For confocal imaging, germlines were extruded, fixed with 1-2% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy

Sciences) and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X as previously described (Crittenden et al., 2017).

Germlines were incubated with primary antibodies to FLAG (M2® (mouse), Sigma) and GFP (Rabbit anti-

GFP, Invitrogen) overnight, stained with fluorophore-labeled secondary antibodies (Alexa 555 Donkey

anti-Mouse, Alexa 488 Goat anti-Rabbit; Invitrogen) and DAPI (Invitrogen), washed and mounted in

Vectashield (Vector Laboratories).

For smFISH, gonads were extruded, fixed, and hybridized with single molecule FISH probes as described

(Lee et al., 2016). The *gfp* exon probe set contains 38 unique oligonucleotides labeled with CAL Fluor

Red 610. Briefly, probes were dissolved in RNase-free TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0)

to create a 250 µM probe stock. Mid-L4 stage animals were grown on OP50 for 24 hours, then dissected

in PBS+0.1% Tween-20 + 0.25 mM levamisole. Animals were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20

minutes, incubated at room temperature in PBS-T (PBS + 0.1% Tween-20) for 10-25 minutes, and

equilibrated in smFISH wash buffer (30 mM sodium citrate pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1% formamide, 0.1%

Tween-20, DEPC water) for 10-16 minutes. Samples were then incubated in hybridization buffer (30 mM

sodium citrate pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1% formamide, 10% dextran sulfate w/v, DEPC water) plus 0.5 µM

smFISH probe at 37°C for 26-44 hours. 30 nM SNAP 549 ligand was added during the smFISH wash

buffer + DAPI wash; samples were washed at 37°C for approximately 60 minutes. Finally, samples were

resuspended in 12 µL Antifade Prolong Gold mounting medium (Life Technologies), mounted on glass

slides, and cured in a dark drawer for at least 24 hours before imaging.

Samples were imaged using a Leica SP8 scanning laser confocal microscope, taking 0.3 µm (smFISH

experiments) or 1 µm (protein staining) slices in sequence. Maximum intensity partial stack projections

were generated and brightness adjusted using ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2015). All images were treated

equally in ImageJ and Photoshop, with the exception of the transmitted light images. Imaging

experiments were repeated at least twice with similar results, with the exception of PGL-1:SNAP:λN22

K126E K129E worms.

CRISPR-Cas9 guide RNAs and repair oligos

Name	Type	Strain targeted	mutation	Sequence	Enzyme screen
CRISPR-Cas9 guide RNAs:					
glh-1 sgRNA 1	CRISPR-Cas9 sgRNA plasmid	N2	3xmyc	target sequence: TCCACTACCGAATCCAGTTT	
pgl-3 sgRNAin 1	CRISPR-Cas9 sgRNA plasmid	N2	3xV5	target sequence: GCAACGGAACGTCTGGAAG	
pgl-1 crRNA 1	CRISPR-Cas9 RNA	N2	SNAP	target sequence: cccaccagttcagcttatgg	
pgl-1 crRNA 5	CRISPR-Cas9 RNA	JK5687, JK5898, JK5874	K126E K129E	target sequence: gtcttcagtcttcttcagct	
pgl-1 crRNA 8	CRISPR-Cas9 RNA	JK5687	R123E	target sequence: cttcttcagcttggccttac	
SNAP crRNA 1	CRISPR-Cas9 RNA	JK5687	λN22	target sequence: CCTGGGCTGGGTCCTGCAGG	
DNA repair template:					
glh-1 3xmyc repair 1	ssDNA repair oligo	N2	3xmyc	ttccaccggttttattttgattaaaaactttatttcagCgAAAACTGGAAACGAACAGAAGCTTATTTCCGAGGAAGACCTCGCCGGAGAGCAAAAGCTCATCTCTGAAGAGGATCTTGGAGCCGAACAGAAGCTTATCTCTGAAGAAGACCTCGGAGGATTCGGTAGTGGAGGCGGTTTCGGTGGTGGTAACAATGGAG	n/a
pgl-3 3xV5 repair 1	ssDNA repair oligo	N2	3xV5	agtttgccagcagcaacggaacCtcCggaCgaggcGGAAAGCCAATCCCAAACCCACTCCTCGGACTCGACTCCACCGGAGGAAAGCCAATCCCAAACCCACTCCTCGGACTCGACTCCACCATCGGAAAGCCAATCCCAAACCCACTCCTCGGACTCGACTCCACCGGAtcttatggaggtggtcgcggtggcgatcgt	BlpI
pgl-1 SNAP	PCR product	JK5687	SNAP	ggattcggtcaatttgctcccaccagttcagcttatggaAGTGGCGGTATGGACAAAGACTGCGAAATGAAGCGCACCACCCTGGATAGCCCTCTGGGCAAGCTGGAACTGTCTGGGTGCGAACAGGGCCTGCACCGTATCATCTTCCTGGGCAAAGGAACATCTGCCGCCGACGCCGTGGAAGTGCCTGCCCCAGCCGCCGTGCTGGGCGGACCAGAGCCACTGATGCAGGCCACCGCCTGGCTCAACGCCTACTTTCACCAGCCTGAGGCCATCGAGGAGTTCCCTGTGCCAGCCCTGCACCACCCAGTGTTCCAGCAGGAGAGCTTTACCCGCCAGGTGCTGTGGAAACTGCTGAAAGTGGTGAAGTTCGGAGAGGTCATCAGCTACAGCCACCTGGCCGCCCTGGCCGGCAATCCCGCCGCCACCGCCGCCGTGAAAACCGCCCTGAGCGGAAATCCCGTGCCCATTCTGATCCCCTGCCACCGGGTGGTGCAGGGCGACCTGGACGTGGGGGGCTACGAGGGCGGGCTCGCCGTGAAAGAGTGGCTGCTGGCCCACGAGGGCCACAGACTGGGCAAGCCTGGGCTGGGTCCTGCAGGCGGATCCggaggaggtggtcgcggaggatatggcggtggagaccgtg	n/a
K126E K129E repair	ssDNA repair oligo	JK5687, JK5898, JK5874	K126E K129E	tcgatgacgacaagaagctcggaatgctcgcccgtaaggcTGagctgaagGagactgaagacgctaagattcttcaagctcttctcaaagt	BlpI
pgl-1 R123E repair	ssDNA repair oligo	JK5687	R123E	tttctgtcatcgatgacgacaagaagctcggaatgctcgcTGAGaaggccaagctgaagaagactgaagacgctaagattcttc	HpyAV

References

Aoki, S.T., Kershner, A.M., Bingman, C.A., Wickens, M., and Kimble, J. (2016). PGL germ

granule assembly protein is a base-specific, single-stranded RNase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA

113, 1279-1284.

Arnau, J., Lauritzen, C., Petersen, G.E., and Pedersen, J. (2006). Current strategies for the use

of affinity tags and tag removal for the purification of recombinant proteins. Protein Expr Purif

48, 1-13.

Arribere, J.A., Bell, R.T., Fu, B.X., Artiles, K.L., Hartman, P.S., and Fire, A.Z. (2014). Efficient

marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with CRISPR/Cas9 in *Caenorhabditis*

elegans. Genetics *198*, 837-846.

Brenner, S. (1974). The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics *77*, 71-94.

Crittenden, S.L., Seidel, H.S., and Kimble, J. (2017). Analysis of the *C. elegans* germline stem

cell pool. Methods Mol Biol *1463*, 1-33.

Gibson, D.G. (2011). Enzymatic assembly of overlapping DNA fragments. Methods Enzymol

498, 349-361.

Keppler, A., Gendreizig, S., Gronemeyer, T., Pick, H., Vogel, H., and Johnsson, K. (2003). A

general method for the covalent labeling of fusion proteins with small molecules *in vivo*. Nat

Biotechnol *21*, 86-89.

Lee, C., Sorensen, E.B., Lynch, T.R., and Kimble, J. (2016). *C. elegans* GLP-1/Notch activates

transcription in a probability gradient across the germline stem cell pool. Elife *5*, e18370.

Paix, A., Folkmann, A., Rasoloson, D., and Seydoux, G. (2015). High efficiency, homology-

directed genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein

complexes. Genetics *201*, 47-54.

Schindelin, J., Rueden, C.T., Hiner, M.C., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2015). The ImageJ ecosystem: An

open platform for biomedical image analysis. Mol Reprod Dev *82*, 518-529.