Full article title: Neuropsychiatric Phenotypes and Novel Features of ASD in 3q29 Deletion

Syndrome: Results from the 3q29 Registry

Authors: Rebecca M Pollak[1], Melissa M Murphy[2], Michael P Epstein[2], Michael E Zwick[2,3],

Cheryl Klaiman[3,4], Celine A Saulnier[3], the Emory 3q29 Project, Jennifer G Mulle[2,5]

Affiliations: [1]Genetics and Molecular Biology, Laney Graduate School, Emory University,

[2]Department of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, Emory University, [3]Department of

Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Emory University, [4]Marcus Autism Center, Children's

Healthcare of Atlanta and Emory University School of Medicine, [5]Department of Epidemiology,

Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University

Corresponding author information: Jennifer Gladys Mulle, MHS, PhD, Whitehead 305M, 615

Michael Street, Atlanta, GA 30322; (404) 727-3042; jmulle@emory.edu

Author email addresses: Rebecca M Pollak, rebecca.pollak@emory.edu; Melissa M Murphy,

melissa.murphy@emory.edu; Michael P Epstein, mpepste@emory.edu; Michael E Zwick,

mzwick@emory.edu; Cheryl Klaiman, cheryl.klaiman@emroy.edu; Celine A Saulnier,

celine.saulnier@emory.edu; Jennifer G Mulle, jmulle@emory.edu

Background

The 1.6 Mb 3q29 deletion is associated with neurodevelopmental and psychiatric phenotypes,

including increased risk for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and a 20-40-fold increased risk for

schizophrenia. However, the phenotypic spectrum of the deletion, particularly with respect to

ASD, remains poorly described.

Methods

We ascertained individuals with 3q29 deletion syndrome (3q29Del, "cases", n=93, 58.1% male)

through the 3q29 registry (https://3q29deletion.patientcrossroads.org); typically developing

controls (n=64, 51.6% male) were ascertained for comparison. Self-report of neuropsychiatric

illness was evaluated for 93 cases. Subsets of participants were evaluated with the Social

Responsiveness Scale (SRS, n=48 cases, 56 controls), Social Communication Questionnaire

(SCQ, n=33 cases, 46 controls), Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire (ASSQ, n=24 cases,

35 controls), and Achenbach Behavior Checklists (n=48 cases, 57 controls).

Results

3q29Del cases report a higher prevalence of autism diagnoses versus the general population

(30.1% vs. 1.47%, p<2.2E-16). Notably, 3q29 deletion confers a greater influence on risk for

ASD in females (OR=49.4, p=1.09E-05) than in males (OR=24.6, p=6.06E-09); this is aligned

with the reduced male:female bias from 4:1 in the general population to 1.8:1 in our study

sample. Although 70% of cases do not report a diagnosis of ASD, there is evidence of significant

social disability (3q29Del SRS *T-score*=71.8, control SRS *T-score*=45.9, p=2.16E-13). Cases

also report increased frequency of generalized anxiety disorder compared to controls (26.9% vs.

6.2%, p=0.001), which is mirrored by elevated mean scores on the Achenbach DSM-oriented

sub-scales (p<0.001). Finally, cases show a novel constellation of ASD features on the SRS as

compared to idiopathic ASD, with substantially elevated Restricted Interests and Repetitive

Behaviors, but only mild impairment in Social Motivation.

Conclusions

Our sample of 3q29Del is significantly enriched for ASD diagnosis, especially among females,

and features of autism may be present even when an ASD diagnosis is not reported. Further, the

presentation of ASD in this population is novel, with substantially less impaired social

motivation compared to idiopathic ASD. Our study implies that ASD evaluation should be the

standard of care for individuals with 3q29Del. From a research perspective, the novel ASD

subtype present in 3q29Del is an ideal entry point for expanding understanding of ASD.

72 **Keywords**

73 Autism, copy number variants, 3q29 deletion, psychiatric genetics, SRS, developmental delay,

74 genomic disorder

BACKGROUND

3q29 deletion syndrome (3q29Del) is a rare (~1 in 30,000) [1, 2] genomic disorder

characterized by a 1.6 Mb typically *de novo* deletion on chromosome 3 [3-5]. The interval

contains 21 distinct protein-coding genes, 3 antisense transcripts, 1 long noncoding RNA, and 1

microRNA. Our understanding of the syndrome phenotype continues to evolve. Initial reports

found developmental delay/intellectual disability universal among 3q29 deletion carriers, though

some case reports have since identified individuals without cognitive impairment [6]. The 3q29

deletion is associated with a 20-40-fold increased risk for schizophrenia (SZ), with multiple

replication studies supporting this association [7-11]. Case reports also indicate other

neuropsychiatric phenotypes may exist, including attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder

(ADHD) and bipolar disorder [3, 4, 12-16]. Previous work by our team examining self-report

data from 44 individuals with 3q29Del revealed a high prevalence (~20%) of generalized anxiety

disorder [5]. Further, case reports have long suggested an association with autism spectrum

disorder (ASD), and studies with large sample sizes indicate that the 3q29 deletion may confer a

19-fold increased risk for ASD (p = 0.001) [17, 18].

The range of neuropsychiatric manifestations in 3q29Del is consistent with other

genomic disorders. For example, the 22q11.2 deletion has a well-known association with

schizophrenia but is also associated with intellectual disability (ID), ASD, anxiety, mood

disorders, and ADHD [19, 20]. A similar constellation of phenotypes, including ASD, ADHD,

ID, SZ, and anxiety, has been identified in 16p11.2 deletion and duplication syndromes [21, 22],

7q11.23 duplication syndrome [23], and 1q21.1 deletion syndrome [24]. Thus, risk for multiple

neuropsychiatric phenotypes appears to be a feature common to many genomic disorders,

including 3q29 deletion syndrome.

The present study aims to improve the current understanding of 3q29 deletion-associated

neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental phenotypes, and ASD in particular, by examining data

from comprehensive, standardized questionnaires in the largest cohort of individuals with

3q29Del ever assembled. Developing a clearer and more comprehensive picture of 3q29

deletion-associated phenotypes will aid in management of the syndrome for both families and

clinicians, which may in turn improve long-term outcomes. Additionally, a careful description of

the phenotypic spectrum of 3q29Del provides a basis for cross-disorder comparison between

genomic disorders, which may ultimately create inroads for identifying common mechanisms

underlying 3q29Del and similar CNV disorders.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Sample

Individuals with 3q29Del were ascertained through the internet-based 3q29 deletion

registry (https://3q29deletion.patientcrossroads.org) as previously reported [5]. Briefly,

information about the registry was emailed to health care providers, medical geneticists, genetic

counselors, and support organizations; the registry is also advertised via Google AdWords,

where specific keywords were chosen to target the registry website in internet searches.

Participant recruitment, informed consent and assent, and data collection are all performed

through the registry website. Data were securely downloaded and de-identified for analysis.

After data cleaning of the electronic records (removing spam accounts, duplicate records, and

related individuals), 93 3q29Del registrants (58.1% male) were included in the present study,

ranging in age from 0.1-41.0 years (mean = 10.1±8.5 years). 64 typically developing controls

(51.6% male) were included, ranging in age from 1.0-41.0 years (mean = 9.9±7.2 years).

Controls were recruited via emails sent to intramural CDC and Emory listservs and invited to fill

out surveys in an identical fashion to cases. Controls reporting a clinical diagnosis of any

neurodevelopmental disorder were excluded (n = 1). Description of the study sample can be

found in Table 1. This study was approved by Emory University's Institutional Review Board

(IRB00064133).

Table 1: Characteristics of study participants with 3q29Del and controls.

	3q29 Deletion Syndrome	Control	P value
Age, years (mean ± SD)	10.1 ± 8.5	9.9 ± 7.2	0.902
Sex (n, %)			0.521
Male	54 (58.1%)	33 (51.6%)	
Female	39 (41.9%)	31 (48.4%)	
Race (n, %)			0.0001
White	81 (87.1%)	41 (64.1%)	
Black/African American	1 (1.1%)	12 (18.8%)	
Other	10 (10.8%)	9 (14.1%)	
Blank	1 (1.1%)	2 (3.1%)	
Heart Defect (n, %)			9.12E-06
Yes	24 (25.8%)	2 (3.1%)	
No	60 (64.5%)	61 (95.3%)	
Blank	9 (9.7%)	1 (1.6%)	
Age at Walking (n, %)			1.51E-09
Normal	42 (45.2%)	60 (93.8%)	
Delayed	22 (23.7%)	1 (1.6%)	
Extremely Delayed	14 (15.1%)	1 (1.6%)	
Unsure	10 (10.8%)	2 (3.1%)	
Not applicable	5 (5.4%)	0 (0%)	

Demographic data collected from the custom Medical & Demographic Questionnaire completed

by participants upon enrollment in the online 3q29 Registry. P values were calculated with

Student's t-test (age), Fisher's exact test (race, heart defect, age at walking), or Pearson's chi

square test (sex).

Questionnaires

Upon registration, the participant or his/her parent completed a custom medical and

demographic questionnaire. This questionnaire includes questions on the sex, birthdate, race, and

ethnicity of the participant, as well as a detailed medical history, including developmental

milestones and prior clinical diagnoses of any neuropsychiatric or neurodevelopmental disorders

[5].

157   Four standardized questionnaires were used to assess ASD-related symptomology and

general behavioral problems in the participants. The Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS;

preschool, school-age, and adult forms; n = 48 3q29Del, 56 controls) is a 65-item, 4 point Likert-

scaled questionnaire designed to assess ASD-related symptoms along a normative continuum

[25]. The Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ, n = 33 3q29Del, 46 controls) is a 40-item,

yes/no questionnaire designed to assess ASD-related symptoms keyed to DSM criteria [26]. The

Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire (ASSQ, n = 24 3q29Del, 35 controls) is a 27-item,

yes/somewhat/no questionnaire designed to assess ASD-related symptoms in high-functioning

individuals with no to mild ID [27]. The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) and Adult Behavior

Checklist (ABCL) are 100-, 113-, or 126-item (CBCL preschool, CBCL school-age, and ABCL,

respectively; n = 48 3q29Del, 57 controls), 3 point Likert-scaled questionnaires designed to

assess behavioral or developmental problems [28, 29]. Data from the CBCL and ABCL were

pooled for analysis. All standardized questionnaires were adapted for the online 3q29 deletion

registry and were completed by the participant or parent/guardian of the participant upon

registration. Some participants were not eligible to complete the standardized questionnaires

because the proband was too young. Demographic characteristics of the respondents for each

questionnaire can be found in Table S1, demonstrating that the average age and sex distribution

of participants who completed the medical and demographic questionnaire was not different

from the average age and sex distribution of participants who completed each standardized form.

Analysis

177   Data from standardized questionnaires were imported into R [30] and were recoded and

scored according to the publisher's guidelines. Features of interest from the medical history

questionnaire (heart defects, age at walking, ASD diagnosis, global developmental delay/mental

retardation (GDD/MR) diagnosis) were recoded for analysis as follows: heart defects, yes/no;

age at walking, binned as normal (≤18 months), delayed (19-24 months), and extremely delayed

(>24 months); ASD diagnosis, yes/no; GDD/MR diagnosis yes (reported diagnosis of global

developmental delay and/or mental retardation)/no. To compare responses between 3q29Del

cases and controls, linear models and logistic regression models were implemented using the

stats R package [30]. To perform case-only analysis within 3q29Del cases, linear models and

logistic regression models were implemented using the stats R package [30] and cumulative link

proportional-odds models were implemented using the ordinal R package [31]. All statistical

models included age, race, and sex as covariates. To compare rates of self-reported diagnoses

and demographic parameters between 3q29Del cases and controls, Fisher's exact test was

implemented using the stats R package [30]. To compare rates of self-reported diagnoses in

3q29Del cases to population prevalence values, one-sample proportions tests with Yates'

continuity correction were implemented using the stats R package [30]. To compare sex

distribution between 3q29Del participants and controls, Pearson's chi square test was

implemented using the stats R package [30]. To compare age distribution in 3q29Del participants

and controls, two sample t-test was implemented using the stats R package [30]. To compare

scores in 3q29Del participants to mean values for children with idiopathic ASD, one sample t-

test was implemented using the stats R package [30]. Figures were generated using the plotly and

ggplot2 R packages [32, 33].

Sensitivity Analysis

The questionnaires for 90 participants with 3q29Del (96.8%) were completed by a parent

or guardian ("parent-registered"), while 3 participants with 3q29Del (3.2%) completed all

questionnaires themselves ("self-registered"). All control participants were parent-registered. To

assess whether responses from the self-registered 3q29Del participants were influencing the

results, self-registrants were removed and the data were re-analyzed. Self-registrants were not

found to have a significant effect on the analyses (Tables S2 and S3). All results include both

parent- and self-registrants.

RESULTS

Self-report of neuropsychiatric diagnosis in 3q29Del

Self-report of neuropsychiatric diagnoses in our 3q29Del study subjects (Table 2)

revealed a higher prevalence of neuropsychiatric disorder diagnoses compared to controls,

including anxiety (26.9%), and compared to general population frequencies, including ASD

(30.1%, Figure 2A) and GDD/MR (59.1%) (Table 2), confirming prior work by our group [5].

Reported rates of conduct disorder (3.2% vs. 3.5%) and oppositional defiant disorder (3.2% vs.

3.5%) were similar to those observed in the general population. While a small proportion of

participants reported diagnoses of bipolar/manic depression (5.4%), depression (6.5%), and

schizophrenia (4.3%), we focused on ASD due to the young age (mean = 10.1 years) of our study

population, since many study participants have not reached the age of risk for schizophrenia and

other adult-onset disorders. Despite this young age, the self-reported rate of SZ diagnoses in our

adult study subjects (age > 18 years, n = 13) was 15-30 times higher than expected (15.4%

compared to an expected 0.5-1% in the general population; n = 2) [34-38] and the frequency of

bipolar disorder was ~1.8 times higher than expected [39]. A summary of neuropsychiatric

diagnoses can be found in Table 2.

[Table 2 here]

SRS, SCQ, ASSQ, and CBCL/ABCL scores

In 3q29 deletion study subjects, the mean SRS score was in the moderate range (*T-score*

= 71.8), the mean ASSQ score was in the clinical range (mean = 22.2), and the mean

CBCL/ABCL score was in the borderline range (*T-score* = 62.5). The mean SCQ score in 3q29

deletion carriers was at the extremely high end of the normal range (mean = 13.9, clinical cutoff

= 15) and elevated as compared to controls (mean = 3.5). Mean scores for typically developing

controls were all in the normal range (SRS *T-score* = 45.9, ASSQ mean = 2.2, CBCL/ABCL *T-*

score = 41.8, SCQ mean = 3.5) (Figure 1). Participants with 3q29Del scored significantly higher

than typically developing controls on all four scales (p < 3.0E-12, Table S4).

Standardized scores stratified by ASD diagnosis

Next, we examined the relationship between SRS scores and reported ASD diagnosis, to

determine whether the score inflation we observed in our study population as a whole was

largely due to the increased prevalence of ASD. As expected, we observed that individuals with

3q29Del and an ASD diagnosis scored significantly higher than both controls and individuals

with 3q29Del without an ASD diagnosis (3q29Del with ASD *T-score* = 82.41, 3q29Del without

ASD *T-score* = 65.90, control *T-score* = 45.90, p < 3.0E-13, Figure 2B). We were interested to

observe that individuals with 3q29Del without an ASD diagnosis also scored significantly higher

than controls (3q29Del without ASD *T-score* = 65.90, control *T-score* = 45.90, p = 2.16E-13,

Figure 2B), indicating that increased SRS scores in individuals with 3q29Del are not driven by

ASD diagnostic status alone (Table S5). Similar features were observed in the contribution of

ASD diagnosis status to SCQ scores (Figure S1, Table S6).

Standardized scores stratified by sex

Both males and females with 3q29Del reported a significantly increased frequency of

ASD diagnoses, with a substantially greater burden for ASD on females with 3q29Del. Males

with 3q29Del are at 15-fold increased risk for ASD as compared to the general population

(37.0% vs. 2.34%, OR = 24.6, p = 6.06E-09) and females are at 39-fold risk compared to the

general population (20.5% vs. 0.52%, OR = 49.4, p = 1.09E-05) (figure 2A) [40], resulting in a

male:female ratio in our study population of 1.8:1, as compared to the general population ratio of

4:1. Taken together, this indicates that the 3q29 deletion elevates the risk for ASD in females

more substantially than in males.

254   Based on the sex differences in ASD risk for individuals with 3q29Del, we also examined

possible sex differences in scores. We found that both males and females with 3q29Del scored

significantly higher than controls (3q29Del male *T-score* = 74.31, control male *T-score* = 45.80,

p = 7.70E-11; 3q29Del female *T-score* = 68.73, control female *T-score* = 46.04, p = 7.42E-09),

but they did not score significantly differently from each other (3q29Del male *T-score* = 74.31,

3q29Del female *T-score* = 68.73, p > 0.05, Figure 2C). After stratifying our study population

further by sex and ASD diagnosis status, we determined that both male and female 3q29Del

participants without an ASD diagnosis had significantly higher scores than controls (3q29Del

male without ASD *T-score* = 66.29, control male *T-score* = 45.80, p = 1.20E-06; 3q29Del female

without ASD *T-score* = 65.69, control female *T-score* = 46.04, p = 5.04E-07; Figure 2D). Taken

together, this suggests that increased SRS scores in individuals with 3q29Del are not driven by

sex alone or by sex and ASD diagnosis status in combination (Table S5); rather, the presence of

the deletion itself confers a greater risk for social disability. Furthermore, these data show an

enrichment for female ASD in our study population, based on the reduction in male bias and the

highly similar scores between males and females with 3q29Del, irrespective of ASD diagnosis

status. Similar features were observed in the contribution of sex to SCQ scores (Figure S1, Table

S6).

ASD presentation of 3q29Del

272   While total scores on the SRS, SCQ, ASSQ, and CBCL/ABCL can give an indication of

the overall level of impairment of individuals, sub-scores can reveal nuanced deficits in specific

behavioral domains. To this end, we analyzed all SRS sub-scales (Social Awareness, Social

Cognition, Social Communication, Social Motivation, Restricted Interests and Repetitive

Behaviors, and Social Communication and Interaction) to better understand the extent of social

disability in our study population; our goal was to determine whether our observed total score

inflation was due to a specific severe deficit in a few domains, or if individuals with 3q29Del

showed high scores across all sub-scales. The mean score for the Restricted Interests and

Repetitive Behaviors sub-scale was in the severe range (*T-score* = 77.3). Mean scores for Social

Awareness (*T-score* = 67.3), Social Cognition (*T-score* = 69.1), Social Communication (*T-score*

= 69.7), and Social Communication and Interaction (*T-score* = 69.5) were all in the moderate

range. Notably, the mean score for Social Motivation was in the mild range (*T-score* = 62.1,

Figure 3A, Table 3). This sub-score profile is strikingly different from that observed in studies of

idiopathic ASD, where children tend to score equally high on all sub-scales (3q29Del Social

Motivation *T-score* = 62.1, idiopathic ASD Social Motivation *T-score* = 78.4, p = 7.66E-11)

[41]. This atypical behavioral profile is supported by clinical data; direct assessment of

individuals with 3q29Del by clinicians affiliated with the Emory 3q29 Project

(http://genome.emory.edu/3q29/) show less impaired social motivation as compared to children

with idiopathic ASD.

ASD presentation stratified by sex

To determine whether this unusual SRS sub-score profile was influenced by sex, we

examined profiles of male and female 3q29 deletion carriers separately. We found that the shape

of the profiles were identical, with males scoring on average 5 points higher than females on

every sub-scale (p > 0.05, Figure 3B, Table 3). This shows that the social disability in 3q29Del is

not qualitatively different between males and females, nor is the degree of social disability

distinct between males and females.

ASD presentation stratified by ASD diagnosis

We then stratified our study subjects according to reported ASD diagnosis status and

examined subscale scores separately for 3q29Del individuals reporting a diagnosis of ASD and

those not reporting a diagnosis of ASD. We observed that the shape of the profile is shared

between 3q29Del individuals reporting a diagnosis of ASD and those not reporting a diagnosis of

ASD, with individuals reporting a diagnosis of ASD scoring on average 10-15 points higher on

every sub-scale (Figure 3C). As expected, 3q29Del participants with ASD scored significantly

higher on all sub-scales than 3q29Del participants without ASD (p < 0.005, Table 3); however,

3q29Del participants without ASD still scored significantly higher than controls on all sub-scales

(p < 5.0E-05, Table 3).

[Table 3 here]

Additional neuropsychiatric phenotypes in 3q29Del

To further assess behavioral features associated with the 3q29 deletion, we examined the

DSM-oriented Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems, Anxiety Problems, and Depressive

Problems sub-scales from the CBCL and ABCL. These DSM-oriented sub-scales align with

neuropsychiatric diagnoses reported by individuals with 3q29Del [5]. Individuals with 3q29Del

scored significantly higher than typically developing controls on all three scales (3q29Del

Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems *T-score* = 61.0, control Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity

Problems *T-score* = 51.3, 3q29Del Anxiety Problems *T-score* = 60.9, control Anxiety Problems

T-score = 52.9, 3q29Del Depressive Problems *T-score* = 62.7, control Depressive Problems *T-*

score = 52.3, all p < 0.001, Figure 3D, Table S7), supporting previous reports of increased risk

for neuropsychiatric phenotypes associated with the 3q29 deletion [5].

Confounding due to heart defects and/or ID-related phenotypes

A previous study of 3q29Del by our group showed that approximately 25% of individuals

reported a congenital heart defect [5]. Early hypoxic insult due to a heart defect has been

hypothesized to contribute to later neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental outcomes [42-47].

To determine if the high frequency of heart defects in our study population was driving adverse

neurodevelopmental outcomes within 3q29Del cases, we implemented generalized linear and

cumulative link models to assess the relationship between congenital heart defects and clinical

ASD diagnosis, GDD/MR diagnosis, and age at walking, which has been reported to be a

suitable proxy for ID in the absence of available IQ and adaptive behavior measures [48] .

Congenital heart defects were not associated with self-reported ASD or GDD/MR diagnoses or

age at walking ($p > 0.05$, Table S8). Individuals with 3q29Del are also commonly diagnosed

with mild to moderate ID [5]. To ask whether ASD phenotypes or ASD features were

disproportionately overrepresented in individuals with more pronounced ID-related phenotypes

and/or heart defects, we stratified the data according to these phenotypes. Within our 3q29Del

study population, congenital heart defects were associated with significantly increased scores on

the SCQ and CBCL/ABCL ($p < 0.05$); however, reported GDD/MR diagnosis and age at

walking were not significantly associated with scores on the SRS, SCQ, ASSQ, or CBCL/ABCL

($p > 0.05$, Table S9). These data indicate that ID-related phenotypes were not driving the

increased scores in our study population.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have found enrichment of the 3q29 deletion in large samples ascertained

based on clinical ASD diagnosis [17, 18]. We have approached the association of 3q29Del with

ASD from a different angle; by ascertaining subjects with 3q29Del and investigating the

prevalence of reported ASD diagnosis and ASD-related phenotypes, the current study

complements the existing literature, providing additional evidence for the 3q29 deletion as a

genetic risk factor for ASD. Notably, the male:female ratio of self-reported ASD diagnosis in our

study population is 1.8:1. This is a reduction from the 4:1 male bias observed in idiopathic ASD

in the general population. A substantial reduction in male bias in ASD prevalence has been

observed in studies of other CNVs and single-gene mutations; a recent study has shown that as

the severity of a mutation increases, the sex ratio in ASD prevalence approaches 1:1 [18]. Taken

together, this suggests that the 3q29 deletion is approaching the severe end of the spectrum of

ASD-associated mutations.

We have shown that compared to typically developing children, our 3q29Del sample is

significantly enriched for ASD features and other behavioral problems, irrespective of a clinical

ASD diagnosis. This finding is particularly concerning; while individuals with 3q29Del who

have an ASD diagnosis tend to score higher on symptomology scales overall, 3q29Del

individuals without an ASD diagnosis still score significantly higher than typically developing

children. This indicates several possible explanations: a) an enrichment for ASD features or

social disability that falls short of diagnostic criteria, b) possible undiagnosed ASD in our study

population, or c) non-specificity of the SRS, and potentially SCQ, for phenotypes other than

ASD, such as anxiety. The possibility of undiagnosed ASD in our study population is aligned

with anecdotal reports from parents of our study participants, where they have reported concerns

about atypical social development that do not appear to have been addressed using gold-standard

ASD evaluations. Based on the elevated symptomology scores in our study population, the

substantially increased risk for ASD associated with the 3q29 deletion, and the apparent severity

of the 3q29 deletion, our data suggest that gold-standard ASD evaluations should be the

recommended standard of care for individuals diagnosed with 3q29Del. If implemented, this

practice would enable patients to gain access to early interventions, treatments, and therapeutic

programs that are known to improve later outcomes.

Based on the SRS sub-scales, participants with 3q29Del display a strikingly different

behavioral profile than children with idiopathic ASD [41]. Male and female 3q29Del individuals

show substantially less impaired social motivation in the context of an otherwise typical ASD

profile, with the most severe deficits in the Restricted Interests and Repetitive Behaviors domain.

This profile is also observed when dividing scores for 3q29Del participants based on reported

ASD diagnosis. This qualitative difference from idiopathic ASD may serve as an inroad to

therapeutic interventions in 3q29Del, as well as an investigative inroad to a novel subtype of

ASD. Because social motivation appears to be relatively well-preserved in 3q29Del, this

suggests that therapies such as cognitive-behavioral therapy to teach social skills and effective

strategies for social interaction may be particularly successful in this patient population.

Some facets of the difference in ASD features between 3q29Del and idiopathic ASD are

recapitulated by the scores on the Withdrawn sub-scale of the CBCL and ABCL. Previous

studies utilizing the CBCL in idiopathic ASD have found that mean scores for participants with

ASD are in the borderline range, with over 50% of subjects scoring in the borderline or clinical

range [49, 50]. While 3q29Del participants generally, as well as males and females separately,

score significantly higher than controls, their mean score is still in the normal range (Figure S2A

and B). However, 60% of 3q29Del participants reporting an ASD diagnosis score in the

borderline or clinical range (Figure S2C, Table S10), which is in line with what is expected

based on studies of idiopathic ASD [49, 50]. This is in conflict with the relatively well-preserved

social motivation in 3q29Del individuals with ASD identified in our analysis of the SRS sub-

scales and suggests that a more refined analysis is merited to identify the true degree of social

disability in this population.

We tested the hypothesis that the score inflation observed in our 3q29Del study subjects

may be due to the high prevalence of developmental delay or congenital heart defects [5]. Our

available data do not support this hypothesis, and instead reveal that social disability is equally

distributed in our study population. However, lack of direct measures of intellectual disability,

and errors or missing data in self-report measures, may obscure this relationship. Ongoing

studies with direct evaluation of study subjects [51] will address this question.

While this study is the most comprehensive study of behavioral phenotypes in 3q29Del to

date, it is not without limitations. All of the data used in the present study were collected from

questionnaires completed by the parents and guardians of individuals with 3q29Del, which

introduces several potential sources of bias. Some studies have questioned the validity and

reliability of parent-report data [52]; however, a recent study in Williams syndrome patients has

shown that parents are more accurate in predicting their child's social behaviors than the child

themselves [53]. The responses to the medical and demographic questionnaire are more likely to

include error due to the fact that the data is retrospective. By limiting our study to only a few key

points in the medical history (heart defects, age at walking, and ID/ASD diagnosis) we aimed to

reduce recall errors; however, we only had proxies for ID, rather than direct evaluation of

cognitive ability. Finally, there is likely ascertainment bias within our sample. First, our sample

of 93 individuals with 3q29Del is 87.1% white, indicating that we are not adequately reaching

minority populations. Second, parents that register their children and complete in-depth

questionnaires are likely to be highly motivated, possibly because their children experience

significant morbidity – a potential indication that we are sampling from the extreme of the

phenotypic distribution of 3q29Del. Thus, scores on the standardized questionnaires, as well as

rates of heart defects and clinical neuropsychiatric diagnoses, may be higher in our study sample

than in the general 3q29Del population. Additionally, the odds ratios calculated for the increased

risk for ASD associated with the 3q29 deletion may also be overestimated, due to the combined

effects of self-report data and ascertainment bias; however, if this increased risk is replicated

using gold-standard diagnostic measures, it could provide valuable insight into possible sex-

specific effects of the deletion. Finally, the lack of observed association between congenital heart

defects and neurodevelopmental outcomes may be obscured by the high rate of patent ductus

arteriosus in 3q29 deletion syndrome [5], which is a relatively mild heart defect; however, the

low number of participants with different types of heart defects rendered analyses to assess their

associations with neurodevelopment underpowered. Ongoing studies by the Emory 3q29 Project

(http://genome.emory.edu/3q29/), including direct in-person patient evaluations [51] aim to

address some of the weaknesses of the present work by performing comprehensive gold-standard

evaluations by expert clinicians.

While direct in-person evaluations are the ideal method to corroborate the findings of this

study, the low population frequency of the 3q29 deletion renders this approach infeasible for a

large number of study subjects. However, a small number of 3q29 deletion study subjects have

been directly assessed as part of the Emory 3q29 Project (http://genome.emory.edu/3q29/). We

confirm high concordance between registry-leveraged data and gold-standard direct evaluation,

as all participants qualifying for an ASD diagnosis based on gold-standard evaluation have

clinically significant scores on the SRS (Table S11). Five additional participants with a clinically

significant SRS score did not qualify for an ASD diagnosis, suggesting that the SRS is not

selectively identifying children with ASD in participants with 3q29Del, possibly due to the high

rates of reported anxiety in our study population. However, this comparison does suggest that our

analysis, though based on self-report data, reveals valid conclusions about behavioral phenotypes

in 3q29 deletion syndrome. For genetic syndromes with low population frequencies, data

collection through remote means such as online patient registries remains a valuable phenotyping

tool.

There are significant strengths of this study as compared to previous studies of 3q29Del.

First, this is the largest cohort of individuals with 3q29Del ever assembled. This is a critical step

in capturing the true phenotypic spectrum associated with the 3q29 deletion. Our use of

standardized questionnaires and best practices allowed rigorous assessment of phenotypes in our

cohort. Additionally, our online patient registry allows for remote data collection, which has

enabled us to expand our sample size. This study has shown that high-quality, comprehensive

medical history and symptomology data can be collected through an online patient registry,

effectively reducing the patient-ascertainment burden associated with studying rare disorders.

Taken together, these attributes make the present study an excellent complement to previously

published case reports on individuals with 3q29Del; by capturing a larger patient base with

systematic assessments, we are able to more accurately measure the presence of a variety of

neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental phenotypes associated with the 3q29 deletion. The

findings reported here imply that comprehensive neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental

assessments with gold standard tools are merited for individuals diagnosed with 3q29Del, and

that such assessments should be the standard of care for this patient population.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study confirms previous reports of phenotypes in 3q29Del, as well as

expanding the spectrum of behavioral phenotypes associated with the deletion. We found that

individuals with 3q29Del report a significantly higher prevalence of ASD diagnosis than the

general population, and significantly elevated scores on the SRS, SCQ, ASSQ, and CBCL/ABCL

irrespective of ASD diagnosis indicate significant social disability overall in our study

population. Further, 3q29Del participants showed a novel profile of ASD-related phenotypes on

the SRS sub-scales, marked by less impaired scores on the Social Motivation sub-scale and

extremely high scores on the Restricted Interests and Repetitive Behaviors sub-scale. This score

profile is consistent between 3q29Del males and females and between 3q29Del participants with

and without ASD, suggesting that it may be a hallmark behavioral feature of the syndrome and

providing a potential therapeutic inroad for the treatment of individuals with 3q29Del. Finally,

we identify a high degree of social disability in female 3q29Del participants; the 3q29 deletion

elevates the risk ASD in females (OR=49.4, p=1.09E-05) more substantially than in males

(OR=24.6, p=6.06E-09), and standardized scores for female participants are not significantly

different from male scores. These results demonstrate that there is a benefit to studying rare

CNVs such as 3q29Del; studying a single genomic variant with large effect allows us to control

for genetic etiology and unmask the mechanisms underlying the development of

neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental disorders.

Abbreviations

ASD: autism spectrum disorder; **3q29Del**: 3q29 deletion syndrome; **SRS**: Social Responsiveness

Scale; **SCQ**: Social Communication Questionnaire; **ASSQ**: Autism Spectrum Screening

Questionnaire; **CBCL**: Child Behavior Checklist; **ABCL**: Adult Behavior Checklist; **DSM**:

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual; **SZ**: schizophrenia; **ADHD**: attention deficit/hyperactivity

disorder; **CNV**: copy number variation; **ID**: intellectual disability; **GDD**: global developmental

delay; **MR**: mental retardation

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by Emory University's Institutional Review Board (IRB00064133). All

study subjects gave informed consent prior to participating in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding

author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

CAS reports receiving royalties from Pearson Clinical for the Vineland-3.

The remaining authors have no competing interests to disclose.

Funding

Funded by NIH 1R01MH110701-01A1 (Mulle), the Treasure Your Exceptions Project (Zwick),

and NIH T32 GM0008490 (Pollak).

Authors' contributions

RMP performed the statistical analysis, produced all figures and tables, and wrote the

manuscript. MMM collected the data. MPE helped with statistical analyses and interpretation.

MMM, CK, and CAS helped with data interpretation. MEZ and JGM edited the manuscript and

provided guidance on analyzing and interpreting data. JGM was the principle investigator

responsible for study direction. All authors participated in commenting on the drafts and have

read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge our study population, the 3q29 deletion community, for their

participation and commitment to research. This manuscript is currently available on the bioRxiv

preprint server (https://doi.org/10.1101/386243).

We also acknowledge the contributions of the members of the Emory 3q29 Project: Hallie

Averbach, Emily Black, Gary J Bassell, T Lindsey Burrell, Grace Carlock, Tamara Caspary,

Joseph F Cubells, David Cutler, Paul A Dawson, Roberto Espana, Michael J Gambello, Katrina

Goins, Henry R Johnston, Sookyong Koh, Elizabeth J Leslie, Longchuan Li, Bryan Mak, Tamika

Malone, Trenell Mosley, Derek Novacek, Ryan Purcell, Timothy Rutkowski, Rossana Sanchez,

Jason Schroeder, Esra Sefik, Brittney Sholar, Sarah Shultz, Nikisha Sisodiya, Steven Sloan,

Elaine F Walker, Stephen T Warren, David Weinshenker, Zhexing Wen, and Mike Zinsmeister.

REFERENCES

1.   Stefansson H, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Steinberg S, Magnusdottir B, Morgen K,

Arnarsdottir S, Bjornsdottir G, Walters GB, Jonsdottir GA, Doyle OM, et al: **CNVs**

conferring risk of autism or schizophrenia affect cognition in controls. *Nature* 2014,

505:361-366.

2.   Kendall KM, Rees E, Escott-Price V, Einon M, Thomas R, Hewitt J, O'Donovan MC,

Owen MJ, Walters JTR, Kirov G: **Cognitive Performance Among Carriers of**

Pathogenic Copy Number Variants: Analysis of 152,000 UK Biobank Subjects. *Biol*

Psychiatry 2017, **82:**103-110.

3.   Willatt L, Cox J, Barber J, Cabanas ED, Collins A, Donnai D, FitzPatrick DR, Maher E,

Martin H, Parnau J, et al: **3q29 microdeletion syndrome: clinical and molecular**

characterization of a new syndrome. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 2005,

77:154-160.

4.   Ballif BC, Theisen A, Coppinger J, Gowans GC, Hersh JH, Madan-Khetarpal S, Schmidt

KR, Tervo R, Escobar LF, Friedrich CA, et al: **Expanding the clinical phenotype of the**

3q29 microdeletion syndrome and characterization of the reciprocal

microduplication. *Molecular Cytogenetics* 2008, **1:**8.

5.   Glassford MR, Rosenfeld JA, Freedman AA, Zwick ME, Mulle JG, Unique Rare

Chromosome Disorder Support G: **Novel features of 3q29 deletion syndrome: Results**

from the 3q29 registry. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A* 2016, **170A:**999-

1006.

6.   Cobb W, Anderson A, Turner C, Hoffman RD, Schonberg S, Levin SW: **1.3 Mb de novo**

deletion in chromosome band 3q29 associated with normal intelligence in a child.

European Journal of Medical Genetics 2010, **53:**415-418.

7.   Mulle JG: **The 3q29 deletion confers >40-fold increase in risk for schizophrenia.**

587   *Molecular Psychiatry* 2015, **20:**1028-1029.

588   8.   Mulle JG, Dodd AF, McGrath JA, Wolyniec PS, Mitchell AA, Shetty AC, Sobreira NL,

589   Valle D, Rudd MK, Satten G, et al: **Microdeletions of 3q29 confer high risk for**

590   **schizophrenia.** *American Journal of Human Genetics* 2010, **87:**229-236.

591   9.   Marshall CR, Howrigan DP, Merico D, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Wu W, Greer DS, Antaki

592   D, Shetty A, Holmans PA, Pinto D, et al: **Contribution of copy number variants to**

593   **schizophrenia from a genome-wide study of 41,321 subjects.** *Nature Genetics* 2017,

594   **49:**27-35.

595   10.   Kirov G, Pocklington AJ, Holmans P, Ivanov D, Ikeda M, Ruderfer D, Moran J,

596   Chambert K, Toncheva D, Georgieva L, et al: **De novo CNV analysis implicates**

597   **specific abnormalities of postsynaptic signalling complexes in the pathogenesis of**

598   **schizophrenia.** *Mol Psychiatry* 2012, **17:**142-153.

599   11.   Szatkiewicz JP, O'Dushlaine C, Chen G, Chambert K, Moran JL, Neale BM, Fromer M,

600   Ruderfer D, Akterin S, Bergen SE, et al: **Copy number variation in schizophrenia in**

601   **Sweden.** *Molecular Psychiatry* 2014, **19:**762.

602   12.   Cox DM, Butler MG: **A clinical case report and literature review of the 3q29**

603   **microdeletion syndrome.** *Clinical dysmorphology* 2015, **24:**89-94.

604   13.   Città S, Buono S, Greco D, Barone C, Alfei E, Bulgheroni S, Usilla A, Pantaleoni C,

605   Romano C: **3q29 microdeletion syndrome: Cognitive and behavioral phenotype in**

606   **four patients.** *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A* 2013, **161A:**3018-3022.

607   14.   Sagar A, Bishop JR, Tessman DC, Guter S, Martin CL, Cook EH: **Co-occurrence of**

608   **autism, childhood psychosis, and intellectual disability associated with a de novo**

3q29 microdeletion. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A* 2013, **161A:**845-

849.

15.   Quintero-Rivera F, Sharifi-Hannauer P, Martinez-Agosto JA: **Autistic and psychiatric**

findings associated with the 3q29 microdeletion syndrome: case report and review.

American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A 2010, **152A:**2459-2467.

16.   Biamino E, Di Gregorio E, Belligni EF, Keller R, Riberi E, Gandione M, Calcia A,

Mancini C, Giorgio E, Cavalieri S, et al: **A novel 3q29 deletion associated with autism,**

intellectual disability, psychiatric disorders, and obesity. *American Journal of*

Medical Genetics Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics 2016, **171B:**290-299.

17.   Itsara A, Cooper GM, Baker C, Girirajan S, Li J, Absher D, Krauss RM, Myers RM,

Ridker PM, Chasman DI, et al: **Population analysis of large copy number variants and**

hotspots of human genetic disease. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 2009,

84:148-161.

18.   Sanders SJ, He X, Willsey AJ, Ercan-Sencicek AG, Samocha KE, Cicek AE, Murtha

MT, Bal VH, Bishop SL, Dong S, et al: **Insights into Autism Spectrum Disorder**

Genomic Architecture and Biology from 71 Risk Loci. *Neuron* 2015, **87:**1215-1233.

19.   Schneider M, Debbané M, Bassett AS, Chow EWC, Fung WLA, van den Bree M, Owen

M, Murphy KC, Niarchou M, Kates WR, et al: **Psychiatric disorders from childhood to**

adulthood in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: results from the International Consortium

on Brain and Behavior in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *The American Journal of*

Psychiatry 2014, **171:**627-639.

20.   McDonald-McGinn DM, Sullivan KE, Marino B, Philip N, Swillen A, Vorstman JA,

Zackai EH, Emanuel BS, Vermeesch JR, Morrow BE, et al: **22q11.2 deletion syndrome.**

Nat Rev Dis Primers 2015, **1:**15071.

21.   D'Angelo D, Lebon S, Chen Q, Martin-Brevet S, Snyder LG, Hippolyte L, Hanson E,

634   Maillard AM, Faucett WA, Macé A, et al: **Defining the effect of the 16p11.2**

635   **duplication on cognition, behavior, and medical comorbidities.** *JAMA psychiatry*

636   2016, **73:**20-30.

637   22.   Hanson E, Bernier R, Porche K, Jackson FI, Goin-Kochel RP, Snyder LG, Snow AV,

638   Wallace AS, Campe KL, Zhang Y, et al: **The cognitive and behavioral phenotype of**

639   **the 16p11.2 deletion in a clinically ascertained population.** *Biological Psychiatry*

640   2015, **77:**785-793.

641   23.   Mervis CB, Klein-Tasman BP, Huffman MJ, Velleman SL, Pitts CH, Henderson DR,

642   Woodruff-Borden J, Morris CA, Osborne LR: **Children with 7q11.23 duplication**

643   **syndrome: psychological characteristics.** *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*

644   2015, **167:**1436-1450.

645   24.   Brunetti-Pierri N, Berg JS, Scaglia F, Belmont J, Bacino CA, Sahoo T, Lalani SR,

646   Graham B, Lee B, Shinawi M, et al: **Recurrent reciprocal 1q21.1 deletions and**

647   **duplications associated with microcephaly or macrocephaly and developmental and**

648   **behavioral abnormalities.** *Nature Genetics* 2008, **40:**1466-1471.

649   25.   Constantino JN, Todd RD: *The social responsiveness scale manual.* 2 edn. Los Angeles:

650   Western Psychological Services; 2012.

651   26.   Rutter M, Bailey A, Lord C: *The Social Communication Questionnaire - Manual.* Los

652   Angeles: Western Psychological Services; 2003.

653   27.   Ehlers S, Gillberg C, Wing L: **A screening questionnaire for Asperger syndrome and**

654   **other high-functioning Autism Spectrum Disorders in school age children.** *Journal of*

655   *Autism and Developmental Disorders* 1999, **29**.

28.   Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA: *Manual for the ASEBA School-Age Forms & Profiles.*

Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and

Families; 2001.

29.   Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA: *Manual for the ASEBA Adult Forms & Profiles.*

Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and

Families; 2003.

30.   R Core Team: **R: A language and environment for statistical computing.** *R*

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria 2008.

31.   Christensen RHB: **ordinal - Regression Models for Ordinal Data.** *R package version*

20156-28 2015.

32.   Sievert C, Parmer C, Hocking T, Chamberlain S, Ram K, Corvellec M, Despouy P:

plotly: Create Interactive Web Graphics via 'plotly.js'. *R package version 460* 2017.

33.   Wickham H: *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.* New York: Springer-Verlag;

2009.

34.   Kessler RC, Birnbaum H, Demler O, Falloon IR, Gagnon E, Guyer M, Howes MJ,

Kendler KS, Shi L, Walters E, Wu EQ: **The prevalence and correlates of nonaffective**

psychosis in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *Biol Psychiatry*

2005, **58:**668-676.

35.   Wu EQ, Shi L, Birnbaum H, Hudson T, Kessler R: **Annual prevalence of diagnosed**

schizophrenia in the USA: a claims data analysis approach. *Psychol Med* 2006,

36:1535-1540.

36.   Desai PR, Lawson KA, Barner JC, Rascati KL: **Estimating the direct and indirect costs**

for community-dwelling patients with schizophrenia. *Journal of Pharmaceutical*

Health Services Research 2013, **4:**187-194.

37. Saha S, Chant D, Welham J, McGrath J: **A systematic review of the prevalence of**

schizophrenia. *PLoS Med* 2005, **2:**e141.

38. Moreno-Kustner B, Martin C, Pastor L: **Prevalence of psychotic disorders and its**

association with methodological issues. A systematic review and meta-analyses.

PLoS One 2018, **13:**e0195687.

39. Merikangas KR, He JP, Burstein M, Swanson SA, Avenevoli S, Cui L, Benjet C,

Georgiades K, Swendsen J: **Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S.**

adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication--Adolescent

Supplement (NCS-A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2010, **49:**980-989.

40. Christensen DL, Braun KVN, Baio J, Bilder D, Charles J, Constantino JN, Daniels J,

Durkin MS, Fitzgerald RT, Kurzius-Spencer M, et al: **Prevalence and Characteristics**

of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years - Autism and

Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2012.

MMWR Surveill Summ 2018, **65:**1-23.

41. Torske T, Naerland T, Oie MG, Stenberg N, Andreassen OA: **Metacognitive Aspects of**

Executive Function Are Highly Associated with Social Functioning on Parent-Rated

Measures in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2017,

11:258.

42. Rogers BT, Msali ME, Buck GM, Lyon NR, Norris MK, Roland JMA, Gingell RL,

Cleveland DC, Pieroni DR: **Neurodevelopmental outcome of infants with hypoplastic**

left heart syndrome. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 1995**:**496-498.

43. Forbess JM, Visconti KJ, Hancock-Friesen C, Howe RC, Bellinger DC, Jonas RA:

Neurodevelopmental outcome after congenital heart surgery: Results from an

institutional registry. *Circulation* 2002, **106:**I-95-I-102.

44.   Wernovsky G, Shillingford AJ, Gaynor JW: **Central nervous system outcomes in**

705   **children with complex congenital heart disease.** *Current Opinions in Cardiology*

706   2005**:**94-99.

707   45.   Karsdorp PA, Everaerd W, Kindt M, Mulder BJ: **Psychological and cognitive**

708   **functioning in children and adolescents with congenital heart disease: a meta-**

709   **analysis.** *J Pediatr Psychol* 2007, **32:**527-541.

710   46.   Shillingford AJ, Glanzman MM, Ittenbach RF, Clancy RR, Gaynor JW, Wernovsky G:

711   **Inattention, hyperactivity, and school performance in a population of school-age**

712   **children with complex congenital heart disease.** *Pediatrics* 2008, **121:**e759-767.

713   47.   Kovacs AH, Saidi AS, Kuhl EA, Sears SF, Silversides C, Harrison JL, Ong L, Colman J,

714   Oechslin E, Nolan RP: **Depression and anxiety in adult congenital heart disease:**

715   **predictors and prevalence.** *Int J Cardiol* 2009, **137:**158-164.

716   48.   Bishop SL, Thurm A, Farmer C, Lord C: **Autism Spectrum Disorder, Intellectual**

717   **Disability, and Delayed Walking.** *Pediatrics* 2016, **137:**e20152959.

718   49.   Noterdaeme M, Minow F, Amorosa H: **[Applicability of the Child Behavior Checklist**

719   **in developmentally delayed children].** *Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother* 1999,

720   **27:**183-188.

721   50.   Mazefsky CA, Anderson R, Conner CM, Minshew N: **Child Behavior Checklist Scores**

722   **for School-Aged Children with Autism: Preliminary Evidence of Patterns**

723   **Suggesting the Need for Referral.** *Journal of psychopathology and behavioral*

724   *assessment* 2011, **33:**31-37.

725   51.   Murphy MM, Lindsey Burrell T, Cubells JF, Espana RA, Gambello MJ, Goines KCB,

726   Klaiman C, Li L, Novacek DM, Papetti A, et al: **Study protocol for The Emory 3q29**

Project: evaluation of neurodevelopmental, psychiatric, and medical symptoms in

3q29 deletion syndrome. *BMC Psychiatry* 2018, **18:**183.

52.   Finlay WML, Lyons E: **Methodological issues in interviewing and using self-report**

questionnaires with people with mental retardation. *Psychological Assessment* 2001,

13:319-335.

53.   Fisher MH, Mello MP, Dykens EM: **Who reports it best? A comparison between**

parent-report, self-report, and the real life social behaviors of adults with Williams

syndrome. *Research in Developmental Disabilities* 2014, **35:**3276-3284.

54.   Zablotsky B, Black LI, Blumberg SJ: **Estimated Prevalence of Children With**

Diagnosed Developmental Disabilities in the United States, 2014-2016. *NCHS Data*

Brief 2017**:**1-8.

Additional Files

File name: Supplemental Information

File format: Microsoft Word document (.docx)

Title of data: Supplementary figures and tables

Description of data: Supplementary figures are (S1) SCQ scores split by ASD status, sex, and

ASD status/sex and (S2) CBCL/ABCL Withdrawn sub-scale scores split by genotype, sex, and

ASD status. Supplementary tables are (S1) questionnaire demographics for the medical

questionnaire, SRS, SCQ, ASSQ, and CBCL/ABCL; (S2 and S3) sensitivity analysis description

and results for effect of 3q29Del self-registrants; (S4) comparison of scores on all four scales for

3q29Del versus control; (S5) SRS score comparison stratified by ASD status and sex; (S6) SCQ

score comparison stratified by ASD status and sex; (S7) CBCL/ABCL DSM-oriented sub-scale

score comparison; (S8) contribution of congenital heart defects to phenotypes of interest; (S9)

test for confounding factors contributing to symptomology questionnaire scores; (S10)

CBCL/ABCL Withdrawn sub-scale score comparison; and (S11) comparison of 3q29 registry-

leveraged and gold-standard phenotyping measures.

775   **Table 2: Self-reported neuropsychiatric diagnoses.**

		3q29 Deletion Syndrome			Control			P value; 3q29Del vs. Control
		Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	
GDD/MR (n, %)								<2.20E-16
	Yes	55 (59.1%)	31 (57.4%)	24 (61.5%)	1.14%*	1.48%*	0.90%*	
	No	38 (40.9%)	23 (42.6%)	15 (38.5%)	64 (100%)	33 (100%)	31 (100%)	
ASD (n, %)								<2.20E-16
	Yes	28 (30.1%)	20 (37.0%)	8 (20.5%)	1.47%*	2.34%*	0.52%*	
	No	65 (69.9%)	34 (63.0%)	31 (79.5%)	64 (100%)	33 (100%)	31 (100%)	
Anxiety (n, %)								0.001
	Yes	25 (26.9%)	14 (25.9%)	11 (28.2%)	4 (6.2%)	2 (6.1%)	2 (6.5%)	
	No	68 (73.1%)	40 (74.1%)	28 (71.8%)	60 (93.8%)	31 (93.9%)	29 (93.5%)	
Bipolar/Manic Depression (n. %)								0.080
	Yes	5 (5.4%)	2 (3.7%)	3 (7.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	No	88 (94.6%)	52 (96.3%)	36 (92.3%)	64 (100%)	33 (100%)	31 (100%)	
Conduct Disorder (n, %)								0.271
	Yes	3 (3.2%)	1 (1.9%)	2 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	No	90 (96.8%)	53 (98.1%)	37 (94.9%)	64 (100%)	33 (100%)	31 (100%)	
Depression (n, %)								1.00
	Yes	6 (6.5%)	2 (3.7%)	4 (10.3%)	4 (6.2%)	4 (12.1%)	0 (0%)	
	No	87 (93.5%)	52 (96.3%)	35 (89.7%)	60 (93.8%)	29 (87.9%)	31 (100%)	
Oppositional Defiant Disorder (n, %)								0.271
	Yes	3 (3.2%)	2 (3.7%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	No	90 (96.8%)	52 (96.3%)	38 (97.4%)	64 (100%)	33 (100%)	31 (100%)	
Panic Attacks (n, %)								0.027
	Yes	13 (14.0%)	9 (16.7%)	4 (10.3%)	2 (3.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (6.5%)	
	No	80 (86.0%)	45 (83.3%)	35 (89.7%)	62 (96.8%)	33 (100%)	29 (93.5%)	
Schizophrenia (n, %)								0.146

Yes	4 (4.3%)	1 (1.9%)	3 (7.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
No	89 (95.7%)	53 (98.1%)	36 (92.3%)	64 (100%)	33 (100%)	31 (100%)

776   Characteristics of self-reported neuropsychiatric diagnoses in study participants with 3q29Del and controls. Asterisks indicate where

777   3q29Del was compared to general population prevalence values [40, 54]. P values were calculated with Fisher's exact test.

792   **Table 3: SRS sub-scale score comparison stratified by genotype, ASD status, and sex.**

	Social Awareness		Social Cognition		Social Communication		Social Motivation		RRB		SCI	
	Mean ± SD	P value	Mean ± SD	P value	Mean ± SD	P value	Mean ± SD	P value	Mean ± SD	P value	Mean ± SD	P value
Genotype												
Control	47.04 ± 8.88	-	45.27 ± 7.64	-	45.88 ± 8.14	-	46.13 ± 7.66	-	47.66 ± 8.51	-	45.50 ± 7.74	-
3q29Del	67.33 ± 13.28	1.45E-13	69.06 ± 15.51	1.20E-15	69.69 ± 13.92	<2.00E-16	62.10 ± 13.52	1.62E-10	77.31 ± 14.25	<2.00E-16	69.52 ± 14.63	<2.00E-16
Sex												
Male control	45.97 ± 9.15	-	45.23 ± 7.42	-	45.73 ± 6.34	-	46.57 ± 6.58	-	47.63 ± 5.59	-	45.37 ± 6.60	-
Male 3q29Del	69.92 ± 13.92	7.61E-09	71.08 ± 17.65	1.07E-08	72.12 ± 15.47	1.74E-10	63.92 ± 15.23	3.39E-06	79.92 ± 14.82	2.84E-13	72.00 ± 16.34	7.12E-10
Female control	48.27 ± 8.56	-	45.31 ± 8.03	-	46.04 ± 9.95	-	45.62 ± 8.85	-	47.69 ± 11.08	-	45.65 ± 9.01	-
Female 3q29Del	64.27 ± 12.08	4.52E-06	66.68 ± 12.51	1.29E-08	66.82 ± 11.52	2.99E-08	59.95 ± 11.14	1.36E-05	74.23 ± 13.22	1.30E-09	66.59 ± 12.02	1.87E-08
ASD Status												
Control	47.04 ± 8.88	-	45.27 ± 7.64	-	45.88 ± 8.14	-	46.13 ± 7.66	-	47.66 ± 8.51	-	45.50 ± 7.74	-
No ASD diagnosis 3q29Del	62.61 ± 13.20	5.17E-09	64.10 ± 15.80	5.77E-11	64.61 ± 13.54	1.20E-12	58.00 ± 13.15	1.42E-06	70.58 ± 11.50	<2.00E-16	64.13 ± 14.39	5.19E-12
ASD diagnosis 3q29Del	75.94 ± 8.33	2.43E-15	78.12 ± 10.18	<2.00E-16	78.94 ± 9.18	<2.00E-16	69.59 ± 10.99	5.12E-12	89.59 ± 10.04	<2.00E-16	79.35 ± 9.02	<2.00E-16

793 Comparison of mean scores on the SRS sub-scales between study participants with 3q29Del and controls. 3q29Del participants were

794 stratified by ASD status and sex for further analysis. P values were calculated using simple linear regression, adjusting for age, race,

795 and sex.

Figure Titles and Legends

Figure 1. Score distribution for 3q29Del and controls on the SRS, SCQ, ASSQ, and

CBCL/ABCL. Total scores on the SRS (n=48 3q29Del, 56 control), SCQ (n=33 3q29Del, 46

control), ASSQ (n=24 3q29Del, 35 control), and CBCL/ABCL (n=48 3q29Del, 57 control) for

registry participants. Self-reported diagnosis of ASD is denoted by shape (circle/ASD,

triangle/no ASD), and sex of participant is denoted by color (red/female, blue/male). Controls are

shown in black.

Figure 2. Comparison of ASD prevalence and SRS scores between 3q29Del and controls. A)

Proportion of participants with 3q29Del self-reporting a diagnosis of ASD (not all respondents

completed symptom questionnaires); 28 cases report an ASD diagnosis (green), comprised of 20

males (blue) and 8 females (red). Compared to general population frequencies (black), cases

report significantly higher incidence of ASD. **B)** SRS scores split by control (n=59), 3q29Del not

reporting an ASD diagnosis (n=31), and 3q29Del reporting an ASD diagnosis (n=17), showing a

significant association between self-reported diagnostic status and SRS score. **C)** SRS scores

split by sex, with control (n=59), 3q29Del female (n=22), and 3q29Del male (n=26), showing a

lack of sex bias in scores for 3q29Del participants. **D)** SRS scores split by sex and self-reported

diagnostic status, with control (n=59), 3q29Del female reporting ASD (n=5), 3q29Del female not

reporting ASD (n=17), 3q29Del male reporting ASD (n=12), and 3q29Del male not reporting

ASD (n=14), showing inflated scores for 3q29Del participants irrespective of sex or diagnostic

status. ***, $p < 0.001$

Figure 3. Comparison of SRS sub-scales and CBCL/ABCL DSM-oriented sub-scales

between 3q29Del and controls. A) Profile of individuals with 3q29Del (n=48) and controls

(n=59) across SRS sub-scales, showing moderate to severe impairment of 3q29Del participants

in all domains except Social Motivation (RRB, Restricted Interests and Repetitive Behaviors;

SCI, Social Communication and Interaction). **B)** Profile of 3q29Del males (n=26) and females

(n=22) and controls (n=59) across SRS sub-scales, showing that 3q29Del males and females

both score significantly higher than controls and that there are no significant differences in score

between males and females. **C)** Profile of 3q29Del participants reporting an ASD diagnosis

(n=17) and participants not reporting an ASD diagnosis (n=31) and controls (n=59) across SRS

sub-scales, showing that 3q29Del participants score significantly higher than controls

irrespective of ASD status, with 3q29Del participants reporting an ASD diagnosis scoring

significantly higher than those not reporting an ASD diagnosis. **D)** Profile of 3q29Del

participants (n=48) and controls (n=57) across 3 DSM-oriented sub-scales from the CBCL and

ABCL, showing significantly increased pathology in 3q29Del participants in all 3 domains. ***,

$p < 0.001$