High-quality SNPs from genic regions highlight introgression patterns among

European white oaks (*Quercus petraea* and *Q. robur*).

Authors: Tiange Lang[1,2,3], Pierre Abadie[1,2], Valérie Léger[1,2], Thibaut Decourcelle[1,2,4], Jean-

Marc Frigerio[1,2], Christian Burban[1,2], Catherine Bodénès[1,2], Erwan Guichoux[1,2], Grégoire Le

Provost[1,2], Cécile Robin[1,2], Naoki Tani[1,2,5], Patrick Léger[1,2], Camille Lepoittevin[1,2], Veronica

A. El Mujtar[1,2,6], François Hubert[1,2], Josquin Tibbits[7], Jorge Paiva[1,2,8,9], Alain Franc[1,2],

Frédéric Raspail[1,2], Stéphanie Mariette[1,2], Marie-Pierre Reviron[1,2], Christophe Plomion[1,2],

Antoine Kremer[1,2], Marie-Laure Desprez-Loustau[1,2], Pauline Garnier-Géré[1,2,§]

Addresses :

[1]INRAE, UMR 1202 Biodiversity Genes & Communities, F-33610 Cestas, France

[2]Univ. Bordeaux, UMR 1202, Biodiversity Genes & Communities, F-33400 Talence, France

[3]Big Data Decision Institute, Jinan University, Tianhe, Guangzhou, PR China

[4]GEVES, 25 rue Georges Morel, 49071, Beaucouzé, France

[5] Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Tsukuba, Ibaraki,

Japan

[6]Unidad de Genética Ecológica y Mejoramiento Forestal. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología

Agropecuaria (INTA) EEA Bariloche, Modesta Victoria 4450 (8400), Bariloche, Río Negro,

Argentina

[7] Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Biosciences Research Division,

Agribio, 5 Ring Road, Bundoora, Victoria, 3086, Australia

[8] Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnologica, iBET, Apartado 12, Oeiras 2780-901,

Portugal

[9] Institute of Plant Genetics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 34 Strzeszynska street, Poznan PL-

60-479, Poland

Keywords: SNPs, functional candidate genes, *Quercus robur, Q. petraea,* Sanger amplicon

resequencing, introgression, species differentiation

[§]Corresponding author   Pauline Garnier-Géré

INRA, UMR 1202 Biodiversity Genes & Communities, F- 33610 Cestas, France; Univ. Bordeaux,

UMR 1202 Biodiversity Genes & Communities, Bordeaux, F-33400 Talence, France

Fax +33 (0)35385381, email: pauline.garnier-gere@inrae.fr

Running title: High-quality SNPs for *Quercus* species

Abstract

In the post-genomics era, non-model species like most *Fagaceae* still lack operational diversity resources for population genomics studies. Sequence data were produced from over 800 gene fragments covering ~530 kb across the genic partition of European oaks, in a discovery panel of 25 individuals from western and central Europe (11 *Quercus petraea*, 13 *Q. robur*, one *Q. ilex* as an outgroup). Regions targeted represented broad functional categories potentially involved in species ecological preferences, and a random set of genes. Using a high-quality dedicated pipeline, we provide a detailed characterization of these genic regions, which included over 14500 polymorphisms, with ~12500 SNPs -218 being triallelic-, over 1500 insertion-deletions, and ~200 novel di- and tri-nucleotide SSR loci. This catalog also provides various summary statistics within and among species, gene ontology information, and standard formats to assist loci choice for genotyping projects. The distribution of nucleotide diversity ($\theta\pi$) and differentiation (F_{ST}) across genic regions are also described for the first time in those species, with a mean $\theta\pi$ close to ~0.0049 in *Q. petraea* and to ~0.0045 in *Q. robur* across random regions, and a mean F_{ST} ~0.13 across SNPs. The magnitude of diversity across genes is within the range estimated for long-term perennial outcrossers, and can be considered relatively high in the plant kingdom, with an estimate across the genome of 41 to 51 million SNPs expected in both species. Individuals with typical species morphology were more easily assigned to their corresponding genetic cluster for *Q. robur* than for *Q. petraea*, revealing higher or more recent introgression in *Q. petraea* and a stronger species integration in *Q. robur* in this particular discovery panel. We also observed robust patterns of a slightly but significantly higher diversity in *Q. petraea*, across a random gene set and in the abiotic stress functional category, and a heterogeneous landscape of both diversity and differentiation. To explain these patterns, we discuss an alternative and non-exclusive hypothesis of stronger selective constraints in *Q. robur*, the most pioneering species in oak forest stand dynamics, additionally to the recognized and documented introgression history in both species despite their strong reproductive barriers. The quality of the data provided here and their representativity in terms of species genomic diversity make them useful for possible applications in medium-scale landscape and molecular ecology projects. Moreover, they can serve as reference resources for validation purposes in larger-scale resequencing projects. This type of project is preferentially recommended in oaks in contrast to SNP array development, given the large nucleotide variation and the low levels of linkage disequilibrium revealed.

Introduction

High-throughput techniques of the next-generation sequencing (NGS) era and increased genome sequencing efforts in the last decade have greatly improved access to genomic resources in non-model forest tree species (Neale and Kremer 2011, Neale *et al*. 2013; Plomion *et al*. 2016). However, these have only been applied recently to large-scale ecological and population genomics research (Holliday *et al*. 2017). One notable exception are studies undertaken in the model genus *Populus* (e.g. Zhou *et al*. 2014, Geraldes *et al*. 2014, Christe *et al*. 2016b) that benefited from the first genome sequence completed in 2006 in *P. trichocarpa* (Tuskan *et al*. 2006). In *Fagaceae*, previous comparative mapping and "omics" technologies (reviewed in Kremer *et al*. 2012) with recent development of genomic resources (e.g. Faivre-Rampant *et al*. 2011; Tarkka *et al.* 2013; Lesur *et al*. 2015; Lepoittevin *et al*. 2015, Bodénès *et al*. 2016) set the path to very recent release of genome sequences to the research community (*Quercus lobata,* Sork *et al.* 2016; *Q. robur,* Plomion *et al*. 2016, 2018; *Q. suber,* Ramos *et al*. 2018; *Fagus sylvatica*, Mishra *et al*. 2018), and these provide great prospects for future evolutionary genomics studies (Petit *et al*. 2013; Parent *et al*. 2015; Cannon *et al*. 2018; Lesur *et al*. 2018).

Recently, building from the European oaks genomic resources (*Quercus Portal* at https://arachne.pierroton.inra.fr/QuercusPortal/ and references therein), natural populations of 4 *Quercus* species (*Q. robur, Q. petraea, Q. pyrenaica, Q. pubescens*) were genotyped for ~4000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, from an initial 8K infinium array, Lepoittevin *et al*. 2015). The data were further analysed (Leroy *et al*. 2017), with results extending previous knowledge on their likely diversification during glacial periods, as well as their recolonization history across Europe and recent secondary contacts (SC) after the last glacial maximum (Hewitt 2000; Petit *et al*. 2002a; Brewer *et al*. 2002). Using recent model-based inference allowing for heterogeneity of migration rates (Roux *et al*. 2014; Tine *et al*. 2014), Leroy *et al*. (2017) showed that the most strongly supported demographic scenarios of species diversification, allowing for gene flow among any pair of the four species mentioned above, included very recent SC, due to a much better fit for patterns of large heterogeneity of differentiation observed across SNP loci (confirmed by Leroy *et al*. 2019, using ~15 times more loci across the genome and the same inference strategy). These recent SC events have been documented in the last decade in many patchily distributed hybrid zones where current *in situ* hybridization can occur among European oak species (e.g. Curtu *et al*. 2007; Jensen *et al.* 2009; Lepais and Gerber 2011; Guichoux *et al*. 2013). The resulting low levels of

differentiation among *Q. robur* and *Q. petraea* in particular is traditionally linked to a model

of contrasted colonization dynamics, where the second-in-succession species (*Q. petraea*) is

colonizing populations already occupied by the earlier pioneering *Q. robur* (Petit *et al.* 2003).

This model predicts asymmetric introgression towards *Q. petraea* (see Currat *et al.* 2008), as

often observed in interspecific gene exchanges (Abbott *et al.* 2003), and a greater diversity in

Q. petraea was documented at SNP loci showing higher differentiation (Guichoux *et al.*

2013). The directionality of introgression in oaks was also shown to depend on species

relative abundance during mating periods in particular stands (Lepais *et al.* 2009, 2011).

Nethertheless, oaks like other hybridizing taxa are known for the integration of their species

parental gene pools and strong reproductive isolation barriers (Muir *et al.* 2000; Muir and

Schlötterer 2005; Abadie *et al.* 2012, Lepais *et al.* 2013; Ortiz-Barrientos and Baack 2014;

Christe *et al.* 2016a), raising essential questions about the interacting roles of divergent (or

other types of) selection, gene flow, and recombination rates variation in natural populations,

and their imprints on genomic molecular patterns of variation (e.g. Zhang *et al.* 2016; Christe

et al. 2016b; Payseur and Rieseberg 2016).

These issues will be better addressed with genome-wide sequence data in many samples

(Buerkle *et al.* 2011), which will be facilitated in oaks by integrating the newly available

genome sequence of *Quercus robur* to chosen HT resequencing methods (Jones and Good

2016; e.g. Zhou and Holliday 2012; Lesur *et al.* 2018 for the first target sequence capture

study in oaks). However, obtaining high quality haplotype-based data required for nucleotide

diversity estimation and more powerful population genetics inferences will likely require the

development of complex bioinformatics pipelines dedicated to high heterozygosity genomes

and solid validation methods for polymorphism detection (e.g. Geraldes *et al.* 2011; Christe *et*

al. 2016b).

Therefore, the objectives of this work were first to provide a detailed characterization of

sequence variation in *Quercus petraea* and *Quercus robur*. To that end, we validated previous

unpublished sequence data from the classical Sanger' chain-terminating dideoxynucleotides

method (Sanger *et al.* 1977). These sequences targeted fragments of gene regions in a panel of

individuals sampled across the western and central European part of both species geographic

range. Both functional and expressional candidate genes potentially involved in species

ecological preferences, phenology and host-pathogen interactions were targeted, as well as a

reference set of fragments randomly chosen across the last oak unigene (Lesur *et al.* 2015).

These data were obtained within the framework of the EVOLTREE network activities

(http://www.evoltree.eu/). Second, we aimed at estimating the distributions of differentiation

and nucleotide diversity across these targeted gene regions for the first time in those species,

and further test the robustness of comparative diversity patterns observed in the context of

both species contrasted dynamics and introgression asymmetry. We discuss the quality,

representativity and usefulness of the resources provided for medium scale genotyping

landscape ecology projects or as a reference resource for validation purposes in larger-scale

resequencing projects.

Material and methods

Sample collection

The discovery panel (*DiP*) included 25 individuals from 11 widespread forest stands with 2 to

4 individuals per location (13 from *Q. robur,* 11 from *Q. petraea*, 1 from *Q. ilex* to serve as

outgroup, in Table 1).

Table 1 Geographic location of 25 sampled individuals from *Quercus petraea*, *Q. robur* and
Q. ilex.

Country	Sampling site	Latitude	Longitude	Morphological *Quercus* species	Original Identifier	European cpDNA lineages[#]	cpDNA haplotypes[**]
Spain	Arlaban	42.967	-2.55	*petraea*	Ar18	B	10, 11, 12
				robur	Ar22		12
France	Arcachon	44.663	-1.181	*robur*	A4*	B	11, 12
	Pierroton	44.737	-0.776	*ilex*	IL_C	Euro-Med	H12**
				robur	11P*	B	10, 12
				robur	3P*		
	Orléans	47.826	1.908	*petraea*	Qs21*	B	10, 11, 12
				petraea	Qs28*		
				petraea	Qs29*		
	Petite Charnie	48.083	-0.167	*petraea*	PC55	A	7
				robur	PC229		
				robur	PC233		
Switzerland	Büren	47.105	7.383	*petraea*	B3	C	1
				robur	B179		
Hungary	Sopron	47.717	16.642	*petraea*	S444	A	5, 7
				robur	S104		
The Netherlands	Meinweg	51.181	6.138	*petraea*	M51	A, C	1, 5
				robur	M7		
United Kingdom (UK)	Roudsea Wood	54.218	-3.018	*petraea*	RW108	B	10, 12
				robur	RW8		
				robur	RW11		
Germany	Rantzau	53.707	9.765	*petraea*	R100	A, C	7, 1
				petraea	R127		
				robur	R300		
				robur	R312		

Latitude and longitude are given in the WGS 84 coordinate system. Coordinates correspond either to a central point in the mixed forest stand, or the mean of individual trees coordinates. *: parents of controlled crosses used for genetic mapping. [#]: after Petit *et al.* (2002a), the putative glacial refugia for lineage B and C are located in the south of Spain, and for lineages A and C either in the south of Italy or in the Balkans or both. **: cpDNA haplotypes are from trees previously sampled in Petit *et al.* (2002b), located within a 50 km radius of studied trees, based on the GD2 database (http://gd2.pierroton.inra.fr/). *Quercus* species were *a priori* assigned from morphological information by persons who sampled the trees, but see below for a comparison with genetic assignments and introgression analyses of each individual using the STRUCTURE bayesian inference method ("*Characterization of diversity…*" part) .

These stands occur across a large part of both *Quercus* species natural distributions, spanning ~20° in longitude (~2200 km) and ~11° in latitude (~1250 km) in western and central Europe (Fig. S1, Supporting Information). They are also located in areas covering the three major cpDNA lineages A, B and C (among five) that indicate different historical glacial refugia

(Petit *et al.* 2002a), and extend much further geographically towards northern, eastern and

south-eastern European borders (Table 1, after Petit *et al.* 2002b). One stand (Sopron, in

Hungary), also occurs within the large geographic distribution of the most Eastern lineage E,

in a region where lineages A and C also occur. Individuals were chosen either on the basis of

their differing leaf morphology among *Q. robur* and *Q. petraea* species (Kremer *et al.* 2002a),

or as parents of mapping pedigrees (e.g. Bodénès *et al.* 2016, see Table 1).

Leaves were sampled, stored in silica gel and sent to INRA (Cestas, France) for DNA

extraction following Guichoux *et al.* (2013). DNA quality and concentration were assessed

with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, 152 DE, USA)

and by separating samples in 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. Extractions

were repeated until we obtained at least 20 micrograms of genomic DNA per sample, which

was needed for a few thousands individual PCRs.

Choice of genic regions for resequencing

Genic regions were chosen from over 103 000 Sanger sequences available in expressed

sequence tags (EST) databases at the start of the project. These sequences corresponded to 14

cDNA libraries that were prepared with many individuals from both species. They were

assembled before finally selecting 2000 fragments for resequencing (Appendix S1 and Fig.

S2-A, Supporting information for more on methods producing the original working assembly

(*orict*); see also Ueno *et al.* 2010). The targeted fragments were chosen from an extensive

compilation of both expressional and functional candidate genes that would likely be involved

in white oaks' divergent functions and/or local adaptation, using model and non-model

species databases or published results (see Appendix S1 and Fig. S2-B, Supporting

information for more details on the strategy followed, and Table S1 for designed primers).

Data production and polymorphism discovery in resequenced fragments

All the sequencing work was performed by Beckman Coulter (Agencourt Bioscience

Corporation, Beverly, MA, USA) on ABI3730 capillary sequencers (Applied Biosciences)

after preparing DNA samples according to the company's guidelines. Various data quality

steps were followed for maximizing the amount and quality of the sequences finally obtained

(Fig. 1-A, and Appendix S1, Supporting information for further analyses across 2000

amplicons).

Figure 1 Bioinformatics strategy for sequence data production, amplicon assembly,
functional annotation, and polymorphism discovery. Scripts used are in italics (see text for

further details). GO: Gene Ontology, EC: Enzyme Commission ID. * A **good** sequence is
defined as having a minimum of 50% of its nucleotides with a Phred score above 30.

Forward and reverse sequences were produced for 986 amplicons across 25 individuals
(100+881 in steps 2 and 3, Fig. 1-A), and more than 85% of them yielded at least 12 high-
quality sequences (Fig. 1-B and column L in Table S1, Supporting information). All amplicon
assembly steps, merging, trimming, and filtering/masking based on quality were performed
with our *SeqQual* pipeline (https://github.com/garniergere/SeqQual), with examples of data
and command files. This repository compiles and extends former work dealing with 454 data
(Brousseau *et al*. 2014; El Mujtar *et al.*2014), providing Bioperl scripts used here that
automatically deal with Sanger haploid or diploid DNA sequences and allow fasta files post-
processing in batch (Fig. 1-C). Sequence variants discovery was finally performed using an
error rate below 0.001 (i.e. Phred score above 30, Ewing *et al*. 1998, and see Appendix S1,
Supporting information for more details). Simple sequence repeat (SSR) patterns were further
detected or confirmed from consensus sequences using the *mreps* software (Kolpakov *et al.*
2003; see Fig. 1-D, and https://github.com/garniergere/Reference.Db.SNPs.Quercus/ for a R
script parsing *mreps* output). Various additional steps involving the treatment of insertion-
deletion polymorphisms (indels) and heterozygote indels (*HI*) in particular, allowed missing

data from polymorphic diploid sequence to be minimized (see Appendix S1, Supporting

information).

Functional annotation

Resequenced genic regions were annotated using the BlastN best hits of their corresponding

orict contigs and those of their expected amplicons (*orict-cut*) to most recent oak assembly

(*ocv4*, Lesur *et al*. (2015); see Table S2-C, Supporting information). Final consensus

sequences for these regions originated from both *orict* and *ocv4* (396 and 368 respectively,

see Table S2-A, S2-B, and Appendices S1 and S3, Supporting information), aiming at

retrieving the longest sequences, while avoiding to target those with possible chimeric

sequences. Functional annotation was then performed via homology transfer using BlastX

2.6.0+ program at NCBI (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) with parameters to optimize

speed, hits' annotation description and GO content (Fig. 1-E and Table-S2, Supporting

information). Retrieval of GO terms were performed with Blast2GO (Conesa *et al.* 2005 free

version at https://www.blast2go.com/blast2go-pro/b2g-register-basic) and validation of

targeted annotations with Fisher Exact enrichment tests (details of Blast2GO analyses

provided in Appendix S1, Supporting information).

Characterization of diversity and genetic clustering

Using the *SNP-stats* script for diploid data from *SeqQual*, simple statistics were computed

across different types of polymorphisms (SNPs, indels, SSRs…) including minimum allele

frequencies (*maf*) and heterozygote counts, Chi-square tests probability for Hardy-Weinberg

proportions, G_{ST} (Nei 1987) and G_{ST}' standardized measure (Hedrick 2005). Complex

polymorphisms (involving heterozygote indels (*HI*) and/or SSRs,) were also further

characterized (see Appendix S1, Supporting information), and data formatted or analyzed

using either Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010), *SeqQual* (e.g. for Arlequin input file

with phase unknown, Fig. 1-C), or R scripts. Nucleotide diversity $\theta\pi$ (Nei 1987), based on the

average number of pairwise differences between sequences, and its evolutionary variance

according to Tajima (1993), were also estimated and compared among species and across

candidate genes grouped by broad functional categories (see column F in Table S1,

Supporting information), and Weir and Cockerham (1984) F_{ST} estimates of differentiation

were computed among species for SNP data along genic regions using analyses of molecular

variance (Excoffier 2007).

The initial morphological species samples were compared to the genetic clusters obtained with the STRUCTURE v2.3.3 inference method (Falush *et al.* 2003) in order to test possible levels of introgression across individuals. We used the admixture model allowing for mixed ancestry and the correlated allele frequencies assumption for closely related populations as recommended defaults, and since they best represent previous knowledge on each species genetic divergence across their range (e.g. Guichoux *et al.* 2013). Preliminary replicate runs using the same sample of loci produced very low standard deviation across replicates of the data log likelihood given K (ln Pr(X/K), see Fig. S3-A, Supporting information). We thus resampled loci at random for each of 10 replicate datasets in 3 different manners to add genetic stochasticity: 1) one per region, 2) one per 100 bp block, and 3) one per 200 bp block along genes (see Appendix S1, Supporting information and https://github.com/garniergere/Reference.Db.SNPs.Quercus/tree/master/STRUCTURE.files for examples of STRUCTURE files as recommended by Gilbert *et al.* (2012), along with R scripts for outputs). Statistical independence among loci within each species was verified with Fisher's exact tests implemented in Genepop 4.4 (Rousset 2008).

Results

Polymorphisms typology and counts

Among the amplicons tested, 986 were successful, 13 did not produce any data and 23 were excluded because of paralog amplifications (Fig. 1-C and Table S1, Supporting information). Around 25% of the successful amplicons overlapped and were merged, consistently with their original design across contigs. Despite the presence of *HI* patterns due to SSR or indels, most amplicons were entirely recovered with forward and reverse sequencing. Several (5% of the total) were however kept separate, either because of functional annotation inconsistency, or because amplicon overlap was prevented by the presence of SSRs or putative large introns (see "Final gene region ID" column with -F/-R suffix in Table S1, Supporting information). We finally obtained 852 genic regions covering in total ~529 kilobases (kb), with an average size of 621 bp per region, ranging from 81 to 2009 bp (Table 2, and Appendix S4, Supporting information, for genomic consensus sequences).

269   **Table 2** Typology of polymorphisms in successfully resequenced amplicons.

	Both species and introgressed individuals	*Q. petraea*	*Q. robur*	*Q. ilex*
Total length resequenced (bp)	529281	-	-	196676
Number (Nb) of amplicons	986	-	-	486
Nb of genic regions	852	-	-	394
Mean genic region size - N50 size (bp)	621-700	-	-	500-539
Minimum - Maximum genic region size (bp)	81-2009	-	-	198-1285
Estimated intron sequences (bp)	186827	-	-	-
Mean haploid sample size (total sequence)	34.71	13.35	18.28	-
Polymorphism in 852 genic regions				
Mean haploid sample size (variants)	32.16	12.57	13.85	-
Monomorphic genic regions	15 (1.76%)	18 (2.14%)	21 (2.52%)	-
Genes with at least one single base indel	591	345	379	-
" " " " one larger indel (>1 bp)	252	190	214	-
" " " " one SSR (>=di)	163	-	-	-
SNPs only (excluding 1 bp indels)	12478	7511	8078	-
Indels (1 bp)	1213	751	809	-
Indels (2-5 bp)	221	142	161	-
Indels (6-10 bp)	88	72	71	-
Indels (11-50 bp, excl. SSRs)	98	81	79	-
Indels (74,146,219,341 bp, excl. SSRs)	4	3	4	-
Total number of polymorphisms	**14102**	**8560**	**9202**	**676**
Triallelic SNPs	*218*	*141*	*165*	-
...Singletons (incl. 1 bp indels)	*4334*	*1990*	*2151*	-
...Variable SSRs (excl. homopolymers)	*111*	-	-	-
Total length with sequence variant positions	17594	10765	11451	-
Sequence length of indels and complex polymorphisms (Indels and SSRs)	5116	-	-	-

Counts for *Q. petraea* exclude the 2 most introgressed individuals (Qs28 and S444 in Table 1); SSR: simple
sequence repeats; "N50 size" is the size for which the cumulative sum of gene amplicons' size equal or
higher than this value corresponds to 50% of the total amplicons' size sum; The number of polymorphisms
for *Q. ilex* equals the number of heterozygotes in the resequenced individual across amplicons; Numbers of
monomorphic regions were computed for those with at least 10 gametes in both species; Some detected
SSR patterns were not polymorphic in our samples (detailed in Tables S1 and S5, Supporting information).

Compared to the EST-based expected total fragment size of ~ 357 kb, around 187 kb of intron

sequence was recovered across 460 of the resequenced regions (assuming intron presence if

an amplicon size was above its expected size by 40 bp). Introns represented ~35% of genic

regions in length and ~51% of those including introns.

We observed 14102 polymorphisms in both species across 852 gene regions, 15 of those

regions (<2%) being monomorphic (Table 2). This corresponds to 1 polymorphism per ~38

bp, or 1 per ~30 bp when considering the total number of variant positions in both species

(17594 bp, Table 2). Remarkably, variant positions involving larger indels, SSRs and mixed

complex polymorphism patterns represented ~30% of the total variant positions (Table 2, and

see their exhaustive lists with various statistics in Table S3 and S4, Supporting information).

We observed 12478 SNPs (88.5% of all polymorphisms), 1 SNP per 42 bp, and 218 triallelic

SNPs (~1.75% of SNPs) were confirmed by visual examination of chromatograms.

Considering only one species, we observed on average 1 variant position per ~48 bp, 1

polymorphism per ~60 bp, and 1 SNP per ~68 bp. Among indels, 1213 (8.6% of all

polymorphisms) were single base, 309 ranged from 2 to 10 bp, and 102 had sizes above 10 bp

which were mostly shared among species (Table 2). In this range-wide sample, there were

4334 singletons among all single base polymorphisms, 506 of them being indels. Overall,

indels were present in 69% of gene regions and non-single base ones across ~30% of them.

Excluding homopolymers (see Appendix S1, Supporting information), we detected 201 SSRs

occurring on 163 gene regions by considering a minimum repeat numbers of 4 and a

mismatch rate among repeats below 10% (Table 2, Table S1 and Table S5, Supporting

information), and 55% (111) were polymorphic in our sample of individuals (Table 2).

Among them, 89 (44%) had dinucleotide repeats and 65 (32%) trinucleotide repeats. The

SSRs with the lowest number of repeats (<5) had a majority (59%) of repeat sizes between 4

and 7, the rest being trinucleotides (Table S5, Supporting information).

Using the same PCR conditions, homologous sequence data were obtained for one individual

of the outgroup *Quercus ilex* across 37% of the gene regions (~197 kb, 397 sequences, 676

heterozygous sites in Table 2), which illustrates both their sequence similarity yet divergence

for a species belonging to the *Ilex* versus *Quercus* taxonomic group (Lepoittevin *et al.* 2015;

see Table S1 column Q, and see Appendix S5, Supporting information, for *Q. ilex* genomic

sequences).

Annotations and GO term distributions

BlastX matches with *E*-values below 10^{-30} were found for ~97% (738/764) of the contig

consensus, only 11 sequences (1.4%) having hits with *E*-values above 10^{-10} that were all

among the reference random sample (see BlastX criteria in Table S2, Supporting

information). The most represented species among the best hits with informative annotations

were *Prunus persica (111)*, *Theobroma cacao (91)*, *Morus notabilis (57)* and *Populus

trichocarpa (45)* (Appendix S6-A, Supporting information), which probably illustrates both

the close phylogenetic relationships among *Quercus* and *Prunus* genera, consistently with

results obtained on the larger *ocv4* assembly (Lesur *et al.* 2015), and the quality and

availability of *P. persica* genome annotation (Verde *et al.* 2013, 2017).

Between 1 to 30 GO terms could be assigned to 761 sequences, with EC codes and InterProScan identifiers for 343 and 733 of them respectively (Fig. 1, and Table S2, Supporting information). The most relevant GO terms were then retained using the Blast2GO "annotation rule" (Conesa *et al*. 2005) that applies filters from the Direct Acyclic Graph (DAG) at different levels (Fig. 2, Fig. S4-A- to-F, Supporting information).

Figure 2 Distributions of GO terms across different gene lists (*bud*, *abiotic* and *biotic*) at biological process level 3, and Fisher exact tests across pairs of sequence clusters with the same GO terms between the random list and other lists. Significance levels *: P<0.05, **: P<0.01.

At biological process (BP) level 3, apart from general terms involving "metabolic processes", a large number of sequences (between ~100 and ~150) were mapped to "response to..." either "...stress", "...abiotic stimulus" or "...chemical", and also to categories linked to developmental processes (Fig. S4-D, Supporting information).

Enrichment tests also revealed a significant increase at both BP levels 2 and 3 for the following GO categories: "response to stress" or "external stimulus" for *bud* and *biotic* gene lists, "response to abiotic stimulus" for the *bud* list, and "immune" and "biotic stimulus" responses for the *biotic* list (see Fig. 2-B to 2-D compared to Fig. 2-A, and Fig. S5, Supporting information). Most of these exact tests (>80%) were still significant when selecting genes attributed exclusively to one particular list (in Table S1, Supporting information), which adds to the relevance of our original gene lists in targeting particular functional categories.

 Species assignment and introgressed individuals

In both species, the proportion of significant association tests among the loci used for

clustering (> two million within each species) was generally one order of magnitude below

the type-I error rates at 5% or 1%. This indicates a very low background LD within species at

their range levels, consistently with the underlying model assumptions used in STRUCTURE.

Based on both ln Pr(X/K) and ΔK statistics and as expected, the optimal number of genetic

clusters inferred was 2, whatever the number of polymorphisms and type of sampling (Fig. 3,

Fig. S3 and S6, Supporting information).

Figure 3 Posterior assignment probabilities of individuals into two optimal clusters from
STRUCTURE analyses, sorted in increasing order of belonging to cluster 2 (here *Q. robur* (Qr,
in blue/dark grey), the alternative cluster 1 matching *Q. petraea* (Qp, in green/light grey),
apart from individuals with higher introgression levels. Each bar represents one individual and
includes mean upper and lower bounds of 90% Bayesian confidence intervals around mean *Q*-
values across 10 replicates. Each replicate is a different random sample of 1785
polymorphisms. Horizontal black lines represent the 0.125 and 0.875 values, which can be
considered as typical thresholds for back-crosses and later-generation hybrids (Guichoux *et*
al. 2013), values within those thresholds suggesting a mixed ancestry with the other species
for a small number of generations in the past.

Most individuals (20) clearly belonged to either cluster with a mean probability of cluster

assignment above 0.9 , which was not significantly different from 1, based on mean values of

90% Bayesian credible intervals (BCI) bounds across replicates, and for different types of

sampling or SNP numbers (Fig. 3 and Fig. S6, Supporting information). Two individuals from

Roudsea Wood in UK, the most northerly forest stand of this study, were considered to be

significantly introgressed, each from a different cluster, since both showed a BCI that did not

include the value "1" across other replicated runs and SNP sampling (Fig. S6, Supporting

information), RW108 also having a mean probability above 0.125 (Fig. 3). Although M51 has

a mean assignment value close to that of RW11 in the particular run shown in Fig.3, its BCI

was larger and often included the zero value in other runs (Fig. S6, Supporting information),

so it was assigned to the $Q.\ petraea$ cluster. In the initial morphological $Q.\ petraea$ group, two

individuals were also clearly of recent mixed ancestry: one from the easternmost forest stand

of Sopron (S444), and another one (Qs28) from central France, considered previously to be a

$Q.\ petraea$ parental genotype in two oak mapping pedigrees (Bodénès $et\ al$. 2012, 2016;

Lepoittevin $et\ al$. 2015). However, Qs28 shows here a clear F1 hybrid pattern, given its

probability values close to 0.5 and its BCI maximum upper and minimum lower bound values

of 0.30 and 0.61 respectively across runs (Fig. 3 and Fig. S6-A to S6-J, Supporting

information). Testing 3 or 4 possible clusters showed the same ancestry patterns for the

introgressed individuals with 2 main clusters and similar Q-values (data not shown), which

does not support alternative hypotheses of introgression from different species in those

individuals.

Large heterogeneity of diversity and differentiation across genes

Nucleotide diversity was thus estimated in each parental species after excluding Qs28,

RW108, S444 and RW11, which were considered to be the 4 most introgressed individuals

(see Fig. 3 above). We then checked how the remaining samples represented species'

diversity. Starting with one individual, we observe a dramatic drop in the mean proportion of

new variant positions brought by each new individual in any species (Mpn) as a function of

the initial sample size, followed by a subsequent stabilization (Fig. 4-A, and see Fig. S7-A,

Supporting information). Indeed, Mpn was only around 11% when going from 4 to 5

individuals in both species, and stabilized below 5% after 8 individuals in $Q.\ robur$ (Fig. 4-A).

We thus decided to retain 726 gene regions with at least 8 gametes per species (listed in

column L in Table S1, Supporting information). The larger $Q.\ robur$ sample after excluding

the most introgressed individuals (24 versus 16 gametes in $Q.\ petraea$) only exhibited

slightly higher polymorphism counts than in $Q.\ petraea$ overall (Table 3).

Also, 48% and 52% of the polymorphisms observed were exclusive to $Q.\ petraea$ and $Q.$

$robur$ respectively in our panel, the rest being shared among species (Table 3). Among

exclusive polymorphisms, 46% and 44% were singletons in $Q.\ petraea$ and $Q.\ robur$

respectively, suggesting that they might be either rare in both species, or more polymorphic in

local populations from which few individuals were sampled across the species wider ranges.

Overall and within both species, we observed a large variation in the numbers of segregating

sites per gene size (Fig. S7-B, Supporting information).

Figure 4 Mean proportion of new variant sites brought by each new distinct individual added
to all possible initial sample size combinations (A); Mean nucleotide diversity (considering all
polymorphisms) in both species across genic regions, and different functional categories (B)
compared between species with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests: significant at Pr<5% (*), non-
significant (ns); Histogram of *Fst* estimates across polymorphic gene regions with a minimum
of 8 gametes per species, after excluding singletons and grouping negative with null values
(C); Manhattan plot of *Fst* estimates sorted by mean *Fst* values across randomly chosen
(black dots) and Bud phenology (grey dots) genic regions (D).

The mean nucleotide diversity estimates ($\theta\pi$) across genic regions when considering all

polymorphisms were 0.00447 and 0.00425 in *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* respectively, with up to

a 10-fold variation among polymorphic genes overall and in different functional categories

(Fig. 4-B and Table 3).

Table 3 Polymorphism counts and nucleotide diversity in parental species across genic
regions with larger sample sizes.

Polymorphism in 726 gene fragments	both species	Q. petraea	Q. robur
Number of individuals considered	20	8	12
Monomorphic gene fragments	17 (2.34%)	19 (2.63%)	20 (2.87%)
Total number of polymorphisms	11089	7061	7721
SNPs only	9867	6226	6830
All Indels and SSRs	1222	835	891
Exclusive polymorphisms	-	3359	4024
Singletons among them (%)	-	0.456	0.437
Shared polymorphisms	3696	-	-
Mean nucleotide diversity estimates*			
SNPs only	3.849E-03	**3.957E-03**[**]	**3.740E-03**
" " diversity range		0-0.03823	0-0.02525
Tajima's evolutionary standard deviation	2.549E-03	2.632E-03	2.465E-03
SNPs only (509 chosen genes)	3.752E-03	3.821E-03	3.682E-03
SNPs only (202 random genes)	4.103E-03	**4.306E-03**	**3.900E-03**
All polymorphisms	4.359E-03	**4.471E-03**	**4.247E-03**
" " diversity range		0-0.03893	0-0.02525
Tajima's evolutionary standard deviation	2.816E-03	2.903E-03	2.729E-03
All polymorphisms (509 chosen genes)	4.214E-03	4.278E-03	4.150E-03
All polymorphisms (202 random genes)	4.716E-03	**4.944E-03**	**4.488E-03**

The 4 most introgressed individuals from Fig. 3 (Qs28, S444, RW108, RW11) are excluded for
computations. Monomorphic regions are defined as in Table 2. *: Diversity is computed for regions
with a minimum of 200 bp overall and at least 8 gametes per species at variant positions. The 509
chosen genes belong to the different functional categories listed in Table S1. Values in the "both
species" column for diversity estimates are means across all genes, of both species' values. **: Values
in bold indicate significant Wilcoxon paired ranked tests for a higher *Q. petraea* nucleotide diversity
compared to *Q. robur* across genes.

When including SNPs only, mean $\theta\pi$ decreased overall by more than 10% (Table 3, and see

column D in Table S4, Supporting information). The large variation among genes is also

illustrated by the absence of significant differences between mean diversity among functional

categories *within species*, in most comparisons using non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum tests

(*Wrs*) with similar number of genes. Two notable exceptions were observed when considering

all polymorphisms: the *biotic stress* category (358 genes) had on average a lower $\theta\pi$ in *Q.*

petraea than in the random gene list (211 genes, *Wrs* Pr<0.042), and the mean $\theta\pi$ of the

reproductive phenology category was significantly lower in both species than that of the *Bud*

phenology category (*Wrs* Pr<0.040 and Pr<0.013 in *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* respectively,

considering exclusive categories from Table S2, Supporting information). Genes with $\theta\pi$ estimates above 0.02 were found across most categories, whether considering all polymorphisms (Fig. 4-B) or SNPs only. The 8 genic regions showing the highest $\theta\pi$ values in both species were annotated for example as disease resistance, transcription factor or membrane transport proteins, half of them being from the original random list.

Comparing nucleotide diversity between individuals according to their main cpDNA lineages B *versus* A or C (Table 1), no significant differences were found between lineages within both species, using *Wpr* tests across all genes (see also the lineage-associated distributions of genes' diversity in Fig. S8, Supporting information). This was also true for all functional categories. In both species, the mean differentiation across genes among lineages was very low (<0.015, each gene estimate being the mean F_{ST} across all polymorphisms at this gene), with very few genes (~1%) having much higher mean F_{ST} (ranging from 0.21 to 0.41 or 0.56 within *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* respectively).

Mean $\theta\pi$ comparison tests *between species* across all gene regions were not significant (Table 3, *Wrs* Pr>0.15 for all polymorphisms or SNPs only), nor were they across different categories and between gene pairs, using a 95% confidence interval based on Tajima's evolutionary variance for $\theta\pi$ (Tajima 1983) while assuming underlying Gaussian distributions. Indeed for the same genic regions, many examples can be found of higher $\theta\pi$ estimates in one species or the other. However, comparing diversity estimates across the exact same positions and performing Wilcoxon paired ranked tests (*Wpr*) across all genes, there was a significant pattern of a slightly higher diversity in *Q. petraea* (see Table 3 and Fig. 4-B), whether considering all polymorphisms (*Wpr* Pr<0.028) or SNPs only (*Wpr* Pr<0.036). This pattern remained significant across the 202 polymorphic genes chosen randomly (*Wpr* Pr<0.037, all polymorphisms, Table 3), even when excluding the 5% or 10% of genes having the highest $\theta\pi$ values. This pattern of a significantly higher $\theta\pi$ in *Q. petraea* was not observed when considering the 509 polymorphic gene regions chosen in functional categories, either together or separately in the different categories (Fig. 4-B), except for the *Abiotic stress* category.

We also observed a very large variation for F_{ST} estimates across gene regions and functional categories, which covered the full range of possible values [0,1], with mean values of ~0.13 whether considering all polymorphisms or SNPs only (Fig. 4-C, and Fig. 4-D for the random genic regions and a representative example in one category). The very few segregating sites

with F_{ST} values equal to one had either missing individuals' or strands, possibly caused by

polymorphisms within primer regions. Among the sites sequenced for the full sample of

gametes, the 20 highest F_{ST} values ranged from 0.6 to 0.9 and belonged to 10 genic regions,

many of which also showed null or very low F_{ST} values within 100 bp. This large variation in

differentiation was observed between very close variant sites in many genes, suggesting very

high recombination rates at genome-wide and range-wide scales, and consistently with the

very low expected background LD (see above). Additionally, a large variance is expected

around F_{ST} estimates due to the relatively low sample size in both species, in particular for bi-

allelic loci (Weir and Hill 2002; Buerkle *et al*. 2011; e.g. Eveno *et al*. 2008).

Discussion

In the NGS era, non-model tree species such as many *Fagaceae* still lag behind model species

for easy access to sequence polymorphism and SNP data (but see Gugger *et al*. 2016 for

Quercus lobata). These data are needed for larger scale studies addressing the many diversity

issues raised by their combined economic, ecological and conservation interests (Cavender-

Bares 2016; Fetter *et al*. 2017; Holliday *et al*. 2017). Recent achievements and data

availability from the *Q. robur* genome sequence project (Plomion *et al*. 2018) opens a large

range of applications in many related temperate and tropical *Fagaceae* species due to their

conserved synteny (Cannon *et al*. 2018). In this context, we discuss below the representativity

of our data in terms of species genomic diversity as well as the robust patterns observed

across genes, and further illustrate their past and future usefulness for *Quercus* species.

Genic resources content, quality, and representativity

We provide a high-quality polymorphism catalog based on Sanger resequencing data for more

than 850 gene regions covering ~530 kb, using a discovery panel (*DiP*) from mixed *Q. robur*

and *Q. petraea* populations located in the western and central European part of their

geographic range. This catalog details functional annotations, previous published information,

allele types, frequencies and various summary statistics within and across species, which can

assist in choosing novel polymorphic sites (SNPs, SSRs, indels…) for genotyping studies.

Among genomic SSRs, more than 90% (~200) are new (17 already detected in Durand *et al*.

2010; 3 in Guichoux *et al*. 2011), so they constitute an easy source of potentially polymorphic

markers in these oak species. Standard formats for high-density genotyping arrays and primer

information are also provided, making these resources readily operational for medium scale

molecular ecology studies while avoiding the burden of bioinformatics work needed for SNP

development   (Tables   S1   to   S5,   Supporting   information,   and   see   also

https://github.com/garniergere/Reference.Db.SNPs.Quercus for additional information). This

catalog corrects and largely extends the SNP database for Q. petraea/robur at

https://arachne.pierroton.inra.fr/QuercusPortal/ which was previously used to document a

SNP diversity surrogate for both *Quercus* species in the oak genome first public release

(Plomion *et al*. 2016).

Thanks to a high quality dedicated pipeline, we could perform a quasi-exhaustive

characterization of polymorphism types in our *DiP* and across part of the genic partition of

these *Quercus* species (see Fig. 1). Although base call error rates below 1/1000 were used (as

originally developed for Sanger sequencing), most variant sites were located in regions with

lower error rates (below 1/10000) so that true singletons could be identified. At the genotypic

level, a Sanger genotyping error rate below 1% was previously estimated using a preliminary

subset of around 1200 SNPs from this catalog (corresponding to around 5800 data points in

Lepoittevin *et al.* 2015). This rate can be considered as an upper bound for the present study,

given all additional validation and error correction steps performed. Although little produced

now with the advent of NGS methods, Sanger data have served for genome sequencing

projects in tree species before 2010 (Neale *et al*. 2017), and have been instrumental, in

combination to NGS for BAC clones sequencing, in ensuring assembly long-distance

contiguity in large genomes such as oaks (Faivre-Rampant *et al*. 2011, Plomion *et al*. 2016).

Sanger sequencing has also provided reference high-quality data to estimate false discovery or

error rates, and validate putative SNPs in larger scale projects (e.g. Geraldes *et al*. 2011 in

Populus trichocarpa; Sonah *et al*. 2013 in Soybean; Cao *et al*. 2014 in *Prunus persica*).

Finding an optimal balance between the number of samples and that of loci is critical when

aiming to provide accurate estimates of diversity or differentiation in population genetics

studies. Given the increasing availability of markers in non-model species (usually SNPs), it

has been shown by simulation (Willing *et al*. 2012, Hivert *et al*. 2018) and empirical data

(Nazareno *et al*. 2017) that sample sizes as small as 4 to 6 individuals can be sufficient to

infer differentiation when a large number of bi-allelic loci (> 1000) are being used. A broad-

scale geographic sampling is however required if the aim is to better infer genetic structure

and complex demographic scenarios involving recolonization and range shifts due to past

glacial cycles, such as those assumed for many European species (Lascoux and Petit 2010,

Keller *et al*. 2010, Jeffries *et al*. 2016, Sousa *et al*. 2014). Our sampling design is likely to

have targeted a large part of both species overall diversity and differentiation across the

resequenced genic regions. This is first suggested by the small proportion of additional

polymorphisms once an initial sample of 8 gametes was included for each species (i.e. ~10%
and decreasing as sample size increases, Fig. 4-A and Fig. S7-A, Supporting information).
Considering the *DiP* within each species, each individual brings on average ~166 new
variants (~1% of the total). Second, the large variance observed across gene nucleotide
diversity estimates (see Table 3) is mostly due to stochastic evolutionary factors rather than to
sampling effects so unlikely to be impacted by sample sizes over 10 gametes (Tajima 1983).
Third, sampling sites are located in regions which include 4 out of the 5 main cpDNA
lineages reflecting white oaks recolonization routes (lineages A to C and E in Petit *et al.*
2002a), the likely haplotypes carried by the *DiP* individuals being A to C (Table 1).

Therefore, if new populations were being sampled within the geographical range considered,
they would likely include many of the alleles observed here within species and at other genes
across their genomes. For differentiation patterns, older and more recent reports showed a low
genetic structure among distant populations within each species, and a relatively stable overall
differentiation among species compared to possible variation across geographical regions
(Bodénès *et al.* 1997; Mariette *et al.* 2002; Petit *et al.* 2003; Muir and Schlötterer 2005;
Derory *et al.* 2010; Guichoux *et al.* 2013; Gerber *et al.* 2014). For new populations sampled
outside the *DiP* geographic range, a recent application to *Q. robur* provenances located in the
low-latitude range margins of the distribution (where 3 main cpDNA lineages occur) showed
a high rate of genotyping success, a high SNP diversity, and outliers potentially involved in
abiotic stress response (Temunovic *et al.* 2020).

We further tested the frequency spectrum representativity of our range-wide *DiP* by
comparing genotypic data for a set of 530 independent SNPs (called *sanSNP* for Sanger data)
with data for the same set of SNPs obtained in Lepoittevin *et al.* (2015, called the *illuSNP* set
since it used the Illumina infinium array technology) for larger numbers of ~70 individuals
per species from Southern France natural stands. The SNPs were chosen so that the *illuSNP*
set excluded SNPs showing compressed clusters (*i.e.* potential paralogs) and those showing a
high number of inconsistencies with control genotypes, as recommended by the authors.
Comparing between datasets, for SNPs exclusive to one species in the *sanSNP* set, more than
68% either show the same pattern in the *illuSNP* set, or one where the alternative allele was at
a frequency below 5% in the other species. Less than 8% of those SNPs are common in both
species in the *illuSNP* set. Similarly, for singletons in the *sanSNP* set, more than two-third of
the corresponding SNPs in the *illuSNP* set showed very low to low frequency (<10%), while
only 11% in *Q. petraea* and 9% in *Q. robur* showed a *maf* above 0.25. This further confirms

the reality of singletons in our *DiP*, and also that some may represent more frequent

polymorphisms in larger samples of local populations. The correlations among *maf* in both

datasets were high and significant (0.66 and 0.68 respectively for *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur*,

both Pr< 0.0001).

Finally, various methodological steps and obtained results tend to demonstrate that we

avoided a bias towards low-diversity genic regions: (i) an initial verification that very low

BlastX *E*-values ($< 10^{-80}$) did not target more conserved regions, (ii) a primer design

optimizing the amplification of polymorphic fragments, both (i) and (ii) using potential

variants in ESTs data assembled across both species (Fig. S2-B steps 1 and 3; Appendix S1,

Supporting information), (iii) a high nucleotide diversity across genes and ~50% of shared

variants (Table 3 and Fig. 4), (iv) a very low proportion of fragments with no detected

variants, and a substantial part (~30%) of variant positions due to Indels and SSRs (Table 2),

(v) additional results showing that, across ~100 kb of more than 150 independent fragments

amplifying in one species only and thus with possible more divergent primer pairs, the

number of detected heterozygotes was twice smaller compared to fragments amplifying in

both species (more details in Appendix S1, Supporting information).

These results altogether suggest a small risk of SNP ascertainment bias if these new resources

were to be used in populations both within and/or outside the geographic distribution

surveyed, in contrast to panels with much less individuals than here (see respectively

Lepoittevin *et al*. 2015 for a discussion on the consequences of such bias in *Quercus* species,

and Temunovic *et al*. 2020 cited above).

Overall, we obtained sequence data for 0.072% (~530 kb) of the haploid genome of *Q. robur*

(size of ~740 Mb in Kremer *et al*. 2007). We also targeted ~3% of the 25808 gene models

described in the oak genome sequencing project (www.oakgenome.fr), and around 1% of the

gene space in length. Interestingly, both randomly chosen genic regions and those covering

different functional categories have been mapped across all linkage groups (columns F and X

in Table S1, Supporting information). Due to the absence of observed background LD, their

diversity patterns can be considered independent. The genes studied represent a large number

of categories, as illustrated by very similar distributions for level 2 GO terms to those

obtained with the larger *ocv4* assembly (Lesur *et al*. 2015, comparing their Figure 2 to Fig.

S4-A to S4-C, Supporting information).

 Diversity magnitude and heterogeneity highlight species integrity and introgression patterns

Using a detailed polymorphism typology, we characterized for the first time in two oak
species a high proportion of variant positions (30%) that included 1 bp to medium-sized
indels and sequence repeats, compared to the more common and commonly reported SNP loci
(Table 2). The proportions of indels observed (11.5% of all polymorphisms) is in the range of
results available in model tree species (e.g. 13.8% across the genome in *Prunus avium*,
Shirasawa *et al*. 2017; 19% in *Prunus persica*, Cao *et al*. 2014; a lower estimate of 1.4% in
Populus trichocarpa, Evans *et al*. 2014). Although less abundant than SNPs, they represent an
important component of nucleotide variation, often having high functional impacts when
located within coding sequences, and they have been proposed as an easy source of markers
for natural populations studies (Väli *et al*. 2008). Larger-sized indels are also likely to be
relatively frequent in intergenic regions of the *Quercus* genome and have been linked to
transposable elements (TE, see the BAC clones overlapping regions analyses in Plomion *et al*.
2016). Similarly, large indels and copy number variation linked to TE activity were identified
as an important component of variation among hybridizing *Populus* species (Pinosio *et al*.
2017). Here when considering variant positions involved in complex polymorphisms, we
observed one variant position per 48 bp on average within species (resp. one per 30 bp in
both), compared to the one SNP per 68 bp statistic (resp. one SNP per 42 bp across both
species). Also, some of the SNPs observed were located within complex polymorphic regions
that would have been classically filtered out, and nucleotide diversity (π) estimates were
higher by 12% when including all polymorphisms (from 0.0038 to 0.0044 if averaging across
both species and all genes, Table 3). These nucleotide diversity estimates are provided for the
first time in *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* across a large number genic regions (> 850), compared
to previous candidate genes studies across much smaller numbers (< 10) of gene fragments
(Kremer *et al.* 2012 in *Q. petraea*; e.g. Homolka *et al*. 2013).

Based on these data, there is an interest in attempting to estimate SNP numbers across the full
genome of the studied species for range-wide samples, as it may impact filtering strategies in
pipelines for future NGS haplotype-based data production, or decisions to develop or not SNP
arrays in these species. In order to do that, a few realistic assumptions can be made from both
the exhaustive description of variants provided, and the mean proportions of SNP numbers in
new individuals that we computed for increasing across sample sizes. First ~10% additional
rare SNPs per sample could be observed for a *DiP* twice as large as ours (based on Fig. S7-A
data, Supporting information). Thus given the representativity of our data compared to the

ocv4 unigene (Lesur *et al.* 2015), we would expect around 1.36 million SNPs on average within species by applying our statistics to the full genic partition of *Q. robur* or *Q. petraea* (~80 Mb, www.oakgenome.fr, Plomion *et al.* 2018). Another reasonable assumption is that shared and exclusive polymorphisms proportions across genic regions would be around 30% and 70% respectively, for these closely related oak species (based on both our *DiP* and Lepoittevin *et al*. 2015 results), which translates into the presence of ~2.32 million SNPs for the genic partition in a sample including both *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* (resp. ~4.22 if including also *Q. pubescens* and *Q. pyrenaica*). Finally, if we apply to the *Quercus* genome a range of ratios for SNPs counts in intergenic over genic regions estimated from several tree species natural population samples (2.03 in *Populus trichocarpa*, Zhou and Holliday 2012; 2.25 in the "3P" *Q. robur* reference genotype, Plomion *et al.* 2016; 2.57 in *Prunus persica* wild accessions, Cao *et al.* 2014), we obtain an estimate of between 34 to 42 million SNPs within species across a large spatial range (resp. 41 to 51 million SNPs in both Q. petraea and robur species, and 75 to 94 million SNPs considering the 4 species previously cited). All these figures could be at least 30% higher if one considers all possible variants involved in indels, SSRs and complex polymorphisms, as shown in our results. Although of the same order of magnitude, the contrast with the twice smaller number of SNPs identified in Leroy *et al.* 2019 (~32 millions) across the same four species with similar sample sizes than ours, could be explained by different factors. First their filtering strategy applied on Pool-seq data in order to minimize errors basically excludes all singletons. However, we have seen that verified singletons which could represent rare or local variants amounted to more than 20% of all polymorphisms (see Results). Indeed, very stringent filters are often applied in practice to limit error rates and avoid false-positives, hence limiting the impact of variable read depth and possible ascertainment bias risks, which altogether significantly decrease the number of informative loci compared to either initial fixed amounts (in genotyping arrays, e.g. Lepoittevin *et al.* 2015) or potential amounts (in reference genomes, e.g. Pina-Martins *et al*. 2019 in *Quercus* species; see also Van Dijk *et al*. 2014). Second, no cross-validation step is available in Leroy *et al.* (2019) for data quality, that would have permitted to have a better grasp of possible bias and error rate expected in such a dataset, and its consequences on allele frequency estimates and inference methods (see Hivert *et al*. 2018 and discussion below). Also, we can't exclude that a regional sampling strategy such as the one used in Leroy *et al*. (2019) might miss allelic variants with a higher *maf* in other regions for the two species having the wider geographical range.

Our nucleotide diversity estimates are consistent with those obtained from genome-wide data

and range-wide panels in angiosperm tree species, available mostly from the model genus

Populus (e.g. *P. trichocarpa*: 1 SNP per 52 bp and $\pi \sim 0.003$ across genic regions, Zhou and

Holliday 2012, Zhou *et al.* 2014, Evans *et al.* 2014, Wang *et al.* 2016; *P. tremula*: $\pi \sim 0.008$, P.

tremuloides: $\pi \sim 0.009$ across genic regions, Wang *et al.* 2016; $\pi \sim 0.0026$ to 0.0045 in a panel

including wild *Prunus persica* accessions, Cao *et al.* 2014). These diversity levels are also

within the range estimated for the long-term perennial outcrosser category in Chen *et al.*

(2017, see Fig. 1-D with a mean value of silent π close to ~ 0.005) and can be considered

relatively high in the plant kingdom if excluding annual outcrosser estimates or intermediate

otherwise. In oaks as in many other tree species with similar life history traits, these high

levels would be consistent with their longevity, large variance in reproductive success and

recolonization or introgression histories, which could have maintained deleterious loads of

various origins (Zhang *et al.* 2016, Chen *et al.* 2017, Christe *et al.* 2016b).

Comparing the nucleotide diversity distributions and examining the range of differentiation

across genic regions in our *Dip* reveal several robust patterns that altogether illustrate

historical introgression among both *Quercus* species. These two species have long been

considered as iconic examples of species exhibiting high levels of gene flow (e.g: Petit *et al.*

2003; Arnold 2006), despite more recent evidence of strong reproductive barriers (Abadie *et*

al. 2012). What has been referred to as "strong species integration" seems nevertheless clearer

in our *Dip* for *Q. robur* than for *Q. petraea*, according to genetic clustering inference without

any *a priori*. Three individuals (27%) considered as typical morphological *Q. petraea* adults

(Kremer *et al* 2002a) showed significant levels of introgression (Fig. 3). In contrast, only one

Q. robur based on morphology was introgressed to a level matching the least introgressed *Q.*

petraea individual. Discussing species delimitation, Guichoux *et al.* (2013) also showed more

robustness in assigning morphological *Q. robur* individuals to their genetic cluster,

illustrating an asymmetry in their introgression levels. We note that among our *Dip*

individuals, Qs28, one parent from two mapping pedigrees (Bodénès *et al.* 2016) is a clear F1

hybrid among both species (Fig. 3), making those pedigrees two back-crosses instead of one

cross within species and one between species.

Moreover, after excluding the four most introgressed individuals, nucleotide diversity in *Q.*

petraea was significantly higher (by $\sim 5\%$ on average) than in *Q. robur*. This effect is small,

detectable only with Wilcoxon paired ranked tests, mostly across the same ~ 200 regions

sampled randomly and in the *Abiotic stress* category, despite the very large diversity variance

across regions, and robust to excluding the highest diversity values. We also sequentially removed the three individuals with the highest *Q*-values from the *Q. petraea* cluster (Fig. 3), since they could still harbor residual heterozygosity due to recent back-crossing events and generate the pattern observed. Remarkably, the same significant patterns of higher diversity in *Q. petraea* were observed. Therefore, with 8 to 10 gametes in *Q. petraea* instead of 8 to 24 gametes in *Q. robur*, and with twice less natural stands sampled, the nucleotide diversity in *Q. petraea* was still slightly and significantly higher than in *Q. robur* (Pr<0.011 and Pr<0.026, using all polymorphisms or SNPs only respectively). Although the magnitudes of range-wide population structure within both species could differentially affect both species global diversity across our *Dip*, published results show that these are very small with similar values (~1% across SNPs, Guichoux *et al.* 2013).

The main hypotheses proposed so far to explain this difference in extent of diversity between species relate to their disparities in life-history strategies for colonizing new stands and associated predictions (Petit *et al*. 2003, Guichoux *et al*. 2013). The colonization dynamics model and patterns observed also assumes very similar effective population sizes in both species, which is a reasonable assumption due to their shared past history and the strong introgression impact at the genomic level. However, given increasing and recent evidence of pervasive effects of different types of selection across genic regions with high-throughput data (e.g. Zhang *et al*. 2016; Christe *et al.* 2016b in *Populus*; Chen *et al* 2017 for long-term perennials), alternative (and non-exclusive) hypotheses worth considering are ones of a higher genome-wide impact of selective constraints in *Q. robur (*Gillespie 2000; Hahn 2008; Cutter and Payseur 2013; Kern and Hahn 2018; e.g. Grivet *et al.* 2017). Since *Q. robur* is the most pioneering species, it has likely been submitted to very strong environmental pressures at the time of stand establishment. Selection might be efficient, given oak tree reproductive capacities, and affect variation across a large number of genes involved in abiotic and biotic responses. This would be consistent with significantly lower levels of diversity (*He*) in *Q. robur* at SNPs located in genes that were specifically enriched for abiotic stress GO terms (Guichoux *et al*. 2013, see their Table S5). Redoing here the same tests across a larger number of independent SNPs (> 1000), *Q. petraea* systematically showed the same trend of a slightly higher diversity overall, and significantly so only for the *Abiotic stress* category (*Pr*<0.01) and for a similar outlier SNP category (F_{ST} >0.4, mean He>0.15, *Pr*<0.001) than in Guichoux *et al*. (2013). In summary, the absence of the same pattern in any other functional categories might suggest that these are too broad in terms of corresponding biological pathways, hence

mixing possible selection signals of opposite effects among species, while we still detect an overall effect due to linked selection on a random set of genes, and on genes involved in abiotic stress.

Within both species, no differences in nucleotide diversity, and a very small differentiation (below 1.5%) were found on average across genes among the main cpDNA lineages (B *versus* A or C) that indicate past refugial areas and migration routes. These patterns were expected, given oaks' life history traits (e.g. high fecundity and dispersal rates), large population sizes, and plausible recolonization scenarios throughout Europe leading to current adaptive differentiation among populations at both nuclear genes and traits (Kremer *et al*. 2010). Only cpDNA ancient differentiation signals among isolated historical refugia were retained, while other putative adaptive divergence effects due to different environments were erased, as illustrated by an absence of correlations between cpDNA and nuclear or phenotypic traits divergence across populations (Kremer *et al*. 2002b). This is consistent with many events of population admixture during the last ~6000 thousands years after European regions were recolonized, as well as a very low genetic differentiation among distant populations (e.g. Guichoux *et al*. 2013), which contrasts with a much higher differentiation often observed for adaptive traits (e.g. Kremer *et al*. 2014; Sáenz-Romero *et al*. 2017). Interestingly, the very few genes with mean F_{ST} between 0.21 and 0.56 among lineages are not the same in *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* (five and seven genes respectively). Seven of them have GO terms indicating their likely expression in chloroplasts, or their interaction with chloroplastic functions. They are either housekeeping genes for basic cellular functions, or belong to biotic or abiotic stress functions (seven of them), and could be involved in local adaptation between ecologically distant populations, calling for further research in larger samples.

More generally, analyses comparing the nucleotide diversity patterns at genes involved in both species relevant biosynthesis pathways for ecological preferences (e.g. Porth *et al*. 2005; Le Provost *et al*. 2012, 2016) are clearly needed in replicated populations, for example to estimate the distribution and direction of selection effects and putative fitness impact across polymorphic sites (Stoletzki and EyreWalker 2011), or to study the interplay between different types of selection and variation in local recombination rates on both diversity and differentiation patterns (Payseur and Rieseberg 2016).

A large proportion of shared polymorphic sites (~50% in any species) highlights the close proximity of species at the genomic level, consistently with a low mean differentiation across polymorphic sites (F_{ST}~0.13, Fig. 4-C), and despite the very large heterogeneity observed

across differentiation estimates. This has now been classically interpreted (and modeled) as
reflecting a strong variance in migration and introgression rates, in oaks in particular (Leroy *et*
al. 2017), with islands of differentiation assumed to represent regions resistant to
introgression. However, interpretations of such patterns remain controversial and multiple
processes might be involved and worth exploring further in oaks, such as the effects of
heterogeneous selection (both positive and background) at linked loci (Cruickshank and Hahn
2014; Wolf and Ellegren 2017). These effects could be particularly visible in low-
recombination regions (Ortiz-Barrientos *et al*. 2016), and would further interact with the
mutational and recombination landscapes during the course of speciation (Ortiz-Barrientos
and James 2017) and during their complex demographic history.

Applications and usefulness as reference data

During this project, several studies valued part of these resources, hence illustrating their
usefulness. For example, good quality homologous sequences were also obtained for ~50 %
of the gene fragments in one individual of *Quercus ilex*. This species is relatively distant
genetically to both *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur*, belonging to a different section, so these data
guided the choice of nuclear genes for better inferring phylogenetic relationships across 108
oak species (Hubert *et al.* 2014). Bioinformatics tools and candidate genes annotated during
the project were also useful to similar genes and SNP discovery approach in *Quercus* or more
distant *Fagaceae* species (Rellstab *et al*. 2016, Lalagüe *et al.* 2014 in *Fagus sylvatica,* El
Mujtar *et al*. 2014 in *Nothofagus* species). Given the low ascertainment bias and good
conversion rate expected within the range surveyed, those genomic resources would be
directly applicable to landscape genomics studies at various spatial scales (reviewed in Fetter
et al. 2017) in both *Quercus* species. Indeed, easy filtering on provided SNP statistics in the
catalog would allow distinguishing among different classes of SNPs (e.g. exclusive to each
species, common and shared by both, linked to particular GO functional categories),
delimiting and tracing species in parentage analyses and conservation studies (e.g. Guichoux
et al. 2013; Blanc-Jolivet *et al.* 2015), or improving estimates of lifetime reproductive success
and aiming to understand how demographic history and ecological drivers of selection affect
spatial patterns of diversity or isolating barriers (Andrew *et al*. 2013; e.g. Geraldes *et al*.
2014). This type of spatial studies are surprisingly rare in these oak species, they usually
include a small number of SSR markers, and all suggest complexity in geographical patterns
of genetic variation and importance of the ecological context (e.g Neophytou *et al*. 2010;
Lagache *et al*. 2014; Klein *et al*. 2017, Beatty *et al.* 2016 for local or regional studies; Muir

and Schlötterer 2005; Gerber *et al*. 2014, Porth *et al.* 2016 for range-wide studies). Their

power and scope would likely be greatly improved by using medium-scale genotyping dataset

including a few thousands SNPs such as those described in our study.

The robust patterns described above of differentiation heterogeneity and consistent

differences in diversity magnitude among species call for more studies at both spatial and

genomic scales for unraveling these species evolutionary history, in particular regarding the

timing, tempo, dynamics and genetic basis of divergence and introgression. Practically, in

order to address those questions in oaks, genomic data on larger samples of individuals could

be obtained from either genome complexity reduction methods such as RAD-seq and similar

approaches (e.g. Andrews *et al.* 2016) or previously developed SNP arrays (e.g. Silva-Junior-

et al. 2015). We do not recommend the development of a very large SNP array in oaks since it

is likely to be very costly for the actual return, especially given the very large and range-wide

panel that would be needed to significantly limit ascertainment bias (see Lepoittevin *et al*.

2015). The very low overall levels of LD observed here indicate also potentially high

recombination rates, and thus that a very high SNP density would be required for targeting

functional variants, which would not be compatible with technical constraints for controlling

for genotyping error rates (previously shown to be high in SNP array). Indeed, these rates

would probably be stronger for high diversity, complex, duplicate or multiple copy genic

regions (as those observed in this study in Tables S1 and S4, Supporting information, and

shown recently to have an evolutionary impact on the *Q. robur* genome structure, Plomion *et*

al. 2018), preventing these regions to be included in SNP arrays. The very short LD blocks

observed in this study might also limit the utility of RADseq data alone to uncover many loci

potentially under selection in genome scans for local adaptation studies (Lowry *et al.* 2016;

McKinney *et al*. 2017). In contrast, targeted sequence capture (TSC) strategies for

resequencing (Jones and Good 2016), and the more recent advances in RADseq approaches

that deal with previous limitations (Arnold *et al*. 2013; Henning *et al*. 2014; and see Rochette

et al. 2019), although still uncommon in forest tree species evolutionary studies, might be

more useful and efficient since they can be oriented towards recovering long genomic

fragments. They would thus allow more powerful site frequency spectrum and haplotype-

based inferences to be pursued, therefore avoiding most of the SNP arrays technical issues

(e.g. Zhou *et al.* 2014; Wang *et al*. 2016), especially given the large variance in nucleotide

diversity and low overall differentiation characterized here. TSC approaches will surely be

encouraged and tailored to specific evolutionary research questions in oaks in the next decade,

given the new *Q. robur* genome sequence availability (Plomion *et al*. 2018; Lesur *et al*. 2018
for the first TSC in oaks). However, the bioinformatics pipelines needed for validating
haplotype-based or quality data for population genetics inferences also need constant
reassessment according to research questions and chosen technology.

We thus propose, in addition to direct applications to landscape genetics (detailed above) and
transferability to other *Quercus* species (for example using primer information in Table S1,
Supporting information, and see Chen *et al*. 2016), that the high-quality data characterized in
this study serve as a reference for such validation purposes. They could not only help for
adjusting parameters in pipelines for data outputs, but also allow estimating genotyping error
rates for SNP and more complex classes of variants, either by comparing general patterns (e.g
maf distribution from Tables S3, S4 Supporting information) or using the same control
individuals maintained in common garden that could be included in larger-scale studies. Such
a reference catalog of SNPs and other types of polymorphisms within gene fragments could
also be very useful for solid cross-validation of variants identification, allele frequency and
other derived summary statistics in alternative strategies such as *Pool-Seq*, which allow
increasing genomic coverage while sampling cost-effectively by pooling individuals
(Schlötterer *et al.* 2014). Indeed, the drawback of *Pool-Seq* approaches, despite dedicated
software (PoPoolation2, Kofler *et al*. 2011) is that they can give strongly biaised estimates, or
ones that do not consider evolutionary sampling (Hivert *et al*. 2018). Therefore, they require
further validation methods which usually value previously developed high-quality and lower-
scale data (e.g. Pool-Seq *versus* Sanger and Rad-Seq in Christe *et al*. 2016b; Illumina GA2
versus Sanger in Cao *et al*. 2014; EUChip60K *versus* deep-whole genome resequencing in
Silva-Junior *et al.* 2015). Finally such a reference dataset would help optimizing the amount
of data recovery from either TSC or whole-genome resequencing experiments in future
research challenges by fine-tuning dedicated data processing bioinformatics pipelines.

Data Accessibility

The original assembly used for selecting contigs is in Appendix S2 (Supporting information).
For Sanger trace files (with data on at least 2 individuals), see the Dryad repository (at the
https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.4mw6m906j link). Consensus sequences are respectively in
appendices S3 (used to design primers and for functional annotation, see also Table S2), S4
(genomic sequences obtained), and S5 (genomic sequences obtained for *Q. ilex*). Tables S1
and S2 correct and extend the oak Candidate Genes Database of the Quercus Portal
(www.evoltree.eu/index.php/e-recources/databases/candidate-genes). SNP, indel and SSR

catalogs and positions within genomic consensus sequences, and ready-to-use format for
genotyping essays are provided in Tables S3 to S5 (Supporting information), and at
https://github.com/garniergere/Reference.Db.SNPs.Quercus with additional information.
Bioperl scripts from the SeqQual pipeline are given at
https://github.com/garniergere/SeqQual, example of parameter files and scripts for
STRUCTURE analyses and parsing MREPS software are given at
https://github.com/garniergere/Reference.Db.SNPs.Quercus

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Alexis Ducousso, Jean-Marc Louvet, Guy Roussel, Pablo Goicoecha,
Hervé le Bouler, Félix Gugerli, Csaba Matyas, Sandor Bordacs, Hans P. Koelewijn, Joukje
Buiteveld, Stephen Cavers, Bernd Degen and Jutta Buschbom for choosing trees and
providing dried leaves of individuals from various Intensive Study Populations of previous
European projects populations. We are grateful to H. Lalagüe, G. Vendramin, I. Scotti, and L.
Brousseau for testing earlier scripts of SeqQual and to I. Lesur for help in using the *ocv4* oak
resources. The sequencing work was funded by the EVOLTREE network of Excellence (EU
contract n°016322). TL post-doc fellowship was funded by the ANR TRANSBIODIV (06-
BDIV-003-04) and LINKTREE (contract n°2008-966). TD salary was funded by the ANR
REALTIME (N°59000256). Computing facilities of the Mésocentre de calcul Intensif
Aquitain des Universités de Bordeaux, de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour are thanked for
providing computer time for this study. We also thank Rémy Petit for funding part of TL
fellowship and support in developing SeqQual tools. PA received a Ph.D. grant (2009-2011)
from the « Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la
Recherche » of France, and additional funding from EVOLTREE. We thank Oliver Brendel,
Ricardo Alia, Komlan Avia and Hilke Schröder for reviewing the manuscript and for their
constructive comments.

Conflict of interest disclosure

883   The authors of this article declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with the
884   content of this article.

References

Abadie P, Roussel G, Dencausse B*, et al.* (2012) Strength, diversity and plasticity of
postmating reproductive barriers between two hybridizing oak species (*Quercus robur* L. and
Quercus petraea (Matt) Liebl.). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **25**, 157-173.

Abbott RJ, James JK, Milne RI, Gillies ACM (2003) Plant introductions, hybridization and
gene flow. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*,
358, 1123–1132.

Andrew RL, Bernatchez L, Bonin A *et al.* (2013) A road map for molecular ecology.
Molecular Ecology, **22**, 2605–2626.

Andrews KR, Good JM, Miller MR, Luikart G, Hohenlohe PA (2016) Harnessing the power
of RADseq for ecological and evolutionary genomics. Nature Reviews Genetics, 17(2): 81-
92.

Arnold ML (2006) Evolution through genetic exchange. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Arnold B, Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl D, Bomblies K (2013) RADseq underestimates diversity
and introduces genealogical biases due to nonrandom haplotype sampling. *Molecular biology*
22: 3179-3190.

Beatty GE, Montgommery WI, Spaans F, Tosh DG, Provan J (2016) Pure species in a
continuum of genetic and morphological variation: sympatric oaks at the edge of their range.
Annals of Botany, **117**, 541-549.

Blanc-Jolivet C, Liesebach M (2015) Tracing the origin and species identity of *Quercus robur*
and *Quercus petraea* in Europe: a review. *Silvae Genetica* **64(4)**, 182–193.Bodénès C, Labbe
T, Pradère S, Kremer A (1997) General vs. local differentiation between two closely related
white oak species. *Molecular Ecology*, **6**: 713-724.

Bodénès C, Chancerel E, Ehrenmann F, Kremer A, Plomion C (2016) High-density linkage
mapping and distribution of segregation distorsion regions in the oak genome. *DNA Research*,
23, 115-124.

Bodénès C, Chancerel E, Gailing O*, et al.* (2012) Comparative mapping in the Fagaceae and
beyond with EST-SSRs. *BMC Plant Biology*, **12**, 153.

Bodénès C, Chancerel E, Murat F, *et al.* (2012) Comparative mapping in the Fagaceae and
beyond using EST-SSRs. *BMC Plant Biology*, 12, 153.

Brewer S, Cheddadi R, De Beaulieu JL, Reille M, Data contributors (2002) The spread of
deciduous *Quercus* throughout Europe since the last glacial period. *Forest Ecology and*
Management, **156**, 27–48.

Brousseau L, Tinaut A, Duret C*, et al.* (2014) High-throughput transcriptome sequencing and
preliminary functional analysis in four neotropical tree species. *BMC Genomics*, **15**, 238.

Buerkle CA, Gompert Z, Parchman TL (2011) The n=1 constraint in population genomics.
Molecular Ecology, **20**, 1575–1581.

Cannon CH, Brendel O, Deng M *et al*. (2018) Gaining a global perspective on *Fagaceae*
genomic diversification and adaptation. *New Phytologist*, *218*, 894-897.

Cao K, Zheng Z, Wang L *et al. (*2014*)* Comparative population genomics reveals the
domestication history of the peach, *Prunus persica,* and human influences on perennial fruit
crops. *Genome Biology,* **15***,* 415.

Casasoli M, Derory J, Morera-Dutrey C, *et al*. (2006) Comparison of QTLs for adaptive traits
between oak and chestnut based on an EST consensus map. *Genetics*, **172**, 533–546.

Cavender-Bares J (2016) Diversity, distributions, and ecosystem services of the North-
American oaks. *International oaks*, **27**, 37-48.

Chen J, Glémin S, Lascoux M (2017) Genetic diversity and the efficacy of purifying selection
across plant and animal species. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **34**, 1417–1428.

Chen J, Zeng Y-F, Liao W-J *et al*. (2016) A novel set of single-copy nuclear gene markers in
white oak and implications for species delimitation. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, **13**, 50.

Christe C, Stolting KN, Bresadola L, *et al*. (2016a) Selection against recombinant hybrids
maintains reproductive isolation in hybridizing *Populus* species despite F1 fertility and
recurrent gene flow. *Molecular Ecology*, **25**, 2482–2498.

Christe C, Stölting KN, Paris M, *et al*. (2016b) Adaptive evolution and segregating load
contribute to the genomic landscape of divergence in two tree species connected by episodic
gene flow. *Molecular Ecology*, **26**, 59-76.

Conesa A, Götz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, *et al*. (2005) Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation,
visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics*, **21(18)**, 3674–
3676.

Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW (2014) Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of speciation are
due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. *Molecular Ecology,* **23**, 3133–3157.

Currat M, Ruedi M, Petit RJ, Excoffier L (2008) The hidden side of invasions: massive
introgression by local genes. *Evolution*, **62**, 1908–1920.

Curtu AL, Gailing O, Finkeldey R (2007) Evidence for hybridization and introgression within
a species-rich oak (*Quercus* spp.) community. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **7**, 218.

Cutter AD, Payseur BA (2013) Genomic signatures of selection at linked sites: unifying the
disparity among species. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **14**, 262–274.

Derory J, Scotti-Saintagne C, Bertocchi E*, et al.* (2010) Contrasting relationships between the
diversity of candidate genes and variation of bud burst in natural and segregating populations
of European oaks. *Heredity*, **104**, 438-448.

Durand J, Bodénès C, Chancerel E, *et al*. (2010) A fast and cost-effective approach to develop

and map EST-SSR markers: oak as a case study. *BMC Genomics*, **11**, 570.

El Mujtar VA, Gallo LA, Lang T, Garnier-Gere P (2014) Development of genomic resources

for *Nothofagus* species using next-generation sequencing data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*,

14, 1281–1295.

Evans LM, Slavov GT, Rodgers-Melnick E, *et al*. (2014) Population genomics of *Populus*

trichocarpa identifies signatures of selection and adaptive trait associations. *Nature Genetics,*

46, 1089–1096

Evans LM, Slavov GT, Rodgers-Melnick E, *et al*. (2014) Population genomics of Populus

Trichocarpa identifies signatures of selection and adaptive trait associations. *Nature Genetics*,

46, 1089-1096.

Eveno E, Collada C, Guevara MA, *et al*. (2008) Contrasting patterns of selection at Pinus

pinaster Ait. drought stress candidate genes as revealed by genetic differentiation analyses.

Molecular Biology and Evolution **25**: 417-437.

Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P (1998) Base-calling of automated sequencer traces

using phred. I. Accuracy assessment. *Genome research*, **8**, 175–185.

Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform

population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **10**,

564–567.

Excoffier L (2007) Analysis of population subdivision. Pages 980-1020 in Handbook of

Statistical Genetics. 3rd ed. DJ Balding, M. Bishop, and C. Cannings, ed. Wiley, Chichester,

West Sussex, UK.

Faivre-Rampant P, Lesur I, Boussardon C *et al*. (2011) Analysis of BAC end sequences in

oak, a keystone forest tree species, providing insight into the composition of its genome. *BMC*

Genomics, **12**, 292.

Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2003) Inference of population structure using multilocus

genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics*, **164**, 1567–1587.

Fetter KC, Gugger PF, Keller SR (2017) Landscape Genomics of Angiosperm Trees: From

historic Roots to Discovering New Branches of Adaptive Evolution. In Groover A. and Cronk

Q. (eds) *Comparative and evolutionary genomics of angiosperm trees, Plant Genetics and*

Genomics: Crops and Models. New York, Springer.

Geraldes A, Farzaneh N, Grassa CJ, *et al.* (2014) Landscape genomics of *Populus*

trichocarpa: the role of hybridization, limited gene flow, and natural selection in shaping

patterns of population structure. *Evolution*, **68**, 3260–80.

Geraldes A, Pang J, Thiessen N, *et al.* (2011) SNP discovery in black cottonwood (*Populus*
trichocarpa) by population transcriptome resequencing. *Molecular Ecology Resources,* **11**
(Suppl. 1), 81–92.

Gerber S, Chadœuf J, Gugerli F *et al*. (2014) High rates of gene flow by pollen and seed in
oak populations across Europe. *PLoS ONE*, **9**, e85130.

Gilbert KJ, Andrew RL, Bock DG *et al.* (2012) Recommendations for utilizing and reporting
population genetic analyses: the reproducibility of genetic clustering using the program
STRUCTURE. *Molecular ecology*, **21**, 4925–4930.

Gillespie JH (2000) Genetic drift in an infinite population: the pseudohitchhiking model.
Genetics, **155**, 909–919.

Grivet D, Avia K, Vaattovaara A, Eckert AJ, Neale DB, Savolainen O, Gonzalez-Martınez
SC. 2017. High rate of adaptive evolution in two widespread European pines. *Molecular*
Ecology, **26**, 6857–6870.

Grivet D, Deguilloux M-F, Petit RJ, Sork VL (2006) Contrasting patterns of historical
colonization in white oaks (*Quercus* spp.) in California and Europe. *Molecular Ecology* **15**,
4085–93.

Gugger PF, Cokus SJ, Sork VL (2016) Association of transcriptome-wide sequence variation
with climate gradients in valley oak (*Quercus lobata*). *Tree Genetics and Genomes*, **12**, 15.

Guichoux E, Garnier-Géré P, Lagache L *et al.* (2013) Outlier loci highlight the direction of
introgression in oaks. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 450–462.

Guichoux E, Lagache L, Wagner S, Léger P, Petit RJ (2011) Two highly-validated multiplex
(12-plex and 8-plex) for species delimitation and parentage analysis in oaks (*Quercus* spp.)
Molecular Ecology Resources, **11**, 578–585.

Hahn MW. 2008. Toward a selection theory of molecular evolution. Evolution 62(2):255–
265.

Hedrick PW (2005) A standardized genetic differentiation measure. *Evolution*, **59**, 1633–
1638.

Henning F, Lee HJ, Franchini P, Meyer A (2014) Genetic mapping of horizontal stripes in
Lake Victoria cichlid fishes: benefits and pitfalls of using RAD marker for dense linkage
mapping. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 5224–5240.

Hewitt GM (2000) The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature* **405**, 907–913.

Hivert V, Leblois R, Petit EJ, Gautier M, Vitalis R (2018) Measuring genetic differentiation
from Pool-seq data. *Genetics,* **210**, 315-330.

Holliday JA, Aitken SN, Cooke JEK, *et al*. (2017) Advances in ecological genomics in forest trees and applications to genetic resources conservation and breeding. *Molecular Ecology*, **26**, 706–717.

Homolka A, Schueler S, Burg K, Fluch S, Kremer A (2013) Insights into drought adaptation of two European oak species revealed by nucleotide diversity of candidate genes. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, **9,** 1179-1192.

Hubert F, Grimm GW, Jousselin E*, et al.* (2014) Multiple nuclear genes stabilize the phylogenetic backbone of the genus Quercus. *Systematics and Biodiversity*, **12**, 405–423.

Jeffries DL, Copp GH, Lawson Handley L, *et al.* (2016) Comparing RADseq and microsatellites to infer complex phylogeographic patterns, an empirical perspective in the Crucian carp, *Carassius carassius*, L. *Molecular Ecology*, **25**, 2997–3018.

Jensen J, Larsen A, Nielsen LR, Cottrell J (2009) Hybridization between *Quercus robur* and *Q. Petraea* in a mixed oak stand in Denmark. *Annals of Forest Science*, **66**, 706.

Jones MR, Good JM (2016) Targeted capture in evolutionary and ecological genomics. *Molecular Ecology,* **25**,185–202.

Jones MR, Good JM (2016) Targeted capture in evolutionary and ecological genomics. *Molecular Ecology,* **25**, 185–202.

Keller SR, Olson MS, Silim S *et al.* (2010) Genomic diversity, population structure, and migration following rapid range expansion in the Balsam Poplar, *Populus balsamifera*. *Molecular Ecology*, 19, 1212–1226.

Kern AD, Hahn MW (2018) The neutral theory in light of natural selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **35**, 1366-1371.

Klein EK, Lagache-Navarro L, Petit RJ (2017) Demographic and spatial determinants of hybridization rate. *Journal of Ecology*, **105**, 29–38.

Kofler R, Pandey RV, Schlotterer C (2011) PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics,* **27**, 3435–3436.

Kolpakov R, Bana G, Kucherov G (2003) mreps: efficient and flexible detection of tandem repeats in DNA. *Nucleic Acid Research*, **31**, 3672–3678.

Kremer A, Abbott A, Carlson J*, et al.* (2012) Genomics of Fagaceae. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, **8**, 583–610.

Kremer A, Casasoli M, Barreneche T, *et al*. (2007) Fagaceae. In: Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants (ed. Kole CR), Vol 7 *Forest Trees*, pp. 165–187. Springer, Heidelberg, Berlin, New York, Tokyo.

Kremer A, Dupouey JL, Deans JD, *et al.* (2002a) Leaf morphological differentiation between
Quercus robur and *Quercus petraea* is stable across western European mixed oak stands.
Annals of Forest Science, **59**, 777–787.

Kremer A**,** Kleinshmit J**,** Cotrell J**,** Cundall EP**,** Deans JD**,** *et al.* (2002b) Is there a correlation
between chloroplastic and nuclear divergence, or what are the roles of history and selection on
genetic diversity in European oaks? *Forest Ecology and Management* 156:75-

Kremer A, Le Corre V, Petit RJ, Ducousso A (2010) Historical and contemporary dynamics
of adaptive differentiation in European oaks. In *Molecular Approaches in Natural Resource*
Conservation. Eds. DeWoody, A., Bickham, J., Michler, C., Nichols, K., Rhodes, G. and
Woeste, K., Cambridge University Press, pp. 101-122.

Kremer A, Potts BM, Delzon S (2014) Genetic divergence in forest trees: understanding the
consequences of climate change. *Functional Ecology* **28**, 22–36.

Lagache L, Klein EK, Ducousso A, Petit RJ (2014) Distinct male reproductive strategies in
two closely related oak species. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 4331–4343.

Lalagüe H, Csilléry K, Oddou-Muratorio S, Safrana J, de Quattro C, Fady B, Gonzalez-
Martinez SC, Vendramin GG (2014) Nucleotide diversity and linkage disequilibrium at 58
stress response and phenology candidate genes in a European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*)
population from southeastern France. *Molecular Ecology* **23**, 4696-4708.

Lascoux M, Petit RJ (2010) The'New Wave'in plant demographic inference: more loci and
more individuals. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 1075–1078.

Le Provost G, Lesur I, Lalanne C *et al.* (2016) Implication of the suberin pathway in
adaptation to waterlogging and hypertrophied lenticels formation in pedunculate oak
(*Quercus robur* L.). *Tree Physiology*, **36**, 1330–1342.

Le Provost G, Sulmon C, Frigerio JM*, et al.* (2012) Role of waterlogging-responsive genes in
shaping interspecific differentiation between two sympatric oak species. *Tree Physiology*, **32**,
119–134.

Lepais O, Gerber S (2011) Reproductive patterns shape introgression dynamics and species
succession within the European white oak species complex. *Evolution*, **65**(1), 156–170.

Lepais O, Petit RJ, Guichoux E, *et al*. (2009) Species relative abundance and direction of
introgression in oaks. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 2228–2242.

Lepais O, Roussel G, Hubert F, Kremer A, Gerber S (2013) Strength and variability of
postmating reproductive isolating barriers between four European white oak species. *Tree*
Genetics Genomes, **9**(3), 841–853.

Lepoittevin C, Bodénès C, Chancerel E, *et al*. (2015) Single-nucleotide polymorphism
discovery and validation in high density SNP array for genetic analysis in European white
oaks. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **15**, 1446–1459.

Leroy T, Roux C, Villate L, Bodénès C, Romiguier J, Paiva JAP, *et al*. (2017). Extensive
recent secondary contacts between four European white oak species. *New Phytologist*, **214**,
865–878.

Leroy T, Rougemont Q, Dupouey J-L, Bodénès C, Lalanne C, Belser C, Labadie K, Le
Provost G, Aury J-M, Kremer A, Plomion C (2019) Massive postglacial gene flow between
European white oaks uncovered genes underlying species barriers. *New Phytologist* early
view, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16039.

Lesur I, Alexandre H, Boury C, *et al*. (2018) Development of target sequence capture and
estimation of genomic relatedness in a mixed oak stand. *Frontiers in Plant Science*
(METHODS), doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00996.

Lesur I, Le Provost G, Bento P, *et al*. (2015) The oak gene expression atlas: insights into
Fagaceae genome evolution and the discovery of genes regulated during bud dormancy
release. *BMC Genomics*, **16**, 112.

Lowry DB, Hoban S, Kelley JL *et al*. (2016) Breaking RAD: an evaluation of the utility of
restriction site-associated DNA sequencing for genome scans of adaptation. *Molecular*
Ecology Resources, 17, 142–152.

Mariette S, Cottrell J, Csaikl UM, Goikoechea P, Nig A, Lowe AJ*, et al.* (2002) Comparison
of levels of genetic diversity detected with AFLP and microsatellite markers within and
among mixed *Q. petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. and *Q. robur* L. stands. *Silvae Genet.* **51**: 72-79.

McKinney GJ, Larson WA, Seeb LW, Seeb JE (2017) RADseq provides unprecedented
insights into molecular ecology and evolutionary genetics: Comment on Breaking RAD by
Lowry et al (2016). *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17(3), 356–361.

Mishra B, Gupta DK, Pfenniger M, *et al*. (2018) A reference genome of the European beech
(*Fagus sylvatica* L.) *GigaScience*, **7**:6. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giy063.

Muir G, Fleming CC, Schlötterer C (2000) Species status of hybridizing oaks. *Nature*, **405**,
1016.

Muir G, Schlötterer C (2005) Evidence for shared ancestral polymorphism rather than
recurrent gene flow at microsatellite loci differentiating two hybridizing oaks (*Quercus* spp.).
Molecular Ecology, **14**, 549 –561.

Nazareno A. G., Bemmels, J. B., Dick, C. W., & Lohmann, L. G. (2017). Minimum sample sizes for population genomics: An empirical study from an Amazonian plant species. *Molecular Ecology Resources,* **17**, 1136–1147.

Neale DB, Kremer A (2011) Forest tree genomics: growing resources and applications. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **12**, 111–122.

Neale DB, Langley CH, Salzberg SL, Wegrzyn JL (2013) Open access to tree genomes: the path to a better forest. *Genome Biology,* **14**: 120.

Neale DB, Martínez-García PJ, La Torre De AR, Montanari S, Wei X-X (2017) Novel insights into tree biology and genome evolution as revealed through genomics. *Annual Reviews of Plant Biology*, **68**, 457–483.

Nei M (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. New York, Columbia University Press.

Nei M. (1977) F-statistics and analysis of gene diversity in sub-divided populations. *Annals of Human Genetics*, 41, 225–233.

Neophytou C, Gärtner SM, Vargas-Gaete R, Michiels H-G (2015) Genetic variation of Central European oaks: shaped by evolutionary factors and human intervention? *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, **11**, 79.

Nickerson DA, Tobe VO, Taylor SL (1997) PolyPhred: automating the detection and genotyping of single nucleotide substitutions using fluorescence-based resequencing. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **25**, 2745–2751.

Ortiz-Barrientos D, Baack EJ (2014) Species integrity in trees. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 4188-4191.

Ortiz-Barrientos D, Engelstädter J, Rieseberg LH (2016) Recombination rate evolution and the origin of species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **31**, 226–236.

Ortiz-Barrientos D, James ME (2017) Evolution of recombination rates and the genomic landscape of speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **30**,

Parent GJ, Raherison E, Sena J, Mackay JJ (2015) Forest tree genomics: review of progress. In Plomion C, Adam-Blondonpp A-F (eds) Land Plants - Trees. *Advances in Botanical Research,* **74**, 39–92, London: Academic Press, Elsevier.

Payseur BA, Rieseberg LH (2016). A genomic perspective on hybridization and speciation. *Molecular Ecology,* **25**, 2337– 2360.

Petit RJ, Bodénès C, Ducousso A, Roussel G, Kremer A (2003) Hybridization as a mechanism of invasion in oaks. *New Phytologist,* **161**: 151-164.

Petit RJ, Carlson J, Curtu AL*, et al.* (2013) Fagaceae trees as models to integrate ecology, evolution and genomics. *New Phytologist*, **197**, 369–371.

Petit RJ, Brewer S, Bordacs S*, et al.* (2002a) Identification of refugia and post-glacial colonisation routes of European white oaks based on chloroplast DNA and fossil pollen evidence. *Forest Ecology and Management* **156**, 49-74.

Petit RJ, Csaikl UM, Bordács S, *et al*. (2002b) Chloroplast DNA variation in European white oaks: phylogeography and patterns of diversity based on data from over 2600 populations. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **156(1-3),** 5-26.

Pina-Martins JB, Batista J, Pappas G, Paulo OS (2019) New insights into adaptation and population structure of cork oak using genotyping by sequencing. *Global Change Biology* **25**:337–350.

Pinosio S, Giacomello S, Faivre-Rampant P, *et al*. (2016) Characterization of the poplar pan-genome by genome-wide identification of structural variation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33,** 2706–2719.

Plomion C, Aury J-M, Amselem J, *et al*. (2016) Decoding the oak genome: public release of sequence data, assembly, annotation and publication strategies. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **16**, 254–265.

Plomion C, Aury JM, Amselem J, *et al*. (2018) Oak genome reveals facets of long lifespan. *Nature Plants,* **4**, 440–452.

Porth I, Garnier-Géré P, Klapste J, Scotti-Saintagne, El-Kassaby YA, Burg K, Kremer A (2016) Species-specific alleles at a *β*-tubulin gene show significant association with leaf morphological variation within *Quercus petraea* and *Q. robur* populations. Tree Genetics & Genomes **12**: 81.

Porth I, Koch M, Berenyi M, *et al*. (2005) Identification of adaptation-specific differences in mRNA expression of sessile and pedunculate oak based on osmotic-stress induced genes. *Tree Physiology*, **25**, 1317–1329.

Prunier J, Caron S, MacKay J (2017) CNVs into the wild: Screening the genomes of conifer trees (*Picea* spp.) reveals fewer gene copy number variations in hybrids and links to adaptation. *BMC Genomics*, **18**, 97.

Ramos AM, Usié A, Barbosa P, *et al*. (2018) The draft genome sequence of cork oak. Scientific Data, 5, 180069, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.69

Rellstab C, Zoller S, Walthert L, *et al*. (2016) Signatures of local adaptation in candidate genes of oaks (*Quercus* spp.) with respect to present and future climatic conditions. Molecular Ecology, 25, 5907-5924.

Rochette NC, Rivera-Colón AG, Catchen JM (2019) Stacks 2: Analytical methods for paired-
end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(21),
4737-4754.

Rousset F (2008) Genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for
Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **8**, 103-106.

Roux C, Fraïsse C, Castric V, Vekemans X, Pogson GH, Bierne N (2014) Can we continue to
neglect genomic variation in introgression rates when inferring the history of speciation? A
case study in a *Mytilus* hybrid zone. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 27, 1662-1675.

Sáenz-Romero C, Lamy J-B, Ducousso A, Musch B, Ehrenmann F, Delzon S, Cavers S,
Chałupka W, Dağdaş S, Hansen JK *et al.* (2017) Adaptive and plastic responses of Quercus
petraea populations to climate across Europe. *Global Change Biology* 23: 2831–2847.

Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR (1977) DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors.
Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 74:5463-5467.Savolainen O, Pyhajarvi T, Knurr T (2007) Gene
flow and local adaptation in trees. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*, **38**,
595–619.

Schlötterer C, Tobler R, Kofler R, Nolte V (2014) Sequencing pools of individuals-mining
genome-wide polymorphism data without big funding. Nature Reviews Genetics, 15, 749–
763.

Shirasawa K, Isuzugawa K, Ikenaga M, *et al.* (2017) The genome sequence of sweet cherry
(*Prunus avium)* for use in genomics-assisted breeding. *DNA Research*, **24(5),** 499-508.

Silva-Junior OB, Faria DA, Grattapaglia (2015) A flexible multi-species 60K SNP chip
developed from pooled resequencing of 240 *Eucalyptus* tree genomes across 12 species. *New
Phytologist* **206**, 1527-1540.

Sonah H, Bastien M, Iquira E, *et al.* (2013) An improved genotyping by sequencing (GBS)
approach offering increased versatility and efficiency of SNP discovery and genotyping. *PLoS
One* 8(1), e54603.

Sork VL, Fitz-Gibbon ST, Puiu D, *et al.* 2016 First draft assembly and annotation of the
genome of a California endemic oak *Quercus lobata* Née (Fagaceae). *G3: Genes Genomes
Genetics,* **6 (11),** 3485–3495.

Sousa **VC**, Peischl S, Excoffier L (2014) Impact of range expansions on current human
genomic diversity. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, **29**, 22-30

Stoletzki N, Eyre-Walker A (2011) Estimation of the neutrality index. *Molecular Biology and
Evolution*, **28**, 63–70.

Tajima F (1983) Evolutionary relationship of DNA sequences in finite populations. *Genetics*, **105**, 437-460.

Tajima F (1993) Measurement of DNA polymorphism. In: *Mechanisms of Molecular Evolution. Introduction to Molecular Paleopopulation Biology*, edited by Takahata, N. and Clark, A.G., Tokyo, Sunderland, MA: Japan Scientific Societies Press, Sinauer Associates, Inc., p. 37-59.

Tarkka MT, Herrmann S, Wubet T, *et al*. (2013) OakContigDF159.1, a reference library for studying differential gene expression in *Quercus robur* during controlled biotic interactions: use for quantitative transcriptomic profiling of oak roots in ectomycorrhizal symbiosis. *New Phytologist*, **199**, 529–540.

Tine M, Kuhl H, Gagnaire P-A, *et al*. (2014) European sea bass genome and its variation provide insights into adaptation to euryhalinity and speciation. Nature Communications, **5**, 5770.

Tuskan GA, DiFazio S, Jansson S, *et al*. (2006) The genome of black cottonwood, *Populus trichocarpa* (Torr. & Gray). *Science* 2006, **313(5793)**:1596–1604.

Ueno S, Le Provost G, Leger V*, et al.* (2010) Bioinformatic analysis of ESTs collected by Sanger and pyrosequencing methods for a keystone forest tree species: oak. *BMC Genomics*, **11**, 650.

Valbuena-Carabana M, González-Martínez S, Sork V*, et al.* (2005) Gene flow and hybridisation in a mixed oak forest (*Quercus pyrenaica* Willd. and *Quercus petraea* (Matts.) Liebl.) in central Spain. *Heredity*, **95**, 457–465.

Väli U, Brandström M, Johansson M, Ellegren H (2008) Insertion-deletion polymorphisms (indels) as genetic markers in natural populations. *BMC Genetics*, **9**, 8.

Van Dijk EL, Auger H, Jaszczyszyn Y, Thermes C (2014) Ten years of next-generation sequencing technology. *Trends in Genetics*, **30**, 418–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2014.07.001

Verde I, Abbot GA, Scalabrin S*, et al.* (2013) The high-quality draft genome of peach (*Prunus persica*) identifies unique patterns of genetic diversity, domestication and genome evolution. *Nature Genetics*, **45**, 487–494.

Verde I, Jenkins J, Dondini L, *et al.* (2017) The Peach v2.0 release: high-resolution linkage mapping and deep resequencing improve chromosome-scale assembly and contiguity. *BMC Genomics*, **18**, 1-18.

Wang J, Street NR, Scofield DG, Ingvarsson PK (2016) Natural selection and recombination rate variation shape nucleotide polymorphism across the genomes of three related *Populus* species. *Genetics*, **202**, 1185-1200.

Warr A, Robert C, Hume D, *et al.* (2015) Exome sequencing : Current and Future perspectives. *Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, **5**, 1543-1550.

Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating *F*-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, **38**, 1358–1370.

Weir BS, Hill WG (2002) Estimating F-statistics. *Annual Review of Genetics*, **36**, 721–750.

Willing E-M, Dreyer C, van Oosterhout C (2012) Estimates of genetic differentiation measured by F_{ST} do not necessarily require large sample sizes when using many SNP markers. PLoS ONE, **7**, e42649.

Wolf JB, Ellegren H (2017) Making sense of genomic islands of differentiation in light of speciation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **18**, 87–100.

Wu CI (2001) The genic view of the process of speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **14**, 851–865.

Zanetto A, Roussel G, Kremer A (1994) Geographic variation of inter- specific differentiation between *Quercus robur* L. and *Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. *Forest Genetics*, **1**, 111-123.

Zhang M, Zhou L, Bawa R, Suren H, Holliday JA (2016) Recombination rate variation, hitchhiking, and demographic history shape deleterious load in poplar. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33**, 2899–2910.

Zhou L, Bawa R, Holliday JA. 2014. Exome resequencing reveals signatures of demographic and adaptive processes across the genome and range of black cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa). *Molecular Ecology,* **23**, 2486–2499.

Zhou L, Holliday JA (2012) Targeted enrichment of the black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*) gene space using sequence capture. *BMC Genomics*, **13**, 703.

Author contributions

Funding acquisition: AK, PGG, CP, and MLDL; Initial conception and individuals sampling: PGG, AK, CP, MPR, VL; Bioinformatics strategy and experimental design: PGG, TL; DNA extraction and quality check: VL; Sequence Data acquisition: PGG, CP, TL, VL; Individuals' identification checks for quality control VL, CL, PL; Pilot study: VL, PGG; Working assembly: JMF, PGG: Primer design and amplicon choice: PGG, VL, TD; Original candidate gene lists choice: PGG, TL, JMF, CP, AK, TD, CR, MLDL, GLP, ChB, EG, CaB, NT, PA;

Bioinformatics tools: TL and PGG (SeqQual pipeline and R scripts), JMF and AF (Bioperl
and R scripts), PA, CL, VelM, JT, FH, TD (SeqQual tests), FR (website); Visual
Chromatogram checks, SNP/assembly validations: PGG, VL, TD, PA, TL, MLDL, CaB,
ChB, CL, CR and EG; Bioinformatic and population genetic analyses: PGG, TL, SM, ChB:
Functional annotation: TL, PGG, VelM, PA; Manuscript draft: PGG; Manuscript review and
edition: PGG, SM, CL, ChB, TL; all authors agreed on the manuscript.
1291

Supporting Information

Fig. S1 Sampling site locations within the natural geographic distribution of *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur*. Vector map is from *http://www.naturalearthdata.com* and distribution areas from Euforgen (*http://www.euforgen.org/distribution-maps/*)

Fig. S2 Working assembly steps and softwares (A), and bioinformatic strategy for search of candidate genes and amplicon choice (B).

Fig. S3 Plots of the ΔK values from the Evanno *et al*. (2005) method (S3-A, -B, -C, -D, -E), and of the mean values of the estimated probability ln (of the data given K) with standard deviations for K ranging from 1 to 5 (S3-F to S3-J), which show support for K=2. Plots are from the STRUCTURE HARVESTER program.

Fig. S4 Distributions of Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the consensus sequences in Appendix S3, at level 2 (-A, -B, -C) and level 3 (-D, -E, -F): A- and D- for Biological Process, B- and E- for Molecular Function, C- and F- for Cellular Component. Annotation rules: E-value$<10^{-30}$, annotation cut-off 70, GO weight 5, HSP coverage cutoff 33%. Filtering applies for at least 5 sequences and a node score of 5 per GO term (but see rare exceptions in Table S2).

Fig. S5 Distributions of GO terms across different gene lists (*bud*, *abiotic* and *biotic*) at Biological Level 2, and Fisher exact tests across pairs of sequence clusters with the same GO terms between the random list and other lists. Significance levels *: $P<0.05$.

Fig. S6-A to S6-J Posterior assignment probabilities (*Q*-values) of 24 individuals attributed to 2 clusters (STRUCTURE analysis) for different numbers of polymorphisms, different sampling of SNP data, and different plots of credible intervals.

Fig. S7 Mean number of new variants brought by each new distinct individual added to all possible initial sample size combinations (**-A**); Number of high-quality variant positions per 100 base pair (bp) across 852 gene fragments ranked by their length (bp), overall and for each species (**-B**).

Fig. S8 Comparison of nucleotide diversity (*theta.pi*) distributions between main cpDNA lineages (B and A or C) for *Q. robur* (586 genes) and *Q. petraea* (449 genes). The histogram represents lineage B for *Q. robur*. Data are available in both lineages within each species for at least 8 gametes per lineage, and a minimum of 200 bp per gene fragment.

Table S1 Description of amplicons: primer sequences, original candidate gene list, targeted biological functions (see references), candidate gene type, fragment expected size and position in the *orict* original working assembly, preliminary results based nucleotide quality for obtained sequences, and validation decision after excluding paralog amplification.

Table S2 Functional annotation results from Blast2GO (-A), comparison of BlastX best hits results (according to *E-values*) between consensus sequences of the *orict* working assembly and the *ocv4* assembly (-B), and comparisons of BlastN results of consensus sequence for both *orict* and corresponding expected amplicon (*orict-cut)* onto *ocv4* (-C).

Table S3 Description of all variants single base positions, with sample sizes, alleles, genotypes counts, various statistics, and generic format for genotyping essays input data. Species samples exclude the 2 most introgressed individuals.

Table S4 Description of all polymorphisms as in Table S3, but with a characterization of the length, sequence motifs, contiguous base positions for complex polymorphic regions including indels, SNPs and SSRs (see also Table S5 for SSR positions).

Table S5 SSR patterns as detected from the *mreps* software.

Appendix S1 Additional method details.

Appendix S2 Contigs of the original working assembly used for selecting candidate gene
regions and design amplicon primers, including consensus sequences and reads where
nucleotides with Phred score below 20 have been masked.

Appendix S3 Sequences of chosen contig consensus and singletons sequences for functional
annotation analyses.

Appendix S4 Consensus sequences of 852 genomic regions obtained in this study for
Quercus petraea and *Q. Robur* individuals. "$(N)^9$" : represents a low-quality fragment of a
length below ~1 kb separating Forward and Reverse amplicons; "n" represents positions with
a majority of nucleotides with phd score below 30. "$(-)^x$": means that the insertion is a minor
allele at that position, x being the size of the indel.

Appendix S5 Nucleotide sequence data of 394 gene regions for one *Quercus ilex* individual,
heterozygote sites being indicated by IUPAC codes.

Appendix S6 Outputs from Blast2GO analyses**.**