PCH-2$^{\text{TRIP13}}$ regulates spindle checkpoint strength

Lénaïg Défachelles, Anna E. Russo, Christian R. Nelson and Needhi Bhalla*

Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California,

Santa Cruz,

Santa Cruz, CA 95064

*corresponding author: nbhalla@ucsc.edu

Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology

225 Sinsheimer Labs

University of California, Santa Cruz

Santa Cruz, CA 95064

phone: (831) 459-1319

fax: (831) 459-3139

Key words: *C. elegans*, mitosis, embryo, spindle assembly checkpoint, Mad2,

aneuploidy, germline

ABSTRACT

Spindle checkpoint strength, defined as the length of the cell cycle delay imposed by the spindle checkpoint, is dictated by three criteria: the number of unattached kinetochores, cell volume and cell fate. However, how checkpoint strength is regulated is unknown. We show that the conserved AAA-ATPase, PCH-2, which remodels the essential checkpoint effector Mad2 from an active conformation to an inactive one, controls checkpoint strength in *C. elegans*. When we genetically manipulate embryos to decrease cell volume, PCH-2 is no longer required for the spindle checkpoint or recruitment of Mad2 at unattached kinetochores, showing that PCH-2 is essential for a robust checkpoint in large cells. This role in checkpoint strength is not limited to large cells: germline precursor cells exhibit a stronger checkpoint than their somatic counterparts and this also relies on PCH-2. PCH-2 is more highly enriched in germline precursor cells than in somatic cells and this enrichment relies on a conserved factor that induces asymmetry in the early embryo. Finally, the stronger checkpoint in germline precursor cells also depends on CMT-1, which is essential for both PCH-2's localization to unattached kinetochores and its enrichment in germline precursor cells. Thus, PCH-2's ability to regulate the availability of an inactive but necessary checkpoint precursor at or near unattached kinetochores governs checkpoint strength.

INTRODUCTION

To prevent the missegregation of chromosomes and the production of daughter cells

with an incorrect number of chromosomes, the spindle checkpoint (also called the

spindle assembly checkpoint) monitors whether chromosomes are attached to the

spindle via kinetochores. If kinetochores fail to attach properly, this checkpoint delays

the cell cycle to promote error correction and prevent aneuploidy. Despite its critical role,

the strength of the spindle checkpoint, defined as the duration of the cell cycle delay, can

be highly variable. This variability is controlled by the number of unattached kinetochores

(Collin et al., 2013), cell volume, (Kyogoku and Kitajima, 2017; Galli and Morgan, 2016)

and cell fate (Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018).

The spindle checkpoint response initiates with the recruitment of Mad1 and Mad2 at

unattached kinetochores (Sironi et al., 2001), which catalyzes the production of a Mitotic

Checkpoint Complex (MCC) (Chen et al., 1996; Li and Benezra, 1996; Chen et al.,

1998). The MCC enforces a checkpoint arrest by inhibiting the Anaphase Promoting

Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C) and preventing cell cycle progression (Sudakin et al.,

2001). Formation of the MCC is driven by conformational changes in Mad2, which can

exist in an open conformation (O-Mad2) or a closed conformation (C-Mad2) (Luo et al.,

2002; Sironi et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2004). Mad2 is in the closed conformation in the

Mad1/Mad2 tetramer recruited to unattached kinetochores. C-Mad2 in the tetramer acts

as a template to convert additional soluble O-Mad2 to C-Mad2, which can be assembled

into the MCC (Sironi et al., 2001; De Antoni et al., 2005; Fava et al., 2011). Thus,

unattached kinetochores act as a platform for MCC assembly. The MCC effectively

tunes the spindle checkpoint response: the length of the cell cycle delay imposed by the

checkpoint is governed by the ratio of the soluble MCC checkpoint signal to cytoplasmic

volume (Collin et al., 2013; Dick and Gerlich, 2013).

PCH-2, known as TRIP13 in mammals, is a hexameric AAA+ ATPase that remodels

HORMA domain-containing proteins, a group that includes Mad2 (Aravind and Koonin,

1998; Chen et al., 2014; Vader, 2015; Ye et al., 2015). Originally described as a spindle

checkpoint silencing protein, experiments showed that TRIP13 works with the adaptor

protein p31^{comet} to extract C-Mad2 from the MCC and promote its disassembly,

permitting the activation of the APC/C (Wang et al., 2014; Eytan et al., 2014; Ye et al.,

2015; Miniowitz-Shemtov et al., 2015; Brulotte et al., 2017; Alfieri et al., 2018). We and

others have shown that PCH-2/TRIP13 is essential for spindle checkpoint activation in

C. elegans and mammalian cells (Nelson et al., 2015; Ma and Poon, 2016; Yost et al.,

2017). PCH-2 is present at unattached kinetochores (Tipton et al., 2012; Wang et al.,

2014; Nelson et al., 2015) and is required to robustly localize Mad2, but not Mad1, to

unattached kinetochores (Nelson et al., 2015; Yost et al., 2017). Biochemical and

structural studies have shown that PCH-2 converts C-Mad2 to O-Mad2 (Ye et al., 2015;

Brulotte et al., 2017; Alfieri et al., 2018). Altogether, these data suggest a model in which

PCH-2 converts a population of C-Mad2 that is not competent for checkpoint signaling

into O-Mad2, so that Mad1/Mad2 recruited to unattached kinetochores can template C-

Mad2 for assembly into the MCC.

This model has major implications for our understanding of the spindle checkpoint. First,

it indicates that the spindle checkpoint does not simply depend on the presence of C-

Mad2. Rather, only C-Mad2 that has been assembled into the MCC at unattached

kinetochores is competent for checkpoint signaling (Ma and Poon, 2016). Second, it

suggests that there is a significant population of C-Mad2 in cells that must be liberated

for a functional checkpoint. In *C. elegans*, some of this C-Mad2 appears to be

complexed with and stabilized by the Mad2 mimic p31^{comet} (CMT-1 in *C. elegans*) (Yang

et al., 2007; Nelson et al., 2015); loss of CMT-1 partially suppresses the spindle

checkpoint defect in *pch-2* mutants, likely by liberating enough O-Mad2 to make PCH-2

partially dispensable (Nelson et al., 2015). In cells that have a significant population of

available O-Mad2, such as HeLa cells (Luo et al., 2004), TRIP13 function becomes

essential for checkpoint activity only when this population of O-Mad2 is limiting (Ma and

Poon, 2018).

Here, we show that PCH-2's role in regulating the availability of O-Mad2 controls spindle

checkpoint strength in *C. elegans*. Despite being essential for the spindle checkpoint in

the large somatic, or AB, cell of the two-cell embryo, PCH-2 becomes dispensable as AB

cells are genetically manipulated to become smaller, suggesting that PCH-2's ability to

concentrate O-Mad2 near unattached kinetochores is essential for checkpoint activation

in large cells. Indeed, as AB cells become smaller PCH-2 is also dispensable for Mad2

recruitment at unattached kinetochores. This requirement in promoting spindle

checkpoint strength is also observed in germline precursor, or P_1, cells, which have a

stronger checkpoint than their somatic counterparts. PCH-2 is enriched in P_1 cells and

this enrichment is dependent on a conserved regulator of embryonic polarity, PAR-1.

Further, the stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells also relies on the *C. elegans* ortholog of

p31^{comet}, CMT-1, suggesting that CMT-1's role in localizing PCH-2 to unattached

kinetochores and/or its ability to enrich PCH-2 in P_1 cells, contributes to a stronger

checkpoint. We propose that in scenarios where maintaining genomic stability can be

particularly challenging and/or relevant, such as in oocytes and early embryos enlarged

for developmental competence and germline cells that maintain immortality, PCH-2, and

its mammalian ortholog TRIP13, ensure a robust spindle checkpoint response and

proper chromosome segregation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Worms strains

The *C. elegans* Bristol N2 (Brenner, 1974) was used as the wild-type strain. All strains

were maintained at 20°C. See Table S1 for the list of all the strains used in this study.

Immunostaining

Immunostaining was performed on adult worms 48h after L4, as described in (Bhalla and

Dernburg, 2005). The antibodies used were rabbit anti-MAD-2 (1/500; Essex et al.,

2009) and mouse anti-MAb414 (1/400; Davis and Blobel, 1986). Secondary antibodies

were Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit (Invitrogen) and Cy3 anti-mouse (Jackson

ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) diluted at 1:500. Antibody against MAD-2 was a gift

from A. Desai (Ludwig Institute/University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA).

Images were acquired on a DeltaVision Personal DV microscope (GE Healthcare)

equipped with a 100× NA 1.40 oil-immersion objective (Olympus), a short ARC xenon

lamp (GE Healthcare) and using a CoolSNAP charge-coupled camera (Roper Scientific).

Z-stacks were collected at 0.2 μm Z-spacing and processed by constrained, iterative

deconvolution. Imaging, image scaling, and analysis were performed using functions in

the softWoRx software package (GE Healthcare). Projections were calculated by a

maximum intensity algorithm. Composite images were assembled and some false

coloring was performed with Fiji.

Live imaging of two-cell embryos

For live imaging of two-cell embryos, worms were dissected on glass coverslips in egg

buffer and then mounted on 2% agar pads. Images were acquired every 1 minute or 20

seconds on a DeltaVision Personal DV microscope as described in the previous section;

except that the distance between two planes was 2 μm. The mitotic timing was

measured from NEBD to OCC as described in (Nelson et al., 2015). Cell volumes were

measured as described in (Galli and Morgan, 2016). To measure the nuclear area, a

sum projection of the embryo was generated 1 minute before chromosomes began to

condense and the area was measured with Fiji (Figure S2A).

Live imaging of embryogenesis

After a treatment with *perm-1*[RNAi] (see below), worms were dissected onto a coverslip

with egg salt buffer (118 mM NaCl, 48 mM KCl) supplemented with 10 mM PIPES pH

7.3, 1 mM ATP and 10 mM sucrose. Embryos and adult carcasses were transferred into

a well of an 8-well plate (ibidi 1 μ-Slide 8 Well Glass bottom) that had been freshly

coated with 0.1% Poly-L-Lysine solution (Sigma P8920) and extensively washed. Time-

lapse videos were acquired with a Solamere spinning disk confocal system piloted by

μManager software (Edelstein et al., 2014) and equipped with a Yokogawa CSUX-1

scan head, a Nikon (Garden City, NY) TE2000-E inverted stand, a Hamamatsu

ImageEM ×2 camera, LX/MAS 489 nm and LS/MAS 561 nm laser, and Plan Apo

×60/1.4 numerical aperture oil objective. Acquisition times per frame were 50 ms using

5% of the lasers power for both channels, and images were obtained as stacks of planes

at 2 μm intervals taken every 1 minute. Nocodazole was added from a 5X stock to a final

concentration of 50 μM after the first time point.

Quantification of fluorescence intensity

To quantify GFP::MAD-2 and PCH-2::GFP levels, images were generated under the

same conditions as previously described for the live imaging of two-cell embryos with a

few modifications: only the nucleus was imaged, the interval between two planes was 1

μm and images were collected every 20 seconds. To measure the cell volume, one Z-

stack of the entire cell was taken at NEBD at 2 μm Z-spacing.

Quantification of fluorescence at kinetochores or around mitotic chromosomes was

performed in Fiji as described in (Moyle et al., 2014; Nelson et al., 2015) and

fluorescence in the cytoplasm as describes in (Galli and Morgan, 2016).

Feeding RNAi

C. elegans strains were fed HT115 bacteria expressing the desired dsRNA after IPTG

induction. Bacterial strains containing RNAi vectors were cultured overnight at 37°C,

centrifuged, and the pellet was resuspended in 1/50 of the original volume. 100 μl of

concentrated culture was spotted onto a nematode growth medium (NGM) plate

containing 1 mM IPTG and 50 μg/μl of kanamycin or carbenicillin and the plate was

incubated overnight at 37°C.

For *ani-2^{RNAi}*, gravid adults were bleached onto the RNAi plate and their progeny was

allowed to develop at 20°C during 2.5 days. Then, L4s were transferred to a fresh plate

containing OP50 or *zyg-1^{RNAi}* bacteria.

For *zyg-1^{RNAi}*, L4s were transferred (from an OP50 or ani-2^{RNAi} plate) onto a *zyg-1^{RNAi}*

plate and cultured 1.5 days at 20°C.

For *perm-1^{RNAi}*, young adults (8h post L4) were incubated onto *perm-1^{RNAi}* plates for 16-

20h at 15°C.

For *par-1^{RNAi}*, gravid adults were bleached onto control RNAi (L4440) plates and their

progeny were allowed to develop at 15°C for 3 days. L4s were then transferred onto *par-*

1^{RNAi} or control RNAi (L4440) plates and incubated at 15°C for 3 days.

Statistical Analysis

Linear regression analysis and assessing significance of this data (Figures 1C, 4A, 4B,

S1B, S1D) was performed using GrapPad Prism version 6 for Macintosh. For all other

data, significance was assessed by performing t-tests (Figures 1D, 2C, 3, 4C, 5A, 5C,

5D, 6A, 6C, 6D, S3B, S3D, and S4B). In all graphs, a * indicates a p value < 0.05, **

indicates a p value < 0.01 and *** a p value < 0.0001.

RESULTS

PCH-2 becomes dispensable for the spindle checkpoint response in somatic cells

as they decrease in size

In the large somatic, or AB, cell of the *C. elegans* two-cell embryo, PCH-2 is essential for

spindle checkpoint activation (Nelson et al., 2015). To further assess the requirements

for PCH-2 function, we manipulated the cell volume of AB cells experimentally by

performing RNA interference (RNAi) against *ani-2*. *ani-2* encodes a germline specific

anillin whose depletion generates oocytes and, after fertilization, embryos, of varying

size (Maddox et al., 2005) (Figure 1A). We monitored the length of mitosis in these

embryos, using the time between nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD) to the onset of

cortical contractility (OCC) as markers for the entry into and exit from mitosis,

respectively (Essex et al., 2009). We then correlated the length of mitosis to cytoplasmic

volume. RNAi of *ani-2* did not affect cell cycle progression in control, *pch-2*, or *mad-1*

mutants (Figure S1A), indicating that reducing cytoplasmic volume did not affect mitotic

timing in these embryos.

We performed double depletion of *ani-2* and *zyg-1* to induce the spindle checkpoint

response in control embryos, *pch-2*, and *mad-1* mutants. ZYG-1 is essential for

centrosome duplication after the first embryonic division, its depletion generates

monopolar spindles (O'Connell et al., 2001) and unattached kinetochores (Essex et al.,

2009) (Figure 1B). Consistent with previous reports, as cells decreased in cell volume

the length of the cell cycle delay, an indicator of spindle checkpoint strength, increased

in control embryos (Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018) (Figure 1C).

Surprisingly, as *pch-2* mutant embryos decreased in size, the spindle checkpoint

response more closely resembled that of similarly sized control embryos than similarly

sized *mad-1* mutant embryos (Figure 1C). There was no significant difference between

the slopes of the regression analysis of control and *pch-2* mutant data (p value =

0.1407), while the slopes between the regression analysis of *pch-2* and *mad-1* mutant

data were significantly different (p value = 0.0007). We binned our data and observed

that *pch-2* mutant embryos fell into three clear categories: 1) wild-type sized embryos

(more than 5×10^3 μm^3) in which PCH-2 was essential for the spindle checkpoint; 2)

medium sized embryos (between 3.5×10^3 μm^3 and 5×10^3 μm^3), which exhibited an

intermediate level of checkpoint function; and 3) small embryos (less than 3.5 x

10^3 μm^3), in which PCH-2 was completely dispensable for the spindle checkpoint

response (Figure 1D).

We verified that the mitotic delay observed in *pch-2* embryos was a legitimate spindle

checkpoint response by monitoring mitotic timing after performing double depletion of

ani-2 and *zyg-1* in *san-1* and *pch-2;san-1* mutant embryos. SAN-1 is the *C. elegans*

ortholog of the essential spindle checkpoint factor, Mad3 (Nystul et al., 2003) (Figure

S1B). There was no significant difference between the slopes of the regression analysis

of *san-1* and *pch-2;san-1* data (p value = 0.8813). These data allow us to draw two

important conclusions: 1) Since we observe a robust spindle checkpoint activation in

pch-2 mutant embryos as they decrease in size and mitotic timing is similar to what we

observe in control embryos, PCH-2 does not appear to affect spindle checkpoint

silencing in *C. elegans*; and 2) PCH-2's requirement during spindle checkpoint activation

is proportional to cell volume in somatic cells of two-cell *C. elegans* embryos.

PCH-2 becomes dispensable for MAD-2 recruitment at unattached kinetochores in

somatic cells as they decrease in size

Recent experiments have shown that acute depletion of PCH-2's mammalian ortholog,

TRIP13, has no effect on spindle checkpoint function in HeLa cells until O-Mad2 is

limiting (Ma and Poon, 2018). Since MAD-2 is localized to the nucleus and nuclear

envelope in *C. elegans* oocytes (Bohr et al., 2015; Lawrence et al., 2015) (Figure 2A)

and *ani-2^RNAi* treatment does not affect nuclear size (Figure S2), we reasoned that as

cells decrease in cell volume, the absolute amount of MAD-2 protein is likely to remain

constant but its concentration increases. This increase in concentration may improve the

likelihood that O-Mad2 is at or near unattached kinetochores, obviating the requirement

for PCH-2 in small embryos.

To test this model, we quantified MAD-2 recruitment at unattached kinetochores in

pseudo-metaphase in small control and *pch-2* mutant embryos (less than 3.5 x 10^3 μm^3)

expressing GFP::MAD-2 and compared it to the recruitment observed in wild-type sized

embryos. Consistent with our previous results, GFP::MAD-2 was recruited to 20% of

control levels in *pch-2* mutant embryos that were wild-type in size (Nelson et al., 2015)

(Figure 2C). In small embryos, GFP::MAD-2 was recruited to unattached kinetochores in

control embryos as expected (Figure 2B). In small *pch-2* mutant embryos, GFP::MAD-2

signal can be detected at unattached kinetochores (Figure 2B) and, when quantified,

GFP::MAD-2 was partially restored to 58% of control levels (Figure 2C). One explanation

for why GFP::MAD-2 recruitment is not completely restored in small *pch-2* mutant

embryos may be that these smaller embryos require less MAD-2 recruitment to support

a mitotic delay comparable to control small embryos (Défachelles et al., 2015).

Nonetheless, our experiments demonstrate that PCH-2 is also dispensable for Mad2

recruitment at unattached kinetochores in small embryos, potentially because

decreasing cell volume concentrates Mad2, specifically O-Mad2.

PCH-2 is required for the spindle checkpoint response during embryogenesis

During embryogenesis, cell volume decreases and spindle checkpoint strength

increases (Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018). Given our observation, that

PCH-2 becomes dispensable as cell volume decreases in the AB cell of two-cell

embryos, we tested whether this also happens during normal embryogenesis. We

permeabilized embryos by performing *perm-1* RNAi (Carvalho et al., 2011) and activated

the spindle checkpoint by treating these *perm-1^{RNAi}* embryos with nocodazole, a drug

that depolymerizes microtubules. Since we could not always reliably visualize OCC in

these dividing embryos, we measured mitotic timing from nuclear envelope break down

(NEBD) to the nuclear envelope reformation (NER) for each stage of embryogenesis.

Consistent with previous reports, cells in control embryos exhibited a longer mitotic delay

in 16-cell than in 4-cell embryos, indicating that the spindle checkpoint increases in

strength as cells decrease in volume during embryogenesis (Galli and Morgan, 2016;

Gerhold et al., 2018). As a control, we performed the same experiment in *san-1* mutants

and did not detect a mitotic delay when these embryos were treated with nocodazole

(Figure 3A). In stark contrast to our *ani-2[RNAi]* experiments, the mitotic timing observed in

pch-2 mutant embryos treated with nocodazole was similar to *san-1* mutant embryos

treated with nocodazole. Thus, as cells naturally decrease in cell size during

embryogenesis, PCH-2 remains essential for the spindle checkpoint.

We also tested if a subtle increase in MAD-2 protein levels would suppress the spindle

checkpoint defect in *pch-2* mutant embryos. The presence of a GFP::MAD-2 transgene,

in addition to endogenous MAD-2, results in about 2.5 times more MAD-2 in embryos.

This slight overexpression generates a normal spindle checkpoint response in control

AB cells and can bypass the requirement for checkpoint components MAD-3 or BUB-3

(Essex et al., 2009), but not PCH-2 (Nelson et al., 2015). We found that overexpression

of MAD-2 in control and *pch-2* mutant 4- and 16-cell embryos treated with nocodazole

behaved similarly as their counterparts with normal levels of MAD-2 (Figure 3B). These

results confirm that PCH-2 is essential for the spindle checkpoint during normal

embryogenesis, suggesting that Mad2 may undergo additional regulation during

embryogenesis that requires PCH-2 to make O-Mad2 available even as cells decrease

in size. Further, additional considerations may make direct comparisons between our

ani-2[RNAi];zyg-1 [RNAi] experiments and dividing embryos treated with nocodazole difficult

(see Discussion).

PCH-2 is responsible for the stronger spindle checkpoint in the germline lineage

Cell fate is another important determinant of spindle checkpoint strength. In *C. elegans*

embryos, the spindle checkpoint is stronger in germline precursor cells than similarly

sized somatic counterparts (Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018).

Therefore, we tested whether PCH-2 contributed to the stronger spindle checkpoint in

the germline lineage, specifically in P_1 cells of two-cell embryos (Figure 4). Consistent

with other reports (Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018), when we performed

double depletion of *ani-2* and *zyg-1* in control embryos and monitored mitotic timing, we

observed P_1 cells with similar volumes as AB cells exhibiting a longer cell cycle delay

(Figure 4A). Further, the regression analysis that best fit control P_1 data is significantly

different and steeper than that of control AB cells (p value < 0.0001), suggesting that

variables in addition to cell volume contribute to the spindle checkpoint strength in

germline precursor cells. When we knocked down both *ani-2* and *zyg-1* in *pch-2* mutant

embryos, we no longer observed a significant difference (p value = 0.9096) between the

slopes of the regression analysis of P_1 and AB cells (Figure 4B), indicating that PCH-2 is

responsible for the stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells.

We observed that cell cycle timing was faster in *pch-2* mutant P_1 cells than similarly

sized *pch-2* mutant AB cells after treatment with *ani-2* and *zyg-1* RNAi (Figure 4B). We

wondered if embryonic germline precursor cells might rely on spindle checkpoint

proteins for normal mitotic timing, analogous to mitotically dividing stem cells in the *C.*

elegans germline (Gerhold et al., 2015) and similar to mammalian cultured cells

(Meraldi, 2004; Rodriguez-Bravo, 2014; Ma and Poon, 2016). To address this, we

measured normal mitotic timing in AB and P_1 cells of both control and *pch-2* mutant

embryos. We found that while normal mitotic timing is unaffected by mutation of *pch-2* in

AB cells, *pch-2* mutant P_1 cells go through mitosis significantly faster than control P_1

cells (Figure 4C), thus providing an explanation for the faster cell cycle timing in *pch-*

2 mutant P_1 cells with the same cell volume as *pch-2* mutant AB cells after treatment with

ani-2 and *zyg-1* RNAi.

PCH-2's enrichment in P_1 cells is dependent on PAR-1

Cell fate is driven by the asymmetric distribution of various determinants between

somatic and germline lineages during early divisions of the *C. elegans* embryo (reviewed

in Rose and Gönczy, 2014). Since we found that PCH-2 promoted the spindle

checkpoint strength in both AB and P_1 cells, but even more dramatically in P_1 cells, we

asked if PCH-2 was regulated differently between these cells. We previously generated

a tagged version of PCH-2 at the endogenous locus, PCH-2::GFP, that could be

visualized at unattached kinetochores at the pseudo-metaphase plate and rescued

checkpoint function in AB cells (Nelson et al., 2015). We tested whether this transgene

could also support the stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells. We treated embryos expressing

PCH-2::GFP with *zyg-1* RNAi and evaluated mitotic timing in both AB and P_1 cells, in the

presence or absence of monopolar spindles, using chromosome decondensation as a

marker for mitotic exit. P_1 cells expressing PCH-2::GFP had full checkpoint function,

exhibiting a mitotic delay longer than AB cells also expressing PCH-2::GFP (Figure 5A).

Previous transcriptome analysis of PCH-2 did not reveal asymmetric enrichment of PCH-

2 mRNA between AB and P_1 cells (Tintori et al., 2016). We tested whether PCH-2::GFP

exhibited differences in protein levels between AB and P_1 cells. First, we assessed

whether PCH-2::GFP was more enriched in pseudo-metaphase at unattached

kinetochores in P_1 than AB cells. We quantified PCH-2::GFP fluorescence at unattached

kinetochores in both AB and P_1 cells of embryos treated with *zyg-1* RNAi but did not

detect any difference between the two cell types (Figures S3A and B). Similarly, we did

not detect any difference in GFP::MAD-2 recruitment at unattached kinetochores

between AB and P_1 cells in *zyg-1*[RNAi] embryos (Figures S3C and D).

Next, we quantified PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in AB and P_1 cells during unperturbed cell

cycles. In the AB cell, PCH-2 protein becomes enriched in the area around the

chromosomes in prometaphase (Nelson et al., 2015). We observed similar localization of

PCH-2::GFP in P_1 cells (Figure 5B). When we quantified the fluorescence of PCH-

2::GFP in this area surrounding chromosomes after NEBD in both AB and P_1 cells, we

detected a statistically significant enrichment of PCH-2::GFP in P_1 cells (Figure 5C). This

enrichment is not the indirect consequence of the smaller volume of P_1 cells since we did

not observe a difference in the fluorescence of PCH-2::GFP in the cytoplasm between

AB and P_1 cells (Figure S4), demonstrating that PCH-2::GFP is more highly enriched in

P_1 cells than AB cells.

To better understand the relationship between PCH-2 enrichment in P_1 cells and cell

fate, we abrogated the asymmetry of the two-cell embryo by performing RNAi against

the essential polarity factor, PAR-1 (Guo and Kemphues, 1995). In *par-1*[RNAi] mutant

embryos, AB and P_1 cells exhibit the same checkpoint strength (Gerhold et al., 2018),

indicating that the stronger spindle checkpoint response in the P_1 cells depends on this

asymmetric division. We quantified PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in the area around

chromosomes in AB and P_1 cells after *par-1* RNAi and observed that the fluorescence of

PCH-2::GFP was not significantly different between AB and P_1 cells, unlike what we

observed in embryos exposed to control RNAi (Figure 5D). Therefore, PCH-2::GFP's

enrichment in P_1 cells depends on a conserved factor that induces embryonic

asymmetry and germline cell fate.

The stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells depends on CMT-1

In vitro, the *C. elegans* ortholog of p31[comet], CMT-1, is required for PCH-2 to bind and

remodel Mad2 from the closed conformation to the open one (Ye et al., 2015). In

addition to this role, CMT-1 is also required to localize PCH-2 to unattached

kinetochores during the spindle checkpoint response, stabilize Mad2 protein levels and

generate a robust spindle checkpoint response in AB cells (Nelson et al., 2015).

Overexpressing Mad2 does not suppress the partial defect in spindle checkpoint

activation in *cmt-1* mutants (Nelson et al., 2015), suggesting that PCH-2's localization to

unattached kinetochores promotes a strong spindle checkpoint response in large AB

cells. Therefore, we reasoned that CMT-1 might also be required for the stronger

checkpoint in P_1 cells.

To test this possibility, we performed *zyg-1* RNAi on control and *cmt-1* mutant embryos

and monitored mitotic timing in both AB and P_1 cells. AB and P_1 cells of control and *cmt-*

1 mutant embryos treated with control RNAi had similar mitotic timing. In *zyg-1^{RNAi}*

embryos, P_1 cells exhibited a stronger checkpoint response than AB cells. By contrast,

both AB and P_1 cells in *cmt-1*;*zyg-1^{RNAi}* mutant embryos exhibited similar spindle

checkpoint delays (Figure 6A). Despite having spindle checkpoint responses that were

less robust than that of control *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos, AB and P_1 cells in *cmt-1* mutant

embryos treated with *zyg-1* RNAi spent significantly longer in mitosis than *cmt-1* mutant

embryos treated with control RNAi, indicating that they activated a weaker spindle

checkpoint response, similar to our published results (Nelson et al., 2015). More

importantly, *cmt-1*; *zyg-1^{RNAi}* mutant embryos failed to generate a stronger checkpoint in

P_1 cells, indicating that CMT-1 is also essential to promote checkpoint strength in

germline precursor cells.

Aside from localizing PCH-2 to unattached kinetochores, we wondered if CMT-1 was

required for any other aspects of PCH-2 regulation. Therefore, we tested whether CMT-1

was necessary for PCH-2's asymmetric enrichment in P_1 cells. We quantified PCH-

2::GFP fluorescence in prometaphase in the area around chromosomes in both *cmt-1*

mutant AB and P_1 cells (Figure 6B). First, we found that PCH-2::GFP fluorescence was

similar between AB cells in both control and *cmt-1* mutant embryos (Figure 6C),

consistent with our hypothesis that the weaker checkpoint in *cmt-1* AB cells is a

consequence of the absence of PCH-2 recruitment at unattached kinetochore (Nelson et

al., 2015). Further, when we compared the quantification of PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in

cmt-1 mutant AB and P_1 cells, we did not detect a significant difference between the two

cells (Figure 6D), unlike our experiment in control embryos (Figure 5C). Thus, while we

favor the hypothesis that CMT-1's role in promoting spindle checkpoint strength in both

AB and P_1 cells is the product of localizing PCH-2 to unattached kinetochores, based on

the reduced robustness of the spindle checkpoint in *cmt-1* mutants (Figure 6) and our

ani-2^{RNAi};zyg-1^{RNAi} experiments (Figures 1 and 2), it's likely that CMT-1's contribution to

the asymmetric enrichment of PCH-2 in P_1 cells also contributes to the stronger spindle

checkpoint in these cells.

DISCUSSION

The role of PCH-2, and its mammalian ortholog TRIP13, in the spindle checkpoint has

been enigmatic. Originally identified as a checkpoint silencing factor (Wang et al., 2014;

Eytan et al., 2014; Ye et al., 2015; Miniowitz-Shemtov et al., 2015; Brulotte et al., 2017;

Alfieri et al., 2018), more recent evidence also indicates a role in promoting the

checkpoint response (Nelson et al., 2015; Ma and Poon, 2016; Yost et al., 2017). It's

clear that the reliance on PCH-2/TRIP13 in checkpoint activation likely reflects the

relative levels and availability of O-Mad2 (Ma and Poon, 2018). We show here that PCH-

2's role in regulating O-Mad2 availability, specifically at or near unattached kinetochores,

also controls checkpoint strength, providing an unanticipated mechanism to explain its

regulation (Figure 7). We propose that this role in checkpoint strength is particularly

important in large cells, such as oocytes and cells in early embryos, as well as cells that

give rise to immortal germ cells.

A prediction of our model is that overexpression of Mad2 should also make PCH-2

dispensable for the spindle checkpoint activation. We've shown that subtle elevations of

Mad2 protein levels cannot suppress the requirement for PCH-2 in two-cell embryos

(Nelson et al., 2015). Unfortunately, more dramatic overexpression experiments are

technically difficult in *C. elegans*. Further, it's likely that strong overexpression of Mad2 in

C. elegans embryos will delay normal mitosis, consistent with similar findings in

mammalian cells (Marks et al., 2017) and budding yeast (Mariani et al., 2012). In this

way, PCH-2's function may provide a useful buffer: Since Mad2 protein levels may need

to stay within a narrow range to allow normal mitotic timing, PCH-2's localization at or

near unattached kinetochores provide a mechanism to increase O-Mad2's local

concentration to promote effective and efficient signaling during checkpoint activation.

Despite our findings that PCH-2 becomes dispensable for spindle checkpoint activation

as two-cell embryos decrease in volume, PCH-2 remains essential for the spindle

checkpoint as cells normally decrease in volume during embryogenesis (Figure 3),

indicating that relative levels of O-Mad2 and C-Mad2 may be further regulated during

embryonic development. This possibility is supported by our finding that PCH-2

regulates normal cell cycle timing in P_1 cells, but not AB cells (Figure 4C), which

suggests that variations in O-Mad2/C-Mad2 ratios influence normal mitotic timing in cells

with specific developmental fates. In addition, unlike the nuclei of two-cell embryos

treated with *ani-2^RNAi* (Figure S2), nuclear volume scales with cell volume during

embryogenesis (Gerhold et al., 2018). Therefore, the concentration of Mad2 may not

necessarily increase as cell size decreases in cells of the developing embryo, making

direct comparisons between small cells obtained by *ani-2*[RNAi] treatment and small cells

resulting from normal embryogenesis challenging.

Our experiments identify CMT-1, the *C. elegans* ortholog of mammalian p31[comet], as an

important regulator of PCH-2 function and, as a result, checkpoint strength. In addition to

its requirement in facilitating PCH-2's ability to interact with its substrate, Mad2 (Ye et al.,

2015; Miniowitz-Shemtov et al., 2015; Brulotte et al., 2017; Alfieri et al., 2018), CMT-1

localizes PCH-2 to unattached kinetochores (Nelson et al., 2015) and promotes PCH-2's

enrichment in P_1 cells (Figure 6). We propose that both of these roles contribute to

checkpoint strength. In large AB cells, CMT-1 ensures PCH-2's presence at unattached

kinetochores, increasing the local concentration of O-Mad2 and driving the production of

soluble C-Mad2 and MCC (Figure 7A). In P_1 cells, the combination of PCH-2's

localization at kinetochores and its enrichment promotes a checkpoint stronger than

somatic cells (Figure 7C). It's striking that P_1 cells, which are slightly smaller than AB

cells, exhibit similar checkpoint strength to AB cells when CMT-1 is absent (Figure 6).

This indicates that even these cells depend on PCH-2 to be present at unattached

kinetochores to increase the local concentration of O-Mad2 and promote checkpoint

strength.

Evolutionary analysis across phyla have revealed a close co-evolutionary relationship

between PCH-2 and its orthologs and HORMA domain containing proteins, including

CMT-1 and Mad2 (Vleugel et al., 2012; van Hooff et al., 2017). However, some

organisms that rely on the templated conversion of O-Mad2 to C-Mad2 to assemble the

MCC, such as budding and fission yeasts (Nezi et al., 2006; Chao et al., 2012) either

don't express their PCH-2 ortholog during mitosis (budding yeast) (San-Segundo and

Roeder, 1999) or don't have a PCH-2 ortholog in their genome (fission yeast) (Wu and

Burgess, 2006). This is potentially explained by cell volume: Both budding and fission

yeasts are two orders of magnitude smaller than mammalian cells and *C. elegans*

embryos. They also undergo closed mitosis, in which the nuclear envelope does not

break down, providing an additional opportunity to concentrate factors required for

mitosis. We propose that recruiting O-Mad2 to unattached kinetochores may not present

as great a challenge in these significantly smaller cells, making a factor required to

increase the local concentration of O-Mad2 at unattached kinetochores unnecessary.

An obvious question our experiments raise is how PCH-2 is enriched in P_1 cells.

Germline precursor cells are transcriptionally silent until gastrulation (Seydoux et al.,

1996) and sequencing of mRNA in early embryos shows that both CMT-1 and PCH-2

mRNA are not enriched in germline precursor cells (Tintori et al., 2016), indicating that

enrichment of PCH-2 is likely to occur at the level of protein regulation. Understanding

this regulation, its control by developmental events and its effect on the relative levels of

O-Mad2 and C-Mad2 in different cell types promises to be an exciting area of

investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Arshad Desai, Karen Oegema, Susan Strome and David Morgan

for valuable strains and reagents. This work was supported by the NIH (grant numbers

T32GM008646 [C.R.N. and A.R.] and R01GM097144 [N.B.]). Some strains were

provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure

Programs (P40 OD010440).

REFERENCES

Alfieri, C., Chang, L., and Barford, D. (2018). Mechanism for remodelling of the cell cycle

checkpoint protein MAD2 by the ATPase TRIP13. Nature.

Aravind, L., and Koonin, E.V. (1998). The HORMA domain: a common structural

denominator in mitotic checkpoints, chromosome synapsis and DNA repair. Trends

Biochem. Sci. *23*, 284–286.

Bhalla, N., and Dernburg, A.F. (2005). A Conserved Checkpoint Monitors Meiotic

Chromosome Synapsis in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science *310*, 1683–1686.

Bohr, T., Nelson, C.R., Klee, E., and Bhalla, N. (2015). Spindle assembly checkpoint

proteins regulate and monitor meiotic synapsis in C. elegans. J. Cell Biol. *211*, 233–242.

Brenner, S. (1974). The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics *77*, 71–94.

Brulotte, M.L., Jeong, B.-C., Li, F., Li, B., Yu, E.B., Wu, Q., Brautigam, C.A., Yu, H., and

Luo, X. (2017). Mechanistic insight into TRIP13-catalyzed Mad2 structural transition and

spindle checkpoint silencing. Nat. Commun. *8*, 1956.

Carvalho, A., Olson, S.K., Gutierrez, E., Zhang, K., Noble, L.B., Zanin, E., Desai, A.,

Groisman, A., and Oegema, K. (2011). Acute drug treatment in the early C. elegans

embryo. PloS One *6*, e24656.

Chao, W.C.H., Kulkarni, K., Zhang, Z., Kong, E.H., and Barford, D. (2012). Structure of

the mitotic checkpoint complex. Nature *484*, 208–213.

Chen, C., Jomaa, A., Ortega, J., and Alani, E.E. (2014). Pch2 is a hexameric ring

ATPase that remodels the chromosome axis protein Hop1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.

A. *111*, E44–E53.

Chen, R.H., Waters, J.C., Salmon, E.D., and Murray, A.W. (1996). Association of spindle

assembly checkpoint component XMAD2 with unattached kinetochores. Science *274*,

242–246.

Chen, R.H., Shevchenko, A., Mann, M., and Murray, A.W. (1998). Spindle checkpoint

protein Xmad1 recruits Xmad2 to unattached kinetochores. J. Cell Biol. *143*, 283–295.

Collin, P., Nashchekina, O., Walker, R., and Pines, J. (2013). The spindle assembly

checkpoint works like a rheostat rather than a toggle switch. Nat. Cell Biol. *15*, 1378–

1385.

Davis, L.I., and Blobel, G. (1986). Identification and characterization of a nuclear pore

complex protein. Cell *45*, 699–709.

De Antoni, A., Pearson, C.G., Cimini, D., Canman, J.C., Sala, V., Nezi, L., Mapelli, M.,

Sironi, L., Faretta, M., Salmon, E.D., et al. (2005). The Mad1/Mad2 complex as a

template for Mad2 activation in the spindle assembly checkpoint. Curr. Biol. CB *15*, 214–

225.

Défachelles, L., Hainline, S.G., Menant, A., Lee, L.A., and Karess, R.E. (2015). A

maternal effect rough deal mutation suggests that multiple pathways regulate Drosophila

RZZ kinetochore recruitment. J Cell Sci *128*, 1204–1216.

Dick, A.E., and Gerlich, D.W. (2013). Kinetic framework of spindle assembly checkpoint

signalling. Nat. Cell Biol. *15*, 1370–1377.

Edelstein, A.D., Tsuchida, M.A., Amodaj, N., Pinkard, H., Vale, R.D., and Stuurman, N.

(2014). Advanced methods of microscope control using µManager software. J. Biol.

Methods *1*.

Essex, A., Dammermann, A., Lewellyn, L., Oegema, K., and Desai, A. (2009).

Systematic analysis in Caenorhabditis elegans reveals that the spindle checkpoint is

composed of two largely independent branches. Mol. Biol. Cell *20*, 1252–1267.

Eytan, E., Wang, K., Miniowitz-Shemtov, S., Sitry-Shevah, D., Kaisari, S., Yen, T.J., Liu,

S.-T., and Hershko, A. (2014). Disassembly of mitotic checkpoint complexes by the joint

action of the AAA-ATPase TRIP13 and p31comet. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *111*,

12019–12024.

Fava, L.L., Kaulich, M., Nigg, E.A., and Santamaria, A. (2011). Probing the in vivo

function of Mad1:C-Mad2 in the spindle assembly checkpoint. EMBO J. *30*, 3322–3336.

Galli, M., and Morgan, D.O. (2016). Cell Size Determines the Strength of the Spindle

Assembly Checkpoint during Embryonic Development. Dev. Cell *36*, 344–352.

Gerhold, A.R., Ryan, J., Vallée-Trudeau, J.-N., Dorn, J.F., Labbé, J.-C., and Maddox,

P.S. (2015). Investigating the regulation of stem and progenitor cell mitotic progression

by in situ imaging. Curr. Biol. CB *25*, 1123–1134.

Gerhold, A.R., Poupart, V., Labbé, J.-C., and Maddox, P.S. (2018). Spindle assembly

checkpoint strength is linked to cell fate in the C. elegans embryo. Mol. Biol. Cell

mbcE18040215.

Guo, S., and Kemphues, K.J. (1995). par-1, a gene required for establishing polarity in

C. elegans embryos, encodes a putative Ser/Thr kinase that is asymmetrically

distributed. Cell *81*, 611–620.

van Hooff, J.J., Tromer, E., van Wijk, L.M., Snel, B., and Kops, G.J. (2017). Evolutionary

dynamics of the kinetochore network in eukaryotes as revealed by comparative

genomics. EMBO Rep. *18*, 1559–1571.

Kyogoku, H., and Kitajima, T.S. (2017). Large Cytoplasm Is Linked to the Error-Prone

Nature of Oocytes. Dev. Cell *41*, 287-298.e4.

Lawrence, K.S., Chau, T., and Engebrecht, J. (2015). DNA damage response and

spindle assembly checkpoint function throughout the cell cycle to ensure genomic

integrity. PLoS Genet. *11*, e1005150.

Li, Y., and Benezra, R. (1996). Identification of a human mitotic checkpoint gene:

hsMAD2. Science *274*, 246–248.

Luo, X., Tang, Z., Rizo, J., and Yu, H. (2002). The Mad2 spindle checkpoint protein

undergoes similar major conformational changes upon binding to either Mad1 or Cdc20.

Mol. Cell *9*, 59–71.

Luo, X., Tang, Z., Xia, G., Wassmann, K., Matsumoto, T., Rizo, J., and Yu, H. (2004).

The Mad2 spindle checkpoint protein has two distinct natively folded states. Nat. Struct.

Mol. Biol. *11*, 338–345.

Ma, H.T., and Poon, R.Y.C. (2016). TRIP13 Regulates Both the Activation and

Inactivation of the Spindle-Assembly Checkpoint. Cell Rep. *14*, 1086–1099.

Ma, H.T., and Poon, R.Y.C. (2018). TRIP13 Functions in the Establishment of the

Spindle Assembly Checkpoint by Replenishing O-MAD2. Cell Rep. *22*, 1439–1450.

Maddox, A.S., Habermann, B., Desai, A., and Oegema, K. (2005). Distinct roles for two

C. elegans anillins in the gonad and early embryo. Dev. Camb. Engl. *132*, 2837–2848.

Mariani, L., Chiroli, E., Nezi, L., Muller, H., Piatti, S., Musacchio, A., and Ciliberto, A.

(2012). Role of the Mad2 dimerization interface in the spindle assembly checkpoint

independent of kinetochores. Curr. Biol. CB *22*, 1900–1908.

Marks, D.H., Thomas, R., Chin, Y., Shah, R., Khoo, C., and Benezra, R. (2017). Mad2

Overexpression Uncovers a Critical Role for TRIP13 in Mitotic Exit. Cell Rep. *19*, 1832–

1845.

Miniowitz-Shemtov, S., Eytan, E., Kaisari, S., Sitry-Shevah, D., and Hershko, A. (2015).

Mode of interaction of TRIP13 AAA-ATPase with the Mad2-binding protein p31comet

and with mitotic checkpoint complexes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *112*, 11536–

11540.

Moyle, M.W., Kim, T., Hattersley, N., Espeut, J., Cheerambathur, D.K., Oegema, K., and

Desai, A. (2014). A Bub1–Mad1 interaction targets the Mad1–Mad2 complex to

unattached kinetochores to initiate the spindle checkpoint. J. Cell Biol. *204*, 647–657.

Nelson, C.R., Hwang, T., Chen, P.-H., and Bhalla, N. (2015). TRIP13PCH-2 promotes

Mad2 localization to unattached kinetochores in the spindle checkpoint response. J. Cell

Biol. *211*, 503–516.

Nezi, L., Rancati, G., De Antoni, A., Pasqualato, S., Piatti, S., and Musacchio, A. (2006).

Accumulation of Mad2-Cdc20 complex during spindle checkpoint activation requires

binding of open and closed conformers of Mad2 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell

Biol. *174*, 39–51.

Nystul, T.G., Goldmark, J.P., Padilla, P.A., and Roth, M.B. (2003). Suspended animation

in C. elegans requires the spindle checkpoint. Science *302*, 1038–1041.

O'Connell, K.F., Caron, C., Kopish, K.R., Hurd, D.D., Kemphues, K.J., Li, Y., and White,

J.G. (2001). The C. elegans zyg-1 gene encodes a regulator of centrosome duplication

with distinct maternal and paternal roles in the embryo. Cell *105*, 547–558.

Rose, L., and Gönczy, P. (2014). Polarity establishment, asymmetric division and

segregation of fate determinants in early C. elegans embryos. WormBook Online Rev. C

Elegans Biol. 1–43.

San-Segundo, P.A., and Roeder, G.S. (1999). Pch2 links chromatin silencing to meiotic

checkpoint control. Cell *97*, 313–324.

Seydoux, G., Mello, C.C., Pettitt, J., Wood, W.B., Priess, J.R., and Fire, A. (1996).

Repression of gene expression in the embryonic germ lineage of C. elegans. Nature

382, 713–716.

Sironi, L., Melixetian, M., Faretta, M., Prosperini, E., Helin, K., and Musacchio, A. (2001).

Mad2 binding to Mad1 and Cdc20, rather than oligomerization, is required for the spindle

checkpoint. EMBO J. *20*, 6371–6382.

Sironi, L., Mapelli, M., Knapp, S., De Antoni, A., Jeang, K.-T., and Musacchio, A. (2002).

Crystal structure of the tetrameric Mad1-Mad2 core complex: implications of a "safety

belt" binding mechanism for the spindle checkpoint. EMBO J. *21*, 2496–2506.

Sudakin, V., Chan, G.K., and Yen, T.J. (2001). Checkpoint inhibition of the APC/C in

HeLa cells is mediated by a complex of BUBR1, BUB3, CDC20, and MAD2. J. Cell Biol.

154, 925–936.

Tintori, S.C., Osborne Nishimura, E., Golden, P., Lieb, J.D., and Goldstein, B. (2016). A

Transcriptional Lineage of the Early C. elegans Embryo. Dev. Cell *38*, 430–444.

Tipton, A.R., Wang, K., Oladimeji, P., Sufi, S., Gu, Z., and Liu, S.-T. (2012). Identification

of novel mitosis regulators through data mining with human centromere/kinetochore

proteins as group queries. BMC Cell Biol. *13*, 15.

Vader, G. (2015). Pch2(TRIP13): controlling cell division through regulation of HORMA

domains. Chromosoma *124*, 333–339.

Vleugel, M., Hoogendoorn, E., Snel, B., and Kops, G.J.P.L. (2012). Evolution and

function of the mitotic checkpoint. Dev. Cell *23*, 239–250.

Wang, K., Sturt-Gillespie, B., Hittle, J.C., Macdonald, D., Chan, G.K., Yen, T.J., and Liu,

S.-T. (2014). Thyroid Hormone Receptor Interacting Protein 13 (TRIP13) AAA-ATPase

Is a Novel Mitotic Checkpoint-silencing Protein. J. Biol. Chem. *289*, 23928–23937.

Wu, H.-Y., and Burgess, S.M. (2006). Two distinct surveillance mechanisms monitor

meiotic chromosome metabolism in budding yeast. Curr. Biol. CB *16*, 2473–2479.

Yang, M., Li, B., Tomchick, D.R., Machius, M., Rizo, J., Yu, H., and Luo, X. (2007).

p31comet blocks Mad2 activation through structural mimicry. Cell *131*, 744–755.

Ye, Q., Rosenberg, S.C., Moeller, A., Speir, J.A., Su, T.Y., and Corbett, K.D. (2015).

TRIP13 is a protein-remodeling AAA+ ATPase that catalyzes MAD2 conformation

switching. ELife *4*.

Yost, S., de Wolf, B., Hanks, S., Zachariou, A., Marcozzi, C., Clarke, M., de Voer, R.,

Etemad, B., Uijttewaal, E., Ramsay, E., et al. (2017). Biallelic TRIP13 mutations

predispose to Wilms tumor and chromosome missegregation. Nat. Genet. *49*, 1148–

1151.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: PCH-2 becomes dispensable for the spindle checkpoint response in

somatic cells as they decrease in size

(A) Images of control and *ani-2*^{RNAi} two-cell embryos. Scale bar indicates 5 µm. (B)

Cartoon of embryos treated with *zyg-1*^{RNAi} or *ani-2*^{RNAi}*;zyg-1*^{RNAi}. (C) Mitotic timing in AB

cells of control, *pch-2* and *mad-1* mutant embryos plotted against cell volume. Lines

represent regression analysis for each set of data. (D) Data from (C) sorted into three

categories: wild-type sized embryos (more than 5×10^3 µm^3), medium sized embryos

(between 3.5×10^3 µm^3 and 5×10^3 µm^3) and small embryos (less than 3.5×10^3 µm^3).

Error bars are S.E.M. In all graphs, a * indicates a p value < 0.05, ** indicates a p value

< 0.01 and *** a p value < 0.0001.

Figure 2: PCH-2 becomes dispensable for MAD-2 recruitment at unattached

kinetochores in somatic cells as they decrease in size

(A) Immunostaining of MAD-2 and nuclear pore complex components (NPCs) shows

MAD-2 localized in the nucleus and at the nuclear envelope during interphase. Scale bar

indicates 5 µm. (B) Cartoon and images of GFP::MAD-2 recruitment to unattached

kinetochores in AB cells of small embryos. Scale bar 1 µm. (C) Quantification of

kinetochore bound GFP::MAD-2. Error bars are S.E.M.

Figure 3: PCH-2 is required for the spindle checkpoint response during

embryogenesis

(A) Mitotic timing in control, *pch-2* and *san-1* mutant embryos treated with nocodazole at

different developmental stages (4- and 16-cell embryos). (B) Mitotic timing in control and

pch-2 mutant embryos overexpressing GFP::MAD-2 and treated with nocodazole at

different developmental stages (4- and 16-cell embryos). Error bars are S.E.M.

Figure 4: PCH-2 is responsible for the stronger spindle checkpoint in the germline

lineage

Mitotic timing in AB and P_1 cells plotted against cell volume in control *ani-2^{RNAi};zyg-1^{RNAi}*

embryos (A) or *pch-2;ani-2^{RNAi};zyg-1^{RNAi}* (B) embryos. Lines represent regression

analysis for each set of data. (C) Unperturbed mitotic timing of AB and P_1 cells in control

and *pch-2* mutants. Error bars are S.E.M.

Figure 5: PCH-2's enrichment in P_1 cells depends on PAR-1

(A) Mitotic timing of control embryos and embryos expressing PCH-2::GFP during

unperturbed divisions or in the presence of monopolar spindles. (B) Cartoon and images

of PCH-2::GFP localization around mitotic chromosomes in AB and P_1 cells of two-cell

embryos. Scale bar indicates 5 µm. (C) Quantification of PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in

AB and P_1 cells. (D) Quantification of PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in AB and P_1 cells of

par-1^{RNAi} embryos. Error bars are S.E.M. NS indicates not significant.

Figure 6: The stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells depends on CMT-1

(A) Mitotic timing of control, *cmt-1* and *mad-1* mutant embryos during unperturbed

divisions or in the presence of monopolar spindles. (B) Cartoon and images of PCH-

2::GFP localization around mitotic chromosomes in AB and P_1 cells of *cmt-1* mutant

embryos. Scale bar indicates 5 µm. (C) Quantification of PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in

AB cells of control and *cmt-1* mutant embryos. (D) Quantification of PCH-2::GFP

fluorescence in AB and P_1 cells of *cmt-1* mutant embryos.

Figure 7: Model

(A) A robust spindle checkpoint response in large cells requires the presence of PCH-2

at unattached kinetochores to increase the local concentration O-MAD-2 at or near

unattached kinetochores. (B) Reducing cell volume of two-cell embryos increases the

concentration of O-Mad-2 near or at unattached kinetochores, allowing a checkpoint

response in the absence of PCH-2. (C) The enrichment of PCH-2 in P_1 cells results in a

higher production of O-MAD-2, generating a stronger spindle checkpoint response in P_1

cells.

Figure S1: Related to Figure 1. The mitotic delay observed in *pch-2;ani-2^{RNAi};zyg-

1^{RNAi} **small cells is a spindle checkpoint response.**

Cartoon of control and *ani-2^{RNAi}* two-cell embryos (A) and an *ani-2^{RNAi};zyg-1^{RNAi}* two-cell

embryo (B). Mitotic timing in AB cells plotted against cell volume, during unperturbed

mitosis (C) and in the presence of monopolar spindles (D). Lines represent regression

analysis for each set of data.

Figure S2: Related to Figure 2. Nuclear volume does not scale with cell volume in

ani-2^{RNAi} **two-cell embryos.**

(A) Images of a large (top) and small (bottom) AB cell of *ani-2^{RNAi}* embryos. The nuclear

area is indicated with a dashed yellow line. Scale bar indicates 5 µm (B) Nuclear area

plotted against cell volume.

Figure S3: Related to Figure 5. There is no difference in the amount of PCH-2::GFP

or GFP::MAD-2 recruited to unattached kinetochores in AB and P_1 cells.

(A) Cartoon and images of PCH-2::GFP recruitment to unattached kinetochores in AB

and P_1 cells of two-cell embryos. Scale bar indicates 1 µm. (B) Quantification of PCH-

2::GFP recruitment at unattached kinetochores in AB and P_1 cells. (C) Cartoon and

images of GFP::MAD-2 recruitment to unattached kinetochores in AB and P_1 cells of

two-cell embryos. Scale bar indicates 1 µm. (D) Quantification of GFP::MAD-2

fluorescence at unattached kinetochores in AB and P_1 cells. Error bars are S.E.M. NS

indicates not significant.

Figure S4: Related to Figure 5. There is no difference in the amount of PCH-2::GFP

in the cytoplasm of AB and P_1 cells.

(A) Images of AB (left) and P_1 (right) cells after NEBD. Scale bar 5 µm. (B) Quantification

of PCH-2::GFP fluorescence in the cytoplasm of AB and P_1 cells. Error bars are S.E.M.

A

control

ani-2^{RNAi}

GFP::PH
mCherry::H2B

B

zyg-1^{RNAi}

ani-2^{RNAi};zyg-1^{RNAi}

C

D

A

MAD-2
NPCs
DAPI

B

Control

pch-2

GFP::MAD-2
mCherry::H2B

merge   GFP::
MAD-2

C

A

B

A

B

C

D

A

B

C

D

Strain	Genotype
OD56	unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV
OD95	unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs38 [pAA1; Ppie-1::GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]
BHL575	pch-2(tm1458) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs38 [pAA1;Ppie-1::GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]
BHL596	unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs38 [pAA1; Ppie-1::GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]; mdf-1(av19) V
BHL600	unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs52 [pOD379; Ppie-1::GFP::MDF-2; unc-119 (+)]
BHL604	pch-2(tm1458) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs52 [pOD379; Ppie-1::GFP::MDF-2; unc-119 (+)]
BHL608	cmt-1(ok2879) I; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs38 [pAA1;Ppie-1::GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]
BHL664	Ppch-2::pch-2::GFP-3XFLAG (blt4 [pCN94]) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV
BHL883	san-1/mdf-3 (ok1580) I; pch-2(tm1458) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs38 [pAA1; Ppie-1::GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]
BHL887	cmt-1(ok2879) I; pch-2::GFP-3XFLAG (blt4 [pCN94]) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs44[pAA173; pPie-1::mCherry::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV
BHL888	unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs44 [pAA173; pPie-1::mCherry::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]; ltIs52 [pOD379; Ppie-1::GFP::mdf-2; unc-119 (+)]; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV
BHL889	pch-2(tm1458) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs44 [pAA173; pPie-1::mCherry::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]; ltIs52 [pOD379; Ppie-1::GFP::mdf-2; unc-119 (+)]; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV
BHL891	unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs24[pAZ132; pPie-1::GFP::tba-2; unc-119(+)]
BHL892	pch-2 (tm1458) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs24 [pAZ132; pPie-1::GFP::tba-2; unc-119(+)]
BHL893	san-1/mdf-3 (ok1580) I; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; ltIs38 [pAA1; Ppie-1::GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]
BHL904	Ppch-2::pch-2::GFP-3XFLAG (blt4 [pCN94]) II; unc-119(ed3) III; ltIs44[pAA173; pPie-1::mCherry::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119(+)]; ltIs37 [pAA64; Ppie-1::mCherry::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV
GAL5	san-1/mdf-3 (mat5) I; unc-119(ed3) III; ruIs57[Ppie-1::GFP::tubulin + unc-119(+)]; ltIs37[pie-1p::mCherry::his-58 (pAA64) + unc-119(+)] IV

A

Large
AB cell

Small
AB cell

GFP::PH
mCherry::H2B

ani-2^{*RNAi*}

B

A

B

C

D

A

merge

PCH-2::
GFP

PCH-2::GFP
mCherry::H2B

Cytoplasmic = [Avg.1,2,3] - 4

B