Plasticity in striatal dopamine release is governed by release-independent

depression and the dopamine transporter

Mark D. Condon[1], Nicola J. Platt[1], Yan-Feng Zhang[1], Bradley M. Roberts[1], Michael A. Clements[1],

Stefania Vietti-Michelina[1], Min-Yee Tseu[1], Katherine R. Brimblecombe[1], Sarah Threlfell[1,2], Ed O.

Mann[1] and Stephanie J. Cragg[1,2]*

[1]Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, Parks Road, University of Oxford, OX1 3PT, UK

[2]Oxford Parkinson's Disease Centre, Oxford, OX1 3PT

*Author for Correspondence: Stephanie.cragg@dpag.ox.ac.uk, +44 1865 282513

Short Title: Short-term plasticity in dopamine release

Keywords: Dopamine, striatum, release probability, dopamine release, short-term plasticity, short-term

depression, short-term facilitation, dopamine transporter, release-independent depression, axonal

excitability, voltammetry

Key Findings:

• Short-term plasticity in dopamine release is only weakly governed by initial release

• Short-term depression is strongly dependent on axonal excitability and activation

• The dopamine transporter controls short-term plasticity and drives short-term depression

• Dopamine transporters govern the balance between release-dependent and -independent

mechanisms

Abstract

Mesostriatal DA neurons possess extensively branched axonal arbours. Whether action potentials are converted to DA output in striatum will be influenced dynamically and critically by axonal properties and mechanisms that are poorly understood. We addressed the roles for mechanisms governing release probability and axonal activity in determining short-term plasticity of DA release, using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry in *ex vivo* mouse striatum. Brief short-term facilitation (STF) and longer short-term depression (STD) were only weakly dependent on the level of initial release, i.e. were release-insensitive. Rather, short-term plasticity was strongly determined by mechanisms which governed axonal activation, including K^+-gated excitability and the dopamine transporter (DAT), particularly in dorsal striatum. We identify the DAT as a master regulator of DA short-term plasticity, governing the balance between release-dependent and independent mechanisms that also show region-specific gating.

Introduction

Dopamine (DA) release in the striatum plays key roles in action selection and behavioural reinforcement, and is dysregulated in diverse disorders including Parkinson's disease and addictions. DA neurons generate action potentials at a range of frequencies, firing tonically below 10 Hz, and intermittently in short bursts with instantaneous frequencies of up to approximately 40 Hz [1-6]. Bursts occur in response to salient environmental stimuli predicting reward value or action signals [6-8]. However, the extent to which action potential activity is conveyed into striatal DA release remains unclear; DA axons are important sites for local regulation of DA output [9-13] which shows strong short-term plasticity and distorts the relationship between activity and DA output [11,14-17].

Despite classical accounts of axons as simple high-fidelity cables, neurotransmitter release following action potentials in CNS neurons is shaped by factors that regulate action potential propagation and axonal excitability such as axonal morphology and branching, the expression of ion channels and presynaptic receptors, and other diverse mechanisms that regulate vesicle pools, neurotransmitter release probability

and short-term plasticity [18]. Axons of DA neurons are remarkable: they comprise vast, extensively

branched arbours [19,20], that from anatomical observations and a binary tree model [21] can be calculated

to form an average of 16,000 branch points per nigrostriatal neuron. Axonal properties are likely to be

particularly important in governing axonal activity and striatal DA output. One major influence is the input

from striatal cholinergic interneurons onto nicotinic receptors (nAChRs) on DA axons, which influence the

pattern of DA release and promote short-term depression (STD) [11,15,22-24]. But even in the absence of

nAChR activation, DA release shows an underlying spectrum of short-term plasticity ranging from short-term

depression (STD) to short-term facilitation (STF) [11,15,23,25,26] for which the underlying mechanisms are

poorly understood. Existing evidence suggests that short-term plasticity might be only weakly related to

initial levels of release [17,25,26] but the dominant drivers remain undefined.

Here, we delineate the roles of three types of intrinsic drivers that could underlie short-term

plasticity of DA release from striatal DA axons. We examine, firstly, the role for initial release; secondly, the

role for K$^+$-dependent mechanisms that will govern overall excitability and repolarization; and thirdly, a

potential role for the dopamine transporter (DAT). Besides mediating DA uptake, the DAT generates a

depolarising transport-coupled conductance in midbrain DA neurons [27,28], and has been suggested to

limit vesicular release [29-32]. Thus, the DAT has the potential to govern short-term plasticity of DA release

via regulation of axonal activation and/or release probability (P$_r$).

We reveal that initial release plays a limited role in short-term plasticity except on the shortest of

timescales (10 - 25 ms), when STF operates. The prevailing STD at longer timescales (25 ms – 200 ms) is

apparently independent of the level of initial release i.e. it is "release-insensitive". We identify that STD is

strongly determined by mechanisms that influence membrane activation, particularly in dorsal striatum.

Furthermore, we identify that the DAT drives STF at shortest intervals and STD at longer, physiological inter-

pulse intervals, effectively clamping release. We propose a region-specific hierarchy of interacting drivers of

short-term plasticity, overseen by the DAT, with the DAT promoting release-insensitive over release-

dependent mechanisms.

Results

Patterns of short-term plasticity at DA release sites are region-specific and weakly release-dependent

We assessed short-term plasticity of DA release in acute coronal striatal slices evoked using pairs of local electrical pulses and inter-pulse intervals (IPI) of 10-200 ms in both dorsolateral striatum (caudate-putamen, CPu) and nucleus accumbens core (NAc). Confounding effects of nAChRs were excluded by inclusion throughout of antagonist DHβE (see Methods). The ratio of DA release evoked by the second pulse in a pair compared to a single pulse (paired-pulse ratio, PPR) varied with IPI in CPu (**Fig. 1A,C**) and in NAc (**Fig. 1B,C**). In both regions, PPR decreased with increasing IPI. Short-term facilitation (STF, PPR>1) was observed at the shortest IPIs (10 ms in CPu, 10-25 ms in NAc), whereas strong short-term depression (STD) (PPR<1) was observed at longer IPIs in both regions (≥ 25 ms in CPu, >40 ms in NAc), as shown previously [15,33]. In CPu, STD was significantly more pronounced than in NAc (**Fig. 1C,** Two-way ANOVA, region x IPI interaction, $F_{4,25}$ = 4.195, P = 0.010, n = 7) suggesting region-specific gating of short-term plasticity.

PPR at classic fast synapses is typically inversely related to initial P_r, resulting in STF when P_r is low, and STD when P_r is high [34-37]. STF at these synapses consists of several temporally-overlapping processes with a range of time constants from 30 – 500 ms [38]. An inverse relationship between PPR and single pulse release has been reported in CPu for short IPI of 10 ms but not 100 ms [25], suggesting that short-term plasticity of DA release might reflect initial P_r at only very short intervals. We probed the relationship between PPR and the extracellular concentration of DA ($[DA]_o$) evoked by a single pulse (as a proxy of initial P_r) in CPu and in NAc across a population of release sites that exhibited a 10-fold range in $[DA]_o$ evoked by a single pulse (1p $[DA]_o$). We found an inverse relationship between 1p $[DA]_o$ and PPR for IPI of 10 ms in both CPu and NAc, and at 40 ms in NAc only, but in neither region at the longer interval of 100 ms (**Fig. 1D,** linear regressions, 10 ms, CPu: β = -0.79 ± 0.14 [95% CI: -1.08 to -0.50], $F_{1,33}$ = 30.9, P = 3.56 x 10^{-6}, n = 35; NAc: β = -0.52 ± 0.19 [95% CI: -0.93 to -0.11], $F_{1,17}$ = 7.136, P = 0.016, n = 19; 40 ms, CPu, P = 0.152, n = 35; NAc 95% CI:

-0.38 to -0.001], $F_{1, 17}$ = 4.489, P = 0.049, n = 19; 100 ms, CPu: P = 0.122, n = 35; NAc: P = 0.917, n = 19). These

data indicate that DA PPR is a function of P_r at only very short intervals and specifically, only at those

intervals at which STF can be observed. These data suggest that short-term plasticity in DA release might be

governed by release-dependent mechanisms at very short intervals, but by mechanisms unrelated to initial

release at longer more physiological intervals.

This expression of STD was not due to activation of inhibitory D_2-autoreceptors (D2Rs). Antagonism

of D2Rs with antagonist L741,626 (1 µM), did not influence peak $[DA]_o$ (**Fig. 1E**) or PPR at IPIs up to 200 ms in

either CPu or NAc (**Fig. 1F**, Two-way ANOVA, CPu: P = 0.420, n= 5, NAc: P = 0.2197, n = 6), although did for

longer IPIs (**Supp. Fig S1**) consistent with previous findings that D2Rs only modify release after longer IPIs

(>200 ms, < 3 s) and are not tonically regulating DA release in brain slices [14,39-41]. We also ruled out an

alternative effect whereby DA remaining after the first pulse might determine the release by the second,

with higher DA remaining at short IPI driving STF and lower DA at longer IPI driving STD. We varied the

intensity of the initial electrical stimulus to halve the $[DA]_o$ evoked in CPu, but showed that the $[DA]_o$ evoked

by a second pulse at a fixed IPI of 25 ms and a fixed stimulus strength released the same $[DA]_o$ at P2

regardless of initial level of $[DA]_o$ (**Suppl. Fig S2**).

Short-term plasticity is shaped by calcium-dependent facilitation and release-insensitive

depression

To test directly whether short-term plasticity can be shaped by changes to P_r, we varied initial release at a

given release site by varying the extracellular concentration of Ca^{2+} ($[Ca^{2+}]_o$) in a 3-fold range (1.2 - 3.6 mM).

$[DA]_o$ evoked by 1p was strongly dependent on $[Ca^{2+}]_o$, in both CPu and NAc (**Fig. 2A,B**) as expected. There

was a steeper relationship between $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ and 1p $[DA]_o$ in CPu than in NAc (**Fig. 2C**, Two-way ANOVA, $[Ca^{2+}]_o$

x region interaction, $F_{2,20}$ = 17.25, P = 4.43 x10^{-5}, n = 6), consistent with previous findings [26]. However,

despite large changes in 1p-evoked DA release in both regions (4-fold in CPu, 2.5-fold in NAc), $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ had

only modest effects on PPR limited to very short intervals. In CPu, a trend towards an inverse relationship at

10 ms IPI did not reach significance (**Fig. 2D,F**, Two-way ANOVA, P = 0.604, n = 4). In NAc, PPR varied

inversely with $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ but at only the shortest interval of 10 ms IPI (**Fig. 2E,F,** Two-way ANOVA, IPI x $[Ca^{2+}]_o$

interaction, $F_{8,30}$ = 4.497, P = 0.001, n = 3). Furthermore, we noted that the size of effect on PPR in NAc

versus CPu did not tally with the size of effect of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ on 1p $[DA]_o$: the range of 1p $[DA]_o$ were less in NAc

than CPu despite the larger range in short-term plasticity (**Fig. 2F**). The difference in short-term plasticity

between CPu and NAc cannot therefore be attributed to a difference in the sensitivity of initial P_r to $[Ca^{2+}]_o$

(see Fig. 2C). Together these data show that short-term plasticity of DA release is not easily explained by

Ca^{2+}-limited mechanisms, suggesting that other mechanisms dominate that are independent of initial

release.

To confirm that STD and its insensitivity to $[Ca^{2+}]$ do not result from stimulation of an undefined

input to DA axons, we used targeted optogenetic stimulation of DA axons. In striatum from heterozygote

DAT[IRES-Cre] mice expressing ChR2-eYFP after viral delivery, a brief light flash (2 ms, 473 nm wavelength)

evoked $[DA]_o$ transients that varied with $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (**Fig. 2G**) and with a steeper $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ concentration-response

curve in CPu than in NAc (**Fig. 2H**, nonlinear regression, CPu: R^2 = 0.91, n = 3, NAc: R^2 = 0.94, n = 3,

comparison of fits: $F_{4,118}$ = 5.94, P = 2 x 10^{-4}), as seen for electrically evoked DA release. Furthermore, at an

interval of 40 ms, at which ChR2 can reliably drive repeat spiking in DA neurons [1] and DA release [11], PPR

was greater in NAc than in CPu, as observed using electrical stimulation (see Fig. 1C). However, even with

optogenetic stimulation, there was no inverse relationship between PPR and 1p $[DA]_o$ (**Fig. 2I,** linear

regression, CPu: P = 0.874, n = 3, NAc: P = 0.286, n = 3). These data confirm that STD is not attributable to

stimulation of other inputs. For subsequent experiments, we chose electrical over optogenetic stimulation to

avoid the potentially confounding effects of Ca^{2+} entry through ChR2 on P_r and short-term plasticity.

Release-independent STD is controlled by K⁺-dependent gating

We tested whether STD was gated by axonal membrane excitability by varying $[K^+]_o$. Varying $[K^+]_o$ can alter

membrane potential and repolarisation through the Nernstian driving forces underlying K^+-mediated

currents [42] and also through K^+-dependent inhibition of K^+-channel inactivation [43]. Reduced $[K^+]_o$ can

thereby favour membrane hyperpolarisation and faster repolarisation between pulses, promoting de-

inactivation of Na^+ channels, but can also promote inactivation of K^+-channels, leading to use-dependent

depolarisation and enhanced Na^+-channel recruitment. In either case, recruitment of voltage-gated Na^+

channels at a subsequent depolarising event will be altered.

We found that varying $[K^+]_o$ (1.25 - 7.5 mM) did not change 1p $[DA]_o$ in either CPu (**Fig. 3A**) or NAc

(**Fig. 3B**) but nonetheless significantly modulated short-term plasticity (**Fig. 3A-D**). In CPu, PPR varied

inversely with $[K^+]_o$ across IPIs, with STD minimized at lowest $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 3C**, Two-way ANOVA, $[K^+]_o$ x IPI

interaction, $F_{8,30} = 7.66$, $P = 1.53 \times 10^{-5}$, $n = 3$). In NAc, the effect of $[K^+]_o$ on PPR was less evident than in CPu,

but showed an overall significance (**Fig. 3D**, Two-way ANOVA, main effect of $[K^+]_o$, $F_{2,30} = 15.15$, $P = 2.83 \times 10^{-5}$

^{5}, $n = 3$). Since we observed no effect of $[K^+]_o$ on 1p $[DA]_o$, these effects on PPR were unrelated to initial P_r at

either short (10 ms) or longer (100 ms) IPI (**Fig. 3E,** vertical slopes). These findings demonstrate directly that

short-term plasticity of DA release can be dissociated from initial release, i.e. there is a release-insensitive

short-term plasticity. Furthermore, they suggest that STD is governed by mechanisms governing axonal

membrane polarisation/activation particularly in CPu.

To identify whether the control of short-term plasticity by $[K^+]_o$ is accounted for by voltage-

dependent effects, we tested whether the effects of $[K^+]_o$ on PPR could be substituted for, and prevented by

broadly blocking K_v channels. We hypothesised that a K_v blocker (4-aminopyridine, 4-AP) should promote

STD and prevent effects of changes in $[K^+]_o$. In CPu, broad inhibition of K_v channels by 4-AP (100 µM), unlike

changes in $[K^+]_o$ alone, profoundly increased 1p $[DA]_o$ (**Fig. 4A, B**, Two-way ANOVA, main effect of 4-AP: $F_{1,3} =$

33.63, $P = 0.010$, $n = 4$). This effect is consistent with the expected widening of the action potential by 4-AP

[44] which will increase Ca^{2+} entry and neurotransmitter release for a single stimulus. In addition, 4-AP,

similar to the effects of high $[K^+]_o$ (see Fig. 3), but unlike high $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (see Fig. 2), reduced PPR at all IPIs, and

also prevented the effects of changing $[K^+]_o$ on PPR (**Fig. 4C, 4D,** Two-way ANOVA, 4-AP x $[K^+]_o$ interaction, $F_{1,12} = 6.003$, $P = 0.031$, $n = 4$). These findings indicate that inhibition of K_v channels promotes STD, and suggest

that enhanced K_v currents relieve STD, and that hyperpolarizing/repolarizing conditions limit STD and

promote subsequent release.

167   In NAc, we observed no significant effect of 4-AP on 1p $[DA]_o$ (**Fig. 4E,F**, Two-way ANOVA, P = 0.266,

168 n = 3). However, 4-AP did decrease PPR at all IPIs, and there was no effect of varying $[K^+]_o$ in control

169 conditions or in the presence of 4-AP (**Fig. 4G, H**). These findings verify that STD can be distinct from the

170 effects on initial P_r and identify that STD is underpinned by the gating of axonal excitability.

K$^+$-dependent gating does not alter release-dependence of short-term plasticity

172 We investigated whether $[K^+]_o$-dependent STD could be a limiting factor that prevents short-term plasticity

173 of DA release from responding to changes in P_r or whether yet further regulatory mechanisms might be

174 indicated. We relieved STD (using low $[K^+]_o$) to test whether we could unmask a sensitivity of short-term

175 plasticity to changes in initial release (using variation in $[Ca^{2+}]_o$). In CPu, 1p $[DA]_o$ varied with $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in a

176 similar manner in 1 mM $[K^+]_o$ versus 5 mM $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 5A-C**) and although PPRs were elevated when $[K^+]_o$ was

177 low (**Fig. 5D**) (as seen in Figs. 3C, 4C), there was no significant interaction between $[K^+]_o$ and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ at IPIs of

178 either 10 ms or 100 ms (**Fig. 5D**, Two-way ANOVA, 10 ms IPI: P = 0.086, 100 ms IPI: P = 0.654, n = 10). In NAc

179 also, 1p $[DA]_o$ varied with $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in a manner that did not depend on $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 5E-G**) and there was no

180 significant interaction between the effects of $[K^+]_o$ and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ on PPR at either 10 ms or 100 ms IPI (**Fig. 5H**,

181 Two-way ANOVA, 10 ms IPI: P = 0.963, 100 ms IPI: P = 0.883, n = 7). By limiting STD, we did not enhance Ca^{2+}-

182 dependent modulation of STF or STD in either CPu or NAc. Therefore, the mechanism through which $[K^+]_o$

183 modulates short-term plasticity is not masking or preventing short-term plasticity from being Ca^{2+}-limited, in

184 either CPu or NAc. This observation suggests that an additional mechanism may operate to limit the Ca^{2+}-

185 and release-dependence of short-term plasticity besides K_v-regulation of axonal excitability and polarization.

The dopamine transporter regulates short-term plasticity of dopamine release

187 Alongside its control of DA uptake, the dopamine transporter (DAT) has previously been shown to govern

188 underlying DA release processes [29-32]. The DAT is also known to mediate electrogenic currents during DA

189 binding and transport [27,45] that have been shown to modulate the membrane potential of DA neurons *in*

vitro [28]. We therefore investigated the hypothesis that DAT in striatum could consequently contribute to

short-term plasticity.

To avoid adaptations to release seen after DAT knockout, we used uptake inhibitors to inhibit DAT

function. We found that the monoamine uptake inhibitors cocaine and methylphenidate (MPH) and DAT

inhibitor nomifensine significantly altered the pattern of short-term plasticity in a common manner. Cocaine

(5 μM), MPH (5 μM) or nomifensine (10 μM) increased the peak amplitude and decreased the rate of decay

of $[DA]_o$ transients in CPu and NAc in keeping with DA uptake inhibition (**Fig. 6A,C,G,I**) and also prevented

STF and significantly relieved STD (Cocaine: **Fig. 6A,B**, Two-way ANOVA, cocaine x IPI interaction, $F_{4, 30}$ =

11.97, *P* = 6.24 x 10^{-6}, *n* = 4, MPH: **Fig. 6C,D**, Two-way ANOVA, MPH x IPI interaction, $F_{4, 40}$ = 5.316, *P* = 0.002,

n = 5; Nomifensine: **Fig. 6E,F**, Two-way ANOVA, nomifensine x IPI interaction, $F_{4, 80}$ = 21.12, *P* = 6.5 x 10^{-12}, *n* =

3). These findings indicate that the DAT is a key regulator of short-term plasticity of DA release. At shortest

IPI, DAT promotes STF, whereas at longer IPI, DAT clamps release to promote STD in CPu. In NAc, cocaine

and MPH (we did not test nomifensine) prevented STF at 10 ms IPI (**Fig. 6G,H,** Two-way ANOVA, cocaine x IPI

interaction, $F_{4, 30}$ = 7.884, *P* = 1.82 x 10^{-4}, *n* = 4; MPH: **Fig 6I,J,** Two-way ANOVA, MPH x IPI interaction, $F_{4, 20}$ =

3.986, *P* = 0.016, *n* = 3) but in contrast to CPu, STD at longer intervals was not relieved. In NAc, the DAT

regulated short-term plasticity at only short interpulse intervals. Since it is at these intervals that short-term

plasticity is related to P_r (see Fig 1), these data suggest that it is the effect of DAT inhibition on promoting

subsequent DA release that underlies its effects on short-term plasticity at short intervals in NAc.

We ruled out activation of D_2 receptors as contributing to DAT-mediated changes in short-term

plasticity. At longer interpulse intervals of 2-3 seconds when sufficient time has elapsed for D2 receptors to

have been activated, the D2 antagonist L-741626 in the presence of cocaine can enhance evoked $[DA]_o$ at a

second stimulus (**Suppl Fig. S3A,B**, Two-way ANOVA, main effect of L-741-626, $F_{1,64}$ = 28.96, P < 0.0001, *n* =

4). However, the effect of cocaine on STF and STD at shorter intervals spanning 10-200 ms was not modified

by the D2 antagonist (**Suppl. Fig S3C**, Two-way ANOVA, main effect of L-741,626, $F_{1,20}$ = 2.76, P > 0.05, n = 4).

We also ruled out potential local anaesthetic actions of cocaine on voltage-gated Na$^+$ channels (VGSCs), since

lidocaine (5 µM), an inhibitor of VGSCs, did not significantly alter short-term plasticity (**Supp Fig. S4**),

consistent with reports that cocaine does not inhibit VGSCs at the concentration used in this study [46].

We tested whether the effects of DAT inhibition on short-term plasticity were dependent on

synapsin III which has been suggested to mediate the role of the DAT in vesicle segregation and in limiting

release [29]. However, the effects of cocaine on short-term plasticity persisted in mice lacking synapsin III

(**Suppl. Fig. S5**), indicating that the DAT regulates short-term plasticity via a synapsin III-independent or other

redundant mechanism.

The DAT limits release-dependence of short-term plasticity in CPu

Since the DAT operates a clamp on DA release in CPu that drives STD, we tested whether the DAT might

prevent short-term plasticity from being Ca^{2+}- and release-dependent. We tested whether there was a

stronger relationship between $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ and PPR in CPu, in the presence of cocaine. There was no effect on PPR

of changing $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in the absence of cocaine (**Fig. 7A,B**, Two-way ANOVA, $P = 0.209$, n = 4), but by contrast in

the presence of cocaine there was a significant effect of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$: PPR at IPIs of 10-40 ms were significantly

elevated in 1.2 versus 2.4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (**Fig. 7A,C-E**, Two-way ANOVA, $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ x IPI interaction, $F_{3, 24} = 6.137$, $P =$

0.003, $n = 4$). There was a significantly stronger effect of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ on PPR in the presence of cocaine than in

control conditions at 25 ms (**Fig. 7D;** Two-way ANOVA, cocaine x $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ interaction; $F_{1, 12} = 7.86$; $P = 0.016$; n

= 4) and 40 ms IPI (**Fig. 7D**; Two-way ANOVA, cocaine x $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ interaction; $F_{1, 12} = 4.72$, $P = 0.050$, $n = 4$), but

not at 10 ms or 100 ms IPI. These effects were not due to a potential electrochemical change in dopamine

adsorption/desorption kinetics at the electrode that might occur with a change in divalent cations, since

these effects of cocaine prevailed when the reduction in $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ was compensated by substitution with Mg^{2+}

(**Suppl. Fig. S6**). Inhibition of the DAT therefore relieves a limiting clamp on a relationship between PPR and

initial release, suggesting that the DAT limits the release-dependence of short-term plasticity and drives STD.

The DAT maintains K$^+$-dependent gating of short-term plasticity

We noted that the effect of DAT inhibitors on STD resembled the effect of low $[K^+]_o$. Since inhibition of the DAT might prevent DAT-mediated depolarising conductances and modify axonal hyperpolarisation/ repolarisation, we tested whether cocaine and low $[K^+]_o$ relieve STD via an overlapping mechanism. We identified whether cocaine precluded the effect of varying $[K^+]_o$ (1 vs 5 mM) on short-term plasticity, in CPu and NAc.

In CPu, in the absence of cocaine, PPR were elevated in lower $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 8A,B**, Two-way ANOVA, main effect $[K^+]_o$, $F_{1, 18} = 20.47$, $P = 2.62 \times 10^{-4}$, $n = 4$) as seen in Fig. 3. Cocaine increased 1p-evoked peak $[DA]_o$ to a similar extent in 5 mM versus 1 mM $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 8C**) but prevented the effect on PPR of varying $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 8D**, Two-way ANOVA, $[K^+]_o$ x cocaine interaction, $F_{1, 12} = 7.686$, $P = 0.017$, $n = 8$). The limited ability of low $[K^+]_o$ to increase PPR was not due to a ceiling effect (such as DA depletion due to increased 1p release); cocaine also prevented the opposite effects of a rise in $[K^+]_o$ (to 7.5 mM) from decreasing PPR (**Suppl. Fig. S7**). In NAc, PPR were slightly elevated in lower $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 8E,F**, Two-way ANOVA, main effect $[K^+]_o$, $F_{1,12} = 6.840$, $P = 0.023$, $n = 3$). Cocaine increased 1p-evoked peak $[DA]_o$ to a similar extent in 5 mM versus 1 mM $[K^+]_o$ (**Fig. 8G**), and, as in CPu, prevented the effect of varying $[K^+]_o$ on PPR (**Fig. 8F,H**, Two-way ANOVA, $[K^+]_o$ x cocaine interaction, $F_{1,8} = 6.756$, $P = 0.032$, $n = 3$). The effects of $[K^+]_o$ on short-term plasticity are therefore abolished by cocaine, suggesting that short-term plasticity is regulated by a pathway common to $[K^+]_o$ and DAT function. DAT function appears to regulate short-term plasticity by limiting Ca^{2+}-dependent gating whilst supporting $[K^+]_o$-dependent modulation.

The DAT and K$^+$ regulate axonal activation

Finally, to validate that $[K^+]_o$ and the DAT are able to directly modulate the activation of DA axons, through mechanisms upstream of vesicular P_r, we imaged Ca^{2+} dynamics in a population of DA axons in CPu using genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6f expressed in DAT-Cre:Ai95D mice (**Fig. 9A**). We activated DA axons using the same single and paired stimulus pulses and conditions used to drive DA release, for two

representative IPIs of 10 and 40 ms. We noted firstly, in control conditions for each experiment, that axonal

Ca^{2+} levels evoked by 2p were significantly greater than those evoked by 1p, and were greater for IPIs of 10

ms than 40 ms (P<0.001, two-tailed paired t-test, n = 10) (**Fig. 9B-D**), which paralleled our observations of

evoked $[DA]_o$ throughout this study (e.g. see Fig. 1A,C). When we increased $[K^+]_o$ from 5 mM to 7.5 mM, Ca^{2+}

levels in DA axons evoked by paired pulses (normalised to 1p) were slightly but significantly decreased for

both IPI (**Fig**. 9B,C, Two-way ANOVA, main effect of $[K^+]_o$, $F_{1, 8}$ = 25.95, P =0.0009, N = 5 animals), consistent

with reduced re-activation of DA axons by a second pulse and the enhanced STD of DA release (see Fig. 3C).

Furthermore, when we inhibited DATs with cocaine (5 μM), we found that Ca^{2+} levels evoked in DA axons by

paired pulses were significantly modified, through a significant interaction between cocaine and IPI, which

significantly increased Ca^{2+} levels at IPI of 40 ms, to levels seen at 10 ms (**Fig 9D,E**, Two-way ANOVA,

interaction, $F_{1, 8}$ = 23.19, P = 0.0013, N = 5 animals). This interaction broadly paralleled the impact of DAT

inhibition on DA release at these IPIs (compare with Fig. 6A,B), and was consistent with a reduced STD in DA

release being due at least in part to elevated re-activation of DA axons in the absence of DAT action.

274 Discussion

We addressed whether the mechanisms that control the short-term dynamic probability of DA release, in

dorsal and ventral striatum, are governed by classic release-dependent or other release-independent

mechanisms. We show that short-term plasticity is governed in only a limited manner by Ca^{2+}-dependent

regulation of release probability, which participates in determining STF but not STD, and to greater extent in

ventral than dorsal striatum. We reveal that mechanisms insensitive to the initial level of release drive strong

STD, which is therefore not limited by the vesicular pool. Rather, we find that axonal excitability and the DAT

are major players in controlling STD, and they dominate over Ca^{2+}-dependent gating. The DAT appears to be

a master regulator that sets the dynamic level of DA release and its resulting STF, and clamps release leading

to release-insensitive STD particularly in dorsal striatum. The differences seen between dorsal and ventral

striatum could lead to divergent DA outputs in response to changes in action potential firing and to striatal

modulatory inputs.

Calcium-dependent facilitation

Studies of short-term plasticity at fast central synapses typically demonstrate an inverse relationship between PPR and initial P_r; STF is observed when P_r is low, and STD when P_r is high [47]. Here, we show that, in NAc, an inverse relationship between STF and initial DA release could be observed for only short IPI corresponding to instantaneous frequencies seen during fast burst firing (≥25 Hz), but the STD seen at lower frequencies did not vary with initial release. By contrast, in CPu, there is limited relationship between Ca^{2+}-limited initial release and the dynamic subsequent plasticity of DA release. Here, other release-independent mechanisms seem particularly influential. Given this overall apparent divergence from mechanisms typically operating at classic fast synapses, it is notable that some aspects of the molecular machinery supporting presynaptic active zones for DA release also diverge from that supporting fast synapses (e.g. RIM- and ELKS-dependence) [17].

STF at other synapses is often attributed to summation of residual Ca^{2+} [48,49], or Ca^{2+}-dependent ultrafast recruitment of vesicles [50]. Ca^{2+} dynamics can vary with local Ca^{2+} buffering mechanisms [51]. In NAc, we saw a more pronounced Ca^{2+}-dependent STF than in CPu, despite a weaker relationship between $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ and initial DA release in NAc. These regional differences might correspond to differences in Ca^{2+} binding proteins. For example, the high-affinity fast Ca^{2+} buffer calbindin-D_{28k} is expressed at 2-3-fold greater levels in DA neurons of ventral tegmental area (VTA) which more strongly innervate NAc than neurons of substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) which more strongly innervate CPu [52-54]. Calbindin might provide extra initial Ca^{2+} buffering capacity to limit initial release in NAc, but become saturated at subsequent stimuli, which in other neurons promotes STF [55,56].

Release-insensitive depression

At longer interpulse intervals (40-200 ms) corresponding to a range of physiological firing frequencies (5-25 Hz), there is strong STD of DA release. This is not due to D_2 autoreceptor (D2R) activation at these intervals. STD of release of other transmitters can result from depletion of readily-releasable vesicles [57], but the STD

in DA release does not seem to result from a limited availability of DA vesicles. Not only is a low fraction of

presynaptic DA estimated to be released after stimulation [14,17,58,59], but moreover STD in the present

study was not relieved by reducing initial release (by lowering $[Ca^{2+}]_o$). Ca^{2+}-dependent inactivation of VGCCs

by a Ca^{2+} sensor has also been proposed at some central synapses [60-62] but since low $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ did not

relieve STD for DA release, this mechanism is unlikely to contribute here.

Since STD of DA release is not sensitive to the magnitude of initial release, it appears to be a release-

insensitive depression. We found particularly in CPu, that STD varied with $[K^+]_o$, as seen at some other

central synapses [63]. The variation in STD despite no change in initial release further demonstrate the

uncoupling of STD from P_r. The effects of $[K^+]_o$ suggested that factors underpinning the ability to re-activate

DA axons, such as membrane polarity/excitability, are critical in determining STD for DA release. Using Ca^{2+}

imaging, we validated that changes in $[K^+]_o$ modify the ability to activate DA axons at subsequent stimuli,

consistent with axonal excitability being a critical factor in STD of DA release, upstream of local regulation of

vesicular P_r. A range of K_v channel classes can regulate axonal excitability and rates of repolarisation in CNS

axons [64-67]. Varying $[K^+]_o$ would be expected to change the Nernstian driving force for active K^+ currents

[42], altering action potential waveform and repolarisation and therefore the degree of Na^+ channel

inactivation, or alternatively might alter the rate of K^+ channel inactivation [43], leading to use-dependent

changes in membrane potential which alter Na^+ channel recruitment at a second pulse. We cannot

distinguish here which of these opposing mechanisms dominates to govern short-term plasticity but in either

scenario, Na^+-channel recruitment would be altered.

The critical role for axonal excitability in DA STD is particularly pertinent given DA axon morphology.

Midbrain DA neurons form small diameter, unmyelinated, extensively arborised axons each containing on

the order of ~10^4 branch points [20,68]. These morphological features will not readily favour reliable

conduction of action potentials [69]. K^+ or Na^+ conductances are very influential in axonal conduction

[63,70,71] and are likely to influence the fidelity or failure of action potential propagation through the DA

axonal tree. Action potential properties and propagation failure could be key contributors to DA STD. As a

consequence, the size of the DA axonal arbour invaded and the striatal field modulated by DA could be

dynamically adapted by presynaptic activity and locally by neuromodulatory inputs. In this regard, it is

noteworthy that nAChRs regulate DA release and can drive a strong STD that limits the frequency sensitivity

in DA signals [11,15]. ACh input to nAChRs might play a strategic role in shaping action potential propagation

and fidelity throughout the axonal arbour.

Changes in propagation fidelity would be expected to have a greater impact on release where axonal

arbours are more extensive. Notably, the axonal arbours formed by DA neurons projecting to CPu are more

extensive than those projecting to NAc [19,20,72]. In parallel, short-term plasticity showed stronger

dependence on K^+-dependent gating in CPu than in NAc. This correlation suggests that action potentials in

VTA DA neurons might more readily invade a fuller extent of their axonal tree than action potentials in SNc

DA neurons, a speculation which could be tested in future studies with significant implications for signal

processing by these neurons.

Together, these findings suggest that Ca^{2+}-dependent mechanisms can modify amplitude of DA

signals, but will not change the dynamic contrast in DA signals when DA neurons change their firing

frequency, except at very highest frequencies in NAc. Conversely, mechanisms that modulate the driving

forces on membrane potential and repolarisation, will particularly influence the dynamic contrast in DA

signals.

Role of the DAT in short-term plasticity

The DAT in striatum is known to regulate DA transmission through several means. DATs can curtail the

extracellular summation and lifetime of $[DA]_o$ through re-uptake [73], and can also limit the DA release

process [29-32]. Correspondingly, we show firstly that DAT function promotes STF of DA release at short

interpulse intervals (10 ms in CPu, and up to 25 ms in NAc) when short-term plasticity of DA release co-varies

most strongly with initial release (see Fig. 1). But secondly, at longer intervals, corresponding to typical firing

frequencies (5-25 Hz), when release is dominated by release-independent depression, we found that the

DAT promotes STD, particularly in CPu. DAT function therefore can therefore promote both STF and STD.

These effects are akin to changing both Ca^{2+}-dependent P_r and K^+-dependent excitability, but neither in

isolation, and therefore indicate that the DAT is limiting initial DA release probability and also subsequent

release through likely polarisation-dependent mechanisms.

The effects of DAT inhibition on STF at short IPIs seemed large given that, under control conditions,

the relationship between initial release and STF was weak. This disparity is reconciled by our finding that DAT

inhibition permitted Ca^{2+}-dependent modulation of short-term plasticity, suggesting that the DAT is a critical

player that controls and limits the relationship between Ca^{2+} and release probability. The mechanisms are

not yet known. DATs have previously been suggested to inhibit Ca^{2+}-dependent vesicle mobilisation via

interactions with synapsins, with synapsin-3 indicated as a potential candidate [29,30]. But our data with

synapse-3 KOs do not readily support a synapsin3-dependent inhibition of vesicle recruitment by the DAT.

The DAT is also an electrogenic transporter [74,75] that mediates a depolarising current [27,28,45] and can

interact directly with VGCCs [76] which might influence depolarization-dependent Ca^{2+} dynamics in DA

axons. However, Ca^{2+} imaging in DA axons in CPu did not reveal an impact of DAT inhibition on Ca^{2+} levels for

paired pulses at very short IPIs where STF occurs, suggesting that the impact of DATs on STF at very short

intervals seems to be downstream of Ca^{2+} entry, e.g. in the mobilisation of vesicle pool or local regulation of

vesicular P_r. There might be redundancy within the synapsin family to continue to support a synapsin-

dependent mechanism in the absence of synapsin3.

The DAT, like $[K^+]_o$, also acted to promote depression at longer, physiological IPIs (40 – 200 ms). The

overlap between the effects on STD of the DAT, $[K^+]_o$ and K_v channel inhibitors suggested that the DAT acts

through mechanisms that govern axonal activation. Using Ca^{2+} imaging we validated that DATs indeed limit

the ability to activate DA axons at subsequent stimuli at these intervals. Thus, DATs might act to attenuate

propagation of subsequent action potentials through the DA axon arbour. Since hyperpolarisation and low

$[K^+]_o$ can promote action potential renewal and propagation in some axon types [77], the potential for the

DAT, like high $[K^+]_o$, to depolarise membrane potential [27,28] might contribute to poor axonal re-activation

in DA axons. It is of note that DATs are widely distributed throughout the length of DA axons [78], and are

found at locations thought to correspond to both release-active zones and inactive zones [17]. DAT function

can also be modulated by DA D2 and D3-receptors [79,80]. DATs could be ideally positioned to govern action

potential propagation on axons and at branches, action potential waveform at release active zones (e.g. [66])

and/or the recruitment of vesicles or VGCCs at active zones, modulated by DA. The higher density of DA in

dorsolateral striatum, and greater DAT transcript levels in SNc than VTA neurons [54], could lead to stronger

limitations on action potential waveforms in CPu than NAc. Now defined, these hypotheses should be tested

directly in future studies.

It is well known that DAT function can promote the frequency-dependence of DA release during

ongoing activity [25,31,33,81,82]. These effects are consistent with the effects of uptake on extracellular

summation between stimuli and also with the role of DAT in the dynamic probability of release we have

identified here. The DAT might then determine both the fidelity and the spatial range of striatal DA

transmission not only through its established roles in limiting DA diffusion, but also, by limiting the

probability of DA release and activation of the axonal arbour.

In conclusion, we propose a hierarchy of intrinsic mechanisms that control short-term plasticity of

DA release. Within this hierarchy, the DAT represents a 'master regulator', governing the balance between

release-dependent and release-independent mechanisms that differently dominate in dorsal versus ventral

striatum. In turn, DAT inhibitors such as cocaine will have profound effects on DA signalling through

promoting P_r, relieving STD, and altering the timecourse and spatial field of DA signals.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Experiments were carried out using adult male C57Bl6/J mice (Jackson Laboratories), heterozygous DAT[IRES]-

[Cre] mice, synapsin-III knockout (S3KO) mice, or DAT-Cre:Ai95D mice on a C57Bl6/J background. For

experiments using optical stimulation of DA axons, male heterozygote DAT-internal ribosome entry site

(IRES)-Cre mice were bred from homozygous DAT[IRES-Cre] mice on a C57Bl6/J background (B6.SJL-

Slc6$a3tm1.1(cre)Bkmn$/J, stock # 006660, Jackson Laboratories). S3KO mice were bred as described previously

(Feng et al., 2002), and were kindly supplied by Professor HT Kao (Brown University). For Ca^{2+} imaging

experiments, male heterozygous DAT-Cre:Ai95D mice (4 -8 weeks) were bred from homozygous DAT-Cre

mice (B6.SJL-Slc6$a3tm1.1(cre)Bkmn$/J, JAX stock number 006660) crossed with homozygous Ai95D mice (B6;129S-

Gt(ROSA)26Sor$tm95.1(CAG-GCaMP6f)Hze$/J, JAX stock number 028865). Animals were group-housed and maintained

on a 12-hr light/dark cycle with *ad libitum* access to food and water. All procedures were performed in

accordance with the Animals in Scientific Procedures Act 1986 (Amended 2012) with ethical approval from

the University of Oxford, and under authority of a Project Licence granted by the UK Home Office.

Surgery

Heterozygote DAT[IRES-Cre] mice were injected intracerebrally with a Cre-inducible recombinant AAV serotype 5

vector containing an inverted gene for channelrhodopsin-2 fused in-frame with a gene encoding enhanced

yellow fluorescent protein (pAAV-double floxed-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE-pA), as we have used previously

[11]. Mice were placed in a stereotaxic frame under isoflurane anaesthesia and a craniotomy was made above

the injection site. Injections of 1 μL virus were given either unilaterally or bilaterally in either VTA (co-ordinates

from Bregma in mm: AP -3.1, ML ±0.5, DV -4.4) or in the SNc (from Bregma in mm: AP -3.5, ML ±1.2, DV -4.0)

using a 2.5 μL 33-gauge Hamilton syringe at 0.2 μL/min with a microinjector. The syringe was left in place for

10 minutes following each injection, then retracted slowly. Animals were maintained for at least 3 weeks

following surgery to allow virus expression in striatum.

Slice Preparation

Striatal slices were prepared as described previously [83,84]. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and

the brains removed and transferred to ice-cold HEPES-based buffer containing in mM: 120 NaCl, 20 $NaHCO_3$,

6.7 HEPES acid, 5 KCl, 3.3 HEPES salt, 2 $CaCl_2$, 2 $MgSO_4$, 1.2 KH_2PO_4, 10 glucose, saturated with 95%O_2/5%CO_2.

Acute 300 μm thick coronal striatal slices, containing both dorsal striatum (CPu) and nucleus accumbens core

(NAc) were prepared in ice-cold HEPES-based buffer and cut using a vibratome (VT1000S or VT1200S; Leica).

Slices were kept at room temperature in HEPES-based buffer for 1 hour before being transferred to the

recording chamber and superfused at 1.8-2.0 ml/min in bicarbonate buffer-based artificial CSF (aCSF)

containing in mM: 124.3 NaCl, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 3.8 KCl, 2.4 CaCl$_2$, 1.3 MgSO$_4$, 1.2 KH$_2$PO$_4$, 10 glucose, saturated

with 95% O$_2$/5% CO$_2$, at 31-33°C. Recording medium also contained dihydro-β-erythroidine (DHβE, 1 μM), an

antagonist of β2 subunit-containing nAChRs. Slices were allowed to equilibrate for 30 minutes prior to

recording.

Voltammetry and Stimulation

Evoked extracellular DA concentration ([DA]$_o$) was measured using FCV at carbon-fibre microelectrodes (fibre

diameter 7-10 μm, tip length 50-100 μm) implanted to a constant depth of 100 μm. A triangular voltage

waveform was scanned across the microelectrode (-700 to +1300 mV and back vs Ag/AgCl reference, scan rate

800 V/s) using a Millar voltammeter (Julian Millar, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry),

with a sweep frequency of 8 Hz, as described previously [83]. This sampling rate is sufficient to capture the

rising and falling phase of the DA transients; faster sampling rates do not change the data interpretations (not

illustrated). Evoked currents were confirmed as DA by comparison of the voltammogram with that produced

during calibration with applied DA in aCSF (oxidation peak +500-600 mV and reduction peak -200 mV). Currents

at the oxidation peak potential were measured from the baseline of each voltammogram and plotted against

time to provide profiles of [DA]$_o$ versus time. Electrodes responded linearly to [DA]$_o$ over the concentration

range detected. Electrodes were calibrated after use in 2 μM DA in each experimental solution used, including

all solutions where [Ca^{2+}] was varied, since electrode sensitivity varies with divalent ion concentration [85].

Calibration solutions were made up immediately before use from stock solution of 2.5 mM DA in 0.1 M HClO$_4$

stored at 4°C. Electrode sensitivities ranged from 5-25 nA/μM.

For experiments using electrical stimulation, DA release was evoked using a surface bipolar concentric

Pt/Ir electrode (25 μm diameter, FHC) placed approximately 100 μm from the recording electrode. Stimulation

pulses of 200 μs duration were applied at 0.6 mA unless described otherwise.

For optogenetic stimulation, DA release was evoked in striata from DAT$^{IRES-Cre}$ mice conditionally

expressing ChR2 in DA axons, using 2 ms full-field illumination from an LED system emitting light at 470 nm

wavelength (OptoLED, Cairn Research) as described previously [11]. The LED system illuminated an area of 2.2

mm diameter. The current delivered by the LED power supply was set to produce a perimaximal light intensity

(i.e. the minimum light intensity able to evoke maximum $[DA]_o$ following a single 2 ms pulse). Since the

perimaximal light intensity is dependent on the level of ChR2 expression, which varies between animals, the

appropriate current was determined at the beginning of each experiment. Electrical or optical stimulations

were delivered every 2.5 minutes, after which dopamine release was reproducible. Before acquisition of

experimental data, peak evoked $[DA]_o$ levels were allowed to reach this reproducible stable level.

All experiments were conducted in the presence of nAChR antagonist DHβE (1 μM) to prevent

confounding effects of striatal ACh. Striatal nAChRs operate a profound control over striatal DA release: ACh

released by striatal stimulation can directly drive axonal DA release [11] and limit dependence of DA release

on presynaptic frequency [15,83] which can mask other mechanisms operating presynaptically on DA axons.

Inclusion of nAChR antagonists during local stimulation also makes evoked DA release in *ex vivo* slice sensitive

to frequency of stimulation [15,83] as seen *in vivo* after midbrain or medial forebrain bundle stimulation

[81,86].

DA release study design and analyses

The term release probability P_r used for DA here is a composite measure of synaptic P_r (a function of

vesicular P_r and the size of the pool of vesicles) and the number of release sites and fibres recruited by the

stimulus. Short-term plasticity in DA release was explored by applying alternating single pulses (1p) or paired

pulses (2p) with inter-pulse intervals (IPIs) of 10 ms – 200 ms in pseudorandom order and in triplicate at each

recording site. IPIs of 40 to 200 ms fall within the range commonly observed during burst firing in DA

neurons *in vivo* [3,4]. IPIs of 10 to 25 ms have been observed during burst firing in rat DA neurons [4] but are

particularly useful to interrogate short-term facilitation which occurs on this timescale [15,25].

We calculated paired-pulse ratio (PPR) as a measure of short-term plasticity. We define PPR as the

ratio P2/P1, where P1 is peak $[DA]_o$ detected following 1p stimulus and P2 is the peak $[DA]_o$ attributable to

the second stimulation only. P2 was determined by subtracting the entire $[DA]_o$ transient including decay

phase after a single pulse from the summated paired-pulse response, and we therefore account for

summation and decay resulting from uptake kinetics. Any enhanced spillover resulting from uptake

inhibition will occur for single and paired pulses and should therefore be controlled for. We cannot control

for variable fiber recruitment for different stimuli, but can control for variable modulation by other local

inputs: PPR on the timecourses explored here is not differently modified at different intervals by D2

dopamine receptors (see Results), or by GABA receptors (Lopes and Cragg, unpublished observations). We

use the term "release-dependent" plasticity to indicate a relationship between PPR and P1 $[DA]_o$. "Release-

insensitive" or "release-independent" refers to a PPR that varies independently of P1, or does not vary with

P1.

Calcium concentration ($[Ca^{2+}]_o$) in aCSF was varied by varying $[CaCl_2]$. In some experiments where

stated, a reduction in $CaCl_2$ was substituted with $MgCl_2$. However, Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} substitution was not routine

because other effects of $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ can confound interpretation. Changes in $[Mg^{2+}]$ have been shown to alter

Ca^{2+} currents through VGCCs [87,88], alter G-protein-coupled receptor function, including dopamine D2

receptors [89], change the affinity of calcium-binding protein calbindin for Ca^{2+} [90], trigger complexing of

Ca^{2+} by ATP [91], and alter the sensitivity of FCV electrodes to DA [85], and we find also that Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}

substitution reduces DA release to levels below those of reducing Ca^{2+} alone (**Supplementary Figure S6D**).

Where extracellular potassium concentration ($[K^+]_o$) was varied (1.0 - 7.5 mM), changes in osmolarity

were corrected by varying $[Na^+]$. The Na^+- and K^+-containing salts present in aCSF were varied as follows (in

mM): In experiments with 1 mM $[K^+]_o$, salts were 127.1 NaCl, 1.0 KCl, 0 KH_2PO_4, 1.2 NaH_2PO_4; For 1.25 mM

$[K^+]_o$ salts were 128.0 NaCl, 0.05 KCl, 1.2 KH_2PO_4; For 7.5 mM $[K^+]_o$, salts were 121.8 NaCl, 6.3 KCl and 1.2

KH_2PO_4. Recordings in control conditions were collected before and after each experimental manipulation at

each recording site. Slices were equilibrated in each solution for at least 20 minutes before data were

included for analysis. Experiments were carried out in either the dorsal half of the caudate-putamen (CPu)

(dorsolateral and dorsomedial striatum) or nucleus accumbens core (NAc) (within 200 μm of the anterior

commissure), one site per slice. Release is TTX-sensitive [11]. Manipulations of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ or $[K^+]_o$ did not change

DA decay rates.

Data were acquired and analysed using Axoscope 10.2, 10.5 (Molecular Devices) or Strathclyde

Whole Cell Program (University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK) and locally-written scripts in Visual Basic for

Applications (Microsoft). Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (SEM) and *n* = number of animals (the

number of different biological replicates). Each experiment was performed at a single recording site in one

brain slice. Within a given experiment at each single recording site, technical replicates were usually

obtained in at least triplicate before averaging to obtain the value for that experiment at that recording site.

Statistical comparisons were carried out using GraphPad Prism 6, 7 and 8 (GraphPad Software Inc.) using

ANOVAs, post-hoc two-tailed t-tests with n = number of animals. Data were tested for normality before

using parametric tests.

Ca^{2+} imaging

An Olympus BX51Wl microscope equipped with a CAIRN Research OptoLED Lite system, Prime Scientific CMOS

(sCMOS) Camera (Teledyne Photometrics), and a 40x/0.8 NA water-objective (Olympus) was used for wide-

field fluorescence imaging of GCaMP6f in dopaminergic axons in dorsolateral CPu in *ex vivo* slices in response

to single and paired electrical stimulus pulses. Images were acquired at 16.6 Hz frame rate every 2.5 minutes

using Micro-Manager 1.4, with stimulation and recording synchronised using custom-written procedures in

Igor Pro 6 (WaveMetrics) and an ITC-18 A/D board (Instrutech). Image files were analysed with Matlab R2017b

and Fiji 1.5. We extracted fluorescence intensity from the region of interest (ROI) and from an equal

background area where there was no GCaMP6f expression (on the stimulating electrode). After background

subtraction, the Ca^{2+} transients were bleach-corrected by fitting an exponential curve function through both

the baseline (2 s prior to stimulation) and the last 1 s in a 7.2 s recording window. Data are expressed as ΔF/F

where F is the fitted curve. The order of single and paired stimulation pulses was alternated and equally

distributed, and data were collected in duplicate before and after a change in extracellular experimental

condition. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) for each stimulus condition. N

value is the number of animals. Statistical analyses used GraphPad Prism 7.03, for two-way ANOVA with post-

hoc t-tests. All experiments were conducted in the presence of DHβE (1 μM). GCaMP6f responses were

quantified as the mean ΔF/F value.

Immunocytochemistry

We verified the specificity of GCaMP6f expression to dopaminergic structures by comparing direct eGFP

fluorescence to immunoreactivity to tyrosine hydroxylase (TH-ir). Acute slices of midbrain and striatum were

fixed overnight at 4 ^{o}C in 4% paraformaldehyde dissolved in PBS, then stored in PBS. After resectioning to 40

μm, free-floating sections were washed in PBS 5 x 5 min and incubated in 0.5% Triton X-100, 10% normal

goat serum and 10% fetal bovine serum for 30 min. Slices were subsequently incubated overnight with

1:2000 primary (rabbit anti-TH; Sigma) antibody dissolved in PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% normal

goat serum and 1% fetal bovine serum. Sections were then washed with PBS 5 x 5 min and incubated for 2 hr

at room temperature with 1:1000 secondary (DyLight 594 goat anti-rabbit; Jackson) antibody dissolved in

PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% normal goat serum and 1% fetal bovine serum. Sections were washed

with PBS and mounted on gelled slides with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Labs) and imaged using a

Zeiss LSM880 (confocal) running Zen black version 2.3, at 20x, N.A. 0.8. Maximum intensity projection from a

z-stack of height 30 μm was captured individually and the stack of the pictures were compressed. TH (red)

was captured at 638-759 nm with 633 nm excitation light. GCaMP (green) was excited with 488 nm and

captured at 493-630 nm.

Drugs

DHβE and lidocaine were obtained from Tocris Biosciences or Ascent Scientific. Cocaine hydrochloride and

nomifensine maleate were obtained from Sigma. Methylphenidate (Ritalin™) was obtained from Novartis. All

drugs were dissolved in either de-ionised water, aqueous acid (nomifensine maleate) or ethanol (lidocaine)

to make stock aliquots at 1000-10,000x final concentration and stored at -20 ^{o}C prior to use. DA uptake

inhibitors were used at standard concentrations that have detectable outcomes on uptake kinetics

concentrations but are lower than those that lead to run-down of release and/or have non-selective effects

as local anaesthetics or at nAChRs [46,92,93].

Acknowledgements: Funding sources: MRC, BBSRC Interdisciplinary Doctoral Training Program, Clarendon

Fund, Monument Trust Parkinson's UK Discovery Award, and Christ Church Oxford.

Author contributions: M.D.C. designed and performed experiments, analysed and interpreted the data, and

co-wrote the manuscript. N.J.P. designed and performed experiments, and analysed and interpreted the

data. B.M.R, S.V.M. and M.Y.T performed experiments and analysed data. K.R.B., S.T. and S.J.C. supervised

the work. S.J.C. designed the experiments, interpreted the data, and co-wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability

The authors declare that all data sets generated and analysed during this study are available within this

paper and its supplementary files. The source data underlying all figures and supplementary figures are

provided as a Source Data file.

References

1. Cohen JY, Haesler S, Vong L, Lowell BB, Uchida N (2012). Neuron-type-specific signals for reward and punishment in the ventral tegmental area. *Nature* 482:85-88.

2. Grace AA, Bunney BS (1984). The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: single spike firing. *J Neurosci* 4:2866-2876.

3. Grace AA, Bunney BS (1984). The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: burst firing. *J Neurosci* 4:2877-2890.

4. Hyland BI, Reynolds JNJ, Hay J, Perk CG, Miller R (2002). Firing modes of midbrain dopamine cells in the freely moving rat. *Neuroscience* 114:475-492.

5. Pan WX, Schmidt R, Wickens JR, Hyland BI (2005). Dopamine Cells Respond to Predicted Events during Classical Conditioning: Evidence for Eligibility Traces in the Reward-Learning Network. *J Neurosci* 25:6235-6242.

6. Jin X, Costa RM (2010). Start/stop signals emerge in nigrostriatal circuits during sequence learning. *Nature* 466:457-462.

7. Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O (2009). Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive and negative motivational signals. *Nature* 459:837-841.

8. Schultz W (1986). Responses of midbrain dopamine neurons to behavioral trigger stimuli in the monkey. *J Neurophysiol* 56:1439-1461.

9. Sulzer D, Cragg SJ, Rice ME (2016). Striatal dopamine neurotransmission: Regulation of release and uptake. *Basal Ganglia* 6:123-148.

10. Hamid AA, Pettibone JR, Mabrouk OS, Hetrick VL, Schmidt R, Vander Weele CM, Kennedy RT, Aragona BJ, Berke JD (2016). Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. *Nat Neurosci* 19:117-126.

11. Threlfell S, Lalic T, Platt NJ, Jennings KA, Deisseroth K, Cragg SJ (2012). Striatal dopamine release is triggered by synchronized activity in cholinergic interneurons. *Neuron* 75:58-64.

12. Cachope R, Mateo Y, Mathur BN, Irving J, Wang HL, Morales M, Lovinger DM, Cheer JF (2012). Selective activation of cholinergic interneurons enhances accumbal phasic dopamine release: setting the tone for reward processing. *Cell Rep.* 2:33-41.

13. Mohebi A, Pettibone JR, Hamid AA, Wong JT, Vinson LT, Patriarchi T, Tian L, Kennedy RT, Berke JD (2019). Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. *Nature* 570:65-70.

14. Cragg SJ (2003). Variable dopamine release probability and short-term plasticity between functional domains of the primate striatum. *J Neurosci* 23:4378-4385.

15. Rice ME, Cragg SJ (2004). Nicotine amplifies reward-related dopamine signals in striatum. *Nat Neurosci* 7:583-584.

16. Montague PR, McClure SM, Baldwin PR, Phillips PEM, Budygin EA, Stuber GD, Kilpatrick MR, Wightman RM (2004). Dynamic gain control of dopamine delivery in freely moving animals. *J Neurosci* 24:1754-1759.

17. Liu C, Kershberg L, Wang J, Schneeberger S, Kaeser PS (2018). Dopamine Secretion Is Mediated by Sparse Active Zone-like Release Sites. *Cell* 172:706-718.e15.

18. Debanne D (2004). Information processing in the axon. *Nature Rev Neurosci* 5:304-316.

19. Aransay A, Rodríguez-López C, García-Amado M, Clascá F, Prensa L (2015). Long-range projection neurons of the mouse ventral tegmental area: a single-cell axon tracing analysis. *Front Neuroanat* 9:59.

20. Matsuda W, Furuta T, Nakamura KC, Hioki H, Fujiyama F, Arai R, Kaneko T (2009). Single nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons form widely spread and highly dense axonal arborizations in the neostriatum. *J Neurosci* 29:444-453.

21. Pissadaki EK, Bolam JP (2013). The energy cost of action potential propagation in dopamine neurons: clues to susceptibility in Parkinson's disease. *Front Comput Neurosci* 7:13.

22. Collins AL, Aitken TJ, Greenfield VY, Ostlund SB, Wassum KM (2016). Nucleus Accumbens Acetylcholine Receptors Modulate Dopamine and Motivation. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 41:2830-2838.

23. Zhang H, Sulzer D (2004). Frequency-dependent modulation of dopamine release by nicotine. *Nat Neurosci* 7:581-582.

24. Wang L, Shang S, Kang X, Teng S, Zhu F, Liu B, Wu Q, Li M, Liu W, Xu H, Zhou L, Jiao R, Dou H, Zuo P, Zhang X, Zheng L, Wang S, Wang C, Zhou Z (2014). Modulation of dopamine release in the striatum by physiologically relevant levels of nicotine. *Nat Commun* 5:3925.

25. Jennings KA, Platt NJ, Cragg SJ (2015). The impact of a parkinsonian lesion on dynamic striatal dopamine transmission depends on nicotinic receptor activation. *Neurobiol Dis* 82:262-268.

26. Brimblecombe KR, Gracie CJ, Platt NJ, Cragg SJ (2015). Gating of dopamine transmission by calcium and axonal N-, Q-, T- and L-type voltage-gated calcium channels differs between striatal domains. *J Physiol* 593:929-946.

27. Carvelli L, McDonald PW, Blakely RD, Defelice LJ (2004). Dopamine transporters depolarize neurons by a channel mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:16046-16051.

28. Ingram SL, Prasad BM, Amara SG (2002). Dopamine transporter-mediated conductances increase excitability of midbrain dopamine neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 5:971-978.

29. Kile BM, Guillot TS, Venton BJ, Wetsel WC, Augustine GJ, Wightman RM (2010). Synapsins differentially control dopamine and serotonin release. *J Neurosci* 30:9762-9770.

30. Venton BJ, Seipel AT, Phillips PEM, Wetsel WC, Gitler D, Greengard P, Augustine GJ, Wightman RM (2006). Cocaine Increases Dopamine Release by Mobilization of a Synapsin-Dependent Reserve Pool. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26:3206-3209.

31. Daberkow DP, Brown HD, Bunner KD, Kraniotis SA, Doellman MA, Ragozzino ME, Garris PA, Roitman MF (2013). Amphetamine paradoxically augments exocytotic dopamine release and phasic dopamine signals. *J Neurosci* 33:452-463.

32. Hoffman AF, Spivak CE, Lupica CR (2016). Enhanced Dopamine Release by Dopamine Transport Inhibitors Described by a Restricted Diffusion Model and Fast-Scan Cyclic Voltammetry. *ACS Chem Neurosci* 7:700-709.

33.   Zhang L, Doyon WM, Clark JJ, Phillips PEM, Dani JA (2009). Controls of Tonic and Phasic Dopamine
Transmission in the Dorsal and Ventral Striatum. *Molec Pharmacol* 76:396-404.

34.   Debanne D, Guérineau NC, Gähwiler BH, Thompson SM (1996). Paired-pulse facilitation and
depression at unitary synapses in rat hippocampus: quantal fluctuation affects subsequent release. *J
Physiol* 491:163-176.

35.   Dobrunz LE, Stevens CF (1997). Heterogeneity of Release Probability, Facilitation, and Depletion at
Central Synapses. *Neuron* 18:995-1008.

36.   Thomson AM (2000). Molecular frequency filters at central synapses. *Prog Neurobiol* 62:159-196.

37.   Wilcox KS, Dichter MA (1994). Paired pulse depression in cultured hippocampal neurons is due to a
presynaptic mechanism independent of GABAB autoreceptor activation. *J Neurosci* 14:1775-1788.

38.   Fisher SA, Fischer TM, Carew TJ (1997). Multiple overlapping processes underlying short-term synaptic
enhancement. *Trends Neurosci* 20:170-177.

39.   Benoit-Marand M, Borrelli E, Gonon F (2001). Inhibition of dopamine release via presynaptic D2
receptors: time course and functional characteristics in vivo. *J Neurosci* 21:9134-9141.

40.   Phillips PEM, Hancock PJ, Stamford JA (2002). Time window of autoreceptor-mediated inhibition of
limbic and striatal dopamine release. *Synapse* 44:15-22.

41.   Schmitz Y, Schmauss C, Sulzer D (2002). Altered dopamine release and uptake kinetics in mice lacking
D2 receptors. *J Neurosci* 22:8002-8009.

42.   Balestrino M, Aitken PG, Somjen GG (1986). The effects of moderate changes of extracellular K+ and
Ca2+ on synaptic and neural function in the CA1 region of the hippocampal slice. *Brain Res* 377:229-
239.

43.   Baukrowitz T, Yellen G (1995). Modulation of K+ current by frequency and external [K+]: A tale of two
inactivation mechanisms. *Neuron* 15:951-960.

44.   Nedergaard S (1999). Regulation of action potential size and excitability in substantia nigra compacta
neurons: sensitivity to 4-aminopyridine. *J Neurophysiol* 82:2903-2913.

45.   Sonders MS, Zhu S-J, Zahniser NR, Kavanaugh MP, Amara SG (1997). Multiple Ionic Conductances of
the Human Dopamine Transporter: The Actions of Dopamine and Psychostimulants. *J Neurosci* 17:960-
974.

46.   Acevedo-Rodriguez A, Zhang L, Zhou F, Gong S, Gu H, De Biasi M, Zhou F-M, Dani JA (2014). Cocaine
inhibition of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors influences dopamine release. *Front Synaptic Neurosci*
6:19.

47.   Zucker RS, Regehr WG (2002). Short-term synaptic plasticity. *Ann Review Physiol* 64:355-405.

48.   Chen C, Regehr WG (1999). Contributions of residual calcium to fast synaptic transmission. *J Neurosci*
19:6257-6266.

49.   Katz B, Miledi R (1968). The role of calcium in neuromuscular facilitation. *J Physiol* 195:481-492.

50. Doussau F, Schmidt H, Dorgans K, Valera AM, Poulain B, Isope P (2017). Frequency-dependent
mobilization of heterogeneous pools of synaptic vesicles shapes presynaptic plasticity. *Elife* 6.

51. Regehr WG (2012). Short-term presynaptic plasticity. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol* 4:a005702.

52. Chung CY, Seo H, Sonntag KC, Brooks A, Lin L, Isacson O (2005). Cell type-specific gene expression of
midbrain dopaminergic neurons reveals molecules involved in their vulnerability and protection. *Hum
Molec Genet* 14:1709-1725.

53. Greene JG, Dingledine R, Greenamyre JT (2005). Gene expression profiling of rat midbrain dopamine
neurons: implications for selective vulnerability in parkinsonism. *Neurobiol Dis* 18:19-31.

54. Haber SN, Ryoo H, Cox C, Lu W (1995). Subsets of midbrain dopaminergic neurons in monkeys are
distinguished by different levels of mRNA for the dopamine transporter: Comparison with the mRNA
for the D2 receptor, tyrosine hydroxylase and calbindin immunoreactivity. *J Comp Neurol* 362:400-410.

55. Blatow M, Caputi A, Burnashev N, Monyer H, Rozov A (2003). Ca2+ Buffer Saturation Underlies Paired
Pulse Facilitation in Calbindin-D28k-Containing Terminals. *Neuron* 38:79-88.

56. Felmy F, Neher E, Schneggenburger R (2003). Probing the Intracellular Calcium Sensitivity of
Transmitter Release during Synaptic Facilitation. *Neuron* 37:801-811.

57. Stevens CF, Williams JH (2007). Discharge of the Readily Releasable Pool With Action Potentials at
Hippocampal Synapses. *J Neurophys* 98:3221-3229.

58. Dreyer JK, Herrik KF, Berg RW, Hounsgaard JD (2010). Influence of Phasic and Tonic Dopamine Release
on Receptor Activation. *J Neurosci* 30:14273-14283.

59. Rooney KE, Wallace LJ (2015). Computational modeling of extracellular dopamine kinetics suggests low
probability of neurotransmitter release. *Synapse* 69:515-525.

60. Nanou E, Sullivan JM, Scheuer T, Catterall WA (2016). Calcium sensor regulation of the CaV2.1 Ca2+
channel contributes to short-term synaptic plasticity in hippocampal neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
113:1062-1067.

61. Sheng J, He L, Zheng H, Xue L, Luo F, Shin W, Sun T, Kuner T, Yue DT, Wu L-G (2012). Calcium-channel
number critically influences synaptic strength and plasticity at the active zone. *Nat Neurosci* 15:998-
1006.

62. Xu J, Wu L-G (2005). The decrease in the presynaptic calcium current is a major cause of short-term
depression at a calyx-type synapse. *Neuron* 46:633-645.

63. He Y, Zorumski CF, Mennerick S (2002). Contribution of Presynaptic Na+ Channel Inactivation to
Paired-Pulse Synaptic Depression in Cultured Hippocampal Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 87:925-936.

64. Geiger JRP, Jonas P (2000). Dynamic Control of Presynaptic Ca2+ Inflow by Fast-Inactivating K+
Channels in Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Boutons. *Neuron* 28:927-939.

65. Ishikawa T, Nakamura Y, Saitoh N, Li W-B, Iwasaki S, Takahashi T (2003). Distinct Roles of Kv1 and Kv3
Potassium Channels at the Calyx of Held Presynaptic Terminal. *J Neurosci* 23:10445-10453.

66. Kawaguchi S-y, Sakaba T (2015). Control of inhibitory synaptic outputs by low excitability of axon
terminals revealed by direct recording. *Neuron* 85:1273-1288.

67. Ueda A, Wu C-F (2006). Distinct Frequency-Dependent Regulation of Nerve Terminal Excitability and
Synaptic Transmission by IA and IK Potassium Channels Revealed by Drosophila Shaker and Shab
Mutations. *J Neurosci* 26:6238-6248.

68. Bolam JP, Pissadaki EK (2012). Living on the edge with too many mouths to feed: Why dopamine
neurons die. *Mov Disord* 27:1478-1483.

69. Ofer N, Shefi O, Yaari G (2017). Branching morphology determines signal propagation dynamics in
neurons. *Scientific Reports* 7:8877-8877.

70. Brody DL, Yue DT (2000). Release-Independent Short-Term Synaptic Depression in Cultured
Hippocampal Neurons. *J Neurosci* 20:2480-2494.

71. Debanne D, Campanac E, Bialowas A, Carlier E, Alcaraz G (2011). Axon physiology. *Physiol Rev* 91:555-
602.

72. Pacelli C, Giguère N, Bourque M-J, Lévesque M, Slack Ruth S, Trudeau L-É (2015). Elevated
Mitochondrial Bioenergetics and Axonal Arborization Size Are Key Contributors to the Vulnerability of
Dopamine Neurons. *Current Biology* 25:2349-2360.

73. Jones SR, Gainetdinov RR, Wightman RM, Caron MG (1998). Mechanisms of amphetamine action
revealed in mice lacking the dopamine transporter. *Journal of Neuroscience* 18:1979-1986.

74. Gu H, Wall SC, Rudnick G (1994). Stable expression of biogenic amine transporters reveals differences
in inhibitor sensitivity, kinetics, and ion dependence. *J Biol Chem* 269:7124-7130.

75. McElvain JS, Schenk JO (1992). A multisubstrate mechanism of striatal dopamine uptake and its
inhibition by cocaine. *Biochem Pharmacol* 43:2189-2199.

76. Cameron KN, Solis E, Ruchala I, De Felice LJ, Eltit JM (2015). Amphetamine activates calcium channels
through dopamine transporter-mediated depolarization. *Cell Calcium* 58:457-466.

77. Smith DO (1980). Mechanisms of action potential propagation failure at sites of axon branching in the
crayfish. *J Physiol* 301:243-59.

78. Nirenberg MJ, Vaughan RA, Uhl GR, Kuhar MJ, Pickel VM (1996). The dopamine transporter is localized
to dendritic and axonal plasma membranes of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons. *J Neurosci* 16:436-
447.

79. Chen R, Daining CP, Sun H, Fraser R, Stokes SL, Leitges M, Gnegy ME (2013). Protein kinase Cbeta is a
modulator of the dopamine D2 autoreceptor-activated trafficking of the dopamine transporter. *J
Neurochem* 125:663-672.

80. McGinnis MM, Siciliano CA, Jones SR (2016). Dopamine D3 autoreceptor inhibition enhances cocaine
potency at the dopamine transporter. *J Neurochem* 138:821-829.

81. Garris PA, Wightman RM (1994). Different kinetics govern dopaminergic transmission in the amygdala,
prefrontal cortex, and striatum: an in vivo voltammetric study. *J Neurosci* 14:442-450.

82. Suaud-Chagny MF (2004). In vivo monitoring of dopamine overflow in the central nervous system by
amperometric techniques combined with carbon fibre electrodes. *Methods* 33:322-329.

83. Threlfell S, Clements MA, Khodai T, Pienaar IS, Exley R, Wess J, Cragg SJ (2010). Striatal muscarinic receptors promote activity dependence of dopamine transmission via distinct receptor subtypes on cholinergic interneurons in ventral versus dorsal striatum. *J Neurosci* 30:3398-3408.

84. Exley R, McIntosh JM, Marks MJ, Maskos U, Cragg SJ (2012). Striatal alpha5 nicotinic receptor subunit regulates dopamine transmission in dorsal striatum. *J Neurosci* 32:2352-2356.

85. Kume-Kick J, Rice ME (1998). Dependence of dopamine calibration factors on media Ca2+ and Mg2+ at carbon-fiber microelectrodes used with fast-scan cyclic voltammetry. *J Neurosci Meth* 84:55-62.

86. Gonon FG (1988). Nonlinear relationship between impulse flow and dopamine released by rat midbrain dopaminergic neurons as studied by in vivo electrochemistry. *Neuroscience* 24:19-28.

87. Agus ZS, Kelepouris E, Dukes I, Morad M (1989). Cytosolic magnesium modulates calcium channel activity in mammalian ventricular cells. *Am J Physiol* 256:C452-455.

88. Lansman JB, Hess P, Tsien RW (1986). Blockade of current through single calcium channels by Cd2+, Mg2+, and Ca2+. Voltage and concentration dependence of calcium entry into the pore. *J Gen Physiol* 88:321-347.

89. van der Westhuizen ET, Valant C, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A (2015). Endogenous allosteric modulators of G protein-coupled receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Therapeut* 353:246-260.

90. Berggård T, Miron S, Onnerfjord P, Thulin E, Åkerfeldt KS, Enghild JJ, Akke M, Linse S (2002). Calbindin D28k Exhibits Properties Characteristic of a Ca2+ Sensor. *J Biol Chem* 277:16662-16672.

91. Michailova A, McCulloch AD (2001). Model Study of ATP and ADP Buffering, Transport of Ca2+ and Mg2+, and Regulation of Ion Pumps in Ventricular Myocyte. *Biophys J* 81:614-629.

92. John CE, Jones SR (2007). Voltammetric characterization of the effect of monoamine uptake inhibitors and releasers on dopamine and serotonin uptake in mouse caudate-putamen and substantia nigra slices. *Neuropharmacology* 52:1596-605.

93. Jones SR, Garris PA, Wightman RM (1995). Different effects of cocaine and nomifensine on dopamine uptake in the caudate-putamen and nucleus accumbens. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 274:396-403.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Short-term plasticity at DA release sites is region-specific and only weakly release-dependent.

(A, B) Mean profiles of $[DA]_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by single or paired electrical pulses (*arrows*) at IPI of 10-200 ms in CPu (A, *n* = 4) and NAc (B, *n* = 3). Dotted traces, $[DA]_o$ attributable to the second pulse, P2, in a pair (P1+P2 − P1). *Inset*, representative voltammogram for evoked DA release. **(C)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs. IPIs in CPu (*filled circles*) and NAc (*open circles*). Where error bars are not visible they fall within the bounds of the symbol. **(D)** P2/P1 vs peak 1p-evoked $[DA]_o$ for IPIs of 10 ms (*left*), 40 ms (*centre*) and 100 ms (*right*). Each data point is 1 site in CPu (*filled circles*, *n* = 35) or NAc (*open circles*, *n* = 19). Linear fits to data show *P*-values (F-test) to indicate whether the slope is significantly > 0. **(E,F)** (*Left)* Mean profiles of $[DA]_o$ transients in CPu (E, *n* = 5) and NAc (F, *n* = 6) in control conditions vs L741,626 (1 µM, *green*). (*Right*) Mean P2/P1 vs IPIs in control conditions and L741,626 in CPu (E) or NAc (F). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test for *post-hoc* comparisons: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 2. Short-term plasticity is shaped by calcium-dependent facilitation and release-independent depression. (A, B) Mean profiles of $[DA]_o$ transients (± SEM) evoked by single or paired electrical pulses in 1.2 mM (*light grey*), 2.4 mM (*dark grey*) and 3.6 mM (*black*) $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in CPu (A, *n* = 3) and NAc (B, *n* = 3). **(C)** Mean 1p evoked $[DA]_o$ (± SEM, normalised to 2.4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_o$) vs $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in CPu (*filled*) and NAc (*open circles*). **(D, E)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs. IPI in 1.2 mM (*light grey*), 2.4 mM (*dark grey*) and 3.6 mM (*black*) $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in CPu (D, *filled*) and NAc (D, *open circles*). **(F)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs mean peak 1p $[DA]_o$ (± SEM) at 10 ms IPI in 1.2 mM (*light grey*), 2.4 mM (*dark grey*) and 3.6 mM (*black*) $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in CPu (*filled*) and NAc (*open circles*). **(G)** Mean profiles of $[DA]_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by single or paired light pulses at 40 ms IPI (473 nm, 2 ms) in 1.0 mM (*light grey*), 2.0 mM (*dark grey*) and 3.0 mM (*black*) $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ in CPu (*left*, *n* = 3) and NAc (*right*, *n* = 3). *Inset*, representative voltammogram for optogenetically voked DA release. **(H)** Mean peak 1p $[DA]_o$ (± SEM, normalised to 2.4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_o$) vs $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (log scale) evoked by light stimulation in CPu (*filled*) and NAc (*open circles*). Second-order polynomial curve fit; F-test of relative sum of squares shows curve fits are different. **(I)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) at 40 ms IPI vs mean peak 1p $[DA]_o$ (± SEM) evoked by optical stimulation in CPu (*filled*)

and NAc (*open circles*). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test for *post-hoc* comparisons except where stated

otherwise: *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 3. A release-insensitive mechanism of short-term depression is controlled by [K$^+$]-dependent gating.

(A, B) Mean profiles of [DA]$_o$ (± SEM) elicited by single or paired electrical pulses in 1.25 mM (*light grey*), 5 mM

(*dark grey*) and 7.5 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ (*black*) in CPu (A, $n = 3$) and NAc (B, $n = 3$). **(C, D)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs IPIs in

1.25 mM (*light grey*), 5 mM (*dark grey*) and 7.5 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ (*black*) in CPu (C) and NAc (D). **(E)** Mean P2/P1 (±

SEM) vs. mean peak 1p [DA]$_o$ (± SEM) in 1.25 mM (*light grey*), 5 mM (*dark grey*) and 7.5 mM (*black*) [K$^+$]$_o$ in

CPu (*filled*) and NAc (*open circles*) at 10 ms IPI (*left*) and 100 ms IPI (*right*). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's

test for *post-hoc* comparisons; *$P < 0.05$, ***$P < 0.001$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 4. K$^+$-dependent gating of short-term depression requires axonal Kv channels. (A, E) Mean profiles of

[DA]$_o$ transients (± SEM) in CPu elicited by single or paired electrical pulses in control conditions (*black*) or with

100 μM 4-AP (*grey*) in CPu (A, $n = 4$) and NAc (E, $n = 3$). Data are normalised to peak 1p-evoked [DA]$_o$ (P1) in

control conditions (*vertical scale bars*). **(B, F)** Mean 1p [DA]$_o$ (± SEM) in CPu in 1 mM and 5 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ in control

conditions (*black/grey*) or 4-AP (*reds*) in CPu (B) or in NAc (F). **(C, G)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) in CPu vs IPI in 5 mM

(*black*) and 1 mM (*grey*) [K$^+$]$_o$ in control conditions (*left*) and 4-AP (*right*) in CPu (C) and in NAc (G). **(D, H)** Mean

P2/P1 (± SEM) in CPu at 25 ms IPI in 1 mM and 5 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ in control conditions or 4-AP in CPu (D) and in NAc

(H). (D), asterisks indicate interaction between [K$^+$]$_o$ and 4-AP. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test for *post-*

hoc comparisons; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 5. K$^+$-dependent gating does not alter release-dependence of short-term plasticity. (A,B,E,F) Mean

profiles of [DA]$_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by single or paired pulses in 1.2 mM [Ca^{2+}] (*light grey*), 2.4 mM

[Ca^{2+}]$_o$ (*dark grey*) and 3.6 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_o$ (*black*) in either 5 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ (*A,E*) or 1 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ (*B,F*) in CPu (A,B, $n = 11$)

and in NAc (E,F, $n = 7$). **(C, G)** Mean peak 1p [DA]$_o$ (± SEM, normalised to 2.4 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_o$) in 5 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ (*black*)

and 1 mM [K$^+$]$_o$ (*grey*) in CPu (C) and in NAc (G). **(D, H)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) at 10 ms IPI (*left*) and 100 ms IPI

(*right*) in 5 mM [K^+]$_o$ (*black*) and 1 mM [K^+]$_o$ (*grey*) in CPu (D) and in NAc (H). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's

test for *post-hoc* comparisons. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 6. The dopamine transporter regulates short-term plasticity of dopamine release. (A, G) Mean profiles

of [DA]$_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by single or paired electrical pulses in control conditions (*black*) or with 5

μM cocaine (*blue*) in CPu (A, *n* = 4) or NAc (G, *n* = 4). **(B, H)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) against IPI in control conditions

(*black*) and with cocaine (*blue*) in CPu (B) or NAc (H). **(C, I)** Mean profiles of [DA]$_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by

single or paired electrical pulses in control conditions (*black*) or with 5 μM methylphenidate (*MPH*, *grey*) in

CPu (C, *n* = 5) or NAc (G, *n* = 3). **(D, J)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs IPI in control conditions (*black*) and with MPH

(*green*) in CPu (D) or NAc (J). **(E)** Mean profiles of [DA]$_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by single or paired electrical

pulses in control conditions (*black*) or with 10 μM nomifensine (*red*) in CPu (*n* = 3). **(F)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs

IPI in control conditions (*black*) and with nomifensine (*red*) in CPu. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test for

post-hoc comparisons; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 7. The DAT limits release-dependence of short-term plasticity in CPu. (A) Mean profiles of [DA]$_o$

transients (± SEM, vertical scale normalised to 1p in 2.4 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_o$) (*n* = 4) elicited by single or paired electrical

pulses in 2.4 mM (*upper*) and 1.2 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_o$ (*lower*) in control conditions (*black*) or 5 μM cocaine (*blue*). **(B,**

C) Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs. IPI in 1.2 mM (*light*) and 2.4 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_o$ (*dark*) in control conditions (B) or cocaine

(C). **(D)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) in 1.2 mM and 2.4 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_o$ in control conditions (*black-grey*) or cocaine (*blue*)

at 25 ms IPI (*left*) or 40 ms IPI (*right*). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test for *post-hoc* comparisons; *$P <$

0.05, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 8. The DAT and [K^+]$_o$ gate short-term plasticity through overlapping mechanisms. (A,E) Mean profiles

of [DA]$_o$ transients (± SEM) elicited by single or paired electrical pulses in control conditions or cocaine in 5

mM [K^+]$_o$ (*upper*) and 1 mM [K^+]$_o$ (*lower*) in CPu (A, *n* = 4) and in NAc (E, *n* = 3). **(B, F)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) vs.

IPI in 5 mM [K^+]$_o$ (*black*) and 1 mM [K^+]$_o$ (*grey*) in control conditions (*left*) or cocaine (*right*) in CPu (B) and in

NAc (F). **(C, G)** Mean 1p $[DA]_o$ (± SEM) in control conditions (*black-grey*) or cocaine (*blue*) in CPu (C) and in NAc

(G). **(D, H)** Mean P2/P1 (± SEM) in CPu at 25 ms IPI in 1 mM and 5 mM $[K^+]_o$ in control conditions or with cocaine

in CPu (D) and in NAc (H). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test for *post-hoc* comparisons; *$P < 0.05$, **$P <$

0.01. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 9. The DAT and $[K^+]_o$ gate intracellular Ca^{2+} dynamics during paired-pulse stimulations.

(A) Images of VTA and SNc from DAT-Cre:Ai95D mice showing GCaMP6f eGFP expression (*green*) in TH-positive

neurons (*red*). **(B,D)** Examples of Ca^{2+}-imaging responses (changes to GCaMP6f fluorescence, ΔF/F) (mean ±

SEM from duplicate) in DA axon population imaged in CPu in response to single or paired electrical pulses at

IPI of 10 and 40 ms in (B) 5mM $[K^+]_o$ (*grey*) or 7.5 mM $[K^+]_o$ (*black*), or (D) in control conditions (*black*) or the

presence of cocaine (5 µM) (*blue*). Data are rescaled to 1p in each condition to control for photobleaching.

(C,E) Mean peak values (± SEM) for GCaMP6f ΔF/F evoked by 2 pulses vs. IPI. Data are normalised to value for

1 pulse (N = 5 animals). Two-way ANOVA with Fishers LSD test for *post-hoc* comparisons: *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$,

***$P < 0.001$. DHβE (1 µM) is present throughout. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 1
Condon et al

Figure 2
Condon et al

Figure 3
Condon et al

Figure 4
Condon et al

Figure 5
Condon et al

Figure 6
Condon et al

Figure 7
Condon et al

Figure 8
Condon et al

Figure 9
Condon et al