Submission type: **Original article**

Subject category: **Microbe-microbe and microbe-host interactions**

Dual RNA-seq reveals large-scale non-conserved genotype x genotype specific genetic

reprograming and molecular crosstalk in the mycorrhizal symbiosis

Running title: ***Dual RNA-seq in AM symbiosis***

Ivan D. Mateus[1], Frédéric G. Masclaux[1,2], Consolée Aletti[1], Edward C. Rojas[1], Romain Savary[1],

Cindy Dupuis[1] & Ian R. Sanders[1]*

[1]*Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Biophore building, 1015 Lausanne,*

Switzerland

[2] *Vital-IT, SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland*

*Author for correspondence: ian.sanders@unil.ch

Abstract

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) impact plant growth and are a major driver of plant diversity

and productivity. We quantified the contribution of intra-specific genetic variability in cassava

(*Manihot esculenta*) and *Rhizophagus irregularis* to gene reprogramming in symbioses using dual

RNA-sequencing. A large number of cassava genes exhibited altered transcriptional responses to

the fungus but transcription of most of these plant genes (72%) responded in a different direction

or magnitude depending on the plant genotype. Two AMF isolates displayed large differences in

their transcription, but the direction and magnitude of the transcriptional responses for a large

number of these genes was also strongly influenced by the genotype of the plant host. This

indicates that unlike the highly conserved plant genes necessary for the symbiosis establishment,

plant and fungal gene transcriptional responses are not conserved and are greatly influenced by

plant and fungal genetic differences, even at the within-species level. The transcriptional variability

detected allowed us to identify an extensive gene network showing the interplay in plant-fungal

reprogramming in the symbiosis. Key genes illustrated that the two organisms jointly program their

cytoskeleton organisation during growth of the fungus inside roots. Our study reveals that plant and

fungal genetic variation plays a strong role in shaping the genetic reprograming in response to

symbiosis, indicating considerable genotype x genotype interactions in the mycorrhizal symbiosis.

Such variation needs to be considered in order to understand the molecular mechanisms between

AMF and their plant hosts in natural communities.

Introduction

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are soil microorganisms that are present in terrestrial

ecosystems worldwide [1] and that associate with approximately 74% of land plants [2]. Arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungi enhance plant nutrient uptake, plant community diversity and productivity [3],

confer plant protection against pathogens and herbivores [4] and protection against abiotic factors

such as drought [5] and salt stress [6].

In nature, an individual AMF encounters a variety of different species and genotypes of plants. In

natural communities, an individual AMF does not appear to show strong preferences for a given

plant species or plant genotype [7]. Despite this, plant species respond in different ways to

colonization by the same fungus and the plant response spans the range from positive to negative

[8]. Moreover, even different sibling fungi of the same AMF parent, which must be genetically very

close to each other, can lead to enormous variation in plant growth [9].

In order to understand the molecular mechanisms of the mycorrhizal symbiosis, the identification of

genes involved in the symbiosis has largely relied on forward genetics [10]. This approach relies on

identifying the genetic cause of a clear qualitative phenotype. Researchers have mostly focussed

on plant mutants in which impaired fungal development occurs. Most of these studies have been

conducted at the very early stages of the association, in many cases for less than 21 days post-

inoculation, even though the symbiosis lasts for the lifetime of the plant [11]. This approach has

uncovered plant genes that are crucial for the colonization and development of the fungus inside

the plant; the so-called "mycorrhizal symbiosis toolkit". These genes are extremely highly

conserved throughout much of the plant kingdom [12]. However, using a forward genetics

approach with plant mutants that exhibit impaired fungal development, limits the discovery of

genes to those that are essential for the establishment of the symbiosis. The fact that the

mycorrhizal toolkit of plant genes is highly conserved among plant species that are capable of

forming the mycorrhizal symbiosis is highly consistent with experimental and ecological studies

indicating that there is little specificity in the symbiosis; in other words, the genetic programing

exists in most terrestrial plants to host mycorrhizal fungi. But the approach used to find these

genes has also lead to the widespread belief that the plant controls the fungus. There may be a

bias towards this assumption based on the particular mutant phenotypes that have been chosen

for study, i.e. plant mutants that lead to impaired fungal development.

However, ecological studies show that following establishment of the symbiosis, plant species vary

enormously in their growth response to a given AMF taxa [3,8,13] or isolate [14-18]. In addition,

different genotypes of the same plant species sometimes, but not always, respond differently to

inoculation with a given AMF isolate [19-21]. Thus, the beneficial outcome of the association for the

plant is not a foregone conclusion just because upregulation of the symbiotic toolkits of genes

occurs and the symbiosis becomes physically established. Thus, while we would expect

expression of a conserved repertoire of 'mycorrhizal toolkit' genes occurs in all such interactions,

we also predict a complex underlying pattern of molecular reprogramming to occur that is plant

host genotype and fungal genotype specific.

While significant progress has been made in discovering plant genes that are in involved in the

establishment of the symbiosis, identification of important fungal genes involved in these

interactions are extremely limited [22-24]. Firstly, this is because stable transformation of AMF has

not yet been achieved [25]. Secondly, AMF cannot be cultured without a host. Thirdly, the use of

plant mutants that impair fungal development preclude the detection of fungal genes involved in

the symbiosis. Finally, because of the lack of knowledge of fungal gene regulation in the symbiosis

how plant and fungal genes are co-orchestrated during the symbiosis remains to be elucidated.

Most molecular studies have only evaluated plant transcriptional responses to the fungus by

inoculating one plant cultivar with one AMF isolate and comparing the transcriptional response to a

mock-inoculated control, without the fungus. Consequently, there is no information on how plant or

fungal genetic variation affects plant transcriptional responses to the fungus. Furthermore, there

are very few examples where the fungal transcriptional response to different conditions has been

measured [26], and to our knowledge, there are no studies that measured the gene transcriptional

variation of both partners within the same experimental design. Because of this, it has also not

been possible to study how variation in gene transcription of plant genes is related to variation in
gene transcription of the AMF partner.

In this study, we aimed to understand the contribution of intra-specific genetic variation of a host
plant and an AMF species to the response of each partner at the gene transcription level. In
addition, we aimed to identify plant and fungal molecular interactions that are co-orchestrated
during the symbiosis. These aims are ecologically relevant because in nature AMF consistently
encounter genetically variable partners, and *vice-versa,* and yet the molecular implications of this
for the symbiosis are completely unexplored. Understanding how much the molecular interactions
between plant and fungus can be shaped by the plant or fungal genotype has been ignored up to
now, although the assumption that plant molecular responses to AMF colonization are so strongly
conserved has probably hindered advances in our understanding. Consequently, we established a
randomized experimental design comprising five genetically different cultivars of *Manihot*
esculenta, each inoculated with two different *Rhizophagus irregularis* isolates and a mock-
inoculated control. We measured the plant growth response and AMF colonization in each of the
treatments. In addition, we performed a dual RNA-seq experiment, to obtain a genome-wide gene
transcription profiling of each plant-AMF combination. This combined dataset allowed us to test
quantitatively how plant and fungal gene-transcription are affected by the intra-specific variability of
the partner organism. In addition, it allowed us to detect plant and fungal genes that are co-
transcribed. Such analyses can only be possible with a dataset in which significant variation in both
fungal and plant transcripts is the result of different experimental treatments.

We hypothesized that underneath a common "mycorrhizal toolkit" of conserved genes that
determines whether the symbiosis will be established, there is considerable non-conserved
transcriptional variation of co-regulated genes in the plant and the fungus that is manifested in
associations of varying benefit to the plant. Given that the overriding interest in the symbiosis is
due to the potential of the fungus to greatly improve plant growth, the lack of knowledge about
gene interactions between the two partners represents a fundamental lacuna in our much-needed
understanding of the molecular genetics of this symbiosis.

We chose Cassava as the host plant because it is a plant that is known to respond strongly to AMF

inoculation in the field [27]. Cassava is considered a vital crop for food security that provides an

important source of calories in tropical countries [28]. A good quality cassava genome assembly

has recently been published [29]. In addition, cassava can be grown clonally which reduces within-

cultivar genetic variation that facilitates the detection of between-cultivar variation. We also focused

on *Rhizophagus irregularis* as AMF partner, as it is the main AMF model species with publicly

available genome and transcriptome sequences [30-33]. This fungus has been shown to promote

the growth of several plants [14], including cassava [34].

Materials & Methods

Biological material and growth conditions

We designed a two-factor experiment, where we used five cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz)

cultivars inoculated independently with two different mycorrhizal fungi or a mock-inoculated control.

The five cassava cultivars were CM6438-14, COL2215, BRA337, CM4574-7 and CM523-7. We

carried out a greenhouse experiment with a randomized design to avoid block effects

(Supplementary note S1).

Trait measurements and statistical analyses

After 18 weeks following inoculation, plant height was measured. We then collected and dried the

plants for eight days at 72°C. Dry weight of the shoots, bulking roots and fine roots was measured

separately. We also randomly selected some fine roots to measure colonization by AMF

(Supplementary note S2). We used a binomial generalized linear model for the analysis of the fine

root colonization measurements. For all the other quantitative trait variables, we used a mixed

model including block as a random effect and tested the significance of the fixed effect of the

cassava cultivar, the AMF treatment and their interaction.

Dual RNA-seq and bioinformatic analysis

We randomly selected several fine root samples from each plant. We extracted RNA, prepared the

libraries and sequenced the samples (Supplementary note S3). We then processed the raw reads

and separated *in-silico* the cassava and fungal reads (Supplementary figure 1; Supplementary note

S4; refer to Supplementary table S1 for the sequenced reads per sample). We then performed a

differential expression analysis of each gene (Supplementary note S5) and identified orthologous

genes involved in the mycorrhizal symbiosis in *M. esculenta* (Supplementary note S6). The

genome assembly and annotation available for cassava (Manihot_esculenta_v6.1) was obtained

from the plant genomics resource Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/). The *R. irregularis*

gene repertoire was predicted (Supplementary note S7, Supplementary data 1). Finally, we

performed gene ontology analysis, functional classification and inferred pathway diagrams of the

differentially transcribed genes (Supplementary note S8).

Gene co-transcribed networks between cassava and AMF and plant quantitative traits

We used a weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) [35] in order to separately

build cassava and *R. irregularis* gene modules (clusters of genes displaying similar correlated

patterns of transcription). As, we were interested in genes involved in the symbiosis, we used the

pool of cassava genes that were significantly differentially expressed between the two AMF

treatments and the non-mycorrhizal treatment to build cassava gene modules. On the other hand,

because AMF can only be grown in presence of the host plant, we could not select AMF genes that

were only involved in the symbiosis. We, therefore, selected all the *R. irregularis* genes in the

dataset in order to build *R. irregularis* gene modules. We used the default WGCNA 'step-by-step

network construction' analysis to build modules. We first calculated the adjacency between genes

and constructed a topological overlap matrix. We then produced a hierarchical clustering tree with

the dissimilarity of the topological overlap matrix and we selected the modules by using the

dynamic three cut standard method. Finally, we merged similar modules by calculating the module

eigengenes, clustering them and assigning a distance threshold. The parameters used were soft-

power of 12 and minimum module size of 50 genes. We merged the modules with a distance

threshold cut of 0.1.

We associated the modules between the two organisms by measuring the Pearson correlation

between cassava module eigengenes and *R. irregularis* module eigengenes using the default

WGCNA 'relating modules to external information' analysis. We calculated the gene significance

(Pearson correlation) for each gene to the corresponding correlated module (e.g. gene1-cassava

vs. module1- *R. irregularis* etc.) and we calculated the connectivity (membership) of each gene to

its module. We used this information in order to obtain a set of 'key genes' of a module. These are

defined as the genes that are the most correlated to modules of the other organism (in the top 10%

quantile) and that also displayed a high module membership (>0.8). All the module pairwise

comparisons result in a dataset of 'key genes' that are highly connected within each module and

highly correlated to modules of the partner organism.

We also performed the same analyses as before ('relating modules to external information') to

correlate the cassava and *R. irregularis* modules to fungal and plant growth variables. We used the

same parameters and threshold cut-off for these comparisons.

Results

Plant growth and fungal colonization

We observed almost zero colonization levels of the cassava plants in the mock-inoculated

treatments, confirming that these treatments were not colonized by AMF (Supplementary figure

2a). The cassava cultivars displayed significantly different levels of AMF colonization, but

colonization by isolates B1 and DAOM17978 was not statistically different (Supplementary Figure

2a; Supplementary Table 2a). We observed an overall effect of the plant cultivar on all the

quantitative trait measurements, but we did not observe an overall effect of the fungal identity on

the quantitative trait measurements (Supplementary Table 2). Despite this, we observed that the

dry weight of cultivar COL2215 was significantly higher when inoculated with isolate B1 compared

to the mock control (Supplementary Figure 2b; Supplementary Table 2b).

Activation of plant 'mycorrhizal toolkit' genes in presence of AMF

In order to test the robustness of the plant RNA-seq data, we identified 12 cassava orthologs of

genes that are known to be differentially transcribed in response to AMF inoculation in *Medicago*

truncula (Supplementary note S6). As expected, this set of genes, which is part of the conserved

plant "mycorrhizal toolkit", was clearly differentially transcribed between the mycorrhizal and non-

mycorrhizal treatments in all the cultivars (Figure 1; Supplementary Table 3).

Cassava gene transcriptional response to the identity of the fungal partner is plant genotype

specific

A large number of cassava gene transcripts were recovered from the roots, representing 69% of

the total predicted gene number (Figure 2a). Of the total number of transcribed genes, 10193

genes (44.6%) were significantly differentially affected by plant genetic variability or the mycorrhizal

status of the plants, or both. We did not observe any sequencing bias among the samples, as the

number of different cassava gene transcripts did not differ significantly among mycorrhizal

treatments, or among cassava cultivars (Supplementary Figure 3a-c), indicating that the dataset

was reliable for detecting true transcriptional differences. The transcription of 8559 cassava genes

differed significantly among the genetically different cassava cultivars but was not affected by the

mycorrhizal symbiosis (Figure 2a; Supplementary Table 4a). Only a small number of cassava

genes (450 genes) were influenced by the mycorrhizal treatments in a conserved way, meaning

that they were not differentially transcribed among cassava cultivars; with most differences

occurring between the mock-inoculated and mycorrhizal plants (Figure 2a; Supplementary Table

4b). Only 71 cassava genes were differentially transcribed in plants inoculated with the two

different fungal isolates and that also showed consistent transcription patterns among all cassava

cultivars (Figure 2a; Supplementary Table 4b). In contrast we observed a strong genotype x

genotype interaction on gene transcription as the transcription of a surprisingly large number of

cassava genes (1184 genes) was significantly affected by the combination of the genetic identity of

both the plant and the mycorrhizal fungus (Figure 2a; Supplementary Table 4c). This means that

transcription of those cassava genes were influenced by the presence of the fungus but that the

magnitude of this response to the fungus differed quantitatively and in direction among the plant

cultivars. From this number, thirty-five cassava genes, displaying large fold changes in transcription

between the two fungal treatments, DAOM 197198 and B1, responded in a significantly different

way among the cassava cultivars (Figure 2b; Supplementary Table 4d). Many genes involved in

the response to biotic and abiotic stresses, categorized as being involved in signalling, secondary

metabolites, proteolysis and cell wall constituents were differentially transcribed in a different

direction among cultivars inoculated with the different fungal isolates (Supplementary Figure 4a).

Fungal gene transcription is strongly affected by genotypic variation in cassava

The 7840 recovered fungal gene transcripts represented 49% of the predicted gene number

(Figure 2a). Very few fungal transcripts were recovered from samples that were mock-inoculated

and read number did not influence the number of gene transcripts, showing that there was no bias

in the fungal transcriptome data (Supplementary Figure 3a-c). Genetic variation among the

cassava cultivars had a strong effect on fungal gene transcription, where 1247 fungal genes were

differentially transcribed among cassava cultivars (representing 69% of the differentially

transcribed fungal genes; Figure 2a; Supplementary Table 5a). Differential transcription of a

smaller number of genes (688 genes) occurred between the two fungal isolates irrespective of the

cassava cultivar (Figure 2a; Supplementary Table 5b). We also observed a genotype x genotype

interaction as a substantial number of fungal genes (560 genes, representing 31% of the

differentially transcribed fungal genes) were differentially transcribed between fungal isolates but in

a different direction or magnitude in different cassava cultivars (Figure 2a; Supplementary Table

5c), showing lack of conservation in their expression. Of these 560 genes, a high number of fungal

genes (269 genes) showed large fold changes in transcription between the two fungi but differed

strongly in transcription among cassava cultivars (Figure 2c; Supplementary Table 5d). Genes

involved in the auxin pathway, signalling, glutathione-S-transferase, cell wall constituents,

proteolysis and MAP kinases and many genes involved in secondary metabolite production were

significantly transcribed in different directions in response to cassava genetic variability

(Supplementary Figure 4b).

Gene co-transcribed networks as a tool to decipher molecular interactions of the mycorrhizal

symbiosis

The measurement of plant and fungal gene transcription, and its variability within the same

experiment caused by different treatments, allowed us to evaluate co-ordinated molecular

interactions between cassava and the fungi by identifying genes of the plant and the fungus that

were highly correlated. We used the variance in gene transcription that resulted from the

experimental design in order to identify co-transcribed plant and fungal gene clusters. The

weighted gene co-expression network approach (WGCNA) allowed us to identify 9 and 20 modules

of co-transcribed plant and fungal genes, respectively (Figure 3a, Supplementary Figure 5ab;

Supplementary Table 6ab). Within the identified modules, we detected 7 cassava gene modules

and 10 fungal gene modules that were correlated to at least one module of the partner species

(Figure 3a; Supplementary Figure 5c). To confirm that the modules were biologically meaningful we

randomly attributed genes to cassava and fungal modules and attempted to construct a new gene

co-transcribed network. None of these modules corresponded to significant gene ontology terms

and yielded no network (Supplementary Figure 6). Thus, the observed gene co-transcribed

network was unlikely to have occurred by chance. We calculated the gene connectivity within the

modules in all genes found in modules that were correlated to a partner module and the gene's

correlation to the partner module. This allowed us to detect 'key genes' within a given module (for

an example of correlation between 2 modules see Figure 3b). Within all the plant and fungal

correlated modules, we found 120 cassava and 317 *R. irregularis* 'key genes' in total that were

highly representative of the modules and highly correlated to a counterpart partner module

(Supplementary Table 6cd).

This approach allowed us to detect several genes with different functions that were correlated with

genes within the same organism and the partner organism. For example, we found 10 key genes

involved in the fungal secretory pathway that displayed either highly positive or highly negative

correlations to other fungal and plant genes. This suggests that the function of this group of genes

is heterogeneous, and reveals that approximately half of the genes involved in the secretory

pathway are antagonistic (Figure 4; Supplementary Table 6cd). Notably, 8 of these genes were

either strongly positively or negatively correlated with genes that are expected to play an important

role in the symbiosis such as plant phosphate transporters and sucrose synthases, suggesting an

indirect correlation of the secretory pathway in the genetic reprogramming of the symbiosis

(Supplementary Table 7). We also found that several key fungal and plant genes in the network

represented genes involved in the cytoskeleton and cellular organization. These genes were highly

positively correlated between the two organisms (Figure 5; Supplementary Table 6d).

Transcription of plant and fungal genes correlated with fungal colonization

We applied the WGCNA method to detect plant and fungal genes that were correlated with

quantitative traits of either fungal or plant growth. We found 3 plant and 3 fungal gene modules that

were correlated with quantitative traits. Within these modules, we found that two *R. irregularis*

modules and one cassava module correlated with fungal colonization (Figure 6). The fungal

module "F_black" and "P_magenta", where correlated with fungal colonization, as well as being

highly co-correlated each other (Supplementary Table 6d). Notably, fungal chitin synthase was

highly correlated with plant sucrose synthase transcription. In addition, both of these genes were

highly correlated with fungal colonization (Figure 6).

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated plant and fungal quantitative growth responses and transcriptional

patterns of both partners in response to intraspecific genetic variation. The main finding of this

study is that contrary to what is already known about the highly conserved plant molecular

responses allowing colonization of roots by mycorrhizal fungi, we found a very large plant genotype

x fungal genotype interaction on gene transcription in both organisms, involving an unexpectedly

large number of plant and fungal genes. What this means is that genetic reprogramming occurred

in cassava roots in response to AMF, but that the magnitude and direction of the transcriptional

reprogramming was not conserved, differing greatly among cassava cultivars and fungal

genotypes (genotype x genotype interaction). In addition, the genetic variation, used as treatments

in this experiment, resulted in considerable quantitative differences in gene transcription levels in

the partner organisms that allowed us to detect genes that were highly correlated between the two

organisms, as well that were correlated to quantitative growth traits. Such detection of plant-AM

fungal gene networks has not been possible in previous studies using simpler experimental

designs. The ecological implications of these findings are discussed below.

Conserved and non-conserved molecular reprograming in the mycorrhizal symbiosis and its

ecological relevance

One of the remarkable features of the last two decades of investigation into plant genes involved in

the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is the fact that a very large number of the plant genes that

are involved in the establishment of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inside the roots are highly

conserved across a large part of the plant kingdom, including basal plant groups (Delaux Ané et

al). Coupled with approaches using plant mutants, where disruption of these genes leads to

impaired development of the fungus inside the roots, this has lead to the assumption that the

molecular basis for the interaction between plants and AM fungi is highly controlled by the plant

and also expected to be highly conserved among plants that are capable of forming the

mycorrhizal symbiosis. The results of our study clearly showed that a large number of these plant

genes were upregulated across all treatments where the fungus was present and irrespective of

plant or fungal genetic variation, supporting the hypothesis that fundamental genes involved in the

physical establishment of the fungus inside the host root are indeed conserved.

Despite this conservation of genes involved in the establishment of the symbiosis, our study shows

that following symbiosis establishment, the molecular reprograming that occurs in both the plant

and the fungus is highly plant and fungal genotype specific and differentially affects the

transcription of a very large number of genes in both organisms. In fact, 72% of the cassava genes

that were affected by the mycorrhizal symbiosis were transcribed in a different direction or

magnitude among the cassava cultivars and, thus, responded in a non-conserved manner. While

previous molecular studies of the symbiosis have shown conservation across plant species,

families and orders, we show that the molecular reprogramming during symbiosis is very highly

affected by genetic variability, even among closely-related genotypes of one plant species and one

fungal species, indicating the high degree of molecular complexity of the interaction following

symbiosis establishment and a lack of conservation.

In soil, a mycorrhizal fungus repeatedly encounters roots of different plants (different plant species

and different genotypes within plant species). *Vice versa*, in soil, a plant encounters different

mycorrhizal fungal species and genotypes of a species. Ecological studies show that the outcome

of the different combinations of plant and fungal genotypes can lead to very variable outcomes in

terms of growth benefits for both individuals and the outcome (at least in terms of growth) is not

always positive. In this study, plant and fungal growth varied as a result of the genetically variable

treatments and yet upregulation of the conserved repertoire of genes that allowed the

establishment of the symbiosis occurred irrespective of whether the establishment was beneficial

or not. Based on previously published ecological studies, coupled with the results of this study, we

propose that underlying the highly conserved molecular regulation allowing symbiosis

establishment, a highly non-conserved genetic reprograming occurs in the plant and the fungus

that is highly dependent on the genotype of the partner, even within species. The implications of

this are that in order to understand the molecular interactions between the plant and the fungus

that determines the fitness benefits afforded by associating with a given partner requires in depth

studies that consider genetic variability of both plants and the fungus, even at the level of

intraspecific diversity.

In this study we observed a non-conserved response of the cassava cultivars to the AMF isolates,

particularly in genes involved in plant defence, signalling, cell wall components and proteolysis.

This implies that the host plant could elicit a different strategy to the colonization by different AMF

genotypes and we hypothesize that depending on the evolutionary history and adaptation of

different genotypes, their capacity to perceive and respond to the fungus differs.

Conserved and non-conserved fungal transcriptional responses to cassava and its genetic

variation

We were able to separate AM fungal gene transcription patterns that were conserved across plant

genotypes and those that were non-conserved; varying in direction and magnitude of

transcriptional patterns as a result of plant genetic variation. Because almost all published

transcriptome studies on the AM symbiosis use an experimental design with one inoculated plant

genotype compared to a mock inoculated control, this does not allow the detection of differential

AMF gene transcription as the control treatment cannot contain fungal transcripts. Thus, this is the

first investigation revealing the conserved *versus* non-conserved genetic reprograming in the

fungus during the mycorrhizal symbiosis. The non-conserved fungal genes, among others, were

related to the auxin hormone pathway, which is known to be involved in the development of

arbuscules [36], glutathione-S-transferases that could be involved in heavy metal binding [37] and

MAP Kinases which are known to play a role in the response to biotic and abiotic stresses [38, 39].

These results demonstrate that the two AMF strains could have different symbiotic strategies and

that these strategies could be modified in presence of a different host plant. Evidence of genotype

x genotype interactions on fungal quantitative traits has been already described in AMF [40, 41]. In

this study we confirmed, by measuring gene transcription, that genotype x genotype interactions

are a common feature that should be addressed to better understand the molecular interactions

between both partners.

In order to understand the molecular mechanisms of beneficial AMF-plant interactions, a more

extensive comparison needs to be made between plants exhibiting benefit from AMF colonization

with plants that do not respond, and using different plant models. The identification of a 'beneficial

plant mycorrhizal toolkit' would be of great agronomic and ecological interest and would represent

a milestone in the applied AMF research.

Gene co-expression networks as a tool to decipher molecular interactions in the mycorrhizal

symbiosis

The dual RNA-seq approach on AMF colonized plant roots allowed us to identify fungal and plant

transcripts that were co-expressed. Dual RNA-seq is an emerging approach that has allowed

researchers to decipher interactions between hosts and pathogens [42-45]. The WGCNA approach

decreases the complexity of such data sets by clustering gene transcripts and allowing the

identification of co-expression patterns between the two organisms, as well as to quantitative traits

of the organisms [46]. In this study, we only focussed on the network existing between plant and

AM fungal genes, which allowed us to get biological insights into the crosstalk in the mycorrhizal

symbiosis. Our study showed that an extensive network of co-expressed gene exists between

plant and AM fungal genes. We identified several 'key' plant and fungal genes related to

cytoskeleton formation and cell organization that were highly co-expressed within and between

both organisms, with a strong positive co-expression of between actin and myosin genes, and a

strong negative correlation between actin genes and an actin depolymerisation factor, indicating

that plausible biological processes could be detected with this network approach.

While the process of arbuscule formation inside the plant cells has been widely described [47],

there is little data focusing on the cellular organisation and cytoskeleton changes occurring at later

stages of the symbiosis. Cell wall organisation has been studied histologically showing that plant

actin filaments and microtubules appear around arbuscular branches, but disappear at arbuscule

senescence [48]. In addition, plant microtubules in cells adjacent to fungal structures are also

modified, indicating signal exchange between the symbionts prior to fungal penetration [49].

However, there is little information about the changes in cellular organisation in the fungal

structures, possibly, because more emphasis has been placed on plant structures [50]. Our study

shows that there is a strong correlation between genes involved in the cell organisation of the plant

and the fungus, which suggest that these processes are probably co-orchestrated.

Correlation of gene-transcription with fungal colonization

We found a strong correlation between fungal colonization of the roots and one plant gene module

and two fungal gene modules. Furthermore, both plant and fungal gene modules were highly

correlated with each other. Within these modules, notably, we observed a fungal chitin synthase

and a plant sucrose synthase that correlated to the fungal colonization. Plant sugar transport, is

important for allocation carbohydrates to the fungal symbiont [51]. The co-expressed plant sucrose

synthase observed in this study has a conserved domain (TIGR02470), the role of which is to

make sucrose available at the cell wall. We hypothesize that the allocation of carbohydrates from

the plant to the fungus also has an effect on the fungal colonization phenotype observed in this

experiment. We also observed a correlation of the fungal chitin synthase with fungal colonization.

Chitin is used by plants as a signal that triggers plant defence [52]. The correlation between the

chitin synthase, sucrose synthase and the fungal colonization, suggests that chitin synthase could

also have a role in the maintenance of the fungi inside the plant root. We found other 'key genes'

that correlated with fungal colonization but their function is less well described. In addition, we

classified by functional categories, the 'key genes' found in each module, and we were able to

identify strong correlations among and between plant and fungal genes in functional categories

(Supplementary table 7). The study in detail of the gene-gene interactions on all the other

functional categories is out of the scope of this study. However, the list of genes highlighted in this

study could be a starting point to better understand the molecular basis of the interaction between

the host plant and the AMF symbiont.

Experimental design in elucidating ecologically relevant molecular interactions between plants and

AMF

Our study is unique because the experimental treatments of varying plant and fungal genotype

allowed us to identify molecular interactions between the plant and the fungus that have not

previously been achieved with a conventional mycorrhizal plant *versus* non-mycorrhizal plant

comparison. Firstly, this allowed us to investigate the role of genetic variation in the molecular

interplay between plants and AM fungi and quantify the conserved *versus* non-conserved

component of these molecular interactions; Second, it allowed us to study variation in fungal

transcripts which is completely novel; Third, because genetic variation was used as replicated

experimental treatments, the resulting variation in plant and fungal gene transcription allowed us to

identify a robust co-expression network that exists between the plant and the fungus. None of

these results would be identifiable or quantifiable without more complex designs than a simple

mycorrhizal versus non-mycorrhizal comparison. While we are fully aware of the enormous

advances that have been made in the role of plant genes involved in the establishment of the

symbiosis, by the use of plant mutants with impaired fungal development, and that our study of

gene transcription cannot experimentally reveal the role of individual genes, our study strongly

demonstrates the quantitatively large genotype intraspecific variation in the molecular interactions

in the mycorrhizal symbiosis. In order to fully understand the molecular interactions between plants

and mycorrhizal fungi that are ecologically relevant and leading to change in plant or fungal fitness,

researchers will have to embrace genetic variation in the partners to fully understand these

interactions and not rely on simple experimental designs.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jeremy Bonvin for help culturing *R. irregularis* and cassava *in-vitro* plants, Tania Wyss

for help in fungal culturing and plant harvesting and Nicolas Ruch for help in the greenhouse. We

thank the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) for providing cassava cultivars. All

bioinformatics computations were performed at the Vital-IT (http://www.vital-it.ch) Center for High

Performance Computing of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics. All sequencing was performed at

the Genomic Technologies Facility (GTF) of the University of Lausanne. This study was funded by

the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant number: 31003A_162549 to IRS). Sequence reads

were deposited in the NCBI SRA database (BioProject Accession Number: PRJNA400637).

Conflict of Interest : The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary material

Supplementary information, data and tables are available at the ISME Journal website

References

1. Davison J, Moora M, Öpik M, Adholeya A, Ainsaar L, Bâ A, et al. Global assessment
of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus diversity reveals very low endemism. *Science*
2015; **127**: 970–973.

2. Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, Third edition. 2008. Elsevier Ltd,
London.

3. van der Heijden M, Klironomos J, Ursic M, Moutoglis P, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T,
et al. Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability
and productivity. *Nature* 1998; **74**: 69–72.

4. Pozo MJ, Azcon-Aguilar C. Unraveling mycorrhiza-induced resistance. *Curr Opin*
Plant Biol 2007; **10**: 393–398.

5. Wu QS, Srivastava AK, Zou YN. AMF-induced tolerance to drought stress in citrus: A
review. *Sci Hortic* 2013; **164**: 77–87.

6. Evelin H, Kapoor R, Giri B. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in alleviation of salt stress: A
review. *Ann Bot* 2009; **104**: 1263–1280.

7. Montesinos-Navarro A, Segarra-Moragues J, Valiente-Banuet A, Verdu M. The
network structure of plant – arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol* 2012; **194**:
536–547.

8. Klironomos JN. Variation in plant response to native and exotic arbusculat
mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecology* 2003; **84**: 2292–2301.

9. Angelard C, Colard A, Niculita-Hirzel H, Croll D, Sanders IR. Segregation in a
mycorrhizal fungus alters rice growth and symbiosis-specific gene transcription. *Curr*
Biol 2010; **20**: 1216–21.

10.   Harrison MJ. The arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis: An underground association.

483   *Trends Plant Sci* 1997; **2**: 54–60.

484   11.   Mullen RB, Schmidt SK. Mycorrhizal infection, phosphorus uptake, and phenology in

485   Ranunculus adoneus: implications for the functioning of mycorrhizae in alpine

486   systems. *Oecologia* 1993; **94**: 229–234.

487   12.   Delaux PM, Séjalon-Delmas N, Bécard G, Ané JM. Evolution of the plant-microbe

488   symbiotic 'toolkit'. *Trends Plant Sci* 2013; **18**: 298–304.

489   13.   Graham J, Abbott L. Wheat responses to aggressive and non-aggressive arbuscular

490   mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant and Soil* 2000; **220**: 207-218.

491   14.   Koch AM, Croll D, Sanders IR. Genetic variability in a population of arbuscular

492   mycorrhizal fungi causes variation in plant growth. *Ecol Lett* 2006; **9**: 103–10.

493   15.   Munkvold L, Kjoller R, Vestberg M, Rosendahl S, Jakobsen I. High functional

494   diversity within species ofarbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol* 2004; **164**: 357–

495   364.

496   16.   Mensah J, Koch A, Antunes P, Kiers T, Hart M, Bücking H. High functional diversity

497   within species of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is associated with differences in

498   phosphate and nitrogen uptake and fungal phosphate metabolism. *Mycorrhiza* 2015;

499   **25**: 533-546.

500   17.   Sanders IR, Rodriguez A. Aligning molecular studies of mycorrhizal fungal diversity

501   with ecologically important levels of diversity in ecosystems. *ISME J* 2016; **10**: 2780-

502   2786.

503   18.   Koch A, Antunes P, Maherali H, Hart M, Klironomos JN. Evolutionary asymmetry in

504   the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis: conservatism in fungal morphology does not

505   predict host plant growth. *New Phytol* 2017; **214**: 1330–1337.

19.   Xavier LJC, Germida JJ. Response of spring wheat cultivars to Glomus clarum NT4

in a P-deficient soil containing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Canadian Journal of Soil*

Science 1998; **78**: 481-484.

20.   Al-Karaki GN. Growth of mycorrhizal tomato and mineral acquisition under salt

stress. *Mycorrhiza* 2000; **10**: 51-54.

21.   Streitwolf-Engel R, van der Heijden MGA, Wiemken A, Sanders IR. The Ecological

Significance of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Effects on Clonal Reproduction in

Plants. *Ecology* 2001; **82**: 2846-2859.

22.   Kuhn H, Küster H, Requena N. Membrane steroid-binding protein 1 induced by a

diffusible fungal signal is critical for mycorrhization in Medicago truncatula. *New*

Phytol 2010; **185**: 716–733.

23.   Heupel S, Roser B, Kuhn H, Lebrun M-H, Villalba F, Requena N. Erl1, a novel era-

like GTPase from Magnaporthe oryzae, is required for full root virulence and is

conserved in the mutualistic symbiont Glomus intraradices. *Mol Plant Microbe*

Interact 2010; **23**: 67–81.

24.   Requena N, Mann P, Hampp R, Franken P. Early developmentally regulated genes

in the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus mosseae: identification of GmGIN1, a

novel gene with homology to the C-terminus ofmetazoan hedgehog proteins. *Plant*

Soil 2002; **244**: 129–139.

25.   French KE. Engineering mycorrhizal symbioses to alter plant metabolism and

improve crop health. *Front Microbiol* 2017; **8**: 1–8.

26.   Zeng T, Holmer R, Hontelez J, te Lintel-Hekkert B, Marufu L, de Zeeuw T, et al. Host-

and stage-dependent secretome of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Rhizophagus

irregularis. *Plant J* 2018; **94**: 411–425.

27. Sieverding E HR. Influence of species of VA mycorrhizal fungi on cassava yield

response to phosphorus fertilization. *Plant Soil* 1985; **88**: 213–221.

28. Howeler RH. Save and Grow: Cassava. A Guide to Sustainable Production

Intensification. 2013.

29. Bredeson J V, Lyons JB, Prochnik SE, Wu GA, Ha CM, Edsinger-Gonzales E, et al.

Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals extensive

interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity. *Nat Biotechnol* 2016; **34**: 562–570.

30. Tisserant E, Malbreil M, Kuo A, Kohler A, Symeonidi A, Et_al. Genome of an

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus provides insight into the oldest plant symbiosis. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci 2013; **111**: 562–563.

31. Tisserant E, Kohler A, Balestrini R, Benabdellah K, Colard A, Croll D, et al. The

transcriptome of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus intraradices (DAOM

197198) reveals functional tradeoffs in an obligate symbiont. *New Phytol* 2012; **193**:

755–769.

32. Wyss T, Masclaux FG, Rosikiewicz P, Pagni M, Sanders IR. Population genomics

reveals that within-fungus polymorphism is common and maintained in populations

of the mycorrhizal fungus Rhizophagus irregularis. *ISME J* 2016; **10**: 2514-2526.

33. Ropars J, Toro KS, Noel J, Pelin A, Charron P, Farinelli L, et al. Evidence for the

sexual origin of heterokaryosis in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nat Microbiol* 2016;

1: 16033.

34. Ceballos I, Ruiz M, Fernández C, Peña R, Rodríguez A, Sanders IR. The In Vitro

Mass-Produced Model Mycorrhizal Fungus, Rhizophagus irregularis, Significantly

Increases Yields of the Globally Important Food Security Crop Cassava. *PloS ONE*

2013; **8**: e70633.

35.   Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network

analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2008; **9**: 559.

36.   Etemadi M, Gutjahr C, Couzigou J-M, Zouine M, Lauressergues D, Timmers A, et al.

Auxin Perception Is Required for Arbuscule Development in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal

Symbiosis. *Plant Physiol* 2014; **166**: 281–292.

37.   Ouziad F, Hildebrandt U, Schmelzer E, Bothe H. Differential gene expressions in

arbuscular mycorrhizal-colonized tomato grown under heavy metal stress. *J Plant*

Physiol 2005; **162**: 634–649.

38.   Pitzschke A, Schikora A, Hirt H. MAPK cascade signalling networks in plant defence.

Curr Opin Plant Biol 2009; **12**: 421–426.

39.   Liu Y, Zhou M, Gao Z, Ren W, Yang F, He H, et al. RNA-seq analysis reveals

MAPKKK family members related to drought tolerance in maize. *PLoS One* 2015;

10: 1–26.

40.   Ehinger M, Koch AM, Sanders IR. Changes in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal

phenotypes and genotypes in response to plant species identity and phosphorus

concentration. *New Phytol* 2009; **184**: 412–423.

41.   Angelard C, Tanner CJ, Fontanillas P, Niculita-Hirzel H, Masclaux F, Sanders IR.

Rapid genotypic change and plasticity in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is caused by a

host shift and enhanced by segregation. *ISME J* 2014; **8**: 284–94.

42.   Choi YJ, Aliota MT, Mayhew GF, Erickson SM, Christensen BM. Dual RNA-seq of

Parasite and Host Reveals Gene Expression Dynamics during Filarial Worm-

Mosquito Interactions. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2014; **8**.

43.   Westermann AJ, Gorski S a., Vogel J. Dual RNA-seq of pathogen and host. *Nat Rev*

Microbiol 2012; **10**: 618–630.

44.   Amrine KCH, Blanco-Ulate B, Cantu D. Discovery of Core Biotic Stress Responsive

579   Genes in Arabidopsis by Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis. *PLoS*

580   *One* 2015; **10**: e0118731.

581   45.   Hayden KJ, Garbelotto M, Knaus BJ, Cronn RC, Rai H, Wright JW. Dual RNA-seq of

582   the plant pathogen Phytophthora ramorum and its tanoak host. *Tree Genet*

583   *Genomes* 2014; **10**: 489–502.

584   46.   Menon V. Clustering single cells: a review of approaches on high-and low-depth

585   single-cell RNA-seq data. *Brief Funct Genomics* 2017; 1–6.

586   47.   Gutjahr C, Parniske M. Cell and developmental biology of arbuscular mycorrhiza

587   symbiosis. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2013; **29**: 593–617.

588   48.   Genre A, Bonfante P. Cytoskeleton-related proteins in tobacco mycorrhizal cells: γ-

589   tubulin and clathrin localisation. *Eur J Histochem* 1999; **43**: 105–111.

590   49.   Blancaflor EB, Zhao L, Harrison MJ. Microtubule organization in root cells

591   ofMedicago truncatula during development of an arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis

592   with Glomus versiforme. *Protoplasma* 2001; **217**: 154–165.

593   50.   Timonen S, Peterson RL. Cytoskeleton in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Soil* 2002;

594   **244**: 199–210.

595   51.   Shachar-Hill Y, Pfeffer PE, Douds D, Osman SF, Doner LW, Ratcliffe RG. Partitioning

596   of Intermediary Carbon Metabolism in Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Leek. *Plant*

597   *Physiol* 1995; **108**: 7–15.

598   52.   Wan J, Zhang X, Stacey G. Chitin signaling and plant disease resistance. *Mol Plant*

599   *Pathol* 2008; **6**: 831–833.

Figures legends

Figure 1. Transcription levels of orthologous genes in inoculated and mock-inoculated

cassava that were previously shown to be involved in the establishment of the arbuscular

mycorrhizal symbiosis. Genes were chosen from Hogekamp & Küster, 2013 (Supplementary

table 4). We clustered the samples by their similarity in log2-counts per million of each gene and by

their similarity in transcription across samples.

Figure 2. Variation in cassava and *R. irregularis* gene transcription. (a) Number of gene

transcripts in the different treatments. Cassava genes are reported in green. *R. irregularis* genes

are reported in brown. **(b)** Cassava genes with a log2 fold change higher than 2 between plants

inoculated with isolates DAOM17978 or B1. **(c)** *R. irregularis* genes with a log2 fold change higher

to 4 between isolates DAOM17978 or B1 living with different cassava cultivars**.** Genes (columns)

and fold change by cassava cultivar (rows) are clustered by similarity. Gene names can be found in

Supplementary table 4d and Supplementary table 5d.

Figure 3. Gene co-expression network analysis. (a) Network of *R. irregularis* and cassava

modules. Highly correlated modules (*p-value* <0.001) are linked with a line. Brown and green

circles represent *R. irregularis* and plant modules, respectively. **(b)** Example of the identification of

key genes between two correlated modules for one plant gene module (module P black) and one

fungal gene module (module F magenta). Each dot represents one gene and 'key genes' (the top

right of each graph) are the most correlated to modules of the other organism (top 10% quantile)

and that also displayed a high module membership (>0.8).

Figure 4. Correlogram of *R. irregularis* genes involved in the secretory pathway. Secretory

pathway genes correlated with: **(a)** 50 fungal genes randomly sampled from 317 *R. irregularis* key

genes. **(b)** 50 cassava genes randomly sampled from 120 cassava key genes. Each square

represents the Pearson correlation coefficient between the genes. Genes in rows and columns

were clustered by the similarity of their correlation coefficient. We grouped all the 'key genes' by

functional categories and correlated them to all the 'key genes'. All the correlograms made in this

study can be found in Supplementary Table 7.

Figure 5. Cellular organisation gene transcription in the AM symbiosis. (a) *R. irregularis* and

cassava genes involved in cellular organisation. We show genes involved in cellular organisation

that were highly correlated with gene transcription in the partner organism. **(b)** Correlogram of the

R. irregularis and cassava genes involved in cellular organisation. The information about gene

name and function of the 'key genes' is found in Supplementary Table 6.

Figure 6. *R. irregularis* and cassava modules correlated with quantitative phenotypic traits.

Correlation matrix between **(a)** the cassava modules, or **(b)** the *R. irregularis* modules (rows) and

the quantitative traits (columns). We report the Pearson coefficient and its *p*-value. Highly positive

correlations are shown in dark blue and highly negative correlations are shown in dark green. **(c)**

Overview of modules and the 'key genes' highly correlated to the quantitative trait fungal

colonization. (Gene function and correlation score can be found in Supplementary table 6; for

multiple comparison correction threshold refer to Supplementary note S9).

a)

Total predicted genes	33030 15941
Total sequenced genes	22812 7840
Genes unaffected by the treatments	12619 5345
Genes affected by interaction between plant & fungal genotype	1184 560

b) Cassava genes

c) *R. irregularis* genes

Log2FC
1.5
1
0.5
0
−0.5
−1
−1.5

a)

b)

a)

g5311.t1   tubulin-dependent ATPase KIP3
g65.t1   Kip1p
g9174.t1   actin depolymerizing factor
g2610.t1   Kel2p

F_lightyellow
P_black
P_green

g4193.t1   Actin cytoskeleton-regulatory complex protein SLA1

F_turquoise
P_brown
F_lightcyan

g10703.t1   Myosin-2, class XI
g2329.t1   microtubule associated protein
g3510.t1   Bni1p formin
g9749.t1   Irr1p cohesin subunit
g5395.t1   tubulin-dependent ATPase KIP3

F_magenta
P_magenta
F_black
F_cyan

Manes.04G064300   Myosin, class VIII
Manes.05G047400   Transketolase
Manes.09G021000   Villin 2 family protein

g10723.t1   myosin 1, class VII
g1004.t1   chitin synthase CHS3
g4807.t1   Kip1p
g3508.t1   KEL-1-like
g5979.t1   Microtubule-associated protein 2

b)

Correlation coefficient

g9174.t1   actin depolymerizing factor
g10723.t1   myosin 1, class VII
g5979.t1   Microtubule-associated protein 2
g1004.t1   chitin synthase CHS3
g2610.t1   Kel2p
g65.t1   Kip1p
g5311.t1   tubulin-dependent ATPase KIP3
g2329.t1   microtubule associated protein
g9749.t1   Irr1p cohesin subunit
g5395.t1   tubulin-dependent ATPase KIP3
g3508.t1   KEL-1-like
g10703.t1   Myosin-2, class XI
g4807.t1   Kip1p
g3510.t1   Bni1p formin
g4193.t1   Actin cytoskeleton-regulatory complex protein SLA1

Manes.09G021000
Manes.04G064300
Manes.05G047400

Transketolase
myosin, class VIII
Villin 2 family protein

a) Fungal module − Phenotype relationships

b) Plant module − Phenotype relationships

c)

R. irregularis key genes

Cassava key genes

Module F_black

g10683.t1 chitin synthase CHS3
g10723.t1 myosin 1
g12269.t1 hypothetical protein RirG_043580
g12890.t1 Phospholipase A1-II 1
g13622.t1 sterol 14-demethylase
g1385.t1   putative serine hydrolase C5E4.05c
g1651.t1   Acyl-coenzyme A thioesterase 12
g2124.t1   hypothetical protein RirG_032760
g2715.t1   Arrestin domain-containing protein C584.15c
g2722.t1   Kch1p
g2937.t1   medium-chain fatty acid-CoA ligase FAA2
g406.t1   Suppressor protein of bem1/bed5 double mutants
g4807.t1   Kip1p
g4952.t1   Ca(2+)/Mn(2+)-transporting P-type ATPase PMR1
g5145.t1   StAR-related lipid transfer protein 5
g759.t1   hypothetical protein GLOINDRAFT_336497

Module F_royalblue

g10583.t1 NA
g10838.t1 Molybdenum cofactor sulfurase
g197.t1   hypothetical protein GLOINDRAFT_335278
g3691.t1   Protein CIP2A
g4948.t1   Transcription factor HIVEP3
g4959.t1   Monoterpene epsilon-lactone hydrolase
g6864.t1   MFS general substrate transporter
g856.t1   hypothetical protein RirG_239000

Module P_magenta

Manes.03G044400 sucrose synthase
Manes.03G103200 Malate dehydrogenase
Manes.07G087500 mevalonate diphosphate decarboxylase
Manes.17G040300 Beta-galactosidase 8

Fungal colonization