Bacterial community dynamics during embryonic and

larval development of three confamilial echinoids

Tyler J. Carrier[1,*] and Adam M. Reitzel[1]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte,

NC USA

[*] Corresponding author: tcarrie1@uncc.edu

Running title: Bacterial dynamics during development

Keywords: microbiome; embryology; *Strongylocentrotus*; host-microbe; symbiosis

ABSTRACT

Development of some animals is influenced by and, in some cases, dependent on the associated microbiota. When the associated bacterial community is established by embryonic benthic marine invertebrates and their subsequent dynamics are still points of contention. Here, we assayed the bacterial communities of three confamilial echinoids from oocyte to juvenile. These data suggest that bacteria communities are established by the oocytes and change gradually during embryonic and larval development. Moreover, despite the differences between pre-metamorphic stages, ~33.6% of OTUs identified in the oocyte were present in the juveniles. During embryonic development, host-associated communities diverged from the environmental microbiota and converged following the onset of larval feeding. Taken together, the data presented here support that bacterial communities are established prior to fertilization and community composition shifts gradually thereafter, all while remaining distinct from the environment. Future work will need to determine the relative influence of the host and bacteria-bacteria interactions in shaping the associated microbiome to determine the potential functional importance on the development of larval sea urchins and benthic marine invertebrates more broadly.

INTRODUCTION

The journey from fertilized egg to adulthood has fascinated developmental biologists for centuries. A growing consensus in the field of animal-microbe symbioses suggest that these developmental programs are, at least in part, affected by the presence and activity of associated microbiota (McFall-Ngai & Ruby 2000, McFall-Ngai 2002, Fraune & Bosch 2010, Nyholm & McFall-Ngai 2014). The degree of dependency on these communities is highly variable: the removal of bacterial associates inhibits the differentiation of gut tissues in zebrafish (Bates et al. 2006) while other taxa are seemingly unaffected (Manahan et al. 1993). Nevertheless, several patterns have emerged from comparative studies of animal-bacteria interactions. First, animals tend to associate with stage-specific bacterial communities (Apprill et al. 2012, Carrier & Reitzel 2018). Second, changes in morphology and life history stage correlate with shifts in the composition and structure of this community (Fieth et al. 2016). Lastly, animal-associated bacterial communities may exhibit a 'winnowing,' or divergence from the environmental microbiota, during ontogenetic development (Nyholm & Mcfall-Ngai 2004).

Early development for many marine invertebrates includes several morphologically diverse life-history stages that are intricately tied to the environment. Regardless of taxonomy, the reproduction in most species involves an external fertilization, embryogenesis, and larval development before settling and metamorphosing into a benthic juvenile. When microbial communities are established during early development for marine invertebrates is currently a point of contention. Larvae of deep-sea vestimentiferans, for example, are symbiont free until settlement while several species of coral and other taxa are known to vertically inherent symbionts and diversify this

community by horizontal (environmental) acquisitions (Apprill et al. 2012, Sharp et al.

2012). Once established, the location of the bacterial symbionts can also vary. The embryos

and larvae of eight echinoderm species, for example, have symbiotic bacteria (Cameron &

Holland 1983, Bosch 1992, Cerra et al. 1997, Galac et al. 2016, Carrier & Reitzel 2018,

Carrier et al. In Review) that are located broadly in the out-pockets of the extracellular

matrix surrounding the larval body as well as a more restricted localization near or in gut

epidermal cells (Bosch 1992, Cerra et al. 1997, Carrier et al. In Review).

The composition and structure of the bacterial communities associated with

echinoderm larvae is highly variable and has been shown to be influenced by food

availability (Carrier & Reitzel 2018), phenotype (Carrier & Reitzel 2018), cloning (Galac

et al. 2016), and, potentially, disease (Carrier et al. 2018). Three confamilial echinoderms

(*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, *Mesocentrotus franciscanus*, and *S. droebachiensis*)

associate with bacterial communities that are specific to host species and between

subsequent larval stages (Carrier & Reitzel 2018). Stage-specific bacterial communities

during larval development may result from associations established in earlier embryonic

stages and continue after metamorphosis or change dynamically based on each stage. Thus,

determining when these bacterial communities are established early in development, to

what extent these microbial populations are maintained, and the relative degree of

similarity between confamilial species is important to identify the relative influence of each

factor on animal-microbe symbioses.

To test when the associated bacterial community of early stage echinoderms is

established, whether this community is maintained during embryonic and larval

development, and for shared and species-specific patterning, we assayed the bacterial

communities of three confamilial echinoids from oocyte to benthic juvenile. Doing so, we

test the hypothesis that a bacterial population is established before fertilization on mature

oocytes and then shifts in the community occur gradually through metamorphosis, with

these shifts in composition and structure correlating with changes in morphological

complexity. Moreover, as the larval immune system is established and to remain

differentiated from the environmental microbial community, we hypothesize each species

exhibits a 'winnowing' response over development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen collection and larval rearing

Adult urchins were collected from populations throughout the Salish Sea in April

2016, as described in Carrier & Reitzel (2018). Specifically, individual *Strongylocentrotus*

purpuratus were hand-collected at low tide at Slip Point, Clallam Bay, WA (48°15'39" N,

124°15'03" W) and transferred directly to the Friday Harbor Laboratories (FHL; University

of Washington; Friday Harbor, WA, USA). Similarly, *S. droebachiensis* were hand-

collected at low tide at Cattle Point, San Juan Island, WA (48°27'00" N, 122°57'43" W),

and were transferred to FHL within the hour. *M. franciscanus*, on the other hand, were

collected by SCUBA off Bell Island, WA (48°35'49" N, 122°58'55" W) and transferred to

FHL within two hours. All urchins were suspended in separate sub-tidal cages off the dock

at FHL and drift fed *Nereocystis* spp. (sugar kelp) *ad libitum* until spawning two weeks

later.

Urchins were spawned by an intracoelomic injection of 0.50 M KCl. For each

species, gametes from up to three males and three females were separately pooled.

Fertilization of eggs and larval rearing followed Strathmann (Strathmann 1987), except, to

include the environmental microbiota, embryos and larvae were reared using 5.0-μm

filtered seawater (FSW). Briefly, embryos were incubated in one-liter of FSW at ambient

conditions. Two hours post-fertilization, embryos were transferred to triplicate one-liter

jars at a density of two individuals•mL^{-1}, and subsequently diluted as larvae reached

advanced developmental stages. Larval cultures were given 90 to 95% water changes every

other day, and food was replenished at this time to 10,000 cells•mL^{-1}.

Monocultures of *Rhodomonas lens* were grown at room temperature with f/2 media

and a combination of ambient and artificial lighting (Guillard 1975).

Sampling across larval development

Each urchin species was cultured from egg to juvenile. During this developmental

course, we sampled ten stages: (i) unfertilized eggs, (ii) cleavage, (iii) pre-hatched blastula,

(iv) hatched blastula, (v) gastrula, (vi) pre-feeding prism, (vii) 4-, (viii) 6-, and (ix) 8-armed

larvae, and (x) post-metamorphic juvenile. Developmental stages were identified using a

compound microscope (Nikon Eclipse E600; camera: QImaging MicroPublisher 5.0 RTV).

At each of these developmental landmarks, 100 individuals per triplicate jar and per species

were sampled at random. Immediately after sampling, larval samples were concentrated

into a pellet using a microcentrifuge, and all seawater was removed. Pelleted larvae were

then preserved in RNAlater and stored at -20 °C before DNA extractions.

Complementary to sampling of the developmental stages, the environmental

microbiota from the seawater was also sampled. When cultures were sampled, triplicates

of ~1-L of seawater was filtering onto a 0.22-μm Millipore filter to retain the environmental

microbiota. Full filter disks were then preserved in RNAlater and stored at -20 °C before

DNA extractions.

(a) Assaying microbial communities

129   Total DNA was extracted from larval samples using the GeneJet Genomic DNA

130   Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific). For filtered seawater samples, we extracted eDNA

131   using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedical). DNA was then quantified using the

132   NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and diluted to 5 ng•μL^{-1}

133   using RNase/DNase-free water.

134   Bacterial sequences were amplified using universal primers for the V3/V4 regions

of the 16S rRNA gene (Forward: 5′ CTACGGGNGGCWGCAG, Reverse: 5′

GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC) (Klindworth et al. 2013). Products were purified

using the Axygen AxyPrep Mag PCR Clean-up Kit (Axygen Scientific), indexed via PCR

using the Nextera XT Index Kit V2 (Illumina Inc.), and then purified again. At each of

these three clean up states, fluorometric quantitation was performed using a Qubit (Life

Technologies) and libraries were validated using a Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA chip

(Agilent Technologies). Illumina MiSeq sequencing (v3, 2x300 bp paired-end reads) was

performed at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte.

143   Forward and reverse sequences were paired and trimmed using PEAR(Zhang et al.

2014) and Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014), respectively, converted from fastq to fasta

using custom script, and, prior to analysis of bacterial 16S rRNA sequences, chimeric

sequences were detected using USEARCH (Edgar et al. 2011) and removed using

filter_fasta.py. Using QIIME 1.9.1 (Caporaso et al. 2010), bacterial 16S rRNA sequences

were analyzed and grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on a minimum

97% similarity. The biom table generated by the pick_open_reference_otus.py script was

filtered of OTUs with less than ten reads as well as sequences matching chloroplast for

cryptophytes (*i.e.*, *R. lens*; (Carrier & Reitzel 2018).

Using the filtered biom table and "biom summarize-table" function to count total

sequences per sample, the rarefaction depth of 25,396 was determined and applied to all

subsequent analyses (Supplemental Figure 1). Beta diversity was calculated using the

weighted UniFrac (Lozupone & Knight 2005), and principal coordinate analyses (PCoA)

were visualized in EMPeror (Vazquez-Baeza et al. 2013) and stylized in Adobe Illustrator

CS6. Community composition was generated using summarize_taxa_through_plots.py

script and visualized using Prism 7 (GraphPad Software). Community similarity across

phenotypes, dietary states, developmental stages, and their decoupling were compared

statistically using an ANOSIM as part of the compare_categories.py script.

A step-by-step listing of QIIME scripts used to convert raw reads to OTUs for

visualization of the data is located in Supplemental Note 1.

RESULTS

Community-level shifts across development

The associated bacterial communities of *S. purpuratus*, *M. franciscanus*, and *S.*

droebachiensis shifted considerably in OTU membership (ANOSIM, p=0.0013; Figure

1A; Supplemental Figures 2-3) and the relative abundance of those taxa (ANOSIM,

p=0.0011; Figure 1B; Supplemental Figures 2-3) during embryonic and larval

development, with community dynamics being specific to urchin species (ANOSIM,

unweighted: p=0.0011; weighted: p<0.001; Figure 1). Moreover, community evenness

(Shannon and Robbins), diversity (Simpson), richness (Chao1), phylogenetic distance

(Faith's), and Fishers alpha varied significantly across developmental stages (p<0.0001 for

all tests) and between urchin species (p<0.0001 for all tests) (Supplemental Figure 4;

Supplemental Table 2).

Weighted UniFrac comparisons of the associated bacterial communities for each

urchin species suggests that community similarity largely grouped by developmental stage

(Figure 2). Inferred bacterial communities may be grouped into clusters of sequential

developmental stages with some specific separation for: (i) earliest embryological stages,

(ii) late embryogenesis and early larval development, and (iii) later larval stages. The

benthic juveniles had more variable positioning: the bacterial community of *M.*

franciscanus was most similar to oocytes while *S. purpuratus* was intermediate to groups

early and late larval development (Figure 2).

The embryos and larvae of these confamilial echinoids associated with nine classes

of bacteria within the phyla Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobiae. Of these,

the relative abundance of the γ-proteobacteria (Phylum: Proteobacteria), α-proteobacteria

(Phylum: Proteobacteria), and Flavobacteriia (Phylum: Bacteroidetes) were, on average,

more than ten-percent of the community (Figure 2). First, for the γ-proteobacteria, the

relative abundance ranged from 26.6% to 61.0% for *S. purpuratus*, 12.2% to 62.9% for *M.*

franciscanus, and 39.6% to 88.7% for *S. droebachiensis* (Figure 2). Second, for the α-

proteobacteria, the relative abundance ranged from 7.7% to 42.4% for *S. purpuratus*,

11.0% to 31.1% for *M. franciscanus*, and 5.1% to 22.7% for *S. droebachiensis* (Figure 2).

Lastly, for the Flavobacteriia, the relative abundance ranged from 4.9% to 30.5% for *S.*

purpuratus, 5.1% to 36.1% for *M. franciscanus*, and 1.5% to 34.2% for *S. droebachiensis*

(Figure 2).

Dynamics of the associated bacterial species

Total OTUs in association with these confamilial echinoids differed significantly

during development ($p<0.0001$) for each species (*S. purpuratus*: $p<0.0001$; *M.*

franciscanus: $p<0.0001$; *S. droebachiensis*: $p<0.0001$; Figure 3A; Supplemental Table 1).

Unfertilized eggs, on average, associated with a similar number of OTUs (average: ~1,734

OTUs; $p=0.4410$), which increased during embryonic development (~2,043-2,086; Figure

3A). Following the onset of feeding and larval development, the number of OTUs

subsequently decreased until metamorphosis (~1,321 for 8-armed larvae; Figure 3A). The

maximum number of associated OTUs during development varied between species (*S.*

purpuratus: 2,387; *M. franciscanus*: 2,051; *S. droebachiensis*: 2,347) as well as at which

stages this occurred (*S. purpuratus*: hatched blastula; *M. franciscanus*: pre-hatched

blastula; *S. droebachiensis*: pre-hatched blastula) (Figure 3A).

A significant proportion of the OTUs associated with echinoid eggs did not remain

in association throughout embryonic and larval development for each urchin species (*S.*

purpuratus: $p<0.0001$; *M. franciscanus*: $p<0.0001$; *S. droebachiensis*: $p<0.0001$; Figure

3B; Supplemental Table 1). Following fertilization and early embryogenesis, ~57% of

OTUs remained in association while ~43% were lost (Figure 3B). In the subsequent

developmental stages, egg-associated OTUs were lost gradually, resulting in juvenile

urchins with ~33.6% of the originally acquired OTUs (Figure 3B). Moreover, when

transitioning between any two subsequent developmental stages, ~22.5% of OTUs are

retained while ~77.5% of OTUs were specific to either stage (Figure 3C).

218   Community dissimilarity (Bray-Curtis) between the larval host and environmental

microbiota showed significant differences during embryonic and larval developmental for

each species (*S. purpuratus*: $p<0.0001$; *M. franciscanus*: $p<0.0001$; *S. droebachiensis*:

$p<0.0001$; Figure 3D; Supplemental Figure 5; Supplemental Table 1). During development

from egg to hatched blastula, the associated bacterial community became more dissimilar

from the environmental microbiota (Figure 3D), with the magnitude of dissimilarity

varying between species (Figure 3D; egg through hatched blastula, each $p<0.0001$).

Furthermore, following maturation of the mouth and the onset of feeding, late embryos and

early stage larvae became more similar to the environmental bacterial community (Figure

3D), with the relative dissimilarity converging in the early larval stages, which was the last

sampled time point (Figure 3D; 4-armed larvae: $p=0.6845$). During divergence and

convergence events between the larval host and the environmental microbiota, the

environmental bacterial community was largely constant and did not co-vary with shifts in

the host-associated bacteria (Supplemental Figure 5).

***Psychromonas* and echinoid eggs**

234   Bacteria in the genus *Psychromonas* showed the highest abundance for any

bacterial genus for all three urchin species in at any one of the sampled stages. For each

urchin species, the abundance of *Psychromonas* was highest in the unfertilized eggs and

declined significantly following fertilization ($p<0.0001$), until it was undetectable in 4-

armed larvae and the subsequent developmental stages (Figure 4). The magnitude of

relative abundance varied between urchin species (p<0.0001) and was directly proportion

to egg size (*r*=0.951). The OTUs clustered within *Psychromonas* totaled 93 for *S.*

purpuratus, 61 for *M. franciscanus*, and 85 for *S. droebachiensis* (Figure 4), and of those,

nine of the ten most abundant *Psychromonas* OTUs for each urchin species were shared

between urchin species.

DISCUSSION

Like many marine invertebrates, echinoid life cycles involve a distinct embryonic,

larval, and juvenile stage. Our assay of the bacterial communities of three confamilial

echinoids suggests that the bacterial consortium is highly dynamic across this

developmental landscape, with taxonomic membership and the relative abundance of those

taxa shifting gradually from oocyte to juvenile. Furthermore, over the course of

development, the bacterial community of urchin embryos diverges from the environmental

microbiota until larvae begin feeding. Lastly, eggs of these echinoids are dominated by a

diverse OTUs of *Psychromonas*.

The bacterial communities associated with echinoplutei are highly dynamic when

the larval holobiont faces variation in the abiotic and biotic environment (Carrier & Reitzel

2018, Carrier et al. In Review). For example, following diet-restriction the bacterial

communities of *S. purpuratus*, *M. franciscanus*, and *S. droebachiensis* each shift

considerably from their well-fed counterparts, to potentially cope with reduced exogenous

resources (Carrier & Reitzel 2018). When diet-restriction is prolonged and the larval host

expresses phenotypic plasticity, the composition and structure of the bacterial partners are

specific to phenotype, a response correlated with the magnitude in which phenotypic

plasticity is expressed (Carrier & Reitzel 2018).

Phenotypic plasticity for echinoid larvae, more broadly, is the interaction between

phenotype, nutritional state, and development. Our assays across the developmental course

for these three confamilial echinoids extends our understanding of the network and

magnitude to which larval-oriented programs correlate with bacterial community

dynamics. Particularly after unfertilized eggs establish a bacterial community, the

memberships of these associates shifts gradually shifts during embryogenesis and during

transitions towards a feeding larva. In these gradual community transitions, it was

surprising that more coarse shifts were not observed between major developmental

milestones, such as when blastula hatch and shed the fertilization envelope as well as when

larvae metamorphose into benthic juveniles. The latter may be because echinoid larvae

undergoing metamorphosis reabsorb some of the larval digestive tract (Chia & Burke

1978). Thus, the juveniles may have retained, instead of shed (Fieth et al. 2016), a portion

of their microbial community present in late larval stages.

The influence of bacteria and bacterial communities on the development of

particular aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates is well-studied (McFall-Ngai & Ruby 2000,

Fraune & Bosch 2010, McFall-Ngai 2014, Nyholm & McFall-Ngai 2014). Established

invertebrate systems where bacteria play a significant functional role include *Vibrio fisheri*-

mediated maturation of the light organ in the bobtail squid *Euprymna scolopes* (McFall-

Ngai & Ruby 2000, McFall-Ngai 2014), immune regulation relating to the epithelial

bacteria associated with the freshwater cnidarian *Hydra* spp. (Fraune & Bosch 2010, Bosch

2013, Franzenburg et al. 2013), and the nutritional endosymbionts (*e.g.*, *Buchnera*) of

aphids (Douglas 1998). Provided the vast genomic and molecular foundation established

over the past several decades for the echinoid clade used in this study (Kudtarkar &

Cameron 2017), these confamilial urchins have the potential to be established as a

comparative system for beneficial animal-microbe interactions during development and in

bi-phasic life-histories. One route to testing this, and how marine invertebrates establish

symbiont communities, is through the egg-associated *Psychromonas*.

Eggs of echinoids have long been used as a metric in larval biology and ecology

(Vance 1973, Jaeckle 1995, Moran & McAlister 2009, Marshall et al. 2012) to make

inferences about life history mode and transitions (Vance 1973, Collins & Moran 2018),

fertilization ecology (Pennington 1985, Levitan 1995), and maternal inheritance (Byrne et

al. 1999, Villinski et al. 2002). The high abundance of egg-associated *Psychromonas* and

the exponential loss and replacement over development may imply that this network of

bacterial associates primarily contribute to lipid metabolism (Riley et al. 2008).

Interestingly, egg size of echinoids is strongly correlated with total lipid content (Jaeckle

1995, Moran & McAlister 2009) and data here support that egg size is also directly

correlated with the abundance of *Psychromonas*. *Psychromonas*, therefore, may aid in lipid

metabolism during embryonic development or serve as a commensal symbiont and rely on

the lipids leaching off the maturing embryos, as egg-associated bacteria have been

suggested previously (Ikuta et al. 2016).

Over the course of embryonic development, we also observed that the urchin-

associated bacterial community diverged from the environmental microbiota. At the

surface, this appears to be a 'winnowing'-like response, whereby urchin embryos

seemingly take a step-wise elimination of potential non-symbionts to select for prokaryotic

partners (Nyholm & Mcfall-Ngai 2004). Winnowing was first established in the *E.*

scolopes-V. fisheri mutualism (Nyholm & Mcfall-Ngai 2004), and has since been well-

characterized by McFall-Ngai and colleagues (McFall-Ngai 2014). To test whether urchins

larvae exhibit a winnowing response during embryonic and larval development, a similar

multifaceted molecular and -omics approach would be required to characterize this

potential developmental feature (Williams & Carrier 2018).

Taken together, data presented here support that the bacterial community associated

with the developmental stages of three species of sea urchin are established by the oocytes

and shift in parallel. The functional importance and evolutionary significance of this

bacterial community and the mechanisms for these corresponding shifts remains unknown.

Future experiments involving germ-free culturing as well as manipulations of the larval

host, members of the microbial community, and culturing environment will be needed to

determine roles of associated microbiota. Results from these experiments would serve as a

key piece towards establishing echinoids as a comparative system for understanding the

interdependence of animal development and the associated bacterial communities.

Acknowledgements. We thank Jason Hodin and Billie Swalla for providing laboratory

space; Morgan Eisenlord, Gustav Pauley, Katie Dobkowski, and Joe Gaydos for collecting

urchins; Colette Feehan and Richard Strathmann for larval rearing advise; Daniel Janies

for sequencing resources; and Karen Lopez for technical assistance with sequencing. TJC

was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship, Charles Lambert Memorial

Endowment fellowship from the Friday Harbor Laboratories, and a Sigma Xi Grants-in-

Aid of Research grant. AMR was supported by Human Frontier Science Program Award

RGY0079/2016.

Figure 1. Similarity between the associated bacterial community across development for

three species of echinoids. Community similarity for *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*

(triangle), *Mesocentrotus franciscanus* (square), and *S. droebachiensis* (circle) for ten

developmental stages when considering the presence/absence of taxa (A) and their relative

abundance (B).

Figure 2. Relatedness of bacterial communities during development. Weighted

dendrograms and taxonomic plots (Class) for the bacterial communities of

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (left), *Mesocentrotus franciscanus* (center), and *S.*

droebachiensis (right) across ten developmental stages.

Figure 3. Measures of OTU shifts during development. OTU dynamics across embryonic

and larval development for *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* (purple), *Mesocentrotus*

franciscanus (red), and *S. droebachiensis* (green) when considering (A) total observed

OTUs, (B) percent of OTUs shared with unfertilized eggs, (C) proportion of OTUs unique

to and shared between developmental stages, and (D) weighted similarity with the

environmental microbiota.

Figure 4. Decay in abundance of *Psychromonas* during development. Relative abundance

of the bacterial genus *Psychromonas* across embryonic and larval development for

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (purple), *Mesocentrotus franciscanus* (red), and *S.*

droebachiensis (green) and the number of operational taxonomic units.

Supplemental Figure 1. Alpha rarefaction curves for three species of echinoids and of the

seawater. Alpha rarefaction curves for *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* (purple),

Mesocentrotus franciscanus (red), and *S. droebachiensis* (green) and seawater (blue) based

on rarefaction depth of 25,396.

Supplemental Figure 2. Similarity between the associated bacterial community across

development for three species of echinoids. Community similarity for *Strongylocentrotus*

purpuratus (top), *Mesocentrotus franciscanus* (middle), and *S. droebachiensis* (bottom) for

ten developmental stages when considering the presence/absence of taxa (*i*) and their

relative abundance (*ii*).

Supplemental Figure 3. Bacterial community dendrograms across development for three

echinoid species. Unweighted (*i*) and weighted (*ii*) bacterial community dendrograms for

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (top), *Mesocentrotus franciscanus* (middle), and *S.*

droebachiensis (bottom).

Supplemental Figure 4. Various alpha diversity indices for three echinoid species across

development. Shannon (A), Simpson (B), Robbins (C), Chao1 (D), Fisher (E), and Faiths

(F) indices for *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* (purple), *Mesocentrotus franciscanus* (red),

and *S. droebachiensis* (green) at ten developmental stages.

Supplemental Figure 5. Microbial dendrogram of developmental stages and seawater.

Dendrogram of the bacterial communities based on weighted UniFrac for the

developmental stages of *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, *Mesocentrotus franciscanus*, and

S. droebachiensis as well as the respective environmental bacterial communities.

LITERATURE CITED

Apprill A, Marlow HQ, Martindale MQ, Rappe MS (2012) Specificity of associations

between bacteria and the coral *Pocillopora meandrina* during early development.

Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78:7467-7475

Bates JM, Mittge E, Kuhlman J, Baden KN, Cheesman SE, Guillemin K (2006) Distinct

signals from the microbiota promote different aspects of zebrafish gut

differentiation. Dev Biol 297:374-386

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-2120

Bosch I (1992) Symbiosis between bacteria and oceanic clonal sea star larvae in the

western North Atlantic Ocean. Marine Biology 114:495-502

Bosch TCG (2013) Cnidarian-microbe interactions and the origin of innate immunity in

metazoans. Annual Review of Microbiology 67:499-518

Byrne M, Villinski JT, Cisternas P, Siegel RK, Popodi E, Raff RA (1999) Maternal factors

and the evolution of developmental mode: evolution of oogenesis in *Heliocidaris*

erythrogramma. Development Genes and Evolution 209:275-283

Cameron RA, Holland ND (1983) Electron microscopy of extracellular materials during

the development of a seastar, *Patiria miniata* (Echinoderm: Asteroidea). Cell

Tissue Research 243:193-200

Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer

N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig

JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J,

Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J,

Knight R (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing

data. Nat Methods 7:335-336

Carrier TJ, Macrander J, Reitzel AM (2018) A microbial perspective on the life-history

evolution of marine invertebrate larvae: if, where, and when to feed. Marine

Ecology 39:e12490

Carrier TJ, Reitzel AM (2018) Convergent shifts in host-associated microbial communities

across environmentally elicited phenotypes. Nature Communications 9:952

Carrier TJ, Wolfe K, Lopez K, Gall M, Janies DA, Byrne M, A.M. R (In Review) Diet-

induced shifts in the crown-of-thorns (*Acanthaster* sp.) larval microbiome.

Cerra A, Byrne M, Hoegh-Guldberg O (1997) Developments of the hyaline layer around

the planktonic embryos nad larvae of the asteroid *Patiriella calcar* and the presence

of associated bacteria. Invertebrate Reproduction and Development 31:337-343

Chia F-S, Burke RD (1978) Echinoderm metamorphosis: fate of larval structures. In: Chia

F-S, Rice ME (eds) Settlement and metamorphosis of marine invertebrate larvae:

proceedings of the Symposium on settlement and metamorphosis of marine

Invertebrate larvae, American Zoological Society Meeting. Elsevier

Collins R, Moran AL (2018) Evolutionary transitions in mode of development. In: Carrier

TJ, Reitzel AM, Heyland A (eds) Evolutionary Ecology of Marine Invertebrate

Larvae. Oxford University Press

Douglas AE (1998) Nutritional interactions in insect-microbial symbioses: aphids and their

symbiotic bacteria *Buchnera*. Annu Rev Entomol 43:17-37

Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R (2011) UCHIME improves

sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27:2194-2200

Fieth RA, Gauthier M-EA, Bayes J, Green KM, Degnan SM (2016) Ontogenetic changes

in the bacterial symbiont community of the tropical demosponge *Amphimedon*

queenslandica: metamorphosis is a new beginning. Frontiers in Marine Science

3:228

Franzenburg S, Fraune S, Altrock PM, Künzel S, Baines JF, Traulsen A, Bosch TCG

(2013) Bacterial colonization of Hydra hatchlings follows a robust temporal

pattern. The ISME Journal 7:781

Fraune S, Bosch TCG (2010) Why bacteria matter in animal development and evolution.

Bioessays 32:571-580

Galac MR, Bosch I, Janies DA (2016) Bacterial communities of oceanic sea star

(Asteroidea: Echinodermata) larvae. Marine Biology 163:162

Guillard RRL (ed) (1975) Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates.

Plenum Press, New York, USA

Ikuta T, Igawa K, Tame A, Kuroiwa T, Kuroiwa H, Aoki Y, Takaki Y, Nagai Y, Ozawa

G, Yamamoto M, Deguchi R, Fujikura K, Maruyama T, Yoshida T (2016) Surfing

the vegetal pole in a small population: extracellular vertical transmission of an

'intracellular' deep-sea clam symbiont. Royal Society Open Science 3:160130

Jaeckle WB (1995) Variation in size, energy content, and biochemical composition of

invertebrate eggs: correlates to the mode of larval development. In: McEdward LR

(ed) Ecology of Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press

Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glockner FO (2013)

Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-

generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Research 41:e1

Kudtarkar P, Cameron RA (2017) Echinobase: an expanding resource for echinoderm

455   genomic information Database 2017:bax074

456   Levitan DR (1995) The ecology of fertilization in free-spawning invertebrates. In:

457   McEdward LR (ed) Ecology of Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press

458   Lozupone C, Knight R (2005) UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing

459   microbial communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71:8228-8235

460   Manahan DT, Davis JP, Stephens GC (1993) Bacteria-free sea urchin larvae: selective

461   uptake of neutral amino acids from seawater. Science 220:204-206

462   Marshall DJ, Krug PJ, Kupriyanova EK, Byrne M, Emlet RB (2012) The biogeography of

463   marine invertebrate life histories. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and

464   Systematics 43:97-114

465   McFall-Ngai M (2014) The importance of microbes in animal development: lessons from

466   the squid-Vibrio symbiosis. Annual Review of Microbiology 68:177-194

467   McFall-Ngai M, Ruby EG (2000) Developmental biology and marine invertebrate

468   symbioses. Current Opinion in Microbiology 3:603-607

469   McFall-Ngai MJ (2002) Unseen forces: the influence of bacteria on animal development.

470   Dev Biol 242:1-14

471   Moran AL, McAlister JS (2009) Egg size as a life history character of marine invertebrates:

472   is it all it's cracked up to be? Biological Bulletin 216:226-242

473   Nyholm SV, McFall-Ngai M (2014) Animal development in a microbial world. In: Minelli

474   A, Pradeu T (eds) Towards a Theory of Development. Oxford University Press

475   Nyholm SV, Mcfall-Ngai MJ (2004) The winnowing: establishing the squid-*Vibrio*

476   symbiosis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:632-642

Pennington JT (1985) The ecology of fertilization of echinoid eggs: the consequences of

sperm dilution, adult aggregation, and synchronous spawning. Biological Bulletin

169:417-430

Riley M, Staley JT, Danchin A, Wang TZ, Brettin TS, Hauser LJ, Land ML, Thompson

LS (2008) Genomics of an extreme psychrophile, *Psychromonas ingrahamii*. BMC

Genomics 9:210

Sharp KH, Distel D, Paul VJ (2012) Diversity and dynamics of bacterial communities in

early life stages of the Caribbean coral *Porites astreoides*. ISME J 6:790-801

Strathmann MF (1987) Reproduction and development of marine invertebrates of the

northern Pacific coast: data and methods for the study of eggs, embryos, and larvae.

University of Washington Press

Vance RR (1973) On reproductive strategies in marine benthic invertebrates. The

American Naturalist 107:339-352

Vazquez-Baeza Y, Pirrung M, Gonzalez A, Knight R (2013) EMPeror: a tool for

visualizing high-throughput microbial community data. Gigascience 2:16

Villinski JT, Villinski JC, Byrne M, Raff RA (2002) Convergent maternal provisioning

and life-history evolution in echinoderms. Evolution 56:1764-1775

Williams EA, Carrier TJ (2018) An -omics perspective on marine invertebrate larvae. In:

Carrier TJ, Reitzel AM, Heyland A (eds) Evolutionary Ecology of Marine

Invertebrate Larvae. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK

Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A (2014) PEAR: A fast and accurate Illumina

Paired-End reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics 30:614-620

Figure 1
2

3
4
5

Figure 2

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus   *Mesocentrotus franciscanus*   *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*

Bacteriodetes: Saprospirae   Bacteriodetes: Flavobacteriia   Cyanobacteria: Chloroplast   Planctomycetes: Planctomycetia
Proteobacteria: Alphaproteobacteria   Proteobacteria: Betaproteobacteria   Proteobacteria: Deltaproteobacteria
Proteobacteria: Gammaproteobacteria   Verrucomicrobia: Verrucomicrobiae   Unassigned   Other

Figure 3

16   **Figure 4**
17

18
19
20