1

Centromeric CENP-A loading requires accurate mitotic timing, which is linked to

checkpoint proteins

Anne Laure Pauleau[1,2,3], Andrea Bergner[1,2,3,4], Janko Kajtez[1,5], and Sylvia Erhardt[1,2,3]

[1] Center for Molecular Biology of Heidelberg University (ZMBH), Im Neuenheimer Feld 282, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

[2] DKFZ-ZMBH-Alliance, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

[3] CellNetworks Excellence Cluster, Heidelberg University, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

[4] current address: Max Planck Institute for Medical Research, Heidelberg, 69120, Germany

[5] current address: Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, Produktionstorvet 423, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.

Correspondence to Sylvia Erhardt: s.erhardt@zmbh.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

A defining feature of centromeres is the presence of the histone H3 variant CENP-A that

replaces H3 in a subset of centromeric nucleosomes. In *Drosophila* cultured cells CENP-A

deposition at centromeres takes place during the metaphase stage of the cell cycle and strictly

depends on the presence of its specific chaperone CAL1. How CENP-A loading is restricted to

mitosis is unknown. We found that overexpression of CAL1 is associated with increased CENP-

A levels at centromeres and completely uncouples CENP-A loading from mitosis. Moreover,

CENP-A levels inversely correlate with mitosis duration. We found that CAL1 interacts with

the spindle assembly checkpoint protein and RZZ complex component Zw10 and thus

constitutes the anchor for the recruitment of RZZ. Therefore, CAL1 controls CENP-A

incorporation at centromeres both quantitatively and temporally, connecting it to the spindle

assembly checkpoint to ensure mitotic fidelity.

Introduction

The formation of two genetically identical daughter cells with a correct and stable genome is of utmost importance during mitosis. Condensed chromosomes are attached and segregated to the opposing poles of the dividing cell at anaphase by the mitotic spindle. At the interface between the chromosomes and the spindle microtubules lies the kinetochore. This multi-protein complex is formed by the components of the KMN network (formed by the Knl1 complex, the Mis12 complex and the Ndc80 complex) (1). The Ndc80 complex is mainly responsible for connecting microtubules with kinetochores while the Knl1 complex primarily functions to coordinate the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC) (2). The SAC delays entry into anaphase until all chromosomes are properly attached and aligned at the metaphase plate. The metaphase to anaphase transition is controlled by the activation by Cdc20 of the APC/C, a multisubunit ubiquitin ligase that triggers the degradation of cell cycle regulators by the proteasome (3). The SAC proteins Mad2, BubR1, and Bub3 sequester Cdc20 forming the Mitotic Checkpoint Complex (MCC) thereby preventing the activation of the APC/C. In addition, other proteins have been implicated in SAC activity including Bub1, Mad1, the Mps1 and Aurora B kinases and the RZZ complex (formed by the three proteins Rough Deal (ROD), Zw10 and Zwilch) (4). Finally, the Mis12 complex serves as a hub interacting with all kinetochore complexes as well as with the centromere (2).

The kinetochore assembles on centromere during mitosis, a highly specialized chromatin region that is defined by an enrichment of nucleosomes containing the histone H3 variant CENP-A, also called CID in *Drosophila*. In contrast to canonical histones, CENP-A deposition at centromeres is independent of DNA replication and is temporally restricted to a specific cell cycle stage, which varies between organisms: late telophase/early G1 in mammalian cultured cells (5), G2 in S. pombe and plants (6-8), and mitosis to G1 in *Drosophila* (9-12). CENP-A

loading requires the action of licensing factors such as the Mis18 complex that modify

centromeric chromatin (13), as well as of its dedicated chaperone: HJURP in humans, Scm3 in

fungi and CAL1 in *Drosophila* (14-20). Any deregulation of CENP-A and its loading machinery

results in the misincorporation of CENP-A into chromosome arm regions. Misincorporated

CENP-A is rapidly degraded (21-23). If, however, CENP-A-containing nucleosomes remain at

non-centromeric sites ectopic formation of functional kinetochores can occur that may lead

to chromosomes segregation defects and aneuploidy (24-26).

The timing of CENP-A is particularly intriguing in *Drosophila* cultured cells as centromeric

CENP-A is replenished during prometaphase-metaphase thus coinciding with kinetochore

assembly. In *Drosophila melanogaster,* two other proteins are constitutively present at

centromeres and essential for centromere function: the conserved protein CENP-C (27) and

CENP-A chaperone CAL1. CENP-C has been shown to act as a linker between CENP-A

nucleosomes and the Mis12 complex therefore providing a platform for kinetochore assembly

(28). CENP-C is also implicated in CENP-A replenishment at centromeres during mitosis by

recruiting CAL1 (18, 20). CAL1 interacts with CENP-A in both pre-nucleosomal and

nucleosomal complexes (18) and is necessary for CENP-A protein stabilization via Roadkill-

Cullin3-mediated mono-ubiquitination (29). Moreover, CAL1 has been previously shown to

be the limiting factor for CENP-A centromeric incorporation in fly embryos (30). However,

differences in centromere assembly have been reported between *drosophila* cultured cells

and tissues. Firstly, CENP-A loading has been shown to take place during mitotic exit in early

embryos (9) and during prometaphase to early G1 in cultured cells (10, 12). Second, CENP-C

incorporates concomitantly to CENP-A in embryos (9) while this time window seems to be

larger in cultured cells (10). We therefore set out to determine more precisely the function of

CAL1 in CENP-A loading regulation in *drosophila* cultured cells.

During the course of these studies we found that overexpression of CAL1 not only increases

CENP-A abundance at centromeres, it also uncouples CENP-A loading from mitosis. Strikingly,

we discovered a co-dependence of mitotic duration and accurate CENP-A loading that is likely

mediated by the interaction of the loading machinery of CENP-A with the Spindle Assembly

Checkpoint component Zw10. These data suggest an intricate coordination of the spindle

assembly checkpoint, CENP-A loading, and mitotic duration.

Results

CAL1 levels regulate the amount of CENP-A at centromere.

In order to understand whether levels of CAL1 influence CENP-A loading, we overexpressed

CAL1 in S2 cells from a copper-inducible pMT promoter. Induced CAL1 localized to

centromeres and the nucleolus in a majority of transfected cells (84 %), similar to endogenous

CAL1. Cytoplasmic and/or nucleoplasmic CAL1 were visible in about 16 % of the cells (Fig. 1A).

Augmented total CAL1 protein levels (2-fold increase; Fig. 1B) led to increased CAL1 levels at

centromeres (Fig. 1C) and caused an increase of both endogenous and overexpressed CENP-

A (GFP and SNAP) levels (Fig. 1D). Importantly, however, CENP-A was localizing to

centromeres only and we did not detect CENP-A at any other chromatin regions on

metaphase chromosomes (Fig. 1E-F). This result indicates that CAL1 overexpression does not

lead to misincorporation of CENP-A to non-centromeric sites. In contrast to previous

observations in embryos (30), centromeric CENP-A levels were increased by up to 2 fold after

24 hours of CAL1 overexpression (Fig. 1G). Surprisingly, CENP-C levels remained largely

unaffected by CAL1 overexpression (Fig. 1F-G). These results show that the abundance of

CENP-A at centromeres is regulated by the available amount of CAL1.

To test whether the increased CENP-A level is caused by increased CENP-A loading we used

the SNAP-tag technology in a quench-chase-pulse experiment to label newly synthesized and

incorporated CENP-A (5). At day 1 of CAL1 induction, we quenched existing SNAP-CENP-A

molecules with a non-fluorescent ligand, cultured the cells for 24 hours to allow

approximately one additional cell division, then marked newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A

molecules with a fluorophore and measured fluorescent intensity of newly incorporated

SNAP-CENP-A at centromeres (Fig. 1H). Similar to what we observed for GFP-CENP-A,

significantly more SNAP-CENP-A incorporated into centromeres in CAL1 overexpressing cells

(Fig. 1H-I). These data confirm that CENP-A centromeric levels are regulated by CAL1 and that

CAL1 overexpression specifically increases incorporation of newly synthesized CENP-A at

centromeric chromatin.

Overexpression of CAL1 leads to centromeric CENP-A loading outside of mitosis.

CENP-A loading takes place during prometaphase-metaphase in *Drosophila* S2 cells (10). To

determine if CAL1 overexpression changes CENP-A loading pattern during mitosis, we

measured Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP) of CENP-A, on the assumption

that fast recovery of the signal at centromeres corresponds to active loading of CENP-A. We

partially bleached GFP-CENP-A signal during prophase and followed recovery of the signal at

centromeres until anaphase. We observed a partial recovery of GFP-CENP-A at centromeres

in control cells, confirming previous reports that loading of new CENP-A takes place during

mitosis (10) (Fig. 2A-B). Surprisingly, GFP-CENP-A recovery rate decreased in CAL1-

overexpressing cells compared to control cells (Fig. 2B). One possible explanation for this

observation could be that CENP-A centromeric levels get replenished at a different time point

in CAL1-overexpressing cells. Indeed, besides loading in mitosis, CENP-A loading has also been

reported in G1 in S2 cells (12). We therefore followed cells through mitosis, partially bleached

GFP-CENP-A in early G1 and measured GFP-CENP-A signal intensity for 3 hours. However, we

did not detect any recovery of the bleached GFP-CENP-A signal (Fig. S1A). We explained these

results by the likely absence of any measurable GFP-CENP-A loading in early G1.

Since the availability of CAL1 seems to be the crucial determinant for CENP-A incorporation,

we tested if CAL1-overexpressing cells incorporate CENP-A into centromeric chromatin

outside mitosis. Thus, we arrested cells at the metaphase to anaphase transition using the

proteasome inhibitor MG132 treatment for 2 hours, blocked existing SNAP-CENP-A molecules

using SNAP-Block and cultured the cells for an additional 4 hours in the presence of MG132

(Fig. S1B) similar to a previously published protocol (10). Whereas 10 % of uninduced pMT-

CAL1 cells loaded SNAP-CENP-A, the induction of pMT-CAL1 and resulting overexpression of

CAL1 led to an incorporation of newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A in about 40 % of the cells,

pointing to loading outside of mitosis (Fig. S1B). To confirm that overexpressed CAL1 indeed

loads CENP-A to centromeres outside of mitosis, we followed newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-

A live by time-lapse microscopy. We blocked existing SNAP-CENP-A with SNAP-Block, washed

and added SNAP-640 fluorophore (31) to the culture medium in order to stain newly

synthesized SNAP-CENP-A molecules. Despite some aggregation of the dye, SNAP-CENP-A

loading at centromeres was discernable in both CAL1 overexpressing and control cells (Fig.

2C). We only analyzed cycling cells that eventually entered mitosis during the course of

imaging and determined whether and when they incorporated SNAP-CENP-A. We found that

38 % of uninduced control cells had SNAP-CENP-A signals at centromeres by the end of the

imaging (Fig. 2C-E; video 1). Of those, 91 % loaded SNAP-CENP-A during mitosis and 9 % just

after the cell exited mitosis. In contrast, 81 % of cycling CAL1-overexpressing cells had SNAP-

CENP-A signals at centromeres (Fig. 2C-E; video 2), and of those 31 % loaded before mitosis

and 51 % after the cells exited mitosis. The specificity of the signal was verified by co-staining

with anti-CENP-A antibody immediately after block (0 min), and 20 hours of incubation with

SNAP-640 (Fig. S1C). No SNAP-CENP-A was detected directly after SNAP-block treatment,

confirming the efficient quenching of old SNAP-CENP-A molecules (Fig. S1C). After 20 hours

newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A perfectly co-localized with total CENP-A signals at

centromeres. Interestingly, when we counted the percentage of cells that displayed SNAP-

CENP-A at centromeres in these fixed samples we did not observe any differences between

control and CAL1-overexpressing cells after 20 h (Fig. S1D). However, SNAP-CENP-A

centromeric intensity was four times higher in CAL1-overexpressing cells than in control cells

(Fig. S1E). This suggests that low SNAP-CENP-A protein levels were masked in the presence of

excess SNAP dye in live cell analysis. Nevertheless, the analysis of SNAP-CENP-A loading in

cycling cells revealed that cells overexpressing CAL1 load CENP-A throughout the cell cycle

while loading outside mitosis does not occur in control cells (Fig. 2D-E). In conclusion,

overexpression of the CENP-A-specific chaperone CAL1 uncouples CENP-A centromeric

loading from mitosis and leads to an uncontrolled overload of CENP-A at centromeres.

Importantly, these live cell analyses revealed that CAL1-overexpressing cells underwent

mitosis faster than control cells (Fig. 2A).

To confirm this observation, we performed time-lapse microscopy experiments in cells

overexpressing CAL1 together with H2B-GFP and mCherry-Tubulin. Indeed, we found that

mitosis duration, defined as the time between partial nuclear envelop breakdown (NEB) and

anaphase entry, was 10 % shorter in CAL1-overexpressing cells as compared to control cells

(Fig. 2F-G; videos 3-4). Of note, CAL1 overexpressing cells did neither exhibit more mitotic

defects (Fig. S2A) nor did they recruit more endogenous kinetochore proteins (CENP-C,

Spc105R, BubR1) during prometaphase (Fig. S2B). CAL1-overexpressing cells also display a

functional SAC, since cells treated with the microtubule interfering drug Taxol triggered a cell

cycle arrest in prometaphase in control cells as well as in CAL1-overexpressing cells (Fig. S2C-

D). We concluded that CAL1-overexpressing cells not only incorporated more CENP-A at

centromeres, they also progressed faster through mitosis. We therefore wondered next if

CENP-A levels could regulate mitosis duration.

CENP-A levels correlate with mitosis duration.

To test the relationship between centromeric CENP-A abundance and the duration of mitosis

we designed a strategy to reduce CENP-A levels without inducing chromosome alignment

defects that might arrest mitosis (32). We performed CENP-A RNAi depletion in GFP-CENP-A

overexpressing cells, which led to undetectable levels of endogenous CENP-A while small

amounts of GFP-CENP-A remained in some cells (Fig. 3A-B) and allowed them to go through

mitosis (Fig. 3C). Mitosis duration was extended in these cells with reduced CENP-A in

comparison to control cells (Fig. 3C-D; videos 5-6). Similar observations have been reported

in heterozygous CENP-A mutant fly embryos (33), supporting the hypothesis that CENP-A

levels control mitotic length.

We next sought to determine if elevated CENP-A levels alone (without CAL1 overexpression)

could also influence mitosis duration. However, upregulation of CENP-A to high levels is

associated with ectopic CENP-A incorporation into chromosome arms and subsequent defects

in mitosis (24). In order to avoid these detrimental effects, we induced CENP-A

overexpression from pMT-CENP-A-GFP plasmid with a short and very low $CuSO_4$ pulse (2

hours). Under those conditions, CENP-A-GFP was over-expressed while endogenous CENP-A

levels were decreased leading to an overall increased CENP-A levels (Fig. 3E). However, 22

hours after the CuSO$_4$ pulse untagged CENP-A was almost undetectable, suggesting that cells

have a homeostatic mechanism that controls the total abundance of CENP-A protein (Fig. 3E).

Under these conditions, non-centromeric CENP-A was undetectable (Fig. 3F) and increased

amount of CENP-A-GFP localized to centromeres (Fig. 3G-H). Using time-lapse analysis, we

found that cells with increased centromeric CENP-A levels progressed significantly faster

through mitosis without increased segregation defects (Fig. 3I-K; videos 7-8). Together these

results further suggest that centromeric CENP-A levels influence mitosis duration.

CENP-A loading correlates with mitosis duration.

CENP-A loading peaks during prometaphase-metaphase in *Drosophila* S2 cells. Therefore, we

hypothesized that the amount of newly synthesized CENP-A that can be incorporated into

centromeric chromatin depends on mitosis duration. Mitosis progression is controlled by the

action of SAC proteins, which delay entry into anaphase until all chromosomes are properly

attached and aligned at the metaphase plate. We depleted two mitotic checkpoint proteins,

BubR1 and Mad2, known to reduce mitosis duration (34-36). These depletions (Fig. S3A) led

to a decrease of newly synthesized centromeric SNAP-CENP-A incorporation (Fig. 4A-B). In

contrast, depletion of Mis12 (Fig. S3A), which has been previously shown not to affect

duration of mitosis in Drosophila cultured cells (37), did not alter SNAP-CENP-A amount at

centromeres (Fig. 4A-B). Extending metaphase duration by preventing entry into anaphase

through depletion of Spindly (required for silencing of the SAC) (38) or of the APC/C cofactor

Cdc27 (39)(Fig. S3A) did not cause an increase of CENP-A levels at centromeres when

compared to control (Fig. 4A-B), further confirming that loading occurs prior to anaphase (10).

Irreversibly arresting cells in prometaphase by the microtubule-depolymerizing drug

Nocodazole or in metaphase by the proteasome inhibitor MG132 also did not cause changes

in newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A levels at centromeres (Fig. S3B-C). SNAP-CAL1

centromeric levels were similarly affected: an acceleration of mitotic timing by BubR1 or

Mad2 RNAi led to a decrease of loading, whereas mitotic arrest by Cdc27 or Spindly RNAi did

not affect it (Fig. S3D). This set of experiments suggests that the amount of new CENP-A

molecules that are incorporated into centromeric chromatin is limited at each round of

mitosis and the length of mitosis directly correlates with the amount of centromerically

loaded CENP-A (Fig. 4C).

RZZ component Zw10 interacts with centromeric proteins.

In order to identify a putative connection between CENP-A loading and mitosis progression,

we performed a targeted Yeast-two-hybrid screen using either CAL1 or CENP-A as bait and

known SAC proteins as prey. These experiments showed that the RZZ component Zw10

weakly but consistently binds to full length CAL1 and CENP-A (Fig. 4D). To identify the domains

of each protein required for the interaction, we repeated the YTH analysis with fragments of

CAL1 and Zw10. This analysis revealed a strong interaction of the C-terminus of Zw10 (amino

acids 482-721) with the C-terminus of CAL1 (amino acids 680-979) (Fig.S4A), the same domain

of CAL1 that also binds CENP-C and Roadkill (Fig. S4B) (29, 30). No further interactions were

detected between the other checkpoint proteins and the centromeric proteins suggesting

that Zw10 might be the key component that connects centromere loading to the checkpoint

proteins and ultimately mitosis duration. Our analysis regarding Bub1 has been inconclusive

due to self-activation of the Bub1 construct (blue color in the control transformation) but the

absence of interaction between CAL1 and Bub1 has been reported previously (30). To validate

the interaction between Zw10 and the centromeric proteins, we expressed and purified GST-

Zw10, His-CAL1 and His-CENP-A in bacteria. Pulldown experiments using those proteins

confirmed that Zw10 directly binds CAL1 (Fig. 5A). We did not detect any interaction between

GST-Zw10 and HIS-CENP-A (Fig. S4C). To further confirm the interaction in cells, we

established a cell line overexpressing GFP-tagged Zw10. Firstly we confirmed that GFP-Zw10

localization in cultured cells (Fig. S4D) is in accordance with endogenous protein (40-43) as

well as transgene expression (44) reported in *Drosophila* tissues: following the disassembly of

the nuclear envelope at the onset of mitosis Zw10 was recruited to the kinetochore where it

remained until complete chromosome biorientation. GFP-Zw10 was then transported along

the spindle microtubules in a process mediated by Spindly and dynein required to silence the

SAC (38, 45-47). We next performed immunoprecipitations experiments, which showed that

CAL1 co-immunoprecipitated with GFP-Zw10 (Fig. 5B), confirming our pull-down and yeast

two hybrid experiments. We concluded from this set of experiments that CAL1 interacts with

Zw10, and that this interaction may be involved in coordinating CENP-A centromeric loading

with mitosis progression.

Zw10 affects the loading of newly synthesized CENP-A during mitosis.

The RZZ complex recruits the MAD proteins to the kinetochore, and is thus essential for the

SAC (44, 48). Therefore, we sought to determine if Zw10 depletion could recapitulate the

effects observed consecutive to the depletion of the other SAC proteins (Fig. 4A-B). Indeed,

Zw10 depletion led to a 30 % reduction of newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A (Fig. 5C-D) and

SNAP-CAL1 (Fig. S5A-B) at centromeres compared to control-depleted cells. Time-lapse

microscopy analysis of cells stably expressing H2B-GFP and mCherry-Tubulin confirmed that

mitotic timing was significantly shorter in Zw10-depleted cells than in control cells (Fig. 5E-F;

videos 9-10). This result is in accordance with the Premature Sister Chromatids Separation

(PSCS) phenotype observed in Zw10 mutant embryos and larval tissues (41, 49, 50).

To exclude a potential role of Zw10 in CENP-A stability we performed a SNAP-tag pulse chase

experiment (Fig. S5C-D) that showed that centromeric SNAP-CENP-A was not affected by

Zw10 depletion. Furthermore, FRAP analysis revealed that the recovery rate of GFP-CENP-A

at centromeres was comparable in control and Zw10-depleted cells (Fig. S5E-F). Thus, the

CENP-A mitotic loading machinery was functional in Zw10-depleted cells suggesting that Zw10

does not influence CENP-A loading directly but through its role in checkpoint activity.

Zw10 mediates the recruitment of the RZZ to the kinetochore independently of Spc105R.

How RZZ is recruited to the kinetochore at mitosis onset remains elusive in *Drosophila*. We

first sought to determine if one of the RZZ components is responsible for targeting the whole

complex to the kinetochore. We established cell lines overexpressing GFP-ROD and GFP-

Zwilch and confirmed that the localization of the tagged proteins is consistent with previous

reports (44, 49, 51, 52) (Fig. S4E-F). Knockdown of RZZ complex components (Fig. S6A) led to

the expected spindle morphology defects in all cell lines (Fig. 6) (41, 52-54). Importantly,

depletion of any complex component led to a reduction of the other GFP-RZZ proteins at

kinetochores (Fig. 6) suggesting that the RZZ components are mutually dependent on each

other similar to what has been reported in Drosophila tissues (40, 42, 52, 54, 55). However,

levels of GFP-Zw10 at kinetochores were the least affected indicating that some GFP-Zw10 is

still recruited to kinetochores in the absence of its complex partners ROD or Zwilch (Fig. 6C),

suggesting that Zw10 is the most proximal component of the complex at kinetochores in

Drosophila cells. Like all kinetochore proteins tested so far (56), the localization of Zw10 to

the kinetochore is abolished when CENP-A (Fig. 7B-C) and/or its loading factor CAL1 (Fig. S6B)

are depleted, even though Zw10 protein levels remained largely unchanged (Fig. 7A).

In mammalian cells, several pathways have been implicated in the recruitment of the RZZ

complex including KNL1, Bub1 and Zwint (57-61). As no ortholog of Zwint has been identified

in *Drosophila* so far, we tested if the *Drosophila* KNL1 ortholog Spc105R or Bub1 are required

for RZZ recruitment to the kinetochore. Depletion of Spc105R (Fig. S6A) did not affect Zw10

localization (Fig. 6C-D), nor did Bub1 depletion (Fig. 6C and S6A, S6C). We also tested Mis12,

which has been shown to localize to kinetochores throughout the cell cycle (56) and found

that it is also not involved in Zw10 recruitment to the kinetochore (Fig. 6C and S6A, S6D).

Since the recruitment of KMN network depends the prior localization of Spc105R and Mis12

at kinetochores (56), those knockdown experiments suggest that the RZZ recruitment to the

kinetochore is independent of the KMN network. Conversely, depletion of Zw10 (Fig.7A) did

not prevent Spc105R (Fig. 7E-F), Mis12 (Fig. S6E-F), Ndc80 (Fig. 7G-H) or BubR1 (Fig. S6G-H)

localization to the kinetochore. Therefore, we propose that the *Drosophila* checkpoint

proteins and outer kinetochore components are assembled through two independent

branches: on the one hand, CAL1 directly recruits the RZZ complex, which is necessary to

localize the MAD proteins to the kinetochore (44, 48), and on the other hand, CENP-C attracts

Mis12-Spc105R, which are required to recruit all others components of the KMN network as

well as the BUB proteins (28, 56, 62-64). We conclude from these results that there is a direct

connection of the SAC and the loading of CENP-A by CAL1, and that the CAL1-RZZ branch

directly affects CENP-A loading and mitotic duration, thereby controlling CENP-A loading in a

time-dependent manner.

Discussion

Centromeric chromatin has been shown to behave differently to non-centromeric chromatin

in several ways, for instance CENP-A replenishment after DNA synthesis is replication-

independent, which depends on specialized loading factors. In *Drosophila* cells, CENP-A

loading takes place primarily during prometaphase-metaphase (10). Additional turnover of

CENP-A in G1 has been reported leading to the hypothesis that CENP-A could be further

incorporated at this stage (12). We did not observe any CENP-A turnover during early G1 in

our FRAP experiments when we bleached centromeric CENP-A at the end of cytokinesis.

However, our FRAP experiments and our live staining of newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A

confirmed the observations reported by Mellone et al., that the majority of CENP-A loading

takes place during mitosis in *Drosophila* cultured cells (10).

In flies, CENP-A incorporation is controlled by its chaperone CAL1 (18, 20, 30). We found that

overexpression of CAL1 leads to increased CENP-A protein levels. Under our conditions, CAL1-

V5 was strongly overexpressed and caused an intense centromeric and nucleolar localization

of CAL1 and a centromere-specific overload of CENP-A. However, ectopic incorporation of

CENP-A was never observed suggesting that CAL1 loads CENP-A exclusively to centromeres

and that ectopic CENP-A incorporation in flies depends on alternative loading mechanisms

similar to what has been suggested in human cells (65). Importantly, increased centromeric

CENP-A levels following CAL1 overexpression did not cause chromosome segregation defects

but correlated with faster mitosis. A similar acceleration of mitotic timing was observed when

CENP-A was overexpressed in a controlled manner that did not lead to massive incorporation

at ectopic sites, revealing a possible link between CENP-A loading and mitotic timing. Indeed,

shortening mitosis duration by depleting Mad2 or BubR1 (66) was associated with decreased

CENP-A loading. Elongating the mitotic time window during which CENP-A is usually loaded

(Spindly or Cdc27 depletion, or by drug treatment) did not increase the amount of CENP-A

incorporated at centromeres, showing that the length of mitosis alone is insufficient to

control CENP-A amounts at centromeres but that only a defined amount of CENP-A can be

incorporated at each mitosis, which probably correlates with the available levels of CAL1.

Indeed, live analysis of CAL1-overexpressing cells allowed us to visualize newly synthesized

CENP-A incorporation to centromeres in all stages of the cell cycle. This strongly suggests that

CAL1 controls CENP-A incorporation into centromeric chromatin both quantitatively and

temporally. How exactly CENP-A levels at centromeres are sensed is unclear but we identified

the RZZ-component Zw10 as a new CAL1 interacting partner, which directly connects CENP-A

loading to the SAC. Therefore, we suggest the following model: efficient CENP-A loading by

CAL1 during mitosis recruits ZW10 up to a threshold, which is sensed by the SAC. Elevated

levels of CENP-A or CAL1, therefore, would accelerate RZZ recruitment and shorten mitosis.

It has previously been proposed that BubR1 and Mad2 function as mitotic timer (35, 36, 66,

67). Mad2 but not Mad1 has been shown to be a central determinant of the mitotic timing

(66, 68), leading to the hypothesis that cytosolic Mad2-Cdc20 complexes form independently

of Mad1 and determine the timing of mitosis (68, 69). Low CENP-A levels at centromeres could

lead to more cytosolic Mad2 thereby keeping the timer active longer (33). We hypothesized

that higher CENP-A levels at centromeres during early mitosis would accelerate the

recruitment of RZZ and consequently Mad2 to the kinetochores, therefore, releasing the

timer and shortening mitosis duration in cells where kinetochores attach properly to the

spindle microtubules. Interestingly, Nocodazole treatment did not affect CENP-A loading

confirming previous observations that kinetochores attachment to the microtubule spindle

does not play a role in CENP-A loading (10). These results are pointing further to an additional

function of the SAC independent of the control of microtubule attachment. Interestingly,

recent evidence shows that RZZ together with Spindly plays a central role in kinetochore

expansion during early mitosis to form a fibrous corona that then compacts upon microtubule

capture (70-72). Whether and -if so- how the kinetochore expansion by RZZ and spindly is

involved in CENP-A loading needs to be investigated in the future.

Many essential components of the SAC require outer kinetochore components for their

localization to centromeric regions. In yeast and worms, for instance, Mad1/2 recruitment

depends on KNL1 (73, 74). In other eukaryotes, Mad1/Mad2 recruitment to the kinetochore

also requires the RZZ complex (58, 59, 75). In mammalian cells, RZZ recruitment to the

kinetochore depends on Bub1, which itself requires KNL1/Zwint (59, 61, 76) although some

data suggest the existence of a KNL1-independent mechanism (59, 75, 77). Several outer

components are missing from the *Drosophila* kinetochore (56, 78) and even though Mad1/2

recruitment to the kinetochore depends on the RZZ complex (48), the factors necessary for

the localization of the RZZ to kinetochores are unknown. We showed here that RZZ

localization to the kinetochores does not require KNL1^{Spc105R} but depends on the centromeric

proteins CAL1 and CENP-A. Therefore, we propose that the *Drosophila* outer kinetochore and

components of the SAC assemble through two independent pathways: the CENP-C-KMN-

Bub1-Bub3/BubR1 branch (28, 62, 64) or the CAL1-RZZ-Mad1/2 branch. How those two

pathways communicate for the formation of MCC complexes remains to be determined. One

link may be the KMN complex, since Mad2 has been shown to be diminished in the absence

of KMN proteins (37). Interestingly, Spc105R mutation does not affect SAC function in fly

embryos suggesting that flies rely more on the RZZ-Mad1/2 branch to engage the SAC (62).

CENP-A expression (79) and its stability (23, 29) together with its dependence on the low

abundant and highly specific loading factor CAL1 and the here shown connection to mitotic

events are likely interconnected cellular surveillance mechanisms to avoid misincorporation

of CENP-A and, therefore, securing genome stability. How CAL1 itself is regulated to obtain

such specificity is currently unknown. In conclusion, we show that there is a direct crosstalk

between the SAC and the maintenance of centromeric chromatin, ensuring mitotic fidelity

not only by controlling microtubule attachment but also by regulating accurate composition

of centromeres.

Materials and methods

Gene constructs

Plasmid encoding CENP-A tagged with a N-terminal EGFP has been described previously (20)

as well as those encoding CENP-A and CAL1 with N-terminal SNAP tag (10). Constructs created

for this study (GFP-ROD, GFP-Zwilch, GFP-Zw10) were cloned into AscI and PacI sites of the

pCopia–localization and purification (LAP) vector with a basal expression Copia promoter and

an N-terminal mEGFP tag (20). For overexpression studies, CAL1-V5 and CENP-A-GFP were

cloned into pMT vector (Invitrogen). For yeast two hybrid studies, pMM6 plasmids containing

full length CAL1, CAL1 truncations and CENP-A have been described in (29). Bub1, Bub3,

BubR1, Mad1, Mad2, Zw10 (full length, aa1-240, aa241-481, aa482-721), Zwilch and Rod (full

length, aa1-696, aa697-1392, aa1393-2090) were cloned into pMM5 plasmids. For bacterial

expression, pCA528 plasmids containing CAL1 and CENP-A have been described in (29). Zw10

was cloned into pGEX5x-1.

Cell culture

Cell maintenance

Drosophila S2 cells were maintained under sterile conditions at 25°C in Schneider medium

containing 10 % heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 µg/ml

Penicillin/Streptomycin. Expression of genes under the metallothionein promoter (pMT

vector) was induced by supplementing the medium with $CuSO_4$ (CENP-A-GFP: 10 µM for 2 h;

CAL1-V5: 100 µM for 24 h).

RNAi and Transfection Experiments

Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) was produced using the MEGAscript (Ambion) kit according to

the manufacturer's protocol. RNAi was carried out as described previously (20). In short, 10^6

S2 cells were incubated for 1 h with 15 µg dsRNA in serum-free medium. Subsequently, 2

volumes of 15 % serum containing medium were added. Analysis was usually performed 96 h

after treatment.

Stable cell lines were generated by co-transfecting the plasmid of interest together with a

plasmid carrying the resistance gene for hygromycin with Cellfectin II (Life Technologies), and

the selection process was started 2 d after transfection by supplementing the media with 250

µg/ml Hygromycin B.

SNAP quench chase pulse experiments

Cells overexpressing either SNAP-tagged CENP-A or CAL1 proteins were treated with dsRNA

as described in RNAi section. After 3 days, cells were collected and incubated in fresh SM

containing 10 µM SNAP-Cell Block (New England Biolabs S9106), for 30 min at 25°C, 400 rpm.

Cells were then pelleted, washed 3 times (1st wash for 30 min, at 25°C, 400 rpm) and plated

in conditional medium. After 24 h, cells were collected and incubated with 4 µM SNAP-Cell

TMR-Star (New England Biolabs S9105) or SNAP-SiR647 (New England Biolabs S9102) for 15

min at 25°C, 400 rpm, protected from light. The cells were then pelleted, washed 3 times with

SM (1st wash for 30 min, at 25°C, 400 rpm), one time in PBS and prepared for imaging. Cells

were settled on a glass coverslip for 10 min, fixed with 4 % PFA for 10 min, washed three times

in PBS, and counterstained with DAPI before mounting.

SNAP pulse-chase

Cells overexpressing either SNAP-tagged CENP-A or CAL1 proteins were treated with dsRNA

as described in RNAi section. After 3 days, cells were collected and incubated in fresh medium

containing 4 μM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (New England Biolabs, Inc.) for 15 min at 25°C, 400 rpm,

protected from light. Cells were then washed 3 times with SM (1st wash for 30 min, at 25°C,

400 rpm) and plated in conditional medium. After 24 h, cells were collected and prepared for

imaging as previously described.

SNAP live imaging

To follow incorporation of newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A, SNAP-CENP-A was blocked as

previously described (see section SNAP quench chase pulse). Cells were then resuspended in

conditional medium containing 0.5 μM SNAP-640 dye (31) and placed into an Ibidi imaging

chamber before imaging.

Immunofluorescence

IF was essentially performed as described previously (20). Cells were harvested, washed once

with PBS and placed to settle on a glass coverslip for 10 min before fixation with 4 % PFA for

10 min. Cells were washed three times in PBS and permeabilized for 5 min with PBS containing

with 0.5 % Triton X-100. Unspecific binding was prevented by blocking the cells for 30 min

with 1 % BSA in PBS. Primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution were incubated for 30

min at RT. After three washes with PBS, cells were incubated with the corresponding,

fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (diluted in blocking solution) for 30 min at RT. The

secondary antibody incubation and all the following steps were performed while protected

from light. After three washes in PBS, DNA was stained for 5 min with DAPI (1 µg/ml in PBS).

The cells were then washed two more times with PBS before mounting in Aqua/Polymount

medium (Polysciences, Inc.) on a glass slide.

Preparation of mitotic chromosome spreads

Mitotic chromosome spreads were essentially performed as described previously (80). To

obtain mitotic chromosomes, $2x10^5$ exponentially growing cells were arrested in mitosis with

2.5 µg/µl Colcemid for 1 h, centrifuged for 3 min at 800 g, resuspended in 0.5 ml hypotonic

sodium citrate solution (0.5 % Na-citrate in ddH2O), and incubated for 8–10 min. Cells were

spun on positively charged slides in a cytocentrifuge (Shandon 4 Cytospin; Thermo Fisher

Scientific) at 900 rpm for 10 min. Slides were placed 10 min in KCM buffer (120 mM KCl, 20

mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl ph7.7, 0.1 % Triton X-100) before 30 min incubation with primary

antibodies diluted in TEEN buffer (1 mM Triethanolamine: HCl pH8.5, 0.2 mM EDTA, 25 mM

NaCl, 0.1 % TX-100, 0.1 % BSA) at 37°C. After 3 washes in KB buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH7.7,

0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 % BSA) at RT, slides were incubated with secondary antibodies diluted in

TEEN buffer for 30 min at 37°C. Slides were then washed 3 times in KB before incubation with

1 µg/ml DAPI diluted in PBS for 5 min at RT. Slides were then mounted in Aqua/Polymount

medium (Polysciences, Inc.).

Microscopy and Image analysis

All Images were acquired on a DeltaVision Core system (Applied Precision) equipped with an

Olympus UPlanSApo 100X oil immersion objective (n.a. 1.4) at binning 1x1 (mitotic figures) or

2x2 (interphase). If not stated otherwise, images were taken as z stacks of 0.3 µm increments.

All images were deconvolved (20 cycles additive with noise filtering) and maximum-projected

using the Applied Precisions soft-WoRx 3.7.1 suite. Image quantification was done using

ImageJ software. For determining the number and intensities of centromere/kinetochore

dots, a set of plugins developed by the Nikon Imaging Centre, University Heidelberg was used.

After marking the nuclear boundaries in the DAPI channel and saving them with the ROI tool,

the spots in the channel of interest were enhanced using the DoG spot enhancer plugin. The

threshold was adjusted using ''Li'' instead of default settings. The number of spots with their

mean intensities relative to the nuclear area was determined using the ROI particle analyzer

plugin.

Time-lapse imaging was performed on a DeltaVision Core system (Applied Precision)

equipped either with an Olympus 60X/1.42, N Plan Apo oil immersion objective or an Olympus

40X/1.35, UApo/340 oil objective, at binning 2x2 with 3 min (mitosis duration and fidelity

measurements) or 15 min (SNAP-CENP-A live imaging) time-lapse series, for 16 h, with z stacks

of 1 μm increment.

FRAP was performed on a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope equipped with a 63x/1.4 oil

immersion objective. Number of focal planes per time point, as well as time intervals and total

duration were adjusted according to experimental needs. The spacing of focal planes was 1

μm in all experiments. Recovery of EGFP-CENP-A signal was analyzed using FRAP analysis

plugin developed by ZMBH imaging facility. After marking the boundaries of the cell of

interest, the threshold allowing visualization of centromeric signal exclusively was defined on

pre-bleach image. Total intensity of the thresholded signal was then measured for each time

point as well as the area. Similar measurements were performed on non-bleached cells from

the same area to determine the photobleaching level in each time-series. After correction,

EGFP-CENP-A signal intensity was plotted against the time, a linear regression curve was

fitted.

Protein purification and GST-pulldown

Zw10 coding sequence was cloned into pGEX5x-1 bacterial expression vector and transformed

into BL21DE3 bacteria. Expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG at OD = 0.6. After

16 h at 21°C, bacteria were pelleted and resuspended in lysis buffer (1 % TX100, 1 mM DTT, 1

mg/ml Lysozyme, 2 mg/ml aprotinin, 5 mg/ml leupeptin, 1 mg/ml pepstatin in PBS). After 30

min incubation at 4°C, bacteria were sonicated (10 cycles, 30 seconds pulse-30 seconds pause,

60 % output power). The lysate was then centrifuged at 15000 g for 45 min. The supernatant

was then incubated with Protino glutathione-agarose 4B (Machery-Nagel) for 1 h at 4°C. After

6 washes with 1 % TX100 in PBS, GST-Zw10 was eluted with 20 mM reduced glutathione, 50

mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM DTT. Buffer was then exchanged using Amicon Ultra 50 K with

storage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM DTT). His-Sumo-CAL1 and His-Sumo-CENP-A

purifications have been described in (29).

Pulldown assays were performed by incubating GST or GST-Zw10 coupled beads with 100 ng

His-Sumo-CAL1 or His-Sumo-CENP-A in interaction buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5

mM EDTA, 10 % glycerol, 0.1 % NP-40, 1 mM DTT) for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were then washed 6

times in interaction buffer before resuspension in 1 bead volume 2X sample Laemmli buffer

and boiling at 95°C for 5 min.

Immunoprecipitation

GFP-tagged proteins were isolated using a GFP-specific single chain antibody (GBP) coupled

to NHS-activated Sepharose (GE) (81). A total of 1.0–2.0×10^8 cells were lysed in two pellet

volumes of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 % NP-40, 250 mM NaCl, 20 mM NEM, 10 mM NaF, 2 mM

PMSF, 2 mg/ml aprotinin, 5 mg/ml leupeptin, 1 mg/ml pepstatin for 15 min at 4 °C. After

clearing the lysate by centrifugation, the supernatant was incubated for 2 h at 4 °C with 50 µl

525 pre-equilibated GBP-beads. The beads were washed six times with lysis buffer and eluted with

526 2x Laemmli buffer 5 min at 95°C.

WB analysis and quantification

Cell lysates were separated on a 4-15 % SDS poly-acrylamide gel, transferred onto a

nitrocellulose membrane for 2 h at 100 V (Tris-Glycin buffer containing 0.1 % SDS). After

blocking in 5 % milk in PBST, primary antibodies were incubated O/N at 4°C in the blocking

solution. After washing, secondary antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase were added

for 1 h at RT before ECL detection (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunoblots were quantified

using ImageJ.

Antibodies

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit H3 (Abcam AB 1791), rabbit CAL1 (29),

chicken CENP-A (Erhardt lab + 20), rabbit CENP-A (Active Motif 39713), rabbit YFP (from Pr.

Bukau), mouse tubulin (Sigma T9026), mouse V5 (Invitrogen V8012), sheep Scp105R (from Dr.

Glover), rabbit Zw10 (from Dr. Goldberg), guinea pig CENP-C (20), rabbit phospho-S10 histone

H3 (Abcam ab5176), BubR1 (from Dr. Glover), goat GST (GE healthcare 27457701), rabbit His

(Abcam ab9108), rabbit Ndc80 (82), rabbit Mis12 (82). Secondary antibodies coupled to Alexa

Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 546 and Alexa Fluor 647 fluorophores (Molecular Probes) were used

for IF, and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma) were used for

WB analysis.

Reverse transcription and Quantitative qPCR

RNA was isolated using Trizol(R) according to the manufacturer's procedures. Whole genome

cDNA synthesis was performed using the Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit (Invitrogen),

with a combination of oligo (dT) and random hexamer primers in equal proportions. A control

reaction with no reverse transcription was always performed in parallel.

qPCR was performed after cDNA synthesis on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) using LightCycler 480

SYBR Green I Master (Roche). All reactions were run in triplicate in a LightCycler 480 multiwell

plate. Actin was used as a reference. The level of each targeted gene in the control mock-

treated sample was normalized to 1, and compared with the corresponding–depleted

samples.

Yeast two hybrid

Protein-protein interactions were tested using pMM5 and pMM6 fusion constructs and the

yeast strain SGY37VIII. The YTH was performed as described previously (83). In short,

interactions were judged based on the activity of β-galactosidase that results in the

conversion of X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-galactosidase) into a blue dye.

Acknowledgements:

We thank B. Bukau, D. Glover, M. Przewloka, M. Goldberg, R. Karess for antibodies and

constructs, V. Belov, A. Butkevich and the Facility of synthetic chemistry of the Max Planck

Institute for Biophysical Chemistry (MPIBPC) for the gift of the compound 640SiRH-BG. We

thank the ZMBH Imaging Facility and A. Jafar Pour for ImageJ FRAP analysis plugins; H. Lorenz

for help with imaging, R. Vlijm and the Erhardt laboratory members for discussions; E.

Schiebel and S. Corless for critical comments on the manuscript. We acknowledge funding

from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the grant EXC81 (CellNetworks),

ER576/2-2, SFB1036, the European Research Council through the grant ERC-CoG-682496

(cenRNA) to SE. ALP is an alumna of the CellNetworks postdoc program.

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

 Figures legends

 Figure 1: CAL1 overexpression leads to increased CENP-A levels at centromeres.

 A. Immunofluorescence of CAL1-V5 expressing cells. CAL1-V5 expression was induced by

 addition of 100 µM CuSO$_4$ for 24 h. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-V5 antibody (green).

 DNA was counterstained with DAPI. The percentage of transfected cells displaying either type

 of localization is shown. **B.** Immunoblot of CAL1-V5 expressing cells treated as in A. Anti-CAL1

 (endogenous CAL1 and CAL1-V5) and anti-H3 antibodies were used. **C.** Quantification showing

 CAL1 centromeric signal intensity per nucleus as % of non-induced control cells.   **D.**

 Immunoblot of CENP-A (either GFP or SNAP N-terminally tagged) expressing cells with or

 without concomitant CAL1 overexpression. Anti-V5 (CAL1-V5), anti-CENP-A (endogenous

 CENP-A and tagged-CENP-A) and anti-H3 antibodies were used. The graph shows CENP-A level

 in each cell line as fold change from baseline levels determined in protein extracts from S2

 cells (N=4). **E.** Metaphase chromosomes of CAL1-V5 expressing cells treated as in A.

 Metaphase chromosomes were stained with anti-CENP-A antibody. DNA was counterstained

 with DAPI. Scale bar 1 µm. **F.** Immunofluorescence of CAL1-V5/GFP-CENP-A expressing cells

 treated as in A. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-CENP-C antibody (red). DNA was

 counterstained with DAPI. **G.** Quantification of F. GFP-CENP-A and CENP-C signal intensities

 per centromere were measured and are shown as % of non-induced control cells. **H.** Time line

 of induction experiment followed by a Quench-Chase-Pulse SNAP-tag experiment. After 24 h

 CAL1-V5 induction, cells were incubated with SNAP-Block to quench existing SNAP-CENP-A

 molecules (Q), washed and cultured for 24 h. After 24 h chase in presence of CuSO$_4$, cells were

 incubated with SNAP-SiR647 (red) to stain newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A molecules (P). The

 images show representative immunofluorescence staining of SNAP-CENP-A expressing cells

in control and CAL1 overexpressing cells. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. **I.** Quantification

of G. SNAP-CENP-A signal intensity per centromere was measured and is shown as % of non-

induced control. All graphs show Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test

(*n.s.*: non-significant; *: $p < 0.05$; **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p < 0.001$). Scale bar= 2 μm.

Figure 2: Overexpression of CAL1 leads to CENP-A centromeric loading outside of mitosis.

A. FRAP experiments of GFP-CENP-A in mitosis in CAL1-overexpressing cells. CAL1-V5

expression was induced for 24 h. GFP-CENP-A signal was partially bleached in prophase and

cells were imaged until telophase. Time lapse: 90 s. Scale bar= 2 μm. **B.** Quantification of A.

The total GFP-CENP-A centromeric signal is shown as mean +/- SEM, n≥ 11 cells. **C.** Time-lapse

imaging of SNAP-CENP-A/mCherry-Tubulin cells with or without concomitant overexpression

of CAL1. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h. The cells were then incubated with SNAP-

Block to quench existing SNAP-CENP-A molecules, washed and resuspended in conditional

medium containing 0.5 μM SNAP-640 dye. Cells were imaged for 16 h to visualize newly

synthesized SNAP-CENP-A. Time lapse 15 min. Scale bar= 2 μm. Intensity levels have been

adjusted separately for each condition. **D-E.** Quantifications of C. **D.** Table showing the % of

SNAP-CENP-A positive cells (+ cells) and the timing of loading. **E.** For each cycling cell, the

earliest detection time point of SNAP-CENP-A (Y-axis) is plotted versus the time of cytokinesis

(X-axis). t0 on both axes corresponds to start of imaging after SNAP-Block. **F.** Time-lapse

imaging of H2B-GFP/mCherry Tubulin cells with or without concomitant overexpression of

CAL1. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h. The cells were imaged for 16 h. Time lapse 3

min. Scale bar= 2 μm. **G.** Quantification of F showing the mitosis duration from nuclear

envelope breakdown (determined by mCherry-Tubulin nuclear diffusion concomitant to DNA

condensation) to anaphase entry. Mean +/- SEM, n>200 cells. Student t-test (*: p<0.05; **:

p<0.01, ***: p<0.001).

Figure 3: CENP-A levels affects mitosis duration.

A. Immunoblot showing CENP-A knockdown efficiency in GFP-CENP-A/mCherry-Tubulin

expressing cells. An anti-CENP-A antibody was used to detect endogenous CENP-A and

overexpressed GFP-CENP-A. **B.** Quantification showing GFP-CENP-A centromeric signal

intensity per nucleus at t0 of time-lapse imaging. Mean +/- SEM, n>80 cells. Student t-test

(***: p<0.001). Data from 3 experiments were normalized and combined. **C.** Time-lapse

imaging of GFP-CENP-A/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells after CENP-A depletion. After 72 h

dsRNA treatment, cells were imaged for 16 h. Time lapse 3 min. Scale bar= 2 μm. **D.**

Quantification of C. showing the mitosis duration of control and CENP-A depleted cells. Mean

+/- SEM, n>80 cells. Student t-test (***: p<0.001). **E.** Immunoblot showing CENP-A levels in

the different cell lines used. CENP-A antibody detects endogenous and tagged versions of

CENP-A. GFP-CENP-A and SNAP-CENP-A are under the constitutive *copia* promoter. CENP-A-

GFP expression was induced by activating the *metallothionein* promoter with 10 μM CuSO$_4$

for 2 h. Cells were washed and cultured in conditional medium for 22 h. H3 serves as loading

control. The graph shows CENP-A level in each cell line as fold change from baseline levels

determined in protein extracts from S2 cells (N=4). **F.** Metaphase chromosomes of CENP-A-

GFP expressing cells treated as in E. Metaphase chromosomes were stained with anti-CENP-

A antibody. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Intensities have been adjusted separately for

each condition. Scale bar= 2 μm. **G.** Immunofluorescence of CENP-A-GFP cells. CENP-A-GFP

expression was induced as in E. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm. **H.**

Quantification of G. showing the total CENP-A-GFP centromeric intensity per nucleus as % of

control. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test (***: p<0.001). **I.** Time

lapse imaging of cells expressing mCherry-tubulin and inducible CENP-A-GFP. GFP-CENP-A

expression was induced by addition of 10 µM CuSO$_4$ to the medium for 2 h. Cells were washed

before imaging (16 h). Time lapse 3 min. Scale bar= 2 µm. The intensity levels of CENP-A-GFP

in control cells are shown enhanced for visualization purposes. **J.** Quantification of I. showing

mitosis duration of control and CENP-A-GFP expressing cells. Mean +/- SEM, n>300 cells.

Student t-test (***: p<0.001). **K.** Mitotic phenotypes of CENP-A-GFP/mCherry-Tubulin cells

imaged in I. Cells were scored for the accuracy of mitosis: normal or defective (presence of

lagging chromosomes in anaphase, formation of tripolar spindles). Mean +/- SEM n > 200

cells. Student t-test (*n.s.*: non-significant).

Figure 4: CENP-A loading is correlated with mitosis duration.

A. SNAP-CENP-A incorporation in BubR1, Mad2, Mis12, Spindly or Cdc27 depleted cells. After

72 h dsRNA treatment, a Quench-Chase-Pulse experiment (scheme in Fig. 1H) was performed

to stain newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A molecules (red). DNA was counterstained with DAPI.

Scale bar: 2 µm. **B.** Quantification of A. The total SNAP-CENP-A centromeric signal intensity

per nucleus is shown as % of control dsRNA-treated cells. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments

(n>300 cells), Student t-test (*n.s.*: non-significant; ***: p<0.001). **C.** The recovery rate of GFP-

CENP-A after photobleaching in mitosis is plotted against the mitosis duration for each

analyzed cell. Pearson's correlation test, p<0.01. **D.** Yeast two hybrid interaction tests using

SAC proteins as prey with either bait CAL1 or CENP-A. Blue color reflects the interaction

between the 2 proteins tested.

Figure 5: Zw10 interacts with CENP-A loading factor CAL1.

A. *Left panel.* Coomassie showing purified GST-Zw10 and His-Sumo-CAL1. *Right panel.* Immunoblot showing GST-pulldown assays of His-Sumo-CAL1. **B.** Co-immunoprecipitation of CAL1 with GFP-Zw10. GFP (Ctrl) or GFP-Zw10 proteins were immunoprecipitated using the GFP-binding protein (GBP). Pulled down fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting for the presence of CAL1. **C.** SNAP-CENP-A incorporation in Zw10 depleted cells. After 72 h dsRNA treatment, a Quench-Chase-Pulse experiment (scheme in Fig. 1H) was performed to stain newly synthesized SNAP-CENP-A molecules (red). DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar: 2 μm. **D.** Quantification of C. showing the total SNAP-CENP-A centromeric intensity per nucleus as % of control. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test (***: p<0.001). **E.** Time-lapse imaging of H2B-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells after Zw10 depletion. After 72 h dsRNA treatment, cells were imaged for 16 h. Time lapse 3 min. Scale bar= 2 μm. **F.** Quantification of G showing the mitosis duration. Mean +/- SEM, n>200 cells. Student t-test (***: p<0.001).

Figure 6: Zw10 is the most proximal RZZ component at kinetochores in *Drosophila*.

A. Left panel Immunofluorescence of cells expressing GFP-Rod in combination with mCherry-Tubulin after depletion of RZZ components. After 96 h dsRNA treatment, cells were fixed and stained with anti-GFP antibody (green) and anti-CENP-A (red), DNA was counterstained with DAPI. *Middle panel.* Prometaphase cells were counted for the presence or absence of GFP signal at kinetochores. *Right panel.* Quantification showing the total GFP-Rod kinetochore

intensity per cell. GFP fluorescence intensity at kinetochores was measured for each cell and

then normalized within one experiment allowing pooling of the measurements for at least 3

experiments per condition. **B.** Similar experiments as in A were performed in GFP-Zwilch

overexpressing cells. **C.** Similar experiments as in A were performed in GFP-Zw10

overexpressing cells. Mean +/- SEM, n>100 cells. Student t-test (*n.s.*: non-significant; *:

p<0.05; **: p<0.01***: p<0.001). Scale bar= 2 μm.

Figure 7: RZZ recruitment to the kinetochore depends on a direct interaction with inner

centromere proteins.

A. Immunoblot showing protein levels after dsRNA treatment. CENP-C, CAL1, Zw10 and CENP-

A antibodies were used. H3 serves as a loading control. **B.** Immunofluorescence of GFP-

Zw10/mCherry-Tubulin expressing cells after depletion of CENP-A. At day 4 of RNAi, cells were

fixed and stained with anti-GFP (green), anti-CENP-A (red) antibodies, DNA was

counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm. **C.** Quantifications of B. showing the total GFP-

Zw10 centromeric intensity per nucleus as % of control. Prometaphase cells were selected for

analysis. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>100 cells), Student t-test (*n.s.*: non-significant; *:

p<0.05). **D.** Immunofluorescence of GFP-Zw10/mCherry-Tubulin expressing cells after

depletion of Spc105R. At day 4 of RNAi, cells were fixed and stained with anti-GFP (green) and

anti-Spc105R antibodies (red), DNA was counterstained with DAPI. **E.** Immunofluorescence

of S2 cells after depletion of Zw10. At day 4 of RNAi, cells were fixed and stained with anti-

Zw10 (green), anti-Spc105R (red) and anti-tubulin (grey) antibodies, DNA was counterstained

with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm. **F.** Quantification of E. showing the total Spc105R kinetochore

intensity per cell. Spc105R fluorescence intensity at kinetochores was measured for each cell

and then normalized within one experiment allowing pooling of the measurements for at

least 3 experiments per condition. Mean +/- SEM (n>90 cells), Student t-test (n.s.: non-

significant) **G.** Immunofluorescence of S2 cells after depletion of Zw10. At day 4 of RNAi, cells

were fixed and stained with anti-Ndc80 (green), anti-Spc105R (red) and anti-tubulin (grey)

antibodies, DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm. **H.** Quantification of G.

showing the total Ndc80 kinetochore intensity per cell. Ndc80 fluorescence intensity at

kinetochores was measured for each cell and then normalized within one experiment

allowing pooling of the measurements for at least 3 experiments per condition. Mean +/- SEM

(n>90 cells), Student t-test (n.s.: non-significant).

Online supplemental materials include 6 figures and 10 videos. Fig. S1 shows GFP-CENP-A

FRAP in early G1 and SNAP-CENP-A centromeric loading outside mitosis. Fig. S2 shows SAC

activity and kinetochore components recruitment in CAL1-overexpressing cells. Fig. S3 shows

qPCR results for RNAi efficiency in SNAP-CENP-A cells, SNAP-CENP-A and SNAP-CAL1 quench-

chase-pulse experiments in different RNAi and drug treatments. Fig. S4 shows yeast two

hybrid with CAL1 and Zw10 fragments, pulldown assay between GST-Zw10 and CENP-A and

localization of the GFP-tagged RZZ-proteins during cell cycle. Fig. S5 shows SNAP-CAL1

quench-chase-pulse experiment in Zw10-depleted cells, centromeric SNAP-CENP-A stability

assay and GFP-CENP-A FRAP during mitosis in Zw10-depleted cells. Fig. S6 shows qPCR results

for RNAi efficiency in GFP-Zw10 cells, GFP-Zw10 localization in CAL1, Bub1 and Mis12-

depleted cells, Mis12 and BubR1 localization in Zw10-depleted cells.

Supplemental figures legends

Figure S1 related to fig. 1-2.

A. FRAP of GFP-CENP-A in G1 phase. Cells expressing GFP-CENP-A and mCherry-Tubulin were

followed through mitosis, GFP-CENP-A signal was partially bleached in early G1 and cells were

further imaged for > 2 h. Time lapse: 6 min. Scale bar: 2 μm. **B.** Quantification of A. The total

GFP-CENP-A centromeric signal of 6 cells is shown as mean +/- SEM. **C.** Quantification of cells

showing SNAP-CENP-A signal at centromeres in control and CAL1-overexpressing cells after 4

h MG132 treatment. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h. The cells were then incubated

for 2 h with MG132 to arrest cells in mitosis prior to SNAP-CENP-A block. A 4-h chase was

performed in presence of MG132 to allow synthesis and incorporation of new SNAP-CENP-A

into centromeres before incubation with SNAP-Si647 and fixation. **C.** Immunofluorescence of

SNAP-CENP-A in control and CAL1 overexpressing cells. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h. The cells were then incubated with SNAP-Block, washed and resuspended in conditional medium containing SNAP-640 dye. After 20 h, the cells were washed 3 times before fixation and immunostaining with an anti-CENP-A antibody (green). Cells were also taken after block, stained with 0.5 µM SNAP-640 dye for 15 min to check the efficiency of the block. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 µm. **D.** Quantification of C. showing the percentage of cells positive for centromeric SNAP-CENP-A staining. **E.** Quantification of B. showing the total SNAP-CENP-A centromeric intensity per nucleus as % of control. All graphs show Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test (n.s.: non-significant; *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01).

Figure S2 related to fig. 2

A. Mitotic phenotypes of H2B-GFP/mCherry-Tubulin expressing cells with or without concomitant overexpression of CAL1. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h with 100 µM CuSO$_4$ then the cells were imaged for 16 h. Cells were scored for the accuracy of mitosis: normal or defective (presence of lagging chromosomes in anaphase, formation of tripolar spindles). Mean +/- SEM n > 200 cells. Student t-test (*n.s.*: non-significant). **B.** Amount of kinetochore proteins recruited during mitosis in presence or absence of CAL1 overexpression. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h in GFP-CENP-A/mCherry-Tubulin cells. Cells were then fixed and stained with anti-CENP-C (upper panel, red), anti-Spc105R (middle panel, red) or anti-BubR1 antibody (lower panel, red). DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 µm. Kinetochore signal intensity of the indicated proteins is shown as % of control. Only prometaphase cells were analyzed. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n > 300 cells). Student t-

test (*n.s.*: non-significant). **C.** Stills from time-lapse imaging experiments showing GFP-CENP-

A/mCherry-Tubulin cells with or without CAL1 overexpression imaged immediately after

addition of 1 µM Taxol and after 5 h. CAL1-V5 expression was induced for 24 h. Then 1 µM

taxol was added and the cells were imaged for 16 h. Scale bar= 2 µm. **D.** Quantification of C.

showing the percentage of cells that arrest (arrest) in response to Taxol treatment or arrest

and restart (finish).

Figure S3 related to fig. 4

A. qPCR results showing mRNA levels after indicated knockdown in SNAP-CENP-A cells as

percent of control. **B.** Immunofluorescence of SNAP-CENP-A expressing cells after MG132 or

Nocodazole treatment. A Quench-Chase-Pulse experiment was performed to stain newly

synthesized SNAP-CENP-A molecules. The 24 h chase was performed in presence or absence

of the indicated drug. Cells were stained with an anti-S10-phospho-H3 antibody to identify

mitotic cells. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 µm. **C.** Quantification of B.

showing the SNAP-CENP-A centromeric intensity as % of control. Only Phospho-H3 positive

cells were analyzed. Mean+/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test (n.s= non-

significant). **D.** Quantification showing the total SNAP-CAL1 centromeric intensity per nucleus

as % of control after knockdown of the indicated proteins. Mean+/- SEM of 3 experiments

(n>300 cells), Student t-test (**: $p<0.01$; n.s.: non-significant).

Figure S4 related to fig. 5

A. Yeast two hybrid interaction tests. Blue color reflects the interaction between the 2 proteins tested. **B.** Scheme showing CAL1 functional domains and its binding to its known partners. BD: binding domain. **C.** *Left panel.* Coomassie showing purified His-Sumo-CENP-A. *Right panel.* Pulldown assay between GST or GST-Zw10 with His-Sumo-CENP-A. **D-F.** Localization of GFP-Zw10 (**D**), GFP-Zwilch (**E**), GFP-ROD (**F**) during cell cycle. Cells expressing each GFP-RZZ component concomitant with mCherry-Tubulin were fixed and stained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-CENP-A (red) antibodies, DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm.

Figure S5 related to fig. 5

A. Immunofluorescence of SNAP-CAL1 expressing cells after Zw10 depletion. After 72 h dsRNA treatment, a Quench-Chase-Pulse experiment (scheme in Fig. 1H) was performed to stain newly synthesized SNAP-CAL1 molecules. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm. **B.** Quantification of A. showing the total SNAP-CAL1 centromeric intensity per nucleus as % of control. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test (**: p<0.01). **C.** Immunofluorescence of SNAP-CENP-A expressing cells after Zw10 depletion. After 72 h dsRNA treatment, cells were incubated with TMR-Star (P) to stain existing SNAP-CENP-A molecules, washed and put back in culture (t0). After 24 h chase, cells were fixed (t24). Note that no SNAP-Block was performed for this experiment. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 μm. **D.** Quantification of C. showing the total SNAP-CENP-A centromeric intensity per nucleus as % of t0. Mean +/- SEM of 3 experiments (n>300 cells), Student t-test (n.s= non-significant). **E.** FRAP of GFP-CENP-A in mitosis after Zw10 depletion. After 72 h dsRNA treatment, GFP-CENP-A signal was partially (about 50-60 %) bleached in prophase and cells

were imaged until telophase. Time lapse: 90 s. Scale bar= 2 µm. **F.** Quantification of E. The

total GFP-CENP-A centromeric signal of at least 8 cells is displayed as mean +/- SEM.

Figure S6 related to fig. 6-7

A. qPCR results showing mRNA levels after indicated knockdown in GFP-Zw10 cells as percent

of control. **B-D.** Immunofluorescence of GFP-Zw10/mCherry-Tubulin expressing cells after

depletion of CAL1 (**B**), Bub1 (**C**) or Mis12 (**D**). After 96 h dsRNA treatment, cells were fixed and

stained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-CENP-A (B) or Scp105R (C-D)(red) antibodies, DNA was

counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 µm. **E.** Immunofluorescence of S2 cells after depletion

of Zw10. At day 4 of RNAi, cells were fixed and stained with anti-Mis12 (green), anti-Spc105R

(red) and anti-tubulin (grey) antibodies, DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 µm.

F. Quantification of E. showing the total Mis12 kinetochore intensity per mitotic cell. Mis12

fluorescence intensity at kinetochores was measured for each cell and then normalized within

one experiment allowing pooling of the measurements for at least 3 experiments per

condition. Mean +/- SEM (n>90 cells), Student t-test (n.s.: non-significant). **G.**

Immunofluorescence of S2 cells after depletion of Zw10. At day 4 of RNAi, cells were fixed

and stained with anti-BubR1 (green) and anti-tubulin (grey) antibodies, DNA was

counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar= 2 µm. **H.** Quantification of G. showing the total BubR1

kinetochore intensity per mitotic cell. BubR1 fluorescence intensity at kinetochores was

measured for each cell and then normalized within one experiment allowing pooling of the

measurements for at least 3 experiments per condition. Mean +/- SEM (n>90 cells), Student

t-test (n.s.: non-significant).

Supplemental Videos.

Video 1 (related to Figure 2D).

SNAP-CENP-A/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells containing inducible CAL1-V5. No CAL1-V5

induction. SNAP-CENP-A molecules were quenched before addition of 0.5 μM SNAP-640 dye.

Imaging was performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (60X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse

15 min. Scale bar= 5 μm.

Video 2 (related to Figure 2D):

SNAP-CENP-A/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells containing inducible CAL1-V5. CAL1-V5

expression was induced for 24 h with 100 μM CuSO$_4$. SNAP-CENP-A molecules were quenched

before addition of 0.5 μM SNAP-640 dye. Imaging was performed for 16 h on a Deltavision

microscope (60X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 15 min. Scale bar= 5 μm.

Video 3 (related to Figure 2G):

H2B-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells containing inducible CAL1-V5. No CAL1-V5

induction. Imaging was performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (60X, Bin 2), at 25°C.

Time lapse 3 min. Scale bar= 5 μm.

Video 4 (related to Figure 2G):

H2B-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells containing inducible CAL1-V5. CAL1-V5 expression

was induced for 24 h prior to imaging with 100 μM CuSO$_4$. Imaging was performed for 16 h

on a Deltavision microscope (60X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 3 min. Scale bar= 5 μm.

Video 5 (related to Figure 3C):

GFP-CENP-A/mCherry-Tubulin expressing cells after Ctrl dsRNA treatment (72 h). Imaging was

performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (40X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 3 min. Scale

bar= 5 µm.

Video 6 (related to Figure 3C):

GFP-CENP-A/mCherry-Tubulin expressing cells after CENP-A dsRNA treatment (72 h). Imaging

was performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (40X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 3 min.

Scale bar= 5 µm.

Video 7 (related to Figure 3I):

CENP-A-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells. Visible CENP-A-GFP results from leaky

metallothionein promoter. Imaging was performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (40X,

Bin 2), at 25 °C. Time lapse 3 min. Scale bar= 5 µm.

Video 8 (related to Figure 3I):

CENP-A-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells. CENP-A-GFP expression was induced for 2 h

with 10 µM $CuSO_4$ then washed 3 times before being placed in Ibidi chamber. Imaging was

performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (40X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 3 min. Scale

bar= 5 µm.

Video 9 (related to Figure 5E):

H2B-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells after Ctrl dsRNA treatment (72 h). Imaging was

performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (60X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 3 min. Scale

bar= 5 μm.

Video 10 (related Figure 5E):

H2B-GFP/mCherry-tubulin expressing cells after Zw10 dsRNA treatment (72 h). Imaging was

performed for 16 h on a Deltavision microscope (60X, Bin 2), at 25°C. Time lapse 3 min. Scale

bar= 5 μm.

References

1.   Joglekar AP, Kukreja AA. How Kinetochore Architecture Shapes the Mechanisms of Its
Function. Curr Biol. 2017;27(16):R816-R24.
2.   Musacchio A, Desai A. A Molecular View of Kinetochore Assembly and Function. Biology
(Basel). 2017;6(1).
3.   Kapanidou M, Curtis NL, Bolanos-Garcia VM. Cdc20: At the Crossroads between Chromosome
Segregation and Mitotic Exit. Trends Biochem Sci. 2017;42(3):193-205.
4.   Musacchio A. The Molecular Biology of Spindle Assembly Checkpoint Signaling Dynamics. Curr
Biol. 2015;25(20):R1002-18.
5.   Jansen LE, Black BE, Foltz DR, Cleveland DW. Propagation of centromeric chromatin requires
exit from mitosis. J Cell Biol. 2007;176(6):795-805.
6.   Takayama Y, Sato H, Saitoh S, Ogiyama Y, Masuda F, Takahashi K. Biphasic incorporation of
centromeric histone CENP-A in fission yeast. Mol Biol Cell. 2008;19(2):682-90.
7.   Shukla M, Tong P, White SA, Singh PP, Reid AM, Catania S, et al. Centromere DNA Destabilizes
H3 Nucleosomes to Promote CENP-A Deposition during the Cell Cycle. Curr Biol. 2018;28(24):3924-36
e4.
8.   Lermontova I, Schubert V, Fuchs J, Klatte S, Macas J, Schubert I. Loading of Arabidopsis
centromeric histone CENH3 occurs mainly during G2 and requires the presence of the histone fold
domain. Plant Cell. 2006;18(10):2443-51.
9.   Schuh M, Lehner CF, Heidmann S. Incorporation of Drosophila CID/CENP-A and CENP-C into
centromeres during early embryonic anaphase. Curr Biol. 2007;17(3):237-43.
10.   Mellone BG, Grive KJ, Shteyn V, Bowers SR, Oderberg I, Karpen GH. Assembly of Drosophila
centromeric chromatin proteins during mitosis. PLoS Genet. 2011;7(5):e1002068.
11.   Dunleavy EM, Beier NL, Gorgescu W, Tang J, Costes SV, Karpen GH. The cell cycle timing of
centromeric chromatin assembly in Drosophila meiosis is distinct from mitosis yet requires CAL1 and
CENP-C. PLoS Biol. 2012;10(12):e1001460.
12.   Lidsky PV, Sprenger F, Lehner CF. Distinct modes of centromere protein dynamics during cell
cycle progression in Drosophila S2R+ cells. J Cell Sci. 2013;126(Pt 20):4782-93.
13.   Chen CC, Mellone BG. Chromatin assembly: Journey to the CENter of the chromosome. J Cell
Biol. 2016;214(1):13-24.

14.   Foltz DR, Jansen LE, Bailey AO, Yates JR, 3rd, Bassett EA, Wood S, et al. Centromere-specific
assembly of CENP-a nucleosomes is mediated by HJURP. Cell. 2009;137(3):472-84.
15.   Camahort R, Li B, Florens L, Swanson SK, Washburn MP, Gerton JL. Scm3 is essential to recruit
the histone h3 variant cse4 to centromeres and to maintain a functional kinetochore. Mol Cell.
2007;26(6):853-65.
16.   Dunleavy EM, Roche D, Tagami H, Lacoste N, Ray-Gallet D, Nakamura Y, et al. HJURP is a cell-
cycle-dependent maintenance and deposition factor of CENP-A at centromeres. Cell. 2009;137(3):485-
97.
17.   Mizuguchi G, Xiao H, Wisniewski J, Smith MM, Wu C. Nonhistone Scm3 and histones CenH3-
H4 assemble the core of centromere-specific nucleosomes. Cell. 2007;129(6):1153-64.
18.   Chen CC, Dechassa ML, Bettini E, Ledoux MB, Belisario C, Heun P, et al. CAL1 is the Drosophila
CENP-A assembly factor. J Cell Biol. 2014;204(3):313-29.
19.   Stoler S, Rogers K, Weitze S, Morey L, Fitzgerald-Hayes M, Baker RE. Scm3, an essential
Saccharomyces cerevisiae centromere protein required for G2/M progression and Cse4 localization.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(25):10571-6.
20.   Erhardt S, Mellone BG, Betts CM, Zhang W, Karpen GH, Straight AF. Genome-wide analysis
reveals a cell cycle-dependent mechanism controlling centromere propagation. J Cell Biol.
2008;183(5):805-18.
21.   Collins KA, Furuyama S, Biggins S. Proteolysis contributes to the exclusive centromere
localization of the yeast Cse4/CENP-A histone H3 variant. Curr Biol. 2004;14(21):1968-72.
22.   Hewawasam G, Shivaraju M, Mattingly M, Venkatesh S, Martin-Brown S, Florens L, et al. Psh1
is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets the centromeric histone variant Cse4. Mol Cell. 2010;40(3):444-
54.
23.   Moreno-Moreno O, Torras-Llort M, Azorin F. Proteolysis restricts localization of CID, the
centromere-specific histone H3 variant of Drosophila, to centromeres. Nucleic Acids Res.
2006;34(21):6247-55.
24.   Heun P, Erhardt S, Blower MD, Weiss S, Skora AD, Karpen GH. Mislocalization of the Drosophila
centromere-specific histone CID promotes formation of functional ectopic kinetochores. Dev Cell.
2006;10(3):303-15.
25.   Olszak AM, van Essen D, Pereira AJ, Diehl S, Manke T, Maiato H, et al. Heterochromatin
boundaries are hotspots for de novo kinetochore formation. Nat Cell Biol. 2011;13(7):799-808.
26.   Muller S, Almouzni G. Chromatin dynamics during the cell cycle at centromeres. Nat Rev
Genet. 2017;18(3):192-208.
27.   Westermann S, Schleiffer A. Family matters: structural and functional conservation of
centromere-associated proteins from yeast to humans. Trends Cell Biol. 2013;23(6):260-9.
28.   Przewloka MR, Venkei Z, Bolanos-Garcia VM, Debski J, Dadlez M, Glover DM. CENP-C Is a
Structural Platform for Kinetochore Assembly. Curr Biol. 2011;21(5):399-405.
29.   Bade D, Pauleau AL, Wendler A, Erhardt S. The E3 ligase CUL3/RDX controls centromere
maintenance by ubiquitylating and stabilizing CENP-A in a CAL1-dependent manner. Dev Cell.
2014;28(5):508-19.
30.   Schittenhelm RB, Althoff F, Heidmann S, Lehner CF. Detrimental incorporation of excess Cenp-
A/Cid and Cenp-C into Drosophila centromeres is prevented by limiting amounts of the bridging factor
Cal1. J Cell Sci. 2010;123(Pt 21):3768-79.
31.   Butkevich AN, Belov VN, Kolmakov K, Sokolov VV, Shojaei H, Sidenstein SC, et al. Hydroxylated
Fluorescent Dyes for Live-Cell Labeling: Synthesis, Spectra and Super-Resolution STED. Chemistry.
2017;23(50):12114-9.
32.   Blower MD, Karpen GH. The role of Drosophila CID in kinetochore formation, cell-cycle
progression and heterochromatin interactions. Nat Cell Biol. 2001;3(8):730-9.
33.   Blower MD, Daigle T, Kaufman T, Karpen GH. Drosophila CENP-A mutations cause a BubR1-
dependent early mitotic delay without normal localization of kinetochore components. PLoS Genet.
2006;2(7):e110.

34.   Orr B, Bousbaa H, Sunkel CE. Mad2-independent spindle assembly checkpoint activation and
controlled metaphase-anaphase transition in Drosophila S2 cells. Mol Biol Cell. 2007;18(3):850-63.
35.   Rahmani Z, Gagou ME, Lefebvre C, Emre D, Karess RE. Separating the spindle, checkpoint, and
timer functions of BubR1. J Cell Biol. 2009;187(5):597-605.
36.   Lince-Faria M, Maffini S, Orr B, Ding Y, Claudia F, Sunkel CE, et al. Spatiotemporal control of
mitosis by the conserved spindle matrix protein Megator. J Cell Biol. 2009;184(5):647-57.
37.   Feijao T, Afonso O, Maia AF, Sunkel CE. Stability of kinetochore-microtubule attachment and
the role of different KMN network components in Drosophila. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken).
2013;70(10):661-75.
38.   Griffis ER, Stuurman N, Vale RD. Spindly, a novel protein essential for silencing the spindle
assembly checkpoint, recruits dynein to the kinetochore. J Cell Biol. 2007;177(6):1005-15.
39.   Huang JY, Raff JW. The dynamic localisation of the Drosophila APC/C: evidence for the
existence of multiple complexes that perform distinct functions and are differentially localised. J Cell
Sci. 2002;115(Pt 14):2847-56.
40.   Scaerou F, Starr DA, Piano F, Papoulas O, Karess RE, Goldberg ML. The ZW10 and Rough Deal
checkpoint proteins function together in a large, evolutionarily conserved complex targeted to the
kinetochore. J Cell Sci. 2001;114(Pt 17):3103-14.
41.   Williams BC, Karr TL, Montgomery JM, Goldberg ML. The Drosophila l(1)zw10 gene product,
required for accurate mitotic chromosome segregation, is redistributed at anaphase onset. J Cell Biol.
1992;118(4):759-73.
42.   Williams BC, Goldberg ML. Determinants of Drosophila zw10 protein localization and function.
J Cell Sci. 1994;107 (Pt 4):785-98.
43.   Williams BC, Gatti M, Goldberg ML. Bipolar spindle attachments affect redistributions of
ZW10, a Drosophila centromere/kinetochore component required for accurate chromosome
segregation. J Cell Biol. 1996;134(5):1127-40.
44.   Defachelles L, Raich N, Terracol R, Baudin X, Williams B, Goldberg M, et al. RZZ and Mad1
dynamics in Drosophila mitosis. Chromosome Res. 2015;23(2):333-42.
45.   Starr DA, Williams BC, Hays TS, Goldberg ML. ZW10 helps recruit dynactin and dynein to the
kinetochore. J Cell Biol. 1998;142(3):763-74.
46.   Wojcik E, Basto R, Serr M, Scaerou F, Karess R, Hays T. Kinetochore dynein: its dynamics and
role in the transport of the Rough deal checkpoint protein. Nat Cell Biol. 2001;3(11):1001-7.
47.   Gassmann R, Essex A, Hu JS, Maddox PS, Motegi F, Sugimoto A, et al. A new mechanism
controlling kinetochore-microtubule interactions revealed by comparison of two dynein-targeting
components: SPDL-1 and the Rod/Zwilch/Zw10 complex. Genes Dev. 2008;22(17):2385-99.
48.   Buffin E, Lefebvre C, Huang J, Gagou ME, Karess RE. Recruitment of Mad2 to the kinetochore
requires the Rod/Zw10 complex. Curr Biol. 2005;15(9):856-61.
49.   Scaerou F, Aguilera I, Saunders R, Kane N, Blottiere L, Karess R. The rough deal protein is a
new kinetochore component required for accurate chromosome segregation in Drosophila. J Cell Sci.
1999;112 (Pt 21):3757-68.
50.   Basto R, Gomes R, Karess RE. Rough deal and Zw10 are required for the metaphase checkpoint
in Drosophila. Nat Cell Biol. 2000;2(12):939-43.
51.   Basto R, Scaerou F, Mische S, Wojcik E, Lefebvre C, Gomes R, et al. In vivo dynamics of the
rough deal checkpoint protein during Drosophila mitosis. Curr Biol. 2004;14(1):56-61.
52.   Williams BC, Li Z, Liu S, Williams EV, Leung G, Yen TJ, et al. Zwilch, a new component of the
ZW10/ROD complex required for kinetochore functions. Mol Biol Cell. 2003;14(4):1379-91.
53.   Karess RE, Glover DM. rough deal: a gene required for proper mitotic segregation in
Drosophila. J Cell Biol. 1989;109(6 Pt 1):2951-61.
54.   Defachelles L, Hainline SG, Menant A, Lee LA, Karess RE. A maternal effect rough deal mutation
suggests that multiple pathways regulate Drosophila RZZ kinetochore recruitment. J Cell Sci.
2015;128(15):2952.

55. Wainman A, Giansanti MG, Goldberg ML, Gatti M. The Drosophila RZZ complex - roles in membrane trafficking and cytokinesis. J Cell Sci. 2012;125(Pt 17):4014-25.

56. Przewloka MR, Zhang W, Costa P, Archambault V, D'Avino PP, Lilley KS, et al. Molecular analysis of core kinetochore composition and assembly in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS One. 2007;2(5):e478.

57. Starr DA, Saffery R, Li Z, Simpson AE, Choo KH, Yen TJ, et al. HZwint-1, a novel human kinetochore component that interacts with HZW10. J Cell Sci. 2000;113 (Pt 11):1939-50.

58. Kops GJ, Kim Y, Weaver BA, Mao Y, McLeod I, Yates JR, 3rd, et al. ZW10 links mitotic checkpoint signaling to the structural kinetochore. J Cell Biol. 2005;169(1):49-60.

59. Caldas GV, Lynch TR, Anderson R, Afreen S, Varma D, DeLuca JG. The RZZ complex requires the N-terminus of KNL1 to mediate optimal Mad1 kinetochore localization in human cells. Open Biol. 2015;5(11).

60. Kasuboski JM, Bader JR, Vaughan PS, Tauhata SB, Winding M, Morrissey MA, et al. Zwint-1 is a novel Aurora B substrate required for the assembly of a dynein-binding platform on kinetochores. Mol Biol Cell. 2011;22(18):3318-30.

61. Zhang G, Lischetti T, Hayward DG, Nilsson J. Distinct domains in Bub1 localize RZZ and BubR1 to kinetochores to regulate the checkpoint. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7162.

62. Schittenhelm RB, Chaleckis R, Lehner CF. Intrakinetochore localization and essential functional domains of Drosophila Spc105. EMBO J. 2009;28(16):2374-86.

63. Orr B, Sunkel CE. Drosophila CENP-C is essential for centromere identity. Chromosoma. 2010;120(1):83-96.

64. Basu J, Logarinho E, Herrmann S, Bousbaa H, Li Z, Chan GK, et al. Localization of the Drosophila checkpoint control protein Bub3 to the kinetochore requires Bub1 but not Zw10 or Rod. Chromosoma. 1998;107(6-7):376-85.

65. Lacoste N, Woolfe A, Tachiwana H, Garea AV, Barth T, Cantaloube S, et al. Mislocalization of the centromeric histone variant CenH3/CENP-A in human cells depends on the chaperone DAXX. Mol Cell. 2014;53(4):631-44.

66. Meraldi P, Draviam VM, Sorger PK. Timing and checkpoints in the regulation of mitotic progression. Dev Cell. 2004;7(1):45-60.

67. Buffin E, Emre D, Karess RE. Flies without a spindle checkpoint. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9(5):565-72.

68. Emre D, Terracol R, Poncet A, Rahmani Z, Karess RE. A mitotic role for Mad1 beyond the spindle checkpoint. J Cell Sci. 2011;124(Pt 10):1664-71.

69. Li J, Dang N, Wood DJ, Huang JY. The kinetochore-dependent and -independent formation of the CDC20-MAD2 complex and its functions in HeLa cells. Sci Rep. 2017;7:41072.

70. Sacristan C, Ahmad MUD, Keller J, Fermie J, Groenewold V, Tromer E, et al. Dynamic kinetochore size regulation promotes microtubule capture and chromosome biorientation in mitosis. Nat Cell Biol. 2018;20(7):800-10.

71. Pereira C, Reis RM, Gama JB, Celestino R, Cheerambathur DK, Carvalho AX, et al. Self-Assembly of the RZZ Complex into Filaments Drives Kinetochore Expansion in the Absence of Microtubule Attachment. Curr Biol. 2018;28(21):3408-21 e8.

72. Rodriguez-Rodriguez JA, Lewis C, McKinley KL, Sikirzhytski V, Corona J, Maciejowski J, et al. Distinct Roles of RZZ and Bub1-KNL1 in Mitotic Checkpoint Signaling and Kinetochore Expansion. Curr Biol. 2018;28(21):3422-9 e5.

73. London N, Biggins S. Mad1 kinetochore recruitment by Mps1-mediated phosphorylation of Bub1 signals the spindle checkpoint. Genes Dev. 2014;28(2):140-52.

74. Moyle MW, Kim T, Hattersley N, Espeut J, Cheerambathur DK, Oegema K, et al. A Bub1-Mad1 interaction targets the Mad1-Mad2 complex to unattached kinetochores to initiate the spindle checkpoint. J Cell Biol. 2014;204(5):647-57.

75. Silio V, McAinsh AD, Millar JB. KNL1-Bubs and RZZ Provide Two Separable Pathways for Checkpoint Activation at Human Kinetochores. Dev Cell. 2015;35(5):600-13.

76.   Varma D, Wan X, Cheerambathur D, Gassmann R, Suzuki A, Lawrimore J, et al. Spindle
assembly checkpoint proteins are positioned close to core microtubule attachment sites at
kinetochores. J Cell Biol. 2013;202(5):735-46.
77.   Petsalaki E, Dandoulaki M, Zachos G. The ESCRT protein Chmp4c regulates mitotic spindle
checkpoint signaling. J Cell Biol. 2018;217(3):861-76.
78.   Schittenhelm RB, Heeger S, Althoff F, Walter A, Heidmann S, Mechtler K, et al. Spatial
organization of a ubiquitous eukaryotic kinetochore protein network in Drosophila chromosomes.
Chromosoma. 2007;116(4):385-402.
79.   Ahmad K, Henikoff S. Centromeres are specialized replication domains in heterochromatin. J
Cell Biol. 2001;153(1):101-10.
80.   Mathew V, Pauleau AL, Steffen N, Bergner A, Becker PB, Erhardt S. The histone-fold protein
CHRAC14 influences chromatin composition in response to DNA damage. Cell Rep. 2014;7(2):321-30.
81.   Rothbauer U, Zolghadr K, Muyldermans S, Schepers A, Cardoso MC, Leonhardt H. A versatile
nanotrap for biochemical and functional studies with fluorescent fusion proteins. Mol Cell Proteomics.
2008;7(2):282-9.
82.   Venkei Z, Przewloka MR, Glover DM. Drosophila Mis12 complex acts as a single functional unit
essential for anaphase chromosome movement and a robust spindle assembly checkpoint. Genetics.
2011;187(1):131-40.
83.   Schramm C, Elliott S, Shevchenko A, Schiebel E. The Bbp1p-Mps2p complex connects the SPB
to the nuclear envelope and is essential for SPB duplication. EMBO J. 2000;19(3):421-33.

1094

Fig.1

Fig.2

Fig.3

A

B

C

D

Fig.4

Fig.5

Fig.6

Fig.7