1

Core architecture of a bacterial type II secretion system

Authors: Anastasia A Chernyatina and Harry H Low*

Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

*Corresponding author:

Harry H. Low

Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

Phone: +44 207 594 3064

Email: h.low@imperial.ac.uk

Summary

Bacterial type II secretion systems (T2SS) translocate virulence factors, toxins and enzymes across the cell outer membrane (OM). An assembled T2SS has not yet been isolated in vitro. Here we use a fusion of negative stain and cryo-electron microscopy (EM) to reveal the core architecture of an assembled T2SS from the pathogen *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. We show that 7 proteins form a ~2.5 MDa complex that spans the cell envelope. The outer membrane complex (OMC) includes the secretin PulD with all domains modelled and the pilotin PulS. The inner membrane assembly platform (AP) components PulC, PulE, PulL, PulM and PulN have a relative stoichiometric ratio of 2:1:1:1:1, respectively. The PulE ATPase, PulL and PulM combine to form a flexible hexameric hub. Symmetry mismatch between the OMC and AP is overcome by PulC linkers spanning the periplasm with PulC HR domains binding independently at the secretin base. Our results show the T2SS to have a highly dynamic modular architecture with implication for pseudo-pilus assembly and substrate loading.

Keywords

Type II secretion system, bacterial secretion, assembly platform, secretin, cryo-EM, macro-molecular complex.

Introduction

The bacterial T2SS is found in human pathogens such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio cholerae*[1]. It secretes a broad repertoire of substrates including digestive enzymes and infective agents like the cholera and heat-labile (LT) toxins[2]. Between 12-15 genes in a single operon usually encode the majority of T2SS components. Whilst the soluble domains for many of these proteins have been solved by X-ray crystallography[3,4], their relative stoichiometry, mode of association with binding partners, and temporal coordination for assembling a functional secretion apparatus is still poorly understood.

The protein GspD forms a 15-fold rotationally symmetric pore termed the secretin that inserts into the OM and provides a conduit for substrate into the external environment. OM insertion is usually dependent on a lipidated pilotin[5], which binds to the GspD C-terminal S-domain with 1:1 stoichiometry[6,7]. The pilotin gene is often chromosomally discrete from the main T2SS operon. Multiple recent high-resolution cryo-EM structures report the partial secretin architecture[8-10], and in complex with the pilotin[7]. However, the entire secretin has not yet been fully resolved due to disorder in the periplasmic N0 and N1 domains.

Within the inner membrane (IM), GspL and GspM are bitopic and monotopic membrane proteins, respectively, that together form homo- and hetero-dimers[11]. Combined with the polytopic membrane protein GspF[12] and the ATPase GspE, these proteins form an assembly platform (AP) for the pseudo-pilus[13]. The pseudo-pilus constitutes a helical filament that extrudes fully-folded substrate through the secretin channel. The relative stoichiometry and overall ultrastructure of the AP is unknown. GspE is a cytoplasmic AAA+ ATPase that energises the T2SS and drives pilin assembly and pseudo-pilus formation. The active state is considered to be a hexameric ring as ATP

turnover is significantly upregulated in an artificially oligomerized GspE-Hcp1 fusion[14].

The homologous ATPases PilB and PilT in the closely related type IV pilus (T4P) system

also function as hexamers[15,16]. Ultimately, the functional oligomeric state and

stoichiometry of GspE within the T2SS apparatus has not yet been determined. The GspE

N-terminal N1E domain connects to the N2E domain with an extended linker. A shorter

but known flexible linker connects the N2E domain to the C-terminal CTE ATPase

domain[17]. Such inherent flexibility within GspE is predicted to facilitate large-scale

conformational changes. The N1E domain of GspE forms a 1:1 stoichiometric complex

with the cytoplasmic domain of GspL[17,18]. These two proteins contact GspF[13,19], which is

predicted to reside centrally within the AP. Concerted interplay between the GspE, GspL

and GspF complex are thought crucial for coupling GspE conformational changes to the

mechanical loading of pilin subunits within the pseudo-pilus assembly [20,21]. As GspL is a

bitopic membrane protein, the direct contact between GspE and GspL also represents a

mechanism for enabling cross-talk across the inner-membrane to other periplasmic

components such as GspM. The coupling of the AP and OMC across the cell envelope is

mediated by GspC, where the GspC N-terminus associates with GspL and GspM within the

inner membrane[11]. The C-terminus of GspC must then span the periplasm as the GspC

HR domain binds the GspD N0 domain[22]. Despite the known interaction between GspC

HR domain and GspD N0 domain, the precise arrangement of GspC HR domain at the base

of the secretin remains unclear[22]. Similarly, understanding how GspC HR domains bind

to the secretin is important for determining how the likely symmetry mismatch between

AP and OMC components is overcome.

Here we isolate an assembled T2SS so that both OM and IM components are

captured together. Using a fusion of cryo and negative stain EM, combined with

stoichiometry measurements, we provide a reconstruction of the entire OMC and a model

for the cytoplasmic components of the AP. Combined they reveal a glimpse at the core

ultrastructure of this cell envelope spanning nanomachine.

Results

Purification, EM and stoichiometry of Pul$_{CDELMNS}$

The T2SS from the human pathogen *K. pneumoniae* HS11286 strain comprises 13 genes

in a single unidirectional operon termed PulC through to PulO (Figure 1A). Note that Pul

and Gsp nomenclature relate to equivalent proteins in homologous T2SS systems. The

pilotin PulS is located in a separate position within the chromosome. These 14 genes were

cloned and over-expressed in *Escherichia coli*. Using affinity chromatography tags

positioned on the cytoplasmic ATPase PulE and the periplasmic pilotin PulS, a complex

containing 7 components was purified by two successive pulldowns. Glutaraldehyde

stabilization was included after the initial pulldown. The complex comprised PulC, PulD,

PulE, PulL, PulM, PulN and PulS, and is here termed Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ (Figure 1B). SDS-PAGE

band identification was confirmed by LC-MS/MS. It is unclear why PulF and pseudo-pilus

components were not co-purified with Pul$_{CDELMNS}$. Their inclusion may require intact

membrane for stabilization. Co-expression of PulA substrate or *pulG* knockout did not

promote their inclusion. Trace quantities of GspJ and GspK were identified on the gel by

LC-MS/MS suggesting the pseudo-pilins were at least partially expressed. Visualisation

of Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ by negative stain EM yielded particles ~40 nm long and 17-22 nm wide

(Figure 1C). The OMC PulD secretin was readily identifiable within 2D class averages.

Hanging beneath the OMC and separated by a 5-10 nm gap the inner membrane AP was

observed. Highly flexible linkers connect the OMC and AP so that these two assemblies

effectively constitute independent particles tethered together. The Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex

was vitrified on thin carbon film and imaged by cryo-EM (Figure 1C). 2D class averages

of Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ yielded well resolved side views of the OMC. All domains of PulD were

identifiable with additional densities observed at the base of the secretin where the PulC

HR domain was expected to bind to the N0 domain[22], and where PulS decorates the

exterior of the secretin core[7] (Figure 1C). The AP was not resolved here due to high

flexibility and averaging effects. In the absence of glutaraldehyde, the OMC sometimes

separated from the AP and yielded top views, which confirmed PulD and PulS in 1:1

stoichiometric ratio[7] with C15 symmetry (Figure 1C). The relative stoichiometry of the

inner membrane AP components were determined by SDS-PAGE densitometry and

quantification of fluorescent emission using both Coomassie R250 and Sypro Ruby

dyes[23,24] (Figure 1B). Pul$_{C:E:L:M:N}$ relative mean ratios standardized around PulE were

observed as 2.13:1.00:0.97:0.99:0.95, which is consistent with an overall relative ratio of

2:1:1:1:1 for these components. The relative ratios of PulE and PulL were tightly

correlated (sigma = 0.07), which is important as it reflects their known 1:1 interaction

[17,18] and acts as an internal control for the gel densitometry. PulC stoichiometry was at

least twice that of the other AP components with a relatively broad variance (sigma =

0.19). The 15-fold copy numbers of PulD or PulS were not used as a reference to

determine an overall copy number for the AP components within the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex.

PulD remained partially multimerized despite phenol treatment so that it failed to

consistently enter and migrate through the gel fully. PulS quantity was significantly

enriched as a consequence of the PulD secretin decoupling from the AP in the absence of

glutaraldehyde stabilization during purification.

PulD secretin structure determination

Focused refinement of the OMC yielded a reconstruction with an overall resolution of 4.3

Å (Figure 2 and Figure S1). All domains of PulD were resolved with sufficient map quality

(Figure S2A) to build a complete model of the monomer and the secretin, excluding the

amino acids (aa) in loops 288-303, 462-470 and 632-637 (Figure 3 and 4A, and Figure

S3). The PulD fold is similar to partial *Escherichia coli* K12 and H10407 GspD models

(RMSD Cα = 3.2 Å and 3.3 Å) where the secretin core and N3 domain have been described,

along with homology modelled N2 and N1 domains[7,10] (Figure 4B). The entire secretin is

20 nm long with an external diameter of 15 nm at the base (Figure 2B and Figure 3). It

includes an occluding central gate and N3 domain constriction sites within the secretin

channel. It lacks a *Vibrio cholerae* cap gate[10]. The N1, N2 and N3 domains pack tightly

(Figure 5) with a diagonal offset of 36˚. N0 is positioned almost directly below the N1

domain and does not maintain the diagonal offset. The N0 fold is similar to that described

in multiple crystal structures[22,25,26] with a core of two helices flanked on each side by β-

sheets. However, its position relative to the N1 domain within the secretin is significantly

different to these crystal structures where crystal contacts appear to have dominated

domain arrangement (Figure S2B). The N0 and N1 domains are connected by loop 7,

which constitutes a substantial 26 aa linker. The N-terminus of loop 7 forms a wedge that

packs between neighboring N1 domains. Its C-terminus partially envelops the proximal

N1 domain whilst making additional secondary contacts with N0 domain helix 2 (Figure

3A and Figure 5D). The N0 domains form a tightly packed ring with alternating stacked

β-sheets sandwiched between helices 2 and 4 (Figure 5D). Failure to stabilize the N0

domain and to promote formation of the loop 7 wedge likely accounts for the previously

reported N0, N1 and N2 domain flexibility in other systems[7-10,27]. Overall, a single PulD

monomer has a radial twist around the secretin long axis of 130˚ (Figure 3C).

PulC HR domain binds at the secretin base

Hanging beneath the N0 domains in the OMC map, additional globular densities at 7-8 Å

resolution protrude from the secretin base (Figure 2 and Figure S1B). Focused

refinements[28] failed to markedly improve resolution. These densities were predicted to

be the PulC HR domain given its known interaction with the PulD N0 domain in

homologous systems[22,29]. Using the GspC HR domain and GspD N0 domain crystal

structure[22] as a reference, a homology model of PulC HR domain was fitted as a rigid body

(Figure S2C). The model closely follows the surface envelope of the map in this region,

with a pair of triple β-sheets opposed around a central cavity (Figure 2C). Based on the

quality of this fit, the PulC HR domain was assigned to each globular protrusion.

Importantly, each PulC HR domain binds to only a single PulD N0 domain and has no

contact with neighbouring PulC HR domains. Overall, the binding of the PulC HR domain

to the PulD N0 domain appears to be important for the correct positioning of the N0

domain within the secretin and the subsequent stabilization of the N1 and N2 domains.

Additional stabilization is derived from a plug that occludes the lumen of the secretin at

the level of the N0-N1 domains (Figure 2B). The plug was observed in both the 2D class

averages (Figure 1B) and the 3D reconstruction. The high-resolution plug ultrastructure

was not resolved due to a likely symmetry mismatch with the C15 averaged OMC.

Attempts to resolve the plug structure through refinement using lower symmetries

yielded reconstructions of low quality and resolution. Further studies will be required to

resolve the source of the plug although the PulC PDZ domain is a speculative candidate

given the position of the PulC HR domain at the base of the secretin.

The PulS pilotin decorates the secretin core

Decorating the outside of the secretin core proximal to the PulD S-domain in the map,

globular densities were observed in a position consistent with the pilotin AspS relative

to GspD in ETEC[7] (Figure 2). For these densities, map resolution was limited to ~7 Å

(Figure S1) and focused refinements[28] did not markedly improve resolution. A homology

model of the PulS pilotin in complex with the PulD S-domain helix 15 based on the

homologous structure in *Dickeya dadantii* (PDB 4K0U[30]) was fitted as a rigid body (Figure

4C). Compared to AspS[7,31], the position of PulS differs by a 12° radial rotation around the

secretin long axis (Figure 4B). Loop 38 between S-domain helix 14 and helix 15 bound

to PulS constitutes the lone contact point between the secretin core and PulS (Figure 4C).

No additional contacts were observed in contrast to AspS-GspD where the secretin core

helix α11 forms extensive secondary contact with the pilotin[7]. The lack of equivalent

secondary contacts between PulS and PulD likely accounts for the apparent flexibility

between these proteins and may be a distinguishing feature between the structurally

discrete *Klebsiella*-type and *Vibrio*-type pilotins.

PulC links the OMC to the inner membrane AP

Whilst the PulC HR domain binds to the base of the secretin, its N-terminus is located

within the inner membrane AP[11] so that PulC is predicted to span the periplasm and link

the AP and OMC. To verify the presence and positioning of the PulC N-terminus within

the AP, a hexahistidine tag was inserted after aa 61 where PulC was predicted to exit the

inner membrane and enter the periplasm. Ni-NTA gold labelling showed beads localize

exclusively to the AP and not the OMC (Figure 6A and Figure S4). Given the PulC HR

domains bind to the base of the secretin, PulC therefore spans the periplasmic gap

between the OMC and AP (Figure 1C).

PulE, PulL and PulM form a flexible hexameric hub

Cryo-EM 2D class averages of the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex revealed the ultrastructure of the

AP positioned beneath the OMC. A 20-22 nm outer ring is coupled to a 10-12 nm inner

ring by six radial linkers (Figure 6B). Focused alignments of the AP where the OMC was

masked out show the outer ring to be comprised of weakly associating non-contiguous

globular densities (Figure 6C and Figure S5A). This concentric ring structure is highly

flexible and represents the preferred single orientation of the AP so that 3D structure

determination was impeded. The addition of non-hydrolysable ATP analogues made no

obvious change to the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex under conditions tested. In order to dissect the

observed AP ultrastructure, a sub-assembly constituting PulE, PulL, PulM, and PulN

(Pul$_{ELMN}$) was purified by 2-step affinity chromatography. GraFix[32] stabilized the complex

and reduced particle heterogeneity. PulN bound weakly to the complex and only trace

quantities were observed by SDS-PAGE within the Pul$_{ELMN}$ complex after GraFix (Figure

S5B). The resultant Pul$_{ELM}$ complex was analyzed by cryo and negative stain EM on

continuous carbon film (Figure 6D-E and Figure S5B-C). Under vitreous conditions,

Pul$_{ELM}$ yielded a preferred orientation concentric ring structure that was similar to the

AP within the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex, with equivalent dimensions and overall C6 symmetry.

By negative stain, the same ultrastructure was observed although the sample was

compacted so that the outer and inner rings have dimensions of 16-18 nm and 8-9 nm,

respectively. Compaction was likely a consequence of sample flexibility and drying effects

during the negative stain procedure. PulE alone, and PulE in complex with the

cytoplasmic domain of PulL (aa 1-235) or full-length PulL were also purified from the

membrane fraction. However, EM analysis yielded heterogeneous and flexible particles

that did not further resolve AP ultrastructure under conditions tested.

Discussion

Model of the inner membrane AP

Negative stain and cryo-EM studies of the $Pul_{CDELMNS}$ complex revealed the ultrastructure of the AP positioned beneath the OMC to be a highly flexible hexameric hub comprising two concentric rings. In vitreous ice, the inner ring had a diameter of ~11 nm and overall C6 symmetry. The outer ring constituted non-contiguous globular densities with ~22 nm diameter. Similar ultrastructure was observed with purified Pul_{ELM} complex meaning just these components alone are sufficient to generate the bulk of the hexameric hub structure. In both systems, the single preferred orientation captured was considered to represent an end view projection of the AP. In the T2SS, the *V. cholerae* PulE homologue GspE forms a quasi C6 ring with diameter 11-13 nm when combined with an Hcp1 fusion[14]. The related T4P system is energized by the ATPases PilB and PilT, which are known to operate as highly dynamic hexameric rings. PilB has dimensions of 13.5 nm and 9 nm when in an elliptical C2 conformation[15] whilst the PilT ring has a diameter of 11.5 nm[33]. For PilB and GspE these dimensions are specific to the N2E and CTE ATPase domains and do not include their N-terminal N1E domains. In situ reconstruction of the T4P system in *Myxococcus xanthus* indicates the position of PilB and PilT to be located centrally at the base of the AP[34]. Collectively, this data is consistent with a model for the $Pul_{CDELMNS}$ AP and Pul_{ELM} complex in which the observed inner ring constitutes a PulE hexamer comprising the N2E and CTE domains similar to that observed in *V. cholerae* GspE[14] (Figure 6F).

The *V. cholerae* GspE N1E domain forms a direct 1:1 stoichiometric complex with the cytoplasmic domain of GspL[17,18]. The equivalent domains in *K. pneumoniae* also form a 1:1 stoichiometric complex termed here $Pul_{E-N1E/Lcyto}$ (Figure S5D). In the T4P system, the $PulL_{cyto}$ homologue PilM forms a ring around the central PilB/PilT hexamer. This architecture supports a model for the $Pul_{CDELMNS}$ AP and Pul_{ELM} complex where the bulk

of the hexameric hub outer ring constitutes Pul$_{E-N1E/Lcyto}$ complexes (Figure 6F). PulM, the

periplasmic domain of PulL (termed PulL$_{peri}$), and PulN and PulC when present, may also

contribute to the observed outer ring densities if ordered. Certainly PulL$_{peri}$, PulM and

PulN do not form a periplasmic ring here as might be expected based on the T4P system[34].

The retention of membrane or inclusion of other T2SS components such as the pseudo-

pilus may be required for the stabilization and visualization of any such ring.

Within the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex, the mean relative ratio of PulC:PulE:

PulL:PulM:PulN was measured to be 2.13:1.00:0.97:0.99:0.95 indicating two copies of

PulC for each of the AP components. The globular densities that comprise the outer ring

of the hexameric hub do not form a contiguous well-ordered structure. This observation

combined with the stoichiometry supports a modular architecture where independent or

weakly associated sub-complexes containing two copies of PulC and a single copy of

PulM, PulN, and Pul$_{E-N1E}$ in complex with PulL decorate each subunit of the central PulE

hexamer (Figure 6G). This model does not preclude the possible formation of a Pul$_{E-}$

$_{N1E/Lcyto}$ ring positioned more centrally directly above the PulE N2E/CTE hexamer *in vivo*.

Such an arrangement would be similar to that observed for the N1 domains of *Thermus*

thermophilus PilF[35] and may promote the close contact and encircling of the putative pilin

spooling protein PulF[13,19].

PulC mechanism for overcoming AP-OMC symmetry mismatch

PulC spans the periplasm to bind the OMC with the PulC N-terminus located within the

inner membrane and the HR domain bound to the PulD N0 domain at the secretin base.

Based on six PulE subunits within the AP, stoichiometry measurements support a model

where the PulC copy number within the AP is 12. PulC therefore constitutes a cage that

spans the periplasm (Figure 6G) and is reminiscent of the virB10 N-terminus which spans

the periplasm in the type IV secretion system[36]. Substrate may have the potential to gain

access to the secretin lumen via PulL and PulM[37] in positions where PulC is absent. The

OMC structure shows that each PulC HR domain binds a single PulD N0 domain

independently with no lateral contacts between neighbouring HR domains. This is

important as it provides a natural mechanism for overcoming symmetry mismatch

between the 12 PulC subunits located within the hexameric AP and the 15-fold symmetric

OMC. In this model on average three secretin N0 domains remain unoccupied without

bound PulC HR domain. Whether full secretin N0 domain binding occupancy occurs with

up to 15 bound PulC HR domains cannot be entirely excluded as each of the N0 domains

has at least the potential to bind a PulC HR domain. T2SS assembly and secretion may

ultimately occur in a dynamic equilibrium with a range of N0 domain binding

occupancies.

The secretin N0-N1 domains form a compact C15 ring

Multiple T2SS secretin structures have been solved including *Klebsiella*-types from *E. coli*

K12[10] and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[9], and *Vibrio*-types from enterotoxigenic *E. coli*

(ETEC)[7], enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC)[8] and *V. cholerae*[10]. Despite high quality 3-3.5 Å

resolutions achieved for the secretin core and N3 domains, all the structures have poorly

resolved densities towards the PulD/GspD N-termini with homology modelling required

for the N1 domains and entirely absent N0 domains. Docking of N0 and N1 domain co-

crystal structures[22,25-27] into the secretin maps failed to resolve the possible position and

orientation of the N0 domain due to significant steric clashes. Consequently, the PulC HR

domain orientation relative to the N0 domain remained unclear and it was modelled

decorating the inside of the secretin base[22]. To account for the high flexibility of the

secretin N0 and N1 domains and the symmetry mismatch between the 6-fold symmetric

AP and 15-fold OMC, a pseudo-6-fold arrangement comprising a hexamer of dimers had

been proposed for the N0-N2 domains[9]. In such a model the N0-N2 domains of three

secretin subunits would be displaced in a metastable arrangement. The OMC structure

derived from the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex resolves now how the PulD N0 domains are

organized within the secretin relative to the N1 domain ring. The N-terminus of loop 7

wedges and stabilizes neighbouring N1 domains whilst the N0 domains form an intimate

and stable C15 ring. At least when PulC HR domain is bound, the N0 ring does not

immediately support a model where the secretin incorporates multiple symmetries along

its length. Instead the secretin forms a well-ordered barrel, which combined with the

PulC HR domains bound at the base protrudes from the outer membrane ~20 nm into the

periplasm.

Concluding remarks

In this study, the T2SS outer membrane components PulD and PulS are co-purified with

the core components of the inner membrane AP including PulC, PulE, PulL, PulM and

PulN. Overall, our results reveal a glimpse at the core architecture of an assembled T2SS

(Figure 6G) and show it to be different to other known secretion systems (Figure S6). The

T2SS AP does not constitute a stack of conjoined rings that seal directly to the secretin

base forming an enclosed central channel as observed in the T3SS[38]. Instead, the T2SS

has a highly dynamic and modular architecture where the OMC and inner membrane AP

have weakly associating and limited inter-connection via a PulC cage. This observation is

consistent with the requirement for substrate to gain access to the secretin lumen via the

periplasm. Whilst the resolution of the hexameric hub observed in the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ and

Pul$_{ELM}$ complexes is limited it shows the T2SS to have a six-fold arrangement for

assembled AP components. The observed AP architecture is consistent with that

described in the T4P system[34] providing evidence that the inner membrane

ultrastructure is broadly conserved between these different but related secretion

systems. Although the connection between inner and outer membrane complexes was

expected to be transient and dynamic[3], we show that it is possible to isolate an almost

fully assembled T2SS *in vitro*. Our work suggests that the purification of an entire T2SS

with the pseudo-pilus, PulF, and ultimately substrate incorporated is in reach. These

additional components may be necessary to help stabilize the inner membrane AP so that

ultimately full structural dissection and mechanistic understanding for the T2SS may be

achieved.

Acknowledgements

We thank eBIC for cryo-EM data collection support particularly Kyle Dent and Yuriy

Chaban. Tillmann Pape and Paul Simpson for in-house EM support. Francesca Gubellini

for gold labelling advice. Arjen Jakobi and Carsten Sachse for LocScale support. This work

was funded by a Wellcome Trust Career Development Fellowship Enhancement Award

(200074/Z/15/Z) to H.L.

Author Contributions

A.C and H.L designed experiments. H.L initially cloned and purified the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$

complex. A.C and H.L cloned and purified proteins, collected and processed data, and

solved structure. A.C built the OMC structure. A.C and H.L. determined stoichiometry. A.C.

undertook gold labelling. H.L wrote the paper with contributions from A.C.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

3D cryo-EM density maps produced in this study have been deposited in the Electron

Microscopy Data Bank with accession code EMD-0193. Atomic coordinates have been

deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under accession code 6HCG.

References

1.   Cianciotto, N. P. & White, R. C. Expanding role of type II secretion in bacterial
pathogenesis and beyond. *Infect Immun* **85**, e00014-17 (2017).
2   Nivaskumar, M. & Francetic, O. Type II secretion system: a magic beanstalk or a
protein escalator. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1843**, 1568-1577 (2014).
3   Korotkov, K. V., Sandkvist, M. & Hol, W. G. The type II secretion system: biogenesis,
molecular architecture and mechanism. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**, 336-351 (2012).
4   McLaughlin, L. S., Haft, R. J. F. & Forest, K. T. Structural insights into the Type II
secretion nanomachine. *Curr Opin Struc Biol* **22**, 208-216 (2012).
5   Guilvout, I., Chami, M., Engel, A., Pugsley, A. P. & Bayan, N. Bacterial outer
membrane secretin PulD assembles and inserts into the inner membrane in the
absence of its pilotin. *Embo J* **25**, 5241-5249 (2006).
6   Daefler, S., Guilvout, I., Hardie, K. R., Pugsley, A. P. & Russel, M. The C-terminal
domain of the secretin PulD contains the binding site for its cognate chaperone,
PulS, and confers PulS dependence on pIVf1 function. *Mol Microbiol* **24**, 465-475
(1997).
7   Yin, M., Yan, Z. F. & Li, X. M. Structural insight into the assembly of the type II
secretion system pilotin-secretin complex from enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*.
Nat Microbiol **3**, 581-587 (2018).
8   Hay, I. D., Belousoff, M. J., Dunstan, R. A., Bamert, R. S. & Lithgow, T. Structure and
membrane topography of the *Vibrio*-type secretin complex from the type 2
secretion system of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **200**,
00521-17 (2018).
9   Hay, I. D., Belousoff, M. J. & Lithgow, T. Structural basis of type 2 secretion system
engagement between the inner and outer bacterial membranes. *Mbio* **8**, 01344-17
(2017).
10   Yan, Z. F., Yin, M., Xu, D. D., Zhu, Y. Q. & Li, X. M. Structural insights into the secretin
translocation channel in the type II secretion system. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 177-
183 (2017).
11   Lallemand, M. *et al.* Dynamic interplay between the periplasmic and
transmembrane domains of GspL and GspM in the type II secretion system. *Plos*
One **8**, e79562 (2013).
12   Abendroth, J. *et al.* The three-dimensional structure of the cytoplasmic domains of
EpsF from the type 2 secretion system of *Vibrio cholerae*. *J Struct Biol* **166**, 303-
315 (2009).

13   Py, B., Loiseau, L. & Barras, F. An inner membrane platform in the type II secretion
machinery of Gram-negative bacteria. *Embo Rep* **2**, 244-248 (2001).
14   Lu, C. *et al.* Hexamers of the type II secretion atpase gspe from *Vibrio cholerae* with
increased atpase activity. *Structure* **21**, 1707-1717 (2013).
15   Mancl, J. M., Black, W. P., Robinson, H., Yang, Z. M. & Schubot, F. D. Crystal Structure
of a Type IV Pilus Assembly ATPase: Insights into the Molecular Mechanism of PilB
from   Thermus   thermophilus.   *Structure*   **24**,   1886-1897,
doi:10.1016/j.str.2016.08.010 (2016).
16   Satyshur, K. A. *et al.* Crystal structures of the pilus retraction motor PilT suggest
large domain movements and subunit cooperation drive motility. *Structure* **15**,
363-376, doi:10.1016/j.str.2007.01.018 (2007).
17   Lu, C., Korotkov, K. V. & Hol, W. G. J. Crystal structure of the full-length ATPase
GspE from the *Vibrio vulnificus* type II secretion system in complex with the
cytoplasmic domain of GspL. *J Struct Biol* **187**, 223-235 (2014).
18   Abendroth, J., Murphy, P., Sandkvist, M., Bagdasarian, M. & Holl, W. G. J. The X-ray
structure of the type II secretion system complex formed by the N-terminal
domain of EpsE and the cytoplasmic domain of EpsL of *Vibrio cholerae. J Mol Biol*
348, 845-855 (2005).
19   Arts, J. *et al.* Interaction domains in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type II secretory
apparatus component XcpS (GspF). *Microbiology* **153**, 1582-1592 (2007).
20   Lopez-Castilla, A. *et al.* Structure of the calcium-dependent type 2 secretion
pseudopilus. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 1686-1695 (2017).
21   Sandkvist, M. Biology of type II secretion. *Mol Microbiol* **40**, 271-283 (2001).
22. Korotkov, K. V. *et al.* Structural and functional studies on the interaction of GspC
and GspD in the type II secretion system. *Plos Pathogens* **7**, e1002228 (2011).
23. Butt, R.H. & Coorssen, J.R. Coomassie Blue as a near-infrared fluorescent stain: A
systematic comparison with Sypro Ruby for in-gel protein detection. *Molecular &*
Cellular Proteomics **12**, 3834-3850 (2013).
24. Luo, S., Wehr, N.B. & Levine, R.L. Quantitation of protein on gels and blots by
infrared fluorescence of Coomassie blue and Fast Green. *Anal Biochem* **350**, 233-
8 (2006).
25. Korotkov, K.V., Pardon, E., Steyaert, J. & Hol, W.G.J. Crystal structure of the N-
terminal domain of the secretin GspD from ETEC determined with the assistance
of a nanobody. *Structure* **17**, 255-265 (2009).
26. Van der Meeren, R. *et al.* New insights into the assembly of bacterial secretins:
structural studies of the periplasmic domain of XcpQ from *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. J Biol Chem **288**, 1214-1225 (2013).
27. Douzi, B. *et al.* Unraveling the self-assembly of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* XcpQ
secretin periplasmic domain provides new molecular insights into type II
secretion system secreton architecture and dynamics. *Mbio* **8**, e01185-17 (2017).
28. Scheres, S. H. Processing of structurally heterogeneous cryo-EM data in RELION.
Methods Enzymol **579**, 125-157 (2016).
29. Wang, X. H. *et al.* Cysteine scanning mutagenesis and disulfide mapping analysis of
arrangement of GspC and GspD protomers within the type 2 secretion system. *J*
Biol Chem **287**, 19082-19093 (2012).
30. Rehman, S., Gu, S., Shevchik, V. E. & Pickersgill, R. W. Anatomy of secretin binding
to the *Dickeya dadantii* type II secretion system pilotin. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol*
Crystallogr **69**, 1381-1386 (2013).

31. Dunstan, R. A. *et al.* Assembly of the type II secretion system such as found in *Vibrio*
cholerae depends on the novel pilotin AspS. *Plos Pathog* **9**, e1003117 (2013).
32. Kastner, B. *et al.* GraFix: sample preparation for single-particle electron
cryomicroscopy. *Nat Methods* **5**, 53-55 (2008).
33. Misic, A. M., Satyshur, K. A. & Forest, K. T. *P. aeruginosa* PilT structures with and
without nucleotide reveal a dynamic type IV pilus retraction motor. *J Mol Biol* **400**,
1011-1021 (2010).
34. Chang, Y. W. *et al.* Architecture of the type IVa pilus machine. *Science* **351**, aad2001
(2016).
35. Collins, R. et al. Structural cycle of the *Thermus thermophilus* PilF ATPase: the
powering of type IVa pilus assembly. *Sci Rep* **8**, 14022 (2018).
36. Low, H.H. et al. Structure of a type IV secretion system. *Nature* **508**, 550-553
(2014).
37. Michel-Souzy, S. et al. Direct interactions between the secreted effector and the
T2SS components GspL and GspM reveal a new effector-sensing step during type
2 secretion. *J Biol Chem* **293**, 19441-19450 (2018).
38. Worrall, L.J. et al. Near-atomic-resolution cryo-EM analysis of the *Salmonella* T3S
injectisome basal body. *Nature* **540**, 597-601 (2016).
39. Jakobi, A.J., Wilmanns, M. & Sachse, C. Model-based local density sharpening of
cryo-EM maps. *Elife* **6**, e27131 (2017).
40. Kucukelbir, A., Sigworth, F.J. & Tagare, H.D. Quantifying the local resolution of
cryo-EM density maps. *Nat Methods* **11**, 63-5 (2014).
41. Wang, Z. et al. An allosteric transport mechanism for the AcrAB-TolC multidrug
efflux pump. *Elife* **6**, e24905 (2017).
487
488
489
490

Figures

Figure 1. Purification, stoichiometry and EM analysis of the Pul_{CDELMNS} complex. (A) Schematic showing the chromosomal location and gene arrangement for the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* T2SS. OMC - outer membrane complex, AP - assembly platform. **(B)** Typical SDS-PAGE analysis of the Pul_{CDELMNS} complex. (Left) Coomassie R250 stained gel without phenol treatment. (Middle) Fluorescent emission Coomassie R250 stained gel imaged at 680 nm with phenol extraction. Residual PulD remains in the gel well. (Right) Fluorescent emission Sypro Ruby stained gel imaged at 302 nm with phenol extraction. Relative mean stoichiometry and standard deviation for all assembly platform (AP) components are indicated. Stoichiometry measurements were determined from six independent purifications. * indicates PulD multimer. **(C)** Left panel shows typical negative stain EM micrograph of the Pul_{CDELMNS} complex with selected individual particles highlighted with a red box. Zoomed images of 2D class averages are also shown. Right panel shows typical cryo-EM micrograph of the Pul_{CDELMNS} complex with associated side view 2D class average. Top view 2D class average shows PulS and PulD with C15 symmetry based on the RACF. Red dotted line indicates radial ring for RACF calculation.

Figure 2. Cryo-EM density map of the outer membrane complex (OMC). (A) 4.3 Å

resolution C15 symmetrized cryo-EM map of the OMC locally sharpened with LocScale[39] and

contoured at 5.5σ. **(B)** Cross section view of the OMC revealing internal features of the PulD

secretin. A plug occludes the secretin lumen. The map was unsharpened and contoured at 3σ.

(C) Fit of molecular models within the OMC map. *De novo* model for the PulD secretin including

the N1 and N0 domains (top right). A PulC HR domain homology model was rigid body fitted.

The map was sharpened with LocScale and contoured at 4σ (bottom left). In this localized

region of the map at 7-8 Å resolution, the central cavity of the PulC HR domain was clearly

resolved (bottom right).

Figure 3. Structure of the outer membrane complex (OMC). (A) Cartoon representation of the OMC, which includes the C15 symmetrized secretin (light blue) with a single PulD monomer highlighted in red. PulS (cyan) and PulC HR domain (orange) homology models were fitted as rigid bodies. 15 PulC HR domains were modelled here although stoichiometry measurements indicate an occupancy of ~0.5 in the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex. Zoom panel shows how loop 7 (green coil) constitutes a stabilizing loop (SL) that packs as a wedge between neighboring N1 domains. **(B)** Cross section view of the OMC structure with dimensions. **(C)** Bottom view of the OMC structure. Each PulD monomer has an azimuthal span twisting around the secretin long axis of 130˚.

Figure 4. Structural comparison of PulD and PulS models. (A) A complex of PulD, PulS and PulC HR domain monomers extracted from the C15 OMC model (dotted region). For PulD, rainbow coloring highlights blue N-terminus through to red C-terminus. **(B)** Superposition of the PulD and PulS complex with the equivalent from enterotoxigenic *E. coli* PDB 5ZDH and *E. coli* K12 PDB 5WQ7. Compared to PulD, these PDBs differ by RMSD Cα = 3.3 Å and 3.2 Å, respectively. The relative position of AspS in PDB 5ZDH differs to PulS by a 12° azimuthal rotation around the secretin long axis. **(C)** (Top) Fit of PulS homology model with the OMC map. The map was low pass filtered to 8 Å and contoured at 5σ before rigid body fitting. (Bottom) Cartoon schematic showing the PulS model relative to the PulD S-domain (red helices). PulD helix 15 locates to a groove within PulS[30]. Flexible loop 38 represents the only attachment between the helix 15/PulS complex and the rest of the PulD monomer.

Figure 5. Structural analysis of the outer membrane complex (OMC) periplasmic domains. (A) Overview model of the OMC with PulS removed for clarity. Boxed regions are zoomed in **B-D**. **(B-D)** Cartoon representation of selected domain interfaces showing key structural details.

Figure 6. 2D EM of the assembly platform (AP) and a T2SS core model. (A) Ni-NTA gold

bead labelling of the PulC N-terminus. Beads locate exclusively to the AP. **(B)** Cryo-EM 2D class

average of Pul_CDELMNS. The AP showed end views as a preferred orientation with C6 symmetry.

(**C**) Cryo-EM 2D class average of Pul_CDELMNS with the alignment and classification focused on

the AP. **(D)** Cryo-EM 2D class average of Pul_ELM showing C6 symmetry. RACF = rotation auto-

correlation function calculated around dotted red radial ring. **(E)** Negative stain EM 2D class

average of Pul_ELM. **(F)** Schematic showing modelled arrangement of the Pul_EL cytoplasmic

domains within the Pul_CDELMNS and Pul_ELM hexameric hub. The central PulE hexamer (inner

ring, PDB 4KSS) is decorated by six copies of the Pul_E-N1E/Lcyto complex (outer ring, PDB 2BH1).

Connection between inner and outer ring is mediated by the 44 aa flexible N1E-N2E inter-

602　domain linker. **(G)** Stoichiometric model of an assembled T2SS core apparatus. AP

603　components comprise a hexameric hub. PulC connects the AP to the OMC by binding to the

604　secretin base. Substrate may be loaded through the PulC cage in positions where GspC is

605　absent.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Outer membrane complex (OMC) local resolution map and FSC curves. (A)
Unsharpened OMC map showing local resolution estimates calculated using ResMap[40] and
contoured at 4.5σ. **(B)** Equivalent to **A** but contoured at 2σ so as to view the PulC HR domain
densities at the secretin base. **(C)** Gold standard FSC curve of the OMC (black). FSC curves
between the PulD secretin model and the LocScale[39] sharpened map (orange). FSC curves
between the PulD secretin model with homology models of PulS and PulC HR domain fitted,
and the LocScale sharpened map (grey). **(D)** Cross-validation for the PulD secretin model.
PulD secretin model versus half map 1 (work- light blue), half map 2 (free- green), and
combined (black). See Methods for further detail.

Figure S2. Map quality and PulS fit. (A) Selected regions of the OMC EM density map

showing PulD secretin side chain detail and build. The map was sharpened with B factor = -

142 Å^2 and contoured between 5-8σ. **(B)** Superposition of PulD N0, N1 and N2 domains with

equivalent domains derived from various crystal structures including enterotoxigenic *E. coli* PDB

3EZJ and PDB 3OSS and *P. aeruginosa* PDB 4E9J and PDB 5NGI. **(C)** Superposition of PulD N0

domain in complex with PulC HR domain against the equivalent domains in the enterotoxigenic *E.*

coli crystal structure PDB 3OSS. The complexes differ by RMSD Cα = 1.4 Å.

N0 domain

S1　　H1　　S2　　S3　　H2

PA	TINLKDADIREFIDQISEITGETFVVDPRVKGQVSVVSKAQLSLSEVYQLFLSVMSTHGF	113
EC_K12	GANFNNADIRQFVEIVGQHLGKTILIDPSVQGTISVRSNDTFSQQEYYQFFLSILDLYGY	88
KP	SASFKGTDIQEFINTVSKNLNKTVIIDPSVRGTITVRSYDMLNEEQYYQFFLSVLDVYGF	87
K0	SASFKGTDIQEFINTVSKNLNKTVIIDPSVRGTITVRSYDMLNEEQYYQFFLSVLDVYGF	90
EC_H10407	TANFKDTDLKSFIETVGANLNKTIIMGPGVQGKVSIRTMTPLNERQYYQLFLNLLEAQGY	105
VC	SASFKGTDIQEFINIVGRNLEKTIIVDPSVRGKVDVRSFDTLNEEQYYSFFLSVLEVYGF	87

.::.:*::.*:: :.　　:*.::.* *:* : : :　　:. : *.:**.::. *:

N0 domain　　　　　　　　　N1 domain

S4　　S5　　　　　　S6　　H3

PA	TVVAQGDQ–ARIVPNAEAKTEA------GGGQSAPDRLETRVIQVQQSPVSELIPLIRPL	166
EC_K12	SVITLDNGFLKVVRSANVKTSPGMIAD–SSRPGVGDELVTRIVPLENVPARDLAPLLRQM	147
KP	AVINMNNGVLKVVRAKDAKTSAVPVAS–AAAPGEGDEVVTRVVPLTNVAARDLAPLLRQL	146
K0	AVINMNNGVLKVVRSKDAKTAAVPVAS–DAAPGIGDEVVTRVVPLTNVAARDLAPLLRQL	149
EC_H10407	AVVPMENDVLKVVKSSAAKVEPLPLVGEGSDNYAGDEMVTKVVPVRNVSVRELAPILRQM	165
VC	AVVEMDNGVLKVIKSKDAKTSAIPVLS–GEERANGDEVITQVVAVKNVSVRELSPLLRQL	146

:*: : ::: .*. *.: *::: : : . :* *::* :

N1 domain　　　　　　　　N2 domain

S7　　S8　　H4　　S9　　H5

PA	VPQYG––HLAAVPSANALIISDRSANIARIEDVIRQLDQKGSHDYSVINLRYGWVMDAAE	224
EC_K12	MDAGSVGNVVHYEPSNVLILTGRASTINKLIEVIKRVDVIGTEKQQIIHLEYASAEDLAE	207
KP	NDNAGAGSVVHYEPSNVLLMTGRAAVIKRLLTIVERVDNAGDRSVVTVPLSWASAAEVVK	206
K0	NDNAGAGSVVHYEPSNVLLMTGRAAVIKRLLTIVERVDNAGDRSVVTVPLAWASAADVVK	209
EC_H10407	IDSAGSGNVVNYDPSNVIMLTGRASVVERLTEVIQRVDHAGNRTEEVIPLDNASASEIAR	225
VC	IDNAGAGNVVHYDPANIILITGRAAVVNRLAEIIRRVDQAGDKEIEVVELNNASAAEMVR	206

. :. :* ::::.*:: : :: ::.::* * . : * . . : ..

N2 domain

S10　　S11　　H6

PA	VLNNAMS----RGQAKGAAGAQVIADARTNRLIILGPPQARAKLVQLAQSLDTPTARSAN	280
EC_K12	ILNQLISESHGKSQMPALLSAKIVADKRTNSLIISGPEKARQRITSLLKSLDVEESEEGN	267
KP	LVTELN–KDTSKSALPGSMVANVVADERTNAVLVSGEPNSRQRIIAMIKQLDRQQAVQGN	265
K0	LVTELN–KDTSKSALPGSMVANVVADERTNAVLVSGEPNSRQRIIAMIKQLDRQQATQGN	268
EC_H10407	VLESLT–KNS–GENQPATLKSQIVADERTNSVIVSGDPATRDKMRRLIRRLDSEMERSGN	283
VC	IVEALN–KTTDAQNTPEFLKPKFVADERTNSILISGDPKVRERLKRLIKQLDVEMAAKGN	265

:: :.:** *** ::: * * :: : : ** ..*

N3 domain

S12　　H7　　S13　　S14

PA	TRVIRLRHNDAKTLAETLGQISEGMKNNGGQGGEQTGGGRPSNILIRADESTNALVLLAD	340
EC_K12	TRVYYLKYAKATNLVEVLTGVSEKLKDEKGNARKPSSSGAMDNVAITADEQTNSLVITAD	327
KP	TKVIYLKYAKAADLVEVLTGISSSLQSDKQSARPVA––AIDKNIIIKAHGQTNALIVTAA	323
K0	TKVIYLKYAKASDLVEVLTGISSTMQSEKQAAKPVA––ALDKNIIIKAHGQTNALIVTAA	326
EC_H10407	SQVFYLKYSKAEDLVDVLKQVSGTLTAAKEEAEGTVGSG–REIVSIAASKHSNALIVTAP	342
VC	NRVVYLKYAKAEDLVEVLKGVSENLQAEKGTGQPTT–SK–RNEVMIAAHADTNSLVLTAP	323

.:* *:: .* *.:.* :* : . . : * * :*:*:: *

N3 domain　　　　Secretin core domain

H8　　S15　　S16

PA	PDTVNALEDIVRQLDVPRAQVLVEAAIVEISGDIQDAVGVQWAINKGGMGGTKTNFANTG	400
EC_K12	QSVQEKLATVIARLDIRRAQVLVEAIIVEVQDGNGLNLGVQWANKNVGAQ---QF–TNTG	383
KP	PDVMNDLERVIAQLDIRRPQVLVEAIIAEVQDADGLNLGIQWANKNAGMT---QF–TNSG	379
K0	PDVMNDLERVIAQLDIRRPQVLVEAIIAEVQDADGLNLGIQWANKNAGMT---QF–TNSG	382
EC_H10407	QDIMQSLQSVIEQLDIRRAQVHVEALIVEVAEGSNINFGVQWASKDAGLM---QFANGTQ	399
VC	QDIMNAMLEVIGQLDIRRAQVLIEALIVEMAEGDGINLGVQWGSLESGSV---IQYGNTG	380

. : : :: :**: * ** :** *.*: .*:**. . * .:

First block:

PA	–MSQPLLRALFAPSS------RSYVPAVLLSLALGIQAAHAENSGGNAFVPAGNQQEAHW	53
EC_K12	-------MKGLNKIT--------CCL--LA----------------ALLMPCAGHAENEQY	28
KP	-------MKSLRKML--------PALLILT---------------PLL---FSPAAAEEF	27
K0	----MIIANVIRPFS--------LMLLVFA--------------ALL---FKPAAAEEF	30
EC_H10407	MFWRDITLSVWRKKTTGLKTKKRLLPLVLA---------------AALCSSPVWAEEATF	45
VC	-------MKYWLKKSSW----------LLA-----------GSLLSTP-LAMANEF	27

: :

N0 domain header above first alignment block appears as "N0 domain" bar.

Figure S3. PulD secondary structure assignment and sequence alignment. Aligned PulD

sequences include *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA, Uniprot code P35818), *Escherichia coli* K12

658 (EC_K12, P45758), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KP, A0A0E1CJT4), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (KO,

659 A0A0H3H6N4), *Escherichia coli* H10407 (EC_H10407, E3PJ86), *Vibrio cholerae* (VC, P45779).

Figure S4. PulC N-terminus is located in the inner membrane assembly platform (AP).
An overview negative stain EM image with a gallery of selected particles underneath. The PulC
N-terminus was labelled with a hexahistidine tag at aa 61 within the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex. Ni-
NTA gold beads localize to the AP (blue arrow). Gold beads did not localize to the secretin
when attached to the AP (side view, yellow arrow) or when dissociated from the AP (top view,
green arrow).

Figure S5. 2D EM analysis of the Pul_{CDELMNS} assembly platform (AP) and the Pul_{ELM}

complex. (A) Gallery of cryo-EM 2D class averages of the Pul_{CDELMNS} complex where the

alignment and classification were focused on the AP only. A single preferred orientation was

observed consistent with a bottom or end view. The base of the masked-out secretin is visible

in the class averages. **(B)** GraFix purified Pul_{ELM} complex and EM analysis. (Left) Silver stain

SDS-PAGE gel showing purified Pul_{ELM} complex. PulN bound weakly to the complex and was

observed in only trace quantities after the GraFix ultracentrifugation step. (Right) Gallery of

negative stain EM 2D class averages of the Pul_{ELM} complex. The same single preferred

orientation was observed as in **A**. **(C)** Gallery of cryo-EM 2D class averages of the Pul_{ELM}

complex. Note how the concentric ring ultrastructure is equivalent in both negative stain (as

in **B**) and under cryo conditions. **(D)** SDS-PAGE of purified Pul_{E-N1E/Lcyto} complex. Fluorescent

emission Coomassie R250 stained gel imaged at 680 nm with associated stoichiometry and

standard deviation in parentheses. Stoichiometry measurements were determined from two

independent purifications.

Figure S6. Comparison of bacterial type I-IV secretion systems. Substrate passes in an

enclosed channel across the entire cell envelope in the type I (EMD 8636) and III (EMD 8400)

secretion systems[38,41]. In the T2SS, the substrate must enter the periplasm before outer

membrane translocation through the secretin channel. In the type IV secretion system[36], it is

still unclear whether substrate passes across the cell envelope directly from the cytoplasm or

is loaded first into the periplasm before being secreted.

Supplementary Table 1. 3D reconstruction and refinement statistics

Data collection

Electron microscope	Titan Krios	
Voltage (kV)	300	
Pixel size (Å)	1.78	
Electron exposure (e⁻/Å²)	50	
Defocus range (μm)	2-4.5	
Images	3427	

3D reconstruction

Final particles	7284	
Resolution (Å)	4.3	
FSC threshold	0.143	
B factor (Å²)	−142	

Refinement

Model	PulD*	PulD*-PulS-PulC
Homology model template		PulC HR- PDB 3OSS
		PulS- PDB 4K0U

Model composition

Total atoms	64920	85170
Total residues	8655	11295

R.m.s. deviations

Bond length (Å)	0.011	0.011
Angles (°)	1.4	1.3

Validation

MolProbity score	2.66	2.58
Clashscore	38.01	36.54
Poor rotamers (%)	0	0

Ramachandran plot

Favored (%)	88.07	90.01
Outliers (%)	0	0

B-factors/ADPs

Minimum	36.5	36.5
Maximum	294.9	425.3
Mean	103.7	161.5

Real-space correlation

Masked map	0.78	0.73
Unmasked map	0.76	0.71

*identical models

Supplementary Table 2. Cloning primers

782	**Supplementary Table 2. Cloning primers**	
783		
784	Primer pair 1	taacgagggcaaaaaatgccagtttctgtgatgaggttaacaaata
785		aggtcaagcttattactagaccatctgctgccagagaaaaata
786		
787	Primer pair 2	taataagcttgacctgtgaagtg
788	(pASK3c)	tttttgccctcgttatctagattttttg
789		
790	Primer pair 3	ccacccgcagttcgaaaaataagatggccctgtttcgctatcaggcg
791		tcgaactgcgggtggctccagacgctttccgtcgtttgctgttcg
792		
793	Primer pair 4	aggagatataccatgattcattattttgattactctatgatg
794		tgttcgacttaagcattagtcgctgcgcaagctggc
795		
796	Primer pair 5	tgcttaagtcgaacagaaagtaatc
797	(pCDF-duet)	catggtatatctccttattaaag
798		
799	Primer pair 6	actacaaagacgatgacgacaagtaatgcttaagtcgaacagaaagtaat
800		catcgtctttgtagtcggatccgtcgctgcgcaagctggcaata
801		
802	Primer pair 7	taacgagggcaaaaaatgacgccagccgccgaacgccgt
803		gtggctccaagcgctgacgctttccgtcgtttgctgttcggtg
804		
805	Primer pair 8	agcgcttggagccacccgcagttc
806	(pASK3c)	tttttgccctcgttatctagattttttg
807		
808	Primer pair 9	aggagatataccatgaacaagattaatgcttctccccaggcc
809		tttgtagtcggatcctttttgctcctgtggtaaccattttccctg
810		
811	Primer pair 10	ggatccgactacaaagacgat
812	(pCDF-duet/flag tag)	catggtatatctccttattaaag
813		
814	Primer pair 11	taacgagggcaaaaaatgacgccagccgccgaacgccgtcc
815		gtggctccaagcgctgctgtcgagcagatcgtcggtgtccg
816		
817	Primer pair 12	aggagatataccatgaacaagattaatgcttctccccaggcc
818		tttgtagtcggatccggcgaactcccctgcagcagactga
819		
820	Primer pair 13	catcaccatcatcaccactaattgccaaccatgaaaagcctgcgaaaat
821		gtgatgatggtgatggccttcatcccccagcgcgaaagcaatatcgtgtc
822		

Methods

Cloning, protein expression and purification. All clones were generated using a modified version of the Gibson isothermal DNA assembly protocol[42] where the *Taq* DNA ligase was omitted from the one-step isothermal DNA assembly. To obtain the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex, the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* T2SS operon encoding genes from *pulC* to *pulO* was cloned into pASK3c vector (IBA-GO) using primer pair 1 and 2 (for all primers used see Supplementary Table 2). A StrepII tag was then added at the C-terminus of PulE using primer pair 3. The *pulS* gene was cloned into pCDF-duet vector using primer pair 4 and 5. A C-terminal Flag tag was then inserted using primer pair 6. Uniprot codes for individual genes are as follows: *pulC* KPHS_08880, *pulD* KPHS_08870, *pulE* KPHS_08860, *pulF* KPHS_08850, *pulG* KPHS_08840, *pulH* KPHS_08830, *pulI* KPHS_08820, *pulJ* KPHS_08810, *pulK* KPHS_08800, *pulL* KPHS_08790, *pulM* KPHS_08780, *pulN* KPHS_08770, *pulO* KPHS_08760, *pulS* KPHS_08940. The clones were co-transformed into *Escherichia coli* C43 (DE3) electro-competent cells (Lucigen) modified here to incorporate a *pspA* gene knockout using a Lambda Red recombinase strategy[43] (PspA is a common contaminant induced by PulD over-expression). Cells were grown on selective LB-agarose plates with chloramphenicol (30 μg/ml) and spectinomycin (50 μg/ml). 2xYT media was inoculated and cells grown at 37°C until induction at OD_{600} = 0.5-0.6 with anhydrotetracycline (AHT, 0.2 mg/L) and isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 0.24 g/L). Cells were grown for ~15 hr at 19 °C and processed immediately. Pellets were re-suspended in ice-cold buffer 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, treated with DNase I, lysozyme and sonicated on ice. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 16,000g for 20 min. The membrane fraction was collected by centrifugation at 142,000g for 45 min. Membranes were mechanically homogenized and solubilized in 50 mM Hepes-NaOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 % w/v DDM (Anatrace) and 5 mM EDTA at room temperature for 30-

40 min. The suspension was clarified by centrifugation at 132,000g for 15 min. The

supernatant was loaded onto a StrepTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) and washed with

50 mM Hepes-NaOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.06 % w/v DDM and 5 mM EDTA (Buffer W)

at 4 °C. All prior buffers were supplemented with EDTA-free cOmplete protease inhibitor

tablets (Roche). The protein sample was eluted in Buffer W supplemented with 2.5 mM

desthiobiotin (IBA) but with protease inhibitors removed. Peak fractions were pooled,

0.05 % glutaraldehyde (Sigma- EM grade) added and incubated on ice for 10 min before

quenching with 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5. The sample was batch incubated with Flag resin

(Sigma) for 1 hr. Flag resin was washed with Buffer W and then eluted with the same

buffer supplemented with 3xFlag peptide. Peak fractions were collected and used

immediately. LC-MS/MS confirmed the identity of bands identified by SDS-PAGE.

To obtain purified Pul$_{ELMN}$ complex, the full-length *pulE* gene was cloned into

pASK3c vector to include a C-terminal StrepII tag (primer pair 7 and 8). The *pulL*, *pulM*

and *pulN* region of the T2SS operon was cloned into pCDF-duet vector with a PulN C-

terminal Flag tag (primer pair 9 and 10). These vectors were co-transformed and the

same initial purification strategy was then followed as for the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex with

the exception that no glutaraldehyde or Tris quenching buffer were added subsequent to

elution from the Strep column. Protease inhibitor tablets were included in all buffers.

After elution from the Flag column, due to sample heterogeneity as judged by negative

stain EM, GraFix[32] was undertaken. Using Beckman Ultra-Clear 4.2 ml 11x60 mm

ultracentrifugation tubes 2.1 ml of 50 mM Hepes-NaOH, 150 mM NaCl, 0.06 % w/v DDM,

30 % v/v glycerol, 5 mM EDTA and 0.1 % glutaraldehyde was loaded under 2.1 ml of the

equivalent but with 10 % v/v glycerol. A continuous gradient was made using a BioComp

Gradient Master cycle set for 66 seconds at 83° tilt and 22 rpm. An equivalent gradient

was also made but omitting glutaraldehyde so that the sample could be analysed by SDS-

PAGE after centrifugation. The Pul$_{ELMN}$ sample was split in half and loaded onto the

gradients ±glutaraldehyde. Samples were spun at 71,000g for 16 hr at 4°C using a

Beckman Ti 60.1 swing rotor. 150 µl fractions were collected manually and analysed.

Note that PulN was generally lost from the Pul$_{ELMN}$ complex during GraFix yielding just

Pul$_{ELM}$.

To obtain purified Pul$_{E-N1E/Lcyto}$ complex, the N1E domain comprising aa 1-108

from *pulE* were cloned into pASK3c vector to include a C-terminal StrepII tag (primer pair

8 and 11). For Pul$_{Lcyto}$, aa 1-235 relating to the cytoplasmic domain of PulL were cloned

into pCDF-duet vector with a C-terminal Flag tag (primer pair 10 and 12). The same 2-

step affinity chromatography purification strategy was then followed as for PulELMN but

excluding Grafix. Note that the Pul$_{E-N1E/Lcyto}$ complex readily purifies from the membrane

fraction despite the removal of the PulL trans-membrane domain.

Gold labelling. This was performed on the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex modified to include a

hexahistidine tag within PulC after aa 61 (primer pair 13). Purification was the same as

for the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex but without the addition of fixative. Protease inhibitor tablets

were included in all buffers. 5 nm Ni-NTA-Nanogold (Nanoprobes) pre-washed in Buffer

W was added to 10 µl of the protein sample and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C. A homemade

continuous carbon grid was deposited on the 10 µl sample for 3 min, blotted and washed

2 times in Buffer W supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, then 2 times in Buffer W before

being stained with 3 drops of 2 % uranyl acetate.

Stoichiometry determination. Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex was purified as above with the

exception that no glutaraldehyde or Tris quenching buffer were added subsequent to

elution from the Strep column. Protease inhibitor tablets were included in all buffers. Six

independent   purifications   were   extracted   using   phenol   to   disrupt   PulD

multimerization[44]. Before extraction samples were divided and phenol treated in

duplicate. The samples were precipitated with an equal volume of phenol and

immediately vortexed. Four volumes of ice cold acetone were then added and vortexed

again. The mixture was kept overnight at -20 °C. The precipitate was pelleted in a bench-

top centrifuge at 14,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C, washed once with ice cold acetone, dried

under vacuum and resuspended in loading buffer supplemented with 10 mM DTT. Both

duplicates for each independent purification were analyzed by SDS-PAGE densitometry

and quantification of fluorescent emission using both Coomassie Blue R250 and Sypro

Ruby dyes. The Coomassie R250 procedure was as described[24] but with extended stain

and destaining times. Briefly, gels were rinsed with water and then stained with 0.01%

Coomassie Blue R250 in 50% methanol and 10% acetic acid for 20 min. Gels were rinsed

with 40% methanol and 7% acetic acid and then destained twice with the same solution

for 20 min each time. Finally, gels were soaked in 2-3 times in water until fully destained,

usually 1-2 hours. Gels were imaged on a Licor Odyssey Fc at 680 nm. Sypro Ruby gel

staining was undertaken following the manufacturer's instructions (Biorad). Gels were

imaged on a Biorad Chemidoc XRS imaging system at 302 nm. Image Lab software

(BioRad) and ImageJ were used to calculate band intensities.

To determine the stoichiometry of the $Pul_{E-N1E/Lcyto}$ complex, two independent

purifications were undertaken. Samples were run in duplicate on gels and then stained

using the Coomassie Blue R250 procedure as outlined above. Gels were imaged on a Licor

Odyssey Fc at 680 nm.

Electron microscopy sample preparation and data collection. For outer membrane

complex (OMC) structure determination, 4 μl of purified $Pul_{CDELMNS}$ complex solution was

incubated for 30 seconds on glow discharged homemade continuous thin carbon grids

before vitrification in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI). Data was collected at

300 kV on a Titan Krios (M02 beamline at eBIC Diamond, UK) equipped with a Gatan

Quantum K2 Summit detector. Images were acquired at a magnification of 28,090

yielding 1.78 Å/pixel using EPU software. Images were dose-weighted over 40 frames

with 12 second exposures. Total dose was ~50 e/Å^2.

All other cryo and negative stain datasets were collected in-house at 200 kV on a

Tecnai F20 microscope equipped with Falcon II direct electron detector. For cryo data,

Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex (Figure 6B-C and Figure S5A) was vitrified as described above. The

Pul$_{ELM}$ GraFix treated sample required glycerol removal so that 4 μl of sample was loaded

onto the glow discharged continuous carbon EM grid and after 1 min incubation was

washed 4 times in Buffer W before plunge freezing. Images were acquired at a

magnification of 90,909 yielding 1.65 Å/pixel using EPU software. Images were collected

over 54 frames with 3 second exposures. Total dose was ~50 e/Å^2. For negative stain

data, 4 μl of Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex was loaded onto the glow discharged continuous carbon

EM grid, after 40 seconds the grid was washed with 3 drops of distilled water and stained

with 3 drops of 2 % uranyl acetate. Pul$_{ELM}$ GraFix treated sample was similarly incubated

on EM grids, washed iteratively with 4 drops of 15 μl Buffer W and then negatively

stained as above. Images were acquired at a magnification of 90,909 using EPU software.

Single frames were collected with 1 second exposure and total dose ~15 e/Å^2.

Image processing. For OMC structure determination, individual movie image frames

were aligned with MotionCor2 [45] and the contrast transfer function estimated using Gctf

1.06[46]. Low quality images were discarded and 3427 micrographs used for subsequent

reconstruction in Relion 2.1[47]. Initial manual particle picking was focused on the

OMC/secretin region of the Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex. For particle extraction a box and mask

diameter were chosen so that contributions from the inner membrane assembly platform

(AP) were excluded. In this way, low-resolution 2D class averages of just the OMC were

used as a template for auto-picking. OMC side views only were prevalent in this dataset,

which provided a sufficiently even equatorial band distribution for a reliable

reconstruction[48]. Low quality particles were removed by 4 rounds of 2D classification

resulting in a stack of 36,240 particles. A single round of 3D classification was undertaken

generating 10 classes. The *Vibrio cholerae* GspD reconstruction EMDB-1763 was used as

an initial model filtered to 40 Å[49]. C15 symmetry was applied based on top views of the

OMC (obtained in an alternative Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ complex purification) and an unambiguous 15

peaks observed from the rotation auto-correlation function calculation (Figure 1C). A

single class containing 7284 particles was used for the final refinement, which attained

4.4 Å resolution. Post-processing yielded 4.3 Å resolution with an auto-estimated B-factor

[50] of -142 Å^2 applied to sharpen the final 3D map for model building. A locally sharpened

map was also generated using LocScale[39] once initial models were built. Further particle

polishing and 3D refinement did not yield a marked increase in resolution. Resolutions

reported are based on gold standard Fourier shell correlations (FSC) = 0.143. Statistics

for data collection and 3D refinement are included in Supplementary Table 1. Local 3D

refinements with various particle subtraction strategies focusing on PulS or PulC HR

domain did not markedly improve resolution.

To generate all other 2D class averages both in cryo and negative stain conditions

as for Pul$_{CDELMNS}$ and Pul$_{ELM}$ complexes, the following protocol was followed. Working

initially within Relion 2.0 or 2.1, Gctf 1.06 was used for estimating the CTF. Negative stain

micrographs were phase flipped. Low quality micrographs were discarded. Initial 2D

class averages were generated from a manually picked stack to yield templates for

autopicking. 3-4 rounds of 2D classification were then undertaken to remove low quality

particles. Using the 'relion_stack_create' a cleaned image stack was generated for further

processing. For cryo-EM images the stack was created from phase flipped particles. In

Imagic[51], particles were normalised, band pass filtered, centred and subjected to

reference-free MSA and classified. The best classes, typically judged by lowest variance,

were used as references for multi-reference alignment (MRA) in Spider[52] followed by

MSA and classification in Imagic. This cycle of MRA and MSA was typically iterated a

further 2-3 times. For negative stain Pul_{ELM} data, 2746 micrographs yielded 81,727

extracted particles and a cleaned stack of 63,014 particles. For cryo Pul_{ELM} data, 467

micrographs yielded 4937 hand-picked particles, and all particles were used for

subsequent MSA and MRA. For $Pul_{CDELMNS}$, 3059 micrographs yielded 89,381 extracted

particles and a cleaned stack of 66,855 particles for subsequent MSA and MRA (Figure

6B). From this same dataset, 1148 micrographs were then used to hand-pick 4020 AP-

focused particles (Figure 6C and Figure S5A). Rotation auto-correlation functions were

calculated using Imagic.

Model building. A PulD homology model was generated with I-Tasser[53] using the *E. coli*

GspD PDB 5WQ7 as a template. This yielded a starting model for the secretin core, and

N3, N2 and N1 domains. *E. coli* GspD shares 57 % sequence identity with PulD. A

homology model for the N0 domain was generated using Swissmodel[54] with the relevant

part of 3OSS as a template (51 % sequence identity). Homology models were rigid body

fitted into the map using Chimera[55] Fit in Map function. Using these models as a starting

guide and the side chain detail from bulky residues to confirm sequence register, Coot[56]

was used to manually build a complete model for PulD aa 27-652 excluding aa 288-303,

462-470 and 632-637. The model was further refined using real-space refinement in

Phenix[57] with secondary structure, geometry and NCS restraints applied. For the low-

resolution regions specific to the PulC HR domain, a homology model based on ETEC GspC

HR domain PDB 3OSS (27 % sequence identity) was generated using Swissmodel. For

fitting this homology model, the PDB 3OSS which includes the ETEC GspD N0 domain was

first superimposed onto the PulD N0 domain. The PulC HR domain homology model was

then superimposed onto the ETEC GspC HR domain from PDB 3OSS resulting in a near

perfect fit within the map. The Chimera Fit in Map function was then applied to PulC HR

domain resulting in a minor shift so that the PulC HR-PulD N0 domain complex has a

RMSD Cα = 1.4 Å when aligned to PDB 3OSS (Figure S2C). For the low-resolution regions

specific to the PulD S-domain C-terminus (aa 638-652) in complex with the PulS pilotin,

a homology model was generated using Swissmodel based on the equivalent structure

from *Dickeya didantii* PDB 4K0U (>50 % sequence identity for both chains). The map was

low pass filtered to 8 Å and the homology model initially fitted manually so that the PulS

lipidated N-terminus orientated towards the membrane. The Chimera Fit in Map function

was then used for final positioning. Cross-validations were carried out as previously

described[10,58] using the auto-estimated B-factor sharpened map. Briefly, the PulD

secretin model was displaced randomly by 0.2 Å and then refined against a map

reconstructed from one of the independent data halves (Half map 1). FSC curves were

then calculated using the resulting model and Half map 1 (FSC$_{work}$). FSC curves were also

calculated between this same model and another reconstruction generated from the

other independent data half (Half Map 2 and FSC$_{free}$). The similarity between FSC$_{work}$ and

FSC$_{free}$ curves indicates an absence of overfitting within the PulD secretin model (Figure

S1D). The final models were assessed using Molprobity[59] and statistics outlined in

Supplementary Table 1.

42. Gibson, D.G. et al. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods* **6**, 343-345 (2009).

43. Datsenko, K.A. & Wanner, B.L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**, 6640-6645 (2000).

44. Nouwen, N. *et al.* Secretin PulD: association with pilotin PulS, structure, and ion-conducting channel formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**, 8173-8177 (1999).

45. Zheng, S.Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14**, 331-332 (2017).

46.   Zhang, K. Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. *J Struct Biol* **193**, 1-12
(2016).
47.   Scheres, S.H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM
structure determination. *J Struct Biol* **180**, 519-30 (2012).
48.   Orlova, S. S. Theory of three-dimensional reconstruction. 1. Conditions of a
complete set of projections. *Sov phys, cryst* **20**, 312-314 (1975).
49.   Reichow, S.L., Korotkov, K.V., Hol, W.G. & Gonen, T. Structure of the cholera toxin
secretion channel in its closed state. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**, 1226-32 (2010).
50.   Rosenthal, P.B. & Henderson, R. Optimal determination of particle orientation,
absolute hand, and contrast loss in single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *J Mol*
Biol **333**, 721-45 (2003).
51.   van Heel, M., Harauz, G., Orlova, E. V., Schmidt, R. & Schatz, M. A new generation of
the IMAGIC image processing system. *J Struct Biol* **116**, 17-24 (1996).
52.   Frank, J. *et al.* SPIDER and WEB: Processing and visualization of images in 3D
electron microscopy and related fields. *J Struct Biol* **116**, 190-199 (1996).
53.   Zhang, Y. I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics*
9, 40 (2008).
54.   Waterhouse, A. et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures
and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W296-W303 (2018).
55.   Pettersen, E.F. et al. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory
research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-12 (2004).
56.   Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of
Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 486-501 (2010).
57.   Adams, P.D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for
macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 213-21
(2010).
58.   Li, N.N. et al. Structure of the eukaryotic MCM complex at 3.8 angstrom. *Nature*
524, 186-191 (2015).
59.   Chen, V.B. et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular
crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 12-21 (2010).
1064