Immune genes are hotspots of shared positive selection across birds and mammals

Allison J. Shultz (1,2,3), Timothy B. Sackton (1)

Affiliations:

1. Informatics Group, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA

2. Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA

3. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA

Correspondance to AJS (allisonjshultz@gmail.com) or TBS (tsackton@g.harvard.edu)

Consistent patterns of positive selection in functionally similar genes can suggest a common selective pressure across a group of species. We use alignments of orthologous protein-coding genes from 39 species of birds to estimate parameters related to positive selection for 11,000 genes conserved across birds. We show that functional pathways related to the immune system, recombination, lipid metabolism, and phototransduction are enriched for positively selected genes. By comparing our results with mammalian data, we find a significant enrichment for positively selected genes shared between taxa, and that these shared selected genes are enriched for viral immune pathways. Using pathogen-challenge transcriptome data, we show that genes up-regulated in response to pathogens are also enriched for positively selected genes. Together, our results suggest that pathogens, particularly viruses, consistently target the same genes across divergent clades, and that these genes are hotspots of host-pathogen conflict over deep evolutionary time.

INTRODUCTION

Central to the study of evolutionary biology is the desire to understand how natural selection operates across a diverse set of populations and species. While many selective pressures vary across taxa, some common selective pressures may result in consistent patterns of natural selection across a set of species. By taking an unbiased approach and scanning all orthologous genes across a set of species for signatures of positive selection, it may be possible to identify functional patterns that indicate shared selective pressures.

Early comparative genomic studies on primates, mammals, bees, ants, *Drosophila* and other organisms (Schlenke and Begun 2003; Sackton et al. 2007; Kosiol et al. 2008; Barreiro and Quintana-Murci 2009; Roux et al. 2014) that included unbiased selection scans identified immune system pathways as common targets of natural selection. This implies that pathogens, which elicit the immune response, may be strong and consistent selective forces across species. Furthermore, in several clades of invertebrates, receptor genes, or the genes interacting directly with pathogens are most often the target of positive selection (Sackton et al. 2007; Waterhouse et al. 2007; Ellis et al. 2012). Finally, recent studies of mammals show that proteins that interact with viruses experience about twice as many amino acid changes compared to proteins that do not (Enard et al. 2016) and proteins that interact with *Plasmodium* experience elevated rates of adaptation (Ebel et al. 2017). While this evidence clearly implicates pathogens as a major selective force shaping the evolution of genomes, the availability of many new genomes now allows detailed

comparisons between clades to test the degree to which the specific genes

represent shared hotspots of positive selection. Furthermore, linking the results

of positive selection scans to comparative functional data would provide greater

insight into the role of pathogens in driving shared selection across clades.

The number of bird (class Aves) genomes has increased dramatically in

recent years (e.g. Zhang, B. Li, et al. 2014), and provides an opportunity to study

genome-wide signatures of positive selection in this ecologically important group.

Birds are a radiation of approximately 10,000 species (Clements et al. 2016) that

possess diverse morphologies and behaviors (Gill 2007). They have a global

distribution and diverse range of habitats (Jetz et al. 2012), and many species

migrate thousands of miles annually (Gill 2007), making them excellent models

for studies of disease ecology. From a genomic perspective, they have small

genomes, generally stable chromosomes, little repeat content, and low rates of

gene loss and gain (Organ et al. 2007; Organ and Edwards 2011; Zhang and

Edwards 2012; Ellegren 2013; Zhang, C. Li, et al. 2014). Birds have the same

general blueprint of immune pathways as mammals, but with a slimmed down

gene repertoire and some small differences in the functions of specific genes

(Kaiser 2010; Chen et al. 2013; Juul-Madsen et al. 2014). Studies of the

evolutionary dynamics of avian immune genes have almost exclusively focused

on the major histocompatibility complex genes (MHC) or TLRs, with evidence of

positive selection across species in MHC class I genes (Alcaide et al. 2013),

MHC class II genes (Edwards et al. 1995; Edwards et al. 2000; Hess and

Edwards 2002; Burri et al. 2008; Burri et al. 2010) and TLRs (Alcaide and

Edwards 2011; Grueber et al. 2014; Velová et al. 2018). From a broader

perspective, the conclusions drawn from more general studies of positive

selection across birds have been limited by including only a few species (e.g.

Nam et al. 2010), or using low-power analysis methods (e.g. comparing overall

dN/dS values across GO-terms (Zhang, C. Li, et al. 2014)).

We use comparative genomics in birds to study genome-wide signatures

of positive selection without any *a priori* assumptions of gene functions. We find

that the strongest signatures of selection are concentrated in four general

categories: immune system genes, genes involved in recombination and

replication, genes involved in lipid metabolism, and phototransduction genes. By

comparing avian and mammalian datasets, we show that genes under positive

selection in birds are likely to be under positive selection in mammals, and that

this signal is the strongest in viral defense immune pathways. Finally, we show

that genes up-regulated following a pathogen challenge are more likely to be

under positive selection in birds, that there is also an overlap in birds and

mammals in genes up-regulated in response to pathogens, particularly viruses,

and that some of the classic genes studied as targets of host-pathogen co-

evolution (PKR, MX1), are under selection and differentially expressed in both

clades. Together, all of our results support the hypothesis that pathogens

consistently target the same genes across deep evolutionary timescales.

RESULTS

Strong signatures of positive selection throughout the avian genome

We used PAML and HyPhy site models to test genes for evidence of positive

selection across birds. We ran all models for 11,231 genes using the gene tree

as the input tree and for 8,669 genes using the species tree as the input tree. To

test for positive selection for each gene, we conducted likelihood ratio tests

between models that include an extra ω parameter for some proportion of sites

and models that do not include the extra ω parameter. An FDR-corrected p-value

from that likelihood ratio test less than 0.05 is considered evidence of positive

selection for that gene. For all model comparisons (PAML models described in

Table 1), we found that between 17% and 73% of genes are under positive

Table 1: PAML Model descriptions.

model	model description	parameters
M0	one ratio	ω
M1a	neutral	p0 (p1 = 1 - p0) $\omega0 < 1, \omega1 = 1$
M2a_fixed	neutral	p0,p1 (p1 = 1 - p0 - p1) $\omega0 < 1, \omega1 = 1, \omega2 = 1$
M2a	selection	p0,p1 (p1 = 1 - p0 - p1) $\omega0 < 1, \omega1 = 1, \omega2 > 1$
M7	neutral (beta distribution)	p, q
M8a	neutral (beta distribution)	p0 (p1 = 1 - p0), p, q, ωs = 1
M8	selection (beta distribution	p0 (p1 = 1 - p0), p, q, ωs > 1

98 selection (Table 2). About 20% of genes are positively selected with the more

99 conservative M1a vs. M2a tests or M2a vs M2a_fixed tests, with large overlaps

100 among the genes identified. The less conservative M7 vs. M8 tests show much

101 greater proportions of positively selected genes (~70%), although this is reduced

Table 2. Counts (above) and proportions (*below*) for all tests of individual, and combined tests of selection for gene trees and species trees.

dataset	n genes	m1a vs m2a	m2a vs m2a_fixed	m7 vs m8	m8 vs m8a	all PAML	BUSTED	all PAML + BUSTED
gene trees	11231	1925 *0.17*	2197 *0.20*	7504 *0.67*	3679 *0.33*	1901 *0.17*	6244 *0.56*	1562 *0.14*
species trees	8669	1783 *0.21*	2026 *0.23*	6293 *0.73*	3395 *0.39*	1752 *0.20*	3870 *0.45*	1203 *0.14*

to about 35% with the M8 vs. M8a test, indicating that the M8 model may often

improve fit by adding a class of sites with ω very close to 1. HyPhy's BUSTED

identified ~50% of genes as positively selected (FDR-corrected p-value less than

0.05). Fewer than half of these genes are also identified as being positively

selected by all PAML tests - 1,562 genes with the genes tree as input and 1,203

genes with species tree as input. In total, 14% of analyzed genes are found to be

under positive selection in all tests (Table 2, Supplemental Table 1 (raw gene

tree results), Supplemental Table 2 (raw species tree results)). We consider

these 1,562 genes to be a high-confidence positive selection gene for

downstream functional analyses. Compared to all other genes, the high-

confidence positive selection gene set has overall higher distributions of M0

model ω values, which assumes a single ω for all sites in a gene (Supplemental

Figure 1; Mann-Whitney U-test: gene trees: not-significant median ω = 0.084,

significant median ω = 0.344 , p < 0.0001; species trees: not-significant ω =

0.084, significant ω = 0.332, p < 0.0001).

Gene trees and species trees also had similar distributions overall ω

values with the M0 model (K-S test: D=0.006, p=1, Supplemental Figure 2). The

mean ω value is 0.15, the median ω is 0.10 and standard deviation is 0.14 using

either the gene or species tree as the input tree. Because of the similarity

between gene tree and species tree results, and to minimize issues associated

with hemiplasy in species trees (Hahn and Nakhleh 2015; Mendes and Hahn

2016), for all bird-specific analyses below, we use gene tree results to maximize

the number of genes tested. However, all results are qualitatively similar with the

species tree results as input.

Immune, recombination, lipid metabolism, and phototransduction

pathways are enriched for positive selection in birds

For an unbiased perspective on whether or not positively selected genes are

concentrated in particular functional pathways, we performed a pathway

enrichment test of positively selected genes against a background of all genes

tested. With chicken as the reference organism, 351 genes of the high-

confidence positive selection gene set and 3,347 of all genes tested could be

mapped to KEGG pathways for use as the test set and gene universe

respectively. Out of the 166 KEGG pathways available for chicken, or any other

bird species, 119 had at least one gene with evidence of positive selection

(Supplemental Table 3). We found 18 KEGG pathways that were significantly

enriched with positively selected genes (q-value less than 0.1; Figure 1A;

Supplemental Table 3). These 18 pathways belong to seven KEGG functional

categories: infectious disease, immune system, signaling molecules and

interaction, replication and repair, lipid metabolism, and sensory system. Some

Figure 1. Pathway enrichment results to determine whether positively selected genes are functionally similar with chicken as the reference organism. A. The 18 pathways significant at q-value < 0.1 ordered by enrichment values, calculated as the proportion of genes under selection in the pathway over the proportion of genes significant in all KEGG pathways. Points are filled by q-value, and each pathway is colored by the broader KEGG functional category. B. Map depicting the relationships of all significant genes among pathways. Each gene (small, light circle) is connected by a line to each pathway it belongs to (large, dark circle). Each point is shaded according to the broader KEGG functional category.

immune or recombination-related functions. However, many genes are uniquely

enriched in a single pathway as well (Figure 1B), suggesting that these

enrichment results are not driven by a few core genes present in many pathways.

To test whether our pathway enrichment results were robust to reference

organism, we also conducted pathway enrichment tests using zebra finch and

human as the reference organism. Pathway enrichment results using zebra finch

showed similar results as those presented above using chicken, particularly for

immune-related pathways and recombination and repair pathways (Supplemental

Table 4). Pathway enrichment results using human, which has additional

annotated pathways, resulted 37 pathways significantly enriched with positively

selected genes (q-value less than 0.1; Supplementary Table 5) out of 269

pathways with at least one homologous gene in our dataset. Compared to the

enrichment results using chicken, the human pathways primarily added many

disease or immune pathways not available for birds, suggesting that the overall

functional results are robust to reference organism.

Lineages clustered by genes under selection in birds are most strongly

related to body size and lifespan

Codon-based site models typically can only detect positive selection when the

same sites in the protein are under selection in numerous lineages. In order to

detect selection limited to particular lineages, we relaxed this assumption, and

used aBS-REL to estimate of the probability of selection independently at each

branch of the phylogeny. To test consistency with our site-model results, we

calculated the number of lineages with evidence for positive selection from the

branch-site (aBS-REL) for each gene. We found that genes identified by

BUSTED as having sites with evidence of positive selection across avian

lineages also had significantly more lineages under selection using aBS-REL

(Mann-Whitney U-test: median proportion significant lineages under selection

given significant BUSTED result: 0.16, median proportion significant lineages

under selection given non-significant BUSTED result: 0.05, $p<10^{-16}$,

Supplemental Figure 3). While branch-site tests can be subject to false

inferences of positive selection due to multinucleotide mutations (Venkat et al.

2018), the similar patterns between our site-model results and the aBS-REL

results suggests that the overall patterns we observe hold true with this

alternative analysis.

To identify additional functional classes of genes that may be selected in

only a subset of lineages, we used a principle components analysis (PCA) to

summarize the variance of log-transformed p-values across genes for each

species, and then used phylogenetic comparative methods to identify species

traits associated with PC loadings for each gene. We find three PCs that together

explain 16.8% of the variance in aBS-REL p-values across species, while the

remaining PCs explain about 3% of the variance (Supplemental Figure 4). PC1

(Figure 2) identifies a diverse set of species from different sharing similar PC

scores, while PC2 and PC3 appear to identify clade-specific selection in

Figure 2. A visualization of PC1 scores on the phylogeny and the maximum likelihood reconstruction of the PC1 values for internal branches. The PC1 scores indicates species in different clades that have similar log-transformed p-values from aBS-REL tests for positive selection and explain 7.7% of the variance across all genes tested.

palaeognaths (Supplemental Figure 5) and passerines and allies (Supplemental

Figure 6) respectively. We focused on PC1, the only principle component to

cluster species in different lineages, in order to test whether it might be

associated with life history. We found a correlation between log-transformed

body mass, a proxy for many life history characteristics, and PC1 scores for each

species using phylogenetic generalized least squares (Figure 3, β = -24.97, SE =

6.46, t-value = -3.9, p-value = 0.0004).

To understand any functional signal in the genes most strongly correlated

with body size, we calculated a Spearman's rank correlation for each gene using

log-transformed aBS-REL p-values for each lineage compared to log-

transformed body mass. We performed KEGG pathway gene set enrichment

Figure 3. A phylomorphospace plot showing the association between log-transformed body mass on the x-axis and PC1 on the y-axis. Species values and reconstructed node values are connected by phylogeny. A PGLS analysis of these two traits showed a significant correlation (p = 0.0004).

using the ρ value for each gene. Only one pathway had a q-value less than one

(Supplemental Table 6). The cellular senescence pathway had a q-value of 0.26

and a normalized enrichment score of 1.62.

Convergent signatures of selection in birds and mammals are enriched for

viral-interacting pathways

We investigated whether we could detect signatures of pathogen-mediated

selection at deeper time scales by testing whether the same genes are

repeatedly under selection in both birds and mammals, and whether those genes

are clustered in functional pathways. We combined our results with those from

Enard et al. (2016), a study that used HyPhy's BUSTED program to test for

positive selection in 9,681 orthologous genes from 24 mammal genomes. To

best match the experimental procedures used by Enard et al. (2016), for bird-

mammal comparisons we only used our BUSTED results with the species tree as

the input phylogeny. The combined dataset consisted of 4,931 orthologous

genes with results in both clades.

We first tested for significant overlap in positively selected genes in both

clades with a Fisher's exact test. To understand whether these results were

driven by genes with different levels of evidence for positive selection, we used

four different FDR-corrected p-value cutoffs for significance, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, and

0.0001. We found evidence for a significant overall overlap in positively-selected

genes at all four different FDR-corrected p-value cutoffs, with stronger signal at

smaller FDR-corrected p-values (Figure 4A, Supplemental Table 7).

We tested for functional enrichment in shared selected genes compared to

all genes under selection in birds. KEGG enrichment with a test set of genes

under selection in both clades and gene universe of genes under selection in

birds, showed that pathways with immune function, particularly viral-interacting

pathways, are significantly enriched for convergent signatures of selection.

These results are particularly significant at the lowest FDR-corrected p-value

significance cutoffs (Figure 4B). As pathways enriched for positively selected

genes in birds have higher enrichment values than other pathways (Figure 4B),

we also conducted 1,000 randomized enrichment tests to make sure pathways

with more genes under selection in birds are not more likely to show more

positively selected genes in both lineages by chance. We calculated multiple test

corrected p-values for the empirical enrichment scores compared to the randomly

generated null distribution within each of the four FDR-corrected p-value cutoffs

for significance. These results corroborate those of KEGG enrichment tests, with

Influenza A and Herpes simplex infection pathways showing significantly higher

enrichment values, particularly at lower FDR-corrected p-value cutoffs for

significance (Figure 4C).

Figure 4. Signatures of convergent positive selection in birds and mammals. For all analyses, we considered four different FDR-corrected p-value cutoffs for significance (to identify genes under positive selection) A. Odds ratio of overlap in genes under selection in both bird and mammal datasets. B. Pathway enrichment scores from KEGG pathway enrichment tests with genes under selection in both birds and mammals as the test set, and genes under selection in birds as the background set. Ten pathways significantly enriched in birds with at least one gene under selection in both birds and mammals are color-coded. All other pathways are shown in grey. Significant enrichment values are outlined in black (q-value < 0.1) or grey (q-value < 0.2). C. Null distribution of enrichment scores generated from 1,000 randomization tests compared to empirical enrichment scores (vertical bars). Null distributions were generated by randomly selecting gene sets from the background set of genes (bird significant genes) for use as the test set. The randomized test set contained the same number of genes as empirical test set for each FDR-corrected p-value cutoff for significance. Empirical enrichment scores are depicted by a vertical bar, and with significant q-value scores outlined in black (q-value < 0.1) or grey (q-value < 0.2).

242 **Genes up regulated in response to pathogens are more likely to be under**

243 **positive selection in birds**

244 We used gene expression data to independently test whether pathogens are

245 likely driving immune-related patterns of positive selection. First, for birds, we

246 tested whether genes that were significantly differentially expressed following a

247 pathogen challenge are more likely to be under positive selection. We used avian

248 transcriptome data from 12 different studies representing seven different types of

249 pathogens, including four viruses, two bacteria, and one species of protist

250 (Supplemental Table 8). We compared both the proportions of positively-selected

251 genes (using the high-confidence positive selection gene set) that were up-

252 regulated following a pathogen challenge compared to those not differentially

253 expressed, and the proportions of positively selected genes that were down-

254 regulated following a pathogen challenge compared to those not differentially

255 expressed. We found that for all pathogens, up-regulated genes are significantly

256 more likely to be positively selected (Figure 5; Supplemental Table 9). The

257 pattern was less consistent for down-regulated genes, with overall smaller

258 numbers of down-regulated genes, weak evidence for a greater proportion of

259 positively selected genes for West Nile Virus and *Plasmodium*, and weak

260 evidence for a smaller proportion of positively selected genes for *E. coli* (Figure

261 5; Supplemental Table 9).

262 We tested for shared pathogen-mediated selection in birds and mammals

263 by comparing bird and mammal gene expression patterns when challenged with

264 the same, or a closely-related pathogen. There were five pathogens with publicly

Figure 5. A comparison of genes under positive selection in birds and genes differentially expressed following pathogen challenge to test for patterns of pathogen-mediate selection. For different pathogens, we show the proportion of genes under positive selection in birds (defined as significant with FDR corrected p-value < 0.05 for all PAML and BUSTED model comparisons) for genes down significantly down regulated, significantly up regulated, or not significantly differentially regulated. The number above each bar indicates the number of genes in a given transcriptional response class. The significance of enrichment for positively-selected genes in up- or down-regulated expression classes, as calculated by logistic regression, is indicated by asterisks above the "down" and "up" bars.

available data for at least one bird and mammal species – two viruses: Influenza

A and West Nile virus, two bacteria: *E. coli* and mycoplasma, and one protist:

Plasmodium (Supplemental Table 8). All comparisons between bird and mammal

datasets for viral and bacterial pathogens showed that there was significant

overlap in up-regulated genes, but no significant overlap in down-regulated

genes (Table 3). Genes differentially expressed in response to *Plasmodium*

showed the opposite pattern, with significant overlap in down-regulated genes,

but no significant overlap in up-regulated genes (Table 3).

Table 3. Fisher's exact test results from bird and mammal transcriptome studies

pathogen	transcriptional response	n genes diff. expressed in both lineages	n genes expected by chance	p-value	odds ratio (95% conf. intervals)
Influenza	up	30	14.8	< 0.0001	2.48 (1.56,3.84)
Influenza	down	7	7.2	1.000	0.96 (0.35,2.29)
West Nile Virus	up	6	0.9	< 0.0001	25.06 (4.46,253.47)
West Nile Virus	down	0	0	1.000	0 (0, 884.62)
E. coli	up	84	52.4	< 0.0001	1.9 (1.45, 2.47)
E. coli	down	24	20.7	0.397	1.2 (0.73, 1.90)
Mycoplasma	up	16	8.4	0.010	2.1 (1.14, 3.62)
Mycoplasma	down	0	0.1	1.000	0 (0, 47.56)
Plasmodium	up	14	9.8	0.166	1.53 (0.79, 2.77)
Plasmodium	down	9	3.2	0.004	3.44 (1.42, 7.57)

Logistic regressions with genes under selection in birds as the response

variable and genes under selection in mammals, genes up or down-regulated in

birds, and their interaction as predictor variables showed that for all categories,

the selection status in mammals is the strongest predictor, followed by the

transcriptional response in birds for some pathogens, but no significant

interaction between the two (Table 4). Very few genes were under selection in

birds and mammals and also differentially expressed in both clades at all FDR-

Table 4. Logistic regression results testing whether genes under selection in birds could be predicted by selection status in mammals (sig_mammals), transcriptional regulation in birds, or their interaction

pathogen	transcriptional response	n genes	predictor variable	estimate	standard error	z score	p-value
influenza	down	4488	sig_mammals	0.76	0.09	8.45	< 0.0001
influenza	down	4488	down_reg_birds	-0.12	0.42	-0.29	0.771
influenza	down	4488	sig_mammals: down_reg_birds	-0.76	0.85	-0.89	0.372
influenza	up	4488	sig_mammals	0.77	0.09	8.52	< 0.0001
influenza	up	4488	up_reg_birds	0.79	0.22	3.69	0.0002
influenza	up	4488	sig_mammals: up_reg_birds	-0.88	0.5	-1.77	0.077
west nile virus	down	3774	sig_mammals	0.77	0.1	7.76	< 0.0001
west nile virus	down	3774	down_reg_birds	11.98	196.97	0.06	0.952
west nile virus	down	3774	sig_mammals: down_reg_birds	-	-	-	-
west nile virus	up	3774	sig_mammals	0.77	0.1	7.76	< 0.0001
west nile virus	up	3774	up_reg_birds	1.1	0.87	1.27	0.203
west nile virus	up	3774	sig_mammals: up_reg_birds	-1.46	1.66	-0.88	0.378
E. coli	down	4225	sig_mammals	0.74	0.09	7.95	< 0.0001
E. coli	down	4225	down_reg_birds	-0.16	0.19	-0.83	0.409
E. coli	down	4225	sig_mammals: down_reg_birds	0.18	0.5	0.36	0.717
E. coli	up	4225	sig_mammals	0.72	0.1	7.2	< 0.0001
E. coli	up	4225	up_reg_birds	0.24	0.1	2.39	0.017
E. coli	up	4225	sig_mammals: up_reg_birds	-0.03	0.26	-0.13	0.895
mycoplasma	down	4059	sig_mammals	0.73	0.09	7.74	< 0.0001
mycoplasma	down	4059	down_reg_birds	-0.59	0.53	-1.11	0.266
mycoplasma	down	4059	sig_mammals: down_reg_birds	-0.06	0.93	-0.06	0.948
mycoplasma	up	4059	sig_mammals	0.75	0.1	7.77	< 0.0001
mycoplasma	up	4059	up_reg_birds	0.51	0.21	2.42	0.016
mycoplasma	up	4059	sig_mammals: up_reg_birds	-0.71	0.41	-1.73	0.084
plasmodium	down	3222	sig_mammals	0.74	0.11	6.86	< 0.0001
plasmodium	down	3222	down_reg_birds	-0.02	0.37	-0.05	0.961
plasmodium	down	3222	sig_mammals: down_reg_birds	0.01	0.85	0.01	0.992
plasmodium	up	3222	sig_mammals	0.73	0.11	6.71	< 0.0001
plasmodium	up	3222	up_reg_birds	0.19	0.23	0.85	0.396
plasmodium	up	3222	sig_mammals: up_reg_birds	0.44	0.87	0.5	0.614

enrichment. However, a few genes with low FDR-corrected p-values selection

cutoffs in both datasets (p < 0.0001) were also up-regulated in response to

influenza (PKR, PARP9, and MX1), up-regulated in response to West Nile virus

(PKR), up-regulated in response to *E. coli* (F5), or down-regulated in response to

E. coli (RAD9A).

Due to the small number of genes under selection and differentially

expressed in both lineages, we also sought to test whether there was any

difference in differential expression effect size (β values) between genes under

selection in both lineages, genes under selection in birds, and genes not under

positive selection. A difference in overall differential expression effect size might

suggest the existence of general differences in gene expression patterns that

might not be strong enough to produce significant signal at individual genes. For

each gene, we calculated the harmonic mean of bird and mammal absolute,

standardized β values in response to infection with each pathogen and compared

the mean of each β distribution in the three selection categories with pairwise

Mann-Whitney U-tests. We found that genes under selection in both lineages

have larger β values than both other classes, particularly in response to viruses

(Figure 6, Supplemental Table 10). Genes under selection in birds also have

larger β values compared to genes not under selection in response to viruses,

but not other pathogens.

Figure 6. Comparison of differential expression effect values for groups of genes, across pathogens with transcriptome data available for both birds and mammals. Groups of genes are defined as being under positive selection in both birds and mammals, in birds only, or neither birds nor mammals (not significant). Differential expression effect values for each gene are calculated as the harmonic mean of the absolute β values of birds and mammals. We compared the mean of each category to that of the other two categories within each pathogen with Mann-Whitney U-tests, and the significance-level for each test is indicated by asterisks. Comparisons with p > 0.10 are left blank. Note that boxplot outliers are not depicted.

DISCUSSION

Here, we show that shared signatures of positive selection are consistent with

pathogen-mediated selection. First, across birds, genes involved in immune

system function, DNA replication and repair, lipid metabolism, and

phototransduction are targets of positive selection. Most of these pathways can

be directly or indirectly linked to immune response. Functional transcriptomic

data independently validates these results, showing that gene up-regulated in

response to pathogens contain a higher proportion of genes under positive

selection than those not regulated by infection. These results hold true at a

broader taxonomic scale. We not only show that genes under selection in birds

more likely to be under selection in mammals, but that these shared selected

genes are enriched for immune system processes, and in particular those related

to viral response. We find few genes differentially regulated and under positive

selection in both birds and mammals, but those we found are known to interact

directly with pathogens. We also find that genes under positive selection in both

lineages have significantly larger overall differential expression effect values

compared to those only under positive selection in birds or those not under

positive selection. Our results point to pathogens, and in particular viruses, being

the most consistent selective pressure across tetrapods.

Pathogens drive convergent signatures of selection across birds and

mammals

The strong overlap in genes under positive selection in mammals and birds

(Figure 4A), together with the functional enrichment and expression results,

support the hypothesis that pathogens consistently target the same genes across

deeper evolutionary timescales. Although there are some differences in the fine

details between avian and mammalian immune systems (e.g. different TLRs are

functionally similar in the types of pathogens they recognize (Kaiser 2010; Chen

et al. 2013), the overall immune responses are conserved between the clades

(Kaiser 2010). Schrom et al. (2017) theoretically demonstrated that there are a

limited number of network architecture configurations that are both inducible and

robust, and our results here further suggest that pathogens are constrained in

how they can interact with these networks to suppress an immune response.

Further work on signatures of selection in other tetrapod clades would help to

distinguish whether the shared patterns of selection we observe are due to

convergence or ancient shared tetrapod selection.

We also show that the same genes are likely to be up-regulated in

response to pathogen infection (Table 3), despite significant differences in the

overall transcriptomic study designs (Supplemental Table 8). We found few

genes both differentially expressed and under positive selection in both lineages,

although we did find a significant, but small tendency for genes under selection in

both clades to be differentially expressed (Figure 6). Those genes we did find are

either classic examples of well-documented host-pathogen arms races (PKR

(Samuel et al. 2006; Rothenburg et al. 2008; Enard et al. 2016) and MX1 (Ferris

et al. 2013)), or genes known to interact directly with pathogens or the immune

response (PARP9 (Zhang et al. 2015), RAD9 (An et al. 2010), F5 (Brunder et al.

1997)), which are new candidates for genes that may be involved in host-

pathogen arms races across tetrapods.

Viruses produce the strongest signatures of pathogen-mediated selection

Our results highlight that shared signatures of selection are enriched for

pathways annotated to interact with viruses compared to those that interact with

other pathogens. We show similar findings with our differential expression results

- the differences in shared levels of differential expression in birds and mammals

are strongly significant for the viral infectious agents, and only marginally

significant for the other infectious agents (Figure 6). There was also a stronger

overlap in expressed genes for the viruses compared to the other two classes of

pathogens (Table 3). Finally, the Influenza A and Herpes simplex pathways were

significantly enriched for shared genes under selection (Figure 4B,C). There is a

near universal tendency to switch hosts in viruses (Geoghegan et al. 2017; Shi et

al. 2018) and retroviruses (Henzy et al. 2014), although there is some variation in

the prevalence of host switching in different viral families (Geoghegan et al.

2017). Examples of host-switching will only increase as more viruses are

sequenced. Across populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*, a recent study

observed higher rates of adaptation in viral genes only, not immune genes in

general, bacterial genes, or fungal genes (Early et al. 2017), suggesting that

these results may be more general across broader organisms as well.

Pathogens are a strong selective pressure in birds

Our pathway enrichment results and differential expression results imply that

pathogens are one of the strongest selective pressures on amino acid sequence

of protein coding genes in birds. Our site test results, which require the same

sites to be under selection across species, suggest that host-pathogen

interactions are constrained to target specific sites in the same genes in different

species. Without these site constraints, genes may be under selection in many

different lineages of birds, but there may also be much greater variation in

molecular pathways under selection at more recent evolutionary timescales. Our

PCA of the probability of positive selection for each gene for each species

supports this hypothesis. Following PC1, axes of variation either separate clades

of species (e.g. ratites, song birds), or specific lineages. Three previous studies

that performed genome-wide scans for positive selection in specific bird lineages

further support this hypothesis. First, Nam et al. (2010) compared positive

selection acting on three avian lineages, and while 1,751 genes were evolving

more rapidly than average in one of these three lineages, only 208 were common

to all. Backstrom et al. (2013) compared signatures of positive selection in two

species of galliformes and two species of passerines, and found that only the

passerine lineages showed GO enrichment with terms related to fat metabolism,

neurodevelopment and ion binding. Finally, Zhang, C. Li et al. (2014) found

evidence for positive selection in the three vocal-learning bird lineages enriched

for neural-related GO terms.

Previous studies of immune gene evolution in birds focus on receptor

genes known to be hotspots of host-pathogen co-evolution, the Toll-like

Receptors (TLRs) and Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC). Five of the 10

avian TLRs are present in our dataset, with TLRs 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2B likely

filtered out due to their recent duplication and TLR21 likely filtered out due to

missing data caused by sequencing difficulty (Alcaide and Edwards 2011;

Grueber et al. 2014). For the five TLRs in our dataset we observe that the M0 ω

values are similar to those observed by a previous study (Alcaide and Edwards

2001). In addition, our results confirm those of Alcaide and Edwards (2011)

Grueber et al. (2014), and Velová et al. (2018), which showed TLR5 as having

the highest proportion of selected sites, and the endosomal TLRs (TLR3, TLR7)

as having the smallest proportion of selected sites. These similarities from

independent studies focusing on just a few genes give us additional confidence

in the results of our larger dataset. Unfortunately, the complexity of the MHC

genes mean that they were not included in our dataset. However, a recent survey

of selection across birds found selection for both classes of MHC loci (Minias et

al. 2018), indicating that our overall patterns of selection likely hold true.

Receptor genes clearly have signatures of pathogen-mediated selection

but signaling pathways (e.g. ECM-receptor interaction and cytokine-cytokine

receptor interaction) and downstream genes in immune pathway are also under

selection in our dataset. Pathogens have evolved many ways to avoid the host

immune response, sometimes at receptors, but sometimes at signaling

molecules or genes involved in other cellular processes (Finlay and McFadden

2006; Randall and Goodbourn 2008; Pichlmair et al. 2012; Quintana-Murci and

Clark 2013; Sironi et al. 2015). The strong signatures of positive selection we

observe in these alternative pathways and locations suggest that pathogens not

only consistently target the same sites in receptor genes, but also the same sites

within genes with other functions. The gene with the highest proportion of

significant lineages in birds as estimated by aBS-REL in the Influenza A pathway

is not for a receptor gene, but a signaling gene – the gene TRIF, also known as

TICAM1. TRIF is recruited by TLR3, a viral sensing TLR in birds, and activates a

set of molecules that culminates in the activate of IRF7 or NF-κB (Santhakumar

et al. 2017). This gene is under selection in 61% of avian lineages, one of the

highest proportions in our dataset, and highlights that genes beyond the

classically studied MHC loci and TLRs may be interesting candidates for future

studies on the ecology and evolution of host-pathogen co-evolution.

Non-immune functional pathways under positive selection in birds

In addition to pathways related to immune function, pathways related to DNA

replication and repair were also significantly enriched for positively selected

genes (Figure 1). These pathways promote chromosomal stability and remove

damaged DNA bases. Birds are known for their compact genomes that show

greater than average chromosomal stability (Zhang, C. Li, et al. 2014), and a

surprising paucity of transposable elements (TEs) (Cui et al. 2014; Zhang, C. Li,

et al. 2014; Kapusta and Suh 2017). One effect on genome structure during the

insertion of transposable elements is genome rearrangement due to homologous

recombination (Kazazian 2004). Cui et al. (2014) hypothesized that homologous

recombination may be responsible for purging transposable elements from the

genome, and even observed a galliform hepadnavirus in the process of being

removed via homologous recombination. Current host-pathogen evolutionary

arms races between birds and TEs are also observed in woodpeckers and allies

(Piciformes). There is evidence of different CR1 families expanding at least three

different times within the order, and purifying selection for polymorphic TEs in

three closely related woodpecker species (Manthey et al. 2018). Finally, Kapusta

and Suh's (2016) observation that the non-recombining W chromosome and

regions near centromeres had the highest TE richness also suggest that

homologous recombination may prevent the insertion of TEs. Our pathway

enrichment results support this hypothesis, and the similar dynamics of positive

selection at specific sites as those observed with immune gene pathways

suggest that birds may experience a form of host-pathogen co-evolution with

TEs.

The process of double-strand break repair, potentially associated with the

excision of TEs, can lead to genome-size reductions if biased toward deletions

(Schubert and Vu 2016). This model, combined with the evidence for deletions in

the ancestral bird lineage (Zhang, C. Li, et al. 2014; Kapusta et al. 2017), the lack

of TEs throughout the bird genome (Cui et al. 2014; Kapusta and Suh 2017), and

our observation of strong positive selection for homologous recombination,

provide support that one of the drivers for the evolution of small genomes in birds

is host-pathogen co-evolution against TEs (Kapusta et al. 2017). Powered flight

and metabolic stress have also long been hypothesized as the selective pressure

driving this decrease in genome size (Zhang and Edwards 2012; Wright et al.

2014; Kapusta et al. 2017). The strong positive selection for base excision repair

suggests that there may be continued selection in functional pathways that may

help correct breakage or DNA damage that could be a result of metabolic

damage (Kapusta and Suh 2017).

Two other groups of pathways were enriched for positively selected

genes. The first category, lipid metabolism, includes the steroid hormone

biosynthesis pathway and linoleic acid metabolism pathway. Steroid hormone

biosynthesis is known to be related to diverse life history strategies in birds (Hau

et al. 2010), and linoleic acid, more common in seed-rich diets, is related to

thermoregulation and can vary across habitats (Ben-Hamo et al. 2013;

Andersson et al. 2015). These pathways could be under selection in the diverse

set of species included in our dataset. However, these are also known to be

factors modulating the immune system (Koutsos and Klasing 2014), and

pathogens are known to target cellular processes beyond the immune system

(Pichlmair et al. 2012). Further study including additional life history

characteristics may help distinguish between these two selective forces.

The second category, phototransduction, likely relates to different avian

life histories and the visual needs associated with those life histories. The

genetics of the avian visual system has traditionally focused on the evolution of

the cone receptor genes, and specifically variation in the short wave sensitivity

type 1 pigment, which has shifted multiple times between ultraviolet and short

wavelengths throughout birds with a single amino acid change (Ödeen and

Håstad 2003; Ödeen and Håstad 2013). However, a comparison of retinal

transcriptomes from owls, falcons, and hawks, groups that have visual systems

adapted to low-light environments (e.g. nocturnal or crepuscular species) or with

visual systems tuned for high visual acuity, found evidence for positive selection

on phototransduction genes (Wu et al. 2016). The strong signal of positive

selection across the broad array of species chosen for our dataset suggests that

these genes may be broadly important across many species, and an in-depth

analysis of species associated with specific visual needs may uncover additional

information on the evolution of this important avian sensory system.

Finally, our PCA to identify groups of species that have the same genes

under selection identified one principle component that separates species across

the avian phylogeny (Figure 2), which is significantly correlated with body mass

(Figure 3). By correlating the log-transformed p-values for each gene with body

mass to identify the genes driving this correlation, we find that the cellular

senescence pathway was the only associated pathway using gene set

enrichment (Supplemental Table 6). Lifespan is one trait that is highly correlated

with body mass (Furness and Speakman 2008), and cellular senescence may be

linked to lifespan through telomere dynamics (Monaghan and Haussmann 2006;

de Magalhães and Passos 2018). Within a species, telomeres typically degrade

as an organism ages, but few interspecific studies have found a correlation

between telomere length and lifespan (Monaghan and Haussmann 2006).

However, a recent comparative study in birds showed that telomeres shortened

more slowly in species with longer lifespans, and that these results are

conserved within families (Tricola et al. 2018). A study of genes associated with

telomeres in mammals did not find any correlation between the strength of

positive selection at these loci and body mass (Morgan et al. 2013). Our results,

and the unique pattern of telomere lengthening observed in birds may be an ideal

system to study the evolution of telomere dynamics, and the molecular

underpinnings of these processes. Finally, telomere length mediates lifespan and

lifetime fitness, both of which are reduced due to chronic malaria infection

(Asghar et al. 2015), and suggests that this lineage-specific signature of selection

may also be related to pathogen-mediated selection.

Conclusions and implications

Across birds, and more generally across tetrapods, there is a clear signal

of positive selection acting on immune genes, whether against pathogens or

transposable elements. Our results demonstrate that the same genes and

potentially even the same codons may be common targets of pathogens to

subvert the immune response. Genes with particularly strong evidence of

selection may be good candidates for further study from a functional and

ecological perspective, and could broaden perspectives on the ecology and

evolution of immunity beyond MHC loci and TLRs typically examined. From an

applied perspective, there is a great need to understand which proteins or genes

in immune gene networks are important in pathogen resistance to improve

breeding strategies in economically important species (e.g. poultry; (Kaiser

2010). Our work is a first step in this direction, and we provide a rich resource for

the examination of specific genes and pathways.

Here we have only considered positive selection at a broad scale.

Combining these results with those from populations or specific clades within

birds and mammals may provide new insights on similarities or differences in

long and short-term selection. Pathogen load is the strongest driver of local

adaptation in humans (Fumagalli et al. 2011) and viruses are important drivers of

population adaptation in flies (Early et al. 2017). From a network perspective,

functional gene pathways under strong selection in humans are directly or

indirectly involved in immunity (Daub et al. 2013). Given the signatures of host-

pathogen co-evolution we observe across birds, we expect that pathogens are an

important driver of recent adaptation in bird populations as well.

METHODS

Identification, alignment and filtering of avian orthologs

Avian orthologs were identified, aligned, and filtered by Sackton et al. (2018). We

provide a brief outline of the methodology here, but full details and computer

code can be found in Sackton et al. (2018). The program OMA v.1.0.0 (Roth et

al. 2008; Altenhoff et al. 2013) was used to infer patterns of homology among

protein-coding genes across 39 sequenced bird (Figure 2) and three non-avian

reptile (*Alligator mississippiensis, Anolis carolinensis,* and *Chrysemys picta*)

genomes. For each gene set, the longest transcript was selected to represent

that protein in the homology search.

Once OMA had completed, alignments were built for each OMA-defined

homologous group using MAFFT v.7.221 (Katoh and Standley 2013), and a

HMM was built each protein alignment using HMMER v. 3.1b hmmbuild (Johnson

et al. 2010). Each HMM was then used to search the full set of OMA input both to

verify that the same proteins are recovered as belonging to a homologous group,

and to assign unassigned proteins if possible. Finally, a graph-based algorithm

was used to add gene models not assigned to any OMA group to the best match

if possible. This produced a new set of homologous groups, which we use in the

following analyses.

These 45,367 hierarchical orthologous groups, or HOGs, were filtered to

retain 16,151 HOGs with sequences for at least four species. Protein sequences

were aligned with MAFFT v. 7.245 (Katoh and Standley 2013), and filtered in

three steps. First, entire columns were excluded if missing in more than 30% of

species, had sequence in fewer than 10 taxa, or was missing in two of the three

of the main taxonomic groups (paleognaths, neognaths, or non-avian outgroups).

Second, poorly aligned regions were masked according to Jarvis et al. (2014)

using a sliding-window similarity approach. Third, columns were removed using

the same criteria as the first round. Next, entire sequences were removed from

each alignment if they were over 50% shorter than their pre-filtered length or

contained excess gaps. Finally, entire HOGs were removed if they contained

more than three sequences for any species, did not have more than 1.5x

sequences for the given number of species present in the alignment, or were less

than 100 base pairs long. Nucleotide sequences for all remaining HOGs were

aligned with the codon model in Prank v. 150803 (Loytynoja and Goldman 2008).

In total, 11,248 HOGs remained after all alignment and filtering steps.

Guide trees for use in the tests of selection were constructed for each

alignment with RAxML v. 8.1.4 (Stamatakis 2014) under a GTR+GAMMA

substitution model, partitioned into codon positions 1+2 and 3, with 200 rapid

bootstrap replicates and a maximum likelihood tree search. In cases where

species had more than one sequence in the alignment, we included all copies to

produce a gene tree for that HOG.

Tests of selection

Once HOGs had been identified and filtered, we considered them as

representatives for genes, and so will refer to them as genes. To identify

positively selected genes, we compared models of nearly neutral evolution to

those that included signatures of positive selection at a proportion of sites across

lineages in the avian phylogeny. Sites under positive selection are defined as

those with elevated nonsynonymous/synonymous substitution ratios ($\omega = d_N/d_S$)

compared to the expectation under neutral evolution, $\omega = 1$. We used two

different programs to identify genes with evidence for elevated ω values at

specific sites across avian lineages. First, we used the site models (Nielsen and

Yang 1998; Yang et al. 2000) implemented in the program Phylogenetic Analysis

by Maximum Likelihood v4.8 (PAML; (Yang 2007) to calculate likelihood scores

and parameter estimates for seven models of evolution (Table 1). Because some

genes contained gene duplicates, we ran all analyses of selection on gene trees

from all 11,248 genes, and separately on the species tree for 8,699 genes with

no duplicate sequences. We used the species tree generated by OMA from

Sackton et al. (2018) as the phylogenetic hypothesis. First, we fit the M0 model,

which estimates a single ω for all sites in the alignment. We used the branch

lengths estimated with the M0 model as fixed branch lengths for subsequent

models to decrease computational time. To identify genes with evidence of

positive selection, we conducted likelihood ratio tests between neutral models

and selection models (models with ω > 1). We compared likelihood scores from

the M1a vs. M2a, M2a vs. M2a_fixed, M7 vs. M8, and M8 vs. M8a models

(Supplemental Table 8.1) (Nielsen and Yang 1998; Yang et al. 2000; W.S.W.

Wong et al. 2004). We computed p-values according to a χ^2 distribution with two,

one, two, and one degree of freedom respectively.

In addition to the site tests implemented in PAML, we used BUSTED

(Murrell et al. 2015), a modeling framework implemented in the program HyPhy

(Pond et al. 2005), to identify genes with evidence of positive selection at a

fraction of sites. BUSTED uses a model that allows branch-to-branch variation

across the entire tree (Murrell et al. 2015). Similar to the PAML models, BUSTED

uses a likelihood ratio test to compare a model including selection (ω > 1 at a

proportion of sites) with one that does not. We parsed all PAML and HyPhy

results with custom code (available https://github.com/ajshultz/avian-immunity/)

and ran all downstream analyses in R. For both sets of tests, we used the

Benjamini-Hochberg approach to correct for multiple testing (Benjamini and

Hochberg 1995) with the p.adjust function in the stats packages in R v.3.5 (R

Core Development Team 2008). We considered an FDR-corrected p-value less

than 0.05 as evidence for positive selection in that gene for a given model

comparison.

Finally, in addition to testing for selection at particular sites across bird

lineages, we used the aBS-REL method in HyPhy with default parameters

(Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2011) to detect which specific lineages showed

evidence of selection for each gene. For each lineage, including both tip species

and internal branches, aBS-REL estimates a p-value for the presence of positive

selection. We considered both the raw p-value as well as a p-value corrected for

multiple testing within each gene. Fewer lineages showed evidence of selection

with an FDR-corrected p-value, but all subsequent results were qualitatively

consistent with both sets of tests. For simplicity and because the stringent

correction may remove biologically-interesting lineages with weak to moderate

selection, we present the results using the number of lineages considered

nominally significant without multiple-test correction. We also used a custom

script to parse all aBS-REL results and run all downstream analyses in R

(https://github.com/ajshultz/avian-immunity/).

Previous work has found that alignment errors can result in substantial

false positives (Markova-Raina and Petrov 2011). However, our strict alignment

filtering strategy and use of the evolution-aware PRANK aligner minimizes the

possibility that our results are solely false positives (Markova-Raina and Petrov

2011). Recombination also can elevate ω estimates, but the M7 vs M8 model

has been shown to be robust to recombination (Anisimova et al. 2003), and these

results give us the highest proportions of positively selected genes we observe in

our dataset (Table 2). Finally, despite observing high proportions of selected

genes, the overall trend of gene-wide estimates of $\omega \ll 1$ are consistent with

patterns of purifying selection on coding regions of the genome (Supplemental

Figure 2). Furthermore the similarity in estimated ω values between this study

and previous studies in birds with different sets of genome sequences or the use

of pairwise estimates between chicken and zebra finch (Nam et al. 2010; Zhang,

B. Li, et al. 2014) give us confidence that our results are robust.

Gene annotation

We annotated genes for downstream enrichment analyses using chicken (*Gallus*

gallus assembly version 4.0; G.K.-S. Wong et al. 2004) and zebra finch

(*Taeniopygia guttata* assembly version 3.2.4; Warren et al. 2010) NCBI gene IDs

from sequences of those species included in the alignment of each gene. Of the

11,248 HOGs, 10,890 could be assigned to a chicken NCBI gene id, 10,365

could be assigned to a zebra finch NCBI gene id, 10,143 could be assigned to

both, and 136 could not be assigned to a chicken or zebra finch NCBI gene ID. In

order to test additional pathways available for mammalian species (see below),

we converted chicken and zebra finch NCBI gene IDs to human (*Homo sapien*;

GRCh38.p10) NCBI gene IDs using the R biomaRt package version 2.36.1

(Durinck et al. 2005; Durinck et al. 2009). For both avian species, we

downloaded the ENSEMBL gene IDs, NCBI gene IDs, and human homolog

ENSEMBL gene IDs for each gene using the ggallus_gene_ensembl (chicken

genes, Gallus-gallus-5.0) and tguttata_gene_ensembl (zebra finch genes,

TaeGut3.2.4) datasets. For humans, we downloaded the ENSEMBL gene IDs

and NCBI gene IDs from the human hsapien_gene_ensembl (human genes,

GRCh38.p10) dataset. We assigned each gene by first identifying all human

ENSEMBL gene IDs and NCBI gene IDs that were chicken orthologs, and filled

in missing IDs with zebra finch annotations. In total, 9,461 out of 11,248 genes

could be annotated with human NCBI gene IDs.

Functional gene pathway enrichment for lineages under positive selection

in birds

We looked for patterns of positive selection among groups of genes with similar

functions using KEGG pathway enrichment tests (Kanehisa and Goto 2000;

Kanehisa et al. 2011). We used our most conservative set of genes as our test

set – those with FDR-corrected p-values less than 0.05 for all site tests

(N=1,521), including the m1a vs. m2a PAML model comparison, m2a vs.

m2a_fixed PAML model comparison, m7 vs. m8a PAML model comparison, m8

vs. m8a PAML model comparison, and BUSTED analysis (see Table 1 for PAML

model descriptions). Because of the similarity between the model results using

gene trees and species trees (see Results), we use the gene tree results as input

to maximize the number of genes that could be included in a functional analysis.

Preliminary analyses using the species tree results are qualitatively similar to

those presented here.

To conduct KEGG pathway enrichment analyses, we used the

'enrichKEGG' command from clusterProfiler v. 3.8.1 (Yu et al. 2012) from

Bioconductor v. 3.7 (Gentleman et al. 2004) with chicken as the reference

organism. We used the genes included in both PAML and HyPhy analyses with

NCBI gene IDs (N = 10,874) as the gene universe for enrichment tests. To

ensure genes not present in the chicken genome, but present in other bird

species were not biasing our results, we also performed the functional

enrichment test using zebra finch as the reference organism. Finally, we

performed a final enrichment test using human as the reference organism to test

whether the expanded KEGG pathways of humans could provide insights beyond

those available for chicken and zebra finch. We visualized the results using

modified versions of the 'dotplot' and 'cnetplot' commands in clusterProfiler.

Clustering genes under selection among bird lineages

We used aBS-REL results to understand how groups of species that experience

similar selective pressures might show evidence for positive selection for the

same genes. To do this, we created a matrix of the p-values for the probability of

positive selection at each gene for each species. We used this matrix to conduct

a principle components analysis (PCA) to cluster species by the log-transformed

p-value of each species for each gene. We replaced any missing values with the

mean p-value for that gene, log-transformed all p-values, and performed the PCA

with the prcomp function in R.

Only the first principle component grouped unrelated species (see

Results), so we tested whether PC1 might be related to body mass, a

measurement correlated with many life history characteristics (Pienaar et al.

2013). We extracted body mass measurements from each species using the

CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses (Dunning 2009) and used phylogenetic

generalized least squares (PGLS) (Martins and Hansen 1997) to test for a

correlation between the PC1 scores and log-transformed body mass. To obtain

branch lengths for our species tree topology, we randomly selected one gene

with one sequence for all species and used the branch lengths as calculated by

the M0 model in PAML. Our results were robust to tests with alternative genes.

We ran the PGLS analysis in R with the gls function from the nlme package 3.1-

137 (Pinheiro et al. 2013), with a both a Brownian motion (Felsenstein 1985) and

an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (Hansen and Martins 1996) model of evolution. A

Brownian motion fit better than the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model (AIC >2), so we

report those results. However, the results are qualitatively similar. We visualized

the two traits and the phylogeny using the 'phylomorphospace' function and the

evolution of PC1 on the phylogeny using the a modified version of the

'plotBranchbyTrait' function from phytools v. 0.6-44 (Revell 2012).

To better understand which genes and molecular functions were

contributing to the correlation between PC1 and body mass (see Results), we

calculated a p-value for the association between log-transformed p-values and

log-transformed body mass for each gene separately. Due to the non-normal

distribution of log-transformed p-values, we used Spearman's rank correlation

with the cor.test function from the stats package in R (R Core Development

Team 2008). Although Spearman's rank correlation does not include

phylogenetic correction, the aBS-REL p-values are estimated independently for

each branch, and so should not be biased by phylogeny. We used the Benjamini-

Hochberg approach to correct for multiple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg

1995).

We tested whether there might be any functional signal in these genes

using gene set enrichment with the Spearman's rank correlation values (ρ) as the

input for each gene. To avoid biases in genes with only one or a few lineages

under selection, we only tested genes with at least five lineages under selection

(preliminary results with alternative cutoff suggest that results are robust to the

specific cutoff used). We tested for gene set enrichment with the chicken KEGG

pathways using the 'gseKEGG' command from clusterProfiler (Yu et al. 2012).

Comparisons of avian and mammalian selection datasets

In order to identify shared signatures of selection in both birds and mammals, we

compared our results to those of Enard et al (2016). We used our BUSTED

results as calculated using the species tree to ensure our results were

comparable to their BUSTED tests of positive selection. We combined our

datasets using the human ENSEMBL gene ID annotations (conversion methods

described above). In total, we could identify 4,931 orthologous genes with results

from both datasets. With the set of genes included in both studies, we re-

calculated FDR-corrected p-values, and compared the proportion of genes

significant in both birds and mammals with a p-value cutoff of 0.1, 0.01, 0.001

and 0.0001 to understand whether genes under weak or strong selection might

produce different signals. We calculated significance of an increased overlap in

genes under selection in both birds and mammals with a Fisher's exact test.

771   We tested whether pathogen-mediated selection might be an important

factor in driving the overlap of these genes using KEGG pathway enrichment. We

ran these tests as described above, with the genes under positive selection in

both birds and mammals as the test set of genes, and the set of genes under

selection in birds as the background set of genes. We used the four different

FDR-corrected p-value cutoffs ($p < 0.1$, 0.01, 0.001, or 0.0001) to identify genes

under selection in each clade. Finally, we used permutation tests to ensure that

our pathway enrichment results were not biased toward genes commonly under

selection in birds. We randomly created test sets the same size as those

empirically defined from the set of genes significant in birds and performed

KEGG pathway enrichment. We calculated the enrichment score (proportion of

selected genes in the pathway compared to the proportion of selected genes in

the dataset) for each pathway significant in our bird-only results (described in

above section) and included in our test of empirical data. That is, the pathway

had to be significant in birds, and contain at least one gene under selection in

both birds and mammals. We performed each permutation for each p-value

cutoff 1,000 times to generate a null distribution of enrichment values to compare

to our empirical results.

Association of genes under positive selection to pathogen-mediated

transcriptional responses in birds

We independently tested whether genes under positive selection throughout

birds were associated with pathogen-mediated immune responses using publicly-

available transcriptome data. We tested whether genes that were differentially

expressed in response to a pathogen challenge were more likely to be under

positive selection. We identified 12 studies of birds that compared the

transcriptomes of control individuals and individuals experimentally infected with

a virus, bacterium or protist (Supplemental Table 8; (Smith, Burt, et al. 2015;

Smith, Smith, et al. 2015; Sun, Liu, Nolan, and Lamont 2015a; Sun, Liu, Nolan,

and Lamont 2015b; Videvall et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2016; Beaudet et al. 2017;

Deist, Gallardo, Bunn, Dekkers, et al. 2017; Deist, Gallardo, Bunn, Kelly, et al.

2017; Newhouse et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018). We downloaded all available

SRA files for each bioproject and extracted the fastq files with fastq-dump from

SRA-Tools v. 2.8.2.1 (Leinonen et al. 2010). We used kallisto v. 0.43.1 (Bray et

al. 2016) to quantify transcript abundance with 100 bootstrap replicates. We used

paired-end or single-end mode (assuming average fragment lengths of 250 base

pairs with a standard deviation of 50 base pairs) as appropriate for each

bioproject, using the ENSEMBL transcriptome reference for each species

(Supplemental Table 8). One species did not have an ENSEMBL reference

available (*Spinus spinus*), so we mapped to the transcriptome reference of the

closest available reference, *Serinus canaria*, downloaded from NCBI.

We tested for differential expression between experimentally infected

individuals and control individuals with sleuth v0.30 (Pimentel et al. 2017). In

cases where individuals were available at different timepoints, had different

phenotypes (e.g. resistant or susceptible), used different pathogen strains, or

sequenced transcriptomes from different organs, we tested each condition

separately. We considered a gene to be significantly differentially expressed if it

had a q-value less than 0.05 and an effect size, quantified as the absolute value

of β, greater than 1. We then combined results for each condition of each

bioproject. We considered a gene to be differentially expressed for that study if it

was significant in half of conditions defined as different time points and

phenotypes for each organ (Supplemental Table 8). For three studies, only a

single condition had any appreciable signal, we use used a relaxed our cutoff to

count a gene as significant if it was significantly differentially expressed in any

condition (Supplemental Table 8).

To compare genes across species, we translated all ENSEMBL gene IDs

to homologous chicken ENSEMBL gene IDs from the R biomaRt package

version 2.36.1 (Durinck et al. 2005; Durinck et al. 2009), except for *S. canaria*,

which we translated to chicken gene IDs by mapping genes IDs to sequences in

the same gene alignments in our dataset. Some pathogens were represented by

more than one study in our dataset. To combine the results for each pathogen,

we considered each gene to be significant for that pathogen if it was significant in

at least one study. We used logistic regression to test whether genes that were

up-regulated (compared to no difference in transcription) were more likely to be

under selection in birds (defined in above section), and to test whether genes

that were down-regulated were more likely to be under selection in birds.

Comparisons of gene expression patterns and positively selected genes in

birds and mammals

Finally, we compared the pathogen-mediated transcriptional responses in birds

to those in mammals. We used 14 previously published studies that generated

transcriptomes for control individuals and pathogen-challenged individuals to

identify differentially expressed genes in response to pathogen infection for a

species of mammal (Supplemental Table 8; (Qian et al. 2013; Langley et al.

2014; Ogorevc et al. 2015; Rojas-Peña et al. 2015; DeBerg et al. 2016; Lee et al.

2016; Tran et al. 2016; Chopra-Dewasthaly et al. 2017; Jong et al. 2018). We

chose studies that used similar pathogens as those used in the avian

experiments to compare the expression profiles of the two clades as closely as

possible, while acknowledging that such matching will necessarily be somewhat

imprecise. We used the same preprocessing steps as described in the above

avian transcriptomic section. In two studies, seven and nine different timepoints

were used, with a large number of individuals giving increased power to detect

differentially expressed genes. For these two studies, we required genes to be

significant in half of all timepoints as well as overall (all infected individuals

compared to control individuals). We translated all non-human ENSEMBL gene

IDs to human ENSEMBL gene IDs using biomaRt to compare results across all

bird and mammal species. Finally, for birds and for mammals, we summarized

results for each gene for each infectious agent, considering a gene to be

differentially expressed if it was differentially expressed in any study. Despite the

smaller number of genes identified in the joint bird and mammal datasets, results

comparing the enrichment in bird-only studies as described above were robust

(results not shown), so we have confidence that our combined bird and mammal

dataset captured the signal observed with birds alone.

With our combined bird and mammal dataset, we first tested whether

genes up-regulated in infected birds were also likely to be up-regulated in

infected mammals, or whether genes down-regulated in infected birds were also

likely to be down-regulated in infected mammals. We used a Fisher's exact test

to test whether the proportions of up- or down- regulated genes in both clades

deviated from null expectations. Then, we combined the gene expression results

with the significance results across birds and mammals. We sought to test

whether genes that were under positive selection in birds were likely to be under

positive selection in mammals and differentially expressed (either up- or down-

regulated in both clades). To do this, for each pathogen, we used logistic

regression with genes under selection in birds as the response variable (under

selection or not), and the mammalian selection status (under selection or not),

the differential expression status in birds (up- or down-regulated), and their

interaction as predictor variables. Finally, due to the variety of experimental

setups and small number of genes up- or down-regulated in both birds and

mammals, we used a more sensitive test to test whether the absolute value of

mammal and bird β values were significantly higher in genes under selection in

both lineages, or genes under selection in birds, compared to genes not detected

as being under selection with our BUSTED site tests. A larger absolute value of β

implies larger magnitudes of differential expression, regardless of the direction of

selection or q-value significance. To ensure the β values were as comparable as

possible among studies, we first standardized the β values to have a mean of 0

and standard deviation of 1 for each study. Then, for pathogen replicates within

birds and mammals, we used the maximum β value observed as the bird or

mammal β value for that gene (results were robust if the mean β value was used

instead). For each gene, we calculated the harmonic mean of bird and mammal β

values, and used a Mann-Whitney U-test to test whether mean β values were

significantly different between genes under selection (q-value < 0.05) in birds and

mammals and genes under selection in birds only, between genes under

selection in birds and mammals and genes not under selection in either lineage,

and between genes under selection in birds only and genes not under selection

in either lineage.

896
897

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Scott Edwards, Hopi Hoekstra, and John Wakeley for feedback on the

project, as well as members of the Edwards Lab and Harvard Informatics Group.

We thank Alison Cloutier with assistance with alignments and filtering, and Julia

Yu for early discussion. The computations in this paper were run on the Odyssey

cluster supported by the FAS Division of Science, Research Computing Group at

Harvard University.

LITERATURE CITED:

Alcaide M, Edwards SV. 2011. Molecular evolution of the Toll-Like Receptor
multigene family in birds. Molecular Biology and Evolution 28:1703–1715.

Alcaide M, Liu M, Edwards SV. 2013. Major histocompatibility complex class I
evolution in songbirds: universal primers, rapid evolution and base
compositional shifts in exon 3. PeerJ 1:e86.

Altenhoff AM, Gil M, Gonnet GH, Dessimoz C. 2013. Inferring hierarchical
orthologous groups from orthologous gene pairs. PLoS ONE 8:e53786.

An L, Wang Y, Liu Y, Yang X, Liu C, Hu Z, He W, Song W, Hang H. 2010. Rad9
is required for B cell proliferation and immunoglobulin class switch
recombination. J. biol. Chem 285:35267–35273.

Andersson MN, Wang H-L, Nord A, Salmón P, Isaksson C. 2015. Composition of
physiologically important fatty acids in great tits differs between urban and
rural populations on a seasonal basis. Front. Ecol. Evol. 3:522–13.

Anisimova M, Nielsen R, Yang Z. 2003. Effect of recombination on the accuracy
of the likelihood method for detecting positive selection at amino acid sites.
Genetics 164:1229–1236.

Asghar M, Hasselquist D, Hansson B, Zehtindjiev P, Westerdahl H, Bensch S.
2015. Hidden costs of infection: Chronic malaria accelerates telomere
degradation and senescence in wild birds. Science 347:436–438.

Backström N, Zhang Q, Edwards SV. 2013. Evidence from a House Finch
(*Haemorhous mexicanus*) spleen transcriptome for adaptive evolution and
biased gene conversion in passerine birds. Molecular Biology and Evolution
30:1046–1050.

Barreiro LB, Quintana-Murci L. 2009. From evolutionary genetics to human
immunology: how selection shapes host defence genes. Nature Reviews
Genetics 11:17–30.

Beaudet J, Tulman ER, Pflaum K, Liao X, Kutish GF, Szczepanek SM, Silbart
LK, Geary SJ. 2017. Transcriptional Profiling of the Chicken Tracheal
Response to Virulent *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* Strain Rlow. Infect Immun
85:e00343–17–15.

Ben-Hamo M, McCue MD, Khozin-Goldberg I, McWilliams SR, Pinshow B. 2013.
Ambient temperature and nutritional stress influence fatty acid composition of
structural and fuel lipids in Japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*) tissues. Comp
Biochem Physiol A 166:244–250.

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A Practical
and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 57:289–300.

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic
RNA-seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525–527.

Brunder W, Schmidt H, Karch H. 1997. EspP, a novel extracellular serine
protease of enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7 cleaves human
coagulation factor V. Mol. Microbiol. 24:767–778.

Burri R, Hirzel HN, Salamin N, Roulin A, Fumagalli L. 2008. Evolutionary patterns
of MHC Class II B in owls and their implications for the understanding of
avian MHC evolution. Mol Biol Evol 25:1180–1191.

Burri R, Salamin N, Studer RA, Roulin A, Fumagalli L. 2010. Adaptive divergence
of ancient gene duplicates in the avian MHC Class II. Mol Biol Evol 27:2360–
2374.

Chen S, Cheng A, Wang M. 2013. Innate sensing of viruses by pattern
recognition receptors in birds. Vet. Res. 44:82.

Chopra-Dewasthaly R, Korb M, Brunthaler R, Ertl R. 2017. Comprehensive RNA-
Seq profiling to evaluate the sheep mammary gland transcriptome in
response to experimental *Mycoplasma agalactiae* infection. PLoS ONE
12:e0170015–e0170017.

Clements JF, Schulenberg TS, Iliff MJ, Fredericks TA, Sullivan BL, Wood CL.
2016. The Clements checklist of the birds of the world: v2016. Available from:
http:// www.birds.cornell.edu/clementschecklist/downloadable-clements-
checklist

Cui J, Zhao W, Huang Z, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MTP, Walker PJ, Holmes EC, Zhang
G. 2014. Low frequency of paleoviral infiltration across the avian phylogeny.
Genome Biol 15:539.

Daub JT, Hofer T, Cutivet E, Dupanloup I, Quintana-Murci L, Robinson-Rechavi
M, Excoffier L. 2013. Evidence for polygenic adaptation to pathogens in the
human genome. Mol Biol Evol 30:1544–1558.

de Magalhães JP, Passos JF. 2018. Stress, cell senescence and organismal
ageing. Mech Ageing Dev 170:2–9.

DeBerg HA, Zaidi MB, Khaenam P, Gersuk V, Linsley PS, Estrada-Garcia T.
2016. Blood transcriptional profiling of childhood diarrheal diseases identifies
gene signatures of Shigella and rotavirus infections. J Immunol 196:66.15–
66.15.

Deist MS, Gallardo RA, Bunn DA, Dekkers JCM, Zhou H, Lamont SJ. 2017.
Resistant and susceptible chicken lines show distinctive responses to
Newcastle disease virus infection in the lung transcriptome. BMC Genomics
18:1–15.

Deist MS, Gallardo RA, Bunn DA, Kelly TR, Dekkers JCM, Zhou H, Lamont SJ.
2017. Novel mechanisms revealed in the trachea transcriptome of resistant
and susceptible chicken lines following infection with Newcastle disease
virus. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 24:e00027–17–17.

Dunning J. 2009. CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses. Second Edition. Boca
Raton: CRC Press

Durinck S, Moreau Y, Kasprzyk A, Davis S, De Moor B, Brazma A, Huber W.
2005. BioMart and Bioconductor: a powerful link between biological
databases and microarray data analysis. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)
21:3439–3440.

Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. 2009. Mapping identifiers for the
integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt.
Nat Protoc 4:1184–1191.

Early AM, Arguello JR, Cardoso-Moreira M, Gottipati S, Grenier JK, Clark AG.
2017. Survey of global genetic diversity within the *Drosophila* immune
system. Genetics 205:353–366.

Ebel ER, Telis N, Venkataram S, Petrov DA, Enard D. 2017. High rate of
adaptation of mammalian proteins that interact with *Plasmodium* and related
parasites. PLoS Genet 13:e1007023–e1007027.

Edwards SV, Gasper J, Garrigan D, Martindale D, Koop BF. 2000. A 39-kb
sequence around a blackbird Mhc class II gene: ghost of selection past and
songbird genome architecture. Mol Biol Evol 17:1384–1395.

Edwards SV, Wakeland EK, Potts WK. 1995. Contrasting histories of avian and
mammalian Mhc genes revealed by class II B sequences from songbirds.
PNAS 92:12200–12204.

Ellegren H. 2013. The evolutionary genomics of birds. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol.
Syst. 44:239–259.

Ellis JS, Turner LM, Knight ME. 2012. Patterns of selection and polymorphism of innate immunity genes in bumblebees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Genetica 140:205–217.

Enard D, Cai L, Gwennap C, Petrov DA. 2016. Viruses are a dominant driver of protein adaptation in mammals. Elife 5:e12469.

Felsenstein J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. Am Nat 125:1–15.

Ferris MT, Aylor DL, Bottomly D, Whitmore AC, Aicher LD, Bell TA, Bradel-Tretheway B, Bryan JT, Buus RJ, Gralinski LE, et al. 2013. Modeling host genetic regulation of influenza pathogenesis in the collaborative cross. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003196.

Finlay BB, McFadden G. 2006. Anti-immunology: Evasion of the host immune system by bacterial and viral pathogens. Cell 124:767–782.

Fumagalli M, Sironi M, Pozzoli U, Ferrer-Admettla A, Pattini L, Nielsen R. 2011. Signatures of environmental genetic adaptation pinpoint pathogens as the main selective pressure through human evolution. PLoS Genet 7:e1002355.

Furness LJ, Speakman JR. 2008. Energetics and longevity in birds. AGE 30:75–87.

Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, Ellis B, Gautier L, Ge Y, Gentry J, et al. 2004. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol 5:R80.

Geoghegan JL, Duchêne S, Holmes EC. 2017. Comparative analysis estimates the relative frequencies of co-divergence and cross-species transmission within viral families. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006215–e1006217.

Gill FB. 2007. Ornithology. W H Freeman & Company

Grueber CE, Wallis GP, Jamieson IG. 2014. Episodic positive selection in the evolution of avian Toll-Like Receptor innate immunity genes. PLoS ONE 9:e89632.

Hahn MW, Nakhleh L. 2015. Irrational exuberance for resolved species trees. Evolution 70:7–17.

Hansen T, Martins E. 1996. Translating between microevolutionary process and macroevolutionary patterns: the correlation structure of interspecific data. Evolution 50:1404–1417.

Hau M, Ricklefs RE, Wikelski M, Lee KA, Brawn JD. 2010. Corticosterone,
testosterone and life-history strategies of birds. Proc Biol Sci 277:3203–3212.

Henzy JE, Gifford RJ, Johnson WE, Coffin JM. 2014. A novel recombinant
retrovirus in the genomes of modern birds combines features of avian and
mammalian retroviruses. J Virol 88:2398–2405.

Hess CM, Edwards SV. 2002. The evolution of the major histocompatibility
complex in birds. BioScience 52:423–431.

Jarvis ED, Mirarab S, Aberer AJ, Li B, Houde P. 2014. Whole-genome analyses
resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science 346:1320–
1331.

Jetz W, Thomas GH, Joy JB, Hartmann K, Mooers AO. 2012. The global
diversity of birds in space and time. Nature 491:444–448.

Johnson LS, Eddy SR, Portugaly E. 2010. Hidden Markov model speed heuristic
and iterative HMM search procedure. BMC Bioinformatics 11:431.

Jong E, Hancock DG, Hibbert J, Wells C, Richmond P, Simmer K, Burgner D,
Strunk T, Currie AJ. 2018. Identification of generic and pathogen-specific
cord blood monocyte transcriptomes reveals a largely conserved response in
preterm and term newborn infants. J Mol Med 96:1–11.

Juul-Madsen HR, Viertlböeck B, Härtle S, Schmidt AL, Göbel TW. 2014. Innate
Immune Responses. In: Schat KA, Kaspars B, Kaiser P, editors. Avian
Immunology. Elsevier, Ltd. pp. 121–147.

Kaiser P. 2010. Advances in avian immunology—prospects for disease control: a
review. Avian Pathol 39:309–324.

Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. 2011. KEGG for
integration and interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets. Nucleic
Acids Res 40:D109–D114.

Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.
Nucleic Acids Res 28:27–30.

Kapusta A, Suh A, Feschotte C. 2017. Dynamics of genome size evolution in
birds and mammals. PNAS 114:E1460–E1469.

Kapusta A, Suh A. 2017. Evolution of bird genomes-a transposon's-eye view.
Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1389:164–185.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software
Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol Biol Evol
30:772–780.

Kazazian HH. 2004. Mobile elements: drivers of genome evolution. Science
303:1626–1632.

Kosakovsky Pond SL, Murrell B, Fourment M, Frost SDW, Delport W, Scheffler
K. 2011. A random effects branch-site model for detecting episodic
diversifying selection. Molecular Biology and Evolution 28:3033–3043.

Kosiol C, Vinar T, da Fonseca RR, HUBISZ MJ, Bustamante CD, Nielsen R,
Siepel A. 2008. Patterns of positive selection in six mammalian genomes.
PLoS Genet 4:e1000144.

Koutsos EA, Klasing KC. 2014. Factors Modulating the Avian Immune System.
In: Schat KA, Kaspers B, Kaiser P, editors. Avian Immunology. Elsevier Ltd.
pp. 299–314.

Langley RJ, Tipper JL, Bruse S, Baron RM, Tsalik EL, Huntley J, Rogers AJ,
Jaramillo RJ, O'Donnell D, Mega WM, et al. 2014. Integrative "omic" analysis
of experimental bacteremia identifies a metabolic signature that distinguishes
human sepsis from systemic inflammatory response syndromes. Am J Respir
Crit Care Med 190:445–455.

Lee E-Y, Lee H-C, Kim H-K, Jang SY, Park S-J, Kim Y-H, Kim JH, Hwang J, Kim
J-H, Kim T-H, et al. 2016. Infection-specific phosphorylation of glutamyl-prolyl
tRNA synthetase induces antiviral immunity. Nat Immunol 17:1252–1262.

Leinonen R, Sugawara H, Shumway M, on behalf of the International Nucleotide
Sequence Database Collaboration. 2010. The Sequence Read Archive.
Nucleic Acids Res 39:D19–D21.

Loytynoja A, Goldman N. 2008. Phylogeny-aware gap placement prevents errors
in sequence alignment and evolutionary analysis. Science 320:1632–1635.

Markova-Raina P, Petrov D. 2011. High sensitivity to aligner and high rate of
false positives in the estimates of positive selection in the 12 Drosophila
genomes. Genome Res 21:863–874.

Martins E, Hansen T. 1997. Phylogenies and the comparative method: a general
approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of
interspecific data. Am Nat 149:646–667.

Mendes FK, Hahn MW. 2016. Gene tree discordance causes apparent
substitution rate variation. Syst Biol 65:711–721.

Minias P, Pikus E, Whittingham LA, Dunn PO. 2018. A global analysis of
selection at the avian MHC. Evolution 97:133–16.

Monaghan P, Haussmann MF. 2006. Do telomere dynamics link lifestyle and
lifespan? Trends Ecol Evol 21:47–53.

Morgan CC, Mc Cartney AM, Donoghue MTA, Loughran NB, Spillane C, Teeling EC, O'Connell MJ. 2013. Molecular adaptation of telomere associated genes in mammals. BMC Evol Biol 13:251.

Murrell B, Weaver S, Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Murrell S, Aylward A, Eren K, Pollner T, Martin DP, Smith DM, et al. 2015. Gene-wide identification of episodic selection. Mol Biol Evol 32:1365–1371.

Nam K, Mugal C, Nabholz B, Schielzeth H, Wolf JB, Backström N, Kunstner A, Balakrishnan CN, Heger A, Ponting CP, et al. 2010. Molecular evolution of genes in avian genomes. Genome Biol 11:R68.

Newhouse DJ, Hofmeister EK, Balakrishnan CN. 2017. Transcriptional response to West Nile virus infection in the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). R. Soc. open sci. 4:170296.

Nielsen R, Yang Z. 1998. Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene. Genetics 148:929–936.

Ogorevc J, Mihevc SP, Hedegaard J, Bencina D, Dove P. 2015. Transcriptomic response of goat mammary epithelial cells to *Mycoplasma agalactiae* challenge–a preliminary study. Anim Sci Pap Rep 33:155–163.

Organ CL, Edwards SV. 2011. Major Events in Avian Genome Evolution. In: Dyke G, Kaiser G, editors. Living Dinosaurs: the evolutionary history of modern birds. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. pp. 325–337.

Organ CL, Shedlock AM, Meade A, Pagel M, Edwards SV. 2007. Origin of avian genome size and structure in non-avian dinosaurs. Nature 446:180–184.

Ödeen A, Håstad O. 2003. Complex distribution of avian color vision systems revealed by sequencing the SWS1 opsin from total DNA. Molecular Biology and Evolution 20:855.

Ödeen A, Håstad O. 2013. The phylogenetic distribution of ultraviolet sensitivity in birds. BMC Evol Biol 13:36.

Pichlmair A, Kandasamy K, Alvisi G, Mulhern O, Sacco R, Habjan M, Binder M, Stefanovic A, Eberle C-A, Goncalves A, et al. 2012. Viral immune modulators perturb the human molecular network by common and unique strategies. Nature 487:486–490.

Pienaar J, Ilany A, Geffen E, Yom-Tov Y. 2013. Macroevolution of life-history traits in passerine birds: adaptation and phylogenetic inertia. Ecol Lett 16:571–576.

Pimentel H, Bray NL, Puente S, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2017. Differential analysis
of RNA-seq incorporating quantification uncertainty. Nat Meth 14:687–690.

Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D. 2013. nlme: Linear and nonlinear
mixed effects models. R package.

Pond SLK, Frost SDW, Muse SV. 2005. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using
phylogenies. Bioinformatics 21:676–679.

Qian F, Chung L, Zheng W, Bruno V, Alexander R, Wang Z, Wang X, Kurscheid
S, Zhao H, Fikrig E, et al. 2013. Identification of genes critical for resistance
to infection by West Nile virus using RNA-Seq analysis. Viruses 5:1664–
1681.

Quintana-Murci L, Clark AG. 2013. Population genetic tools for dissecting innate
immunity in humans. Nat Rev Immunol 13:280–293.

R Core Development Team. 2008. R: A Language and Environment for
Statistical Computing.

Randall RE, Goodbourn S. 2008. Interferons and viruses: an interplay between
induction, signalling, antiviral responses and virus countermeasures. J Gen
Virol 89:1–47.

Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology
(and other things). Methods Ecol Evol 3:217–223.

Rojas-Peña ML, Vallejo A, Herrera S, Gibson G, Arévalo-Herrera M. 2015.
Transcription profiling of malaria-naïve and semi-immune Colombian
volunteers in a *Plasmodium vivax* sporozoite challenge. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
9:e0003978–18.

Roth AC, Gonnet GH, Dessimoz C. 2008. Algorithm of OMA for large-scale
orthology inference. BMC Bioinformatics 9:518.

Rothenburg S, Seo EJ, Gibbs JS, Dever TE, Dittmar K. 2008. Rapid evolution of
protein kinase PKR alters sensitivity to viral inhibitors. Nat Struct Mol Biol
16:63–70.

Roux J, Privman E, Moretti S, Daub JT, Robinson-Rechavi M, Keller L. 2014.
Patterns of positive selection in seven ant genomes. Mol Biol Evol 31:1661–
1685.

Sackton TB, Grayson P, Cloutier A, Hu Z, Liu JS, Wheeler NE, Gardner PP,
Clarke JA, Baker AJ, Clamp M, Edwards, SV. 2018. Convergent regulatory
evolution and the origin of flightlessness in palaeognathous birds. bioRxiv:1–
31.

Sackton TB, Lazzaro BP, Schlenke TA, Evans JD, Hultmark D, Clark AG. 2007.
Dynamic evolution of the innate immune system in *Drosophila*. Nat Genet
39:1461–1468.

Samuel MA, Whitby K, Keller BC, Marri A, Barchet W, Williams BRG, Silverman
RH, Gale M, Diamond MS. 2006. PKR and RNase L contribute to protection
against lethal West Nile Virus infection by controlling early viral spread in the
periphery and replication in neurons. J Virol 80:7009–7019.

Santhakumar D, Rubbenstroth D, Martinez-Sobrido L, Munir M. 2017. Avian
interferons and their antiviral effectors. Front. Immunol. 8:49.

Schlenke TA, Begun DJ. 2003. Natural selection drives *Drosophila* immune
system evolution. Genetics 164:1471–1480.

Schrom EC, Prada JM, Graham AL. 2017. Immune signaling networks: Sources
of robustness and constrained evolvability during coevolution. Mol Biol Evol
35:676–687.

Schubert I, Vu GTH. 2016. Genome stability and evolution: Attempting a holistic
view. Trends Plant Sci 21:749–757.

Shi M, Lin X-D, Chen X, Tian J-H, Chen L-J, Li K, Wang W, Eden J-S, Shen J-J,
Liu L, et al. 2018. The evolutionary history of vertebrate RNA viruses. Nature
540:1–16.

Sironi M, Cagliani R, Forni D, Clerici M. 2015. Evolutionary insights into host-
pathogen interactions from mammalian sequence data. Nat Rev Genet
16:224–236.

Smith J, Burt DW, Bencina D. 2015. The Avian RNAseq Consortium: a
community effort to annotate the chicken genome. Cytogenet Genome Res
145:78–179.

Smith J, Smith N, Le Yu, Paton IR, Gutowska MW, Forrest HL, Danner AF, Seiler
JP, Digard P, Webster RG, et al. 2015. A comparative analysis of host
responses to avian influenza infection in ducks and chickens highlights a role
for the interferon-induced transmembrane proteins in viral resistance. BMC
Genomics 16:574.

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 30:1312–
1313.

Sun H, Liu P, Nolan LK, Lamont SJ. 2015a. Avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*
(APEC) infection alters bone marrow transcriptome in chickens. BMC
Genomics 16:690.

Sun H, Liu P, Nolan LK, Lamont SJ. 2015b. Novel pathways revealed in bursa of
fabricius transcriptome in response to extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia*
coli (ExPEC) infection. PLoS ONE 10:e0142570–17.

Sun H, Liu P, Nolan LK, Lamont SJ. 2016. Thymus transcriptome reveals novel
pathways in response to avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection. Poultry
Sci 95:2803–2814.

Tran TM, Jones MB, Ongoiba A, Bijker EM, Schats R, Venepally P, Skinner J,
Doumbo S, Quinten E, Visser LG, et al. 2016. Transcriptomic evidence for
modulation of host inflammatory responses during febrile *Plasmodium*
falciparum malaria. Sci Rep 6:31291.

Tricola GM, Simons MJP, Atema E, Boughton RK, Brown JL, Dearborn DC,
Divoky G, Eimes JA, Huntington CE, Kitaysky AS, et al. 2018. The rate of
telomere loss is related to maximum lifespan in birds. Philos Trans R Soc
Lond B Biol Sci 373:20160445.

Velová H, Gutowska-Ding MW, Burt DW, Vinkler M. 2018. Toll-like receptor
evolution in birds: gene duplication, pseudogenisation and diversifying
selection. Mol Biol Evol 35:2170-2184.

Venkat A, Hahn MW, Thornton JW. 2018. Multinucleotide mutations cause false
inferences of lineage-specific positive selection. Nat Ecol Evol 2:1280–1288.

Videvall E, Cornwallis CK, Palinauskas V, Valkiūnas G, Hellgren O. 2015. The
avian transcriptome response to malaria infection. Mol Biol Evol 32:1255-
1267.

Warren WC, Clayton DF, Ellegren H, Arnold AP, Hillier LW, Kunstner A, Searle
S, White S, Vilella AJ, Fairley S, et al. 2010. The genome of a songbird.
Nature 464:757–762.

Waterhouse RM, Kriventseva EV, Meister S, Xi Z. 2007. Evolutionary dynamics
of immune-related genes and pathways in disease-vector mosquitoes.
Science 316:1738-1743.

Wong GK-S, Liu B, Wang J, Zhang Y, Yang X, Zhang Z, Meng Q, Zhou J, Li D,
Zhang J, et al. 2004. A genetic variation map for chicken with 2.8 million
single-nucleotide polymorphisms. Nature 432:717–722.

Wong WSW, Yang Z, Goldman N, Nielsen R. 2004. Accuracy and power of
statistical methods for detecting adaptive evolution in protein coding
sequences and for identifying positively selected sites. Genetics 168:1041–
1051.

Wright NA, Gregory TR, Witt CC. 2014. Metabolic "engines" of flight drive
genome size reduction in birds. P R Soc B 281:20132780–20132780.

Wu Y, Hadly EA, Teng W, Hao Y, Liang W, Liu Y, Wang H. 2016. Retinal
transcriptome sequencing sheds light on the adaptation to nocturnal and
diurnal lifestyles in raptors. Sci Rep 6:33578.

Yang Z, Nielsen R, Goldman N, Pedersen AM. 2000. Codon-substitution models
for heterogeneous selection pressure at amino acid sites. Genetics 155:431–
449.

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. molecular
biology and evolution 24:1586–1591.

Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. 2012. clusterProfiler: an R Package for
Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. OMICS: A Journal of
Integrative Biology 16:284–287.

Zhang G, Li B, Li C, Gilbert MTP, Jarvis ED, Wang J, Avian Genome
Consortium. 2014. Comparative genomic data of the Avian Phylogenomics
Project. GigaSci 3:26.

Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM, Lee C, Storz JF, Antunes A, Greenwold MJ,
Meredith RW, et al. 2014. Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian
genome evolution and adaptation. Science 346:1311–1320.

Zhang J, Kaiser MG, Deist MS, Gallardo RA, Bunn DA, Kelly TR, Dekkers JCM,
Zhou H, Lamont SJ. 2018. Transcriptome analysis in spleen reveals
differential regulation of response to Newcastle disease virus in two chicken
lines. Sci. Rep. 8:1278.

Zhang Q, Edwards SV. 2012. The evolution of intron size in amniotes: A role for
powered flight? Gen Biol Evol 4:1033–1043.

Zhang Y, Mao D, Roswit WT, Jin X, Patel AC, Patel DA, Agapov E, Wang Z,
Tidwell RM, Atkinson JJ, et al. 2015. PARP9-DTX3L ubiquitin ligase targets
host histone H2BJ and viral 3C protease to enhance interferon signaling and
control viral infection. Nat Immunol 16:1215–1227.

1287

1288 **SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES:**

1289
1290 **Supplemental Figure 1.** Comparison of M0 model ω values between genes under

1291 selection for all site tests, and those not under selection, for both gene trees and species

1292 trees. The mean ω values were significantly higher for genes under selection for both

1293 gene trees and species trees (Mann-Whitney U-test: gene trees: W = 1201387, p <

1294 0.0001; species trees: W = 938934, p < 0.0001).

1295

1296
1297 **Supplemental Figure 2.** Histogram of ω values from the PAML M0 model using either
1298 gene trees or species trees as the input phylogeny.

1299

1300 **Supplemental Figure 3.** Distribution of the proportion of significant lineages for HOGs

1301 identified as not significant (FDR-corrected p-value >= 0.05), or significant (FDR-

1302 corrected p-values < 0.05) with BUSTED. The means of the two distributions are

1303 significantly different (Mann-Whitney U-test: W= 6205530, p<10^{-16}).

1304

Supplemental Figure 4. Visualization of the variance explained by the first 10 PC axes

(scree plot). PCA of the log-transformed p-values across genes for each species

ostrich
Chilean tinamou
elegant crested tinamou
white–throated tinamou
thicket tinamou
lesser rhea
greater rhea
emu
southern cassowary
Okarito brown kiwi
little spotted kiwi
great spotted kiwi
mallard
turkey
chicken
brown mesite
rock dove
common cuckoo
chimney swift
Anna's hummingbird
killdeer
grey crowned crane
crested ibis
little egret
northern fulmar
Adelie penguin
emperor penguin
bald eagle
golden eagle
cuckoo roller
downy woodpecker
peregrine falcon
budgerigar
American crow
medium ground–finch
common canary
zebra finch
collared flycatcher
Tibetan ground–tit

−292.3   trait value   155.1

length=15

Supplemental Figure 5. A visualization of PC2 scores on the phylogeny, the maximum likelihood reconstruction of the PC2 values for internal branches. PC2 explains 5.2% of the variance among log-transformed p-values across genes for each species.

ostrich
Chilean tinamou
elegant crested tinamou
white–throated tinamou
thicket tinamou
lesser rhea
greater rhea
emu
southern cassowary
Okarito brown kiwi
little spotted kiwi
great spotted kiwi
mallard
turkey
chicken
brown mesite
rock dove
common cuckoo
chimney swift
Anna's hummingbird
killdeer
grey crowned crane
crested ibis
little egret
northern fulmar
Adelie penguin
emperor penguin
bald eagle
golden eagle
cuckoo roller
downy woodpecker
peregrine falcon
budgerigar
American crow
medium ground–finch
common canary
zebra finch
collared flycatcher
Tibetan ground–tit

−140.7 trait value   197.4

length=15

Supplemental Figure 6. A visualization of PC3 scores on the phylogeny, the maximum likelihood reconstruction of the PC3 values for internal branches. PC3 explains 3.9% of the variance among log-transformed p-values across genes for each species.