Gene expression plasticity and frontloading promote thermotolerance in *Pocillopora* corals

Running title: *Pocillopora* holobiont response to heat stress

Brener-Raffalli K.[1] (kelly.brener@univ-perp.fr), Vidal-Dupiol J.[2] (jeremie.vidal.dupiol@ifremer.fr), Adjeroud M.[3] (mehdi.adjeroud@ird.fr), Rey O.[1] (olivier.rey@univ-perp.fr), Romans P.[4] (pascal.romans@obs-banyuls.fr), Bonhomme F.[5] (francois.bonhomme@umontpellier.fr), Pratlong M.[6,8] (marine.pratlong@gmail.com), Haguenauer A.[8] (haguenauer.a@gmail.com), Pillot R.[4] (remi.pillot@obs-banyuls.fr), Feuillassier L.[4] (lionel.feuillassier@mnhn.fr), Claereboudt M.[9] (michelc@squ.edu.om), Magalon H.[10] (helene.magalon@univ-reunion.fr), Gélin P.[10] (pauline.gelin87@gmail.com), Pontarotti P.[6,7] (pierre.pontarotti@univ-amu.fr), Aurelle D.[8,11] (didier.aurelle@univ-amu.fr), Mitta G.[1] (mitta@univ-perp.fr) and Toulza E.[1]* (eve.toulza@univ-perp.fr).

IHPE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ. Perpignan via Domitia, Perpignan France.

IHPE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ. Perpignan via Domitia, Montpellier France.

Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, Laboratoire d'Excellence "CORAIL", UPVD 52 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan, France.

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMS 2348, Centre de Ressources Biologiques Marines, Observatoire Océanologique, F-66650 Banyuls/Mer, France.

Université de Montpellier, Institut des Sciences de l'Évolution, CNRS UMR 5554 IRD-EPHE, Sète, France.

Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, APHM, Microbe, Evolution, PHylogénie, Infection IHU Méditerranée Infection, Marseille France. Evolutionary Biology team.

CNRS

Aix-Marseille Université, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France

Department of Marine Science and Fisheries, College of Agricultural and Marine Sciences, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khod, 123, Sultanate of Oman.

UMR 9220 ENTROPIE (Université de La Réunion, IRD, CNRS), Laboratoire d'excellence"CORAIL", Université de La Réunion, St Denis, La Réunion, France.

Aix-Marseille Université,Université de Toulon CNRS, IRD, MIO, Marseille, France

* Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Ecosystems worldwide are suffering from climate change. Coral reef ecosystems are globally threatened by increasing sea surface temperatures. However, gene expression plasticity provides the potential for organisms to respond rapidly and effectively to environmental changes, and would be favored in variable environments. In this study, we investigated the thermal stress response in *Pocillopora* coral colonies from two contrasting thermal regimes [more stable seawater temperatures in New Caledonia (South Pacific), more variable in Oman (Persian Gulf)] by exposing them to heat stress. We compared the physiological state, bacterial and Symbionaceae communities (using 16S and ITS2 metabarcoding), and gene expression levels (using RNA-Seq) between control conditions and heat stress (the temperature just below the first signs of compromised health). Colonies from both thermal regimes remained apparently normal and presented open and colored polyps during heat stress, with no change in bacterial and Symbionaceae community composition. In contrast, they differed in their transcriptomic responses. The colonies from Oman displayed a more plastic transcriptome, but some genes had a higher basal expression level (frontloading) compared to the less thermotolerant colonies from New Caledonia. In terms of biological functions, we observed an increase in the expression of stress response genes (including induction of tumor necrosis factor receptors, heat shock proteins, and detoxification of reactive oxygen species), together with a decrease in the expression of genes involved in morpho-anatomical functions. Gene regulation (transcription factors, mobile elements) appeared to be overrepresented in the Oman colonies, indicating possible epigenetic regulation. These results show that transcriptomic plasticity and frontloading can be co-occurring processes in corals confronted to highly variable thermal regimes.

61   KEYWORDS: Gene expression plasticity, Frontloading, Coral holobiont, *Pocillopora*, Heat

62   stress.

INTRODUCTION

Earth is undergoing unprecedented global environmental changes with major effects on biodiversity (Barnosky *et al.* 2011). The ongoing erosion of the most vulnerable ecosystems due to current environmental degradation is particularly worrying and is only a premise to what scientists have called the sixth mass extinction (Barnosky *et al.* 2011). In particular, climate change, ocean acidification and extreme climatic events have already resulted in the irreversible degradation of more than 20% of coral reefs worldwide (Bellwood *et al.* 2004; Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.* 2007). Scleractinian corals constitute the biological and physical framework for a large diversity of marine organisms [c.a. ~600 coral, ~2000 fish, and ~5000 mollusk species (Veron & Stafford-Smith 2000; Reaka-Kudla 2005)]. Hence, the extinction or even major decrease of corals would have dramatic repercussions on the overall associated communities (Hughes *et al.* 2017a). Natural variation in thermal tolerance exists among coral populations (Oliver & Palumbi 2010; Palumbi *et al.* 2014), especially along a latitudinal gradient (Polato *et al.* 2010; Dixon *et al.* 2015), hence providing some hope for coral survival based on their capacity to cope with heat stress. More specifically, populations inhabiting in zones with more variable temperature regimes display better tolerance to heat stress. This pattern can be verified from local (Kenkel *et al.* 2013) to geographical scales (Hughes *et al.* 2003; Riegl *et al.* 2011; Coles & Riegl 2013).

Understanding the evolutionary processes underlying coral thermo-tolerance at the host level is crucial to better predict the fate of coral populations in response to climate change. In particular, it remains unclear whether thermo-tolerance is acquired via acclimation (i.e. intra-generational gene expression plasticity; (Barnosky *et al.* 2011; Kenkel & Matz 2016)) and/or through genetic adaptation (i.e. inter-generational

microevolution; (Barnosky *et al.* 2011; Dixon *et al.* 2015)). Under the former hypothesis

one should expect that the present-day sensitive coral populations could potentially

acquire tolerance to heat stress along with the ongoing climate change. According to the

latter hypothesis, the persistence of initially thermo-sensitive coral populations would

depend on the emergence of better adapted lineages through microevolution and/or on

the genetic rescue via gene flow from populations already adapted to heat stress

(Bellwood *et al.* 2004; Barnosky *et al.* 2011; Dixon *et al.* 2015). Actually, some studies

strongly suggest that both processes (i.e. acclimation and adaptation) are likely to co-

occur in wild coral populations (Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.* 2007; Reusch 2013; Palumbi *et al.*

2014; Torda *et al.* 2017).

With the recent advances of high throughput molecular methods, it is now possible to

discriminate both processes while providing a more precise account of the molecular

mechanisms underlying coral response to heat stress. In particular, recent studies

clearly demonstrated that coral responses to heat stress involve the fine-tuned

regulation of expression levels of some genes/proteins involved in several molecular

pathways such as metabolism, stress-response and apoptosis (Brown *et al.* 2002; Weis

2008; Ainsworth *et al.* 2011; Bellantuono *et al.* 2012a; Barshis *et al.* 2013; Kenkel *et al.*

2013; Palumbi *et al.* 2014). In this regard, two main molecular patterns having different

temporalities have been put forward: (1) "transcriptional plasticity", i.e. extensive

changes in gene expression levels according to the occurring thermal condition and (2)

"transcriptional frontloading", i.e. the elevation of stress related genes baseline

expression that preconditions organisms to subsequent (recurrent) stresses (Reaka-

Kudla 2005; Mayfield *et al.* 2011; Barshis *et al.* 2013; Palumbi *et al.* 2014; Hughes *et al.*

2017a). While such elevated constitutive gene expression levels could reflect local

adaptation (i.e. genetically fixed gene expression level; (Oliver & Palumbi 2010; Palumbi

et al. 2014), it could also reflect an acclimation via epigenetic processes leading to

constitutive gene expression (Torda *et al.* 2017). Epigenetic changes through

environmental priming (i.e. translation of environmental cues) may be involved in

adaptive evolution at such short timescales, eventually enabling transgenerational

plasticity (Jablonka 2017).

Surprisingly, frontloading and gene expression plasticity were generally discussed as

mutually exclusive patterns (Barshis *et al.* 2013; Dixon *et al.* 2015; Kenkel & Matz 2016)

although these two molecular processes most likely co-occur during coral responses to

heat stress. In particular, one might expect that the regulation strategy of genes

(plasticity versus frontloading) will greatly depend on the molecular pathways in which

they are involved and the energetic, physiological, and ultimately fitness cost associated

with gene expression. So far, frontloading has been detected for stress response genes

such as Heat Shock Proteins (HSPs), apoptosis and tumour suppression factors in

resilient coral populations under experimentally simulated heat stress inducing

bleaching in the common reef-building coral *Acropora hyacinthus* (Polato *et al.* 2010;

Barshis *et al.* 2013; Dixon *et al.* 2015; Kenkel & Matz 2016) and for metabolic genes in

populations pre-exposed to warm temperatures in response to long-term heat stress in

Porites astreoides (Kenkel *et al.* 2013; Palumbi *et al.* 2014). Conversely, in the latter

species, plasticity was observed in the expression of environmental stress response

genes (Riegl *et al.* 2011; Kenkel & Matz 2016), hence challenging the patterns observed

in *A. Hyacinthus* (Barshis *et al.* 2013; Coles & Riegl 2013). Although both strategies (i.e.

constitutive frontloading *versus* expression plasticity) undoubtedly exist in wild coral

populations, the pre-exposure conditions that foster their induction and their relative

effects on coral resistance to heat stress still remain unclear (but see (Hughes *et al.*

2003; Kenkel & Matz 2016)).

Importantly, scleractinian corals are composed of several symbiotic organisms including

the cnidarian host, the mutualist photosynthetic algae (formerly defined as belonging to

the genus *Symbiodinium* but now considered as different genera within the family

Symbionaceae (LaJeunesse *et al.* 2018)) and bacterial communities. All partners (bionts)

involved in a stable symbiosis effectively form the entire organism, and constitute what

is referred to the holobiont (Margulis & Fester 1991). A decade after this term was

defined, its use has been particularly popularized in reference to corals (Rohwer *et al.*

2002), and subsequent research has led to the hologenome theory of evolution

(Rosenberg *et al.* 2007; Zilber-Rosenberg & Rosenberg 2008). In this context, the

hologenome is defined as the sum of the genetic information of the host and its

symbiotic microorganisms. Phenotypes are thus the product of the collective genomes of

the holobiont partners in interaction with the environment, which constitute the unit of

biological organization and thus the object of natural selection (Zilber-Rosenberg &

Rosenberg 2008; Guerrero *et al.* 2013; McFall-Ngai *et al.* 2013; Bordenstein & Theis

2015; Theis *et al.* 2016). Additionally to the cnidarian host response, the genotype -or

association of genotypes- of the photosynthetic mutualist Symbionaceae symbionts

plays a key role in the thermotolerance of the holobiont (Hume *et al.* 2013; Mayfield *et*

al. 2014; Suggett *et al.* 2017). There is less certainty about the importance of the coral

bacterial community in participating to the fitness of the holobiont, although accruing

evidences strongly suggest their implication in coral response to environmental

conditions (Li *et al.* 2014; Pantos *et al.* 2015; Hernandez-Agreda *et al.* 2016), and in the

resistance to diseases (Sato *et al.* 2009; Cróquer *et al.* 2013; Meyer *et al.* 2016). Finally,

the role of the coral-associated microorganisms and their potential to modify holobiont

adaptability remain so far overlooked (but see (Ziegler *et al.* 2017; Torda *et al.* 2017).

Hence, studying how corals respond to stress implies an integrative approach to analyze

the response of each symbiotic protagonist.

With this aim, we investigated the molecular mechanisms underlying thermo-tolerance

of coral holobionts. We analyzed the holobiont response to stress of two coral

populations originating from environments with contrasting thermal regimes. We used

scleractinian corals from the genus *Pocillopora* as model species because they have

abroad spatial distribution throughout the Indo-Pacific (Veron & Stafford-Smith 2000).

The genus *Pocillopora* is considered to be one of the most environmentally sensitive

(van Woesik *et al.* 2011) but its widespread distribution clearly suggests potential for

acclimation and/or adaptation which may be correlated to specific differences (i.e.

different cryptic lineages may be adapted to different environmental conditions). In

particular, we focused on *Pocillopora damicornis-like* colonies from two localities with

contrasting thermal regimes: colonies from New Caledonia (NC) are exposed to

temperate and stable temperatures over the year, while those from Oman are exposed

to globally warmer and more seasonal fluctuating temperatures. As the *corallum*

macromorphology is not a discriminant character in *Pocillopora* and as the taxonomic

revision of this genus using molecular data reveals that some of the *Pocillopora* species

(Schmidt-Roach *et al.* 2014; Gélin *et al.* 2017b) are actually species complexes, we

identified *a posteriori* the species of the sampled colonies (mitochondrial ORF

sequencing and individual clustering) in order to interpret the results in a precise

evolutionary context. To avoid biases inherent in transplantation-based field

experiments resulting from environmental factors other than temperature, we

undertook our comparative study in a controlled environment in which we mimicked

ecologically realistic heat stress to compare the responses of colonies from both

localities. We combined a specific RNA-seq approach to study the cnidarian host

response, and metabarcoding analyses using ITS-2 and 16S amplicon sequencing to

study the dynamics of the associated algal (Symbionaceae) and bacterial community

compositions, respectively. According to the literature we first expected to detect

changes in both symbiotic algal and bacterial communities in corals from both localities

when exposed to heat stress. Moreover, since variable environments are expected to

promote the evolution of plasticity, we predicted that the cnidarian hosts from Oman

will display more gene expression plasticity than those from New Caledonia. However,

because frontloading was also found to be an alternative response to recurrent changing

conditions, we might also expect some degrees of constitutive high levels of gene

expression at least for some molecular pathways and more particularly in Oman corals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Coral sampling and maintenance

Pocillopora damicornis-like colonies originating from environments characterized by

contrasting thermal regimes were sampled during the warmer month in two different

localities: (1) in Oman, Gulf of Oman, Northwestern Indian Ocean (Om; June 2014; local

seawater temperature during sampling 30.8°C), where corals are exposed to a globally

warmer and variable thermal environment, and (2) in New Caledonia, Southwestern

Pacific Ocean (NC; November 2014; local seawater temperature during sampling

27.1°C), where corals are subject to more mitigate and stable temperatures (see Table 1

for the temperature regime of locality). From each location, we thus sampled colonies

morphologically similar and occupying the same water depth niche. To account for

possible intra-population diversity, three colonies (>20 cm in diameter) were collected

in each locality, and separated by at least 10 m to decrease the probability to collect

members of the same genet, as some *Pocillopora* species are able to propagate by

asexual reproduction (Adjeroud *et al.* 2013; Gélin *et al.* 2017a; 2018). Immediately

following collection, a 1 cm branch tip of each colony was excised, rinsed three times in

filtered seawater (0.22 μm), and placed in RNAlater solution (Sigma Aldrich) for the *in*

situ microbiota analysis. The rest of the colony was fragmented into 20 branches each of

10 cm length and physiologically stabilized in openwater system for one week before

shipping (Al-Hail field stationof the Sultan Qaboos University and the Public aquarium of

Noumea for OM and NC localities respectively). For shipping, individual branches were

placed in plastic bags containing oxygenated seawater (800mL seawater and 1600mL of

medical oxygen), and transported by aircraft to the research aquarium of the Banyuls-

sur-Mer oceanographic observatory (France). The coral branches were maintained in

artificial seawater (Seachem Reef Salt) at 26°C, and supplied daily with *Artemia* nauplii

to satisfy their heterotrophic demand. The conditions in the maintenance tank were

controlled to mimic the natural physicochemical parameters of coral reefs (pH:8.2;

salinity: 36; light intensity: 150 to 250 μmol of photons/m^2/s; photoperiod: 12h

night/12h day;kH: 6–7.5 dkH; calcium concentration: 410–450 mg/L; inorganic

phosphate concentration: < 0.1 mg/L; magnesium concentration: 1300–1400 mg/L;

nitrate concentration: < 5 mg/L). After 3 and 7 months of acclimatization to the

laboratory condition (marked by growth resumption) for Om and NC colonies,

respectively, corals were fragmented to produce a total of ~15 to 20 clones (nubbins)

from each colony (~3 cm). These were individually fixed to a support (here a p1000 tip)

using an epoxy adhesive. We waited for complete healing (evident as tissue extending to cover the epoxy adhesive) prior to run the experiment.

ECOLOGICALLY REALISTIC HEAT STRESS

The aim of this experiment was to compare the response to heat stress of colonies from two localities having the same physiological state, to investigate the patterns of expression of the molecular pathways involved during the stress exposure and the putative modifications of the coral microbiota.

The experimental design comprised eight tanks of 53 L per locality in which the seawater was continuously recycled. The water was sterilized using UV (rate 3200 L/h) and renewed twice per hour in each tank (recirculation rate: 100L/h in each tank). The eight tanks shared the same seawater but their temperature was monitored individually (HOBBY BiothermPro, model 10892; 500W Aqua Medic titanium heater; HOBO TidbiT v2 logger) (Supplementary Figure S1). For each locality, 5 to 8 nubbins per mother colonies were randomly placed in each tank (four tanks per locality) for two weeks at the control temperature and the following protocol was applied: three tanks were then subjected to a gradual temperature increase (stress treatment) while the fourth (control) was maintained at the control temperature to verify that the stress observed in the stressful treatment was not due to other potential confounding effects or water cues (Figure 1). Both the control and stress temperatures were specific for each sampling locality to mimic their respective natural environment. In particular, we set the control temperature as the mean water temperature for the three warmer months measured at the coral sampling site locality (Table 1): 31°C for the colonies from Om, and 27°C for the colonies from NC. The stress treatment was ecologically realistic, i.e. reflecting a naturally occurring warming anomaly, and consisted in increasing the temperature

gradually by 1°C (over 5 consecutive hours) each week until physiological collapse of the

corals became evident (polyps closure, bleaching or necrosis), as described by (Vidal-

Dupiol *et al.* 2009). Sampling was performed in the three sampling tanks just before the

first temperature increase (control condition) as well as each week before the next

temperature increase. The beginning of polyp closure was consistently observed for the

different colonies of the same locality at the same temperature threshold. Samples for

subsequent genetic and transcriptomic analyses were chosen *a posteriori*. They

corresponded to those sampled in each tank just before the first increase of temperature

(control samples), and just before the temperature that produced the first signs of

physiological collapse and before bleaching (stress temperature samples). Thus, for each

condition (control and stress) we obtained three biological replicates of each colony

from the three different tanks (three colonies per locality) to reach a total of 36 samples

(2 localities × 3 colonies× 2 experimental conditions × 3 replicates/tanks). The general

health of the nubbins was assessed via daily photographic monitoring (at noon prior to

feeding) throughout the period of the experiment.

DNA EXTRACTION
DNA was extracted from each 36 samples as well as coral tips directly collected on the

six colonies *in natura* for the *in situ* condition (three in Om, three in NC), using the

DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA

was quantified by spectrophotometry (NanoDrop).

HOST SPECIES AND CLONEMATES IDENTIFICATION
As the *corallum* macromorphology is not a diagnostic criterion in *Pocillopora* genus, the

host species was thus identified molecularly. Thus each colony was sequenced for the

mitochondrial variable open reading frame (ORF) and was genotyped using 13 specific

microsatellites, as in Gélin *et al.* (Gélin *et al.* 2017b). Then each colony used in the experiment was assigned to Primary and Secondary Species Hypothesis (PSH and SSH; *sensu* Pante et al.) (Pante *et al.* 2015) following the nomenclature from Gélin *et al.* (Gélin *et al.* 2017b). Indeed, sampling *Pocillopora* colonies presenting various morphs from different locations from the Indo-Pacific, Gélin et al classified these colonies, without *a priori* based on *corallum* macromorphology ,into Species Hypotheses (*sensu* Pante et al., i.e. the species are hypotheses that can be confirmed or refuted while new data are added) (Pante *et al.* 2015) using sequence-based species delimitation methods, a first sorting allowed to define Primary Species Hypotheses (PSH) and then individual clustering based on microsatellite multilocus genotypes allowed a second sorting delimiting Secondary Species Hypotheses (SSH). Thus comparing the ORF sequences obtained in this study to those from (Gélin *et al.* 2017b), the sampled colonies were assigned to a PSH. Then, if relevant, the colonies were assigned to SSH performing clustering analysis using Structure 2.3.4 (Pritchard *et al.* 2000), as in (Gélin *et al.* 2017b). Meanwhile, the identical multi-locus genotypes (i.e. clonemates if any) were identified by microsatellite analysis using GenClone (Arnaud-Haond & Belkhir 2006) as in Gélin *et al.* 2017a).

MICROBIAL COMMUNITY ANALYSIS USING MISEQ 16S AND ITS2 METABARCODING

The aim of this analysis was to investigate the composition and the dynamics of the two principal symbiotic coral communities (i.e. bacterial and algal) *in situ* and during heat stress.

 AMPLICON SEQUENCING

A bacterial 16S rDNA amplicon library was generated for each of the 42 samples (one *in situ* condition, three control conditions and three stress conditions per colony, three colonies per locality, two localities), using the 341F (CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG) and 805R (GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC) primers, which target the variable V3/V4 loops (Klindworth *et al.* 2012). The Symbiodiniaceae assemblages were analyzed using ITS2 (internal transcribed spacer of the ribosomal RNA gene) amplicon libraries and specific primers targeting a sequence of approximately 350 bp (ITS2-F GTGAATTGCAGAACTCCGTG; ITS2-R CCTCCGCTTACTTATATGCTT) (Lajeunesse & Trench 2000; Quigley *et al.* 2014). For both markers, paired-end sequencing using a 250 bp read length was performed on the MiSeq system (Illumina) using the v2 chemistry, according to the manufacturer's protocol at the Centre d'Innovation Génome Québec and McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSIS:

The FROGS pipeline (Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution) implemented on a Galaxy platform (http://sigenae-workbench.toulouse.inra.fr/galaxy/) was used for data processing (Escudié *et al.* 2017). In brief, paired reads were merged using FLASH (Magoč & Salzberg 2011). After cleaning and removal of primer/adapters using cutadapt (Martin 2011), *de novo* clustering was performed using SWARM (Mahé *et al.* 2014). This uses a local clustering threshold with an aggregation distance (d) of 3. Chimeras were removed using VSEARCH (Rognes *et al.* 2016). We filtered the dataset for singletons and performed affiliation using Blast+ against the Silva database (release 128, September 2016) for 16S amplicons (Altschul *et al.* 1990). For ITS2 metabarcoding, the Symbiodiniaceae type was assessed using Blast+ against an in-house database of

Symbiodiniaceae reference sequences built from sequences publicly available. An OTU table in standard BIOM format with taxonomic affiliation was produced for subsequent analyses.

For community composition analysis we used the *phyloseq* R package (McMurdie & Holmes 2013) to infer alpha diversity metrics at the OTU level, and beta diversity (between sample similarity) from the OTU table. Community similarity was assessed by Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) using the Bray-Curtis distance matrices.

We performed one-way ANOVAs to compare alpha and beta diversity metrics among the groups of samples by sampling locality or by treatment. Corrections based on multiple testing were performed using FDR (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995). For all analyses, the threshold significance level was set at 0.05.

TRANSCRIPTOME ANALYSIS

The aim of this analysis was to study the transcriptomes of the sampled colonies in response to heat stress compared with controlled conditions.

RNA EXTRACTION

Total RNA was extracted from each coral sample using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quantity and integrity of the total RNA extracted was checked using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies)(mean RIN =7.5). Paired-end fragment libraries (2 × 100 bp) were constructed and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform at the Centre d'Innovation Génome Québec at McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSES

Fastq read files were processed on the Galaxy instance of the IHPE (http://bioinfo.univ-perp.fr) (Giardine *et al.* 2005). Quality control and initial cleaning of the reads were

performed using the filter by quality program (version 1.0.0) based on the FASTX-toolkit (Blankenberg *et al.* 2010). Reads having fewer than 90% of bases having a Phred quality score ≤ 26 were discarded (probability of 2.5 incorrect base call out of 1000, and a base call accuracy of 99.75%). Adaptors used for sequencing were removed using the cutadapt program version 1.6 (Martin 2011). All paired-end reads were aligned using RNAstar software under default parameters, with at least 66% of the bases being required to align to the reference, and a maximum of ten mismatches per read (Dobin *et al.* 2013). The *Pocillopora damicornis sensu lato* reference genome used in this study (manuscript in preparation) consisted of a draft assembly of 25,553 contigs (352 Mb total) and N50 = 171,375 bp. The resulting transcriptome served as the reference for reads mapping, and a GTF annotation file was constructed using cufflink/cuffmerge (Trapnell *et al.* 2010). HTseq was used to produce count files for genes (Anders *et al.* 2015). The DESeq2 package was used to estimate the normalized abundances of the transcripts, and to calculate differential gene expression for samples between the control temperature and the stress temperature for each locality (Love *et al.* 2014), considering the different genotypes (three biological replicates for each genotype) and using default parameters. We next analyzed genes according to their expression patterns among the different colonies and temperature treatments. Genes were clustered manually into six groups according to their differential expression levels: common over-expressed genes, NC-specific over-expressed genes, Om-specific over-expressed genes, common under-expressed genes, NC-specific under-expressed genes, and Om-specific under-expressed genes. Cluster 3.0 (de Hoon *et al.* 2004) and Treeview (Saldanha 2004) were used to build the heatmap.

DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS OF PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS (DAPC):

Our aim was to quantify and compare the level of genome-wide transcriptome plasticity

between colonies from Om and NC in response to heat stress. To achieve this we

performed a discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) based on a log-

transformed transcript abundance matrix (containing 26,600 genes) obtained from the

36 samples (*i.e.* 9 control and 9 stressed replicates per locality), as described previously

(Kenkel & Matz 2016). Specifically, we ran a DAPC analysis using the resulting log2

transformed dataset for the colonies from NC and Om reared in controlled conditions as

predefined groups in the *adegenet* package implemented in R (Jombart *et al.* 2010). Two

principal components and a single discriminant function were retained. We then

predicted the position of stressed colonies from both localities (Om and NC) onto the

unique discriminant function of the DAPC.

We next ran a general linear model (GLM) using the DAPC scores as dependent variable,

and accounted for the locality of origin (NC and Om), the conditions (control and heat

stress), and their interaction as explanatory variables. We also considered the effect of

individual colonies nested within localities as random effects in the model. Our final

objective was to test for a potential significant effect of the interaction between the

locality and the condition effects, as a proxy of significant differences in the genome-

wide gene expression reaction norms (i.e. differences in DAPC scores between the

control and the heat stress treatments) between Om and NC colonies..

GO ENRICHMENT OF DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED GENES

The transcriptome was annotated *de novo* using a translated nucleotide query (blastx

(Altschul *et al.* 1990)) against the non-redundant protein sequence database (nr). The

25 best hits were then used to search for gene ontology terms using the Blast2Go

program (Conesa *et al.* 2016). To identify the biological functions significantly enriched within up or down-regulated genes, a Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis was performed. Lists of GO terms belonging to significantly up-regulated and down-regulated genes were compared to the GO terms of the whole expressed gene set using a Fischer exact test and a FDR value of 0.05. We used REVIGO to visualize the enriched biological processes (Supek *et al.* 2011).

RESULTS

HOST IDENTIFICATION

Among the three colonies from New Caledonia, colonies NC2 and NC3 presented haplotype ORF18 and were assigned to Primary Species Hypothesis PSH05and more precisely to Secondary Species Hypothesis SSH05a (Gélin *et al.* 2017b), corresponding to *P. damicornis* type β (Schmidt-Roach *et al.* 2014) or type 5a (Pinzón *et al.* 2013), while colony NC1 presented ORF09 and was assigned to PSH04, *P. damicornis* type α, *P. damicornis* or type 4a, respectively. As for colonies from Oman, they all presented ORF34 and were assigned to PSH12 (Gélin *et al.* 2017a) or type 7a (Pinzón *et al.* 2013) (Supplementary Table S2), that is not part of the *P. damicornis sensu lato* species complex. Thus NC colonies are phylogenetically closer from each other than from colonies from Oman. These three PSHs represent three different species.

Furthermore, NC2 and NC3 multi-locus genotypes (MLGs) differed only from one allele over 26 gene copies, and were thus part of the same clonal lineage (genet), i.e. the entity that groups together colonies whose multi-locus genotypes slightly differ due to somatic mutations or scoring errors. All the other colonies presented MLG that differed enough not to be considered as clonemates or members of the same clonal lineage (genet).

 ECOLOGICALLY REALISTIC HEAT STRESS

Our goal was to ensure that our experimental heat stress faithfully reflects a realistic

heat stress *in natura*. Following collection from the field, the corals from the different

localities were first maintained in the same controlled conditions at 26°C prior to the

experiment. During this period no mortality or signs of degradation/stress were

observed for any of the coral colonies. Two weeks before the experiment, a first

acclimatization to the control temperatures (27°C or 31°C for NC and Om respectively)

was performed. During the experimental heat stress (i.e. gradual temperature increase),

visual and photographic monitoring clearly indicated that the first sign of coral stress

(i.e. the closure of polyps) occurred at day 30 for both sampling localities, corresponding

to 30°C and 34°C for the NC and Om colonies, respectively. These temperatures perfectly

match the warmest temperature experienced by these colonies in the field (Table 1). No

signs of physiological collapse were observed in control corals throughout the

experiment indicating that all the other parameters were maintained optimal for coral

colonies.

BACTERIAL COMMUNITIES

Among the overall 42 samples analyzed, a total of 5,308,761 16S rDNA amplicon

sequences were obtained after cleaning and singleton filtering corresponding to 15,211

OTUs. In all samples the class Gammaproteobacteria was dominant (77.7%), particularly

the genus *Endozoicomonas* (44.7% of the sequences); this genus is known to be an

endosymbiont of numerous scleractinians (Neave *et al.* 2016b) (See Supplementary

Figure S3 for complete bacterial composition in each colony and replicate). The PCoA of

Bray-Curtis distances for all colonies showed no evident clusters based on the

experimental treatments (Figure 2). We observed a loose grouping based on localities

and colonies, except for colony NC1, which appeared to have a more specific microbiota

composition, as it had a different grouping associated with the first axis, which

explained22.3% of the variance. This could be correlated with the different species

hypotheses for NC1 compared to NC2 and NC3 (see above). The one-way ANOVA for

alpha diversity (Shannon index) revealed significant differences in the microbiota

diversity between localities (P <0.05) and colonies (P < 0.05), but no differences among

the *in situ,* control and stress conditions (P=0.885). Similar results were obtained for the

beta-diversity (Bray-Curtis distance) (ANOVA between localities: P<0.05; between

colonies: P<0.05; between conditions: P=0.554; the ANOVA results are provided in

Supplementary Table S4). Thus, the bacterial composition appeared to be relatively

specific to each colony within each locality, but no major shift was observed during the

transition from the natural environment to artificial seawater, nor during heat stress

exposure.

SYMBIODINIACEAE ASSEMBLAGES

Analysis of the Symbiodiniaceae composition was performed based on an ITS2

metabarcoding, which allowed intra-clade resolution.

Removal of OTUs having an abundance of < 1% left only 4 OTUs among all samples. Two

of these corresponded to type C1, while the other two corresponded to type D1a

according to (Baker 2003). Type D1a was highly dominant in the colonies originating

from Oman, whereas type C1 was almost exclusive to the corals from New Caledonia

(Figure 3). The Symbiodiniaceae community composition was very specific to each

locality, but remained stable during the transition from the natural environment to

artificial seawater, and during heat stress exposure.

We generated 36 transcriptomes corresponding to triplicate samples for three colonies

of each locality exposed to the control (C) and stress (S) temperatures.

Overall, the transcriptome sequencing of these36 samples yielded 1,970,889,548 high

quality Illumina paired reads of 100 bp. Globally, 40–64% of reads obtained for the Om

colonies, and 59–70% of reads obtained for NC colonies successfully mapped to the

Pocillopora damicornis (type β) reference genome. The apparently better alignment of

samples from New Caledonia most likely relies on the fact that the New Caledonia

colonies used in this study belong to *P. damicornis* types αor 4a (PSH04) and βor 5a

(PSH05), which are phylogenetically close to each other and closer from the reference

genome, than the Om colonies from type 7a (PSH12) that is phylogenetically more

distant from the reference genome. The aligned reads were assembled in 99,571 unique

transcripts (TCONS), representing putative splicing variants of 26,600 genes identified

as "XLOC" in the genome (FASTA sequences available in Supplementary File S5).

The hierarchical clustering analyses clearly grouped together samples belonging to the

same locality and species hypothesis according to their genome-wide gene expression

patterns, in link with the phylogenetic differences between the NC and Om haplotypes

(Figure 4). Within locality and species hypothesis, the transcriptomes also grouped by

colony, indicating that the transcriptomes were genotype-specific. For each colony, the

transcriptomes then grouped by condition (control or heat stress), except for New

Caledonia colonies NC2 and NC3 (corresponding to the same clonal lineage) that

clustered together when exposed to control and heat stress conditions.

Despite clustering of the transcriptomes by locality, as the sampling of *Pocillopora damicornis*-like colonies actually corresponded to different species we performed differential gene expression analysis for each colony independently (comparing the biological triplicates for the control condition *vs.* triplicates for the heat stress conditions). For each locality, the different colonies displayed similar patterns of differential gene expression with in any case a higher number of differentially expressed genes and higher fold-changes between control and heat stress condition in Om compared to NC (Supplementary Figure S6). We detected 673, 479 and 265 differentially expressed genes for NC1, NC2 and NC3 respectively, *vs.* 2870, 2216 and 959 for Om1, Om2 and Om3. Samples were thus grouped for each locality (nine control nubbins + nine heat stress nubbins) for subsequent analyses (full results of the comparisons between stressed and controls (log2-foldchange and adjusted *p*-values) for each colony or between the two localities are provided in Supplementary File S7). For Om colonies, a total of 5,287 genes were differentially expressed between control and stress conditions. This number was much lower for NC colonies with 1,460 differentially expressed genes (adjusted $P < 0.05$).

Among differentially expressed genes genes, 848 were differentially expressed in the same direction in both localities (498 over-expressed and 350 under-expressed). Nevertheless, the differential expression level was significantly higher for the Om corals with a mean log2-fold change of 0.9 for shared over-expressed genes in Om *vs.* 0.6 in NC, and -1.2 for the shared under-expressed genes in Om *vs.* -0.8 in NC (Wilcoxon test; $P < 0.0001$) (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S8).

Additionally, colonies from the two localities also responded specifically to heat stress. In particular, 272 genes were over-expressed and 294 were under-expressed only in the NC corals, whereas 2,082 were over-expressed and 2,311 were under-expressed only in the Om ones when exposed to heat stress. Finally, the colonies from both localities displayed antagonistic transcriptomic responses to heat stress for a small subset of genes (24 over-expressed in NC but under-expressed in Om, and 22 under-expressed in NC but over-expressed in Om).

Altogether these results revealed a greater transcriptomic response to heat stress in colonies originating from Oman compared to those from New Caledonia (4,393 differentially expressed genes for the Om corals *vs.* 566 genes for the NC ones).

DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS OF PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS (DAPC):
At the overall gene expression level, our DAPC analysis clearly discriminated the colonies from both localities (Figure 7). More interestingly, the GLM revealed a significant interaction term between the locality and condition (control or heat stress) effects ($P = 0.04$), hence indicating that the slope of the reaction norm was different between localities. More particularly, the Om colonies responded to a greater extent than the NC ones, and thus showed significantly higher gene expression plasticity in response to heat stress.

It is worth stressing that colonies having experienced the heat stress displayed more similar genome-wide expression profiles than controlled colonies (Fig. 7). Such pattern was also observed in colonies of mustard hill coral *P. astreoides* experiencing a heat stress compared to controls (Kenkel & Matz 2016). This apparent convergence in the functional response of colonies from different habitats to heat stress might be at least

partly explain by the fact some common molecular pathways are turned-on when colonies are facing stressful conditions although the magnitude of such responses is different.

ANALYSIS OF GENE FUNCTION:
To investigate the functions associated with the differentially expressed genes we performed a blastx annotation of transcripts followed by a Gene Ontology (GO) term (biological process, molecular function, and cell compartment) (Supplementary FileS9).

For the 498 common over-expressed genes, 139 biological processes were enriched compared to the entire set of annotated genes. The most significant biological process identified in the REVIGO analysis (i.e. with lowest FDR= $2.1×10^{-68}$) was response to stress (Figure 8). Following this sequentially, were cellular metabolism (FDR=$3.7×10^{-49}$), positive regulation of biological processes (FDR = $2.4×10^{-43}$), cell death (FDR = $2.5×10^{-33}$), cellular localization (FDR = $8.4×10^{-25}$), and pigment metabolism (FDR = $2.1×10^{-21}$). Among the 272genes over-expressed in the NC but not in the Om colonies in response to heat stress, 38 biological processes were enriched: organic acid catabolism (FDR = $1.6×10^{-22}$), protein transport (FDR = $1.8×10^{-16}$), stress response (FDR = $4.8×10^{-13}$), and cellular metabolism (FDR = $3×10^{-12}$) were the four most significantly enriched biological processes (Figure 8). Among the 2,082 genes over-expressed in the Om but not in the NC colonies in response to heat stress, 160 biological processes were enriched, the most significant being ncRNA metabolism (FDR = $8.9×10^{-303}$), cellular metabolism (FDR = $4.4×10^{-70}$), carbohydrate derivative biosynthesis (FDR = $5.9×10^{-64}$), and organic substance transport (FDR = $2×10^{-44}$).

For the 350 genes that were under-expressed following heat stress irrespective to the locality of origin (Om or NC), 48 biological processes were enriched and grouped into five biological processes: nitrogen compound transport (FDR = 5.4×10^{-89}), localization (FDR = 8.1×10^{-10}), regulation of neurotransmitter levels (FDR = 1.2×10^{-8}), system development (FDR = 8.8×10^{-6}), and single organism process (FDR = 4×10^{-4}). Among the under-expressed genes in the NC colonies only, a single biological process (anatomical structure/morphogenesis) was found to be enriched (FDR = 9×10^{-3}). Among the under-expressed genes in the Om colonies, 139 biological processes were enriched, with the most significant being ion transmembrane transport (FDR = 7.6×10^{-104}), single multicellular organism process (FDR = 7.5×10^{-53}), regulation of biological quality (FDR = 6×10^{-48}), cell–cell signaling (FDR = 1.5×10^{-23}), single organism process (FDR = 1.1×10^{-18}), multicellular organism process (FDR = 1.5×10^{-16}), biological regulation (FDR = 2.3×10^{-15}), response to abiotic stimulus (FDR = 6.2×10^{-13}),and localization (FDR = 4.6×10^{-12}).

The complete results for all GO term categories including molecular function are available in Supplementary File S9.

Regarding cellular compartments, the mitochondria was the most significantly enriched in the common over-expressed genes (FDR = 1.5×10^{-180}), as well as among genes over-expressed in NC (FDR = 2.5×10^{-82}) while in genes over-expressed in Om corals the intracellular organelle lumen was the most significantly enriched (FDR = 1×10^{-560}).

To investigate whether the presumably more thermotolerant colonies from Oman displayed a frontloading strategy (i.e. a higher expression for some genes compared to

the colonies from NC) as previously described in scleractinian corals (Barshis *et al.*

2013), we compared the gene expression levels in control conditions between Om and

NC colonies for those genes that were over-expressed in NC colonies (Supplementary

File S10). This comparison revealed that the constitutive expression level was often

greater in the Om colonies. Among the 770 genes that were over-expressed in NC

colonies in response to thermal stress (272 specifically and 498 in common with Om),

484 were constitutively (i.e. in the control condition) more expressed in Om. Among

these genes,163 were not differentially expressed between the control and stress

temperatures reflecting true frontloading based on the definition of Barshis et al.

(2013), while 301 were over-expressed and only 20 were under-expressed during heat

stress. These 484 genes with higher constitutive expression in Om were submitted to GO

term enrichment analysis. No significant results were found for the under-expressed

genes. The frontloaded genes were enriched in the biological processes cellular

respiration (FDR = 4.4×10^{-23}), cellular component organization (FDR = 0.002),

homeostatic process (FDR = 0.005), cellular component organization or biogenesis (FDR

= 0.007), cofactor metabolism (FDR = 0.009), and stress response (FDR = 0.009), and in

the mitochondrion for the most significant cellular compartment (FDR = 1.6×10^{-66}).

Most interestingly, for genes associated with a higher basal expression level together

with over-expression in the Om colonies, the most enriched biological processes were

stress response (FDR = 1.2×10^{-26}), pigment metabolism (FDR = 5.1×10^{-24}), regulation of

phosphate metabolism (FDR = 3.2×10^{-15}), cellular metabolism (FDR = 2.7×10^{-11}), and

protein folding (FDR = 7.3×10^{-6}).

DISCUSSION

SPECIFIC CONTEXT OF ADAPTATION

Our aim was to compare the phenotypic plasticity in terms of transcriptomic response to heat stress of coral colonies originating from different localities displaying contrasted thermal regimes. As morphology can be misleading for species identification in scleractinians, notably in *Pocillopora* genus (Gélin et al., 2017a), we used a molecular approach to test the species relationships of our samples. The analysis of mitochondrial sequences and clustering analyses indicated that, despite similar morphologies, our samples corresponded to different species. This agrees well with previous works showing the importance of cryptic lineages and morphological plasticity in the *Pocillopora* genus (Gélin *et al.* 2017a and references herein). Oman colonies corresponded to species hypothesis PSH12 of (Gélin *et al.* 2017b),which is restricted to the Northwestern Indian Ocean. Regarding the two species hypotheses from NC, SSH05a (*P. damicornis* type β SSH05a or *P. acuta*) is found in the Pacific Ocean and PSH04 (*P. damicornis* type α or *P. damicornis sensu stricto*) is nearly exclusively found in the Pacific Ocean (very rare in the Indian Ocean, and not found yet in Red Sea) (Gélin *et al.* 2017b). It would be interesting to study whether inside each species hypothesis, different thermotolerance phenotypes are present. Conversely, the observation of a similar response to thermal stress in two different species in NC, as revealed by differential gene expression as well as DAPC analyses, could indicate either a conserved strategy or a convergence under the same ecological conditions.

AN ECOLOGICALLY REALISTIC HEAT STRESS

The heat stress applied in this study was ecologically realistic, since the first visual response (i.e. polyp closure) was observed for all colonies when the gradually increasing

experimental temperature reached the upper temperature they are subjected to *in*

natura (30°C and 34°C for NC and Om corals, respectively). From a biological point of

view this first result hence clearly supports that these colonies from two localities that

are experiencing two different thermal regimes *in natura* display differential ability to

deal with heat stress. Moreover, the accurate control of all other seawater parameters

allows us to consider that the holobiont response to the thermal treatment is specific to

heat stress and not to other possible confounding effects. Last, as we analyzed the

samples before the first visible signs of stress (polyp closure), any change in the

holobiont would therefore reflect the response to the heat stress and not homeostasis

breakdown after disruption of the coral integrity.

SYMBIOTIC COMMUNITY: BACTERIAL AND SYMBIONACEAE COMPOSITION

For the bacterial community, we identified significant differences between localities and

colonies. The microbiota composition of all samples was consistent with previous

studies, showing a high proportion of Gammaproteobacteria and dominance of the

symbiotic *Endozoicomonas* genus (Bourne & Munn 2005; Neave *et al.* 2016a; Peixoto *et*

al. 2017). However, our results clearly demonstrate that neither maintenance in the

experimental structure nor experimental heat stress induced major bacterial community

changes in coral colonies irrespective to their locality of origin. For the Symbionaceae

community, the ITS2 metabarcoding analysis enabled inter-clade resolution (Quigley *et*

al. 2014). Two distinct types of D1a and C1 clades dominated, representing most of the

sequences in the Om and NC corals, respectively. Nine ITS types (A to I) have been

identified in the former genus *Symbiodinium* (Baker 2003). Some Symbiodiniaceae

strains strongly participate to the overall holobiont fitness, with type D providing

tolerance to higher temperatures (Berkelmans & van Oppen 2006) and C1 enhancing

coral growth rates (Little *et al.* 2004). Interestingly, we found that the type D1a is dominant in the more thermotolerant Om corals, which is consistent with the results of previous works (Berkelmans & van Oppen 2006), however recent results shows that such an association is rather linked with minimal temperatures than annual amplitude of temperature changes (Brener-Raffalli *et al.* 2018).

Although the microbial community (both bacterial and Symbiodiniaceae) differed between the NC and Om corals, the composition did not change during transition from the field to the artificial seawater conditions, and remained similar during the experimental temperature increase. Thus, the coral holobiont assemblage remained stable over the course of the experiment. Such stability of the microbial community during experimental heat stress was previously observed in the scleractinian *Acropora millepora* (Bellantuono *et al.* 2012b) and *A. tenuis* (Littman *et al.* 2010). Thus, our study conforms to the idea that microbial communities associated with scleractinian corals remain unchanged when the holobionts are exposed to stressful temperatures (but see (Ziegler *et al.* 2017)) but further analyses of gene expression level would be needed to assess their functional responses. RNA-sequencing of eukaryotic poly-adenylated mRNA would allow in principle dual analysis of Symbiodiniaceae and coral host transcripts {Mayfield:2014et}, but since our RNA extraction method resulted in very few algal transcripts, we only focused on the host transcriptomic response.

Based on these results, we investigated changes in host gene expression as the main mechanism of response to heat stress in our experimental design.

HOST TRANSCRIPTOMIC RESPONSE

Given the observed stability of the microbial symbiotic community during heat stress, we focused more specifically on the responses attributable to the coral host. We thus compared gene expression patterns at the qualitative and quantitative levels in Om and NC colonies in response to heat stress compared to the control condition. Altogether, our results clearly highlight that the Oman colonies exposed to more variable thermal conditions *in natura* also display, in response to heat stress, a greater plasticity in gene expression levels than the NC colonies. In particular, the transcriptomic response of the Oman colonies involved a larger number of genes with 73% of commonly differentially expressed genes having higher fold changes compared to the NC colonies. These findings are consistent with the theoretical expectations that amore variable environment promotes the evolution of a greater plasticity (Lande 2009). Accordingly, a recent transplantation study conducted *in natura* also identified greater transcriptomic plasticity in a more thermotolerant (in-shore) population compared with an (off-shore) population inhabiting a more stable thermal habitat in the mustard hill coral *P. astreoides* (Kenkel & Matz 2016).

Importantly however, we also identified several genes whose expression is constitutively higher in the Om colonies compared to the NC colonies by comparing the expression levels in the control condition. This process recently called "frontloading" (Barshis *et al.* 2013) reflects the preemptive expression of stress-response genes, hence predisposing organisms to better respond to stress. It has been proposed that the occurrence of plasticity *vs.* frontloading strategies may depend on the frequency of stresses relative to the typical response time of organisms, with frequent stresses promoting frontloading strategies whereas less frequent perturbations would result in an increased plasticity (Kenkel & Matz 2016). Other conceptual considerations

especially in regards to the predictability of environmental variation through

generations should also be taken into account (Danchin 2013; Herman *et al.* 2014). The

frontloading is by definition more costly than plasticity since it transforms a response to

the environment in a constitutive function. Frontloading is therefore a strategy that

would be more efficient when offspring's habitat is highly predictable. On the contrary,

an unpredictable or less predictable offspring environment may promote plasticity to

enable the exploration of a wider phenotypic landscape at a lesser cost. Plasticity and

frontloading are often discussed as mutually exclusive responses (Barshis *et al.* 2013;

Kenkel & Matz 2016). However, corals are known to display a high level of variation in

their reproduction strategies (brooder vs. broadcast spawner) (Whitaker 2006; Baird *et*

al. 2009), timing (Fan *et al.* 2006) and pelagic larval duration (Harrison & Wallace

1990). Environmental predictability in terms of stress frequency and annual

temperature variation should be therefore limited and we hypothesized that, rather

than being exclusive, plasticity and frontloading often co-occur especially in the

population experiencing extreme environments.

Our results clearly support that plasticity and frontloading indeed co-occur specifically

in the thermotolerant Om colonies experiencing a more variable thermal environment *in*

natura. To tease apart the biological processes that are regulated via plasticity or

frontloading in *Pocillopora* response to heat stress, we conducted an enrichment

analysis. Keeping in mind that congruency between gene expression and protein levels

should be cautious (Mayfield *et al.* 2016), we propose a detailed discussion of the

response of coral colonies at the molecular level for each main biological process

identified (Supplementary File S11). Notably, we found differences in gene expression

levels in response to temperature increase between the two localities for genes involved

in response to heat stress (such as HSPs), detoxification of reactive oxygen species,

apoptosis, mitochondria energetic functioning, and symbiont maintenance with higher

number of differentially expressed genes for the Om corals associated to higher fold

changes. Our results also suggest that allocating energy in heat stress response is at the

expense of other crucial biological processes such as growth and reproductive functions,

even if we could not test experimentally fitness effect of the experimental heat stress.

However, the molecular mechanisms underlying such overall response to heat stress are

still partly unresolved. Interestingly, we also found specific gene expression patterns

linked with epigenetic regulation that could be involved in such mechanisms and could

fuel rapid adaptive evolution (Maumus *et al.* 2009; Torda *et al.* 2017; Jablonka 2017)

CONCLUSION:

Comparison of the response to an ecologically realistic heat stress of corals from the

same genus but pertaining to different species hypotheses thriving in two contrasting

thermal environments sheds light on the molecular basis of thermotolerance. We found

that during heat exposure, the symbiotic community composition remained stable in

colonies from both localities, but we identified major differences in gene regulation

processes in the coral, thereby underlining the role of the coral host in the response to

heat stress. The colonies from the locality displaying the most variable environment

displayed (i) a more plastic transcriptome response involving more differentially

expressed genes and higher fold expression changes; as well as (ii) a constitutive higher

level of expression for another set of genes (frontloading). In the context of climate

change, which is predicted to cause abnormal and rapid temperature increase (IPCC

2014), phenotypic plasticity and the capacity for rapid adaptation through epigenetic

regulation and/or genetic assimilation would increase the probability of coral survival. Previous studies highlighted the importance of reef managements measures (Rogers *et al.* 2015) and assisted evolution (van Oppen *et al.* 2015), but also underlined the importance of preserving standing genetic/epigenetic variation in wild coral populations (Matz *et al.* 2017). Our results also suggest that management measures must include protection of naturally thermotolerant populations as they have the potential to resist increasing thermal anomalies. Although the molecular mechanisms we described are most likely largely shared in this group of scleractinians, the question remains of the determinism of this thermotolerant phenotype and of the heritability of this character. If some loci are responsible for these differences in thermotolerance, the possibility of gene flow between populations or even specific lineages in the genus *Pocillopora*, could deeply impact the response of these species to climate change (Mumby *et al.* 2011) . It is however essential to keep in mind that even the most thermotolerant corals may bleach if they are exposed to temperature significantly higher to their own norm (Hughes *et al.* 2017b; Le Nohaïc *et al.* 2017).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to Dr. Madjid Delghandi from the Center of Marine Biotechnology at Sultan Qaboos University, the Al-Hail field station and the central laboratory of the College of Agricultural and Marine Sciences for providing us with necessary equipment during field work in Oman. We acknowledge Dr Gilles Le Moullac and Dr Yannick Gueguen from the Centre Ifremer du Pacifique for providing us aquaculture facilities during sampling. We acknowledge the Plateforme Gentyane of the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA, Clermont-Ferrand, France) for microsatellite

genotyping. We also thank the Genotoul bioinformatics platform, and the Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees and Sigenae group for providing help and computing resources (Galaxy instance; http://sigenae-workbench.toulouse.inra.fr as well as the Bio-Environnement platform (University of Perpignan) for sequencing and bioinformatics service. This project was funded by the ADACNI program of the French national research agency (ANR) (project no. ANR-12-ADAP-0016; http://adacni.imbe.fr), the Campus France PHC program Maïmonide-Israel and the DHOF program of the UMR5244 IHPE (http://ihpe.univ-perp.fr/en/ihpe-transversal-holobiont/). This work is a contribution to the Labex OT-Med (n° ANR-11-LABX-0061) funded by the ANR "Investissementsd'Avenir" programthrough the A*MIDEX project (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02). This study is set within the framework of the "Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX)" TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41). We would like to thank the two reviewers of PCI ecology for their constructive and helpful comments.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study have been submitted to the SRA repository under bioproject number PRJNA399069 (to be released upon publication).

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

JVD, MA, DA, GM, and ET were involved in the study concept and design. KBR, LF, MC, MA, PR and JVD were involved in the collection of samples. All authors were involved in data acquisition and analyses. KBR, JVD, GM, OR and ET drafted the manuscript, and all authors contributed to critical revisions and approved the final manuscript.

TABLES

Table 1: Sea Surface Temperature (SST) regimes to which the colonies sampled in this study (i.e. Oman and New Caledonia) are exposed in their natural environments. Thermal regime descriptors were compiled from weekly mean sea surface temperature data collected from the Integrated Global Ocean Services System Products Bulletin (i.e. IGOSS: http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/.IGOSS/) for quadrats of 1° longitude X 1° latitude and from 1982 to the year of sampling (2013-2014).

	New Caledonia	Oman
Mean SST (°C)	24.8	27.9
Variance (°C)	2.7	9.5
Min SST (°C)	22.6	22.1
Max SST (°C)	27.1	33.2
Mean SST of 3 warmer months (°C)	26.8	31.3
Mean SST of 3 cooler months (°C)	22.8	23.8

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1: The ecologically realistic heat stress experiment: from mean temperatures of the warmer months *in natura* to a pre-bleaching physiological state. Nubbins were collected at each time point and arrows represent points at which nubbins were chosen for analyzing the microbial composition and the transcriptomic response of the host.

FIGURE 2: Principal coordinate analysis plot for Bray-Curtis distances of the bacterial composition of each colony in each experimental condition. Different colors represent different colonies, the stars represent the *in situ* conditions, the open circles represent the control conditions, and the squares represent the stress conditions.

FIGURE 3: Composition of the Symbiodiniaceae community in each colony *in situ* and in controlled and stressful experimental conditions.

FIGURE 4: Hierarchical clustering analyses performed using DESeq2 rlog-normalized RNA-seq data for the 36 transcriptomes: two conditions (control and heat stress); three replicates per condition for each colony; three colonies per locality; and two localities [Oman (Om) and New Caledonia (NC)]. The color (from white to dark blue) indicates the distance metric used for clustering (dark blue corresponds to the maximum correlation values).

FIGURE 5: Heatmap and clustering of significantly differentially expressed genes between the control and the heat stress condition for colonies from each locality. Each gene is represented by a line.

FIGURE 6: Scatterplot of the log2-fold changes in gene expression in response to heat stress in the Om colonies (y-axis) *vs.* the NC colonies (x-axis) for the 848 genes that were

over-expressed (498 genes) or under-expressed (350 genes) in colonies from both

localities. The line represents the y=x line depicting similar responses between colonies.

FIGURE 7: Colony level gene expression variation in response to heat stress, based on

DAPC analysis. The x-axis is the first discriminant function of the DAPC along which the

overall gene expression difference between colonies at both experimental conditions

(stress and control) and from both localities (NC and Om) was maximized. This indicates

the degree of similarity between the transcriptomes. The density plots obtained for NC

and Om colonies are represented in blue and green, respectively. Dark and light density

plots correspond to samples from the control and stress experimental conditions. The

arrows above the density plots represent the direction of the mean change in the gene

expression profiles.

FIGURE 8: Summary of the GO enrichment analysis following REVIGO synthesis. Each

enriched biological process is represented by a bar proportional to the log10(FDR). The

colors correspond to the three categories of genes (common: black; Om-specific: grey;

NC-specific: white) that were over-expressed (left panel) or under-expressed (right

panel).

SUPPLEMENTARY FILES

Supplementary Figure S1: Experimental setup. Four tanks were used for each locality, 3 tanks containing the sampled colonies (one replicate per timepoint and per tank) and one additional tank as a control of coral health at the control temperature during the experiment.

Supplementary Table S2: Haplotype analysis of the six sampled colonies with microsatellite genotyping for the colonies from New Caledonia.

Supplementary Figure S3: Bacterial class composition (for the 24 most abundant) within each replicate for the Om and NC colonies, the three colonies of each locality, and three experimental conditions per colony. *In situ* (dark arrows); control temperature (green arrows); stress temperature (red arrows).

Supplementary Table S4: ANOVA results for alpha diversity (Shannon index) and beta diversity (Bray-Curtis distance) between localities, colonies, or experimental conditions.

Supplementary File S5: List and sequences of the 26,600 genes (XLOC) generated during RNAseq alignment.

Supplementary Figure S6: Heatmap and clustering of significantly differentially expressed genes between the control and the heat stress condition for each colony from the two localities. Each gene is represented by a line.

Supplementary File S7: DEseq2results for the log2-foldchanges, a nd adjusted p values between stress and control conditions for each locality (sheet 1) and for each colony (sheet 2).

Supplementary Table S8: Comparison between the log2-foldchange in Om and NC

colonies for genes differentially under-expressed or over-expressed in the same way in

colonies from both localities.

Supplementary File S9: GO enrichment results for biological processes, molecular

functions, and cellular compartments for common, New Caledonia-specific, or Oman-

specific over-expressed and under-expressed genes.

Supplementary File S10: Frontloaded genes in Oman corals among genes over-

expressed in New Caledonia corals.

Supplementary File S11: Description of the functional analysis of genes, biological

functions and cell compartment involved in the response to stress.

References:

Adjeroud M, Guérécheau A, Vidal-Dupiol J *et al.* (2013) Genetic diversity, clonality and connectivity in the scleractinian coral *Pocillopora damicornis*: a multi-scale analysis in an insular, fragmented reef system. *Marine Biology*, **161**, 531–541.

Ainsworth TD, Wasmund K, Ukani L *et al.* (2011) Defining the tipping point: a complex cellular life/death balance in corals in response to stress. *Scientific Reports*, **1**, 160.

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of molecular biology*, **215**, 403–410.

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W (2015) HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, **31**, 166–169.

Arnaud-Haond S, Belkhir K (2006) genclone: a computer program to analyse genotypic data, test for clonality and describe spatial clonal organization. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **7**, 15–17.

Baird AH, Guest JR, Willis BL (2009) Systematic and Biogeographical Patterns in the Reproductive Biology of Scleractinian Corals. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **40**, 551–571.

Baker AC (2003) Flexibility and specificity in coral-algal symbiosis: diversity, ecology, and biogeography of *Symbiodinium*. *Annual Review of Ecology*.

Barnosky AD, Matzke N, Tomiya S *et al.* (2011) Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? *Nature*, **471**, 51–57.

Barshis DJ, Barshis DJ, Ladner JT *et al.* (2013) From the Cover: Genomic basis for coral resilience to climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, 1387–1392.

Bellantuono AJ, Bellantuono AJ, Granados-Cifuentes C *et al.* (2012a) Coral Thermal Tolerance: Tuning Gene Expression to Resist Thermal Stress. *PloS one*, **7**, e50685.

Bellantuono AJ, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Rodriguez-Lanetty M (2012b) Resistance to thermal stress in corals without changes in symbiont composition. *Proceedings. Biological sciences*, **279**, 1100–1107.

Bellwood DR, Hughes TP, Folke C, Nyström M (2004) Confronting the coral reef crisis. *Nature*, **429**, 827–833.

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the royal statistical society Series B,* **57,** 289-300.

Berkelmans R, van Oppen MJH (2006) The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of corals: a "nugget of hope" for coral reefs in an era of climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **273**, 2305–2312.

Blankenberg D, Gordon A, Kuster Von G *et al.* (2010) Manipulation of FASTQ data with Galaxy. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 1783–1785.

Bordenstein SR, Theis KR (2015) Host Biology in Light of the Microbiome: Ten Principles of Holobionts and Hologenomes. *PLoS biology*, **13**, e1002226.

Bourne DG, Munn CB (2005) Diversity of bacteria associated with the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* from the Great Barrier Reef. *Environmental microbiology*, **7**, 1162–1174.

Brener-Raffalli K, Clerissi C, Vidal-Dupiol J *et al.* (2018) Thermal regime and host clade, rather than geography, drive *Symbiodinium* and bacterial assemblages in the scleractinian coral *Pocillopora damicornis sensu lato*. *Microbiome*, **6**, 2.

Brown BE, Downs CA, Dunne RP, Gibb SW (2002) Exploring the basis of

thermotolerance in the reef coral *Goniastrea aspera*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **242**, 119–129.

Coles SL, Riegl BM (2013) Thermal tolerances of reef corals in the Gulf: a review of the potential for increasing coral survival and adaptation to climate change through assisted translocation. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **72**, 323–332.

Conesa A, Madrigal P, Tarazona S *et al.* (2016) A survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. *Genome biology*, **17**, 1.

Cróquer A, Bastidas C, Elliott A, Sweet M (2013) Bacterial assemblages shifts from healthy to yellow band disease states in the dominant reef coral *Montastraea faveolata*. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, **5**, 90–96.

Danchin E (2013) Avatars of information: towards an inclusive evolutionary synthesis. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **28**, 351–358.

de Hoon MJL, Imoto S, Nolan J, Miyano S (2004) Open source clustering software. *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 1453–1454.

Dixon GB, Davies SW, Aglyamova GA *et al.* (2015) CORAL REEFS. Genomic determinants of coral heat tolerance across latitudes. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **348**, 1460–1462.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F *et al.* (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, **29**, 15–21.

Escudié F, Auer L, Bernard M *et al.* (2018) FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics*, **34(8)**:1287-1294.

Fan TY, Lin KH, Kuo FW, Soong K, Liu LL (2006) Diel patterns of larval release by five brooding scleractinian corals. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **321**, 133-142

Gélin P, Fauvelot C, Mehn V *et al.* (2017a) Superclone Expansion, Long-Distance Clonal Dispersal and Local Genetic Structuring in the Coral *Pocillopora damicornis* Type β in Reunion Island, South Western Indian Ocean. *PloS one*, **12**, e0169692.

Gélin P, Pirog A, Fauvelot C, Magalon H (2018) High genetic differentiation and low connectivity in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* type β at different spatial scales in the Southwestern Indian Ocean and the Tropical Southwestern Pacific. *Marine Biology*, **165**, 531.

Gélin P, Postaire B, Fauvelot C, Magalon H (2017b) Reevaluating species number, distribution and endemism of the coral genus *Pocillopora* Lamarck, 1816 using species delimitation methods and microsatellites. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. **109**, 430-446.

Giardine B, Giardine B, Riemer C *et al.* (2005) Galaxy: A platform for interactive large-scale genome analysis. *Genome Research*, **15**, 1451–1455.

Guerrero R, Margulis L, Berlanga M (2013) Symbiogenesis: the holobiont as a unit of evolution. *International Microbiology*, **16**, 133–143.

Harrison PL, Wallace CC (1990) *Reproduction, dispersal and recruitment of scleractinian corals*. Ecosystems of the world. in Z Dubinsky (ed.), Coral reefs, Ecosystems of the world; 25, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 133-207.

Herman JJ, Spencer HG, Donohue K, Sultan SE (2014) How stable "should" epigenetic modifications be? Insights from adaptive plasticity and bet hedging. *Evolution*, **68**, 632–643.

Hernandez-Agreda A, Gates RD, Ainsworth TD (2016) Defining the Core Microbiome in Corals' Microbial Soup. *Trends in Microbiology*. **25**:125-140.

Hoegh-Guldberg O, Mumby PJ, Hooten AJ *et al.* (2007) Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **318**, 1737–1742.

Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR *et al.* (2003) Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **301**, 929–933.

Hughes TP, Barnes ML, Bellwood DR *et al.* (2017a) Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. *Nature*, **546**, 82–90.

Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Álvarez-Noriega M *et al.* (2017b) Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature*, **543**, 373–377.

Hume B, D'Angelo C, Burt J *et al.* (2013) Corals from the Persian/Arabian Gulf as models for thermotolerant reef-builders: Prevalence of clade C3 *Symbiodinium*, host fluorescence and ex situ temperature tolerance. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **72**, 313–322.

IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.

Jablonka E (2017) The evolutionary implications of epigenetic inheritance. *Interface focus*, **7**, 20160135.

Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F (2010) Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC genetics*, **11**, 94.

Kenkel CD, Matz MV (2016) Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral adaptation to a variable environment. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **1**, 0014.

Kenkel CD, Meyer E, Matz MV (2013) Gene expression under chronic heat stress in populations of the mustard hill coral (*Porites astreoides*) from different thermal environments. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 4322–4334.

Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T *et al.* (2012) Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **41**, e1–e1.

Lajeunesse TC, Trench RK (2000) Biogeography of two species of Symbiodinium (Freudenthal) inhabiting the intertidal sea anemone *Anthopleura elegantissima* (Brandt). *The Biological bulletin*, **199**, 126–134.

LaJeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Gabrielson PW *et al.* (2018) Systematic Revision of Symbiodiniaceae Highlights the Antiquity and Diversity of Coral Endosymbionts. *Current Biology*, **28**, 2570–2580.e6.

Lande R (2009) Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic plasticity and genetic assimilation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **22**, 1435–1446.

Le Nohaïc M, Ross CL, Cornwall CE *et al.* (2017) Marine heatwave causes unprecedented regional mass bleaching of thermally resistant corals in northwestern Australia. *Scientific Reports*, **7**, 14999.

Li J, Chen Q, Long L-J *et al.* (2014) Bacterial dynamics within the mucus, tissue and skeleton of the coral *Porites lutea* during different seasons. *Scientific Reports*, **4**, 7320.

Little AF, van Oppen MJH, Willis BL (2004) Flexibility in algal endosymbioses shapes growth in reef corals. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **304**, 1492–1494.

Littman R, Bourne DG, Willis BL (2010) Responses of coral-associated bacterial communities to heat stress differ with *Symbiodinium* type on the same coral host. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 1978–1990.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome biology*, **15**, 31.

Magoč T, Salzberg SL (2011) FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve
genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. **27,** 2957-63.

Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, De Vargas C, Dunthorn M (2014) Swarm: robust and fast
clustering method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ*, **2**, e593.

Margulis L, Fester R (1991) *Symbiosis as a Source of Evolutionary Innovation*. MIT Press.

Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
sequencing reads. *EMBnet journal*, **17**, 10.

Matz MV, Treml EA, Aglyamova GA, van Oppen MJH, Bay LK (2017) Potential for rapid
genetic adaptation to warming in a Great Barrier Reef coral. *bioRxiv*.
doi.org/10.1101/114173

Maumus F, Allen AE, Mhiri C *et al.* (2009) Potential impact of stress activated
retrotransposons on genome evolution in a marine diatom. *BMC Genomics*, **10**, 624.

Mayfield AB, Wang L-H, Tang P-C *et al.* (2011) Assessing the impacts of experimentally
elevated temperature on the biological composition and molecular chaperone gene
expression of a reef coral. *PloS one*, **6**, e26529.

Mayfield AB, Wang Y-B, Chen C-S, Chen S-H, Lin C-Y (2016) Dual-compartmental
transcriptomic + proteomic analysis of a marine endosymbiosis exposed to
environmental change. *Molecular Ecology*, **25**, 5944–5958.

Mayfield AB, Wang Y-B, Chen C-S, Lin C-Y, Chen S-H (2014) Compartment-specific
transcriptomics in a reef-building coral exposed to elevated temperatures. *Molecular*
Ecology, **23**, 5816–5830.

McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TC *et al.* (2013) Animals in a bacterial world, a new
imperative for the life sciences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
the United States of America, **110**, 3229–3236.

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive
Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data (M Watson, Ed,). *PloS one*, **8**,
e61217.

Meyer JL, Rodgers JM, Dillard BA, Paul VJ, Teplitski M (2016) Epimicrobiota Associated
with the Decay and Recovery of *Orbicella* Corals Exhibiting Dark Spot Syndrome.
Frontiers in Microbiology, **7**, 893.

Mumby PJ, Elliott IA, Eakin CM *et al.* (2011) Reserve design for uncertain responses of
coral reefs to climate change. *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 132–140.

Neave MJ, Apprill A, Ferrier-Pages C, Voolstra CR (2016a) Diversity and function of
prevalent symbiotic marine bacteria in the genus *Endozoicomonas*. *Applied*
microbiology and biotechnology, **100**, 8315–8324.

Neave MJ, Rachmawati R, Xun L *et al.* (2016b) Differential specificity between closely
related corals and abundant *Endozoicomonas* endosymbionts across global scales.
The ISME Journal, **11**, 186–200.

Oliver TA, Palumbi SR (2010) Many corals host thermally resistant symbionts in high-
temperature habitat. *Coral Reefs*, **30**, 241–250.

Palumbi SR, Barshis DJ, Traylor-Knowles N, Bay RA (2014) Mechanisms of reef coral
resistance to future climate change. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **344**, 895–898.

Pante E, PUILLANDRE N, Viricel A *et al.* (2015) Species are hypotheses: avoid
connectivity assessments based on pillars of sand. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 525–544.

Pantos O, Bongaerts P, Dennis PG, Tyson GW, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2015) Habitat-specific
environmental conditions primarily control the microbiomes of the coral

Seriatopora hystrix. *The ISME Journal*, **9**, 1916–1927.

Peixoto RS, Rosado PM, Leite DC de A, Rosado AS, Bourne DG (2017) Beneficial Microorganisms for Corals (BMC): Proposed Mechanisms for Coral Health and Resilience. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **8**, 100.

Pinzón JH, Sampayo E, Cox E *et al.* (2013) Blind to morphology: genetics identifies several widespread ecologically common species and few endemics among Indo-Pacific cauliflower corals (*Pocillopora*, Scleractinia). *Journal of Biogeography*, **40**, 1595–1608.

Polato NR, Voolstra CR, Schnetzer J *et al.* (2010) Location-specific responses to thermal stress in larvae of the reef-building coral *Montastraea faveolata*. *PloS one*, **5**, e11221.

Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, **155**, 945–959.

Quigley KM, Quigley KM, Davies SW *et al.* (2014) Deep-Sequencing Method for Quantifying Background Abundances of *Symbiodinium* Types: Exploring the Rare Symbiodinium Biosphere in Reef-Building Corals. *PloS one*, **9**, e94297.

Reaka-Kudla ML (2005) The global biodiversity of coral reefs: a comparison with rain forests.

Reusch TBH (2013) Climate change in the oceans: evolutionary versus phenotypically plastic responses of marine animals and plants. *Evolutionary Applications*, **7**, 104–122.

Riegl BM, Purkis SJ, Al-Cibahy AS, Abdel-Moati MA, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2011) Present Limits to Heat-Adaptability in Corals and Population-Level Responses to Climate Extremes. *PloS one*, **6**, e24802.

Rogers A, Harborne AR, Brown CJ *et al.* (2015) Anticipative management for coral reef ecosystem services in the 21st century. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 504–514.

Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F (2016) VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*. 4:e2584.

Rohwer F, Seguritan V, Azam F (2002) Diversity and distribution of coral-associated bacteria. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. **243**, 1-10.

Rosenberg E, Koren O, Reshef L, Efrony R, Zilber-Rosenberg I (2007) The role of microorganisms in coral health, disease and evolution. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **5**, 355–362.

Saldanha AJ (2004) Java Treeview--extensible visualization of microarray data. *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 3246–3248.

Sato Y, Willis BL, Bourne DG (2009) Successional changes in bacterial communities during the development of black band disease on the reef coral, *Montipora hispida*. *The ISME Journal*, **4**, 203–214.

Schmidt-Roach S, Miller KJ, Lundgren P, Andreakis N (2014) With eyes wide open: a revision of species within and closely related to the *Pocillopora damicornis* species complex (Scleractinia; Pocilloporidae) using morphology and genetics. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **170**, 1–33.

Suggett DJ, Warner ME, Leggat W (2017) Symbiotic Dinoflagellate Functional Diversity Mediates Coral Survival under Ecological Crisis. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **32**, 735–745.

Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T (2011) REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. *PloS one*, **6**, e21800.

Theis KR, Dheilly NM, Klassen JL *et al.* (2016) Getting the Hologenome Concept Right: an

Eco-Evolutionary Framework for Hosts and Their Microbiomes (JA Gilbert, Ed,).
mSystems, **1**, e00028–16.
Torda G, Donelson JM, Aranda M *et al.* (2017) Rapid adaptive responses to climate
change in corals. *Nature Climate Change*, **7**, 627–636.
Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G *et al.* (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by
RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell
differentiation. *Nature Biotechnology*, **28**, 511–515.
van Oppen MJH, Oliver JK, Putnam HM, Gates RD (2015) Building coral reef resilience
through assisted evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**,
2307–2313.
van Woesik R, Sakai K, Ganase A, Loya Y (2011) Revisiting the winners and the losers a
decade after coral bleaching. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **434**, 67–76.
Veron JEN, Stafford-Smith M (2000) *Corals of the world*. Sea Challengers.
Vidal-Dupiol J, Vidal-Dupiol J, Adjeroud M *et al.* (2009) Coral bleaching under thermal
stress: putative involvement of host/symbiont recognition mechanisms. *BMC*
physiology, **9**, 14.
Weis VM (2008) Cellular mechanisms of Cnidarian bleaching: stress causes the collapse
of symbiosis. *The Journal of experimental biology*, **211**, 3059–3066.
Whitaker K (2006) Genetic evidence for mixed modes of reproduction in the coral
Pocillopora damicornis and its effect on population structure. *Marine Ecology*
Progress Series. **306,** 115-124.
Ziegler M, Seneca FO, Yum LK, Palumbi SR, Voolstra CR (2017) Bacterial community
dynamics are linked to patterns of coral heat tolerance. *Nature Communications*, **8**,
14213.
Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E (2008) Role of microorganisms in the evolution of
animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*,
32, 723–735.
1134