The role of land use types and water chemical properties in structuring the

microbiome of a connected lakes system

Sophi Marmen[1], Lior Blank[2], Ashraf Al-Ashhab[1,3], Assaf Malik[4], Lars Ganzert[5], Maya

Lalzar[4], Hans-Peter Grossart[5] and **Daniel Sher[1+]**

[1] Department of Marine Biology, Leon H. Charney School of Marine Sciences, University

of Haifa, Israel; [2] Department of Plant Pathology and Weed Research, ARO, Volcani

Center, Rishon Lezion, Israel; [3] Microbial Metagenomics Division, Dead Sea and Arava

Science Center, Masada, Israel; [4] Bioinformatics Service Unit, University of Haifa, Israel; [5]

Leibnitz Institute for Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Department of

Experimental Limnology, Stechlin, Germany

+ Corresponding author: Dr. Daniel Sher, Department of Marine Biology, Leon H.

Charney School of Marine Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa 31905, Israel.

email: dsher@univ.haifa.ac.il

Running title: Land use and lake microbiome structure

Summary

Aquatic microbial populations are intimately connected with the environmental conditions

within their host water body, yet how they are affected by the surrounding land use (terrestrial-

aquatic coupling) or by connectivity and transport between water bodies is less clear. We

address these questions through an analysis of the land use surrounding 46 inter-connected

lakes located within 7 drainage basins in northern Germany, and the microbiomes of these lakes

during early summer. Land use within the drainage basin of each lake could predict, to some

extent, NO_2+NO_3 and chlorophyll concentrations in the water, and these parameters (together

with temperature and total phosphorus) affected water microbiome structure. In contrast, the

specific drainage basin or the land use directly adjacent to the water body had little observable

effect on water quality or the microbiome. Bacterial distribution was not limited by distance,

suggesting high connectivity. Several microbial lineages, including cyanobacteria and

verrucomicrobia, were differentially partitioned between lakes with different environmental

conditions, attesting to the importance of local factors in determining the microbiome structure.

As data on land use (e.g. from remote sensing) increases, identifying relationships between land

use and aquatic microbial communities is a critical step in developing appropriate water

management strategies.

Keywords: microbiome; lakes; water parameters; land use; distribution; Cyanobacteria

1. Introduction

The world's population growth is maintained by a suite of human enterprises such as agriculture, industry, fishing and international commerce (Vitousek*, et al.*, 1997). The use of land for such enterprises has greatly altered the way ecosystems interact with surrounding land, atmosphere and aquatic systems (Vitousek*, et al.*, 1997). Freshwater ecosystems, in particular, may be highly sensitive to human impact, mainly through eutrophication.

The microbial communities ("microbiomes") living in freshwater ecosystems are intimately connected with water quality. Microbes take up, utilize and recycle elements such as carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur, and thus control and modulate local and global elemental cycles (Newton*, et al.*, 2011). Some aquatic microorganisms are potential pathogens of animals or humans, or can produce secondary metabolites or toxins (Abdelzaher*, et al.*, 2010, Cabral, 2010, Valério*, et al.*, 2010). Thus, significant effort has been invested in elucidating the environmental drivers that determine the composition and function of freshwater microbiomes (Newton*, et al.*, 2011). Environmental drivers of microbial community structure may act at the local scale, where the physical-chemical characteristics of the water lead to a "species sorting" process which enrich for bacteria adapted to occupy that specific niche (Jones and McMahon, 2009, Langenheder and Székely, 2011). Such physical - chemical properties can include, for example, nutrient concentrations, pH, temperature, salinity and light intensity (Crump*, et al.*, 2004, Horner-Devine*, et al.*, 2004, Yannarell and Triplett, 2005). Neutral assembly processes also play a role in determining community structure (Newton*, et al.*, 2011). Local processes can be affected by processes occurring at larger spatial and temporal scales. For example, physical connectivity between water bodies (e.g. within the same drainage basin) may lead to bacterial transfer between environments, a process termed "mass effect'', (Quinn*, et al.*, 1997, Lindström and Langenheder, 2012). In very strongly connected habitats, dispersal rates may be so high that

they lead to ecosystem homogenization (Leibold and Norberg, 2004). As the spatial scales

increase, geographic distances and physical barriers may lead to limitations on bacterial

dispersal, leading to "distance decay" patterns, whereby the difference between microbial

communities increases with distance (Lear*, et al.*, 2013, Marcelino*, et al.*, 2017). Additionally,

runoff from terrestrial ecosystems can affect water quality and the resulting microbiome (Witt*,*

et al., 2012). As the various processes affecting freshwater microbial ecosystems are identified

and characterized, many questions remain: to what extent are local conditions within water

bodies affected by those in the surrounding terrestrial system? What is the relative importance

of local conditions in determining microbial community structure? What is the role of mass

transport of microbes from upstream sites? Are all microbial taxa affected in the same manner

by these processes? Answering some of these questions is critical as we aim to obtain predictive

models of microbial ecosystem structure and function that can be used for science-based

management of water resources.

In this study, we investigated the microbiomes of 46 lakes in Germany, located in a network of 7

drainage basins connected through streams. Our major goal was to understand what shapes

microbial population in a system of connected natural water bodies, located within relatively

short distances and surrounded by a variety of different land-use types. We analyzed the role of

local water properties and land use at different geographic scales (basin-level and adjacent to

the water body) to try and explain bacterial community structure, and investigated the

association between land, specific water properties and specific bacterial lineages.

88   2. Results

<u>2.1 General characterization of the sampled water bodies</u>

During the summer of 2015, we sampled 46 water bodies (lakes and one fish pond) within a

relatively small area (~150 km by 100 km) of the lake-rich Brandenburg/Mecklenburg region in

northeastern Germany (Fig. 1, Fig. S1, Supplementary Excel table). The water bodies belonged

primarily to five major drainage basins, and varied widely in their sizes, nutrient concentrations

and trophic levels (Supplementary Excel table). While the microbial diversity, expressed as the

Shannon alpha-diversity index, was relatively similar between the basins (Fig. 2a), clear

differences were observed in community composition between the various sampling sites (Fig.

2b). Comparing the microbiome composition between the different sites (using the Bray- Curtis

dissimilarity index) revealed that sites can be broadly divided into two main groups: a cluster of

sites with a high relative abundance of Cyanobacteria, and a second cluster with a high relative

abundance of Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes and low relative abundance of

Cyanobacteria (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, lakes from the same drainage basins did not cluster

together, despite relatively large differences between the drainage basins in terms of their size

and the land-use in their water shed (Fig. 2b, Fig. S2a, Supplementary Excel table). Neither was a

clear correlation observed between the size of the drainage basin of each water body and the

chemistry of the water (Fig. S3a-e) or the lake microbiome (Fig. S3f, g).

One major factor that might determine to what extent ecological communities differ, is the

geographical distance between them. This phenomenon, identified by a ''distance decay ''

relationship in community similarity (e.g. Nekola and White, 1999, Bell, 2010), has been

demonstrated for both macro- and micro-organisms at various scales of geographic distances

(Lear, *et al.,* 2013, Mercelino, et al.,2017). No distance decay relationships were observed in our

samples, neither within a single basin nor between samples belonging to different basins (Fig.

2c). Moreover, examination of the total species diversity in our landscape (i.e. γ-diversity)

showed that 27% of the OTUs are core OTUs that were present among all sites, and that

additional 22% OTUs were cosmopolitan, defined as being observed in >90% of the sites (Fig.

2d). Rare and site unique OTUs combined represented only 3.4% of all OTUs. These rare and

unique OTUs were also numerically scarce, representing at most 2.6% of the total sequence

reads of the total reads. The core microbiome was dominated by Actinobacteria and

Proteobacteria (43% and 39% of the OTUs respectively, Fig. S4a), and, surprisingly, contained

very few Cyanobacterial OTUs (1%). In contrast, Cyanobacteria was the dominant phylum in the

cosmopolitan OTUs (47%). Thus, it seems that the differences between the microbial

communities are primarily due to changes in the relative contribution of OTUs rather than to the

presence of unique or endemic OTUs in different regions.

<u>2.2 Land use in the drainage basins affects water chemistry, and through it, the microbiome</u>

We next asked whether environmental conditions within the water body (e.g. nutrients,

temperature etc', referred to here as "aquatic environmental conditions"), or the surrounding

land use, may affect water microbiomes. We hypothesize that the effect of land use could be

manifested at the level of the entire drainage basin (summing up the input of the entire region

draining into each water body), or, alternatively, at the level of local land use (i.e. the land

adjacent to the water body, defined here as a distance of 500 meters from lake shore). To test

these hypotheses, we first performed variation partition analysis to determine the explanatory

ability of land use types on the aquatic environmental conditions. As shown in Fig. 3a, the land

use of the drainage basins explained almost 30% of the variation in aquatic environmental

conditions, whereas the local land use had no discernable explanatory power of its own.

Nevertheless, combining local and basin land uses explained more of the variability than the

basin level land use alone. We next used GLMs to determine whether specific land use types

could be associated with specific aquatic environmental conditions. On the basin scale, six land

use types explained a high proportion of the variability of two specific variables: Chl *a* (RN^2=

0.98) and nitrite and nitrate concentrations (RN^2= 0.74, Fig. 4, Tables S1 and S2). For each of

these two models, one land use stood out in having a profoundly larger importance value: the

fraction of urban land use explained NO_3+NO_2 concentrations, whereas the fraction of forests

and natural areas cover explained Chl *a* (Fig. 4). The relationship between these two land use

types and the respective aquatic environmental parameter was positive, i.e. in basins with a

high proportion of forests and natural areas, Chl *a* concentration in the water bodies was higher,

while in more urban areas, nitrate and nitrite concentrations were higher (Table S1 and S2).

When quantifying the independent contribution of each different land use separately, the

variation in Chl *a* was significantly explained by arable land (25% independent contribution) and

forests and natural areas (29%), whereas urban land use was the only significant variable

explaining 68% of the variation in NO_3+ NO_2.

We next used RDA to explore to what extent basin-level and local land use types, as well as the

aquatic environmental conditions themselves, explain the composition of the aquatic

microbiome. As shown in Fig. 3b, aquatic environmental parameters were able to explain 19% of

the variation in microbiome compositions among all sites. However, land use types (at both

basin and local scale) had a relatively weak direct effect on the microbial community structure

(3.4%, Fig. 3b).

<u>2.3 Specific bacterial taxonomic groups are associated with sampling locations differing in their</u>

<u>aquatic environmental conditions</u>

If aquatic environmental conditions can explain a significant amount of variability in the

microbiome structure, which environmental conditions are most important for different

bacterial lineages? Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) revealed that four aquatic

environmental parameters significantly affected the microbiome: i) concentration of $NO_3 + NO_2$

($p < 0.01$), ii) total phosphorus ($P < 0.001$), iii) water temperature ($p < 0.0001$) and iv)

concentration of Chl a ($p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 5a-b). Notably, two of these parameters, ($NO_3 + NO_2$

and total Chl a), were identified by the GLMs as being significantly affected by land use in the

drainage basins.

We next analyzed OTU distribution patterns for different phyla by CCA ordination, in which the

location of each OTU on the plot corresponds to the "center of mass" of its distribution among

sites (Fig 5c-g). Thus, OTUs that are more abundant at specific sites will occur closer to these

sites in the ordination and OTUs that are found in all samples at similar relative abundance will

be located towards the center of the plot. Of the five most abundant phyla tested, three

(Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Cyanobacteria), were not randomly distributed in the CCA

plot, as determined by permutation analysis (Fig. 5 c-g, $p < 0.05$). Actinobacterial OTUs clustered

tightly and close to the intersection of the axes, slightly on the negative side of the CCA1 axis

(Fig. 5c). This suggests that, while the relative abundance of Actinobacteria was different

between different sites, within this phylum the Actinobacterial OTUs behaved similar, i.e. they

are found at similar ratios at each location (Fig. 2c). Proteobacteria showed wider, but not

random distribution (Fig. 5d). These results are in agreement with our observation that these

two phyla dominate the core microbiome of all sites (Fig. S4a), and that within each of these

phyla, a relatively large fraction of OTUs were core or cosmopolitan (Fig. S4b). In contrast,

Verrucomicrobia and Bacteroidetes were randomly distributed in the CCA plot and not

associated with any specific water parameter, i.e. the center of mass of the phylum was not in

the direction of any of the arrows representing the eigenvalues of the environmental conditions

(Fig. 5f, g). Unlike the above four phyla, which showed a generally symmetrical shape in the CCA

plots, Cyanobacteria were not symmetrically or evenly distributed (Fig. 5e). While Cyanobacteria

appeared in all sampling sites (Fig. 2b), only few Cyanobacteria OTUs were part of the core

microbiome (Fig. S4a), and this phylum had the highest fraction of OTUs in the ''common''

category among all analyzed phyla (Fig. S4b). This prompted us to explore whether patterns

could be observed at higher taxonomic resolution (class or order). Indeed, a clear partitioning

could be seen between Synechococcales, single-celled Cyanobacteria which appeared mostly

among sites with low phosphorus concentration (i.e. the negative side of CCA1), and Nostocales

as well as Pseudanabaenales (Fig. 6a). Nostocales were associated with high TP and Chl a

concentrations, whereas Pseudanabaenales were also associated with high nitrite and nitrate

levels. Differences in the ordination of groups at the class level were also observed within the

phylum Verrucomicrobia, with Methylacidiphilae clearly separated from most of the other

orders (Fig. 6b). No clear differences were observed at the class, order or family level in

Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes or Actinobacteria (Fig. 6c-e)

3. Discussion:

In this study, we analyzed the microbiomes of a network of partly-interconnected natural lakes,

which belong to several different drainage basins. We aimed to identify to what extent the land-

use in the drainage basins of the lakes affects both the environmental conditions in the water

and the microbiome. Several previous studies have identified correlations between land-use and

various parameters of water chemistry, as well as to the abundance of specific members of the

microbial community (Savage*, et al.*, 2010, Katsiapi*, et al.*, 2012, Beaver*, et al.*, 2014, Davis*, et*

al., 2015). However, few studies have attempted to determine whether land use controls the

microbiome structure as a whole (Glöckner, *et al.*, 2000, Van Rossum, *et al.*, 2015). Importantly,

in our analyses, we used tools that are relatively accessible to researchers and water quality

managers worldwide: land-use maps are available for many regions of the world, and are often

used for land management using GIS (Joerin, *et al.*, 2001, Zhang, *et al.*, 2015). The water quality

measurements were performed using hand-held instruments. Measurements of inorganic

nitrogen compounds and total phosphorus were performed using commonly-applicable and

standardized methods (Grasshoff and Kremling, 1983). We chose this experimental approach in

order to facilitate comparisons between this study and future analyses terrestrial-aquatic

coupling and connectivity in lake networks from other regions.

Our results suggest a conceptual model of the lakes we studied (schematically presented in Fig.

7) whereby two measures of water quality, namely $NO_3 + NO_2$ and total chl *a*, are related to

specific land use types within the drainage basin of each water body (urban areas and forests

and natural areas, respectively). These water quality parameters, in turn, together with total

phosphorus and temperature, are related to the relative abundance of specific microbial linages

at different phylogenetic resolutions. Below we first discuss specific links between land use

types, water quality, and microbiome composition, as well as the association between specific

lineages and water quality parameters. We then mention the caveats of our study and suggest

ways to improve future studies.

<u>3.1 What determines the microbiome - land use, mass transfer or biogeography?</u>

Our initial hypothesis was that two types of factors would structure the microbial communities:

i) environmental conditions within the water body, which, in turn, are determined (at least to

some extent) by land use within the drainage basin of each water body; ii) mass effects, due to

bacterial transport along the streams connecting the various sampled lakes. For example,

connected lakes in Alaska shared similar bacterioplankton communities that shifted gradually

down the catchment (Crump*, et al.*, 2007). Other studies suggest an influence of water retention

time on community composition among connected lakes (Mašín*, et al.*, 2003, Lindström and

Bergström, 2004). Our results show no separation of bacterial communities by basin. Nor did we

identify any distance-decay patterns, which have been observed in other ecosystems at

different scales (Fig. 2, (Lear*, et al.*, 2013, Marcelino*, et al.*, 2017)). Thus, in our study system,

mass transfer or limitations on microbial dispersal are not strong determinants of microbial

community composition, at least not at the time of sampling. Instead, environmental conditions

within each water body strongly affected the microbiome structure, and these were partly

determined by land-use in the drainage area of each water body (Fig. 3).

Several studies have investigated the association between land use types and water properties

(Quinn*, et al.*, 1997, Larned*, et al.*, 2004, Mehaffey*, et al.*, 2005, Schoonover and Lockaby, 2006,

Reimann*, et al.*, 2009). These studies generally suggest higher dissolved nitrogen and

phosphorus concentrations in waters surrounded by high coverage of urban areas and

agriculture lands (Wang and Yin, 1997, Ghai*, et al.*, 2014). In our study, urban land was an

important predictor (and positively correlated with) the concentration of NO_2+NO_3, whereas

forests and natural areas were positively correlated with Chl *a* concentrations (Fig. 4, Tables S1,

S2). The correlation between urban area (which includes also industry) and NO_2+NO_3

concentration is in agreement with the previous mentioned studies, and may be driven by the

discharge of waste and rain run-off from sealed surfaces, both containing high nitrogen levels. In

contrast, the positive association between forests and natural areas and Chl *a* concentration

(Fig. 4b) is unexpected. Generally, it has been suggested that phytoplankton blooms in lakes are

driven by excess nutrients such as nitrate and phosphate which are commonly used in

agricultural fertilizers (Carpenter*, et al.*, 1998, Xu*, et al.*, 2010). Thus, we would expect a positive

association between Chl *a* and agricultural or urban land, and perhaps a negative relationship

with forests and natural areas, presumed to be more pristine. Indeed, a significant negative

correlation was found between the percentage of forests and natural areas cover and both total

phytoplankton and Cyanobacterial biomass among water reservoirs at the Ile-de-France region

(Catherine*, et al.*, 2008). At this stage, we cannot provide an adequate explanation to our

observation, however, we note that the methodology used to infer the drainage basin of water

bodies is different between different studies (e.g. the study of the Ile-de-France region

(Catherine*, et al.*, 2008) used "hydrographical zones", which are much smaller due to their non-

cumulative nature than the drainage basins we have defined). Alternatively, the difference may

be due to differences in the regions in the type of soil, plants or agricultural practices.

While we were able to explain, to some extent, the water chemistry via land-use types, and the

microbiome composition via water chemistry, we were unable to identify direct links between

land use and the specific composition of the microbiome. This may be simply due to the limited

amount of variability in aquatic environmental parameters explained by the land use (~30-40%,

Fig. 3), and the limited explanatory power of the aquatic environmental conditions themselves

on the microbiome (~19%). An additional interpretation of these results is that while land use in

the drainage basin of each lake affects its microbiome indirectly, e.g. by impacting water

chemistry, there is a relatively low direct effect of land use on the microbiome, e.g. by being a

source for specific (presumably non-aquatic) microbes. In support of this interpretation,

relatively few OTUs belonged to Acidobacteria (Fig. S4a) regarded as a representative phylum of

soil bacteria (Janssen, 2006). Among these, only 0.04% of the total reads belonged to the

Solibacteres, which have been considered as marker soil bacteria (Battistuzzi and Hedges, 2009).

In the study of water bodies in Ile-de-France discussed above (Catherine*, et al.*, 2008), land use

was able to explain, to some extent, the Cyanobacterial biomass. Similarly, a land-use based

model was able to predict, to some extent, the presence of one of the genes involved in the

biosynthesis of the Cyanobacterial toxin microcystin (Marmen*, et al.*, 2016). In our dataset, even

though the total fraction of Cyanobacteria is correlated with Chl *a* ($R^2 = 0.3$ Fig. S5), and the

main groups of Cyanobacteria partition clearly into different niches based on the water

chemistry (Fig. S5, Fig. S6), we were unable to identify any model based on land-use that could

explain the variation in the relative abundance of these specific Cyanobacterial groups. Thus,

while the potential of land-use to predict the abundance of Cyanobacteria and their toxins

(parameters which are of importance to water managers) has been shown, further work is

needed to develop the appropriate methodologies and statistical tools that will identify linkages

between land use types and microbiome structure. Given the good accessibility of land-use data,

which for much of the globe (including third world countries) can be derived from remote

sensing, developing these methods should be of high priority for future research (Ndlela*, et al.*,

2016).

<u>3.2 Niche differentiation of freshwater bacterioplankton at the class and order levels</u>

An important question in microbial ecology is whether there is a phylogenetic resolution at

which environmental conditions can be best related to microbiome structure and function.

Many studies focus on the phylum level (e.g. Lindström*, et al.*, 2005, Briée*, et al.*, 2007, Wolz*, et*

al., 2018), since, at a broad scale, bacterial phyla differ in some basic functional traits including

their ability to photosynthesize, or to utilize different carbon sources (Mitsui*, et al.*, 1986, Camp*,*

et al., 2009, Newton*, et al.*, 2011)). Indeed, a clear differentiation could be observed, in our

dataset, between two groups of sites, those with a high relative abundance of Cyanobacteria,

and those with a high relative abundance of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria (Fig. 2B).

However, it has been suggested that this resolution is far too coarse to reliably infer community

function, due to the high genomic and functional variation within phyla and even within

individual bacterial lineages (Newton*, et al.*, 2011, Singer*, et al.*, 2016). Our results suggest that

some bacterial lineages clearly partition between different environments already at low

phylogenetic resolution, namely that of bacterial classes and orders. Several of these patterns

were in agreement with our previous expectations. For example, in freshwater environments, it

is generally accepted that the relative contribution of pico-Cyanobacteria (e.g. Synechococcales)

to total phytoplankton decreases with higher trophic status. However, temporal patterns can be

also important (Fukushima*, et al.*, 2017). This may be attributed to the ability of pico-

Cyanobacteria to acquire major nutrients and trace metals at sub-micromolar concentrations, a

capability that enable them to occupy relatively oligotrophic niches (Callieri, 2017). Larger

phytoplankton (e.g. Nostocales) fills in niches with higher nutrient concentrations, and hence is

physiologically adapted to proliferate at rich nutrient waters like fishponds and hypertrophic

reservoirs (Cirés and Ballot, 2016). The presence and density of Nostocales are of great interest

from a water quality perspective due to their ability to produce a variety of secondary toxic

metabolites (Sukenik*, et al.*, 2012). In our samples, a clear separation could be observed in the

CCA analyses between Synechococcales, associated with conditions of low chl *a* and total P, and

Nostocales, associated with the opposite conditions (Fig. 6a). Pseudoanabaenales, which are

filamentous Cyanobacteria whose cells are smaller than those of the Nostocales*,* were

associated also with high NO_3+NO_3 concentrations. The physiology and ecology of

Pseudoanabaenalesm are relatively poorly known (Acinas*, et al.*, 2008), yet these organisms are

quite dominant in many of the lakes we sampled (Fig. S5), providing an incentive for further

study.

Potential niche differentiation was also observed within the phylum Verrocumicrobia, which is

ubiquitous but relatively less studied in freshwater environments (Fig. 6b, Newton*, et al.*, 2011).

Some members of this phylum were found to be associated with high nutrient concentrations or

algal blooms (Eiler and Bertilsson, 2004, Kolmonen*, et al.*, 2004, Haukka*, et al.*, 2006). In our

study, Methylacidiphilae (and possibly also Spartobacteria) clearly separated from the other

three classes (Pedosphaerae, Opitutae and Verrucomicrobias), and may be associated with high

NO_3 and NO_2 concentrations. At least some members of the Methylacidiphilae are

methanotrophs (Camp*, et al.*, 2009), and one bacterium from this class (*Methylacdiphilum*

fumariolicum) is a diazotroph, able actively fix atmospheric N_2 (Khadem*, et al.*, 2010). While

most Verrucomicrobial methanotrophs have been isolated in extreme hot and acidic

environments, the association of potential diazotrophs (Methylacidiphilae as well as

Pseudoanabaenales) with high NO_3+NO_2 in lakes is intriguing, and their potential contribution to

high inorganic N concentrations is worthy of additional studies.

The separation between different orders in the CCA plots suggests that, in freshwater bacteria,

niche differentiation may be discernable at intermediate phylogenetic resolution - higher than

that of phyla but lower than that of specific species or strains. We also searched for specific

OTUs that were associated with aquatic environmental conditions or land use categories, but

found very few results, and when correlations were observed, they were relatively weak

(maximum Pearson R^2 was 0.53, Table S3). Two OTUs, one belonging to Phycisphaerales (phylum

Planctomycetes) and one belonging to Saprospirales (phylum Bacteroidetes), were positively

associated with NO_3+NO_2 concentrations. Phycisphaerales have been found in annamox

processes (Hu*, et al.*, 2015), and Saprospirales are associated with specific soil parameters (King*,

et al., 2010, Hargreaves*, et al.*, 2015), yet the role of these taxa in freshwater is mostly unclear.

Thus, in our dataset, no specific OTU can serve as an indicator species for specific aquatic

environmental parameters or land uses.

As the amount of genetic data (e.g. 16S rDNA surveys and metagenomes) from freshwater

locations rapidly increases, it will be important to explore the best phylogenetic resolution at

which to identify correlations between water quality and microbiome structure. Such

microbiome data can then be linked to the relative abundance of specific microbial groups such

as those involved in nutrient cycling, toxicity or pathogenesis, which in turn are important traits

for water quality management.

3.3 Some caveats, and what can be done better next time.

While we could explain a significant amount of the variability in the microbiome (a maximum of

22.7%, Fig. 3b, similar to other studies, e.g. Lear*, et al.*, 2013), a large part of the variability

remains unexplained. This variability may be due to other aquatic environmental parameters we

did not measure, for example the quantity and composition of the dissolved organic carbon,

which feeds heterotrophic bacteria and clearly has an effect on aquatic microbiomes (Davis*, et*

al., 2015, Logue*, et al.*, 2015, Wei*, et al.*, 2016). Nor did we measure hydrological properties of

the systems such as the lake depth, turbulence, stratification or retention times of the lakes,

which can also strongly affect microbial population structure (Kopylov*, et al.*, 2002, Nogueira*, et*

al., 2002, Humayoun*, et al.*, 2003, Carini*, et al.*, 2005, Lindström*, et al.*, 2005, Percent*, et al.*,

2008). Groundwater discharge into the lakes may also be an important driver of both

biogeochemistry and population structure (Griebler and Lueders., 2009). While clearly of

importance, often many of these hydrological parameters are unknown, including for the

majority of the lakes we studied, even though several of them have been studied intensively for

over 50 years (e.g. Allgaier and Grossart, 2006, Casper, 2012). Finally, we measured each

location only once, relatively early during summer. Such a snap-shot does not represent the

dynamic nature of the aquatic microbiome. For example, in several lakes that we studied, large

Cyanobacterial blooms have been recorded, particularly during August (Mantzouki, *et al.*, 2018).

These blooms are often dominated by *Microcystis* species, yet these were relatively rare in our

samples. Clearly, a combination of extended time-series and comparative analysis such as the

one presented here, taking into account local conditions, terrestrial-aquatic coupling and lake

connectivity, are needed to gain a better understanding of the processes affecting the

microbiome.

4. Experimental Procedures

4.1 <u>Study region and delineation of drainage basins</u>

The sampling area lies within the region of Brandenburg, in northern Germany (Fig. 1, Fig. S1,

Supplementary text). The area is relatively flat (18-89 meters above sea level) and contains

hundreds of lakes, ponds and depressions that were formed by glacial action during the

Pleistocene. Three main basins drain this region, which are (from west to east) the Rhin, the

Havel and the Ucker. The two former flow south in the region of sampling, whereas the Ucker

flows north. These three main basins can be subdivided into smaller basins. The drainage basin

of each lake was defined as the line connecting the highest points from which the surface water

flow enters each of the lakes, including all of the upstream lakes. We note that groundwater

flow may be significant in many lakes, and often does not flow as surface water does in

accordance with topographic basins (Winter, *et al.*, 2003). We also assume that the water is fully

mixed horizontally within each separate water body, and that our sampling represents the

epilimnion if the lakes are stratified. A detailed description of the sampling region, methods of

delineating the basins, identification of the canals connecting the basins and GIS layer analysis,

can be found in the supplementary information.

4.2. <u>Sampling and analysis of environmental data</u>

Each location was sampled once from the edge of the water body. During sampling, dissolved

oxygen, temperature pH and turbidity were measured using a YSI multi probe (YSI 6920 V2-2; YSI

Inc). At all locations, 5 liters of surface water were collected using plastic jerry-cans that were

washed with double distilled water then with 70% ethanol and air dried prior to sampling.

Samples were brought to the lab and filtered within two hours. For chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*)

measurement, water was filtrated on GF/C filters (Whatman glass fiber, 25 mm, nominal pore

size 1.2 µm) and placed into 1.5 mL sterile Eppendorf tubes. The water was filtered until the

filters were clogged, and the volume was recorded (50-800 mL). Chlorophyll *a* was extracted in

absolute methanol for 12 h at room temperature in the dark, and the concentration was

determined spectrophotometrically according to (Ritchie, 2008). For DNA extraction, water was

filtered using a vacuum pump on GF/F filters (Whatman glass fiber, 25 mm, nominal pore size

0.7 µm). The filters were placed into 1.5 mL sterile Eppendorf tubes with 1 mL of storage buffer

(40 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.75 M Sucrose) and stored at -80°C until analyzed. The filtrate

from the GF/F filters was collected for measurement of dissolved nutrients, and unfiltered water

was used for total phosphorus measurements according to (Grasshoff and Kremling, 1983). DNA

extraction was performed using bead-beating followed by robotic extraction using a QiaCube

robot, the gene encoding the 16S subunit of the ribosomal RNA was amplified using primers

targeting the V3-V4 region, and the amplicons were sequenced using the 2×250 base pair format

on a MiSeq flow cell (V3 chemistry). More details on the DNA extraction and 16S amplification

procedures are found in the supplementary methods section.

<u>4.3 16S Sequence analysis and statistical tests</u>

Sequences received from the sequencing facility were quality filtered to remove PhiX DNA,

unmerged reads and low quality sequences, followed by classification into different Operational

Taxonomic Units (OTUs) based on 97% sequence similarity threshold using the

"pick_de_novo_otus.py" command in Qiime (Caporaso*, et al.*, 2010). OTUs with fewer than 5

reads across all samples were removed, resulting in 35,775 – 75,704 reads per sample. Alpha

diversity (Shannon index) was calculated using the ''diversity'' function, and Bray–Curtis

dissimilarity by ''vegdist'' function. Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (nMDS) was

carried out in R with ''metaMDS'' function and a Bray-Curtis matrix. In order to relate

environmental factors to specific OTUs we performed variation partitioning ("varpart" function

in R) followed by Canonical Correspondence Analaysis (CCA) using the "cca" and "envfit"

functions in the "vegan" R package. For additional information on these analyses, and on the

permutation analysis for the CCA, see the supplementary methods.

<u>4.4 Generalized Linear Model Analysis (GLM)</u>

We used logistic regression in the framework of GLMs to relate the six land use types to the

measured water chemical parameters. Multi-model inference based on the Akaike Information

Criterion (AIC) was used to rank the importance of variables (Burnham and Anderson, 2003,

Blank and Blaustein, 2014). The package ''glmulti'' was used to facilitate multi-model inference

based on every possible first-order combination of the predictors in each scale (Calcagno and de

Mazancourt, 2010). The coefficients associated with each variable and their relative importance

were assessed using a multi-model average. Parameters were estimated by averaging across

models, weighted by the probability that their originating models are the best in the set. Hence,

the relative importance of a parameter is not based on P-values, but rather on its occurrence in

the models that are best supported by the data. The importance value for a particular predictor

is equal to the sum of the weights for the models in which the variable appeared. Thus, a

variable that shows up in many of the models with large weights received a high importance

value.

Acknowledgements

We thank Elke Mach and Uta Mallok for chemical analyses, Liat Linde and Doron Fogel for the

DNA extraction and the MIBI group for valuable discussions

Funding source

This study was supported by a German-Israeli Cooperation in Water Technology Research Young

Scientists Exchange Program (to SM), by grant number 3-10342 from the Israeli Ministry of

Science and Technology (to DS) and by DFG project Microprime (GR1540/28-1, to HPG). Also, we

thank the Leibnitz Institute and the University of Haifa for additional funding

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Author contributions:

SM, HPG and DS designed study. SM, LG, HPG and DS collected samples, LB, LG, HPG and DS

analyzed the geographic data, SM, LB, AA, AM, ML and DS analyzed the genetic data, SM and DS

wrote the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

References

References

Abdelzaher, A.M., Wright, M.E., Ortega, C*., et al.* (2010) Presence of Pathogens and Indicator

Microbes at a Non-Point Source Subtropical Recreational Marine Beach. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology **76**: 724-732.

Acinas, S.G., Haverkamp, T.H.A., Huisman, J., and Stal, L,J. (2008) Phenotypic and genetic

diversification of Pseudanabaena spp. (Cyanobacteria). *The Isme Journal* **3**: 31.

Allgaier, M., and Grossart, H.P. (2006) Diversity and seasonal dynamics of Actinobacteria

populations in four lakes in northeastern Germany. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **72**:

3489-3497.

Battistuzzi, F.U., and Hedges, S.B. (2009) A Major Clade of Prokaryotes with Ancient Adaptations

to Life on Land. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **26**: 335-343.

Beaver, J.R., Manis, E.E., Loftin, K.A., Graham, J.L., Pollard, A.I., and Mitchell, R.M. (2014) Land

use patterns, ecoregion, and microcystin relationships in U.S. lakes and reservoirs: A preliminary

evaluation. *Harmful Algae* **36**: 57-62.

Bell, T. (2010) Experimental tests of the bacterial distance–decay relationship. *The Isme Journal*

4: 1357.

Blank, L., and Blaustein, L. (2014) A multi-scale analysis of breeding site characteristics of the

endangered fire salamander (Salamandra infraimmaculata) at its extreme southern range limit.

Hydrobiologia **726**: 229-244.

Briée, C., Moreira, D., and López-García, P. (2007) Archaeal and bacterial community

composition of sediment and plankton from a suboxic freshwater pond. *Research in*

Microbiology **158**: 213-227.

Burnham, K.P., and Anderson, D.R. (2003) *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical*

information-theoretic approach. Springer Science and Business Media.

Cabral, J.P.S. (2010) Water Microbiology. Bacterial Pathogens and Water. *International Journal*

of Environmental Research and Public Health **7**: 3657.

Calcagno, V., and de Mazancourt, C., (2010) glmulti: an R package for easy automated model

selection with (generalized) linear models. *Journal of statistical software* **34**: 1-29.

Callieri C (2017) Synechococcus plasticity under environmental changes. *FEMS microbiology*

letters **364**: fnx229.

Camp, H.J.M.O.d., Islam, T., Stott, M.B.*, et al.* (2009) Environmental, genomic and taxonomic

perspectives on methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **1**: 293-

306.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J.*, et al.* (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-

throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* **7**: 335.

Carini, S., Bano, N., LeCleir, G., and Joye, S.B. (2005) Aerobic methane oxidation and

methanotroph community composition during seasonal stratification in Mono Lake, California

(USA). *Environmental Microbiology* **7**: 1127-1138.

Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N., and Smith, V.H. (1998)

Mompoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological Applications* **8**:

559-568.

Casper, S.J. (2012) *Lake Stechlin: A temperate oligotrophic lake*. Springer Science and Business

Media.

Catherine, A., Troussellier, M. and Bernard, C. (2008) Design and application of a stratified

sampling strategy to study the regional distribution of Cyanobacteria (Ile-de-France, France).

Water Research **42**: 4989-5001.

Cirés, S., and Ballot, A. (2016) A review of the phylogeny, ecology and toxin production of

bloom-forming Aphanizomenon spp. and related species within the Nostocales (Cyanobacteria).

Harmful Algae **54**: 21-43.

Crump, B.C., Hopkinson, C.S., Sogin, M.L., and Hobbie, J.E. (2004) Microbial Biogeography along

an Estuarine Salinity Gradient: Combined Influences of Bacterial Growth and Residence Time.

Applied and Environmental Microbiology **70**: 1494-1505.

Crump, B.C., Adams, H.E., Hobbie, J.E., and Kling, G.W. (2007) Biogeography of bacterioplankton

in lakes and streams of an Arctic Tundra chtchment. *Ecology* **88**: 1365-1378.

Davis, T.W., Bullerjahn, G.S., Tuttle, T., McKay, R.M. and Watson, S.B. (2015) Effects of Increasing

Nitrogen and Phosphorus Concentrations on Phytoplankton Community Growth and Toxicity

During Planktothrix Blooms in Sandusky Bay, Lake Erie. *Environmental Science and Technology*

49: 7197-7207.

Eiler, A., and Bertilsson, S. (2004) Composition of freshwater bacterial communities associated

with Cyanobacterial blooms in four Swedish lakes. *Environmental Microbiology* **6**: 1228-1243.

Fukushima, M., Tomioka, N., Jutagate, T*., et al.* (2017) The dynamics of pico-sized and bloom-

forming Cyanobacteria in large water bodies in the Mekong River Basin. *PLOS ONE* **12**:

e0189609.

Ghai, R., Mizuno, C.M., Picazo, A., Camacho, A., and Rodriguez-Valera, F. (2014) Key roles for

freshwater Actinobacteria revealed by deep metagenomic sequencing. *Molecular Ecology* **23**:

6073-6090.

Glöckner, F.O., Zaichikov, E., Belkova, N., Denissova, L., Pernthaler, J., Pernthaler, A., and Amann,

R. (2000) Comparative 16S rRNA Analysis of Lake Bacterioplankton Reveals Globally Distributed

Phylogenetic Clusters Including an Abundant Group of Actinobacteria. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology **66**: 5053-5065.

Grasshoff, K.E., and Kremling, M. (1983) Methods of seawater analysis. Verlag Chemie.

Weinheim, Germany **4**: 9.

Griebler, C., and Lueders, T. (2009) Microbial biodiversity in groundwater ecosystems.

Freshwater Biology **54**: 649 – 677.

Hargreaves, S.K., Williams, R.J., and Hofmockel, K.S. (2015) Environmental Filtering of Microbial

Communities in Agricultural Soil Shifts with Crop Growth. *PLOS ONE* **10**: e0134345.

Haukka, K., Kolmonen, E., Hyder, R*., et al.* (2006) Effect of Nutrient Loading on Bacterioplankton

Community Composition in Lake Mesocosms. *Microbial Ecology* **51**: 137-146.

Horner-Devine, M.C., Lage, M., Hughes, J.B., and Bohannan, B.J.M. (2004) A taxa–area

relationship for bacteria. *Nature* **432**: 750.

Hu, S., Zeng, R.J., Haroon, M.F., Keller, J., Lant, P.A., Tyson, G.W. and Yuan, Z. (2015) A laboratory

investigation of interactions between denitrifying anaerobic methane oxidation (DAMO) and

anammox processes in anoxic environments. *Scientific Reports* **5**: 8706.

Humayoun, S.B., Bano, N., and Hollibaugh, J.T. (2003) Depth Distribution of Microbial Diversity in

Mono Lake, a Meromictic Soda Lake in California. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **69**:

1030-1042.

Janssen, P.H. (2006) Identifying the Dominant Soil Bacterial Taxa in Libraries of 16S rRNA and

16S rRNA Genes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **72**: 1719-1728.

Joerin, F., Thériault, M., and Musy, A. (2001) Using GIS and outranking multicriteria analysis for

land-use suitability assessment. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* **15**:

153-174.

Jones, S.E., and McMahon, K.D. (2009) Species-sorting may explain an apparent minimal effect

of immigration on freshwater bacterial community dynamics. *Environmental Microbiology* **11**:

905-913.

Katsiapi, M., Mazaris, A.D., Charalampous, E., and Moustaka-Gouni, M. (2012) Watershed land

use types as drivers of freshwater phytoplankton structure. *Hydrobiologia* **698**: 121-131.

Khadem AF, Pol A, Jetten MSM and Op den Camp HJM (2010) Nitrogen fixation by the

Verrucomicrobial methanotroph 'Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum' SolV. *Microbiology* **156**:

1052-1059.

King, A.J., Freeman, K.R., McCormick, K.F., Lynch, R.C., Lozupone, C., Knight, R., and Schmidt, S.K.

(2010) Biogeography and habitat modelling of high-alpine bacteria. *Nature Communications* **1**:

53.

Kolmonen, E., Sivonen, K., Rapala, J., and Haukka, K. (2004) Diversity of Cyanobacteria and

heterotrophic bacteria in Cyanobacterial blooms in Lake Joutikas, Finland. *Aquatic Microbial*

Ecology **36**: 201-211.

Kopylov, A.I., Kosolapov, D.B., Romanenko, A.V., and Degermendzhy, A.G. (2002) Structure of

planktonic microbial food web in a brackish stratified Siberian lake. *Aquatic Ecology* **36**: 179-204.

Langenheder, S., and Székely, A.J. (2011) Species sorting and neutral processes are both

important during the initial assembly of bacterial communities. *The Isme Journal* **5**: 1086-1094.

Larned, S.T., Scarsbrook, M.R., Snelder, T.H., Norton, N.J., and Biggs, B.J.F. (2004) Water quality

in low-elevation streams and rivers of New Zealand: Recent state and trends in contrasting land-

cover classes. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **38**: 347-366.

Lear, G., Washington, V., Neale, M., Case, B., Buckley, H., and Lewis, G. (2013) The biogeography

of stream bacteria. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **22**: 544-554.

Leibold, M.A., and Norberg, J. (2004) Biodiversity in metacommunities: Plankton as complex

adaptive systems? *Limnology and Oceanography* **49**: 1278-1289.

Lindström, E.S., and Bergström, A.K. (2004) Influence of inlet bacteria on bacterioplankton

assemblage composition in lakes of different hydraulic retention time. *Limnology and*

Oceanography **49**: 125-136.

Lindström, E.S., and Langenheder, S. (2012) Local and regional factors influencing bacterial

community assembly. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **4**: 1-9.

Lindström, E.S., Kamst-Van Agterveld, M.P., and Zwart, G. (2005) Distribution of Typical

Freshwater Bacterial Groups Is Associated with pH, Temperature, and Lake Water Retention

Time. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **71**: 8201-8206.

Logue, J.B., Stedmon, C.A., Kellerman, A.M.*, et al.* (2015) Experimental insights into the

importance of aquatic bacterial community composition to the degradation of dissolved organic

matter. *The Isme Journal* **10**: 533.

Mantzouki, E., Lürling, M., Fastner, J*,. et al.* (2018) Temperature Effects Explain Continental Scale

Distribution of Cyanobacterial Toxins. *Toxins* **10**: 156.

Marcelino, V.R., van Oppen, M.J.H,. and Verbruggen, H. (2017) Highly structured prokaryote

communities exist within the skeleton of coral colonies. *The Isme Journal* **12**: 300.

Marmen, S., Aharonovich, D., Grossowicz, M., Blank, L., Yacobi, Y.Z., and Sher, D.J. (2016)

Distribution and Habitat Specificity of Potentially-Toxic Microcystis across Climate, Land, and

Water Use Gradients. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**.

Mašín, M., Jezbera, J., Nedoma, J., Straškrabová, V., Hejzlar, J., and Šimek, K. (2003) Changes in

bacterial community composition and microbial activities along the longitudinal axis of two

canyon-shaped reservoirs with different inflow loading. *Hydrobiologia* **504**: 99-113.

Mehaffey, M.H., Nash, M.S., Wade, T.G., Ebert, D.W., Jones, K.B., and Rager, A. (2005) Linking

Land Cover and Water Quality in New York City'S Water Supply Watersheds. *Environmental*

Monitoring and Assessment **107**: 29-44.

Mitsui, A., Kumazawa, S., Takahashi, A., Ikemoto, H., Cao, S., and Arai, T. (1986) Strategy by

which nitrogen-fixing unicellular Cyanobacteria grow photoautotrophically. *Nature* **323**: 720.

Ndlela, L.L., Oberholster, P.J., Van Wyk, J.H., and Cheng, P.H. (2016) An overview of

Cyanobacterial bloom occurrences and research in Africa over the last decade. *Harmful Algae*

60: 11-26.

Nekola, J.C., and White, P.S. (1999) The distance decay of similarity in biogeography and

ecology. *Journal of Biogeography* **26**: 867-878.

Newton, R.J., Jones, S.E., Eiler, A., McMahon, K.D., and Bertilsson, S. (2011) A Guide to the

Natural History of Freshwater Lake Bacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **75**:

14-49.

Nogueira, R., Melo, Ls.F., Purkhold, U., Wuertz, S., and Wagner, M. (2002) Nitrifying and

heterotrophic population dynamics in biofilm reactors: effects of hydraulic retention time and

the presence of organic carbon. *Water Research* **36**: 469-481.

Percent, S.F., Frischer, M.E., Vescio, P.A.*, et al.* (2008) Bacterial Community Structure of Acid-

Impacted Lakes: What Controls Diversity? *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **74**: 1856-

1868.

Quinn, J.M., Cooper, A.B., Davies-Colley, R.J., Rutherford, J.C. and Williamson, R.B. (1997) Land

use effects on habitat, water quality, periphyton, and benthic invertebrates in Waikato, New

Zealand, hill-country streams. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **31**: 579-

597.

Reimann, C., Finne, T.E., Nordgulen, Ø., Sæther, O.M., Arnoldussen, A., and Bank,s D. (2009) The

influence of geology and land-use on inorganic stream water quality in the Oslo region, Norway.

Applied Geochemistry **24**: 1862-1874.

Ritchie, R.J. (2008) Universal chlorophyll equations for estimating chlorophylls a, b, c, and d and

total chlorophylls in natural assemblages of photosynthetic organisms using acetone, methanol,

or ethanol solvents. *Photosynthetica* **46**: 115-126.

Savage, C., Leavitt, P.R., and Elmgren, R. (2010) Effects of land use, urbanization, and climate

variability on coastal eutrophication in the Baltic Sea. *Limnology and Oceanography* **55**: 1033-

1046.

Schoonover, J.E., and Lockaby, B.G. (2006) Land cover impacts on stream nutrients and fecal

coliform in the lower Piedmont of West Georgia. *Journal of Hydrology* **331**: 371-382.

Singer E, Bushnell B, Coleman-Derr D*, et al.* (2016) High-resolution phylogenetic microbial

community profiling. *The Isme Journal* **10**: 2020.

Sukenik, A., Hadas, O., Kaplan, A., and Quesada, A. (2012) Invasion of Nostocales

(Cyanobacteria) to Subtropical and Temperate Freshwater Lakes – Physiological, Regional, and

Global Driving Forces. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **3**.

Valério, E., Chaves, S., and Tenreiro, R. (2010) Diversity and Impact of Prokaryotic Toxins on

Aquatic Environments: A Review. *Toxins* **2**: 2359.

Van Rossum, T., Peabody, M.A., Uyaguari-Diaz, M.I.*, et al.* (2015) Year-Long Metagenomic Study

of River Microbiomes Across Land Use and Water Quality. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **6**.

Vitousek, P.M., Mooney, H.A., Lubchenco, J., and Melillo, J.M. (1997) Human Domination of

Earth's Ecosystems. *Science* **277**: 494-499.

Wang, X., and Yin, Z.Y. (1997) Using GIS to assess the relationship between land use and water

quality at a watershed level. *Environment International* **23**: 103-114.

Wei, Y., Wei, Z., Cao, Z.*, et al.* (2016) A regulating method for the distribution of phosphorus

fractions based on environmental parameters related to the key phosphate-solubilizing bacteria

during composting. *Bioresource Technology* **211**: 610-617.

Winter, T.C., Rosenberry, D.O., and LaBaugh, J.W. (2003) Where Does the Ground Water in Small

Watersheds Come From? *Groundwater* **41**: 989-1000.

Witt, V., Wild, C., and Uthicke, S. (2012) Terrestrial Runoff Controls the Bacterial Community

Composition of Biofilms along a Water Quality Gradient in the Great Barrier Reef. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology **78**: 7786-7791.

Wolz, C.R.M., Yarwood, S.A., Grant, E.H.C., Fleischer, R.C., Lips, K.R., and Hoye, B. (2018) Effects

of host species and environment on the skin microbiome of Plethodontid salamanders. *Journal*

of Animal Ecology **87**: 341-353.

Xu, H., Paerl, H.W., Qin, B., Zhu, G., and Gaoa, G. (2010) Nitrogen and phosphorus inputs control

phytoplankton growth in eutrophic Lake Taihu, China. *Limnology and Oceanography* **55**: 420-

432.

Yannarell, A.C., and Triplett, E.W. (2005) Geographic and Environmental Sources of Variation in

Lake Bacterial Community Composition. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **71**: 227-239.

Zhang, J., Su, Y., Wu, J., and Liang, H. (2015) GIS based land suitability assessment for tobacco

production using AHP and fuzzy set in Shandong province of China. *Computers and Electronics in*

Agriculture **114**: 202-211.

Table and Figure legends:

Figure 1. Land use and drainage basins in the sampling area. A total of 46 samples were collected

from lakes and a fish-pond in the Brandenburg region of northern Germany. Five types of land use

(urban areas, agriculture, forests, wetlands and water bodies), mapped using GIS, are shown, with

black lines superimposed indicating drainage basins. The arrows indicate the dominant flow direction

within each basin. The color used for the sampling locations from each basin is used in all other

figures. A map with the identities of each sampling location is presented in Fig. S1, and the detailed

information on each sampling location can be found in Supplementary Excel table.

Figure 2. An overview of the microbial populations in the sampled lakes. The columns are

organized by the dendogram in panel 2B and are colored (bars in panel A) by drainage basin, as

shown in Figure 1. **A) α-diversity of the microbial populations in the different lakes, measured**

by the Shannon index. No clear patterns are observed. **B) Community composition and**

community clustering based on the Bray-Curtis similarity index; Colors represent different

phyla, lines within the same color represent OTUs at the 97% identity cutoff. The sampling sites

can be divided into two groups - one dominated by Cyanobacteria, and the other by

Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes. **C) No distance decay patterns are observed**.

Each point represents the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between locations within the same basin

(blue) or locations found in two separate basins (red). **D) Most of the OTUs are found in more**

than 50% of the sampling sites. The diversity (γ diversity) of all sampling locations combined is

presented, divided into five categories representing core OTUs (found in all sites), cosmopolitan

OTUs (> 90% of the sites), common OTUs (> 50% of the sites), rare OTUs (< 10% of the sites), and

unique OTUs (present at one site only).

Figure 3. Land use affects water chemistry, which, in turn, influences the microbiome

composition. Variation Partition Analysis (VPA) was performed with 2 data sets (basin and local

696 land use) to explain water chemistry, and with 3 data sets (basin and local land use as well as

697 water chemistry) to explain the variation in the microbiome composition. **A) The combination of**

698 **local and basin scale land use were able to explain almost 40% of the variability in water**

699 **chemical properties**, with basin land use as the main explanatory variable. **B) Water chemical**

700 **properties represent the main explanatory variable for the microbiome composition (ca. 19%**

701 **among all sites).** The combination of basin scale land use and water quality explain 22.7% of

702 microbiome variability.

703 **Figure 4. The importance of different land use types for determining water chemical**

704 **parameters.** The results of General Linear Models (GLMs) analysis for the land use for each of

705 the water chemical properties are shown: **A) Urban areas are positively related to NO3+NO2**

706 **concentrations.** A strong correlation (R^2= 0.74) is observed between land uses and nitrite and

707 nitrate concentrations, with the fraction of "urban areas" being the most important variable

708 contributing to the correlation; **B) Forests and natural areas are positively related with**

709 **chlorophyll-a concentrations.** A very strong correlation between land use and *chl a*

710 concentration (R^2= 0.98), with "forests and natural areas" being the most important variable

711 contributing to the correlation.

712 **Figure 5. Differences between bacterial phyla in their association with different aquatic**

713 **environmental conditions**. Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) plots are shown, with

714 sampling locations and OTUs ordinated based on the four aquatic environmental parameters

715 shown to significantly affect the microbiome composition. **A) An overview of the ordination,**

716 **showing the 2845 most abundant OTUs. B) The same ordination as in A, with the OTUs**

717 **removed** to show the relative location of the eigenvectors for the aquatic environmental

718 parameters and the ordination of the sampling sites. **C-G) The same plot as in A, with specific**

microbial phyla highlighted. Numbers represent the results of permutation tests to determine

whether the distribution of each phyla is significantly un-random.

Figure 6. Separation in the CCA ordination between bacterial lineages at the taxonomic levels

of order, class or family. a) Cyanobacterial classes. Note the clear separation between the

Synechococcoales and the Pseudoanabaenales and Nostocales along the first CCA axis (r^2 = 0.2,

Adj p val = 0.03, Adonis test), with some differentiation between the latter two orders primarily

along the second CCA axis. **b) Verrucomicrobial orders.** Note the Methylacidifilae, which are

limited to the upper-right hand quadrant, possibly in association with high NO3+NO2 levels, and

clearly separated from the other orders, except of the Spartobacteria (r^2 = 0.2, Adj p val = 0.01 ,

Adonis test). **c) Proteobacterial orders. d) Bacteroidetes order. e) Actinobacteria family.** No

clear differentiation is seen between the orders or families.

Figure 7. Conceptual model summarizing the observed effects of land use on water chemistry,

and of water chemistry on the microbiome. Solid arrows represent important correlations

between land use and aquatic environmental parameters, based on the GLMs. Dashed arrows

represent positive relationships between aquatic environmental parameters and specific

microbial lineages inferred from the CCA plots, and blunt dashed lines represent negative

relationships inferred in the same manner. Forest and urban land uses correlate with high *Chl a*

and NO_3+NO_2 concentrations, respectively. These parameters in turn, are part of the aquatic

environmental conditions which determine, to some extent, the relative distribution of specific

Cyanobacterial classes and Verrucomicrobial orders.