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#### Abstract

ASBTRACT

Osteoporosis is a devastating disease with an essential genetic component. Genome wide association studies (GWAS) have discovered genetic variants robustly associated with bone mineral density (BMD), however they only report genomic signals and not necessarily the precise localization of culprit effector genes. Therefore, we sought to carry out physical and direct 'variant to gene mapping' in a relevant primary human cell type. We developed 'SPATlaL-seq’ (genome-Scale, Promoter-focused Analysis of chromaTln Looping), a massively parallel, high resolution Capture-C based method to simultaneously characterize the genome-wide interactions of all human promoters. By intersecting our SPATlaL-seq and ATAC-seq data from human mesenchymal progenitor cell -derived osteoblasts, we observed consistent contacts between candidate causal variants and putative target gene promoters in open chromatin for $\sim 30 \%$ of the 110 BMD loci investigated. Knockdown of two novel implicated genes, ING3 at 'CPED1-WNT16' and EPDR1 at 'STARD3NL', had pronounced inhibitory effects on osteoblastogenesis. Our approach therefore aids target discovery in osteoporosis and can be applied to other common genetic diseases.


## INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a common chronic form of disability due to loss of bone mineral density (BMD). During their lifetime, women lose $30-50 \%$ of peak bone mass, while men lose $20-30 \%$. Fracture risk is higher in individuals with lower $\mathrm{BMD}^{1-3}$. Above age 50 , many women of European ancestry will suffer at least one fracture; of these, many are at high risk for a subsequent fracture ${ }^{4}$. The subsequent loss in mobility and increased mortality have an enormous financial impact estimated at $\$ 17$ billion annually ${ }^{5}$, and this is likely to rise during the next few decades due to an aging population ${ }^{4}$.

BMD is a classic complex trait influenced by behavioral, environmental and genetic factors. There is strong evidence for genetic predisposition to osteoporosis ${ }^{6-8}$, with an estimated $60 \%$ to $80 \%$ of the risk explained by heritable factors ${ }^{9,10}$. Population ancestry differences also speak to the genetic component ${ }^{11,12}$.

After the limited successes in the candidate gene ${ }^{13,14}$ and family-based linkage study eras of bone genetics ${ }^{15,16}$, the GWAS approach has proven a more comprehensive and unbiased strategy to identify loci related to this complex phenotype. Increasingly higher resolution GWAS have examined adult bone phenotypes ${ }^{17-22}$ - the latest meta-analysis reported 56 adult BMD (measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry) ${ }^{17-19}$ and 14 fracture risk associated loci ${ }^{22}$, while additional loci have been discovered in the pediatric setting ${ }^{23,24}$. Indeed, we found that many of these adult bone loci also operate in childhood ${ }^{23-27}$, including rare variation at the engrailed 1 (EN1) locus ${ }^{28}$ uncovered in a recent sequencing study in a large sample of European adults ${ }^{29}$.

However, GWAS only reports the sentinel single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), i.e. the SNP at a given locus with the lowest association $P$-value, which is unlikely to be the actual causal variant. Furthermore, the locations of the GWAS signals, the vast majority of which are non-coding in nature, residing in either intronic or intergenic regions, do not necessarily imply the precise location of the underlying effector genes. Thus, key questions related to GWAS are: is the nearest gene to a GWAS-implicated SNP in fact the actual principal culprit gene at the
locus? Or is it another gene somewhere in the neighborhood? One example of this is the characterization of the key FTO locus in obesity ${ }^{30-32}$. The top GWAS signal resides within an intronic region of the FTO gene but in fact is primarily driving the expression of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes nearby, i.e. this variant appears to be in an embedded enhancer in one gene but influencing the expression of others. As a second example, we implicated a nearby gene, ACSL5 $5^{33}$, at the key type 2 diabetes TCF7L2 locus ${ }^{34,35}$, with GTEx confirming a co-localized eQTL for this gene ${ }^{36}$. This should not be too surprising given that gene expression can be controlled locally or via long range interactions over large genomic distances. After all, it is well established that in non-coding regions of the genome there are important regulatory elements, such as enhancers and silencers, and genetic variants that disrupt those elements can equally confer susceptibility to complex disease. Indeed, many regulatory elements do not control the nearest genes and can reside tens or hundreds of kilobases away.

Given this, there is a compelling need to systematically characterize the mechanisms of action at each of the BMD GWAS loci, in order to identify the effector genes. Because of the paucity of public domain genomic data relevant to bone, such as eQTL and chromatin conformation capture data, we elected to evaluate key BMD GWAS signals ${ }^{22-24,27,37,38}$ in the context of next level, three-dimensional genomics by leveraging a Capture C-based high resolution promoter 'interactome' methodology (SPATlaL-seq). Chromatin conformation capture-based techniques, combined with ATAC-seq, can help to establish initial 'variant-togene mapping', from which therapeutic and diagnostic approaches can be developed with greater confidence given that the correct target is actually being pursued. With the need for functional insight into GWAS observations, our goal was to provide the first comprehensive 'variant to gene mapping' for BMD GWAS-implicated loci by leveraging human MSC-derived osteoblasts, a relevant cellular model for human bone biology.

## RESULTS

In order to investigate the genome-wide contacts of all promoters in the human genome (i.e. a promoter 'interactome'), we developed a new capture-C-based approach ('SPATlaL-seq') based on a custom-designed SureSelect library (Agilent) targeting the transcriptional start sites (TSS) of 22,647 coding genes and 21,684 non-coding RNAs (including their alternative promoters). We adapted existing Capture-C protocols ${ }^{39,40}$ using a 4-cutter restriction enzyme (DpnII, average fragment size 433 bp ) to achieve higher resolution than the more commonly used 6-cutter (HindIII, average fragment size 3.7 kb ). The design included 36,691 bait fragments associated to 44,331 transcripts, representing the most comprehensive 3D promoter interactome analyzed to date.

The premise to utilizing a combination of SPATlaL-seq and ATAC-seq was to get as close to the BMD GWAS causal variant as possible through wet lab determination in a cell line relevant for human bone biology, as opposed to statistical ascertainment - there is currently a paucity of genotyped trans-ethnic cohorts with BMD data to conduct fine mapping. We therefore carried out comprehensive ATAC-seq and SPATlaL-seq in order to physically fine-map each BMD locus in primary human MSC-derived osteoblasts.

Primary MSCs were cultivated using standard techniques and then osteoblast induction was performed with BMP2, as previously described ${ }^{41}$. ATAC-seq data from nine libraries derived from four donors (Table S1) were analyzed using the ENCODE ATAC-seq pipeline (https://github.com/kundajelab/atac_dnase_pipelines) yielding 156,406 open chromatin "conservative" peaks, which allowed determination of informative (i.e. residing in open chromatin) proxy SNPs for each of the 110 independent ( $r^{2}<0.2$ ) signals at 107 BMD GWAS loci (Table S2). This was accomplished by overlapping the positions of the open chromatin regions (peaks) with those of the sentinel and proxy SNPs ( $r^{2}>0.4$ to sentinel SNP in Europeans; total $\mathrm{n}=14,007$ proxies) at each of the BMD GWAS loci. This effort substantially advanced the initial GWAS discoveries, since ATAC-seq permitted us to identify a shortlist of 474 candidate variants
residing within open chromatin that are in LD with the sentinel SNP of 88 out of the 107 BMD loci investigated.

Next, we performed SPATlaL-seq on MSC-derived osteoblasts from three donors (Table S1). Libraries from each donor yielded high coverage (an average of $\sim 1.6$ billion reads per library) and good quality, with $>40 \%$ valid read pairs and $>75 \%$ capture efficiency (\% of unique valid reads captured; Table S3). We called significant interactions using the CHiCAGO pipeline ${ }^{42}$. We first performed analyses at 1-fragment and 4 -fragment resolutions and observed that the median distance for cis interactions increased when decreasing the resolution (Table S4). Therefore, we merged the results from the two analyses, allowing us to use a very high resolution for short-distance interactions, and to trade off resolution for increased sensitivity at longer interaction distances. Using this approach, we identified a total of 295,422 interactions ( $\sim 14 \%$ were bait to bait), with a median distance for cis interactions of 50.5 kb , and a low number of trans interactions ( $0.7 \%$ ). Most of the non-bait promoter-interacting regions (PIRs) had contacts with a single baited region (84\%), while only $1 \%$ contacted more than four.

PIRs were significantly enriched for open chromatin regions as detected by our ATAC-seq experiments, suggesting a potential regulatory role. They were also enriched for histone marks associated with active chromatin regions in primary human osteoblasts from the ENCODE project ${ }^{43}$ (Table S5), such as enhancer regions (H3K27ac and H3K4me1), active promoters (H3K4me3), actively transcribed regions (H3K36me3), and transcription factor binding sites (H3K4me2); they also associated with CTCF binding sites and the repressive mark H3K27me3, but not with the repressive mark H3K9me3 (Fig S1A). PIRs were highly enriched for BMD GWAS signals and their proxies ( $r^{2}>0.4$ ), but substantially less so for the non bone-related Alzheimer's disease (Fig S1B). The number of contacts per bait were also significantly higher $\left(P<2 \times 10^{-16}\right)$ in open vs inaccessible promoters, as determined by ATAC-seq, but only when considering contacts to 'open' PIRs.

To explore the relationship between promoter interactivity measured by SPATlaL-seq and gene expression, we performed RNA-seq on MSC-derived osteoblasts from the same three donors. Markers of osteoblast differentiation such as SPP1, SOST, DKK1, Osterix (SP7), and DMP1, were abundantly expressed ( $>50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) in all three samples (highlighted in red in Table S6). We observed an increased number of contacts in the more highly expressed genes, but only when considering open promoters and open PIRs, as determined by our ATAC-seq experiments (Fig. S2).

Of the BMD GWAS loci with an open chromatin SNP in MSC-derived osteoblasts (not residing in a baited promoter region), 33 revealed a significant direct interaction to an 'open’ promoter region (Table 1).

We detected a total of 80 distinct chromatin looping interactions, involving 60 baited regions corresponding to 65 'open' promoters and 66 open chromatin regions harboring one or more BMD proxy SNPs (22 interactions were detected at both1-fragment and 4-fragment resolution, 6 at 1-fragment resolution only, and 52 at 4 -fragment only; Table S7). The vast majority of the implicated genes were highly expressed in the MSC-derived osteoblasts (Table S6).

SNP-promoter interactions (for SNPs not residing in baits) fell in to three types of observations: 1. to nearest gene only (36\%) (Fig. 1A), to both nearest and more distant gene(s) (12\%) (Fig. 1B) and only to distant gene(s) (52\%) (Fig. 1C). Overall, $58 \%$ of the GWAS loci interacted with only one baited promoter region, while $42 \%$ interacted with more than one.

We went on to carry out pathway analyses on the above genes implicated by ATAC-seq plus SPATlaL-seq in human MSC-derived osteoblasts. Using Ingenuity, we found four enriched canonical pathways surviving multiple comparison correction, all very relevant to osteoblastic differentiation: ‘osteoarthritis pathway’, ‘TGF- $\beta$ signaling’, 'role of osteoblasts, osteoclasts and chondrocytes in rheumatoid arthritis' and 'BMP signaling pathway' (Fig. S3). Among the implicated genes, we found several with a known role in osteogenesis, such as RUNX2 at the
'SUPT3H-RUNX2' locus, HTRA144 at 'TACC2' and MIR31HG ${ }^{45}$ at ' $M T A P$ ', confirming the validity of our approach, while other target genes were completely novel.

In order to validate our findings, we targeted the expression of implicated genes using siRNA at two key loci in primary human MSCs derived from four donors (Table S1): WNT16, CPED1 and ING3 at the 'WNT16-CPED1' locus, and EPDR1 and SFRP4 at the 'STARD3NL' locus, and then assessed osteoblast differentiation. qPCR analysis showed that each siRNA resulted in significant knockdown of its corresponding target across the donor MSCs, but did not impact the expression of the other gene or genes implicated at the same loci (Fig. 2 B, C; Fig. 3 B, C, D). BMP2 treatment had no effect on ING3 expression, but reduced CPED1 and increased WNT16. In addition, upon BMP2 treatment, basal expression of SFRP4 decreased, while EPDR1 increased, although, considered across donors (which show variability), the results are not statistically significant. Since ALP expression is considered essential for hydroxyapatite deposition and hard-tissue mineralization, histochemical ALP staining was performed using parallel sets of gene-targeted cells. While targeting WNT16, CPED1 and SFRP4 produced somewhat variable ALP staining across donor lines, staining was strikingly and consistently reduced by ING3 and EPDR1 targeting. Furthermore, Alizarin red S staining confirmed that calcium phosphate mineral deposition was absent from cells with decreased ING3 and EPDR1 expression, whereas it could be observed in cells that lacked CPED1, WNT16 and SFRP4 expression. These changes in osteoblast differentiation while associated with reduced ALP gene expression (Fig. 2G and 3H), were not associated with concomitant decreases in osteoblast transcriptional regulator Osterix nor did the knockdowns negatively impact BMP2 signaling based on Id1 expression. Surprisingly, despite the negative impact of ING3 knockdown on osteoblast differentiation, ING3 knockdown increased both Id1 expression and Runx2 expression more than control siRNA. Runx2 expression was not significantly affected by EPDR1 knockdown (although expression was variable among the samples).

In summary, knock-down of two novel genes (ING3 and EPDR1) not previously associated with BMD but implicated by our combined ATAC-seq and SPATiAL-seq approach revealed strong effects on osteoblast differentiation (decreased ALP expression and absence of calcium phosphate mineral deposition), suggesting an important role in bone biology and possibly in the development of diagnostic and therapeutic tools for osteoporosis.

## DISCUSSION

Recently, many chromatin conformation capture (3C) based methods have been developed with the goal of mapping GWAS variants to their target genes ${ }^{39,40,46-49}$. While Hi-C was developed to study the high order genomic organization of human chromatin domains, and not the precise looping interactions between GWAS-implicated variants and their target genes (and requires a high amount of sequencing), the main limitations of the other currently available approaches are the low resolution (dictated by the use of the 6 -cutter HindIII) of Capture $\mathrm{Hi}-\mathrm{C}^{39}$, and the bias intrinsic to the Hi -ChIP technique ${ }^{49}$, which is not 'promoter-centric' and uses antibodies to capture genomic regions characterized by certain histone marks (such as H3K27ac). In addition, none of these methods has been designed to target promoters of noncoding genes or alternative promoters for the same gene.

To overcome these limitations, and given the paucity of bone-related cell types represented in the public domain (ENCODE, GTEx ${ }^{36}$ etc.), we developed SPATlaL-seq, a very nimble highresolution technique that does not require the large sample sizes typically required for eQTL analysis, providing significant results with only a few biological replicates. Moreover, SPATIaLseq allows for a non-hypothesis driven gene discovery effort, since it presumes nothing about the region in which the SNP resides.

In this study, we applied SPATlaL-seq to primary human MSC-derived osteoblasts, representing a highly relevant, but relatively difficult to obtain, cellular model type to study BMD. We recognize that other cell types, most particularly osteoclasts, are also involved in BMD determination, but we have shed light on how these loci operate in this key single cell type, most responsible for building peak bone density.

We were able to determine complex and intricate contacts for $\sim 30 \%$ of the BMD loci, frequently detecting two or more promoter contacts at these signals. About 80\% of the ATACseq implicated BMD proxy SNPs not residing in a promoter interacted with distal genes, either exclusively or in conjunction with an interaction with the nearest gene. Implicated genes
revealed enrichment for functionally relevant networks for bone biology. We went on to demonstrate with siRNA mediated knockdown experiments that ING3 and EPDR1, implicated genes at the 'WNT16-CPED1' and 'STARD3NL' loci, respectively, play a role in osteoblast differentiation. Most intriguingly, neither gene is widely known to the bone community and thus reveals novel biology.

Although our studies have not probed the mechanistic basis for the regulation of osteoblastogenesis by ING3 and EPDR1, prior studies in other organ systems may provide some clues regarding potential function. Two mechanisms can be proposed by which ING3 affects osteoblast differentiation. First, since the ING3 protein is part of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase (HAT) complex that recognizes trimethylated forms of lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3) ${ }^{50}$, silencing of ING3 might affect key osteoblastic genes during human osteoblast differentiation. Second, given that ING3 levels are down-regulated in head and neck carcinoma, melanoma, ameloblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and colorectal cancers ${ }^{50}$, and ING3 containing transcription complexes interact with p53 transactivated promoters including promoters of p21/waf1 and Bax to affect cell cycle progression ${ }^{51}$, the absence of ING3 may allow cells to avoid differentiation by continuously re-entering the cell cycle; however, our studies are carried out in serum-free media and thus, we did not note any changes in cell proliferation. EPDR1 is a type II transmembrane protein that is similar to two families of cell adhesion molecules, the protocadherins and ependymins that can affect the extracellular milieu (ECM). Calcium-induced conformational change of EPDR1 molecules are known to be important for EPDR1 interaction with the components of the extracellular matrix and this can affect cell adhesion and migration ${ }^{52}$. Notably, extracellular matrix components play an important role in osteoblast differentiation, and thus, alterations in interactions of EPDR1 with the ECM could have important implications for this biological process. Intriguingly, an Epdr1 KO mouse exists in the Mouse Genome Database (MGD) Project and has a short tibia phenotype.

We cannot exclude the role of other genes at these loci. At 'WNT16-CPED1', the culprit gene is usually believed to be WNT16, since the WNT pathway plays a fundamental role in bone development and Wht16 has been shown to regulate cortical bone mass and bone strength in mice ${ }^{53}$. Indeed, two SNPs in LD with the WNT16 GWAS sentinel rs3801387 (rs142005327, $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.94 ; \mathrm{rs} 2908004, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.45$ ) reside in the WNT16 promoter region and may well regulate WNT16 expression; however, our SPATlaL-seq data reveal no looping between this promoter and putative enhancer open chromatin regions in our cell setting.

At the 'STARD3NL' locus, a compelling candidate effector gene is SFRP4, encoding secreted frizzled-related protein 4, a soluble Wnt inhibitor recently implicated in bone remodeling both in mouse and humans ${ }^{54,55}$. Nonetheless, and most interestingly, our approach was able to uncover the role of two less obvious, novel genes in osteoblastogenesis and bone mineralization.

In conclusion, we observed consistent contacts at multiple BMD GWAS loci with a high resolution promoter interactome applied to a single difficult to obtain, disease-relevant cell type i.e. human primary MSC-derived osteoblasts. Our ATAC-seq plus SPATlaL-seq approach has promise for future efforts to implicate effector genes at GWAS loci for other common genetic disorders.

## METHODS

## Loci analyzed

We leveraged 63 loci from the latest GWAS of adult BMD and fracture ${ }^{27}$, plus pediatric and adult loci from studies from our and other investigators' groups ${ }^{23,24,27,29,38,56,57}$, for a total of 110 independent sentinels (Table S2) . To obtain proxy SNPs, we used rAggr (http://raggr.usc.edu) with an $r^{2}<0.4$ threshold and the all European (CEU + FIN + GBR + IBS + TSI) population. For two loci (IZUMO and DHH) for which we could not find proxies in rAggr, we leveraged the WTCCC genotype data (ref) to calculate $r^{2}$ in PLINK v 1.9 (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/; ref), with a 0.4 threshold. For one low MAF locus (LDLRAD3) we could not identify proxies by either approach, so we ultimately worked with 14,007 proxies for 110 sentinels at 107 loci.

## Culturing, differentiation and functional characterization of hMSCs

Primary bone-marrow derived human MSCs isolated from healthy donors (age range: 22 years-29 years) were characterized for cell surface expression (CD166+CD90+CD105+/CD36-CD34-CD10-CD11b-CD45-) and tri-lineage differentiation (osteoblastic, adipogenic and chondrogenic) potential at the Institute of Regenerative Medicine, Texas A\&M University. Expansion and maintenance of the cells were carried out using alpha-MEM supplemented with $16.5 \%$ FBS in standard culture conditions by plating cells at a density of 3000 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. For osteoblastic differentiation, $15,000 \mathrm{cell} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ from maintenance cultures were plated in alphaMEM consisting of $16.5 \%$ FBS, $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Ascorbic acid-2-phosphate, 5 mM betaglycerophosphate and $1 \%$ insulin-transferrin-selenous acid (osteogenic media) and stimulated the next day with recombinant human BMP2 ( $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) (R\&D Systems, MN) in serum-free osteogenic media. Cells were harvested at 72 hours following BMP2 treatment for sequencing library preparations because our previous work has shown that this time point reflects a stage when the cells are fully osteoblast committed but have not begun to mineralize. It was important
to harvest non-mineralizing cultures, given that during that terminal state cells will begin to undergo apoptosis ${ }^{41,58}$. Cells were assessed for differentiation in parallel plates by harvesting RNA and assessing the expression of RUNX2, SP7, and alkaline phosphatase, and target genes. Additionally, a third parallel plate of cells was assessed for alkaline phosphatase activity. For quantitative RT-PCR analysis, 300 ng of purified total RNA was reverse transcribed using High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) in a $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction. $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the resulting cDNA was amplified using Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix and genespecific primers in a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer's recommendations. For assessing mineralization, cells were analyzed 8-10 days after BMP stimulation by staining with Alizarin red S. All values are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation with statistical significance determined via 2-way homoscedastic Student's t-tests (*P $\leq 0.05, \# P \leq 0.10, N . S .=$ "not significant").

## ATAC-seq library generation and peak calls

Following Tn5 Transposes transposition (Illumina Cat \#FC-121-1030, Nextera) and purification of Tn5 Transposes derived DNA library in Michigan of native chromatin in 100,000 human MSC-derived osteoblasts for efficient epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, the samples were shipped to the Center of Spatial and Functional Genomics at CHOP where we completed the ATAC-seq process. This allowed for systematic insight in to which of the SNPs under investigation exhibit open chromatin. ATAC-seq is known to be compatible with many methods for cell collection and works effectively for many cell types. The methodology begins with harvesting live cells via trypsinization, followed by a series of wash steps. 100,000 cells of each were spun down at $550 \times \mathrm{g}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cell pellet was then resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.1 \%$ IGEPAL CA-630). We spun down immediately at $550 \times g$ for $10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then resuspended the nuclei in the transposition reaction mix (2x TD Buffer (Illumina Cat \#FC-121-1030, Nextera), 2.5ul Tn5

Transposes (Illumina Cat \#FC-121-1030, Nextera) and Nuclease Free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) on ice then incubated the transposition reaction at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The transposed DNA was then purified using a Qiagen MinElute Kit with $10.5 \mu$ elution buffer, frozen and sent to the Center for Spatial and Functional Genomics at CHOP. The transposed library was then PCR amplified using Nextera primers for 12 cycles. The PCR reaction was subsequently cleaned up using AMPureXP beads (Agencourt) and then paired-end sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (100 bp read length) and the Illumina NovaSeq platform. Open chromatin regions were then called using the ENCODE ATAC-seq pipeline (https://www.encodeproject.org/atac-seq/) and selecting the resulting IDR conservative peaks. We define a genomic region 'open' if it has 1 bp overlap with an ATAC-seq peak.

## Cell fixation for chromatin capture

The protocol used for cell fixation was similar to previous methods ${ }^{40}$. Cells were collected and single-cell suspension were made with aliquots of $10^{7}$ cells in 10 ml media (e.g. RPMI + $10 \%$ FCS). $540 \mu \mathrm{l} 37 \%$ formaldehyde was added and incubation was carried out for 10 min at RT in a tumbler. The reaction was quenched by adding 1.5 ml 1 M cold glycine $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ giving a total 12 ml . Fixed cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 1000 rpm at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and supernatant were removed. The pellets were washed in 10 ml cold $\operatorname{PBS}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ by centrifugation for 5 min at 1000 rpm at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Supernatant was removed and cell pellets were resuspended in 5 ml of cold lysis buffer ( 10 mM Tris pH8, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.2 \%$ NP-40 (Igepal) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktails). Resuspended cells were incubated for 20 minutes on ice and centrifuged to remove the lysis buffer. Finally, the pellets were resuspended in 1 ml lysis buffer and transferred to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes prior to snap freezing (ethanol / dry ice or liquid nitrogen). Cells were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at this point until they were thawed again for digestion.

## 3C library generation

Initial 3C libraries were generated for fixed MSC-derived osteoblasts shipped from Michigan to CHOP. For each library, 10 million cells were harvested and fixed. The DNA was digested using Dpnll, then re-ligated together using T4 DNA ligase and finally isolated by phenol/chloroform extraction ${ }^{40}$. In line with the previously published Capture C protocol ${ }^{40}$ the above described 3C libraries were utilized for the capture procedure.

The protocol used for 3C library generation was similar to previous methods ${ }^{40}$. Cell were thawed on ice, spun down and the lysis buffer was removed. The pellet was resuspended in water and incubated on ice for 10 minutes, followed by centrifugation and removal of supernatant. The pellet was then resuspended with $20 \%$ SDS and 1X NEBuffer Dpnll and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h at $1,000 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on a MultiTherm (Sigma-Aldrich). It was then further incubated for another 1 hour after the addition of Triton X-100 (concentration, 20\%). After the 1 hour incubation $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ DpnII (NEB) was add and left to digest until the end of the day. An additional $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Dpnll was added and digestion was left overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The next day, another $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Dpnll was added and incubated for a further 3 hours.

The chromatin was then ligated overnight ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ T4 DNA Ligase, HC ThermoFisher (30 $\mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ); with final concentration, 10 U ml and shaken at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 1,000 r.p.m. on the MultiTherm. The next day, an additional $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ T4 DNA ligase was spiked in to each sample, and incubated for 3 more hours. The ligated samples were then decrosslinked overnight at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with Proteinase K (Invitrogen) and the following morning incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with RNase A (Millipore). Phenol-chloroform extraction was then performed, followed by an ethanol precipitation overnight at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then washed with $70 \%$ ethanol. Digestion efficiencies of 3 C libraries were assessed by gel electrophoresis on a $0.9 \%$ agarose gel and quantitative PCR (SYBR green, Thermo Fisher).

## SPATlaL-seq

Custom capture baits were designed using Agilent SureSelect library design targeting both ends of Dpnll restriction fragments encompassing promoters (including alternative promoters) of all human coding genes, noncoding RNA, antisense RNA, snRNA, miRNA, snoRNA, and lincRNA transcripts, totaling 36,691 RNA baited fragments. The capture library design covered $95 \%$ of all coding RNA promoters and $88 \%$ of RNA types describe above. The missing $5 \%$ of coding genes that weren't able to be designed were either duplicated genes or contained highly repetitive DNA in their promoter regions.

The isolated DNA of the 3C libraries generated by Dpnll digestion and ligation were quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (Life technologies), and 10 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of each library was sheared in dH2O using a QSonica Q800R to an average DNA fragment size of 350bp. QSonica settings used were $60 \%$ amplitude, 30 seconds on, 30 seconds off, 2 minute intervals, for a total of (5 intervals) at ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After shearing, DNA was purified using AMPureXP beads (Agencourt), the concentration was checked via Qubit and DNA size was assessed on a Bioanalyzer 2100 using a 1000 DNA Chip. Agilent SureSelect XT Library Prep Kit (Agilent) was used to repair DNA ends and for adaptor ligation following the standard protocol. Excess adaptors were removed using AMPureXP beads. Size and concentration are checked again before hybridization. 1ug of ligated library was used as input for the SureSelect XT capture kit using their standard protocol and our custom-designed Capture-C library. The quality of the captured library was assessed by Bioanalyser 2100Qubit and Bioanalyzer using a high sensitivity DNA Chip. Each SureSelect XT library was initially sequenced on 1 lane HiSeq 4000 paired-end sequencing ( 100 bp read length) for QC. All 6 Capture C promoter 'interactome' libraries were then sequenced three at a time on an S2 flow cell on an Illumina NovaSeq, generating $\sim 1.6$ billion paired-end reads per sample.

## Analysis of SPATlaL-seq data

Quality control of the raw fastq files was performed with FastQC. Paired-end reads were preprocessed with the HICUP pipeline ${ }^{59}$, with bowtie2 as aligner and hg 19 as reference genome. Significant promoter interactions at 1-Dpnll fragment resolution were called using $\mathrm{CHiCAGO}^{42}$ with default parameters except for binsize which was set to 2500 . Signifcant interactions at 4 Dpnll fragment resolution were also called with CHiCAGO using artificial .baitmap and .rmap files where Dpnll fragments were grouped into 4 consecutively and using default parameters except for removeAdjacent which was set to False. Results from the two resolutions were merged by taking the union of the interaction calls at either resolution and removing any 4fragment interaction which contained a 1-fragment interaction. We define PIR a promoterinteracting region, irrespective of whether it is a baited region or not. The CHiCAGO function peakEnrichment4Features() was used to assess enrichment of genomic features in promoter interacting regions at both 1 -fragment and 4-fragment resolution. Finally, we made use of the Washington Epigenome Browser (https://epigenomegateway.wustl.edu) to visualize the detected interactions within the context of other relevant functional genomics annotations.

## RNA-seq

Total RNA was isolated from differentiating osteoblasts using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following manufacturer instructions, and then depleted of rRNA utilizing the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Illumina). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) following standard protocols. Libraries were sequenced on one S2 flow cell on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000, generating ~200 million paired-end 50bp reads per sample. RNA-seq data were aligned to the hg19 genome with STAR v. 2.5.2b ${ }^{60}$ and pre-processed with PORT (https://github.com/itmat/Normalization) using the GENCODE Release 19 (GRCh37.p13) annotation plus annotation for lincRNAs and sno/miRNAs from the UCSC Table Browser (downloaded 7/7/2016). Normalized PORT counts for the uniquely
mapped read pairs to the sense strand were additionally normalized by gene size and the resulting values were used in the computation of gene expression percentiles.

## Experimental knockdown of candidate genes and functional characterization

We investigated five key loci - EPDR1 and SFRP4 at the 'STARD3NL' locus and WNT16, CPED1 and ING3 at the 'WNT16-CPED1' locus. Experimental knock down of these genes was achieved using DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent (Dharmacon Inc., Lafayette, CO) using a set of 4 ON-TARGETplus siRNA (target sequences in Table S1) in three temporally separated independent MSC-derived osteoblast samples and then assessed for metabolic and osteoblastic activity. Following siRNA transfection, cells were allowed to recover for 2 days and stimulated with BMP2 for additional 3 days in serum-free osteogenic media, as previously described, after which the influence of knockdown on gene expression (qPCR) and early osteoblast differentiation (ALP) were evaluated as described.

## CAPTIONS

Table 1. Implicated SNPs and target genes at 33 BMD GWAS loci in hMSC-derived osteoblasts. For each locus, proxy SNPs in open chromatin looping to an open promoter are reported, together with their sentinel SNP and the associated GWAS locus. Looping interactions and open chromatin maps were derived from SPATlaL-seq and ATAC-seq experiments on hMSC-derived osteoblasts cultures from 3 or more individuals. Average expression (in fpkm) of the target genes in hMSC-derived osteoblasts from RNA-seq experiments from the same 3 individuals is also reported.

Figure 1. Examples of interactions between ATAC-seq implicated BMD SNPs and open promoters detected by SPATlaL-seq. (A) to nearest gene only. (B) to both nearest and more distant gene(s). (C) only to distant gene(s). Contacts were visualized using the WashU EpiGenome Browser. Red lines indicate genes of interest, while the green lines represent the sentinel and key proxy SNPs.

Figure 2. Knockdown of ING3 gene expression - but not for WNT16 or CPED1 - impairs osteoblast differentiation. CPED1 is implicated as an osteoporosis-associated gene by GWAS, but SPATlaL-seq data suggests that ING3 may be a more likely causative gene. ING3 knockdown results in a complete disruption of alkaline phosphatase induction and Alizarin red S staining, but there is no effect of CPED1 and WNT16 knockdown. (A) Representative AlkPhos (purple) and Alizarin (red) stained plates (repeated with 4 different independent hMSC donor cell lines). (B-H) Quantitative gene expression. Grey columns = No BMP treatment; Black columns $=$ BMP treatment. Columns $=$ mean. Error bars $=$ Standard deviation. $n=3-4$ unique donor lines. *, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ comparing No treatment to BMP treatment for each siRNA. \#, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ comparing control siRNA to siRNA for gene of interest.

Figure 3. Knockdown of EPDR1 gene expression - but not for SFRP4-impairs osteoblast differentiation. EPDR1 disruption results in a reduction in alkaline phosphatase expression and activity and alizarin red S staining, but there is no effect of SFRP4 knockdown. (A) Representative AlkPhos (ALP) (purple) and Alizarin (red) stained plates (repeated with 4 different independent hMSC donor cell lines). (B-G) Quantitative gene expression. Grey columns $=$ No BMP treatment; black columns $=$ BMP treatment. Columns $=$ mean. Error bars $=$ Standard deviation. $\mathrm{n}=3-4$ unique donor lines. *, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ comparing No treatment to BMP treatment for each siRNA. \#, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ comparing control siRNA to siRNA for gene of interest.

## SUPPLEMENTAL CAPTIONS

Figure S1. (A) Enrichment of promoter-interacting regions (PIR) in various chromatin marks. Yellow bars: number of overlaps with significant (CHiCAGO score $>5$ ) cis-interacting fragments (at 4-fragment resolution; bait-to-bait interactions were excluded); blue bars: expected overlaps based on 100 random subsets of fragments with a similar distribution of distances from the baits. ATAC-seq data is from our own experiments in hMSC-derived osteoblasts; other markers are from primary human osteoblasts from the ENCODE project. Error bars represent 95\% confidence intervals. (B) Enrichment of promoter-interacting fragments in BMD GWAS signals. As above, but overlaps are computed with BMD or Alzheimer's disease GWAS SNPs and their proxies ( $r^{2}>0.4$ ).

Figure S2. The number of contacts per bait correlates with gene expression, but only when considering open promoters and open PIR. Boxplots of the number of (cis) contacts per bait (at 4-fragment resolution; bait-to-bait interactions were excluded) for each quintile of expression of the baited gene(s). The horizontal line represents the median, the lower and upper hinges correspond to the 25th and 75th percentiles, and outliers (> 1.5 * IQR from the hinges, indicated by the whiskers) are plotted as single dots. (A) All baits and PIR. (B) Open baits and open PIR only.

Figure S3. Canonical pathways enriched in the genes implicated by ATAC-seq plus SPATlaL-seq in human MSC-derived osteoblasts. The network shows each pathway as a single "node" colored proportionally to the B-H multiple testing corrected p-value, where brighter red $=$ more significant. A line connects any two pathways when there are at least two implicated genes in common between them. The analysis was performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software.
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| GWAS LOCUS | GWAS SENTINEL SNP | IMPLICATED PROXY SNP | IMPLICATED GENE [expression, fpkm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARHGAP1/LRP4 | rs7932354 | rs61884264, rs12224096, rs7121418, rs2306035 | ATG13 [8.27], CKAP5 [11.92], HARB11 [2.03], LRP4 [9.38], LRP4-AS1 [0.05], ACP2 [7.34], NR1H3 [1.72] |
| C110RF58 | rs11024028 | rs78152188 | TCONS_00019584 [0.00] |
| CCND1 | rs4980659 | rs6606645 | CCND1 [88.53] |
| CPED1 | rs13245690 | rs1861000, rs3068006 | CPED1 [1.10], ING3 [1.71] |
| CSF1 | rs7548588 | rs17661447 | TCONS_00000271 [0.00], AHCYL1 [11.97] |
| DNMB | rs479336 | rs16844133, rs10489289, rs16844134 | DNM3OS [8.92], MIR199A2 [0.00] |
| EN1 | rs188303909, rs11692564 | rs188303909, rs116564013 | TCONS_00004419[0.00] |
| ETS2 | rs11910328 | rs16997108, rs16997118 | LINCO0114 [0.00], TCONS $12 \_00017240$ [0.00] |
| GPATCH1 | rs10416218 | rs1270252 | WDR88 [0.01] |
| НОХС6 | rs736825 | rs12426667, rs4759320, rs765634, rs371683123 | HOXC4 [0.15], HOXC5 [0.36], HOXC-AS1 [0.34], HOXC9 [8.71], SMUG1 [5.16], TCONS_00020435 [0.02], TCONS_00020436 [0.00], TCONS_00020437 [0.01], TCONS_00020438 [0.00] |
| KIAA2018 | rs1026364 | rs150722690 (TITTATTTA:T), rs150722690 (TTTTATTTA:TTTTA), rs9288983, rs16861312, rs9851731 | ATP6VIA [21.00], NAA50 [13.11] |
| LEKR1 | rs344081 | rs344088, rs344089 | SSR3 [60.86], TPARP [11.64], TIPARP-AS1 [0.15] |
| MAPTMNT3 | rs1864325 | rs62063683, 17:44231617:A:T, <br> 17:44245359:G:A, 17:44121917:C:T, rs974291, rs974292, 17:44237372:G:C | KANSL1 [1.89], KANSL1-AS1 [0.70] |
| MED13L | rs73200209 | rs17498543 | MIR4472-2 [0.00] |
| MEPE | rs6532023 | rs13127257, rs1471401 | SPP1 [12.86] |
| MTAP | rs7035284 | rs7852691, rs4147137, rs7028637 | MIR31HG [9.48] |
| PKDCC | rs7584262 | rs13431388 | LOC101929723[0.01] |
| PSMD13 | rs55781332 | rs77447196 | PSMD13[18.82], SIRT3[2.02] |
| RERE | rs2252865 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rs2708633, rs301789, rs301790, } \\ & \text { rs301791, rs301792 } \end{aligned}$ | RERE[0.94], LOC102724552 [0.01] |
| SLCBA1 | rs10490046 | rs10490046 | SLCBA1 [3.39] |
| SMAD3 | rs1545161 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rs1545161, rs28587205, rs72219900, } \\ & \text { rs11071937 } \end{aligned}$ | SMAD3 [2.59] |
| SMAD9 | rs556429 | rs17217404 | SMAD9 [11.59] |
| SMG6 | rs4790881 | rs148183711, rs35401268 | SMG6 [3.19] |
| SOST | rs4792909 | rs1107747, rs1107748 | CD300LG[0.00], ETV4 [0.16], SMG6[3.19] |
| SPTB | rs1957429 | rs28370916, rs2884307 | SPTB [0.02] |
| STARD3NL | rs6959212 | rs1524068, rs6975644, rs940347 | EPDR1 [25.59], SPRP4 [0.88] |
| SUPT3H | rs11755164 | rs6942191 | RUNX2 [2.72] |
| TACC2 | rs10788264 | rs10788274 | TCONS_00018622 [0.06], HTRA1 [766.55] |
| TBPL2 | rs34652660 | rs370880582, rs10131672, rs12431542, rs202007979, rs4901570, rs8009706 | FBXO34 [5.68], KTN1 [10.46], KTN1-AS1 [0.03] |
| TCF7L1 | rs11904127 | rs883650 | TCF7 1 [0.42] |
| TMCO5A | rs12442242 | rs16966213 | TCONS_00023629[0.02] |
| TXNDC3/SFRP4 | rs10226308 | rs10264106, rs1014939, rs2167269 | STARD3NL [6.44], TRG-AS1 [NA] |
| m. | rs17482952 | rs79441491, rs17482952 | M.S[12.78] |
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