Voluntary visual search is modulated by the cardiac cycle

A. Galvez-Pol, R. McConnell, J. Kilner.

Affiliation:

University College London. Department of clinical and movement neurosciences, Institute of Neurology, WC1N 3BG. UK

Authors' names and contacts:

Alejandro Galvez-Pol; a.pol@ucl.ac.uk

Ruth McConnell; ruth.mcconnell.15@ucl.ac.uk

James Kilner; j.kilner@ucl.ac.uk

Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank all those who participated in and helped to advance this study. This research was supported by the Leverhulme Trust – Grant code RPG-2016-120

Competing interests:

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Address correspondence to A. Galvez-Pol (a.pol@ucl.ac.uk) and J. Kilner (j.kilner@ucl.ac.uk). University College London. Department of clinical and movement neurosciences, Institute of Neurology, WC1N 3BG. UK

Abstract

Perception and reasoning vary according to fluctuating inner bodily stimuli such as heartbeat. This has been shown by locking the presentation of sensory events to distinct phases of the cardiac cycle. However, we argue that task-relevant information is not usually encountered in a phase-locked manner nor passively accessed, but actively sampled at one's pace. Moreover, we propose that this sampling may be influenced by integrating cardiac signals. We test this by coregistration of eye movements and heartbeat signals while participants freely compared differences between two arrays. Across two experimental samples and three different analyses, we found a significant coupling of saccades and subsequent fixations with the heartbeat. More eye movements were generated during the early phase of the cardiac cycle compared to the later diastole phase; opposite pattern found for fixations. These results provide new evidence for a mechanism by which humans sample the world according to oscillatory bodily states.

Introduction

Interoception refers to the set of physiological and cognitive processes that are involved in determining the physiological condition of the body (Craig, 2002). It can be distinguished from the better-known domains of exteroception (information about the external environment of the organism) and proprioception (the position of the body in space) as a distinct sensory domain. Recently, research studying interoception has markedly increased (Ludwick-Rosenthal et al., 1985; Khalsa and Lapidus, 2016; Khalsa et al., 2018). There have been broadly two motivations for this renewed interest. The first concerns establishing the extent to which interoception contributes to cognition and perception in the healthy, neurotypical brain. The second aims to assess the clinical impact of atypical interoception. The primary physiological focus of the vast majority of studies on interoception is the cardiovascular system. Since early evidence of a modulatory effect of the carotid sinus in both autonomous and central nervous system (Koch, 1932; Kreindler, 1946), research in humans have shown that participants' response to sensory events changes according to the phase of the heartbeat in which the information is presented (Craig, 2003; Garfinkel et al., 2014; Garfinkel and Critchley, 2016; Salomon et al., 2016; Azevedo et al., 2017a, 2017b; Critchley and Garfinkel, 2018).

Over the time course of a single heartbeat two main cardiac phases are observed. The systolic phase refers to the period of the heartbeat in which the heart contracts and ejects the blood whereas in the diastole phase the heart expands while being filled with blood. From heartbeat to heartbeat, both phases comprise a whole cardiac cycle, with the R-peak (i.e., peak in the electrocardiogram depicting the hearts' contraction at the start of the systole) indicating the phasic change within a cardiac cycle. Recent studies have highlighted the influence of the cardiac cycle upon perception and cognition. Presenting stimuli locked to the different phases (i.e., systole vs. diastole) elicits distinct effects depending on the type of stimuli and the type of computation associated with the stimuli. For instance, in memory, the recall of words encoded during the systolic phase is lower than that for words encoded during diastole (Garfinkel et al., 2013). In emotion and visual detection, the detection of fearful faces among a stream of visual masks is increased during systole (Garfinkel et al., 2014; Garfinkel and Critchley, 2016). In basic sensorimotor functions, processing information during systole has been

associated to the reduction of sensitivity towards pain, visual, and auditory stimuli (Edwards et al., 2001, 2007; McIntyre et al., 2006; Pramme et al., 2014). The results of these studies are consistent with the hypothesis that the afferent signals from the heart seem to play an important role in the interaction of the heart-brain axis. These signals modulate cognitive processes, compete for the allocation of attentional and representational resources, and dampen/enhance sensory processing (Critchley and Harrison, 2013; Seth, 2013; Critchley and Garfinkel, 2018; Khalsa et al., 2018). The demonstrations that perception and cognition are modulated as a function of the cardiac cycle have been achieved by deliberately time-locking the presentation of task-relevant information to the distinct phases of the cardiac cycle (i.e., stimuli presented to the participants during the diastole and/or systole phases). However, in our everyday experiences sensory information is not presented phase-locked to the cardiac cycle. Therefore, although of considerable interest, it is unclear how these findings impact on our perception and cognition outside of the laboratory setting. If the phase of the cardiac cycle is an important modulator of perception and cognition, as previously proposed, then the way in which we actively sample the world should be similarly modulated by the phase of the cardiac cycle. The current study was designed to test this hypothesis. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that the timing of saccades in a free visual search task would be modulated by the phases of the cardiac cycle. To this end, we developed a paradigm in which human participants performed a visual search task comparable to 'spot the difference' while their oculomotor behaviour and electrocardiogram (ECG) were recorded. Specifically, we recorded saccades and fixations while participants compared differences in colouration between two bilateral arrays (Figure 1a). The number of saccades and fixations generated in diastole and systole were calculated. The prediction was that if the free visual search task was modulated by the cardiac signal then the number of saccades and subsequent fixations would be different between the different phases of the cardiac cycle.



Figure 1. Task and schematic illustration of cardiac and oculomotor events. (a) Shows an example of a single trial. Participants were instructed to count the number of boxes that differed in colour between the two bilateral arrays. The arrays were identical in every other way other than the colour of some boxes. (b) A schematic of the analysis. The systolic (red) and diastolic (blue) phases of the cardiac cycle were defined for each cardiac cycle, from consecutive R-R peaks. The number of saccadic events were then summed and averaged for each phase of the cardiac cycle. The trial shown above depicts 9 differences.

Results

Descriptive results.

Thirty-two adults participated in two identical experiments (16 in each experiment). The second experiment was a direct replication of the first, performed to test the reproducibility of the results. All participants reported both normal or corrected-to-normal vision and normal cardiac condition. Three participants were excluded from the first experiment: two participants were excluded due to tachycardic heart rates (>100 bpm) and the third was excluded due to a technical issue that meant that oculomotor recording was missing.

The removal of noisy sections of the ECG (see *Methods - ECG recording and pre-processing*) comprised approximately 5.7% of the detected R-peaks; M = 6.3% and M = 5.2% in

experiment 1 and 2, respectively. The number of detected R-peaks across both experiments was ~966 and no significant differences in detected R-peaks was found between groups ($t_{(1,12)} = -0.417$, $p = 0.684$, $d = -0.116$). Likewise, the heart rate was similar across both experimental samples ($t_{(1,12)} = -0.320$, $p = 0.755$, $d = -0.089$; $M = 73$ bmp in both cases, SEM = ±3.2 and ±2.4, respectively). After removal of noisy sections of the ECG, blinks, and erratic oculomotor events (see *Methods - eye tracker recording and pre-processing*) the average number of saccades across participants in both experiments was 3033. The mean length of the saccades across both experiments was similar and no differences between groups were found ($t_{(1,12)} = 0.024$, $p = 0.981$, $d = 0.007$; $M = 45$ms in both experimental samples, SEM = ±1.3 and ±1.1). On average participants generated 3.2 saccades per heartbeat.

Saccades and fixations in time windows bounded to the cardiac phases.

In an initial analysis we computed the total number of saccades and the length of the fixations in two time-windows of similar duration. The first window was bounded to the systolic phase at 200-400ms after the R-wave, which has been reported as the period of maximal effect of the baroreceptors upon cognition (Edwards et al., 2001; McIntyre et al., 2006; Garfinkel et al., 2014; Garfinkel and Critchley, 2016; Azevedo et al., 2017b) . The second was bounded to the diastole phase at 500-700ms after the R-wave, which has been reported as the quiescent period of the baroreceptors (Critchley and Harrison, 2013). The data were normalised by dividing the length of the time window by the resulting number of saccades per second in the systole or diastole windows. This approach emulates previous studies that examine the influence of cardiac cycle upon perception where stimuli are usually presented in time windows of equal length, concurring with the diastole or systole phases, and with a brief separation between them (Critchley and Garfinkel, 2015; Fiacconi et al., 2016; Azevedo et al., 2017b).

In *experiment 1* participants generated more saccades in the time window bounded to the systolic phase ($M = 3.891$; $SD = 0.454$) than in the diastole phase ($M = 3.768$; $SD = 0.398$). This difference was statistically significant ($t_{(1,12)} = -2.469$, $p = 0.030$, $d = -0.685$). This was replicated in

experiment 2 with participants generating more saccades in systole (M = 3.890; SD = 0.311) than in diastole (M = 3.816; SD = 0.259). This difference was statistically significant ($t_{(1,15)}$ = -2.754, p = 0.015, d = -0.688). To test if there were any differences between the two experiments we computed a repeated-measures ANOVA including both experiments' datasets. The factors were the number of saccades generated in each cardiac phase and the experimental sample. This analysis revealed a main effect of cardiac phase ($F_{(1,27)}$ = -13.398, p = 0.001, η_p^2 = 0.332). Critically, there was no main effect of experimental sample and no significant interaction between the number of saccades per cardiac phase and the experimental samples ($F_{(1,27)}$ = 0.843, p = 0.367, η_p^2 = 0.030).

In *experiment 1* the percentage of fixating time in each cardiac phase revealed that participants fixated longer during diastole than systole, however, this difference was not significant (M = 0.825, SD = 0.028 *vs.* M = 0.828; SD = 0.023; $t_{(1,12)}$ = 1.009, p = 0.333, d = 0.280). Likewise, in *experiment 2* participants fixated longer during diastole and this difference was not significant (M = 0.827, SD = 0.021 *vs.* M = 0.828; SD = 0.020; $t_{(1,15)}$ = 0.910, p = 0.377, d = 0.228). A repeated-measures ANOVA with the percentage of fixating time in each cardiac phase and the experimental sample as factors produced a non-significant difference between fixations generated in the short time windows bounded to diastole and systole ($F_{(1,27)}$ = 1.923, p = 0.177, η_p^2 = 0.066). In addition, there was no significant main effect of experimental sample and no significant interaction between the cardiac phase ($F_{(1,27)}$ = 0.265, p = 0.611, η_p^2 = 0.010). Overall these results demonstrated that participants across both experimental samples generated saccades more frequently in the systolic phase than during diastole.

Saccades and fixations over the whole cardiac phases.

Despite the broad use of brief time windows, the diastole and systole phases extend in time beyond these windows. Moreover, the systole and diastole phases vary as a function of heart rate. The length of the systolic phase varies inversely with heart rate; the relative length of the systole increases with faster heart rates. Conversely, the length of the diastole phase decreases with faster heart rate (Lombard and Cope, 1926; Weissler et al., 1968; Mao et al., 2003). This variability needs to be

accounted with more refined procedures. In a second analysis we calculated the number of saccades and fixations while estimating the relative length of the diastole and systole in a heartbeat by heartbeat basis. To do this we used the parameters described in the study of Mao and colleagues (2003). The authors examined the length of the diastole and systolic phases in more than 800 participants, noting the percentage of these phases relative to the whole cardiac cycle and according to the participants' heart rate. Similar to the above-mentioned analyses, we calculated the number of saccades generated in a given unit of time (seconds) and the percentage of time spent fixating, but this time in each entire cardiac phase.

In *experiment 1* participants generated significantly more saccades in the whole of the systolic phase than in the diastole (M = 3.910, SD = 0.462 *vs.* M = 3.8, SD = 0.404; $t_{(1,12)}$ = -2.348, p = 0.037, d = -0.651). This was replicated in *experiment 2* where participants generated significantly more saccades in the systole than in the diastole phase (M = 3.909, SD = 0.285 *vs.* M = 3.811, SD = 0.277; $t_{(1,15)}$ = -4.292, p = 0.0006, d = -1.073). As we did in the previous analysis, we computed a repeated-measures ANOVA with the number of saccades generated in each cardiac phase and the experimental sample as factors. This analysis revealed a main effect of cardiac phase ($F_{(1,27)}$ = -17.991, p = 0.0002, η_p^2 = 0.400) and a similar pattern of saccades across both experimental samples ($F_{(1,27)}$ = 0.050, p = 0.824, η_p^2 = 0.002) and not significant interaction between the two factors (Figure 2).

Regarding the percentage of time spent fixating on the stimuli, in *experiment 1* participants fixated longer during diastole than systole, however, this difference was not statistically significant (M = 0.821, SD = 0.029 *vs.* M = 0.824; SD = 0.025; $t_{(1,12)}$ = 1.707, p = 0.107, d = 0.483). Likewise, in *experiment 2* participants fixated longer during diastole than systole, in this cohort the difference was significant (M = 0.823, SD = 0.019 *vs.* M = 0.826; SD = 0.022; $t_{(1,15)}$ = 2.206, p = 0.043, d = 0.551). We also computed a repeated-measures ANOVA with the percentage of fixating time in each cardiac phase and the experimental sample as factors. The analysis revealed a main effect of fixations generated in each cardiac phase ($F_{(1,27)}$ = 7.690, p = 0.010, η_p^2 = 0.222). The main effect of experimental group and the interaction between cardiac phase and the experimental group did not reach significant ($F_{(1,27)}$ = 0.008, p = 0.928, η_p^2 = 0.0003). The post-hoc analysis revealed a significant

difference between the percentage of fixating time spent in each cardiac phase ($t_{(1,28)} = 2.848$, $p = 0.008$, $d = 0.529$).



Figure 2. Number of generated saccades and percentage of time spent fixating in each cardiac phase. Right plots are based on the left plots' data but depicted for each experimental sample and removing between subject's variability (i.e., oculomotor events in each cardiac phase minus the mean of both phases, then, computing the difference between the subsequent results). (a) Estimated number of saccades in units of time from saccades generated in time windows bounded to the systole and diastole. More saccades were generated in systole (p= .001). (b) Estimated number of saccades in units of time from saccades generated in the entire cardiac phases.

Similarly, more saccades were generated in systole (p= .0001). (c) Percentage of time spent fixating in each entire cardiac phase, longer fixations found in diastole (p= .008). Lines plotted in light grey: participants of sample 1 (n=13); dark grey: sample 2 (n=16). *P* values in left plots depict results from combining both experimental groups; *P* values in right plots depict results from each group. In each boxplot, the central line indicates the median, the bottom and top indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers extend to the data points not considered outliers. Outliers are plotted with a '+' symbol.

Number of saccades over time.

The previous analyses did not allow examining the number of saccades generated over time, namely, a potential interaction between the number of saccades and time. To this aim, we computed histograms by calculating the number of saccades in consecutive time bins of 100ms. We normalised each individual's data by dividing the number of saccades generated in each bin by the total number of saccades in the whole task. Furthermore, we compared the normalised number of saccades in each bin against the expected uniform distribution (i.e., constant probability of generating saccades; each bin *vs.* 0.1).

We conducted a repeated-measures ANOVA with the factor bin (i.e., 10 windows containing saccades along time). In *experiment 1* this analysis yielded a significant effect of bin ($F_{(1,12)} = 3.385$, $p = 0.005$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.242$), indicating that the number of saccades generated along the cardiac cycle varies in a consistent manner. We followed-up this analysis by conducting post-hoc analyses comparing all bins, however, none of these bins survived Bonferri-correction (all *ps* > 0.134). In the next step we compared the generated saccades in each bin against 0.1 (i.e., uniform distribution). This yielded similar results, with none of the bins surviving the succeeding corrections (all *ps* > 0.170). In *experiment 2* similar analyses yielded a significant effect of bin ($F_{(1,15)} = 5.114$, $p = 0.0004$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.254$). Here the post-hoc analyses revealed a significant difference between the 1st and 8th bin, being these centred at -100 and 600ms (after the R-peak) in the histograms; $t_{(1,15)} = 4.667$, $p = 0.014$, $d = 1.167$. The post-hoc analyses also revealed a significant difference between the 2nd and 10th bin, which are centred at 0 and 800ms (after the R-peak) in the histograms; $t_{(1,15)} = 4.809$ $p = 0.010$, $d = 1.202$. These results revealed that indeed more saccades were generated during the early phase of the cardiac

cycle compared to the later diastole phase. Thenceforward, when comparing the number of saccades per bin against the expected uniform distribution, only the 8th bin centred at 600ms after the R-peak survived the Bonferroni-correction ($t_{(1,15)} = -3.473$ $p = 0.030$, $d = -0.868$).

Lastly, we examined whether or not the modulation of saccades was coherent across experimental samples. We computed a repeated-measures ANOVA with the number of saccades per bin and the experimental sample as factors. The analysis yielded a significant effect of bin ($F_{(1,27)} = 8.190$, $p = 9.228e - 8$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.233$) and no interaction by experimental sample ($F_{(1,27)} = 0.770$, $p = 0.595$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.028$). Next, we conducted post-hoc analysis, since this revealed that several comparisons reached significance, the results are organised in a table (figure 3c) accompanying the histograms of figure 3a. As can be seen, there is a robust modulation in the number of saccades generated over time with more saccades in the early phase of the cardiac cycle (systole) than in the later diastole phase. Lastly, when comparing the number of saccades per bin against the expected uniform distribution, the 2nd, 3rd, 8th, and 9th bins (centred to 100, 200, 600, and 800ms after the R-peak) yielded significant results. Accordingly, the normalised number of saccades in the 2nd and 3rd bins (i.e., 0 and 100 ms after R-peak) are greater than 0.1 ($t_{(1,28)} = 4.003$ $p = 0.004$, $d = 0.743$; $t_{(1,28)} = 3.232$ $p = 0.030$, $d = 0.600$). Conversely, the opposite pattern is found for the 8th and 9th bins ($t_{(1,28)} = -4.258$ $p = 0.004$, $d = -0.791$; $t_{(1,28)} = -3.542$ $p = 0.010$, $d = -0.658$).

In summary, the results of the short-bounded time windows show that a greater number of saccades were generated in the systolic phase than in the diastole, being the latter concomitant to the quiescent period of the baroreceptors. These results were replicated in both experimental samples and extended in the analyses of saccades that were generated in the whole cardiac phases. Moreover, the further analysis of participants' fixations supported this finding; longer fixations were found during diastole. In the analyses of the histograms, our results show a main effect of time bin, suggesting that the number of saccades generated along the cardiac cycle varies over time in a consistent manner. Post-hoc analyses revealed that indeed more saccades were generated during the early phase of the cardiac cycle compared to the later diastole phase. Finally, the number of saccades generated in these phases deviated significantly from a uniform distribution. In conclusion, by coregistration of eye

movements and heartbeats signals, we found statistical evidence for a significant coupling of saccades and subsequent fixations with the distinct phases of the heartbeat.



Figure 3. Number of saccades generated over time (-100 to 800ms after R-peak). (a) After normalising the data, histograms show an increase in the number of saccades generated in the early systolic phase of the cardiac cycle compared to the latter diastole phase. The number of significant comparisons is indicated in the table below (b) Histograms depicting the data of both experimental samples; similar pattern of generated saccades was found with an increase in the number of saccades generated in systole *vs.* diastole. (c) Results of the comparisons between histograms' bins. Each coloured square contains the *t* value and the effect size *d*. Significant *P* values are denoted by the colouration of the squares: dark squares, $P < 0.01$; lighter blue: $P < 0.05$; white: no significant. These statistical values result from combining both samples. In figure b, lines in light grey: experimental sample 1 (n=13), dark grey: sample 2 (n=16). Bars depict 95% CI.

Discussion

In the current study we examined the oculomotor behaviour of two experimental samples in a visual search where participants had to compare differences in colouration between two bilateral arrays. We developed a novel paradigm combining research in interoception and eye tracker methodology. Namely, research in interoception showing that biobehavioural responses differ when the information is presented in distinct phases of the cardiac cycle (Garfinkel et al., 2014; Azevedo et al., 2017a; Park et al., 2017), as well as research on the visual system examining saccadic eye movements and emphasising the importance of these in cognition (Zelinsky and Sheinberg, 1997; Liversedge and Findlay, 2000; Ibbotson and Krekelberg, 2011). While the effect of the cardiac cycle on cognition has been observed by meticulously time-locking the presentation of task-relevant information to each cardiac phase, here we foster a more natural framework in which participants actively sample information at their own pace. We hypothesised that participants may 'take in' information according to interoceptive signalling (i.e., behaviour produced in synchrony with oscillatory changes in cardiac signalling). To examine this issue, we allowed participants to freely sample the information needed for the task at hand while recording saccades, fixations, and ECG.

We used three different approaches to examine the generation of oculomotor behaviour in two different experimental samples. The results of these approaches converge into showing that participants' oculomotor behaviour was driven by the cardiac cycle. We found a coupling of saccades and subsequent fixations with the heartbeat; these results support the idea of visually sampling the environment according to phasic changes in the cardiac cycle. An earlier study has also indicated a link between motor behaviour and autonomic oscillations. Ohl et al., 2016 reported the coupling of microsaccades (i.e., small fixational involuntary eye movements) with the heartbeat. Specifically, they reported more microsaccades in the early period of the systolic phase. The authors suggested that these slow fixational eye movements may be modulated by baroreceptors (i.e., sensors on vessels firing during the ejection of blood from the heart; systole) via afferent signalling to the superior colliculus. In addition, as suggested by Ohl and colleagues, a more parsimonious explanation is that cardioballistic fluctuations of the ejected blood may exert a direct effect in the muscle activity (Fallon,

2004). In this regard, previous research has shown that the afferent discharge of muscle spindles is indeed modulated by arterial pulsations (Birznieks et al., 2012)

Several saccades are usually produced per second and with each saccade the fovea moves between points of interest. Two notions need to be emphasized here, the first one is that in primates only the central portion of our visual field has the adequate density of receptors to obtain visual information with high resolution. Therefore, we generate saccades to sample elements distributed in the environment, this encompasses changes in object representation, allocentric/egocentric framing, and allocation of attentional resources (Hamker et al., 2008; Ibbotson and Krekelberg, 2011). Second, the generation of saccades allows the gathering of relevant sensory data to test hypotheses or beliefs of how the gathered data is actually caused (see eg., Friston et al., 2012; Parr and Friston, 2018). Here combining perceptual and motor mechanisms allows the reduction of entropy inherent to the environment and its perceived consequences (Friston et al., 2009, 2012; Pickering and Clark, 2014). Interestingly, visual perception is impaired during saccades. Previous research has described the selective suppression of the magnocellular pathway during saccades (Burr et al., 1994; Ross et al., 1996), which is a relevant path to process tasks similar to the currently used here where serial search and scanning of objects seem crucial processes (Cheng et al., 2004). Furthermore, other studies have also described that visual acuity is enhanced during the postsaccadic period (as reviewed by Ibbotson and Krekelberg, 2011).

Our results show that participants generated more saccades during systole than diastole, being the former period concurrent to the baroreceptors' firing and the latter to the quiescent period. The fixations generated during the task follow the opposite pattern with shorter fixations during systole compared to diastole. Thus, our results suggest that participants generated more saccades, in which visual perception is dampened, during the occurrence of an additional source of information (i.e., baroreceptors firing during the systolic phase). Conversely, they encoded external information during the quiescent period of such inner bodily signal. Overall, we believe that in order to reduce uncertainty about the external environment, humans sample the environment by generating more saccades during the systolic phase and the concurrent upstroke of inner bodily signalling. Conversely,

they seem to gather visual sensory information during the quiescent period of the cardiac cycle (i.e., in the absence of inner signalling and during the postsaccadic momentum). This idea is coherent to the presence of saccadic enhancement –increase vision before and after saccades (Ibbotson and Krekelberg, 2011), as well as to previous studies that report dampened detection of pain, visual and auditory stimuli during systole compared to an improved perceptual sensitivity in the diastole phase of the cardiac cycle (Edwards et al., 2001, 2007; McIntyre et al., 2006; Pramme et al., 2014).

Further research is needed to understand the underpinnings between the heart-brain axis, as well as other bodily mechanisms that mediate behaviour (e.g., respiration, gastrointestinal function, etc.). In the context of the present study, at least four questions arise. First, despite the oculomotor patterns found here were consistent across participants, it is not clear if individual differences in interoceptive proficiency may moderate these effects. To our knowledge one of the only studies investigating this feature in a freely-active paradigm is formalised in the preprint of Kunzendorf et al., 2018. The authors showed that neither interoceptive accuracy nor anxiety trait correlated with memory recall of images that were actively sampled. Second, a forthcoming issue relates to the diversity of cognitive processes needed for the current task and their relationship with the heartbeat's role. In principle, encoding, temporary maintenance of the information to-be-remembered, and subsequent recall are basic processes that unfold during the present paradigm. However, whether or not specific saccadic eye movements are coupled with both distinct heartbeat's phases and specific cognitive processes is a matter to be further investigated. Third, in the present study we used arbitrary stimuli (i.e., coloured frames/boxes), nevertheless, different neural systems that may play a role in the present task are known to be modulated by the type of information to-be-encoded. For instance, additional somatosensory and sensorimotor regions seem to be recruited when processing visual arrays containing body-related images compared to arbitrary stimuli comparable to those used in the present study (Galvez-Pol et al., 2018a, 2018b). Last, most of the research in interoception interprets their results as an effect due to afferent baroreceptor activity. While we frame our findings in this line of research, it is necessary to consider that several extra physiological changes occur in simultaneity with the baroreceptors' firing (e.g., modulation of myocardium, vasomotor tone, vascular resistance,

muscle spindle; Davos et al., 2002; Birznieks et al., 2012). Overall, future research is needed to better understand the relationship between oscillatory bodily states, action, and sensorimotor control. Further work would be greatly aided by recoding multiple bodily systems, using different types of stimuli, and developing tasks allowing the dissociation of critical physiological mechanisms and cognitive processes.

Conclusion

By coregistration of eye movements and ECG, we found statistical evidence for a significant coupling of saccades and subsequent fixations with the heartbeat. More saccades were generated during the systolic phase and the concurrent upstroke of inner bodily signalling. Contrary to this, longer fixations (and the subsequent absence of saccades) were generated during the quiescent period of the cardiac cycle. Our results suggest that the different phases of the cardiac cycle and potentially the cardioballistic fluctuations concomitant to the ejection of the blood across the body modulate behaviour. We believe that these results provide original evidence for a mechanism by which humans sample the world according to oscillatory bodily states.

Materials and methods

Participants.

A total of 32 healthy adults participated in two experiments (16 in each experiment; 22 females; age range = 18-39). All participants reported both normal or corrected-to-normal vision and normal cardiac condition. All subjects gave informed consent and volunteer to take part in the experiments. Ethical approval for the methods and procedures were obtained from the UCL research ethics committee. Three participants were excluded from experiment one: two participants due to tachycardic heart rates (>100 bpm) and another participant due to the single recording of the ECG, missing the oculomotor recording.

Task and procedure.

Participants completed five blocks of 20 trials while their electrocardiogram (ECG) and oculomotor behaviour were recorded. Prior to this, they received instructions explaining the task and completed two practice trials. At the start of each block, a standard nine-point calibration procedure was carried out, followed by a validation procedure to align the participants' gaze with the eye tracking system. Once this was completed, participants performed a visual search comparable to a simpler and more controlled version of 'the spot the difference task'. Specifically, participants had to count the number of boxes distinctively coloured across two bilateral arrays having similar spatial configuration along the Y axis of the screen (Figure 1a). To do this, participants compared one by one the colour of the boxes in one array with the colour of the boxes in the other array. The participants moved to the next trial by pressing the space-bar and reporting the number of differences counted. Importantly, the arrays were continuously displayed on the screen. In addition to the coloured boxes, catch trials, consisting of a change of one of the boxes by a small red circle (0.8° x 0.8) were included in 3% of the trials to enforce ongoing attention to the task. Participants had to report the sight of these latter stimuli and count their presence as a difference. All participants reported the presence of these red circles in the catch trials.

Visual display.

The visual arrays were displayed on a 17-inches LCD monitor with a refresh rate of 60 Hz and resolution of 1280 x 800 pixels. Participants were seated in a dimly light room at 66 cm from the monitor with their head stabilised on a chin rest and their forearms rested on the top of a table. The visual arrays were generated and displayed using Matlab R2016b (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA) with the Psychtoolbox-3 (Brainard, 1997; Pelli, 1997; Cornelissen et al., 2002; Kleiner et al., 2007). We recorded oculomotor behaviour while participants compared differences in coloration between small coloured boxes (0.8° x 0.8° each) displayed within two bilateral arrays that were embedded in two rectangular regions (8° x 11°) centred 6° to the left and right side of the screen centre. In each trial the positions of the 12 boxes displayed on the right array were randomised with the restriction that the distance between them was maintained to a minimum of 2.2° (centre to centre).

The spatial configuration of the boxes in the left array was similar to that of the right array but shifted to the left side along the X axis. To decide the assignment of colouration in each individual frame, we run several pilot sessions in which we also consider the length of the task and the time spent in each trial, the number of generated saccades, the number of heartbeats recorded, and the difficulty of the task. In each trial of the final experiments used here, each coloured frame was subjected to a combination of the RGB triplet, assigning a .18 probability of obtaining 1 in each individual number of the triplet (see https://bit.ly/2jUvdFd). Overall, this equals to a ~.58 likelihood of obtaining a white coloured frame (approximately 8 differences in average). In the remaining probability a different colour out of the remaining seven RGB combinations was obtained.

Eye tracker recording and pre-processing.

Eye movements were recorded using a video-based eye tracking System (Eyelink 1000 Plus; SR Research, Ottawa, ON, Canada) with a sampling rate of 1000Hz. Before each block, participants' point of gaze on the screen was acquired. To compute this, the correspondence between the position of the pupil in the camera image and nine positions on the display screen were measured. Following this, we validated the recording of the eye movements in a second calibration. If performance was poor (i.e., a deviation of >1° between the participants' gaze and the expected positions on the screen), the calibration/validation procedure was repeated. Lastly, before each trial a single centred target was presented to the participant, this allowed estimating the drift between the current fixation and the above-mentioned calibration. The calibration/validation procedure was launched through the EyelinkToolbox implemented in Psychtoolbox-3 and run in Matlab R2016b. At the onset of each trial, a squared pulse was delivered through a data acquisition box (NI USB-6229; National Instruments Corp., ATX, USA) to a CED Power unit 1401-3A, which signal was recorded in simultaneity to the ECG in Spike2 8.10 (Cambridge Electronic Design Limited, Cambridge, UK). This pulse allowed us to align the oculomotor and ECG recordings.

In order to detect eye movements during the task we used a parsing system implemented in the Eyelink 1000 Plus and configured to extract oculomotor events (i.e., saccades, fixations, and blinks) in standard cognitive research. A saccade event was detected if the velocity and acceleration

of an eye movement reached specified thresholds; these involve motion, velocity, and acceleration, computed as 0.1°, 30°/sec, and 8000°/sec^2, respectively. Only oculomotor events without blinks were included in further analyses. Next, we computed the mean length of the fixations generated in the systole and diastole phases of each heartbeat. Given the variability of the fixations' length and the possibility of including erratic data due to track-loss (e.g., loss of measurement, participants turning away, etc.), we rejected heartbeats including fixations that were ±1.25 * the median of the participants' fixation length in diastole or systole. We rejected the same heartbeats in the calculation of the number of saccades generated. Note that the overall percentage of oculomotor data included after this step was over 85%.

ECG recording and pre-processing.

ECG was recorded using a D360 8-Channel amplifier (Digitimer Ltd, Hertfordshire, UK) in Spike2 8.10 at a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. The electrodes were placed over the right clavicle and left iliac crest according to Einthoven's triangular arrangement. In order to identify the different phases of the cardiac cycle we detected the R peaks in the ECG trace. To do this, we computed vectors with the local maxima (R-peaks) of the ECG; we defined a minimum peak to peak distance of 550 ms and a mean minimum peak height of ~0.7. We visually inspected the detection of the R-peaks returned by the algorithm and applied small adjustments when required. The visual inspection of the data also allowed us to observe small drifts that impaired the correct detection of all the R-peaks –due for instance, to some participants producing small body movements. Given the temporal consistency between R-peaks, these small portions of the ECG trace were found and rejected by detecting peaks separated by a distance ±1.25 * the median of the participants' R to R-peak distance.

Data analysis.

Saccades and fixations in time windows bounded to the cardiac phases. Since each participant generates a different number of saccades along the self-paced visual search, we normalised the data by computing the number of saccades that participants produce in a given time (i.e., estimated number of saccades per second in systole or diastole). This approach is based on units of time and it allows normalising data irrespectively of the time windows' length. Specifically, it was applied here by

467 dividing the number of saccades in each time window and heartbeat by the value obtained when
468 dividing the length of the time window by 1000 (number of saccades in diastole or systole / (length of
469 time window/1000)). Moreover, we also calculated the percentage of time that participants spent
470 fixating on the stimuli in each time window. We normalised these data by dividing the length of the
471 fixations by the length of the time windows used in each cardiac phase (length of fixations in diastole
472 or systole / length of time window).

473 *Saccades and fixations over the whole cardiac phases.* We calculated the participants' heart rate in a
474 heartbeat per heartbeat basis. We then made use of the parameters described in (Mao et al., 2003) who
475 examined the length of the diastole and systolic phases in more than 800 participants, noting the
476 percentage of these phases relative to the whole cardiac cycle according to the participants' heart rate.
477 Following this, similar to the above-mentioned analysis, we calculated the number of saccades
478 generated per second in systole and diastole, as well as the percentage of time that participants spent
479 fixating in each whole cardiac phase.

480 *Number of saccades over time.* The previous analyses did not allow examining the number of
481 saccades generated over time, namely, a potential interaction between the number of saccades and
482 time. To this aim, we computed histograms by calculating the number of saccades in consecutive bins
483 from -200ms to 700ms after the R-wave. We applied a moving window of 200ms averaging the
484 number of generated saccades over time. The time bins depicted in figure 3 show the results of the
485 centred histograms after applying this moving window (i.e., -100 to 800ms). We normalised these
486 data by dividing the number of saccades in each bin by the total number of saccades generated in the
487 whole task. Furthermore, we compared the number of saccades produced in each bin against the
488 expected uniform distribution after normalisation of the data (i.e., each bin *vs.* 0.1).

489 Last, in all those analyses using paired student t-test, normality was checked and Wilconxon
490 signed-rank test was used when it was violated. Likewise, Mauchly's W was computed to check for
491 violations of the sphericity assumption and Greenhouse–Geisser adjustments to the degrees of
492 freedom were applied when appropriate. The P values were corrected for multiple comparisons using
493 Bonferroni correction.

References

Azevedo R, Badoud D, Tsakiris M (2017a) Afferent cardiac signals modulate attentional engagement to low spatial frequency fearful faces. Cortex:1–9 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2017.06.016.

Azevedo RT, Garfinkel SN, Critchley HD, Tsakiris M (2017b) Cardiac afferent activity modulates the expression of racial stereotypes. Nat Commun 8:13854 Available at: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms13854.

Birznieks I, Boonstra TW, Macefield VG (2012) Modulation of human muscle spindle discharge by arterial pulsations - functional effects and consequences. PLoS One 7.

Brainard DH (1997) The Psychophysics Toolbox. Spat Vis 10:433–436.

Burr DC, Morrone MC, Ross J (1994) Selective suppresion of the magnocellular visual pathway during saccadic eye movements. Nature 371:511–513.

Cheng A, Eysel UT, Vidyasagar TR (2004) The role of the magnocellular pathway in serial deployment of visual attention. Eur J Neurosci 20:2188–2192.

Cornelissen FW, Peters EM, Palmer J (2002) The Eyelink Toolbox: Eye tracking with MATLAB and the Psychophysics Toolbox. Behav Res Methods, Instruments, Comput 34:613–617.

Craig AD (2002) How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. Nat Rev Neurosci 3:655–666.

Craig AD (2003) Interoception: The sense of the physiological condition of the body. Curr Opin Neurobiol 13:500–505.

Critchley HD, Garfinkel SN (2015) Interactions between visceral afferent signaling and stimulus processing. Front Neurosci 9:1–9.

Critchley HD, Garfinkel SN (2018) The influence of physiological signals on cognition. Curr Opin Behav Sci 19:13–18 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.08.014.

Critchley HD, Harrison NA (2013) Visceral Influences on Brain and Behavior. Neuron 77:624–638 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.008.

Davos CH, Davies LC, Piepoli M (2002) The Effect of Baroreceptor Activity on Cardiovascular Regulation. Hell J Cardiol 43:145–155.

Edwards L, Ring C, McIntyre D, Carroll D (2001) Modulation of the human nociceptive flexion reflex across the cardiac cycle. Psychophysiology 38:712–718.

Edwards L, Ring C, McIntyre D, Carroll D, Martin U (2007) Psychomotor speed in hypertension: Effects of reaction time components, stimulus modality, and phase of the cardiac cycle. Psychophysiology 44:459–468.

Fallon JB (2004) Stochastic Resonance in Muscle Receptors. J Neurophysiol 91:2429–2436 Available at: http://jn.physiology.org/cgi/doi/10.1152/jn.00928.2003.

Fiacconi CM, Owais S, Peter EL, Köhler S (2016) Knowing by heart: Visceral feedback shapes recognition memory judgments. J Exp Psychol Gen 145:1–14.

Friston K, Adams RA, Perrinet L, Breakspear M (2012) Perceptions as hypotheses: Saccades as experiments. Front Psychol 3:1–20.

Friston K, Kiebel S, Barlow HB, Feynman RP, Neal RM, Hinton GE, Neisser U (2009) Predictive coding under the free-energy principle. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364:1211–1221 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19528002%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2666703.

Galvez-Pol A, Calvo-Merino B, Capilla A, Forster B (2018a) Persistent recruitment of somatosensory cortex during active maintenance of hand images in working memory. Neuroimage 174:153–163 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.03.024.

Galvez-Pol A, Forster B, Calvo-Merino B (2018b) Modulation of motor cortex activity in a visual working memory task of hand images. Neuropsychologia:1–33 Available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S002839321830201X.

Garfinkel SN, Barrett AB, Minati L, Dolan RJ, Seth AK, Critchley HD (2013) What the heart forgets: Cardiac timing influences memory for words and is modulated by metacognition and interoceptive sensitivity. Psychophysiology 50:505–512.

Garfinkel SN, Critchley HD (2016) Threat and the Body: How the Heart Supports Fear Processing. Trends Cogn Sci 20:34–46 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.10.005.

Garfinkel SN, Minati L, Gray MA, Seth AK, Dolan RJ, Critchley HD (2014) Fear from the heart: sensitivity to fear stimuli depends on individual heartbeats. J Neurosci 34:6573–6582 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3507-13.2014.

Hamker FH, Zirnsak M, Calow D, Lappe M (2008) The peri-saccadic perception of objects and space. PLoS Comput Biol 4.

Ibbotson M, Krekelberg B (2011) Visual perception and saccadic eye movements. Curr Opin Neurobiol 21:553–558 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.05.012.

Khalsa SS et al. (2018) Interoception and Mental Health: A Roadmap. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.12.004.

Khalsa SS, Lapidus RC (2016) Can interoception improve the pragmatic search for biomarkers in psychiatry? Front Psychiatry 7:1–19.

Kleiner M, Brainard DH, Pelli DG, Broussard C, Wolf T, Niehorster D (2007) What's new in Psychtoolbox-3? Perception 36:S14 Available at: http://www.perceptionweb.com/abstract.cgi?id=v070821.

Koch E (1932) Die irradiation der pressoreceptorischen kleislaufreflexe. :225–227.

Kreindler A (1946) Recherches experimentales sur les relations entre le sinus carotidien et le systeme nerveux central. Bull la Sect Sci Acad Roum:448.

Kunzendorf S, Klotzsche F, Akbal M, Villringer A, Ohl S, Gaebler M (2018) Active vision varies

across the cardiac cycle. bioRxiv:283838 Available at: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/03/18/283838.full.pdf+html.

Liversedge SP, Findlay JM (2000) Saccadic eye movements and cognition. Trends Cogn Sci 4:6–14.

Lombard WP, Cope OM (1926) The duration of the systole of the left ventricle of man. Am J Physiol Content 77:263–295.

Ludwick-Rosenthal R, Neufeld RW, Ludwick-Rosenthal N (1985) Heart beat interoception: a study of individual differences. Int J Psychophysiol 3:57–65.

Mao S, Lu B, Takasu J, Oudiz RJ, Budoff MJ (2003) Measurement of the RT interval on ECG records during electron-beam CT. Acad Radiol 10:638–643.

McIntyre D, Edwards L, Ring C, Parvin B, Carroll D (2006) Systolic inhibition of nociceptive responding is moderated by arousal. Psychophysiology 43:314–319.

Ohl S, Wohltat C, Kliegl R, Pollatos O, Engbert R (2016) Microsaccades Are Coupled to Heartbeat. J Neurosci 36:1237–1241 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2211-15.2016.

Park H-D, Bernasconi F, Salomon R, Tallon-Baudry C, Spinelli L, Seeck M, Schaller K, Blanke O (2017) Neural Sources and Underlying Mechanisms of Neural Responses to Heartbeats, and their Role in Bodily Self-consciousness: An Intracranial EEG Study. Cereb Cortex:1–14 Available at: https://academic.oup.com/cercor/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cercor/bhx136.

Parr T, Friston KJ (2018) Active inference and the anatomy of oculomotion. Neuropsychologia 111:334–343 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2018.01.041.

Pelli DG (1997) The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: Transforming numbers into movies. Spat Vis 10:437–442.

Pickering MJ, Clark A (2014) Getting ahead: Forward models and their place in cognitive architecture. Trends Cogn Sci 18:451–456 Available at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.05.006.

Pramme L, Larra MF, Schächinger H, Frings C (2014) Cardiac cycle time effects on mask inhibition. Biol Psychol 100:115–121 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.05.008.

Ross J, Burr D, Morrone C (1996) Suppression of the magnocellular pathway during saccades. Behav Brain Res 80:1–8.

Salomon R, Ronchi R, Donz J, Bello-Ruiz J, Herbelin B, Martet R, Faivre N, Schaller K, Blanke O (2016) The Insula Mediates Access to Awareness of Visual Stimuli Presented Synchronously to the Heartbeat. J Neurosci 36:5115–5127 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4262-15.2016.

Seth AK (2013) Interoceptive inference, emotion, and the embodied self. Trends Cogn Sci 17:565–573 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.09.007.

Weissler A, Harris W, Schoenfeld C (1968) Systolic time intervals in heart failure in man. Circulation 37 Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/37/2/149.short.

Zelinsky GJ, Sheinberg DL (1997) Eye movements during parallel-serial visual search. J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform 23:244–262 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9090154.