1 Title

2 Genomic epidemiology of syphilis reveals independent emergence of macrolide resistance

3 across multiple circulating lineages

5 Authors, Affiliations

Mathew A. Beale[1], Michael Marks[2,3], Sharon K. Sahi[4], Lauren C. Tantalo[4], Achyuta V. Nori[5],

Patrick French[6], Sheila A. Lukehart[7], Christina M. Marra[4], Nicholas R. Thomson[1,8]

Corresponding authors: MAB, mathew.beale@sanger.ac.uk; NRT, nrt@sanger.ac.uk

[1] Parasites and Microbes, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton,

Cambridgeshire, UK

[2] Clinical Research Department, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School

of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

[3] Hospital for Tropical Diseases, London, UK

[4] Department of Neurology, University of Washington, USA

[5] Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

[6] The Mortimer Market Centre CNWL, Camden Provider Services, London, UK

[7] Departments of Medicine and Global Health, University of Washington, USA

[8] Department of Pathogen Molecular Biology, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases,

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Abstract

Syphilis is a sexually transmitted infection caused by *Treponema pallidum* subspecies

pallidum and may lead to severe complications. Recent years have seen striking increases in

syphilis in many countries. Previous analyses have suggested one lineage of syphilis, SS14,

may have expanded recently, indicating emergence of a single pandemic azithromycin-

resistant cluster. We used direct sequencing of *T. pallidum* combined with phylogenomic

analyses to show that both SS14- and Nichols-lineages are simultaneously circulating in

clinically relevant populations in multiple countries. We correlate the appearance of

genotypic macrolide resistance with multiple independently evolved SS14 sub-lineages and

show that genotypically resistant and sensitive sub-lineages are spreading

contemporaneously, incompatible with the notion that SS14-lineage expansion is driven

purely by macrolide resistance. These findings inform our understanding of the current

syphilis epidemic by demonstrating how macrolide resistance evolves in *Treponema*

subspecies and provide a warning on broader issues of antimicrobial resistance.

Introduction

Syphilis is a centuries-old, predominantly sexually transmitted infection (STI) caused by the

bacterium *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pallidum* (TPA). If untreated, syphilis causes a

multi-system disease that can progress to severe cardiovascular and neurological

involvement, which can be potentially fatal. Syphilis caused a pandemic wave that swept

across Renaissance Europe over 500 years ago, and remained a problem until the

introduction of antibiotics in the post-World War II era[1]. Despite effective treatment with

benzathine benzylpenicillin G (BPG), syphilis transmission levels fluctuated but persisted

throughout the 20th century, until the AIDS crisis of the 1980s and 1990s, where changes in

sexual behaviour (and possibly AIDS-related mortality), led to an overall decline in incidence

in many western countries and populations[2,3].

Recent years have seen a sharp increase in syphilis cases in many high-income countries,

predominantly within sexual networks of men who have sex with men (MSM)[4,5]. In the

United Kingdom there was a 20% increase in reported new diagnoses between 2016 and

2017, and a 148% increase since 2008[6]. Similar trends have been reported in other

countries[4,7]. The reasons for this increase are complex and multifactorial, incorporating

changing behavioural patterns mediated by cultural, societal and technological changes in

our modern world[8], resulting in a perfect epidemiological storm. It is also possible that there

are bacterial changes either driving the current rise in syphilis incidence, or occurring as a

consequence of this increase. However, current knowledge of TPA is limited, largely because

the bacterium was, until recently, intransigent to *in vitro* culture[9]. Most current

understanding of TPA biology therefore comes from related species or from TPA cultured in

the *in vivo* rabbit testicular model[10]. Genomic analysis has also been limited due to low

levels of TPA pathogen load in patients and difficulty in readily isolating new strains.

Sequencing must be performed directly on clinical specimens or after passage through

rabbits, leading to substantial bottlenecks in genomic data generation. Recent advances

have enabled target enrichment of pathogen reads directly from clinical or cultured

specimens[11,12], and this was recently employed separately by different groups, including our

own, to sequence TPA and other *T. pallidum* subspecies directly from patient samples[13–16].

The availability of increasing numbers of genomic sequences enabled the first description of

the global TPA population structure using 31 near genome-length TPA sequences, along

with a small number derived from closely related species[14]. The authors described two

lineages within TPA; a Nichols-lineage found almost exclusively in North American

sequences exhibiting substantial nucleotide diversity, and a geographically widespread but

genetically homogeneous SS14-lineage, confirming previous analyses using multi-locus

sequence typing[17]. Of these two lineages, they found that 68% of tested TPA genomes

belonged to the SS14-lineage, and further analysis using a larger dataset of 1354 single-

locus molecular types (comprising 623 samples from South East Asia, 241 from the USA, 392

from Europe and a small number of other locations) also supported this view (94%

SS14-lineage).

Although penicillin resistance has never been reported in syphilis, increasing levels of

genotypic resistance, and clinical treatment failure, to macrolides such as azithromycin have

been reported[18,19], conferred by either one of two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

in the 23S ribosomal sequence (A2058G and A2059G). Previous molecular typing studies

indicated that differential patterns of macrolide resistance correlate with geographical

location[18–20] and molecular type[21,22,19,23] , and Arora *et al* reported that 90% of whole

genome sequenced SS14-lineage genomes and 25% of Nichols-lineage genomes contained

SNPs conferring macrolide resistance; furthermore, it has been suggested that SS14-lineage

may represent a single pandemic azithromycin-resistant cluster[14] perhaps related to

azithromycin selective pressure[14,17].

In this study, we performed direct whole genome sequencing on 73 TPA samples from the

US and Europe, and combined these data with 49 publicly available genomes. We tested the

hypothesis that, as with other pathogens[24], expansion of the SS14-lineage might have been

driven by selection of macrolide resistance. We used phylogenetic analysis to delineate sub-

lineages within the both the SS14- and Nichols- lineages, providing an evolutionary

framework for the emergence of macrolide resistance in treponemas, and showing striking

patterns of the emergence and fixation of macrolide resistance SNPs that indicate

independent evolution and proliferation of resistance alleles. These findings have

implications for our understanding of the increasing incidence of syphilis and on the

potential of the WHO Yaws eradication campaign to drive further development of macrolide

resistance in both TPA and in the closely related *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pertenue*

(TPP)[25,26].

Results

We sequenced eight genomes directly from clinical swabs collected in 2016 from patients in

the United Kingdom and 60 isolate genomes from low rabbit passage samples (no more

than two passages from the original patient sample; henceforth referred to as 'recently

clinically derived') originally collected from patients between 2001-2011 in the USA. We also

resequenced three clonally derived laboratory strains from the USA that have been

previously sequenced (Nichols Houston E, Nichols Houston J, Nichols Houston O) but remain

unpublished, and two strains for which the sequencing reads were not publicly available

(Chicago[27], Seattle 81-4[28]). We combined our data with 49 high-quality genomes published

previously[13,14,29–34], 41 of which were recently derived from clinical patients, yielding a

dataset of 122 genomes (109 with limited passage from clinical patients). Combined, our

sample set included 72 genomes from the USA (predominantly Seattle), 8 from the UK

(exclusively London), 9 from China (predominantly Shanghai), 23 from Portugal (exclusively

Lisbon), and a small number from other countries, all collected between 1912-2016

(Supplementary Table 1).

After removal of recombinant and repetitive sites (both by selective mapping and screening

– see Methods and Supplementary Table 2), we performed phylogenomic analyses, using

maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods to define lineages. In agreement with previous

studies[14], we show the presence of two dominant lineages in our dataset (previously

denoted SS14 and Nichols; Figure 1) that are separated by >70 non-recombining single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Of the 122 total samples included in this study, 105 (86%)

belonged to the SS14-lineage, whilst of the 109 recently clinically derived samples included,

103 (94%) were from SS14-lineage. In contrast, only six Nichols-lineage samples were

recently clinically derived, and most (11/17) Nichols-lineage genomes examined were

historically passaged isolates, including those derived from the original Nichols strain

isolated in 1912 and disseminated to different North American laboratories; some Nichols-

lineage genomes represent clones of the parent strain derived *in vivo*. However, although

we observed a strong bias towards clinically derived SS14-lineage samples in this dataset,

not all recent clinical strains were of the SS14-lineage; six recent clinical samples belonged

to the Nichols-lineage, three (of eight sequenced) clinical samples from the UK in 2016, one

collected in the Netherlands in 2013, and two from the USA in 2004, indicating that

transmission of this lineage is ongoing and potentially more widespread than previously

thought.

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of 122 high quality *T. pallidum* subspecies *pallidum*

genomes (including recently clinically derived samples and those with more extensive

laboratory passage), showing lineage and country of origin. Ultra-Fast bootstrap values

>=95% are labelled with black nodes points. Branches are scaled by mean nucleotide

substitutions/site.

Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction was used to date the time to most recent common

ancestor (TMRCA) for the different TPA lineages. However, temporal analysis of heavily

passaged laboratory strains (such as those derived from the original Nichols isolate) is

problematic because the true mutational age may be unknown, meaning coalescent date is

difficult to infer; we therefore removed extensively passaged strains or strains with no

record of their passage history from this particular part of the analysis. This included the

removal of the Nichols and SS14 reference strains, as well as the Mexico A strain that

delineated the SS14Ω lineage described previously[14]. Root-to-tip regression analysis of the

remaining 109 recently clinically derived genomes indicated that TPA possesses a clock-like

signal (Supplementary Figure 1), and we performed Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction

and tip date analysis using BEAST[35] under a Strict Constant model, inferring a median

molecular clock rate of 2.28×10^{-7} (95% Highest Posterior Density (HPD) $1.58 \times 10^{-7} - 3.05$

$\times 10^{-7}$), or 0.26 sites/genome/year (meaning we would expect TPA genomes on average to

accumulate one fixed SNP every four years). We inferred a temporal timeline for the tree,

and our analysis broadly supported previous estimates[14] dating the separation of Nichols-

and SS14 at around the mid 18th Century (median date 1755, 95% HPD 1651–1835; Figure

2A).

<u>SS14-lineage shows a polyphyletic structure</u>

Within the SS14-lineage, the high number of full-length sequences enabled fine-scale

description of phylogenetic sub-structure. In particular, we show partitioning of the SS14Ω

centroid cluster previously defined[14] into two lineages; one composed of European and

North American derived samples (SS14Ω-A), and another of Chinese and North American

derived samples (SS14Ω-B) (Figure 1). While the American and European samples belonging

to the former SS14Ω-A lineage are geospatially admixed, those of the latter SS14Ω-B lineage

can be further separated between Chinese and North American samples. These partitions

were well supported in our maximum likelihood (Figure 1) and Bayesian phylogenies (Figure

2A), as indicated by black node points. We used the rPinecone package[36] to formally classify

these sub-lineages based on a defined root-to-tip SNP distance, identifying eight sub-

lineages (one of which we further subdivided into sub-lineages 1A and 1B to aid analysis

based on temporal and geospatial divergence) within SS14-lineage that correlated well with

the population structure described by the phylogeny (Figure 2A). Importantly, while some

nodes close to the tips in our phylogeny are unsupported due to small numbers of

differentiating SNPs, all sub-lineages defined by rPinecone are supported by >91% posterior

support at the key nodes in our Bayesian phylogeny (Figure 2A). We considered the

possibility of geographical bias in our data, and whilst four sub-lineages are composed

entirely of samples from Seattle (sub-lineages 1A, 3, 4) or China (sub-lineage 1B), four

others (sub-lineages 2, 5, 7, 8) are geospatially admixed, sharing samples from both the USA

and Europe, with the last (sub-lineage 6) composed of samples from two European

countries, Portugal and the Netherlands (Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 1).

Figure 2. Bayesian maximum credibility phylogeny of sequences recently derived from

clinical samples shows expansion of discrete sub-lineages within SS14-lineage, with

independent evolution of macrolide resistance. A – Time-scaled phylogeny of all recently

clinically derived genomes. Coloured tracks indicate lineage, sub-lineage, and presence of

macrolide resistance conferring 23S rRNA SNPs (black=present, white=absent, grey=mixed).

Node points are shaded according to posterior support (black ≥96%, dark grey >91%, light

grey >80%). *Sporadic (non-lineage associated) gain of resistance is highlighted in sub-

lineage 3 (samples UW133B and UW262B). **Possible reversion from resistant to wildtype

(sample SW6). B – Expanded view of sub-lineages, showing independent acquisition and

fixation of macrolide resistance alleles.

Macrolide resistance has evolved independently within SS14

The molecular basis for macrolide resistance has been well documented in *T. pallidum*, and is mediated by point mutations in the 23S ribosomal RNA gene at nucleotide positions 2058 and 2059[37–39]. The A2058G variant was first identified in *T. pallidum* Street Strain-14 (the prototype sample for the SS14-lineage), isolated as long ago as 1977[37], yet resistance has not previously been analysed in context with a detailed whole genome phylogeny. We used ARIBA[40] to perform localised assembly and variant calling of treponema-specific 23S ribosomal sequences from all genomes, and these data were used to infer the presence of both A2058G and A2059G 23S variants that confer macrolide resistance[37]. *T. pallidum* possesses two copies of the 23S ribosomal RNA gene, yet previous analyses of *T. pallidum* have not identified heterozygosity between these two copies (although heterozygosity was described for the distantly related *T. denticola*[41]) – where resistance alleles have been sequenced, they are homozygous between 23S copies, and it has been suggested a gene conversion unification mechanism may exist to facilitate this[19]. Of the 122 genomes, 83 showed evidence of genotypic resistance to macrolides, with 76 genomes showing >95% read support for either the A2058G or A2059G variant. Since it is not possible to discriminate between short reads originating from either copy of 23S because they are perfect repeats, this suggests that both copies carry the same resistance mutation. Seven clinically derived genomes (one UK sample from this study, six described by Pinto and colleagues[13]) showed a mixed 23S allelic profile (replicating the result of a previous analysis for three of six samples[13]). All of these samples had >179x read coverage for those sites, with only a fraction of reads (26% - 94%) possessing a resistance allele. In these cases it was not possible to clearly distinguish between a mixture of homozygous positive and negative bacteria in the same patient (either due to within-host evolution or coinfection with

multiple strains) or heterozygous sequences from a single bacterium (different 23S rRNA

alleles at each copy; heterozygosity in phase) (Figure 2A).

Within the 122 genomes included in this study, we observed that 67% (70/105) of SS14-

lineage samples and 35% (6/17) of Nichols-lineage samples were homozygous for either

A2058G or the A2059G 23S rRNA allele. In the SS14-lineage, samples possessed either the

A2058G (n=59) or the A2059G (n=11) variant. In the Nichols-lineage, six possessed a

resistance allele, of which all showed the A2058G variant, and all were from recent clinically

derived samples.

To explore the emergence of macrolide resistance, we correlated the taxa in our time-scaled

phylogeny with the presence of resistance alleles (Figure 2A). We observed a strong

correlation between our well supported sub-lineages and genotypic macrolide resistance or

sensitivity, such that resistance appears to have evolved on multiple occasions in a stepwise

manner (Figure 2A). For example, Figure 2B shows how the wildtype ancestor of sub-lineage

1B sequences evolved the A2058G between the late 1980s and late 1990s, contrasting with

sub-lineage 1A sequences which did not gain A2058G, but subsequently and independently

evolved the A2059G variant.

More broadly in the phylogeny, we observe that similar independent 23S rRNA mutations

have occurred on at least six occasions (Figure 2A), with separate sub-lineages characterised

by either predominantly macrolide resistant or sensitive genotypes; six of the nine sub-

lineages were predominantly resistant to macrolides, with three sub-lineages being

predominantly sensitive. Several of the macrolide sensitive sub-lineages (in particular sub-

lineage 3) contained similar numbers of samples as many of the resistant sub-lineages

(Figure 3), suggesting ongoing selection for macrolide resistance has not influenced the

expansion of these sub-lineages.

To examine sub-lineage expansion in greater detail, we extracted sampling dates according

to sub-lineage, and correlated these data with the predicted time to most recent common

ancestor (TMRCA) (Figure 3). Whilst all clinical sequences included in this analysis were

sampled after 2000, our analysis indicates that the origins of most of the sub-lineages

predated this time and likely arose during the 1990s (Figure 3). Regarding whole sub-lineage

associated resistance, we also observed more recent sporadic appearance of resistance

mutations, with two separate A2058G variants detected in sub-lineage 3 (an otherwise

macrolide sensitive sub-lineage)(Figure 2). We also observe an instance of possible

reversion from resistant to wildtype allele in sub-lineage 7, with a wildtype sample (SW6)

descending from multiple putatively resistant MRCA nodes; this contrasts with sub-lineages

1A and 6, where isolated sensitive samples diverge from the rest of the sub-lineage prior to

the likely MRCA in which resistance evolved.

Figure 3. Macrolide resistant and sensitive SS14 sub-lineages evolved independently prior to

2006 and expanded equally regardless of resistance genotype. Figure 3 shows sample

collection dates grouped by sub-lineage, with size of circle proportional to number of

sequences, and showing predicted time to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) with

95% highest posterior density (HPD), and proportion of genotypically macrolide resistant,

sensitive and mixed samples.

 <u>Penicillin binding proteins are targeted by homoplasic changes</u>

 Previous analysis of Treponemal genomes have shown amino acid changes in penicillin

 binding proteins[13,29], but large scale analysis of penicillin gene variants has not previously

 been performed on a global dataset. We selected three penicillin binding protein genes[42,43]

 (*pbp1*, *pbp2*, *mrcA*) and a putative β-lactamase[44] (*Tp47*), and used ARIBA to screen these

 genes for novel variants across our full clinical dataset of 109 sequences, identifying 19 non-

 synonymous SNPs (Supplementary Figure 3). Two variants (*pbp1* P564L; *Tp47* S394R) were

 almost universally conserved in clinical SS14-lineage sequences, whilst three more (*mrcA*

 I487L; *mrcA* M625V; *mrcA* G708S) showed strong association with SS14 sub-lineages. As no

 phenotypic or clinical evidence exists for penicillin resistance or treatment failure in

 Treponemes, we considered such widely prevalent SNPs unlikely to be of clinical relevance

 or mediate a resistant phenotype.

 Sun and colleagues[29] identified variants in *pbp2* (*pbp2* I415F/I415M) and *mrcA* (*mrcA*

 A506V) in eight genomes from China, and whilst we confirmed the presence of the *pbp2*

 variants, we did not find them in samples from other countries. However, in addition to the

 mrcA A506V variant described by Sun et al, we also identified a SNP affecting the same

 amino acid position (*mrcA* A506T), both in the recently clinically derived Nichols-lineage

 genomes as well as in recently clinically derived SS14 sub-lineage 1A (comprising samples

 from the USA). As with macrolide resistance, independent evolution of homoplasic variants

 affecting the same amino acid are suggestive of selection, and we used SIFT[45] to infer the

 likely functional impact of amino acid changes at *mrcA* A506 and *pbp2* I415. None of the

294   four variants detected showed a significant result (no SIFT scores were below the threshold

295   for functional effect of 0.05), leaving the clinical relevance of these SNPs unclear.

Discussion

Compiling the largest *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pallidum* sequence collection to date,

we show that the majority of the contemporary samples sequenced here were from the

SS14-lineage, consistent with other reports[14]. However, three of eight recent UK genomes

(38%) belonged to the Nichols-lineage, showing that these two lineages are still circulating

concurrently, and that the prevalence of Nichols-lineage strains may vary by sampling

population. Moreover linking the phylogenetic and geospatial data of our samples shows

that a number of SS14 sub-lineages (2, 5, 7, 8) are comprised of both European and North

American samples (Supplementary Figure 2), suggesting that we have captured key lineages

circulating in these regions and that our data is not overly biased from localised sampling.

Notwithstanding the above, further studies will be needed to more accurately characterise

the genomic diversity and prevalence of lineages within different populations on a global

scale.

We were able to reconstruct a time-scaled phylogeny using only recently clinically derived

samples, and the increase in whole genome sequence numbers combined with the removal

of heavily passaged samples contrasts with previous approaches[14,29]. Arora *et al.* reported a

mean evolutionary rate of 6.6×10^{-7} substitutions/site/year for *T. pallidum*[14], comparable

with rapidly dividing, free-living bacterial pathogens with environmental life cycles such as

Vibrio cholerae (6.1×10^{-7})[46] and *Shigella sonnei* (6.0×10^{-7}). However, *T. pallidum* is a slow

growing host-restricted pathogen with substantial periods of latency, and as such we would

expect a molecular clock rate more similar to other slow growing organisms such as

Chlamydia trachomatis (2.15 x10^{-7})[47] or *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (1 ×10^{-7})[48]. Our

inferred rate for TPA (2.28 x10^{-7}) is consistent with this expectation, as well as with other

observations that suggest *T. pallidum* has a low evolutionary rate[49].

Within the SS14-lineage, we defined nine well supported sub-lineages that all diverged from

their most recent common ancestors prior to 2006, with the earliest (sub-lineage 3)

potentially emerging at the end of the 1980s. We observed clear associations between

these nine sub-lineages and the presence of macrolide resistance conferring SNPs, with

each sub-lineage dominated by either macrolide resistant (six sub-lineages) or macrolide

sensitive (three sub-lineages) samples. Such observations, previously documented to a

limited extent within a single country[13] but now extended to a broader sample, are not

consistent with a hypothesis of an ancestrally resistant SS14-lineage driven to high

frequency in the population due to a fitness advantage conferred by macrolide resistance,

where we would expect to see expansion of a single resistant lineage. Rather, we see

evidence of multiple sub-lineages independently evolving macrolide resistance alleles, as a

likely consequence of intermittent selective pressure from macrolide treatment, consistent

with molecular typing data from Seattle[21]. Phylogenetic reconstruction shows *de novo*

evolution of macrolide resistance in syphilis is not a rare event, and furthermore, when

resistance evolves in a lineage, it persists in descendants, resulting in transmission from

person to person. That the variants appear stable within lineages, with only a single instance

in the phylogeny that might represent reversion to a wildtype state, suggests that either

there is no strong fitness cost associated with possessing these macrolide resistance

mutations or that novel compensatory variants co-evolve to mitigate any fitness cost,

enabling variant stability; further sampling would be necessary in the future to address this.

Although our data strongly suggest that global expansion of the SS14-lineage is not

contingent on macrolide resistance, the global increases seen in macrolide resistant

syphilis[19], as well as the number of resistant lineages emerging in our data, are a cause for

concern. Macrolides such as azithromycin are not considered appropriate treatment for

syphilis, with WHO and US guidelines recommending treatment with BPG[50,51], with

doxycycline recommended as a secondary treatment option. In contrast, WHO now

recommends azithromycin rather than BPG as the treatment of choice for mass drug

administration in the eradication of yaws[25], caused by the closely related *T. pallidum*

subspecies *pertenue*. Macrolide resistance has recently been described in yaws[26] and our

data suggest that further independent evolution of azithromycin resistance is highly likely,

which would have significant implications for yaws eradication efforts. Worryingly, applying

azithromycin-based mass drug administration to populations infected with both TPP and

TPA could promote resistance in both species.

Several factors are likely to have driven the repeated evolution and subsequent expansion

of macrolide resistant lineages of TPA. Use of azithromycin, or other macrolides, for other

indications is likely to have played a significant role[52]. Azithromycin entered global markets

between 1988 and 1991 (marketed by Pfizer as Zithromax), and became one of the most

widely used antibiotics in the United States for a wide variety of indications[53], including the

treatment of respiratory tract infections and for the treatment of other sexually transmitted

infections. In many cases, the dose used for treatment of these indications is lower than the

recommended dose for the treatment of syphilis. Azithromycin and clarithromycin were

also widely used prophylactically amongst individuals living with HIV prior to the widespread

availability of combined anti-retroviral therapy. Off-target macrolide exposure is of

particular concern because azithromycin has a long half-life[54] and may persist at subclinical

concentrations in patients. Widespread use of macrolides for this broad range of indications

might therefore have contributed to sub-therapeutic exposure of patients with incubating

or early syphilis and ultimately selection of resistance[52].

The recent increase in incidence of syphilis in high income countries likely reflects changes

in sexual behaviour[8]. The fact that we observe the expansion of both genotypically resistant

and sensitive lineages highlights particular treatment issues. There have been significant

global shortages of BPG[55] in low, middle, and high income nations, including the United

States, with the global supply of BPG dependent on just three manufacturers of the active

ingredient[55]. Pharmaceutical production of sterile, injectable β-lactam-derived

antimicrobials such as BPG is costly, yet as an older off-patent medication with declining

demand in the face of growing antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in other organisms, the

financial rewards for production are low[55]. This may be compounded by a misperception

that BPG is an outdated drug that could be replaced by newer, more effective drugs[55]. In

circumstances where azithromycin is used instead of doxycycline, a shortage of BPG leads

inevitably to inadequate treatment of early infectious syphilis and contributes to ongoing,

unchecked transmission. In China for example, studies have reported that despite high rates

of macrolide resistance,[20] clinicians have inappropriately been resorting to macrolide

treatment due to ongoing BPG shortages[56]. Thus although a well-established, highly

effective treatment for syphilis (BPG) has been available since the mid-1950s, shortages in

the present era contribute to suboptimal treatment strategies and continued use of drugs

with a known resistance problem.

Phenotypic or clinical penicillin resistance has never been observed in syphilis in more than

60 years of clinical use. In our analysis, we detected a number of variants affecting penicillin

binding proteins, although given that penicillin remains an effective treatment, many were

so widely distributed throughout the phylogeny as to be implausible for causing resistance.

The epidemic of syphilis and the widespread problems of azithromycin resistance and BPG

shortage require a multi-faceted response. This includes new strategies for treatment and

reduction of transmission, finding ways to improve the security of the BPG supply chain, and

strengthening molecular surveillance for antimicrobial resistance in *T. pallidum*[57]. Many

authors have discussed the importance of rethinking the economics of antimicrobial

development pipelines to ensure we are still able to treat infections[24,58]. In syphilis, we must

rethink how we can protect the continued production of existing highly efficacious

penicillins in the face of increasing antimicrobial resistance rendering them ineffective for

other organisms, especially in the light of the recent increases in syphilis incidence in

Europe, North America, and Asia.

Materials and Methods

<u>Samples</u>

UK samples consisted of residual DNA, extracted from clinical swabs using a QIAsymphony (Qiagen) from routine diagnostic samples obtained from patients presenting with clinical evidence of syphilis at the Mortimer Market Centre, London. Use of the UK samples was approved by the NHS Research Ethics Committee (IRAS Project ID 195816). US samples from Seattle were collected from individuals enrolled in a study of cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities in patients with syphilis (a mixture of 21 patients with evidence of CSF infection and 38 patients without), with ethical approval at the University of Washington (UW IRB # STUDY00003216). Specifically, 2.4-3.0 ml participant blood was inoculated into rabbit testes as previously described[59], and *T. pallidum* suspensions were collected after the second round of passage. Historical strains were propagated in rabbits and harvested from infected testes. *T. pallidum* suspensions were treated using a lysis buffer (10mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.1M EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% SDS), freeze-thaw, and extraction using QIAamp Mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions; in select cases the proteinase K incubation was extended overnight to improve DNA yield. In some cases, samples had been used for previous molecular tests, and in these cases residual DNA extracts were used for sequencing. Treponemal DNA was quantified using a qPCR targeting the *Tp47* (TPANIC_0574) gene that is conserved across all known members of the *T. pallidum* cluster, and compared to a standard curve derived from a plasmid containing the PCR amplicon. Samples with a concentration >2000 genome copies/μl were selected for sequencing; borderline samples with high volume and a pathogen load over 500 genome copies/μl were concentrated using a vacuum centrifuge. Samples were arranged in groups of 20 according

to similar (within 2 C_T) treponemal load, with high concentration outlier samples diluted as

necessary. We added 4µl pooled commercial human gDNA (Promega) to all samples to

ensure total gDNA > 1µg/35µl, sufficient for library prep.

<u>Sequencing</u>

Genomic DNA was sheared to 100-400bp (average size distribution ~ 150bp) by

ultrasonication (LE220, Covaris Inc), followed by library preparation (NEBNext Ultra II DNA

Library prep Kit, New England Biolabs), adaptor ligation and index barcoding (Sanger 168 tag

set). Index tagged samples were amplified (6 cycles of PCR, KAPA HiFi kit, KAPA Biosystems),

quantified (Accuclear dsDNA Quantitation Solution, Biotium) , then pooled in the

preassigned groups of 20 to generate equimolar Total DNA pools. 500 ng pooled material

was then hybridised using 120-mer RNA baits (SureSelect Target enrichment system ,

Agilent technologies) designed against published RefSeq examples of *T. pallidum* and *T.*

paraluiscuniculi (Bait design ELID ID 0616571) as described previously[15]. Libraries were

subjected to 125bp paired end sequencing on Illumina HiSeq 2500 with version 4 chemistry

according to established protocols. This sequencing method has been previously

described[15], and differs from that of others[13,14,16], in that samples are barcoded and pooled

before enrichment thus enabling multiplexing of hybridisation reactions. Raw sequencing

reads were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under project PRJEB20795;

all accessions used in this project are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

<u>Sequence analysis and phylogenetics</u>

Treponemal sequencing reads were prefiltered using a Kraken[60] v0.10.6 database containing

all bacterial and archaeal nucleotide sequences in RefSeq, plus mouse and human, to

identify and extract those reads with homology to Treponema species, followed by adaptor

trimming using Trimmomatic[61] v0.33. To reduce bias due to variable read depth, as well as

make analysis computationally tractable, for samples with high read counts we used seqtk

v1.0 (available at https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) to randomly down-sample the binned and

trimmed reads to 2,500,000 unique treponemal read pairs. For publicly available genomes,

raw sequencing reads were downloaded from SRA and subjected to the same binning and

down-sampling pipeline. For eight public genomes (see Supplementary Table 1), raw

sequencing reads were not available; for these we simulated 125bp PE perfect reads from

the RefSeq closed genomes using Fastaq (available at https://github.com/sanger-

pathogens/Fastaq).

For phylogenetic analysis, we used a reference mapping approach with a custom version of

the SS14 v2 reference sequence (NC_021508.1) from which we first masked 14 highly

repetitive or recombinogenic genes (12 repetitive Tpr genes A-L, arp and TPANIC_0470)

using bedtools[62] v2.17.0 'maskfasta'. We mapped prefiltered sequencing reads to the

reference using BWA mem[63] v0.7.17 (MapQ ≥ 20), followed by indel realignment using

GATK[64] v3.4-46 IndelRealigner, deduplication with Picard MarkDuplicates v1.127 (available

at http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), and variant calling and consensus

pseudosequence generation using using samtools v1.2[65] and bcftools v1.2, requiring a

minimum of three supporting reads per strand and eight in total to call a variant, and a

variant frequency/mapping quality cut-off of 0.8; sites not meeting these criteria were

masked to 'N' in the pseudosequence. Importantly, reads mapping to multiple positions

were marked and excluded from SNP calling, meaning repeated regions such as the

duplicated 23S genes was not included in the multiple sequence alignment used to derive

the phylogenies.

Multiple sequence alignments were screened for evidence of recombination using

Gubbins[66], generating recombination-masked full genome length and SNP-only alignments.

Maximum likelihood phylogenies were calculated on SNP-only alignments using IQ-Tree

v1.6.3[67], correcting for missing constant sites using the built in ascertainment bias

correction[68], allowing the built-in model testing[69] to determine a K3P (three substitution

types model and equal base frequencies) substitution model[70] with a FreeRate model of

heterogeneity[71] assuming three categories, and performing 1000 UltraFast Bootstraps[72,73].

To determine SS14 sub-lineages, we recalculated a maximum likelihood tree as described

above for SS14-clade sequences only (using the Mexico A strain, NC_018722.1 as outgroup),

before performing a joint ancestral reconstruction[74] of SNPs on the tree branches using

pyjar (available at https://github.com/simonrharris/pyjar). We then used the rPinecone

package[36] (available at https://github.com/alexwailan/rpinecone), which applies a root-to-

tip approach to defining clusters based on SNP distance relative to ancestral nodes. We used

a cluster threshold of 10 SNPs, which proved optimal for describing the underlying

phylogenetic structure of the tree, and yielded eight sub-lineages. Within sub-lineage 1, we

found that pinecone clusters did not accurately represent the phylogeny, despite a clear

phylogenetic separation, with one group of sequences from China associated with the

A2058G allele, and the other group from the USA associated with the A2059G allele. We

further manually clustered these sequences according to their shared ancestral nodes,

naming them sub-lineages 1A and 1B.

We evaluated our maximum likelihood phylogeny for evidence of temporal signal using

TempEst[75] v1.5, and this showed a correlation of 0.44 and R^2 of 0.20 for the whole tree

(Supplementary Figure 1), whilst the SS14-lineage -only alignment showed a correlation of

0.66 and R^2 of 0.44; this indicated that there was sufficient evidence for temporal signal and

we proceeded to BEAST analysis. BEAST[35] v1.8.2 was initially run on a recombination-

masked SNP-only alignment containing 284 variable sites, applying a correction for invariant

sites using the constantPatterns argument, in triplicate using an Uncorrelated Relaxed Clock

model, assuming constant population size, lognormal population distribution, GTR

substitution model, diffuse gamma distribution prior (shape 0.001, scale 1000), with a

burnin of 10 million cycles followed by 100 million MCMC cycles. All MCMC chains

converged, and on inspection of the marginal distribution of ucld.stdev we could not reject

a Strict Clock. We therefore repeated the analysis using a Strict Clock model, using the same

models and priors and assuming a starting molecular clock rate of 3.6×10^{-4} as described by

others[14]. We used the Marginal Likelihood Estimates from the triplicate BEAST runs as input

to Path Sampling and Stepping Stone Sampling analyses[76,77] and determined that the Strict

Constant model was optimal for this dataset. To further confirm the temporal signal in our

tree, we used the TIPDATINGBEAST package[78] in R[79] to resample tip-dates from our

alignment, generating 20 new datasets with randomly assigned dates – BEAST analysis using

the same Strict Clock prior conditions found no evidence of temporal signal in these

replicates, indicating that the signal in our tree was not found by chance (Supplementary

Figure 4).

Macrolide resistant SNPs were inferred using ARIBA[40], which performs localised assembly

and mapping in comparison with a custom reference database containing 23S sequences

from Nichols (NR_076156.1) and SS14 reference strains (NR_076531.1). Non-synonymous

penicillin binding protein gene variants in *pbp1* (TPANIC_0500), *pbp2* (TPANIC_0760), *mrcA*

(TPANIC_0705) and *Tp47* (TPANIC_0574) were inferred using a second ARIBA database

containing the corresponding amino acid sequences from the Nichols genome

(NC_021490.2). Functional inference of amino acid changes was performed by inputting the

gene amino acid sequences into the SIFT[45] server (available at http://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg).

The treponema-specific *Tp47* protein (TPANIC_0574) did not return a result from SIFT due to

insufficient sequences being found during the PSIBLAST step.

Processing of data, and all statistical analysis was performed in R[79] v3.4.1, primarily using

the phytools and ape packages. Phylogenies were plotted using ggtree[80], and figures were

produced using ggplot2[81]. All code used in the downstream analysis is available in

Supplementary File 4, as well as being available along with source data at

doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.7688093.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the sequencing team at Wellcome Sanger Institute; S Harris for access to local scripts; A Wailan for discussions around rPinecone; D Domman for helpful advice and discussion during analysis; M Fookes for initial pilot work and bait design for SureSelect; B Molini, and C Godornes at University of Washington for provision of strains; staff at University College London Hospital and clinical and sexual health staff at the Mortimer Market Clinic, London for UK sample provision. MM was supported by a Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Fellowship (102807). Strains from the University of Washington were supported by NIH grants R01 NS34235 to CMM, and R01 AI 34616 and R01 AI 42143 to SAL. MAB and NRT are supported by Wellcome funding to the Sanger Institute.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the study: NRT, SAL, MAB, AVN, MM. Collected and collated samples: SAL, CMM, MM, AVN, PF. Performed the laboratory work: MAB, SKS, LCT. Analysed the data: MAB. Wrote the initial draft of the manuscript: MAB. All authors viewed and contributed to the final manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References

1. Tampa, M., Sarbu, I., Matei, C., Benea, V. & Georgescu, S. Brief History of Syphilis. *J.*

Med. Life **7**, 4–10 (2014).

2. Chesson, H. W., Dee, T. S. & Aral, S. O. AIDS mortality may have contributed to the

decline in syphilis rates in the United States in the 1990s. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **30**, 419–424

(2003).

3. Fenton, K. A. *et al.* Infectious syphilis in high-income settings in the 21st century. *Lancet*

Infect. Dis. **8**, 244–253 (2008).

4. Centers for Disease Control. Syphilis - 2016 STD Surveillance Report. (2017). Available at:

https://www.cdc.gov/std/stats16/Syphilis.htm. (Accessed: 2nd July 2018)

5. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Prevalence of HIV and syphilis infection among men who have sex with

men in China: a meta-analysis. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2014**, 620431 (2014).

6. Public Health England. Sexually transmitted infections and screening for chlamydia in

England, 2017. (2018).

7. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Sexually transmitted infections in

Europe 2013. (2015).

8. Mohammed, H. *et al.* Increase in Sexually Transmitted Infections among Men Who Have

Sex with Men, England, 2014. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **22**, 88–91 (2016).

9. Edmondson, D. G., Hu, B. & Norris, S. J. Long-Term In Vitro Culture of the Syphilis

Spirochete Treponema pallidum subsp. pallidum. *mBio* **9**, e01153-18 (2018).

10. Lafond, R. E. & Lukehart, S. A. Biological basis for syphilis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **19**, 29–49

(2006).

11. Christiansen, M. T. *et al.* Whole-genome enrichment and sequencing of Chlamydia

trachomatis directly from clinical samples. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **14**, 591 (2014).

12. Depledge, D. P. *et al.* Specific Capture and Whole-Genome Sequencing of Viruses from

Clinical Samples. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e27805 (2011).

13. Pinto, M. *et al.* Genome-scale analysis of the non-cultivable Treponema pallidum reveals

extensive within-patient genetic variation. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2**, 16190 (2016).

14. Arora, N. *et al.* Origin of modern syphilis and emergence of a pandemic Treponema

pallidum cluster. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2**, 16245 (2016).

15. Marks, M. *et al.* Diagnostics for yaws eradication: insights from direct next generation

sequencing of cutaneous strains of Treponema pallidum. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* (2017).

doi:10.1093/cid/cix892

16. Knauf, S. *et al.* Nonhuman primates across sub-Saharan Africa are infected with the

yaws bacterium Treponema pallidum subsp. pertenue. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **7**, 157

(2018).

17. Nechvátal, L. *et al.* Syphilis-causing strains belong to separate SS14-like or Nichols-like

groups as defined by multilocus analysis of 19 Treponema pallidum strains. *Int. J. Med.*

Microbiol. **304**, 645–653 (2014).

18. Lukehart, S. A. *et al.* Macrolide resistance in Treponema pallidum in the United States

and Ireland. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **351**, 154–158 (2004).

19. Šmajs, D., Paštěková, L. & Grillová, L. Macrolide Resistance in the Syphilis Spirochete,

Treponema pallidum ssp. pallidum: Can We Also Expect Macrolide-Resistant Yaws

Strains? *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **93**, 678–683 (2015).

20. Chen, X.-S. *et al.* High prevalence of azithromycin resistance to Treponema pallidum in

geographically different areas in China. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **19**, 975–979 (2013).

21. Grimes, M. *et al.* Two Mutations associated with Macrolide Resistance in Treponema

pallidum: Increasing Prevalence and Correlation with Molecular Strain Type in Seattle,

Washington. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **39**, 954–958 (2012).

22. Grillová, L. *et al.* Molecular Typing of Treponema pallidum in the Czech Republic during

2011 to 2013: Increased Prevalence of Identified Genotypes and of Isolates with

Macrolide Resistance. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52**, 3693–3700 (2014).

23. Vaulet, L. G. *et al.* Molecular typing of Treponema pallidum isolates from Buenos Aires,

Argentina: Frequent Nichols-like isolates and low levels of macrolide resistance. *PLOS*

ONE **12**, e0172905 (2017).

24. Baker, S., Thomson, N., Weill, F.-X. & Holt, K. E. Genomic insights into the emergence

and spread of antimicrobial-resistant bacterial pathogens. *Science* **360**, 733–738 (2018).

25. WHO. Eradication of yaws – the Morges Strategy. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* **87**, 189–194

(2012).

26. Mitjà, O. *et al.* Re-emergence of yaws after single mass azithromycin treatment followed

by targeted treatment: a longitudinal study. *The Lancet* **391**, 1599–1607 (2018).

27. Giacani, L. *et al.* Complete Genome Sequence and Annotation of the Treponema

pallidum subsp. pallidum Chicago Strain. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 2645–2646 (2010).

28. Giacani, L. *et al.* Complete Genome Sequence of the Treponema pallidum subsp.

pallidum Sea81-4 Strain. *Genome Announc.* **2**, (2014).

29. Sun, J. *et al.* Tracing the origin of Treponema pallidum in China using next-generation

sequencing. *Oncotarget* **7**, 42904–42918 (2016).

30. Matějková, P. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of Treponema pallidum ssp. pallidum

strain SS14 determined with oligonucleotide arrays. *BMC Microbiol.* **8**, 76 (2008).

31. Pětrošová, H. *et al.* Resequencing of Treponema pallidum ssp. pallidum Strains Nichols

and SS14: Correction of Sequencing Errors Resulted in Increased Separation of Syphilis

Treponeme Subclusters. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e74319 (2013).

32. Tong, M.-L. *et al.* Whole genome sequence of the Treponema pallidum subsp. pallidum

strain Amoy: An Asian isolate highly similar to SS14. *PLoS ONE* **12**, (2017).

33. Čejková, D. *et al.* Whole Genome Sequences of Three Treponema pallidum ssp.

pertenue Strains: Yaws and Syphilis Treponemes Differ in Less than 0.2% of the Genome

Sequence. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **6**, e1471 (2012).

34. Pětrošová, H. *et al.* Whole Genome Sequence of Treponema pallidum ssp. pallidum,

Strain Mexico A, Suggests Recombination between Yaws and Syphilis Strains. *PLoS Negl.*

Trop. Dis. **6**, e1832 (2012).

35. Suchard, M. A. *et al.* Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using

BEAST 1.10. *Virus Evol.* **4**, (2018).

36. Wailan, A. M. *et al.* rPinecone: Define sub-lineages of a clonal expansion via a

phylogenetic tree. *bioRxiv* 404624 (2018). doi:10.1101/404624

37. Stamm, L. V. & Bergen, H. L. A Point Mutation Associated with Bacterial Macrolide

Resistance Is Present in Both 23S rRNA Genes of an Erythromycin-Resistant Treponema

pallidum Clinical Isolate. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **44**, 806–807 (2000).

38. Matějková, P. *et al.* Macrolide treatment failure in a case of secondary syphilis: a novel

A2059G mutation in the 23S rRNA gene of Treponema pallidum subsp. pallidum. *J. Med.*

Microbiol. **58**, 832–836 (2009).

39. Molini, B. J. *et al.* Macrolide Resistance in Treponema pallidum Correlates With 23S

rDNA Mutations in Recently Isolated Clinical Strains. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **43**, 579–583

(2016).

40. Hunt, M. *et al.* ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from

sequencing reads. *Microb. Genomics* **3**, (2017).

41. Lee, S. Y., Ning, Y. & Fenno, J. C. 23S rRNA point mutation associated with erythromycin

resistance in Treponema denticola. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **207**, 39–42 (2002).

42. Fraser, C. M. *et al.* Complete Genome Sequence of Treponema pallidum, the Syphilis

Spirochete. *Science* **281**, 375–388 (1998).

43. Stamm, L. V. Global Challenge of Antibiotic-Resistant Treponema pallidum. *Antimicrob.*

Agents Chemother. **54**, 583–589 (2010).

44. Cha, J. Y., Ishiwata, A. & Mobashery, S. A Novel β-Lactamase Activity from a Penicillin-

binding Protein of Treponema pallidum and Why Syphilis Is Still Treatable with Penicillin.

J. Biol. Chem. **279**, 14917–14921 (2004).

45. Ng, P. C. & Henikoff, S. SIFT: predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function.

Nucleic Acids Res. **31**, 3812–3814 (2003).

46. Weill, F.-X. *et al.* Genomic history of the seventh pandemic of cholera in Africa. *Science*

358, 785–789 (2017).

47. Hadfield, J. *et al.* Comprehensive global genome dynamics of Chlamydia trachomatis

show ancient diversification followed by contemporary mixing and recent lineage

expansion. *Genome Res.* **27**, 1220–1229 (2017).

48. Roetzer, A. *et al.* Whole Genome Sequencing versus Traditional Genotyping for

Investigation of a Mycobacterium tuberculosis Outbreak: A Longitudinal Molecular

Epidemiological Study. *PLOS Med.* **10**, e1001387 (2013).

49. Strouhal, M. *et al.* Complete genome sequences of two strains of Treponema pallidum

subsp. pertenue from Ghana, Africa: Identical genome sequences in samples isolated

more than 7 years apart. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **11**, e0005894 (2017).

50. WHO. WHO guidelines for the treatment of *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis). (2016).

51. Centers for Disease Control. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2015.

Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. **64**, (2015).

52. Marra, C. M. *et al.* Antibiotic Selection May Contribute to Increases in Macrolide-

Resistant Treponema pallidum. *J. Infect. Dis.* **194**, 1771–1773 (2006).

53. Hicks, L. A., Taylor, T. H. & Hunkler, R. J. U.S. Outpatient Antibiotic Prescribing, 2010. *N.*

Engl. J. Med. **368**, 1461–1462 (2013).

54. Kong, F. Y. S. *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of a single 1g dose of azithromycin in rectal tissue

in men. *PLOS ONE* **12**, e0174372 (2017).

55. Nurse-Findlay, S. *et al.* Shortages of benzathine penicillin for prevention of mother-to-

child transmission of syphilis: An evaluation from multi-country surveys and stakeholder

interviews. *PLOS Med.* **14**, e1002473 (2017).

56. Lu, H. *et al.* High frequency of the 23S rRNA A2058G mutation of *Treponema pallidum* in

Shanghai is associated with a current strategy for the treatment of syphilis. *Emerg.*

Microbes Infect. **4**, e10 (2015).

57. Taylor, M. *et al.* Revisiting strategies to eliminate mother-to-child transmission of

syphilis. *Lancet Glob. Health* **6**, e26–e28 (2018).

58. WHO. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. (2015).

59. Lukehart, S. A. & Marra, C. M. Isolation and laboratory maintenance of Treponema

pallidum. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **Chapter 12**, Unit 12A.1 (2007).

60. Wood, D. E. & Salzberg, S. L. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification

using exact alignments. *Genome Biol.* **15**, R46 (2014).

61. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

62. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

63. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

arXiv (2013). doi:1303.3997v1 [q-bio.GN]

64. Van der Auwera, G. A. *et al.* From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the

Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma. Ed. Board*

Andreas Baxevanis Al **11**, 11.10.1-11.10.33 (2013).

65. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,

2078–2079 (2009).

66. Croucher, N. J. *et al.* Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant

bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* gku1196 (2014).

doi:10.1093/nar/gku1196

67. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and

Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol.*

Biol. Evol. **32**, 268–274 (2015).

68. Lewis, P. O. A Likelihood Approach to Estimating Phylogeny from Discrete Morphological

Character Data. *Syst. Biol.* **50**, 913–925 (2001).

69. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., Haeseler, A. von & Jermiin, L. S.

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods*

14, 587–589 (2017).

70. Kimura, M. Estimation of evolutionary distances between homologous nucleotide

sequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **78**, 454–458 (1981).

71. Soubrier, J. *et al.* The Influence of Rate Heterogeneity among Sites on the Time

Dependence of Molecular Rates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 3345–3358 (2012).

72. Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., Haeseler, A. von, Minh, B. Q. & Le, V. S. UFBoot2:

Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *bioRxiv* 153916 (2017).

doi:10.1101/153916

73. Minh, B. Q., Nguyen, M. A. T. & von Haeseler, A. Ultrafast Approximation for

Phylogenetic Bootstrap. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 1188–1195 (2013).

74. Pupko, T., Pe, I., Shamir, R. & Graur, D. A Fast Algorithm for Joint Reconstruction of

Ancestral Amino Acid Sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17**, 890–896 (2000).

75. Rambaut, A., Lam, T. T., Max Carvalho, L. & Pybus, O. G. Exploring the temporal

structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). *Virus*

Evol. **2**, (2016).

76. Baele, G. & Lemey, P. Bayesian evolutionary model testing in the phylogenomics era:

matching model complexity with computational efficiency. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1970–

1979 (2013).

77. Baele, G. *et al.* Improving the accuracy of demographic and molecular clock model

comparison while accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 2157–

2167 (2012).

78. Rieux, A. & Khatchikian, C. E. tipdatingbeast: an r package to assist the implementation

of phylogenetic tip-dating tests using beast. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **17**, 608–613

79. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* (R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, 2014).

80. Yu, G., Smith, D., Zhu, H., Guan, Y. & Lam, T. T.-Y. *ggtree: an R package for visualization*

and annotation of phylogenetic tree with different types of meta-data.

81. Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer-Verlag, 2009).

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1 – Root-to-tip regression analysis of tip dates against branch lengths

showing a correlation of 0.443 and R2 of 0.196, providing evidence for temporal signal in

the Maximum Likelihood tree, performed in TempEst using clinically derived genomes from

both Nichols and SS14 lineages. Plots show tip points and linear regression (with standard

error) for full timeline (A) and zoomed in to only include sampled tipdates (B). Each data

point is coloured grey, with darker colours indicating multiple overlapping points.

Supplementary Figure 2. Distribution of macrolide resistance genotype and geographical

origin according to SS14 sub-lineage of recently clinically derived genomes. Four sub-

lineages (one comprising sensitive samples, three comprising predominantly resistant

samples) are geospatially admixed, containing sequences from both North America and

Europe. Three other sub-lineages are composed entirely of samples from Seattle (USA,

North America) including two predominantly macrolide sensitive lineages and one

genotypically resistant lineage, as is one resistant lineage from China (Asia).

Supplementary Figure 3. Bayesian maximum credibility phylogeny of sequences recently

derived from clinical samples with non-synonymous SNPs predicted in penicillin binding

protein genes *pbp1* (TPANIC_0500), *pbp2* (TPANIC_0760), *mrcA* (TPANIC_0705) and *Tp47*

(TPANIC_0574). SNPs are relative to the Nichols reference sequence (NC_021490.2), and

blue indicates a SNP is present. SIFT predictions of functional impact are shown for all genes

except *Tp47*, where scores below 0.05 (coloured red) indicate a likely functional impact of

the amino acid change; variants above this threshold but below 0.1 are indicated in orange.

Many SNPs are conserved by lineage, with some isolated *de novo* mutation. Within *mrcA*,

amino acid position A506 is targeted by three independent non-synonymous SNPs.

Supplementary Figure 4. Tip date resampling analysis performed using twenty datasets with

randomised tip dates generated from the original Strict Clock analysis and run in BEAST

under the same conditions. Median clock rate for the real tree was 2.26×10^{-7}, whilst all

randomly assigned datasets gave substantially lower clock rates, with the highest median

clock rate obtained at 1.90 x 10^{-12}. This indicates that the temporal signal observed in our

tree was not obtained by chance, and provides further evidence for a temporal signal in the

multiple sequence alignment.

Supplementary Table 1. Full sample metadata (Excel Sheet) for this study, including

sequence naming used in this paper, in other publications, and on GenBank, ENA Accessions

for all new genomes, as well as results of lineage and sub-lineage typing and inference of

genotypic macrolide resistance.

Supplementary Table 2. List of genomic regions behaving in a non-clocklike manner and

masked due to hypervariable, recombining or repetitive elements.

Supplementary File 1. Rnotebook (HTML format) containing all downstream code used to

generate primary figures and statistics.