

1 **Inoculation with *Pisolithus tinctorius* may ameliorate acid rain impacts on soil**
2
3 **microbial communities associated with *Pinus massoniana* seedlings**
4
5
6
7

8
9 Running Head:

10
11 **EM inoculation and acid rain on soil abiotic and biotic conditions.**
12
13
14
15
16

17 Mia R. Maltz^{*1,3}, Zhan Chen^{*†2}, Jixin Cao², Keshav Arogyaswamy¹, Hannah Shulman¹,

18
19
20 Emma L. Aronson^{1,3}
21

22 ¹ Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, *University of California, Riverside,*
23
24 *California, United States of America*
25
26

27 ² Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment of State Forestry Administration, *Institute*
28 *of Forest Ecology, Environment, and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing,*
29
30 *China*
31
32
33

34 ³ Center for Conservation Biology, *University of California, Riverside, California, United*
35
36 *States of America*
37
38

39 Co-first Authors, denotes equal author contributions
40
41

42 †Corresponding Author: Zhan Chen (chenzhan0508@126.com)
43
44

45 Please direct email correspondence to: maltz@ucr.edu (MM), in care of Zhan Chen;
46
47

48 Telephone: 707-478-3921 (MM); Website: <http://sites.uci.edu/maltz/> (MM)
49
50

51 Submitted for publication in *Fungal Ecology* in 2018
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Abstract**
2
3

4 Human activities accelerate acidification, particularly as acid rain, which may
5
6 have lasting impacts on soil abiotic and biotic parameters. However, the effects of
7
8 acidification on aboveground vegetation, belowground communities, and carbon
9
10 cycling remains unresolved. We examined the effects of long-term acidic treatments
11
12 and *Pisolithus* inoculation on plants, soils, and microbial communities in pine
13
14 plantations and found that exposure to severely-acidic treatments diminished plant
15
16 performance, altered microbial communities, and decreased organic matter, nitrate,
17
18 and available phosphorus. Although we did not detect any benefits of *Pisolithus*
19
20 inoculation for *Pinus* seedlings impacted by severely-acidic treatments, when these
21
22 severe treatments were inoculated with *Pisolithus*, both soil properties and microbial
23
24 community composition shifted. We posit that inoculation with *Pisolithus* may
25
26 alleviate stressful environmental conditions, and change the structure of mycorrhizal
27
28 fungal communities. Although acidification may alter biogeochemical cycles and
29
30 constrain aboveground and belowground communities, *Pisolithus* inoculation may
31
32 provide benefits to some components of forested ecosystems.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Index descriptors:**
50

51 Ectomycorrhizal, Inoculation, Acidification, Biogeochemical cycling, Microbial
52
53 Communities, Fungal Community Composition, *Pinus massoniana*, *Pisolithus*.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

1
2
3
4 Microbes play a critical role in biogeochemical cycling as regulators of
5
6 decomposition, plant-microbe interactions, and nutrient transformations (van der
7
8 Heijden et al. 2008). However, microbial processes are threatened by human activities
9
10 and industrial inputs to ecosystems, such as sulfur (S) and nitrogen (N) deposition,
11
12 which result in landscape degradation and biodiversity loss (Bobbink et al. 2010, Allen
13
14 et al. 2016). Industrial inputs can acidify soils, further threatening microbial processes
15
16 and ecosystem functions. Accelerated acidification in forest soils via acid deposition
17
18 from anthropogenic sources may have lasting impacts on soil physicochemical
19
20 properties and biotic communities. For example, deposition from industrial emissions
21
22 on forests in Europe (Jandl et al. 2012) and North America (Reininger et al. 2011) have
23
24 been linked to long-lasting disruptions in biotic communities and biogeochemical
25
26 cycling (Likens et al. 1996). However, the long-term effects and the degree to which
27
28 industrial inputs leading to soil acidification impacts belowground carbon cycling via
29
30 shifts in plant and soil microbial community composition in terrestrial ecosystems is
31
32 not yet resolved.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 While variable, acid rain generally reduces plant productivity by damaging foliar
47
48 tissue (Neufeld et al. 1985), reducing biomass (Raschke 1975), diminishing resource
49
50 availability to plants (Bååth & Arnebrandt 1994), and disrupting essential physiological
51
52 processes including stomatal functioning (Zieger et al. 1983) and chlorophyll
53
54 production (Odiyi and Bamidele 2013). Acid deposition dissolves nutrients and
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 divalent cations, such as magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) (Berger et al. 2016, Leys et
2
3
4 al. 2016, Türtcher et al. 2017), while facilitating aluminum (Al) release which could
5
6 reduce plant root growth and inhibit plant nutrient uptake (Burnham et al. 2017). By
7
8 stripping soils of nutrients, hindering soils' capacity to buffer plants from toxic
9
10 substances, and physically harming aboveground vegetation, acid deposition can
11
12 reduce plant productivity through malnourishment, dehydration (Macaulay et al. 2015),
13
14 or toxicity.

15
16 By constraining plant productivity, acidification could indirectly alter the
17
18 composition of fungal communities and hamper soil microbial processes, with
19
20 implications for organic carbon storage in forested ecosystems (Kemmitt et al. 2006,
21
22 Janssens et al. 2010, Oulehle et al. 2011). Even though the consequences of these inputs
23
24 on soil organic matter (SOM) content have been inconsistent (Averill & Waring 2017,
25
26 Liu et al. 2011, Mack et al. 2004), reductions in microbial CO₂ efflux and soil organic
27
28 carbon turnover associated with soil acidification could lead to SOM accumulation
29
30 (Tonneijic et al. 2010) by inhibiting decomposition of woody debris (Ferreira et al.
31
32 2017), and by influencing microbial physiology (Chen et al. 2016), growth (Rousk et al.
33
34 2010), and activity (Anderson & Domsch 1993).

35
36 Through damaging plant communities, acidification in soil could also interfere
37
38 with the functioning of plant symbionts, such as mycorrhizal fungi (Carrino-Kyker et
39
40 al. 2016). Ectomycorrhizal fungi (EM) play essential roles in forested ecosystems
41
42 (McNear Jr. 2013), such as soil exploration and mineral weathering, and by acting as a
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 link between aboveground and belowground components of biogeochemical cycles
2
3
4 (Treseder & Allen 2000). A subset of EM fungi produces extracellular enzymes (Feng
5
6 1993, Bodeker et al. 2009), which amplify the bioavailability of rhizosphere nutrients
7
8
9 (Courty et al. 2005, 2010a, Talbot et al. 2015). Because EM fungi obtain a majority of
10
11 their C from live plants, mycorrhizal biomass and resource allocation patterns are
12
13 largely reliant on the vitality of plant foliage. Previous studies have shown that
14
15 acidifying pollutants extensively damage forest tree roots and EM fungi (Sobotka 1964,
16
17 Stroo and Alexander 1985), via increased metal toxicity or foliar deterioration. These
18
19 effects likely limit photosynthetic capacity, carbohydrate flux to roots, and mycorrhizal
20
21 function (Dighton & Jansen 1991). As soil pH plays an important role in regulating EM
22
23 turnover (Glassman et al. 2017, Molina & Trappe 1982), acid-induced changes in soil
24
25 chemistry can also directly alter EM community structure (Markkola & Ohtonen 1998),
26
27 diversity (Kluber et al. 2012), and hyphal length (Dighton & Skeffington 1987).
28
29 Although EM fungi aid their host plants in efficiently acquiring nutrients (Nara et al.
30
31 2003, Qu et al. 2010, Chorianopoulou et al. 2015), acidic inputs that reduce plant
32
33 diversity (Bobbink et al. 2010) or alter plant performance may also indirectly reduce
34
35 ectomycorrhizal growth and EM root colonization (Arnolds 1988, Wallenda & Kottke
36
37 1998).

38
39 Along with EM fungal communities and functions, other microbial groups may
40
41 also be sensitive to the effects of acidification. The diversity and relative abundances
42
43 of some bacterial taxa, such as *Acidobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Bacterioidetes*,
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 change predictably across soil acidity gradients (Jones et al. 2009). Although a
2
3
4 number of bacterial taxa may be excluded from acidic environments, such as
5
6 acid-impacted lakes, several bacterial taxa, including Alphaproteobacteria may thrive
7
8
9 at low pH (Percent et al. 2008). Previous studies have shown that pH is an accurate
10
11
12 predictor of soil bacterial community structure across large spatial scales (Lauber et
13
14
15 al. 2009, Landesman et al. 2014). In their biogeographic survey across the Western
16
17
18 Hemisphere, Fierer and Jackson (2006), found that the most acidic soils in the
19
20
21 Peruvian Amazon had lowest bacterial diversity. In a biogeographic assessment
22
23
24 across Great Britain, Griffiths et al. (2011) similarly showed a positive relationship
25
26
27 between alpha diversity and soil pH; conversely, in the same study, beta diversity was
28
29
30 greatest in acidic soils.

31
32 Saprotrophic fungal communities may differ as soil and plant-litter chemistries
33
34
35 change (Prewitt et al. 2014). Surveys of macrofungal fruitbodies showed that ratios of
36
37
38 saprotrophic to mycorrhizal fungi tend to increase when forests decline in
39
40
41 productivity (Fellner & Pešková 1995). Factors that reduce forest productivity could
42
43
44 either reduce the relative abundance of mycorrhizal fungi or increase the percentage
45
46
47 of decomposer fungi in the fungal community, with implications for forest nutrient
48
49
50 cycling.

51
52 As ecosystems recover from long-term effects of acidification, both aboveground
53
54
55 and belowground components of ecosystems may benefit from active mycorrhizal
56
57
58 restoration via EM inoculation to augment revegetation efforts (Leake 2001).
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Inoculation with EM fungi may improve tree performance and promote ecosystem
2
3 recovery from long-term acidification. Some EM, such as *Pisolithus*, grow well in
4
5 acidic environments, like acidic strip mine sites (Hendrix et al. 1985, Liang et al.1999,
6
7 Baroglio et al. 2000). Mycorrhizal fungi may not only assist host trees in acquiring
8
9 macronutrients (Virant-Klan & Gogala 1995, Chuyong et al. 2000), but may also buffer
10
11 plants from the effects of acid rain. However, little is known about the levels of soil
12
13 acidity which effectively diminish the capacity of EM fungi to associate with or provide
14
15 benefits to their plant hosts. Although belowground communities may predictably
16
17 respond to acidic inputs, direct restoration of soil microbes and plant-associated fungi
18
19 may foster ecosystem succession towards alternative stable states within recently
20
21 restored systems (Lewontin 1969, Rustad et al. 1996, Bardhan et al. 2012). An explicit
22
23 consideration of both fungal and bacterial communities may provide valuable metrics
24
25 for directing conservation efforts and assessing soil ecosystem recovery from
26
27 acidification in forested ecosystems (Baldrian et al. 2012).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Acid rain inputs and soil acidification are major concerns in Asia (Zhao et al. 2009,
42
43 Yang et al. 2012). China is a prime example of acidification because most ecosystems
44
45 in southern China receive large quantities of acidic inputs (Zhang et al. 2012). In
46
47 southern China, acid rain has detrimentally affected plant and soil traits (e.g., Fan &
48
49 Wang 2000, Wang et al. 2009, Zhang et al. 2007, Lv et al. 2014). Yet, little is known
50
51 about the long-term impacts of acid rain on soil microbial communities and
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 biogeochemical cycling in forested ecosystems, or whether microbial inoculation
2
3 ameliorates these effects on Chinese forests.
4

5
6 *Pinus massoniana* is a widespread native pine species in central and southern
7
8
9 China. To compensate for the loss of natural forests in southern China, *P. massoniana*
10
11 is commonly grown in pine plantations. This tree forms EM associations with a number
12
13 of EM fungal taxa. However, *P. massoniana* is sensitive to acid rain (Yu et al. 2017)
14
15 and may benefit from strategies lessening the impact of acidification on pine forests.
16
17
18 Our previous experiments in southern China showed that inoculation with the EM
19
20
21 taxon *Pisolithus tinctorius* may ameliorate the effects of acidification and improve the
22
23
24 growth of *Pinus massoniana* seedlings under different acid rain treatments (Chen et al.
25
26
27
28
29 2013, Chen & Shang 2014).
30
31

32
33 To examine the long-term effects of acid rain treatments on plant and soil
34
35 properties and microbial communities and to evaluate the extent to which these effects
36
37
38 are ameliorated by ectomycorrhizal inoculation in forested ecosystems in southern
39
40
41 China, we experimentally addressed the following questions: (1) Does acid rain have
42
43
44 inhibitory effects on plant, soil, and microbial communities? And (2), does active
45
46
47 mycorrhizal restoration increase plant growth, improve soil properties, and promote
48
49
50 microbial diversity following protracted acid rain exposure? To approach these
51
52
53 questions, we first investigated the effects of acidic treatments on plant performance in
54
55
56 a forested ecosystem in southern China by evaluating soil properties, nutrient
57
58
59 concentrations, and soil organic matter in both acid-treated and inoculated treatment
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 plots. Next, we examined fungal and bacterial communities to determine whether
2
3
4 *Pisolithus* inoculation ameliorated the effects of acid treatments on soil microbial
5
6 communities and plant hosts. We hypothesized that in acidic treated *P. massoniana*
7
8 plots (1) plant performance would decline, (2) both soil nutrients and soil organic
9
10 matter would decrease, and (3) soil microbial communities would be altered. If
11
12 acidification reduces the abundance or functioning of EM fungi, then *P. massoniana*
13
14 seedlings may struggle to persist in pine plantations without fungal mutualists.
15
16 Therefore, we hypothesized that (4) inoculation with *Pisolithus* would facilitate better
17
18 tree growth and resilience than acid-treated trees without *Pisolithus*, along with
19
20 associated changes to soil properties, biogeochemical cycling, and microbial
21
22 communities in acid-treated *Pisolithus* inoculated plots.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Materials and Methods**

33 **Study system**

34
35
36
37
38 We investigated the effects of acidic treatments on plants, soils, and microbial
39
40 communities in experimental plots planted with *Pinus massoniana* in Changsha
41
42 County, Hunan Province (N28°26'9.13" E113°11'39.26"). The mean annual
43
44 temperature is 17.2°C and mean annual precipitation at our study site is 1422.4 mm.
45
46 This location is frequently exposed to acidic precipitation, with a mean annual pH of
47
48 precipitation of 5.02 (Environmental Quality of Hunan Province in 2016 by
49
50 Environmental Protection Department of Hunan, hnhbt.hunan.gov.cn). Prior to
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 treatment, the pH of field soils at our experimental site were 5.73 ± 0.13 (Table 1,
2
3 Supplemental Table SI1).
4
5

6
7
8 Our experimental plots were located within a cleared field which was previously
9
10 cultivated, but allowed to lay fallow for four years prior to our study. Prior to initiating
11
12 our experimental treatments, in March 2015 we analyzed baseline soil nutrients within
13
14 our field plots and found that soils contained total N of 0.13 g kg^{-1} , Olsen –
15
16
17
18
19 phosphorus (P) of 28.59 mg kg^{-1} , and an available potassium (K) of 31.5 mg kg^{-1} .
20
21

22 Using a total organic carbon (TOC) analyzer, we found that soil organic matter was
23
24
25 20.28 g kg^{-1} . We constructed eighteen 6 m^2 experimental plots; each plot was covered
26
27
28 with a canopy, which extended 5 m above the plots. This canopy enclosed our
29
30
31 experimental plots, thus preventing natural precipitation from directly reaching soil
32
33
34 surfaces. Outside of each treatment plot, we left an untreated 0.5 m – 0.6 m gap
35
36
37 surrounding each edge of each treatment plot.
38
39
40

41 *Inoculation treatment*

42
43
44

45 In March 2013, *Pinus massoniana* seedlings were inoculated with either
46
47
48 *Pisolithus tinctorius* EM fungal inoculum (*Pisolithus tinctorus* [Pers.] Coker et Couch;
49
50
51 Sclerodermatacea) or sterilized *P. tinctorius* material. *Pisolithus tinctorius* was isolated
52
53
54 from Jiangxi Province and cultured in vermiculite and peat. We autoclaved *P.*
55
56
57 *tinctorius* material for one hour at 0.11MPa pressure and 121°C to eliminate any viable
58
59
60 *Pisolithus tinctorus* spores or hyphal fragments in the sterilized material.
61
62
63
64
65

1 Both inoculated and uninoculated *P. massoniana* seedlings were grown in natural
2
3 light under a canopy of transparent film, and watered, as needed, for two years prior to
4
5
6 outplanting. In March 2015, 30 inoculated *P. massoniana* or 30 *P. massoniana*
7
8
9 uninoculated seedlings (with autoclaved inoculum added) were planted in each
10
11
12 experimental plot. Seedlings were maintained in our experimental plots for 20
13
14
15 months.

16 17 18 19 *Acidic treatment*

20
21
22
23
24 Beneath the constructed canopy, we treated soils in our experimental plots with
25
26 solutions consisting of either a moderately-acidic (pH 4.5) solution or a
27
28 severely-acidic (pH 3.5) solution, or an ambient treatment (pH 5.6); ambient
29
30 treatments received solutions under the same constructed canopy. Each experimental
31
32 treatment plot was replicated three times per treatment combination, totaling 18
33
34
35 experimental plots. To achieve pH treatment solutions, deionized water was treated
36
37
38 with an acidic solution composed of sulfuric acid ($\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) and nitric acid (HNO_3^-), to
39
40
41 lower the pH of the solution to either pH 4.5 (moderately-acidic) or pH 3.5
42
43
44 (severely-acidic), or for ambient solutions to reach a pH of 5.6. No additional
45
46
47 nutrients were added to our solutions. Each *P. massoniana* seedling, including those in
48
49
50 ambient treatments, received 6.7 L of solution per week, which corresponded to 1.1 L
51
52
53 m^{-2} per week, for 20 months. Ambient treatments received the same solutions as the
54
55
56 severely and moderately-acidic treatments, except the pH of ambient treatments was
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 5.6, which was more basic than the mean annual pH of precipitation in the region. The
2
3 mole ratio of SO_4^{2-} to NO_3^- in the acidic solutions was 4:1. Other ion concentrations in
4
5 the solutions were NH_4^+ - 2.67 mg L⁻¹, Ca^{2+} - 3.37 mg L⁻¹, Mg^{2+} - 0.33 mg L⁻¹, Cl^- -14
6
7 mg L⁻¹, K^+ - 0.79 mg L⁻¹, Na^+ - 0.36 mg L⁻¹, F^- - 0.39 mg L⁻¹. In addition to spraying
8
9 treatment solutions on seedlings in experimental plots, plots were consistently
10
11 watered with local groundwater, pH 6.2, up to several times weekly, as needed,
12
13 throughout the duration of the experiment to make up for the deficit between the
14
15 amount of treatment water under the canopy and the ambient rainfall. Amounts
16
17 applied to plots varied by ambient seasonal precipitation patterns to eliminate drought
18
19 stress, and did not vary by treatment or plot.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Sample collection**

31
32
33
34
35 Plants and soil samples were collected in both November 2015 and November
36
37 2016, after 8 and 20 months, respectively. Three randomly selected seedlings were
38
39 destructively sampled per experimental plot, and plant height, diameter, and fresh
40
41 weight biomass was recorded from each sample. Plant samples were then oven dried
42
43 at 60 °C for 48 h, and weighed on an analytical balance to determine the dry weight
44
45 biomass. We recorded total plant biomass, aboveground biomass, belowground
46
47 biomass, and needle weights from each individual, as well as soil properties (SI Table
48
49 2-SI Table 3).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Three soil cores (20 cm x 3.4 cm) were randomly collected from within the drip
2
3 line (under the canopy) of treated plants within each plot. Each sample was
4
5 homogenized and subsequently used to analyze soil properties. Soil subsamples (100 g
6
7 per subsample) were immediately frozen on dry ice for transport back to the laboratory,
8
9 and stored at -80 °C for molecular analyses of microbial communities. We weighed
10
11 0.25 g aliquots of each frozen soil sample in triplicate to assay for microbial
12
13 community analyses. Next, we extracted DNA from these soil aliquots and targeted
14
15 hypervariable portions of both the V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene and
16
17 the fungal internal transcribed spacer (fungal ITS1) for Polymerase chain reactions
18
19 (PCR) amplification. We purified and quantified our PCR products, prior to pooling
20
21 in equimolar concentrations for Illumina Miseq sequencing (Illumina Incorporated,
22
23 California; SI Molecular Methods).

40 **Statistical analysis**

41 To test our hypothesis that plant performance would decline in acidic treated *P.*
42
43 *massoniana* plots, we performed a series of analyses of variance (ANOVAs) with
44
45 acidic solution treatment as the independent variable and plant height or plant biomass
46
47 as the dependent variables. To address our second hypothesis, we examined the
48
49 response of soil organic matter and soil nutrients to acidic treatments by performing
50
51 response ratios. In each of these cases, the independent variable was acid treatment
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 level, and the dependent variable was soil organic matter or soil nutrients. The ratio of
2
3
4 the values for each treatment combination was \log_2 -transformed to provide a response
5
6 factor. To disentangle the direct effects of acid treatments on plants with the indirect
7
8 effects of acid treatment on mycorrhizal fungi, we measured the effect of *Pisolithus*
9
10 inoculation on *P. massoniana*. Where indicated, Tukey post-hoc tests for pairwise
11
12 comparisons were applied.
13
14
15
16
17

18 We constructed a linear model to address our third and fourth hypotheses that
19
20 acid treatments would alter the microbial community and *Pisolithus* inoculation
21
22 promotes plant, soil, and microbial recovery. For examining microbial and fungal
23
24 community shifts and to determine the relationship between fungal or microbial
25
26 community composition and acidic treatments, we used a PERMANOVA analysis of
27
28 microbial community data using Bray–Curtis dissimilarity and the adonis function in
29
30 the vegan package of R (Anderson et al. 2008; Oksanen et al. 2012). In this model, we
31
32 investigated the relationship between fungal and microbial community composition
33
34 and inoculation in both 2015 and 2016. In order to account for plot to plot variation,
35
36 we used 'strata' in adonis2 to restrict permutations solely within our spatial variable
37
38 (i.e., row proximity to the Jingouba River). We examined how fungal and bacterial
39
40 community composition shifted in relation to soil nutrients and physicochemical
41
42 properties, including the ratio of divalent cations to total free Al, by including these
43
44 environmental variables in our PERMANOVA analyses.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Principal coordinate analyses (PCoA) plots with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metrics
2
3 were used to visualize fungal and bacterial community composition, and to determine
4
5 whether inoculation was related to microbial community composition or response to
6
7 acidic treatments. We bioinformatically examined differences in fungal functional
8
9 groups, trophic levels, and guilds through the use of the FUNguild database (Nguyen
10
11 et al. 2016) and conducted a series of general linear models to examine shifts in
12
13 fungal functional groups among our treatment groups. Significant shifts in microbial
14
15 community composition, increases in soil organic matter, or increased similarity to
16
17 ambient treatments along with inoculation would suggest that microbial community
18
19 responses may be related to recovery from the long-term effects of acidification.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

30 Results

31 *Plant performance*

32
33
34
35
36
37 After two years of treatments, shoot heights and biomass of severely-acid treated
38
39 plants were lower than untreated plants ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Specifically, we observed
40
41 that both dried stem biomass and dried needle biomass were significantly lower in
42
43 plants from severely-acidic treated plots ($p < 0.05$). Moderately-acidic treatments had no
44
45 effect on plant growth ($p > 0.05$). Uninoculated severely-acid treated plants were
46
47 shorter, had lower stem and needle biomass, and had significantly narrower diameter
48
49 than uninoculated ambient seedlings ($p < 0.05$). Inoculation with *Pisolithus* increased
50
51 plant diameter and dried stem biomass ($p < 0.05$), but only in the moderately-acidic
52
53 treatments.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Soil nutrients

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Soil organic matter content in severely-acid treated plots was significantly lower in uninoculated treatments than in all other treatments ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 1). However, when severely-acid treated plots were inoculated with *Pisolithus*, SOM increased to levels equivalent to ambient plots. Although severely-acid treated plots had lower available P, K, $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$, and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ than ambient plots, the inoculated plots treated with severely-acidic treatments had significantly higher P, K, $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$, and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ than the uninoculated plots treated with the same severely-acidic solution (SI Table 1, Figs 2-4).

Microbial communities

When controlling for the effect of plot location by restricting our PERMANOVA analyses by proximity to the Jingouba River (our spatial variable) to account for any spatial heterogeneity in our study site, we detected significant main effects of acidic treatment solution and inoculation for fungal communities. We found that variation in fungal communities was determined by acidic treatment solution in both 2015 ($p = 0.003$) and 2016 ($p < 0.001$), and inoculation in 2015 ($p = 0.009$) and 2016 ($p = 0.008$). Also for fungal communities, we detected significant interactions between pH of treatment solution and inoculation in 2015 ($p = 0.017$) and in 2016 ($p < 0.001$). For bacterial communities, we determined that there were significant main effects of acidic treatments in both 2015 ($p = 0.017$) and 2016 ($p = 0.049$) and *Pisolithus* inoculation in 2015 ($p = 0.009$) and 2016 ($p = 0.031$), as well as significant interactions among acidic treatment and inoculation in 2015 ($p < 0.001$) and 2016 ($p < 0.001$).

1 After two years, fungal and microbial communities differed by acidic
2
3 treatments (Fig 5 and 6), with distinct fungal communities from each treatment
4
5 combination. Differences in fungal communities were more pronounced in 2016,
6
7 which represented the longest duration of time, than they were in 2015. The PCoA
8
9 Axis 2 in both 2015 and 2016 represented ~ 17% of the variation in fungal
10
11 communities. PCoA Axis 1 in 2015 represented 51.5% and Axis 1 in 2016
12
13 represented 22.6 % of the variation in fungal communities (Fig 4). No sequences from
14
15 *Pisolithus* were detected in any of the treatments with autoclaved *Pisolithus* added.
16
17
18 Fungal communities in *Pisolithus* inoculated treatments were significantly different
19
20 than treatment groups with autoclaved *Pisolithus* added ($p < 0.001$).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 When we evaluated the effects of the ratio of divalent cations (Ca + Mg) to the
30
31 total free Al concentrations on fungal community composition, we found that this
32
33 ratio was related to fungal community composition in both 2015 ($p=0.049$) and 2016
34
35 ($p = 0.001$). For bacterial communities, we did not detect any significant effect of the
36
37 ratio of divalent cations to the total free Al concentrations in 2015 ($p=0.103$) or for
38
39 2016 ($p= 0.1449$). However, in 2015 we detected a significant interaction between the
40
41 pH of the treatment solutions and this ratio on bacterial communities ($p= 0.001$).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The PCoA Axis 1 in 2015 represented 37.78% of the variation in bacterial
50
51 communities, while PCoA Axis 1 in 2016 represented 19.59%; Axis 2 in 2015
52
53 represented 17.07 %, and Axis 2 in 2016 represented 10.7% of the variation in
54
55 bacterial communities (Fig 5). The most abundant bacterial order was
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Xanthomonadales, followed by Sphingobacteriales. In plots treated with severely-acid
2
3 solutions, we found a greater relative abundance of Rhodocyclales and Clostridiales
4
5 within the bacterial communities, as compared to the abundance of those particular
6
7 orders found in plots treated with more moderate and less acidic treatment solutions.
8
9

10
11
12 Fungal functional groups varied in our treatment plots. There were greater
13
14 numbers of fungal pathogens in plots inoculated with *Pisolithus*, then were found in
15
16 uninoculated plots ($p=0.015$). Additionally, we detected greater saprotrophic fungal
17
18 richness in plots treated with either moderately-acidic solutions ($p=0.016$) or
19
20 severely-acidic solutions ($p=0.030$), than in ambient treatments plots. We found that
21
22 acidic-solution treatment significantly affected the diversity of mycorrhizal fungal
23
24 taxa ($p<0.001$), as did *Pisolithus* inoculation ($p=0.047$). We detected significant
25
26 interactions between acidic-solution treatment and inoculation ($p<0.001$). We found
27
28 that the ratio of divalent cations (Ca + Mg) to total free Al concentrations affected
29
30 mycorrhizal fungal community composition ($p=0.037$), along with significant
31
32 interactions between pH and this ratio on mycorrhizal fungal community composition
33
34 ($p=0.006$), and a significant interaction among this ratio and *Pisolithus* inoculation on
35
36 mycorrhizal communities ($p=0.049$).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The ectomycorrhizal taxon *Cenococcum* was found to dominate
50
51 severely-acidic treatments inoculated with *Pisolithus*, and was barely detectable in the
52
53 moderately-acidic treated or ambient treatments. In fact, *Cenococcum* was ~ 200x
54
55 more abundant in severely acidic inoculated treatment plants, than in all other
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 treatments combined. Some EM fungal taxa, such as *Tricholoma* were only found in
2
3 severely-acidic treated plots. While other EM taxa, such as *Russula*, *Sebacina*, and
4
5
6 *Scleroderma* were only found in plots treated with moderately-acidic solutions.
7
8
9 Another EM taxon, *Suillus*, was found only in ambient plots, and was not detected in
10
11
12 either moderately or severely-acidic treatments. Fungal taxa *Amphinema* and
13
14
15 *Archaeorhizomyces* dominated ambient treatment plots, while *Trichophaea* and
16
17
18 *Oidiodendron* were more prevalent in plots treated with severely-acidic solutions than
19
20
21 in other treatment groups.
22
23
24
25

26 **Discussion and Conclusions**

27
28
29 We examined the effects of long-term acidic treatments and mycorrhizal
30
31 inoculation on above- and belowground properties in pine plantations in southern
32
33
34 China. Overall, we found that severely-acidic treatments not only changed nutrient
35
36
37 availability and organic matter content in soils, but also altered bacterial and fungal
38
39
40 communities. Plant growth was inhibited and microbial community composition
41
42
43 shifted, suggesting that long-term exposure to acidic treatments may affect both
44
45
46 above- and belowground components of ecosystems. However, soils from plots
47
48
49 inoculated with *Pisolithus* and exposed to severely-acidic treatments had very
50
51
52 different fungal communities than those exposed to acid with autoclaved *Pisolithus*
53
54
55 added. In the context of restoration, the results from these crossed treatments show
56
57
58 that *Pisolithus* inoculation may alter fungal communities. In particular, inoculation
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 may have elicited shifts towards fungal communities found in less disturbed soils or
2
3 sites less impacted by acidic deposition, which may contain fungi that contribute
4
5 towards stabilizing soil organic matter. Ostensibly, *Pisolithus* tolerates environmental
6
7 stress and may either alleviate stressful conditions or prime soil microbial processes
8
9 (Stroo and Alexander 1984, Silva et al. 2013). Although inoculation may influence
10
11 the responses of microbes and some abiotic components of these terrestrial
12
13 ecosystems to acid rain, benefits of *Pisolithus* inoculation were not evident for plants
14
15 in severely-acidic treatments. Our findings highlight how biogeochemical cycling by
16
17 plants and microbes may be constrained by long-term acidic deposition, but that
18
19 inoculation with plant-associated mycorrhizal fungi may facilitate changes in the
20
21 community structure of this forested ecosystem.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 As terrestrial ecosystems are chronically exposed to acid rain, plants may
33
34 become malnourished or their productivity may be diminished (Abdulaha-Al Baquy *et al.*
35
36 *al.* 2017, Likens *et al.* 1996). Indeed, *Pinus massoniana* had lower needle biomass
37
38 and plants were shorter in severely-acid treated plots, as compared to untreated
39
40 ambient plots. As acidification inhibited plant growth and damaged aboveground
41
42 tissues, it may have also hindered gas exchange and limited plant C sequestration
43
44 (Kemmitt *et al.* 2006, Janssens *et al.* 2010, Oulehle *et al.* 2011). Although inoculation
45
46 increased plant performance in moderately treated plots more than in ambient plots,
47
48 inoculation did not significantly promote plant recovery in severely treated plots.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 While the effects on fungal-plant mutualisms may be context-dependent,
2
3 mycorrhizal associations may be expensive for plants to maintain in stressful
4
5 environments (Jonsson *et al.* 2001, Hoeksema *et al.* 2010). EM fungi often augment
6
7 plant primary production and plants reallocate a portion of this atmospheric C to their
8
9 EM partner for use in building hyphae (Treseder and Holden 2013). However,
10
11 environmental stress, such as strong acid, may hinder this process and halt both plant
12
13 and fungal growth.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 *Pisolithus* is a generalist melanized ectomyorrhizal fungus, which has been
22
23 found to survive in highly acidic environments (Hendrix *et al.* 1985, Silva *et al.* 2013).
24
25 As demonstrated by Fernandez *et al.* (2013) using minirhizotron imaging, fungal
26
27 hyphae from another melanized EM fungal taxon, *Cenococcum geophilum*, may
28
29 persist in the soil longer than non-melanized ectomyorrhizal fungi, as their
30
31 melanin-rich hyphae is resistant to decomposition. Given that *Cenococcum* dominated
32
33 our severely-acidic *Pisolithus* inoculated plots, and was barely detectable in any other
34
35 treatments, the presence and persistence of this taxon may have contributed to our
36
37 observed patterns of SOM accumulation, if these mycorrhizal residues contributed to
38
39 soil C stocks (Clemmensen *et al.* 2013). Therefore, we posit that the prevalence of
40
41 *Cenococcum* may have contributed to the marked increases in SOM found in our
42
43 *Pisolithus* inoculated, severely-acidic treated plots.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 Overall, we found lower SOM, available phosphorus, and nitrate in
56
57 severely-acidic treated plots, possibly because the acid rain treatments modified the
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 existing biogeochemical cycles. Acidic compound treatments may have stimulated
2
3
4 microbes to use older and more recalcitrant carbon from soil organic carbon pools, as
5
6 was found by Waldrop & Firestone (2004), which could contribute to these relatively
7
8 low observations of SOM in severely-acidic, but uninoculated, treated plots. While
9
10 the average acid status of the severely-acidic treated plots did not decrease at the end
11
12 of the study period, the acidic water solution acidic inputs may have quickly and
13
14 repeatedly dissolved nutrients from the soil, as was observed by Andersson *et al.*
15
16 (2015). Because of its essential role in biomolecules and as a micronutrient,
17
18 phosphorus is ubiquitous in soil biomass and critical to all living organisms. Fungal
19
20 diversity has been correlated with higher plant-available phosphorus concentrations
21
22 (Erlandson *et al.* 2016). However, since pH is a strong predictor of changes to fungal
23
24 community composition, acidic stress could alter the fates of P during
25
26 microbially-mediated organic matter turnover. Chemical weathering releases
27
28 orthophosphate into soil solutions, yet, its bioavailability is optimized at neutral pH
29
30 (pH ~ 6.5) when Al and Ca phosphates are both minimized (Sylvia *et al.* 2005).
31
32 Carrino-Kyker *et al.* (2016) found that elevating pH also increased soil P availability,
33
34 while Barrow (1984) demonstrated P losses and reduced bioavailability at acidic pH
35
36 levels.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 The impact of acidic conditions on soil microbiota may have caused the
53
54 variations we observed in nitrate availability. Although nitrate is mobile and readily
55
56 assimilated by plants and microbes, acidic conditions may constrain the growth and
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 activity of nitrifying bacteria (Sylvia et al. 2005). In our study, as the pH treatments
2
3 approached neutral, we observed greater nitrate, available P, and SOM, than in
4
5 severely-acidic treatment plots with autoclaved *Pisolithus* added. In contrast, we
6
7 found no response of ammonia to acidification. Given the important role of
8
9 mycorrhizal fungi in nitrogen cycling and supporting plant N acquisition, factors that
10
11 constrain N cycling bacteria and fungi could influence the productivity of terrestrial
12
13 ecosystems. Moreover, mycorrhizal fungi are sensitive to N availability; in an N
14
15 deposition gradient in Alaska, Lilleskov et al. (2002) suggest that long-term N
16
17 deposition dramatically changes ectomycorrhizal community structure and lead to
18
19 reductions in ectomycorrhizal species richness. When *Pisolithus* inoculation
20
21 treatments were applied to these plots treated with severely-acidic solutions, we
22
23 observed an increase in available P, nitrate, and SOM, which suggests that *Pisolithus*
24
25 may be assisting in biogeochemical cycling. Thus, adding a mycorrhizal fungus like
26
27 *Pisolithus* may buffer soil conditions to promote nutrient retention, foster conditions
28
29 conducive to co-existing taxa, or assist in the recovery of soil physicochemical
30
31 properties in acidic conditions.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 *Soil microbial communities:*

49
50
51 We found that the direct manipulations of simulated rain acidity extended
52
53 beyond the plant community to affect soil microbes. *Pisolithus* inoculation
54
55 significantly altered fungal and bacterial community composition. Further, the
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 interactive effect of acidic treatment and inoculation status altered belowground
2
3 fungal communities in a way that differed from the separate impact of each treatment.
4

5
6 Clemmenson (2015) showed that mycorrhizal functional types may strongly,
7
8 and differentially, influence N immobilization and C sequestration in forested
9
10 ecosystems. Therefore, the functional response of fungal communities to mycorrhizal
11
12 inoculation may feedback to alter nutrient cycling or microbial interactions in
13
14 ecosystems exposed to chronic acidic deposition. These findings highlight the
15
16 potential of *Pisolithus* inoculum to buffer soil conditions for other fungi in ecosystems
17
18 exposed to long-term acidic deposits.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 Our study provides evidence that both acidic treatments and *Pisolithus*
27
28 inoculation affect aboveground and belowground components of ecosystems, as well
29
30 as soil physicochemical properties, yet it has some limitations. For instance, our study
31
32 was conducted within pine plantations in southern China, and thus our interpretations
33
34 are limited to *Pinus massoniana* forested ecosystems and plantations in this region.
35
36 Additionally, although we applied acidic treatments to soils in our treatment plots
37
38 weekly for 20 months, at the end of our study soil pH did not vary predictably across
39
40 treatments. In fact, soil pH was as low in the acidic *Pisolithus* inoculated plots as it
41
42 was in ambient plots with autoclaved *Pisolithus* added. Therefore, protracted
43
44 additions of different levels of acidic solutions may have influenced the plant and
45
46 microbial cycling of nutrients and water differently, which may have altered soil pH
47
48 differently in our inoculated treatment plots, potentially because of stress-response
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 traits associated with *Pisolithus*. Indeed, other studies have shown this fungus to be
2
3 resilient and facilitate the recovery of plants grown in acidic coal spoils (Marx and
4
5 Artman 1979).
6
7

8
9 It is worth noting that there was some inter-annual and plot-to-plot variation in
10
11 soil pH, which in 2016, was significantly correlated with distance from the river. This
12
13 may in turn have contributed to some of the effects and patterns observed in soil
14
15 physicochemical properties or microbial communities throughout the study. However,
16
17 we included distance from river as a factor in our statistical analyses, and did not
18
19 detect effects of distance from river on initial soil pH or in 2015, and we have
20
21 statistically proven differences driven by acidic-solution treatment and inoculation
22
23 with *Pisolithus*.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Additionally, we attempted to prevent drought stress by applying additional
33
34 water throughout the study. However, as the water used in between treatments had a
35
36 normal pH for groundwater in the region (6.2), this may have contributed to the fact
37
38 that the soil throughout the study did not show consistent acidifying effects of
39
40 treatment, despite clear plant and microbial responses to the severely acidic treatment.
41
42
43
44 Yet, weekly application of acidic solution treatments was applied for almost two
45
46 years, which we found to affect both biotic communities and soil physicochemical
47
48 properties. Overall, results from our acidic-solution treatments and inoculation
49
50 manipulation suggest that *Pisolithus* inoculation may play a role in the remediation of
51
52 forested ecosystems though facilitating changes to the mycorrhizal and microbial
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 community, which could increase inputs into soil organic matter pools in chronically
2
3
4 exposed severely-acidic ecosystems.
5

6 Our results provide evidence that soil abiotic and biotic components may be
7
8
9 negatively affected by human-practices that increase acidic deposition, acid rain in
10
11
12 particular. We found less SOM in severely-acidic treated plots; SOM, as illustrated by
13
14
15 Magdoff and Weil (2004), may influence soil aggregate stability or improve plant
16
17
18 growth. *Pisolithus* inoculation promoted both soil abiotic and fungal community
19
20
21 recovery in severely-acidic treatment plots. Fungal community assembly in *Pisolithus*
22
23
24 inoculated plots may include taxa which more efficiently use soil resources or are
25
26
27 more effective at nutrient transfer to their plant hosts. However, severely-acidic inputs
28
29
30 may damage aboveground vegetation and inhibit productivity, limiting C allocation to
31
32
33 their associated EM partners. The resultant unequal bi-directional exchange of
34
35
36 resources could feedback to promote fungal hyphal sloughing and the accumulation of
37
38
39 C-rich fungal residues, which may contribute to our observed increases in soil organic
40
41
42 matter in the *Pisolithus* inoculated severely-acidic treatment plots. As acidification
43
44
45 directly reduces plant performance, it may also indirectly inhibit EM fungal
46
47
48 proliferation.

49 Altogether, our findings suggest that chronic exposure to acidic inputs may
50
51
52 change plant and soil properties and fungal and bacterial communities in exposed
53
54
55 forested ecosystems. Moreover, inoculation with *Pisolithus* may assist in the recovery
56
57
58 of some components of belowground ecosystems. These data have implications for
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 management of acid rain-exposed forested ecosystems. For instance, restoration
2
3 methods that aim to restore soil microbial communities, such as *Pisolithus*
4
5 inoculation, may be especially effective at improving the soil nutrients and soil
6
7 organic content at such acidified sites. This study underscores the importance of both
8
9 reducing acidification in rain systems and maintaining soil microbial communities
10
11
12 when restoring chronically exposed ecosystems.
13
14
15
16
17

18 **Acknowledgements**

19
20 This research was supported by the Public Welfare Project of the National Scientific
21
22 Research Institution (CAFRIFEED201402) in China. We thank D. Jackson, G. Logan,
23
24 B. Pickett, S. Saroa, M. Phillips, and A. Swanson for intellectual feedback and
25
26
27 insightful comments on previous drafts. Moreover, we thank the Center for
28
29 Conservation Biology and M.F. Allen and E.B. Allen for hosting ZC and MM. We are
30
31 grateful for mycorrhizal fungal inoculum and inoculation support from the Study
32
33 Center of Tree Mycorrhizae, from the Chinese Academy of Forestry. ZC was supported
34
35 by the Public Welfare Project of the National Scientific Research Institution
36
37 (CAFYBB2017SY026). EA, MM and KA were supported by the United States National
38
39 Science Foundation (NSF ICER-1541047), EA was further supported by the National
40
41 Science Foundation (NSF BIO-EF-1550920), and MM was supported by funding from
42
43 the Mycological Society of America's Translational Mycology Postdoctoral Award.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2
3 Abdulaha-Al Baquy, M., J.Y. Li, C.Y. Xu, K. Mehmood and R.K. Xu. 2017.
4 Determination of critical pH and Al concentration of acidic Ultisols for wheat and
5 canola crops. *Solid Earth* 8: 149-159. doi:10.5194/se-8-149-2017.
6
7
8 Allen, E.B., L.M. Egerton-Warburton, B.E. Hilbig and J.M. Valliere. 2016. Interactions
9 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, critical loads of nitrogen deposition, and shifts
10 from native to invasive species in a southern California shrubland. *Botany* 94:
11 425-433. doi:10.1139/cjb-2015-0266.
12
13 Anderson, M. J., Gorley, R. N., & Clarke, R. K. (2008). *Permanova+ for Primer:*
14 *Guide to Software and Statistical Methods.*
15
16 Anderson, T.H. and K.H. Domsch. 1993. The metabolic quotient for CO₂ (QCO₂) as a
17 specific activity parameter to assess the effects of environmental-conditions, such
18 as pH, on the microbial biomass of forest soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 25: 393-395.
19 doi:Doi 10.1016/0038-0717(93)90140-7.
20
21 Andersson, K.O., M.K. Tighe, C.N. Guppy, P.J. Milham and T.I. McLaren. 2015.
22 Incremental acidification reveals phosphorus release dynamics in alkaline vertic
23 soils. *Geoderma* 259: 35-44. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.05.001.
24
25 Averill, C. and B. Waring. 2017. Nitrogen limitation of decomposition and decay: how
26 can it occur? *Global Change Biology*.
27
28 Arnolds, E. 1988. The changing macromycete flora in the Netherlands. *T Brit Mycol*
29 *Soc* 90: 391-406.
30
31 Bååth E. and K. Arnebrandt. 1994. Growth-rate and response of bacterial communities
32 to pH in limed and ash treated forest soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 26:
33 995-1001.
34
35 Baldrian, P., M. Kolařík, M. Štursová, J. Kopecký, V. Valášková, T. Větrovský, et al.
36 2012. Active and total microbial communities in forest soil are largely different
37 and highly stratified during decomposition. *The ISME journal* 6: 248.
38
39 Bardhan, S., S. Jose and M.A. Jenkins. 2012. Microbial community diversity and
40 composition across a gradient of soil acidity in spruce–fir forests of the southern
41 Appalachian Mountains. *Appl Soil Ecol* 61: 60-68.
42
43 Baroglio C., F. Bosco and V. Specchia. 1999. Biomass production of the mycorrhizal
44 fungus *Suillus grevillei*: effect of pH and ammonium. *Microbios* 103: 163-177.
45
46 Barrow, N.J. 1984. Modeling the effects of pH on phosphate sorption by soils. *J Soil*
47 *Sci* 35: 283-297.
48
49 Berger, T.W., S. Turtcher, P. Berger and L. Lindebner. 2016. A slight recovery of soils
50 from Acid Rain over the last three decades is not reflected in the macro nutrition of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) at 97 forest stands of the Vienna Woods. *Environ Pollut*
2 216: 624-635. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.06.024.
- 3 Bobbink, R., K. Hicks, J. Galloway, T. Spranger, R. Alkemade, M. Ashmore, et al.
4 2010. Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant
5 diversity: a synthesis. *Ecol Appl* 20: 30-59. doi: 10.1890/08-1140.1.
- 6 Bodeker, I.T.M., C.M.R. Nygren, A.F.S. Taylor, A. Olson and B.D. Lindahl. 2009.
7 Class II peroxidase-encoding genes are present in a phylogenetically wide range of
8 ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Isme J* 3: 1387-1395. doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.77.
- 9 Burnham M B, Cumming J R, Adams M B, et al. Soluble soil aluminum alters the
10 relative uptake of mineral nitrogen forms by six mature temperate broadleaf tree
11 species: possible implications for watershed nitrate retention. *Oecologia*, 2017,
12 185(3):1-11.
- 13 Carrino-Kyker, S.R., L.A. Kluber, S.M. Petersen, K.P. Coyle, C.R. Hewins, J.L.
14 DeForest, et al. 2016. Mycorrhizal fungal communities respond to experimental
15 elevation of soil pH and P availability in temperate hardwood forests. *Fems*
16 *Microbiol Ecol* 92. doi:ARTN fiw02410.1093/femsec/fiw024.
- 17 Chen, D.M., J.J. Li, Z.C. Lan, S.J. Hu and Y.F. Bai. 2016. Soil acidification exerts a
18 greater control on soil respiration than soil nitrogen availability in grasslands
19 subjected to long-term nitrogen enrichment. *Funct Ecol* 30: 658-669.
20 doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12525.
- 21 Chen, Z. and H. Shang. 2014. Effects of ectomycorrhizal fungi on nutrient elements of
22 *Pinus massoniana* seedlings under simulated acid rain. *Scientia Silvae Sinicae* 50:
23 156-163.
- 24 Chen, Z., L. Wang and H. Shang. 2013. Effects of ectomycorrhizal fungi (*Pisolithus*
25 *tinctorius* (Pers., Coker & Couch) on the biomass of masson pine (*Pinus*
26 *massoniana*) seedlings under simulated acid rain. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 33:
27 6526-6533.
- 28 Chorianopoulou, S.N., Y.I. Saridis, M. Dimou, P. Katinakis and D.L. Bouranis. 2015.
29 Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis alters the expression patterns of three key iron
30 homeostasis genes, ZmNAS1, ZmNAS3, and ZmYS1, in S deprived maize plants.
31 *Frontiers in plant science* 6.
- 32 Chuyong, G., D. Newbery and N. Songwe. 2000. Litter nutrients and retranslocation in
33 a central African rain forest dominated by ectomycorrhizal trees. *New Phytol* 148:
34 493-510.
- 35 Clemmensen, K.E., A. Bahr, O. Ovaskainen, A. Dahlberg, A. Ekblad, H. Wallander, et
36 al. 2013. Roots and associated fungi drive long-term carbon sequestration in boreal
37 forest. *Science* 339: 1615-1618. doi:10.1126/science.1231923.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Clemmensen, K.E., R.D. Finlay, A. Dahlberg, J. Stenlid, D.A. Wardle and B.D. Lindahl. 2015. Carbon sequestration is related to mycorrhizal fungal community shifts during long-term succession in boreal forests. *New Phytol* 205: 1525-1536. doi:10.1111/nph.13208.
- Courty, P.E., A. Franc and J. Garbaye. 2010a. Temporal and functional pattern of secreted enzyme activities in an ectomycorrhizal community. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42: 2022-2025. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.014.
- Courty, P.E., K. Pritsch, M. Schloter, A. Hartmann and J. Garbaye. 2005. Activity profiling of ectomycorrhiza communities in two forest soils using multiple enzymatic tests. *New Phytol* 167: 309-319. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01401.x.
- Dighton, J. and A.E. Jansen. 1991. Atmospheric pollutants and ectomycorrhizae - More questions than answers. *Environ Pollut* 73: 179-204. doi: 10.1016/0269-7491(91)90049-3.
- Dighton, J. and R.A. Skeffington. 1987. Effects of artificial acid precipitation on the mycorrhizas of scots pine-seedlings. *New Phytol* 107: 191-202. doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04893.x.
- Erlanson, S.R., J.A. Savage, J.M. Cavender-Bares and K.G. Peay. 2016. Soil moisture and chemistry influence diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungal communities associating with willow along an hydrologic gradient. *Fems Microbiol Ecol* 92. doi:ARTN fiv14810.1093/femsec/fiv148.
- Fan, H.B. and Y.H. Wang. 2000. Effects of simulated acid rain on germination, foliar damage, chlorophyll contents and seedling growth of five hardwood species growing in China. *Forest Ecol Manag* 126: 321-329.
- Fellner, R. and V. Pešková. 1995. Effects of industrial pollutants on ectomycorrhizal relationships in temperate forests. *Can J Bot* 73: S1310-S1315.
- Feng, Z.W. 1993. Effects of acid rain on ecosystem. China Science and Technology Press.
- Fernandez, C.W., L. McCormack, J.M. Hill, S.G. Pritchard, R.T. Koide. 2013. On the persistence of *Cenocossum geophilum* ectomycorrhizas and its implications for forest carbon and nutrient cycles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 65: 141-143.
- Ferreira V, Guérold F. Leaf litter decomposition as a bioassessment tool of acidification effects in streams: Evidence from a field study and meta-analysis. *Ecological Indicators*, 2017, 79:382–390.
- Fierer, N. and R.B. Jackson. 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 103: 626-631.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Glassman, S.I., I.J. Wang and T.D. Bruns. 2017. Environmental filtering by pH and soil nutrients drives community assembly in fungi at fine spatial scales. *Molecular Ecology*: 1-14. doi: 10.1111/mec.14414
- Griffiths, R.I., B.C. Thomson, P. James, T. Bell, M. Bailey and A.S. Whiteley. 2011. The bacterial biogeography of British soils. *Environ Microbiol* 13: 1642-1654. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02480.x.
- Hendrix, JW, CS Hunt and DM Maronek. 1985. Relationship between the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Pisolithus tinctorius* associated with Loblolly Pine and acid-generating *Thiobacillus* spp on an acidic strip mine site. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 3: 878-879.
- Hoeksema, J.D., V.B. Chaudhary, C.A. Gehring, N.C. Johnson, J. Karst, R.T. Koide, A. Pringle, C. Zabinski, J.D. Bever, J.C. Moore, G.W.T. Wilson, J.N. Klironomos, J. Umbanhower. 2010. A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecology Letters*. 13: 394-407
- Jandl, R., S. Smidt, F. Mutsch, A. Furst, H. Zechmeister, H. Bauer, et al. 2012. Acidification and Nitrogen Eutrophication of Austrian Forest Soils. *Applied & Environmental Soil Science*. 2012.
- Janssens, I., W. Dieleman, S. Luysaert, J.-A. Subke, M. Reichstein, R. Ceulemans, et al. 2010. Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. *Nature geoscience*.-London, 2008, currens 3: 315-322.
- Jonsson, L.M., M.C. Nilsson, D.A. Wardle and O. Zackrisson. 2001. Context dependent effects of ectomycorrhizal species richness on tree seedling productivity. *Oikos* 93: 353-364. doi:DOI 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.930301.x.
- Jones, R.T., M.S. Robeson, C.L. Lauber, M. Hamady, R. Knight and N. Fierer. 2009. A comprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. *ISME J* 3: 442-453. doi:10.1038/ismej.2008.127.
- Kemmitt, S.J., D. Wright, K.W. Goulding and D.L. Jones. 2006. pH regulation of carbon and nitrogen dynamics in two agricultural soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38: 898-911.
- Kluber, L.A., S.R. Carrino-Kyker, K.P. Coyle, J.L. DeForest, C.R. Hewins, A.N. Shaw, et al. 2012. Mycorrhizal response to experimental pH and P manipulation in acidic hardwood forests. *Plos One* 7. doi:ARTN e4894610.1371/journal.pone.0048946.
- Landesman, W.J., D.M. Nelson and M.C. Fitzpatrick. 2014. Soil properties and tree species drive beta-diversity of soil bacterial communities. *Soil Biol Biochem* 76: 201-209. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.025.

- 1 Lauber, C.L., M. Hamady, R. Knight and N. Fierer. 2009. Pyrosequencing-based
2 assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the
3 continental scale. *Appl Environ Microb* 75: 5111-5120.
4 doi:10.1128/Aem.00335-09.
5
6
7 Leake J R. Is diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi important for ecosystem function?
8 *New Phytologist*, 2001, 152(1):1–3.
9
10 Lewontin, R.C. 1969. Meaning of stability. *Brookhaven Symposium of Biology*. 13.
11
12 Leys, B.A., G.E. Likens, C.E. Johnson, J.M. Craine, B. Lacroix and K.K. McLaughlan.
13 2016. Natural and anthropogenic drivers of calcium depletion in a northern forest
14 during the last millennium *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:6934.
15 doi:10.1073/pnas.1611402113.
16
17
18 Liang, Y. and Z. Liu. 1999. Effect of aluminum-resistant fungus ALF-1 (*Neurospora*
19 sp.) from tea rhizospheric soil on pH value of acidic soil. *Journal of Tea Science*
20 19: 115-118.
21
22
23 Likens, G.E., C.T. Driscoll and D.C. Buso. 1996. Long-term effects of acid rain:
24 Response and recovery of a forest ecosystem. *Science* 272: 244-246.
25
26 Lilleskov, E.A., T.J. Fahey, T.R. Horton, and G.M. Lovett. 2002. *Ecology*. 83: 104-115
27
28 Liu, X., L. Duan, J. Mo, E. Du, J. Shen, X. Lu, et al. 2011. Nitrogen deposition and its
29 ecological impact in China: An overview. *Environ Pollut* 159: 2251-2264.
30
31
32 Lv, Y., C. Wang, Y. Jia, W. Wang, X. Ma, J. Du, et al. 2014. Effects of sulfuric, nitric,
33 and mixed acid rain on litter decomposition, soil microbial biomass, and enzyme
34 activities in subtropical forests of China. *Appl Soil Ecol* 79: 1-9.
35
36
37 Macaulay B M, Abigail T O. Effects of simulated acid rain on the morphology,
38 phenology and yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Journal of*
39 *Environmental Science Computer Science & Engineering & Technology*, 2015,
40 4(2):501-508.
41
42
43 Mack, M.C., E.A.G. Schuur, M.S. Bret-Harte, G.R. Shaver and F.S. Chapin. 2004.
44 Ecosystem carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient
45 fertilization. *Nature* 431: 440-443. doi:10.1038/nature02887.
46
47
48 Magdoff, F and R Weil. 2004. *Soil Organic Matter in Sustainable Agriculture*. CRC
49 Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
50
51
52 Markkola, A.M. and R. Ohtonen. 1988. The effects of acid deposition on fungi in forest
53 humus. In: *Ectomycorrhiza and Acid Rain*. Ed: A.E. Jansen, J. Dighton, and
54 A.H.M. Bresser. 122-126. Bilthoven. The Netherlands: CEC.
55
56
57 Marx, D. H., and J. D. Artman. 1979. *Pisolithus tinctorius* ectomycorrhizae improve
58 survival and growth of pine seedlings on acid coal spoils in Kentucky and Virginia.
59 *Reclamation Review* 2:23–31.
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- McNear Jr., D.H. 2013. The rhizosphere-roots, soil and everything in between. *Nature Education Knowledge* 4:1.
- Molina, R. and J.M. Trappe. 1982. Patterns of ectomycorrhizal host specificity and potential among Pacific Northwest conifers and fungi. *Forest Sci* 28: 423-458.
- Nara, K., H. Nakaya, B. Wu, Z. Zhou and T. Hogetsu. 2003. Underground primary succession of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a volcanic desert on Mount Fuji. *New Phytol* 159: 743-756.
- Nguyen, N.H., Z. Song, S.T. Bates, S.M. Branco, L. Tedersoo, J. Menke, J.S. Schilling, P. Kennedy. FUNGuild: An open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guilds. *Fungal Ecology*. 20: 241-248
- Odiyi, B.O. and J.F. Bamidele 2013 Effect of simulated acid rain on the growth and yield of cassava *Manihot esculenta* (Crantz). *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 6: 97-101.
- Oksanen J., Blanchet F.G., Kindt R., Legendre P., Minchin P.R., O'Hara R.B., Simpson G.L., Solymos P., Stevens M.H.H., Wagner H. (2012) *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version 2.0-3.
- Oulehle, F., C.D. Evans, J. Hofmeister, R. Krejci, K. Tahovska, T. Persson, et al. 2011. Major changes in forest carbon and nitrogen cycling caused by declining sulphur deposition. *Global Change Biol* 17: 3115-3129.
- Percent, S.F., M.E. Frischer, P.A. Vescio, E.B. Duffy, V. Milano, M. McLellan, et al. 2008. Bacterial community structure of acid-impacted lakes: What controls diversity? *Appl Environ Microb* 74: 1856-1868. doi:10.1128/Aem.01719-07.
- Prewitt, L., Y. Kang, M.L. Kakumanu and M. Williams. 2014. Fungal and bacterial community succession differs for three wood types during decay in a forest soil. *Microbial Ecol* 68: 212-221.
- Qu, L., K. Makoto, D. Choi, A. Quoreshi and T. Koike. 2010. The role of ectomycorrhiza in boreal forest ecosystem. *Permafrost Ecosystems*. Springer. 413-425.
- Raschke, K. 1975. Stomatal action. *Annual Reviews of Plant Physiology* 26: 309-340
- Reininger, D., P. Fiala and T. Samek. 2011. Acidification of forest soils in the Hrubý Jeseník region. *Soil & Water Research*.
- Rousk, J., E. Baath, P.C. Brookes, C.L. Lauber, C. Lozupone, J.G. Caporaso, et al. 2010. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *Isme J* 4: 1340-1351. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.58.
- Rustad, L.E., I.J. Fernandez, M.B. David, M.J. Mitchell, K.J. Nadelhoffer and R.B. Fuller. 1996. Experimental soil acidification and recovery at the Bear Brook Watershed in Maine. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 60: 1933-1943.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Silva, R.F. M. Lupatini, L. Trindade, Z.I. Antonioli, R.B. Steffen and R. Andreazza. 2013. Copper resistance of different ectomycorrhizal fungi such as *Pisolithus microcarpus*, *Pisolithus* sp., *Scleroderma* sp., and *Suillus* sp. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*. 44: 619-627.
- Sobotka, A. 1964. Effects of industrial exhalations on soil biologies of Norway spruce stands in the Ore mountains. *Lesnický Casopis* 37: 987-1002.
- Stroo, H.F. and M. Alexander. 1985. Effect of simulated acid-rain on mycorrhizal infection of *Pinus-Strobus* L. *Water Air Soil Poll* 25: 107-114. doi: 10.1007/Bf00159629.
- Sylvia, D.M., P.G. Hartel, J.J. Fuhrmann and D.A. Zuberer. 2005. *Principles and Applications of Soil Microbiology*. Second ed. Pearson, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Talbot, J.M., F. Martin, A. Kohler, B. Henrissat and K.G. Peay. 2015. Functional guild classification predicts the enzymatic role of fungi in litter and soil biogeochemistry. *Soil Biol Biochem* 88: 441-456. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.05.006.
- Tonneijck F H, Jansen B, Kgj N, et al. Towards understanding of carbon stocks and stabilization in volcanic ash soils in natural Andean ecosystems of northern Ecuador. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 2010, 61(3):392–405.
- Treseder, K.K. and M.F. Allen. 2000. Mycorrhizal fungi have a potential role in soil carbon storage under elevated CO₂ and nitrogen deposition. *New Phytol* 147: 189-200. doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00690.x.
- Treseder, K.K. and S.R. Holden. 2013. Fungal carbon sequestration. *Science* 339: 1528-1529.
- Türtscher, S., P. Berger, L. Lindebner and T.W. Berger. 2017. Declining atmospheric deposition of heavy metals over the last three decades is reflected in soil and foliage of 97 beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) stands in the Vienna Woods. *Environ Pollut* 230: 561-573. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.06.080.
- van der Heijden, M.G.A., R.D. Bardgett and N.M. van Straalen. 2008. The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol Lett* 11: 296-310. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01139.x.
- Virant-Klun, I. and N. Gogala. 1995. Impact of VAM on phosphorus nutrition of maize with low soluble phosphate fertilization. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 18: 1815-1823.
- Waldrop, M.P. and M.K. Firestone. 2004. Altered utilization patterns of young and old soil C by microorganisms caused by temperature shifts and N additions. *Biogeochemistry* 67: 235-248. doi:Doi 10.1023/B:Biog.0000015321.51462.41.

- 1 Wallenda, T. and I. Kottke. 1998. Nitrogen deposition and ectomycorrhizas. *New*
2 *Phytol* 139: 169-187. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.1998.00176.x.
- 3 Wang, D.Z., X. Jiang, W. Rao and J.Z. He. 2009. Kinetics of soil cadmium desorption
4 under simulated acid rain. *Ecological Complexity* 6: 432-437.
- 5 Yang, Y., C. Ji, W. Ma, S. Wang, S. Wang, W. Han, et al. 2012. Significant soil
6 acidification across northern China's grasslands during 1980s–2000s. *Global*
7 *Change Biol* 18: 2292-2300.
- 8 Yu H, Chen Z., Shang H., Cao J.X. 2017. Effects of ectomycorrhizal fungi on
9 seedlings of *Pinus massoniana* under simulated acid rain. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*.
10 37(16) 5418- 5427.
- 11 Zieger, E. Biology of stomatal guard cells. *Annual reviews of plant physiology*. 34:
12 441-475
- 13 Zhang, J.E., Y. Ouyang and D.J. Ling. 2007. Impacts of simulated acid rain on cation
14 leaching from the Latosol in south China. *Chemosphere* 67: 2131-2137.
- 15 Zhang, X., H. Jiang, J. Jin, X. Xu and Q. Zhang. 2012. Analysis of acid rain patterns in
16 northeastern China using a decision tree method. *Atmospheric Environment* 46:
17 590-596.
- 18 Zhao, Y., L. Duan, J. Xing, T. Larssen, C.P. Nielsen and J. Hao. 2009. Soil acidification
19 in China: is controlling SO₂ emissions enough? American Chemical Society.
- 20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

List of Tables:

Table 1. Acidic solution and soil pH across time and among treatment combinations.

Table 2. *Pinus massoniana* properties in response to inoculation and acidic treatments.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

List of Figures:

Figure 1. Map of the study system with scale bar.

Figure 2. Response of soil organic matter to acidic treatments and *Pisolithus* inoculation.

Figure 3. Response of nitrate to acidic treatments and *Pisolithus* inoculation.

Figure 4. Response of available phosphorus to acidic treatments and *Pisolithus* inoculation.

Figure 5. Principal coordinate analyses of fungal communities

Figure 6. Principal coordinate analyses of bacterial communities

Figure legends

Fig 1. Map of the study system with scale bar. Location of our experimental plots within the Changsha County, Hunan Province (N28°26'9.13" E113°11'39.26"). Acidic treatment solutions are colored in black for severely acidic treatment, blue for moderately acidic treatment, and green for ambient treatment. Treatment plots inoculated with *Pisolithus tinctorius* have patterned fill, while uninoculated treatments have solid fill. Black outline denotes footprint of covered canopy, yellow borders indicate row from Jingouba River, as a spatial delimiter within the study system. Inset map of China indicates where the experimental plots are located geographically.

Fig 2. Response of soil organic matter to acidic treatments and *Pisolithus* inoculation. Response of organic matter content in g kg^{-1} of soil to acid and *Pisolithus* inoculation treatments, as compared to the organic matter content of uninoculated ambient plots. Responses \log_2 transformed, thus each unit on the horizontal x-axis represents a two-fold change. Error bars correspond to 95% confidence intervals of the

1 mean. If points and error bars cross the dashed line at 0.0, it indicates that the particular
2 treatment is statistically equivalent to the ambient. If points and error bars are
3 completely below 0.0 that indicates that soil organic matter is significantly less than
4 (left of) the untreated ambient plots; if points and error bars are completely above (to
5 the right of) 0.0, that would indicate that soil organic matter significantly increased in
6 that particular treatment, as compared to untreated ambient plots.
7
8
9

10
11
12 **Fig 3. Response of nitrate to acidic treatments and *Pisolithus* inoculation.**

13 Response of nitrate in mg/kg of soil to acid and *Pisolithus* inoculation treatments, as
14 compared to the organic matter content of uninoculated untreated ambient plots.
15 Responses \log_2 transformed, thus each unit on the horizontal x-axis represents a
16 two-fold change. Error bars correspond to 95% confidence intervals of the mean. If
17 points and error bars cross the dashed line at 0.0, it indicates that the particular
18 treatment is statistically equivalent to the ambient. If points and error bars are
19 completely below 0.0 that indicates that soil nitrate (mg kg^{-1}) is significantly less than
20 (left of) the untreated ambient; if points and error bars are completely above (to the
21 right of) 0.0, that would indicate that soil nitrate significantly increased in that
22 treatment, as compared to untreated ambient plots.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Fig 4. Response of available phosphorus to acidic treatments and *Pisolithus***
35 **inoculation.** Response of available phosphorus (mg kg^{-1}) to acid and mycorrhizal
36 inoculation treatments, as compared to the available phosphorus in uninoculated
37 ambient plots. Responses \log_2 transformed, thus each unit on the horizontal x-axis
38 represents a two-fold change. Error bars correspond to 95% confidence intervals of the
39 mean. If points and error bars cross the dashed line at 0.0, it indicates that the particular
40 treatment is statistically equivalent to the ambient. If points and error bars are
41 completely below 0.0 that indicates that available phosphorus is significantly less than
42 (left of) the untreated ambient; if points and error bars are completely above (to the
43 right of) 0.0, that would indicate that available phosphorus significantly increased in
44 that particular treatment, as compared to untreated ambient plots.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Fig 5. Principal coordinate analyses of fungal communities.** Principal coordinate
56 analysis (PCoA) plot of fungal ITS1 sequencing results from 2015 (a) and 2016 (b),
57 showing fungal community responses to acid and *Pisolithus* inoculation treatments.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Solid shapes indicated inoculation with *Pisolithus*; open shapes indicate uninoculated
2 treatments.

3
4
5 **Fig 6. Principal coordinate analyses of bacterial communities.** Principal coordinate
6 analysis (PCoA) plot of 16S sequencing results from both 2015 (a) and 2016 (b),
7 showing bacterial and archaeal community responses to acid and inoculation
8 treatments. Solid shapes represent inoculation with *Pisolithus tinctorius*; open shapes
9 indicate uninoculated treatments.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Supplemental information

Supplemental Table 1. Spatial heterogeneity in our experimental site: pH shifts with row from Jingouba River.

Supplemental Table 2. Soil nutrients and soil organic matter in both 2015 and 2016 in our plots treated with acidic treatments and inoculated with *Pisolithus*.

Supplemental Table 3. Soil physicochemical properties and elemental analyses from soil collected in 2015 from our plots treated with acidic treatments and inoculated with *Pisolithus*.

Supplemental Table 4. Bacterial community diversity metrics.

Supplemental Table 5. Fungal community diversity metrics.

Supplemental Figure 1. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling plot illustrating differences in key fungal taxa by acidic-solution treatment. Shapes represent a portion of the fungal community from either severely acidic treatment plots (circles) shown surrounded by blue dashed ellipse, moderately acidic treatments (squares) shown by the green dashed ellipse, or ambient treatments (triangles) represented by the orange solid ellipse. Ectomycorrhizal fungal taxa (e.g., *Suillus*) and putative root endophytes (e.g., *Archaeorhizomyces*) associated with particular acidic treatment categories are shown in text in close proximity to that representative ellipse.

Supplemental Figure 2. Fungal taxa plotted in bar charts, shown with the relative abundance of fungal taxa by acidic-solution treatment and by *Pisolithus* inoculation; treatments with autoclaved *Pisolithus* added marked as ‘Non-inoculated.’

Supplemental Figure 3. Bacterial taxa plotted in bar charts, shown with the relative abundance of fungal taxa by acidic-solution treatment and by *Pisolithus* inoculation; treatments with autoclaved *Pisolithus* added marked as ‘Non-inoculated.’

Supplemental Methods: Molecular methods used on our soil microbial analyses.

Figure 1. Map of study location
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2. SOM response ratios
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Soil organic matter (\log_2 -response)




Figure 3. Nitrate response ratios
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 4. Available P response ratios
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Available P (log₂-response)




Figure 5. PCoA ITS

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)







Figure 6. PCoA 16S

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)










Table 1 Acidic solution pH and soil pH across time and among treatment combinations demonstrate shifts, both temporally and by either acidic treatments or *Pisolithus* inoculation.

pH solution +/- <i>P.t.</i> (<i>Pisolithus</i> inoculation)	pH soil 2015 Pre-treatment	pH soil 2015	pH soil 2016
Severe 3.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	5.03 ± 0.08	5.46 ± 0.14	5.57 ± 0.09
Severe 3.5 –	5.92 ± 0.08	5.90 ± 0.13	6.80 ± 0.09
Moderate 4.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	5.39 ± 0.04	5.71 ± 0.09	6.64 ± 0.003
Moderate 4.5 –	6.17 ± 0.12	6.03 ± 0.06	6.65 ± 0.04
Ambient 5.6 + <i>P.t.</i>	6.47 ± 0.38	6.0 ± 0.14	6.69 ± 0.04
Ambient 5.6 –	5.41 ± 0.17	5.43 ± 0.39	5.84 ± 0.13

Table 2. *Pinus massoniana* properties shift with acidic treatments and *Pisolithus tinctorius* inoculation. Values with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences among values in different treatment groups.

pH solution +/- <i>P.t.</i> (<i>Pisolithus</i> inoculation)	Plant diameter (cm)	Plant height (cm)	Plant root:shoot	Dried stem biomass (g)	Dried needle biomass (g)
Severe 3.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	15.37 ± 0.56 (b,c)	116.67 ± 1.67 (e)	14.31 ± 2.20 (f)	66.98 ± 5.42 (b,c)	73.29 ± 5.63 (b)
Severe 3.5 -	13.75 ± 0.58 (c)	117.33 ± 3.93 (e)	12.62 ± 0.32 (f,g)	60.35 ± 7.94 (c)	71.88 ± 13.86 (b)
Moderate 4.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	18.40 ± 0.56 (a)	149.33 ± 3.84 (d)	13.06 ± 1.24 (f,g)	135.07 ± 8.74 (a)	142.55 ± 13.08 (a)
Moderate 4.5 -	15.99 ± 1.43 (b,c)	142.00 ± 4.16 (d)	12.52 ± 0.21 (f,g)	80.98 ± 14.56 (b,c)	154.25 ± 5.20 (a)
Ambient + <i>P.t.</i>	15.97 ± 0.36 (b,c)	156.33 ± 10.93 (d)	11.23 ± 0.61 (f,g)	123.77 ± 9.59 (a)	136.58 ± 4.16 (a)
Ambient -	17.60 ± 0.37 (a,b)	147.67 ± 6.74 (d)	10.58 ± 0.35 (g)	90.21 ± 6.67 (b)	129.77 ± 6.87 (a)

Supplementary Information

Supplemental SI-Table 1. Spatial arrangement of soil pH through time and by proximity to river. Spatial arrangement ascending in numbers with increasing distance from the Jingouba River

Spatial block Proximity to River	pH soil 2015 Pre-treatment	pH soil 2015	pH soil 2016
one	5.52 ± 0.37	5.69 ± 0.18	5.96 ± 0.34
two	5.65 ± 0.26	5.65 ± 0.18	6.31 ± 0.28
three	5.99 ± 0.48	5.72 ± 0.17	6.58 ± 0.20
four	5.85 ± 0.23	5.99 ± 0.13	6.71 ± 0.08

Supplemental SI Table 2: Soil nutrients in our plots treated with acidic treatments and inoculated with *Pisolithus* in both 2015 and 2016.

pH solution +/- <i>P.t.</i> (<i>Pisolithus</i> inoculation)	Available P (mg kg ⁻¹)		Available K (mg kg ⁻¹)		NH ₄ -N (mg kg ⁻¹)		NO ₃ -N (mg kg ⁻¹)		Soil organic matter (mg kg ⁻¹)	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Severe 3.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	9.0 ± 0.31	14.09 ± 0.76	193.33 ± 6.36	211.0 ± 7.09	7.92 ± 0.58	9.22 ± 2.01	239.78 ± 5.2	344.47 ± 39.87	17.75 ± 0.20	19.27 ± 0.29
Severe 3.5 -	6.0 ± 0.2	6.02 ± 0.18	160.33 ± 13.57	127.67 ± 2.91	1.97 ± 0.15	1.50 ± 1.50	118.54 ± 0.88	88.54 ± 6.31	11.82 ± 0.17	5.44 ± 0.25
Moderate 4.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	9.83 ± 1.54	11.73 ± 0.76	163.67 ± 5.23	175.00 ± 4.04	3.73 ± 0.11	8.41 ± 2.40	153.78 ± 5.53	367.89 ± 23.16	13.22 ± 0.59	13.0 ± 0.01
Moderate 4.5 -	11.83 ± 1.17	15.57 ± 0.42	205.33 ± 12.17	259.33 ± 1.67	3.96 ± 0.39	7.38 ± 1.82	275.32 ± 4.08	708.19 ± 85.50	19.94 ± 0.65	17.60 ± 0.96
Ambient + <i>P.t.</i>	7.03 ± 0.28	9.51 ± 0.12	169.33 ± 0.67	200.0 ± 4.62	1.83 ± 0.22	4.06 ± 1.24	56.26 ± 3.35	227.35 ± 44.40	13.06 ± 0.27	13.79 ± 0.19
Ambient -	14.45 ± 0.47	13.28 ± 0.18	207.67 ± 2.63	244.67 ± 1.33	3.58 ± 0.32	12.80 ± 1.35	180.32 ± 26.02	879.68 ± 34.12	20.05 ± 0.20	17.81 ± 0.83

Supplementary Table. Soil properties from 2015 in our plots treated with acidic treatments and inoculated with *Pisolithus*. Elemental analyses included sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al), as well as cation exchange capacity (CEC).

pH solution +/- <i>P.t.</i> (<i>Pisolithus</i> inoculation)	Na (cmol kg⁻¹)	Ca (cmol kg⁻¹)	Mg (cmol kg⁻¹)	Al (mg kg⁻¹)	CEC (cmol kg⁻¹)
Severe 3.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	1.0 ± 0.06	6.45 ± 0.14	0.42 ± 0.02	7.02 ± 2.19	7.08 ± 0.98
Severe 3.5 -	0.75 ± 0.04	5.57 ± 0.16	0.95 ± 0.05	2.12 ± 0.27	7.69 ± 0.08
Moderate 4.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	0.67 ± 0.02	4.42 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.36	3.23 ± 0.29	8.54 ± 0.43
Moderate 4.5 -	0.85 ± 0.11	8.22 ± 0.97	0.44 ± 0.01	1.66 ± 0.09	8.49 ± 0.41
Ambient + <i>P.t.</i>	0.73 ± 0.03	4.95 ± 0.09	0.57 ± 0.01	2.52 ± 0.99	8.3 ± 1.35
Ambient -	0.61 ± 0.01	5.12 ± 0.70	0.39 ± 0.01	6.34 ± 1.3	7.76 ± 0.77

Supplemental SI-Table 4. Bacterial community diversity metrics from 2016. Metrics include: Abundance-based Coverage Estimate (ACE), Chao1, Fisher, Observed OTUs, Shannon, and Simpsons.

pH solution +/- <i>P.t.</i> (<i>Pisolithus</i> inoculation)	ACE	Chao1	Fisher	Observed OTUs	Shannon	Simpson
3.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	2642.04 ± 24.75	2639.84 ± 50.80	601.62± 12.03	2178.67± 31.84	6.57± 0.019	0.995± 0.0003
3.5 –	2587.61 ± 24.06	2625.95 ± 51.97	594.80± 26.17	2159.67± 70.11	6.53± 0.069	0.997± 0.0004
4.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	2868.45 ± 25.69	2883.93 ± 54.57	672.13± 11.16	2361.67± 28.48	6.73± 0.028	0.997± 0.0001
4.5 –	2793.60 ± 25.45	2796.59± 52.2	652.28± 37.44	2309± 97.22	6.74± 0.113	0.997± 0.0006
5.6 + <i>P.t.</i> (Ambient)	2875.35 ± 25.04	2887.16 ± 57.23	662.43± 23.08	2336.33± 63.38	6.70± 0.046	0.997± 0.0001
5.6 – (Ambient)	2696.85 ± 25.31	2694.06 ± 55.28	600.43± 5.96	2175.67± 15.76	6.48± 0.025	0.995± 0.0004

Supplemental SI-Table 5. Fungal community diversity metrics from 2016. Metrics include: Abundance-based Coverage Estimate (ACE), Chao1, Fisher, Observed OTUs, Shannon, and Simpsons.

pH solution +/- <i>P.t.</i> (<i>Pisolithus</i> inoculation)	ACE	Chao1	Fisher	Observed OTUs	Shannon	Simpson
3.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	480.39 ± 20.43	477.28 ± 16.31	63.31± 3.42	389.0± 17.06	3.03± 0.079	0.84± 0.006
3.5 –	439.98 ± 8.91	451.29 ± 7.95	56.54± 0.88	353.67± 4.48	2.97± 0.2	0.89± 0.013
4.5 + <i>P.t.</i>	538.29 ± 29.61	541.03 ± 37.33	75.36± 2.06	450.0± 10.26	3.73± 0.04	0.94± 0.005
4.5 –	496.21 ± 18.22	475.001± 17.34	67.94± 2.70	412.67± 13.67	3.35± 0.02	0.90± 0.011
5.6 + <i>P.t.</i> (Ambient)	540.38 ± 22.99	523.13 ± 25.7	75.58± 2.81	451.0± 14.47	3.58± 0.09	0.93± 0.01
5.6 – (Ambient)	429.08 ± 17.88	428.87 ± 14.51	65.11± 3.35	398.0± 17.57	3.63± 0.12	0.92± 0.011

Supplemental molecular methods: SI

We extracted total DNA from each of the three 0.25 g soil aliquots using an E.Z.N.A.® soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to manufacturer's protocols. We pooled these DNA extracts into a single representative DNA extract and subsequently standardized concentrations to 10 ng/μl before Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) amplification. We targeted hypervariable portions of both the V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene and the fungal internal transcribed spacer (fungal ITS1) region using primers modified for Illumina Miseq sequencing (Illumina Incorporated, California).

For bacteria, the V3-V4 region of 16S was amplified using forward primer 338F (5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3) and reverse primer 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'), along with a unique eight-base barcode assigned to each sample. For fungi, the internal transcribed spacer region was amplified with forward primer ITS1 (5' - CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') and reverse primer 2043R (5' - GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-3'). Fungal ITS1 region was targeted, along with unique molecular barcodes assigned to each reverse primer. For both 16S and ITS1, polymerase chain reactions were set up in triplicate using 0.8 μl of each primer (5 μM), 0.4 μL of FastPfu Polymerase, 10 ng of DNA template, 2 μL of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 4 μL of 5 × FastPfu Buffer. Reactions ran for 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 25 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min.

Both 16S and ITS, PCR amplicons were visualized using gel electrophoresis, and subsequently extracted from 2% agarose gels. Amplicons were purified using a AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, California) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and then quantified in individual tubes using QuantiFluor™ -ST

(Promega, Madison, Wisconsin). Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar concentrations for downstream Illumina sequencing.

Our PCR products were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq flowcell by Shanghai Majorbio (Shanghai, China) and paired-end sequences were generated (2×300) according to the standard protocols. Raw fastq files were demultiplexed, quality-filtered using QIIME (version 1.17); 300 basepair (bp) reads were truncated at quality scores <20 over a 50 bp range and truncated reads shorter than 50bp were discarded. Barcode matching allowed for any two nucleotide base mismatches, however, reads containing ambiguous characters were removed. Only sequences overlapping longer than 10 bp were assembled according to their overlap sequence. Operational Units (OTUs) were clustered with 97% similarity cutoff using UPARSE (version 7.1 <http://drive5.com/uparse/>) (Edgar 2013). Chimeric sequences were identified and removed using UCHIME. Taxonomic information associated with each 16S rRNA gene sequence was analyzed by RDP Classifier (<http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/>) against the Silva (SSU115) 16S rRNA database and taxonomy of each ITS1 gene sequence was analyzed by RDP Classifier (<http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/>) against the UNITE 7.0 database.

Reference:

Edgar, R.C. 2013. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nat Methods* 10: 996. doi:10.1038/Nmeth.2604.