Title

Co-option and Detoxification of a Phage Lysin for Housekeeping Function

Authors

Amelia M. Randich, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN USA

David T. Kysela, Bloomington, IN USA

Cécile Morlot, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), Université Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, France

Yves V. Brun, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN USA

Correspondence: Y.V.B. ybrun@indiana.edu

Summary

Temperate phages constitute a potentially beneficial genetic reservoir for bacterial innovation despite being selfish entities encoding an infection cycle inherently at odds with bacterial fitness. These phages integrate their genomes into the bacterial host during infection, donating new, but deleterious, genetic material: the phage genome encodes toxic genes, such as lysins, that kill the bacterium during the phage infection cycle. Remarkably, some bacteria have exploited the destructive properties of phage genes for their own benefit by co-opting them as toxins for functions related to bacterial warfare, virulence, and secretion. However, do toxic phage genes ever become raw material for functional innovation? Here we report on a toxic phage gene whose product has lost its toxicity and has become a domain of a core cellular factor, SpmX, throughout the bacterial order Caulobacterales. Using a combination of phylogenetics, bioinformatics, structural biology, cell biology, and biochemistry, we have investigated the origin and function of SpmX and determined that its occurrence is the result of the detoxification of a phage peptidoglycan hydrolase gene. We show that the retained, attenuated activity of the phage-derived domain plays an important role in proper cell morphology and developmental regulation in representatives of this large bacterial clade. To our knowledge, this is the first observation of phage gene domestication in which a toxic phage gene has been co-opted for a housekeeping function.

Keywords

Lysozyme, GH24, Prophage domestication, Bacterial evolution, Alphaproteobacteria, *Caulobacter*, *Asticcacaulis*

Introduction

Understanding how new genes arise is key to studying the forces that drive diversity and evolution. Although horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is widely regarded as an important mechanism for the exchange of existing genes among bacteria, mobile genetic elements can transfer exogenous genetic material that gives rise to novel genes. These new genes can provide the basis for evolving new traits and propelling evolutionary transitions [1,2]. Temperate bacteriophages mediate genetic transfer by integrating their genomes into bacterial hosts [3–6]. These integrated tracts of genes, called prophages, remain dormant until induced by various signals to produce phage particles and phage-encoded proteins that lyse the cell. In many cases,

prophages contain genes that benefit the host, promoting retention of prophages in many
bacterial lineages, even after mutations have inactivated the prophages and they no longer are
capable of producing phage [7–9]. Accumulation of host-specific beneficial mutations in
prophages has been referred to as "domestication." Many so-called domesticated segments of
inactivated prophages unexpectedly contain lytic and phage structural genes, which would
intuitively be of little use or even detrimental to the bacterial host [7]. These genes are often used
by the bacteria as weapons against competing bacteria and eukaryotic hosts [10–14]. In contrast,
we have identified an instance in which a toxic phage gene has not been repurposed as a weapon,
but has rather been incorporated into a bacterial housekeeping factor, *spmX*. Here, we report that
SpmX resulted from an ancient domestication event at the root of the alphaproteobacterial order
Caulobacterales*,* in which co-option and detoxification of a toxic phage gene gave rise to a novel
bacterial gene with roles in developmental regulation and morphogenesis.
SpmX was first identified as a developmental regulator in the model organism
Caulobacter crescentus [15]. Like most members of Caulobacterales, stalked *C. crescentus* cells
divide asymmetrically to produce a "mother" cell with a polar stalk and a motile "daughter" or
"swarmer" cell with a polar flagellum. The *Caulobacter* developmental cycle depends on strict
coordination of cell growth, chromosome replication and segregation, and division by various
regulatory proteins that differ in localization and timing [16]. This network depends on
regulatory phospho-signaling factors localized and regulated by polar scaffolds. SpmX is one
scaffold that localizes at the old pole during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition and recruits
and potentially activates the histidine kinase DivJ [15]. Intriguingly, SpmX is required for stalk
synthesis initiation and elongation in the closely related *Asticcacaulis* species *A. excentricus* and
A. biprosthecum [17]. Therefore, this gene appears to have evolved multiple roles within this
family of dimorphic, stalked bacteria.
Perplexingly, SpmX contains an N-terminal putative phage muramidase domain that is
generally toxic to bacteria. This enzymatic domain is typically used by phage to lyse bacteria and
release infectious phage particles during the lytic cycle. As a part of SpmX, this domain is
critical for SpmX's role in both developmental regulation and stalk biogenesis. In particular, the
muramidase domain is necessary for proper localization of SpmX in both *C. crescentus* [15,18]
and the *Asticcacaulis* genus [17]. Various studies have shown that SpmX localizes with the polar
scaffold PopZ in *C. crescentus* [19,20], and this interaction occurs entirely through the
muramidase domain [18]. A previous study was unable to measure enzymatic activity from
purified *C. crescentus* SpmX muramidase domain, and consequently concluded that the domain
was repurposed for protein interactions and oligomeric assembly [18]. However, given the
remarkable sequence similarity of the SpmX muramidase domain to functional phage lysozymes,
including the canonical catalytic glutamate, it seems unlikely that its enzymatic activity is
entirely lost. Why would this domain be so highly conserved if its new function were merely for
non-essential protein-protein interactions?
To better characterize the SpmX muramidase domain and the constraints underlying its
conservation, we performed an in-depth bioinformatics study of more than 60 available SpmX
genes together with structural determination, biochemical analysis, and comparative cell biology
between *Caulobacter* and *Asticcacaulis*. We show that SpmX arose prior to the diversification of
Caulobacterales*,* a large class of stalked bacteria. We establish that the SpmX muramidase
domain is a close relative of GH24 (T4-like) autolysin/endolysins that have been laterally
exchanged throughout Proteobacteria via prophages. We find that the SpmX domain is still an
active muramidase, albeit with attenuated ancestral phage activity, consistent with its remodeled

active cleft. Finally, we demonstrate that the enzymatic activity is necessary for SpmX function
in three representative species. We conclude that, close to the time of the genesis of the full-
length *spmX* gene, this co-opted domain accumulated mutations that attenuated its hydrolytic
activity on peptidoglycan and detoxified it for bacterial use. To our knowledge, this is the first
case of phage gene domestication in which a toxic phage gene has been incorporated into a new
bacterial gene with housekeeping function.
99
100 **Results**
101
The SpmX muramidase domain was co-opted from prophage in an early Caulobacterales
ancestor. Our first step in characterizing the muramidase domain of SpmX was to determine the
prevalence of SpmX and its homologues in the bacterial domain. Simple pBLAST analysis
revealed that SpmX, as defined by its three-part architecture with an N-terminal muramidase
domain, a charged and proline-rich intermediate domain, and two C-terminal transmembrane
(TM) segments (**Fig. 1A**), is taxonomically constrained to Caulobacterales and one member of
its sister taxa, Parvularculales. It is conserved as a single-copy gene in all sequenced members
(**Table S1**). In all 69 identified *spmX* orthologues, the muramidase domains exhibit high amino
acid sequence conservation (**Fig. S1**), the TM segments moderate sequence conservation, and the
intermediate domains high variability in length and composition among genera (**Fig. 1A**). Apart
from these SpmX orthologues, BLAST searches using SpmX only returned hits for the
muramidase domain. These hits came from Gram-negative bacterial genomes that span the entire
bacterial domain, particularly proteobacteria, and from viral genomes. Most of these bacterial
genes are likely to be in prophage regions, as evidenced by their position in long runs of
predicted prophage genes. We did not detect sequences homologous to SpmX TMs in our search,
although we occasionally detected homologous phage muramidase domains fused to other, non-
homologous TM segments.
Consistent with finding close relatives of SpmX muramidase in prophages, NCBI's
Conserved Domain Database (CDD) tool [21,22] clustered SpmX muramidase with glycoside
hydroloase (GH) 24 lysozymes in the autolysin/endolysin class (**Fig. S2**). These enzymes are
closely related to classical phage T4 lysozyme-like (T4L-like) peptidoglycan hydrolases. Phages
generally use lysozymes of this class to cleave peptidoglycan and lyse cells during the lytic
cycle. These lysozymes are distinct from the other well-known phage Lambda lysozyme-like
lytic transglycosylases, which are related to known housekeeping bacterial hydrolases with roles
in cell growth and division. Lytic transglycosylases are also assigned to the GH24 group but
share no sequence similarity with and catalyze a different peptidoglycan cleaving reaction than
T4L-like muramidases [23–25]. Thus although core bacterial genomes encode peptidoglycan
hydrolases, the muramidase domain of SpmX is most closely related to peptidoglycan hydrolases
encoded by prophages.
Unlike its close relatives that have been transferred horizontally through the bacterial
domain via prophage, the SpmX muramidase domain coding region has been inherited vertically
as part of the *spmX* gene in Caulobacterales. The SpmX gene tree mirrors the phylogeny of
Caulobacterales from concatenated gene alignments (**Fig. 1B**). None of the SpmX genes appear
in tracks of prophage genes, and the genomic context of *spmX* appears to be well maintained in
members of Caulobacterales, with the gene occurring between a putative Mg^{2+} transporter and a
putative isovaleryl-CoA dehydrogenase in nearly all species. Together, these findings suggest
that SpmX muramidase is a peptidoglycan hydrolase derived from prophage that has become a

domain in a bacterial protein that is no longer within prophage and is under direct cellular
control. It likely fused with the intermediate and TM domains in a common ancestor of
Parvularculales and Caulobacterales. The vertical transmission of SpmX and strong sequence
conservation of the muramidase domain suggests an important function in members of
Caulobacterales.
144

The SpmX muramidase domain retains the canonical GH24 motif but contains mutations
in the catalytic cleft known to inactivate phage lysozymes. To determine if critical enzymatic
residues in SpmX muramidase were conserved, we compared the amino acid sequences of SpmX
and other lysozymes. By definition, lysozymes catalyze the hydrolysis of β1,4-linked glycosidic
bonds in peptidoglycan and chitin [25]. This superfamily includes at least seven distinct groups
that are unrelated by sequence similarity but share a common fold in which the catalytic Glu and
the beta-hairpin motif in the N-terminal lobe form the catalytic cleft by packing against the C-
terminal lobe (**Fig. 2A)** [26]. This beta-hairpin, or GH motif, contains family-specific residues
critical for enzyme activity in all members of the lysozyme superfamily [26].
We compared the GH motif of SpmX to lysozymes from the T4L and endolysin/autolysin
classes with varying degrees of relatedness. **Figure 2B** shows the amino acid conservation in the
GH motif of T4L-like, autolysin/endolysin, closely related non-SpmX muramidase, and SpmX
muramidase protein sequences. Because the autolysin/endolysin class and the closest non-SpmX
relatives are likely to be active phage enzymes, highly conserved residues shared by these groups
with T4L delineate positions that are evolutionarily constrained for phage lysozyme activity and
stability. For example, D10 is not conserved outside of T4L-like enzymes because the
autolysin/endolysin class does not have a salt bridge between D10 and the C-terminal lobe [27].
On the other hand, all of the putative phage sequences (**Fig. 2B(i-iii)**) conserve the "catalytic
triad" of T4 lysozymes: the catalytic residue E11 and active site residues D20 and T26. While
the exact roles of D20 and T26 are not clear, they are posited as being critical for effective
catalysis [26–29]. Position D20 is very sensitive to mutation, with only substitutions D20C/A
retaining the hydrolytic activity of T4L or P22 phage lysozymes [30]; these substitutions are
tellingly well represented amongst the putative phage sequences. Remarkably, SpmX
muramidase domains demonstrate strong conservation of residues required for T4L stability and
creating the GH fold, but low conservation of residues associated with catalysis, with the
exception of the main catalytic residue, E11 (**Fig. 2B(iv)**). The majority of SpmX genes contain
the mutation D20L/R, both of which reduced T4L activity to less than 3% of WT in previous
studies [27] and which are distinctly unrepresented in the other phage muramidases. Moreover,
the T26 position no longer appears to be under constraint in SpmX. The conservation of the GH
motif coupled with the apparent inactivation of the catalytic triad across all SpmX genes suggests
that muramidase function may not remain fully active.
176

The SpmX muramidase domain has a wider, more dynamic catalytic cleft than related
phage lysins. Obtaining the structure of the SpmX muramidase domain (residues 1-150) from
Asticcacaulis excentricus (SpmX-Mur-*Ae*) allowed us to directly visualize the effect of the D20L
and T26X mutations on the catalytic cleft. We solved the X-ray crystal structure by molecular
replacement using the atomic coordinates of phage P22 lysozyme (PDB 2ANX) to 1.9 Å
resolution (*R*cryst of 21.1%, *R*free of 25.5%,) (**Table S2**). SpmX-Mur-*Ae* exhibited the expected
structural similarity with the phage P22 lysozyme (root mean square deviation (rmsd) of 1.7 Å
and 40% identity over 141 aligned Cα atoms, Dali Z-score of 21.5). It therefore shares the

characteristic structure of T4 lysozymes, with the predicted catalytic glutamate occurring at the
C-terminal end of the first alpha-helix and situated in the catalytic cleft formed between the N-
and C-terminal lobes (**Fig. 3A**). The active conformation of the distantly related SAR endolysin
protein R21 (PDB 3HDE) from bacteriophage P21 (**Fig. S2**) is included in the structural
alignment in **Figure 3A** to emphasize the ways in which the SpmX muramidase domain deviates
from phage lysozymes: SpmX-Mur-*Ae* contains an extended beta-hairpin in the C-terminal lobe
and, more importantly, the canonical GH beta-hairpin in the N-terminal lobe of SpmX-Mur-*Ae*
splays away from the catalytic cleft relative to the GH beta-hairpins of the phage lysozymes.
There is additional evidence for the flexibility of the GH beta-hairpin in the various
conformations observed in the crystallography data, as among the three molecules of SpmX-
Mur-*Ae* in the asymmetric unit, this region exhibited the most conformational differences. The
overlay of the three chains in **Figure 3B** illustrates how the orientation of the GH beta-hairpin is
tilted by about 16° between chains A and B; moreover, chain A appears to have lost some of its
beta-strand secondary structure. That SpmX-Mur-*Ae* is capable of both conformations suggests a
heightened flexibility in this region compared to other T4L-like lysozymes, which may reduce
the ability of the enzyme to coordinate the hydrolysis of peptidoglycan in the catalytic cleft.
Sequence comparisons of the GH motif in **Figure 2B** show that SpmX muramidase
domains have lost a highly conserved tyrosine residue at position 18. Although T4L enzymatic
activity is not sensitive to mutation at this position [27], it is invariant across all the phage
lysozyme classes we analyzed. Visualization of Y18 in the P22 lysozyme structure (**Fig. 3C**)
shows that it interacts with R14 at the base of the beta-hairpin, possibly a critical interaction for
coordinating the beta-hairpin with the catalytic glutamate. In SpmX-Mur-*Ae*, Y18S still appears
to make hydrogen-bonding contact with R14; however, most SpmX muramidase domains have
non-polar residues at position 18 (**Fig. 2B(iv)),** which may reduce coordination between the
catalytic glutamate and the GH beta hairpin. It has been previously shown that the Y18 position
is a hot-spot for compensatory mutations that restore activity to inactive catalytic mutants [31],
and it is intriguing to imagine that mutations at this position in SpmX muramidase are the result
of a remodeled catalytic cleft.
Figure 3 shows the catalytic clefts of both P22 lysozyme (**D**) and SpmX-Mur-*Ae* (**E**). In
P22 lysozyme, the E11 carbonyl, D20 carboxyl, and T26 hydroxyl groups point into the aqueous
catalytic cleft. In SpmX-Mur-*Ae,* the cleft is slightly reorganized, with the S-methyl thioether of
T26M still within 20 Å of E11 and potentially capable of interacting with peptidoglycan. In
about two thirds of the SpmX genes, position 26 has either a valine or an isoleucine, which have
no polar moieties to contribute to the cleft (**Fig. 2B(iv)**). With the structural data from SpmX-
Mur-*Ae*, we can infer that the SpmX muramidase domain has a remodeled catalytic cleft with a
correctly positioned catalytic glutamate. However, the increased flexibility between the GH
motif and the glutamate, as well as the loss of key coordinating residues might reduce, if not
eliminate, hydrolytic activity of SpmX.
223
SpmX retains reduced hydrolytic activity on peptidoglycan. To determine if the SpmX
muramidase domain was capable of binding peptidoglycan, various constructs from *C.*
crescentus, A. excentricus, and *A. biprosthecum* were purified and incubated with sacculi isolated
from the three species. Both muramidase domains and entire soluble domains (muramidase with
intermediate domain) from all three species, including the E11A and N105R mutants of SpmX
from *C. crescentus* bound sacculi from all three species (**Fig. S3**). Despite previous reports of
inactivity [18], we found that purified SpmX muramidase exhibited hydrolytic activity. We used

remazol brilliant blue (RBB) assays, which are standard for measuring lysozyme activity [32], to
compare the activity of SpmX muramidase from *C. crescentus* (SpmX-Mur-*Cc*) to that of the
P22 lysozyme (P22Lyso) and a P22Lyso mutant with a similarly inactivated catalytic cleft
(P22Lyso-D20L) (**Fig. 4A**). The activity curves demonstrate that both SpmX-Mur-*Cc* and
P22Lyso-D20L exhibit similarly attenuated hydrolytic activity in comparison to P22Lyso: both
reached maximal levels of RBB release near enzyme concentrations of 15 µM while P22Lyso
reached the same levels near 5 µM. Mutants in which the catalytic glutamate was replaced with
alanine (SpmX-Mur-*Cc*-E11A and P22Lyso-E11A) did not exhibit activity (**Fig. S4A**). Restoring
the ancestral D20 (SpmX-Mur-*Cc*-L20D) did not increase SpmX activity *in vitro* (**Fig. S4B**).
These data indicate that the "inactivating" substitution D20L attenuates enzymatic activity
whereas mutating the catalytic glutamate abolishes it altogether.
We were surprised by the activity of P22Lyso-D20L and SpmX-Mur given the reported
inhibition of lytic activity of T4L with D20 mutations in phage plaque assays [27]. We were
interested in the biological implications of this *in vitro* activity, and whether the attenuated
mutant was active in the periplasmic environment. We therefore designed an experimental
system to test the activity of P22Lyso and SpmX-Mur-*Cc* mutants in the periplasmic space of *E.*
coli. In this system, we expressed the various muramidase constructs with N-terminal PelB
leader sequences (pET22b) for periplasmic expression in Lemo21(DE3) cells, which allow
tunable expression of toxic products (see Methods). Lemo21(DE3) cells carrying P22Lyso lysed
even in the absence of induction (**Fig. 4B**). In contrast, Lemo21(D32) cells carrying P22Lyso-
D20L lysed only after induction, and strains carrying SpmX-Mur-*Cc* and P22Lyso-E11A never
lysed (**Fig. 4C** and **S4C**) despite equivalent periplasmic expression levels (**Fig. S4D**). Different
growth conditions and media did not affect the viability of cells expressing SpmX-Mur-*Cc* (**Fig.**
S4C). Moreover, SpmX-Mur-*Cc* was active on sacculi isolated from Lemo21(DE3), eliminating
the possibility that it cannot cleave *E. coli* peptidoglycan (**Fig. S4E**). It remains unclear why the
periplasmic activity of SpmX-Mur-*Cc* does not match its *in vitro* activity, as it appears to have a
similar activity to P22Lyso-D20L and yet surpasses the periplasmic levels at which P22Lyso-
D20L lyses *E. coli*. It is possible that SpmX-Mur has additional mutations that either make it
difficult to fold correctly in the *E. coli* periplasm, or inactivate its activity in the periplasmic
environment. However, the periplasmic expression tests in *E. coli* confirm that the D20L
mutation attenuates the hydrolytic activity of P22 lysozyme. This tuning of enzymatic activity
might have served as a critical detoxifying step in the co-option of the muramidase domain from
phage. Moreover, such attenuation helps explain why the D20L substitution is absent from phage
despite broad conservation among *spmX* alleles. Because SpmX has retained the ancestral
catalytic glutamate and its modified catalytic cleft is capable of hydrolytic activity, we conclude
that this attenuated activity is under purifying selection in SpmX and important for SpmX
function.
268
Inactivating the muramidase domain impacts SpmX localization *in vivo*. To investigate the
role of the conserved catalytic glutamate in SpmX function *in vivo*, chromosomal E11A mutants
(E19A in SpmX numbering) were made in *C. crescentus*, *A. excentricus*, and *A. biprosthecum*
with eGFP gene fusions (**Fig. 5**). We determined the effects of the E11A mutation on cellular
morphology, as the Δ*spmX* strains in all three species have morphological phenotypes (**Fig.**
5ABCii). In *C. crescentus*, Δ*spmX* cells have a characteristic elongated morphology resulting
from failed division cycles and often grow stalks prematurely from daughter cells that fail to
divide completely (**Fig. 5Aii**) [15]. In *Asticcaulis*, Δ*spmX* cells lack stalks and do not appear to

have other developmental phenotypes (**Fig. 5BCii**) [17]. In *C. crescentus*, the E11A mutant
population contained both WT-like cells and cells exhibiting the division defect, but with less
severity than in Δ*spmX* (**Fig. 5Aiii**). In both *Asticcacaulis* species, the E11A mutants still grew
stalks (**Fig. 5BCiii**). Nevertheless, the *A. biprosthecum* E11A mutant exhibited a significant loss
of bilateral stalks (3.5 fold reduction) and an increase in the frequency of cells with a single stalk
(**Fig. S5D**).
We used GFP fusions of WT and mutant SpmX to monitor changes in SpmX
localization. In all species, WT SpmX localizes at the future position of the stalk, either at the
pole as in *C. crescentus*, or at sub-polar or bilateral positions in *Asticcacalis*, and is retained at
this position (**Fig. 5ABCiii**). Both *C. crescentus* and *A. biprosthecum spmX* E11A mutants
exhibited an increase in mislocalized SpmX throughout the cell body compared to WT (**Fig.**
5ABiv). Quantification of the fluorescence data indicated that while the overall mean
fluorescence of the cells were the same as WT, the SpmX foci were significantly less intense in
the mutants (**Fig. S5AB**). We also observed a 3X increase in SpmX E11A in the stalks of *A.*
biprosthecum compared to WT (**Fig. S5B**). Although no difference in focal fluorescence
intensity was observed in *A. excentricus spmX* E11A mutant cells, more cells had a second
SpmX focus at the tip of the stalk than WT cells (**Fig. 5iv, S5C**), indicating altered localization.
Together these data show that the E11A mutation impacts SpmX localization in all three species.
N/Q105 has been shown to coordinate peptidoglycan in the active cleft of T4L [33] and
the mutation Q105R abolished activity in T4 phage plaque assays [27]. The mutation N105R
(N91R in SpmX numbering) in *C. crescentus* and *A. biprosthecum* resulted in similar
delocalization phenotypes as E11A (**Fig. 5BCiiv**). Western blots of cells expressing WT SpmX-
eGFP and SpmX mutants indicated that the delocalization was not due to clipping (**Fig. S5E**).
Overall, these data show that eliminating the catalytic glutamate affects SpmX localization and
function. Although it is not clear whether the E11A mutation specifically affects the potential
hydrolytic activity or the peptidoglycan-binding ability, the similar phenotype from mutating a
predicted peptidoglycan-interacting residue (N105R) underscores the importance of SpmX-
peptidoglycan interactions. That the catalytic mutant has an intermediate morphological
phenotype in *C. crescentus* and one *Asticcacaulis* species indicates that the muramidase domain
may coordinate SpmX functions similarly in the two genera and that this function may rely on its
enzymatic activity.
308
Replacing the muramidase domain, or removing it, interferes with native SpmX protein
levels *in vivo*. In an effort to understand the role of the highly conserved muramidase fold in
SpmX function, we made chimeras wherein P22 lysozyme replaced the muramidase domain of
SpmX in *C. crescentus* (P22Lyso-SpmX). While similarly made constructs with SpmX and the
SpmX-E11A mutant exhibited the previously determined morphological and delocalization
phenotypes (**Fig. 6Aii-iii**), replacing the SpmX muramidase domain with P22 lysozyme
phenocopied the parent Δ*spmX* strain. However, we were unable to detect any sfGFP-fusion
products in these chimeras by Western blot (**Fig. 6B**). We confirmed that P22Lyso-SpmX had
been correctly inserted in these strains by sequencing, suggesting that the chimeras were
expressed but degraded quickly in *C. crescentus*. Therefore the phenotype of this chimera is due
to loss of SpmX and not the addition of the P22 lysozyme domain. Inactivation of P22Lyso
(E11A) did not change the outcome, suggesting that the toxicity of the phage muramidase was
not driving degradation of SpmX. Deletion of the muramidase domain from the *spmX* locus in all
three species also resulted in strains that failed to produce detectable amounts of Δmur-SpmX-

sfGFP by Western blot (**Fig. S5E**). These results suggest that the SpmX muramidase domain is
necessary to produce and/or maintain WT levels of SpmX in all three species, and that phage
muramidase P22Lyso, with high sequence similarity (51%) and structural homology (RMSD 1.7
Å), is not sufficient to replace it.
Given that P22Lyso and SpmX muramidase are fairly distant relatives despite high
sequence conservation, we tested the ability of other SpmX muramidase domains to replace that
of *C. crescentus*. First, we attempted to restore the phage active site D20 (L28D in SpmX
numbering) in the native SpmX gene, but this had no evident effect on SpmX localization or cell
morphology (**Fig. 6iv**). Previously, the muramidase domains of *C. crescentus* and *Asticcacaulis*
were shown to be interchangeable [17], so we extended the sequence distance to SpmX
muramidases from the next closest relative *B. subvibrioides*, which has D20R in the catalytic
cleft, and the most distant relative *P. bermudensis,* which shares the D20L mutation. We found
that the muramidase domain from *B. subvibrioides* supported the WT phenotype in *C. crescentus*
(**Fig. 6v**), but that the SpmX muramidase domain from *P. bermudensis* did not. We were
surprised to see no evidence of delocalization in the *B. subvibrioides* SpmX chimera because the
L20R mutant of SpmX in *C. crescentus* showed some delocalization (**Fig. 6vi**). This result
suggests that the L20R mutation in the brevundimonads must coexist with other compensatory
mutations. The SpmX muramidase domain from *P. bermudensis*, like P22Lyso, must be too
distant from *C. crescentus* to support WT levels of SpmX protein. In combination with data from
the P22Lyso chimeras, these data indicate that a T4L GH fold alone is not sufficient for SpmX
function, and that the SpmX muramidase domain must contain mutations necessary for stable
protein levels in Caulobacterales. It also suggests that this domain has additional constraints on it
unrelated to potential peptidoglycan interactions.
346
347 **Discussion**
348
Bacteriophages shape bacterial evolution in various ways: they increase bacterial diversity by
selectively preying on species [2,34], drive horizontal gene transfer of phage and bacterial genes
[8,35], and serve as reservoirs of raw material for new bacterial genes [36,37]. Phages are
heralded as a major source of genetic material for novel gene emergence in bacteria [2,36,37],
but, as we discuss later in this section, very few examples of novel gene emergence from
prophage exist in the literature. We have investigated the origin and function of a taxonomically
restricted gene from Caulobacterales, *spmX*, and determined that its occurrence is the result of
the fusion and domestication of a peptidoglycan hydrolase gene commonly found in prophage.
Despite the previously demonstrated role of SpmX as a scaffold in developmental regulation and
morphology, the muramidase domain retains high sequence similarity to phage lysozymes,
which are toxic to bacteria. The active cleft contains mutations that have attenuated the toxic
activity of the domain, presumably making it available for genetic innovation and bacterial use.
We show here that the domain remains enzymatically active on peptidoglycan and that reducing
or eliminating this activity alters the function of the full-length protein *in vivo*. Thus, the SpmX
gene represents a bacterial gene innovation, specific to the Caulobacterales order and with
housekeeping function, that originally arose from a prophage gene with antibacterial activity.
Previously, it was suggested that the SpmX muramidase domain functions only in
protein-protein and self-oligomerizing interactions in SpmX's role as a developmental regulator
and scaffold in *C. crescentus* [18]. This conclusion was based on the lack of detectable activity
from the purified domain and the inability of the catalytic E11R (E19R in SpmX numbering)

mutant to self-oligomerize. The conservation of the GH fold and the catalytic glutamate clearly
suggests that the activity of SpmX is important for its domesticated function, and, importantly,
we were able to observe muramidase activity *in vitro* with purified protein. It is highly likely that
the E11R mutation greatly destabilizes the structure of the muramidase domain. We found that
the E11A mutant eluted in multiple fractions during purification, indicating decreased
conformational stability. Moreover, the E11R mutant exactly phenocopies the Δmur-SpmX
phenotype *in vivo* in that the protein product was no longer detectable in the cells expressing the
gene [18]. In this sense, the effect of the E11R mutation is similar to using a distantly related
muramidase domain (like P22Lyso) or deleting portions of the muramidase domain entirely.
These data indicate that the muramidase domain plays an unanticipated role in maintaining stable
levels of SpmX protein across all tested species: without the muramidase domain, the protein is
misfolded or misprocessed and is therefore quickly degraded.
Inactivating the SpmX muramidase domain resulted in developmental defects in *C.*
crescentus and significant loss of the bilateral stalk morphology in *A. biprosthecum*. It is curious
that inactivating the enzymatic domain did not yield a null phenotype or complete delocalization.
Since SpmX-E11A and N105R still bind to sacculi *in vitro*, it is possible that enough
peptidoglycan-interactions are maintained in the mutants for partial SpmX function. It is also
possible that SpmX recruits another protein with redundant enzymatic activity that cannot be
recruited in the Δ*spmX* mutant, as it is already known that SpmX interacts with targeting factors
via its C-terminal domains in *Asticcacaulis* [17] and possibly via its transmembrane segments
with DivJ [15]. Finally, it is hard to distinguish whether there is a direct relationship between
catalytic activity and peptidoglycan binding, and therefore localization, or if cleavage of
peptidoglycan by SpmX could indirectly impact SpmX localization. The multiple domains and
pleiotropic effects of SpmX make it difficult to assess the effects of an individual domain on its
in vivo function. However, our data support a model in which the muramidase domain of SpmX
is still active, and this activity is used to localize SpmX directly or indirectly.
SpmX emerges in the genomic record at the root of Caulobacterales with the attenuating
D20L mutation already in the muramidase domain (**Fig. 1B**). The D20L mutation is therefore
ancestral and potentially the initial step in the co-option of the domain. The conservation of
D20L throughout most of Caulobacterales suggests evolutionary constraint on this position
despite no observable phenotype from the SpmX-Mur-*Cc* L20D reversion mutation *in vivo* or *in*
vitro. There are several modifications to the active cleft, including the loss of selection on the
third catalytic triad position, T26, and the invariant residue Y18. This pair is interesting in that
Y18 was identified as a hotspot for spontaneous second site revertants of T26 mutants in T4L
[31]. It is possible that the scatter we see at these two positions are compensatory mutations
retaining attenuated activity, although a clear history of covariation is not clear. In two groups,
SpmX has diverged from the ancestral D20L mutation: the marine genera *Oceanicaulis* and
Maricaulis (D20R/G), and the freshwater/soil genus *Brevundimonas* (D20R) (**Fig. 1B, S1**).
Interestingly, D20R is covariant with residue N105 (**Fig. S1**), which is a peptidoglycan-
interacting residue in T4 lysozyme [33]. The covariance of peptidoglycan-interacting residues in
these diverging genera further underscores the importance of this domain in peptidoglycan
interactions, rather than just protein-protein interactions.
The SpmX gene has arisen recently enough to see the hallmarks of novel gene emergence
and adaptation in a constrained bacterial clade. The gene either arose from a fusion event in the
bacterial genome, or the original phage gene contained the transmembrane segments.
Domestication of the muramidase appears concomitant with the occurrence of the fused SpmX

domains in the extant genetic record. Maintenance of the muramidase domain since the
emergence of SpmX and its activity in current living members of Caulobacterales suggest that its
activity was selected for in the ancestral protein and still implicated in its modern function.
Given the variability of the intermediate domain throughout Caulobacterales (**Fig. 1A**), this
domain is under few constraints and appears to have undergone multiple independent events of
elaboration and reduction in this order. This region of charged residues and prolines drives
SpmX self-oligomerization *in vitro* [18], and may also facilitate other protein interactions. For
example, the intermediate domain is responsible for the targeting of SpmX to sub-polar and
bilateral positions in *Asticcacaulis* [17].
In several reported cases bacteria have domesticated phage genes for genetic
manipulation and transfer, bacterial warfare, virulence, and secretion. However, these events are
distinct from that which created the novel bacterial gene SpmX. Phage genes for DNA
replication and recombination have replaced bacterial functional homologues within bacterial
genomes several times [38–41]. These genes retain their original function and are now used by
bacteria to carry out the same tasks. Gene transfer agents (GTAs) pose an interesting case where
structural proteins from domesticated cryptic prophage are used by bacteria to package random
DNA from the bacterial genome to presumably share with other bacteria [42]. In
Alphaproteobacteria, a specific GTA has been stably maintained across several bacterial orders
but the beneficial function is not precisely known [43,44]. Regardless, this domesticated island
of phage genes still shuttles DNA around, as it once did in ancestral infectious cycles. Phage tails
appear to have been weaponized many times, with multiple domestication events resulting in
type VI secretion systems [45,46], tailocins and phage tail-like bacteriocins [12–14], phage tail-
like systems with insecticidal properties [11,47,48], and phage tail-like arrays [49]. All of these
represent a "guns for hire" acquisition scheme in which phage genes are co-opted without loss of
ancestral toxicity and function [2]. Many of these genes reside in genomic islands and confer
environmental, niche-specific advantages that directly exploit their ancestral activity for the
benefit of the host. Similarly, in two other known cases of phage lysozyme domestication in
bacteria, structurally similar, active domains have been fused to colicins [50] or are predicted to
be secreted with type III secretion systems [51], presumably for use in bacterial warfare or
infection. In one strange case, a phage lysozyme gene has been co-opted in bivalve genomes,
which apparently still use the gene for its antibacterial properties [52].
The domestication of the muramidase domain in SpmX is distinct from the above cases
of "guns for hire" because the phage gene has been incorporated into a novel bacterial gene with
new function in basic cellular processes. The SpmX muramidase domain, although active, is no
longer used to lyse bacterial cells. Instead, the active domain plays a role in localizing the SpmX
protein for its function in developmental regulation and morphogenesis and has become part of a
core gene in Caulobacterales. The co-option of phage genes for housekeeping function is likely a
common event in nature, but identifying such genes may require a careful search. We suggest
that the characteristics of SpmX may recommend future strategies for their detection: searching
for phage gene homologues that have long histories of vertical inheritance and signs of bacterial
innovation.
456
Acknowledgements
We thank members of the Brun, Vernet, and Dessen laboratories for support, advice and
encouragement. Many thanks to members of the Brun Laboratory, Ernesto Vargas, Farrah
Bashey-Visser, Jay Lennon, Daniel Schwartz, and Breah LaSarre for critical reading and editing

of the manuscript. Additional thanks to critical preprint review by the journal clubs of the
(Pamela) Brown Lab at the University of Missouri and the Süel Lab at UCSD. We thank David
Flot (ESRF, beamline ID30a1) for support in data collection. Support for this work came from
NIH Grant 2R01GM051986 and R35GM122556 (to Y.V.B.), and NIH National Research
Service Award F32GM112362 (to A.M.R.). Work of Y.V.B. at the Institut de Biologie
Structurale in Grenoble was supported by a Fulbright US Research Scholar Award. This work
used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC Center (ISBG : UMS 3518 CNRS-CEA-UGA-
EMBL) with support from FRISBI (ANR-10-INSB-05-02) and GRAL (ANR-10-LABX-49-01)
within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The SpmX is vertically inherited in Caulobacterales. (A) Schematic of SpmX
architecture, including the conserved muramidase domain (see **Fig. S1** for alignments), the
variable intermediate domain, and two C-terminal transmembrane (TM) segments. Bar indicates
amino acid sequence conservation among *spmX* alleles. **(B)** Phylogenetic trees of representative
species from Caulobacterales and other Alphaproteobacteria for concatenated housekeeping gene
alignments (left) and for SpmX (right), with branch colors indicating the amino acid identity at
position 20 of SpmX (D20L in yellow, D20R in red, and D20G in green). The concatenated
housekeeping tree is fully supported with posterior probability of 1.0 for all clades. Asterisks
indicate clades in the SpmX tree with posterior probabilities > 0.95.

**Figure 2. The SpmX muramidase domain retains the canonical GH motif but contains
inactivating mutations in the catalytic cleft. (A)** P22 lysozyme (PDB 2ANX) as a model
lysozyme colored with rainbow gradient from blue N-terminus to red C-terminus. The catalytic
glutamate appears in fuchsia and the GH beta-hairpin in light blue. **(B)** HMM logos of GH
lysozymes made using WebLogo 3 [53]. Logos were constructed from protein sequences of **(i)**
T4 lysozyme-like genes (n = 94), **(ii)** representative autolysins/endolysins from the Conserved
Domain Database including P22 lysozyme (n = 20) but excluding SpmX genes, **(iii)** closest
BLAST hits from non-SpmX muramidases (n = 60), and **(iv)** SpmX muramidases (n = 66), and
organized in a cladogram to resemble the sequence cluster tree diagram in **Figure S2**. Amino
acids are color-coded according to chemical properties, with uncharged polar residues in green,
neutral residues in purple, basic residues in blue, acidic residues in red, and hydrophobic residues
in black. The height of each letter is proportional to the relative frequency of a given identity and
the height of the stack indicates the sequence conservation at that position. T4L numbering is
used for ease of comparison. Asterisks mark positions critical for enzymatic activity and open
circles mark positions associated with GH motif stability [26,27].

**Figure 3. The structure of SpmX muramidase domain has a wider, more dynamic catalytic
cleft than related phage lysins. (A)** Structural alignment of P22 lysozyme (PDB 2ANX, the
model used for molecular replacement) in purple, R21 endolysin from P21 (PDB 2HDE, a
distantly related GH24 T4L lysozyme) in navy blue, and SpmX-Mur-*Ae* in gold (PDB 6H9D).
The catalytic glutamate is shown in red. **(B)** Structural alignment of the three SpmX-Mur-*Ae*
molecules, chains A (green), B (light blue), and C (dark blue), from the asymmetric unit. The
surface of chain B is shown in partially transparent light blue. The double-headed arrow
indicates the tilt of about 16° between the GH beta-hairpins of chains B and A. **(C)** Overlays of

ribbon diagrams and surfaces of P22 lysozyme (2ANX, left) and SpmX-Mur-*Ae* (6H9D, right)
illustrating the conformation of the critical residues E11 (red), D20 (dark blue), R14 (yellow),
and Y18 (orange). T4L numbering is used for ease of comparison. These structures have been
rotated 180° around the y axis from their representation in (A, B, D, E)**. (D)** Surface
representation of P22 lysozyme (2ANX) with inset showing ribbon diagram and conformation of
catalytic cleft with the canonical E11/D20/T26 catalytic triad. **(E)** Surface representation of
SpmX-Mur-*Ae* (6H9D) with inset showing ribbon diagram and conformation of remodeled
catalytic cleft with E11/D20L/T26M.

Figure 4. The D20L mutation attenuates P22 hydrolytic activity. (A) Remazol brilliant blue
assays on *C. crescentus* sacculi using purified P22 lysozyme, P22 lysozyme D20L mutant, and
C. crescentus SpmX muramidase. Active enzymes release peptidoglycan monomers covalently-
bound to RBB into the supernatant that are detected by absorbance at 595 nm. Error bars are ±
standard deviation. Lines are drawn to help guide the eye toward basic trends. Data points are
from various days and sacculi preparations, but with internal normalization to Hen Egg White
Lysozyme (HEWL). **(B** and **C)** Growth curves of Lemo21(DE3) *E. coli* expressing P22
lysozyme (blue), P22 lysozyme D20L mutant (green), and *C. crescentus* SpmX muramidase
(red). Proteins were expressed from pET22b with a N-terminal PelB signal sequence. In **(B)**,
strains were grown in 5 mM rhamnose without IPTG for maximal repression of basal expression
from the plasmids. In **(C)**, strains were grown without rhamnose and induced with 400 μM IPTG
at the indicated time. **(D)** Phase/fluorescent overlays show live/dead staining of (i)
Lemo21(DE3) cells expressing P22Lyso-D20L and (ii) SpmX-Mur-*Cc* after four hours of
induction. Green, membrane permeable SYTO 9 stains DNA in live cells and red, membrane
impermeable propidium iodide nucleic acid dyes labels released nucleoids and DNA from lysed
bacteria. The rounding of the *E. coli* in (i) is characteristic of spheroplast formation and lysis by
hydrolytic activity on the cell wall. Scale bars are 5 μm.

Figure 5. Inactivating the muramidase domain partially delocalizes SpmX *in vivo*.
Phase and fluorescent images of (**A**) *C. crescentus*, (**B**) A. *biprosthecum,* and (**C**) *A. excentricus.*
In the top panel, phase images with derived schematics emphasizing stalks and morphologies are
shown for (**i**) WT and (**ii**) Δ*spmX* cells. In **Aii**, *C. crescentus* cells exhibiting characteristic
Δ*spmX* divisional defects are marked with asterisks and a cell growing stalks from both poles has
its stalks marked with red arrowheads. Phase and fluorescent images of cells expressing (**iii**)
SpmX-eGFP, (**iv**) SpmX-E11A-eGFP, or (**v**) SpmX-N105R-eGFP from the native chromosomal
locus are shown in the lower panels. In **Aiv** and **Av**, cells with divisional defects are marked with
white asterisks. In **Biii** and **Biv**, cells with one lateral or subpolar stalk are marked with white
arrowheads. In **Civ**, cells with foci at the tips of stalks are marked with white arrowheads. All
scale bars are 5 μm.

Figure 6. Replacing the muramidase domain interferes with native SpmX protein levels *in*
***vivo* (A)** Phase and fluorescent images of strains in which the native *spmX* allele was replaced
with the following gene fusions in the Δ*spmX* parent strain (i): (ii) WT *spmX-sfGFP*, (iii) *spmX-*
E11A-sfGFP, (iv) *spmX-L20D-sfGFP*, (v) *MurBs-Δmur-SpmX-sfGFP* where *MurBs* is the
muramidase domain from *Brevundimonas subvibrioides* SpmX*,* and (vi) *spmX-L20R-sfGFP*. All
scale bars are 5 μm.. **(B)** Western blot comparing the Δ*spmX* parent strain to SpmX mutants and

chimeras inserted at the *spmX* locus. In all cases, the primary antibody is directed against the C-
terminal GFP fusion.
554
555 **Tables**
556
557 **Table S1. *spmX* genes**

Gene ID	Genome ID	Genome Name	Aas
2579190773	2576861677	*Asticcacaulis benevestitus DSM 16100, ATCC BAA-896*	825
2515453797	2515154077	*Asticcacaulis benevestitus DSM 16100, ATCC BAA-896*	825
651318754	651285000	*Asticcacaulis biprosthecum C19, ATCC 27554*	826
649817473	649633007	*Asticcacaulis excentricus CB 48*	810
2579161412	2576861669	*Asticcacaulis sp. AC402*	861
2575314089	2574180013	*Asticcacaulis sp. AC460*	803
2573897243	2571042840	*Asticcacaulis sp. AC466*	863
2579118533	2576861656	*Asticcacaulis sp. YBE204*	851
2597242146	2596583650	*Asticcacaulis taihuensis CGMCC 1.3431*	775
2525860150	2524614798	*Brevundimonas aveniformis DSM 17977*	453
2562052386	2561511096	*Brevundimonas bacteroides DSM 4726*	507
2534536731	2531839707	*Brevundimonas diminuta 470-4*	291
2635997287	2634166514	*Brevundimonas diminuta XGC1*	291
2580800557	2579778742	*Brevundimonas naejangsanensis B1*	291
2525302517	2524614659	*Brevundimonas naejangsanensis DSM 23858*	296
2602030628	2600255394	*Brevundimonas nasdae TPW30*	393
2597236398	2596583649	*Brevundimonas sp. 374*	381
2646174451	2645727605	*Brevundimonas sp. AAP58*	493
647574062	647533115	*Brevundimonas sp. BAL3*	526
2628481828	2627853674	*Brevundimonas sp. KM4*	381
648120868	648028010	*Brevundimonas subvibrioides ATCC 15264*	514
2671799930	2671180176	*Brevundimonas viscosa CGMCC 1.10683*	420
637088217	637000061	*Caulobacter crescentus CB15*	431
643620503	643348526	*Caulobacter crescentus NA1000*	431
2522234411	2522125057	*Caulobacter crescentus OR37*	429
2585155721	2582581280	*Caulobacter henricii CF287*	419
2585146921	2582581279	*Caulobacter henricii OK261*	398
2585198327	2582581293	*Caulobacter henricii YR570*	419
646754844	646564519	*Caulobacter segnis ATCC 21756*	413
2511123337	2510917020	*Caulobacter sp. AP07*	425
2528897460	2528768059	*Caulobacter sp. JGI 0001010-J14*	422
2528898539	2528768060	*Caulobacter sp. JGI 0001013-D04*	421
641563661	641522612	*Caulobacter sp. K31*	417
2587919405	2585428106	*Caulobacter sp. OV484*	439
2686817300	2684623106	*Caulobacter sp. UKL13*	439
2593324358	2590828858	*Caulobacter sp. UNC279MFTsu5.1*	421

2565991034	2565956508	*Caulobacter sp. UNC358MFTsu5.1*	424
2522923390	2522572103	*Caulobacter sp. URHA0033*	413
2677566563	2675903340	*Caulobacter vibrioides T5M6*	426
2525553380	2524614726	*Hellea balneolensis DSM 19091*	439
2519259833	2519103046	*Henriciella marina DSM 19595*	535
644903978	644736375	*Hirschia baltica ATCC 49814*	597
2520004565	2519899518	*Hirschia maritima DSM 19733*	577
2578549711	2576861496	*Hyphomonas adhaerens MHS-3*	386
2578539236	2576861493	*Hyphomonas hirschiana VP5*	421
2578553211	2576861497	*Hyphomonas jannaschiana VP2*	385
2578549047	2576861495	*Hyphomonas johnsonii MHS-2*	409
638143841	637000135	*Hyphomonas neptunium ATCC 15444*	421
2578534396	2576861492	*Hyphomonas oceanitis SCH89*	407
2578543740	2576861494	*Hyphomonas polymorpha PS728*	418
2583291548	2582580773	*Hyphomonas sp. 22II1-22F38*	390
2583281302	2582580770	*Hyphomonas sp. 25B14_1*	392
2583297317	2582580774	*Hyphomonas sp. BH-BN04-4*	407
2583284155	2582580771	*Hyphomonas sp. CY54-11-8*	386
2583256535	2582580764	*Hyphomonas sp. L-53-1-40*	398
2583287429	2582580772	*Hyphomonas sp. T16B2*	392
638141228	637000157	*Maricaulis maris MCS10*	638
2635165820	2634166316	*Maricaulis salignorans DSM 16077*	633
2554749235	2554235119	*Maricaulis sp. JL2009/Euryhalocaulis caribicus*	464
2523851441	2523533582	*Oceanicaulis alexandrii DSM 11625*	643
638892666	638341138	*Oceanicaulis alexandrii HTCC2633*	596
2609050000	2608642188	*Oceanicaulis sp.*	514
2582569245	2579779170	*Oceanicaulis sp. HL-87*	514
648155025	648028050	*Parvularcula bermudensis HTCC2503*	344
2574131646	2571042909	*Phenylobacterium composti DSM 19425*	438
642759179	642555147	*Phenylobacterium zucineum HLK1*	445
2524841340	2524614539	*Ponticaulis koreensis DSM 19734*	687
2522300904	2522125083	*Robiginitomaculum antarcticum DSM 21748*	502
2522254887	2522125070	*Woodsholea maritima DSM 17123*	853

Table S2. Data collection and refinement statistics

Data collection

Name of dataset	3SpmX_B1_1
X-ray source	ID30a-1 (ESRF)
Scan range (°)	76
Oscillation (°)	0.1
Space group	$P3_221$
Unit-cell parameters	
a, Å	100.44
b, Å	100.44
c, Å	96.62

15

570	α, °	90
571	β, °	90
572	γ, °	120
573	Resolution (last shell), Å	1.90 (2.01-1.90)
574	Completeness (last shell), %	95.1 (95.0)
575	$I/\Gamma(I)$ (last shell)	33.99 (3.1)
576	Rsym† (last shell), %	2.0 (43.6)
577	No of unique reflections	42611
578	Wilson B factor, (Å²)	44.4

580 Refinement and model statistics

581	Resolution (last shell), Å		1.90 (1.95-1.90)
582	R-factor‡, R-free§		0.211, 0.256
583	Molecules/asymmetric unit		3
584	rmsd from target[i]		
585	Bond lengths, Å	0.008	
586	Bond angle, °		1.182
587	Average B-factor, Å²		86.34
588	Mean B factor (Å²)		41.7
589	Ramachandran plot**		
590	Core, %	97.5	
591	Allowed, %		2.5
592	Disallowed, %		0

†Rsym = $(\Sigma(ABS(I(h,i)-(I(h))))) / (\Sigma(I(h,i)))$.
‡R-factor = $\Sigma_j |Fo_j - |Fc_j| / \Sigma_j |Fo_j$ where Fo and Fc are the observed and calculated structure factor
amplitudes, respectively.
§R-free is the R-factor calculated with 5% of the reflections chosen at random and omitted from
refinement.
[i]rmsd of bond lengths and bond angles from ideal geometry.
**Performed by Procheck.

Table S3. Plasmids

YB#	Plasmid Name/Contents (Resistance)	Source or Reference
	Expression Plasmids – Structural Studies	
	pTB146-SUMO	Bernhardt Lab, [54]
8120	pTB146-SUMO-*spmX-Mur*(*1-150)-Ae* (Amp)	This study
	Expression Plasmids – Activity and Binding	
1170	pET28a (Kan)	Novagen
8107	pET28a-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Cc* (Kan)	This study
8111	pET28a-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Ae* (Kan)	This study
8114	pET28a-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Ab* (Kan)	This study
8889	pET28a-*spmX-Mur-Cc-E19A* (Kan) "E11A"	This study
8890	pET28a-*spmX-Mur-Cc-L28D* (Kan) "L20D"	This study
8891	pET28a-*spmX-Mur-Cc-N91R* (Kan) "N105R"	This study
8898	pET28a-*P22Lyso* (Kan)	This study
410	pET28a-*P22Lyso-E11A* (Kan)	This study
7378	pET28a-*P22Lyso-D20L* (Kan)	This study
	Periplasmic Expression Plasmids	
3562	pET22b (Amp)	Novagen
7379	pET22b-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Cc* (Amp)	This study
7380	pET22b-*spmX-Mur-Cc-E19A* (Amp) "E11A"	This study

7381	pET22b-*spmX-Mur-Cc-L28D* (Amp) "L20D"	This study
7382	pET22b-*P22Lyso* (Amp)	This study
7383	pET22b-*P22Lyso-E11A* (Amp)	This study
7384	pET22b-*P22Lyso-D20L* (Amp)	This study

In vivo Allelic Exchange and Insertional Plasmids

1621	pNPTS138 (Kan)	M.R.K. Alley, unpublished
8247	pNPTS138-Δ*spmXAe*	This study
8246	pNPTS138-Δ*spmXAb*	This study
8252	pNPTS138-*spmXCc-E19A* "E11A"	This study
8251	pNPTS138-*spmXAe-E19A* "E11A"	This study
8250	pNPTS138-*spmXAb-E19A* "E11A"	This study
7395	pNPTS138-Δ*mur(1-150)-spmXCc*	This study
7396	pNPTS138-Δ*mur(1-150)-spmXAe*	This study
7398	pNPTS138-Δ*mur(1-150)-spmXAb*	This study
5111	pGFPC-1 (Spc/Str)	[55]
	pGFPC-2 (Kan)	[55]
7407	pGFPC-1-*spmXAb*(last 600 bp) (Spc/Str)	[17]
7408	pGFPC-1-*spmXAe*(last 600 bp) (Spc/Str)	[17]
8271	pGFPC-2-*spmXCc*(last 600 bp) (Kan)	This study
	pMCS-2 (Kan)	[55]
7409	pMCS-2-*spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	This study
7410	pMCS-2-*spmXCc-E19A-sfGFP* (Kan) "E11A"	This study
7417	pMCS-2-*P22Lyso-Δmur-spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	This study
7418	pMCS-2-*P22Lyso-E11A-Δmur-spmXcc-sfGFP* (Kan)	This study
1041	pMCS-2-*MBs(1-140)-Δmur-spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	This study
1042	pMCS-2-*MPb(1-168)-Δmur-spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	This study

604 **Table S4. Strains**

YB#	Strain Genotype (Resistance)	Construct, Source, or Reference

E. coli **Strains**

	Alpha-Select	Bioline
	BL21(DE3) RIL	Agilent
	BL21(DE3) RIL pTB146SUMO-*spmXMur*(*1-150)-Ae* (Amp)	This study
104	BL21(DE3)	Novagen
8126	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Cc*	This study
8129	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Ae*	This study
8132	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Ab*	This study
8881	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*spmX-Mur-Cc-E19A*	This study
8882	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*spmX-Mur-Cc-L28D*	This study
8899	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*spmX-Mur-Cc-N91R*	This study
8141	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*P22L*	This study
1043	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*P22L-E11A*	This study
1044	BL21(DE3) pET28a-*P22L-D20L*	This study
8880	Lemo21(DE3) (Cm)	NEB

1045	Lemo21(DE3) pET22b-*spmX-Mur(1-150)-Cc* (Cm/Amp)	This study
1046	Lemo21(DE3) pET22b-*spmX-Mur-Cc-E19A* (Cm/Amp)	This study
1047	Lemo21(DE3) pET22b-*spmX-Mur-Cc-L20D* (Cm/Amp)	This study
	Lemo21(DE3) pET22b-*P22L* (Cm/Amp)	This study
1049	Lemo21(DE3) pET22b-*P22L-E11A* (Cm/Amp)	This study
1050	Lemo21(DE3) pET22b-*P22L-D20L* (Cm/Amp)	This study

***C. crescentus* Strains**

127	*C. crescentus* CB15N (holdfast minus WT)	
5873	CB15N Δ*spmX*	[15]
8265	CB15N *spmX* :: *spmXCc-eGFP* (Kan)	YB127 electroporated with YB8271
8262	CB15N *spmXCc*-E19A "E11A"	YB127 electroporated with YB8252
8266	CB15N *spmX-E19A* :: *spmXCc-eGFP* (Kan) "E11A"	YB8262 electroporated with YB8271
8275	CB15N Δ*mur(1-150)-spmXCc*	YB127 electroporated with YB7395
1051	CB15N Δ*mur-spmXCc* :: *spmXCc-eGFP* (Kan)	YB8275 electroporated with YB8271
1052	CB15N Δ*spmX* :: *spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	YB5873 electroporated with YB7409
1053	CB15N Δ*spmX* :: *spmXCc-E19A-sfGFP* (Kan) "E11A"	YB5873 electroporated with YB7410
1054	CB15N Δ*spmX* :: *P22Lyso-Δmur-spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	YB5873 electroporated with YB7417
1055	CB15N Δ*spmX* :: *P22Lyso-E11A-Δmur-spmXcc-sfGFP* (Kan)	YB5873 electroporated with YB7418
1056	CB15N Δ*spmX* :: *MBs-Δmur-spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	YB5873 electroporated with YB1041
1057	CB15N Δ*spmX* :: *MPb-Δmur-spmXCc-sfGFP* (Kan)	YB5873 electroporated with YB1042

***A. excentricus* Strains**

258	*A. excentricus* AC48	[56]
6662	AC48 *spmX* :: *spmXAe-eGFP* (Spc/Str)	[17]
8238	AC48 Δ*spmX*	YB258 electroporated with YB8247
8260	AC48 *spmXAe-E19A* "E11A"	YB258 electroporated with YB8251
8261	AC48 *spmXAe-E19A* :: *spmXAe-eGFP* (Spc/Str) "E11A"	YB8260 electroporated with YB5686
8276	AC48 Δ*mur(1-150)spmXAe*	YB258 electroporated with YB7396
1058	AC48 Δ*mur-spmXAe* :: *spmXAe-eGFP* (Spc/Str)	YB8276 electroporated with

		YB7408
A. biprosthecum Strains		
642	*A. biprosthecum* C19	[57]
1059	C19 *spmX* :: *spmXAb-eGFP* (Spc/Str)	[17]
8237	C19 Δ*spmX*	YB642 electroporated with YB8246
8257	C19 *spmXE19A* "E11A"	YB642 electroporated with YB8250
8258	C19 *spmXE19A* :: *spmXAb-eGFP* (Spc/Str) "E11A"	YB8257 electroporated with YB5681
8277	C19 Δ*mur(1-150)-spmXAb*	YB642 electroporated with YB7398
1060	C19 Δ*mur(1-150)-spmXAb* :: *spmXAb-eGFP* (Spc/Str)	YB8277 electroporated with YB7407

605
606
607 **Materials and methods**
608
Bacterial strains and growth conditions. All *C. crescentus*, *A. excentricus*, and *A.*
biprosthecum strains used in this study were grown in liquid PYE medium. *C. crescentus* was
grown at 30°C and *Asticcacaulis* species at 26°C. Strains were maintained on PYE plates
supplemented with antibiotics as necessary (kanamycin 20 μgmL^{-1}, gentamycin 5μgmL^{-1}, and
spectinomycin 100 μgmL^{-1}). For microscopy, *C. crescentus* and *A. excentricus* were inoculated
from colonies, grown overnight, then diluted back 1:50 and grown for another 3-4 hours before
being imaged in mid- to late-exponential phase. *A. biprosthecum* was inoculated from colonies
and grown overnight to reach mid- to late-exponential phase for imaging. A detailed list of
strains is included as **Table S4**. *E. coli* strains were grown as described in the Methods sections
on purification and periplasmic expression.
619
Bioinformatics and gene trees. Sequences of the SpmX genes in **Table S1** and members of the
GH24 family were retrieved by BLAST searches on the Integrated Microbial Genomes and
Microbiomes (IMG/M) database [58] and the National Center for Biotechnology Information
(NCBI) "nr" database. Multiple alignments were achieved with MUSCLE [59] and manually
adjusted and visualized with Jalview [60]. Sequence conservation of SpmX residues was
determined from the multiple sequence alignment of *spmX* alleles using ConSeq [61]. In order to
improve visualization of conservation patterns, the ConSeq scores were averaged across a 20-
residue sliding window. For estimating bacterial species phylogeny, assembled genome data
were obtained from the genome database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information
[62]. Amino acid sequences of 37 conserved housekeeping genes were automatically identified,
aligned, and concatenated using Phylosift [63]. All phylogenetic reconstruction was performed
using MrBayes v3.2.6 [64] to estimate consensus phylogenies and clade posterior probability
support values. Sequence substitution was modeled according to a WAG substation model with
gamma-distributed rate variation between sites. Trees were visualized and formatted using iTol
[65]. The sequence cluster tree was built with NCBI's Conserved Domain Database tool (CDD).
This tool uses reverse position-specific BLAST, a method that compares query sequences to
databases of position-specific score matrices and obtains *E*-values, such as in PSI-BLAST

[21,22]. WebLogo3 was used to plot the amino acid distribution at each position of the GH motif
[53]. To create the alignments for logo generation, 94 T4 lysozyme-like sequences, 20
endolysin/autolysins from the CDD analysis, 60 SpmX muramidase-like sequences (BLAST
hits), and 66 SpmX muramidase sequences were simultaneously aligned to T4 lysozyme. Only
sequences with unambiguous alignment in the GH motif were included in this analysis.
642
Recombinant DNA methods. DNA amplification, Gibson cloning, and restriction digests were
performed according to the manufacturer. Restriction enzymes and Gibson cloning mix were
from New England Biolabs. Cloning steps were carried out in *E. coli* (alpha-select competent
cells, Bioline) and plasmids were purified using Zyppy Plasmid Kits (Zymo Research
Corporation). Sequencing was performed by the Indiana Molecular Biology Institute and
Eurofins MWG Operon Technologies with double stranded plasmid or PCR templates, which
were purified with a DNA Clean & Concentrator kits (Zymo Research Corporation).
Chromosomal DNA was purified using the Bactozol Bacterial DNA Isolation Kit (Molecular
Research Center). Plasmids were introduced into all *E. coli* strains using chemical transformation
according to the manufacturer's protocols. Plasmids were introduced into *C. crescentus*, *A.*
excentricus, and *A. biprosthecum* by electroporation based on previously published studies [66].
Allelic exchange in was achieved with pNPTS138, large genetic insertions with pMCS-2 [55],
and eGFP insertional fusions with pGFPC-1 and pGFPC-2 [55].
656
Plasmid construction.
Expression plasmids (pTB146SUMO, pET28a, pET22b): *spmX* gene fragments encoding amino
acids 2-150 of SpmX (SpmX-Mur) were amplified from genomic DNA and inserted into
linearized expression vectors using Gibson cloning (NEB) according to manufacturers protocols.
P22 lysozyme (P22Lyso) was amplified from a synthetic gene strand (Eurofins) for similar
construction with Gibson cloning. For pTB147SUMO, the vector was linearized with SapI and
XhoI to insert SpmX-Mur-*Ae*. For pET28a, the vector was linearized with NdeI and EcoRI to
insert SpmX-Mur-*Cc*, BamHI and XhoI to insert SpmX-Mur-*Ae*, SacI to insert SpmX-Mur-*Ab*,
and EcoRI to insert P22Lyso. In all pET28a plasmids, the constructs were cloned in frame with
the N-terminal His-tag and a stop codon to eliminate the C-terminal His-tag. For pET22b, the
vector was linearized with EcoRI and the C-terminal His-tag was preserved. Point mutants in
expression vectors were obtained by using standard quick-change procedures and primers with 3'
single stranded overhangs for increased efficiency.
Integrating plasmids for allelic exchange (pNPTS138): For allelic exchange, the desired
mutation was engineered into pNPTS138, bracketed by 1 kb up- and downstream of the
corresponding genetic region. Integrants were isolated by antibiotic selection and secondary
recombination events were selected by sucrose counter-selection using standard procedures. The
resulting clones were confirmed by PCR and sequencing isolated genomic DNA.
For genomic deletions of *spmX* in *Asticcacaulis*, pNPTS138 was linearized with EcoRI
and codons on either end of the gene were retained to avoid introducing frame-shifts in the
surrounding area. Therefore the final gene deletion in *A. excentricus* lacks residues 5-808 and in
A. biprosthecum lacks residues 5-815. For SpmXΔmur truncations, resides 2-150 were removed
in all three species. In all cases pNPTS138 was linearized with EcoRV, except for SpmX*Ab*-
E19A, where pNPTS138 was linearized with EcoRI. Point mutations E19A and N91R were
integrated into the Δ*spmX* background for ease of clone isolation and included full-length SpmX
flanked by 1 kb genetic context. pNPTS138 containing mutated SpmX were constructed using

Gibson cloning with fragments on either side of the intended mutation and overlapping primers
containing the mutation amplified from genomic DNA. In all cases pNPTS138 was linearized
with EcoRV, except for SpmX*Ab*-E19A, where pNPTS138 was linearized with EcoRI.
Integrating plasmids for insertional eGFP fusions (pGFPC): The last 600 bp of *spmX*
from *C. crescentus* was amplified from genomic DNA and cloned into pGFPC-2 using Gibson
cloning.
Integrating plasmids for replacement at the ΔspmX locus (pMCS-2). These constructs
were designed to allow insertion of various SpmX mutants fused to C-terminal sfGFP into the
Δ*spmX* locus in *C. crescentus*. For SpmX-sfGFP and SpmX-E19A-sfGFP, fragments containing
1 kb of genomic DNA upstream of *spmX* and *spmX* or *spmX-E19A* were amplified from existing
pNPTS138 constructs and fused to a fragment containing monomeric sfGFP amplified from
pSRKKm-Plac-sf*gfp* [67] using Gibson cloning. In the final construct, SpmX and sfGFP are
connected with the linker sequence GSAGSAAGSGEF [68]. Chimeras with P22 lysozyme
(P22Lyso) and its catalytic mutant were made by Gibson cloning together fragments containing
1kb of upstream genomic DNA, P22Lyso (with no stop codon), SpmXΔmur (residues 151-431)
and sfGFP with the same linker. P22Lyso and P22Lyso-E11A were amplified from pET28a
plasmids containing these genes. Chimeras with SpmX muramidase from *Brevundimonas*
subvibrioides (residues 1-140) and *Parvularcula bermudensis* (residues 1-168) were similarly
made with the muramidase fragments amplified from genomic DNA and synthetic gene strands
(Eurofins), respectively.
703
Production of SpmX-Mur-*Ae* for crystallographic studies. The muramidase domain of SpmX
from *A. excentricus* (SpmX-Mur-*Ae*, residues 2-150) was fused to a hexahistidine tag followed
by the SUMO cleavage site of the Ulp1 protease (His-SUMO tag) (**Table S3**) [69] and
overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) RIL cells. Cells were grown at 37 °C in 2 l of Terrific
Broth (BD Biosciences) supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/ml) until the OD_{600nm} reached 0.8.
Production of the recombinant protein was induced by the addition of isopropyl β-D-1-
thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to 0.5 mM after the culture was cooled to 25°C. Cell growth was
continued overnight at 25°C, and cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cell pellets were
resuspended in $1/20^{th}$ volume of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM NaCl, 25 mM
imidazole, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol) containing the Complete^{TM} cocktail of protease inhibitors
(Roche). Cells were lysed by six passages through a cell disruptor (Constant Systems Limited) at
20 kPsi, and cell debris were pelleted by centrifugation at 40,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C. The
centrifugation supernatant was loaded on a Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen) equilibrated with
buffer A. After extensive washing with buffer A, His-SUMO-SpmX-Mur-*Ae* was eluted with a
linear 0-100% gradient of buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM
imidazole, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol) over 10 column volumes. Peak fractions were pooled, mixed
with a 1:100 dilution of a His-tagged Ulp1 (SUMO) protease preparation [70] and dialyzed
overnight at 4°C in buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol).
Cleavage reactions were passed through Ni-NTA resin to remove free His-SUMO tag and His-
Ulp1, and untagged protein was collected in the flow through. Flow-through fractions were
concentrated with Amicon Ultra Centrifugal filter units with a molecular weight cutoff of 10 kDa
(Millipore) and were injected onto an ENrich^{TM} SEC650 10x300 gel-filtration column (Biorad).
SpmX-Mur-*Ae* was eluted with buffer D (25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl) and again
concentrated with Amicon Ultra Centrifugal filter units. Protein concentration was measured
using absorbance at 280 nm.

729
Protein crystallization and structure determination. High-throughput crystallization trials
were performed with a Cartesian PixSys 4200 crystallization robot (Genomic Solutions, U.K.).
Hanging drops containing 100 nl of protein (25 or 12.5 mg/ml) and 100 nl of reservoir solution
were set up in 96-well Crystal Quick plates (Greiner) and incubated at 20°C. Initial crystal hits
were refined manually by setting up hanging drops containing 1 µl of protein (25 or 12.5 mg/ml)
and 1 µl of reservoir solution in 24-well plates (Molecular Dimensions) incubated at 20°C.
Large needle-shaped crystals (dimensions of about 40 x 40 x 400 µm) were finally obtained for
SpmX-Mur-*Ae* in 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 12% PEG 3350, 0.2 M MgCl$_2$, at 20°C within 24–48
h. SpmX-Mur-*Ae* crystals were cryoprotected by transfer into 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 13% PEG
3350, 0.2 M MgCl$_2$, 10% glycerol, and then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction
data were collected at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France) on
the ID30a1 (MASSIF-1) beamline [71,72].
Diffraction data were indexed and scaled using the XDS program suite [73]. SpmX-Mur-
Ae crystals belong to the trigonal space group P3$_2$21, with unit cell dimensions of 100.44 x
100.44 x 96.62 Å and three molecules per asymmetric unit. Phase determination was carried out
by the molecular replacement method with PHASER [74], using as a search model the structure
of the phage P22 lysozyme (PDB entry 2ANX). The molecular replacement solution model was
rebuilt de novo using PHENIX [75] to prevent bias from the model.
The structure of SpmX-Mur-*Ae* was completed by cycles of manual building with COOT
[76] and addition of water molecules with ARP/wARP [77]. Several cycles of manual building
and refinement with REFMAC [78], as implemented in the CCP4 program suite, were performed
until R$_{work}$ and R$_{free}$ converged [79]. Stereochemical verification was performed with
PROCHECK [80]. The secondary structure assignment was verified with DSSP [81], with all
residues within most favorable or allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot.. Figures were
generated with PyMol (http://www.pymol.org). Coordinates of the final refined model were
deposited at the Protein Data Bank (PDB, http://www.rcsb.org) and were assigned PDB entry
code 6H9D. The data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in **Table S2**).
757
Protein production for *in vitro* assays. Fresh BL21(DE3) competent cells (Novagen) were
transformed with pET28a constructs containing various muramidase genes with N-terminal His-
tags (**Table S3**) and grown overnight in LB with 1% glucose and 50 µg/mL kanamycin.
Overnight cultures were diluted 100-fold in LB medium with 1% glucose and 50 µg/mL
kanamycin. Typically 500 mL cultures of cells were grown for 1.5-2 hours to an OD600 of 0.6-
0.7 and shifted to 20°C. When the OD600 reached 0.8−0.9, the cells were induced with 0.5 mM
IPTG. After growing for 4 h at 20 °C, cells were harvested and resuspended in 30 mL lysis
buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM imiadazole, 5 mM BME) with a EDTA-
free Protease Inhibitor Mini Tablet (Pierce) and phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, 1 mM).
The 30 mL cell mixture was lysed on ice using a sonicating horn and spun down at 10,000g for
20-30 min. The clarified lysate was loaded onto a 5 ml HiTrap Chelating HP cartridge (GE
Healthcare) charged with Ni^{2+} and pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. After loading, the column
was washed with lysis buffer followed by an elution via a 0-100% linear gradient of buffer B (25
mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 2 mM BME). Muramidase-containing
fractions were pooled based on SDS-PAGE analysis and concentrated to 2.5 mL. Imidizole was
removed by passing the concentrated fraction over a PD10 desalting column (GE Healthcare)
equilibrated with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM BME.

Sacculi preparation and RBB labeling. Sacculi were prepared from all species in the same
manner. For a typical 2L prep, cells were grown to an OD of 0.5-0.7 in their respective medium
(see bacterial strains and growth conditions) and harvested by centrifugation at 6,000g for 20
minutes. *C. crescentus* cells usually required multiple centrifugation steps to collect all the cells.
Cells were resuspended in 25 mL water (or PBS for *E. coli*) and added drop-wise into 50 mL of
boiling 7.5% SDS under stirring. The mixture was boiled for 30 minutes and then allowed to
cool to room temperature. Sacculi were then pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 100,000g for 30
minutes at room temperature. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 100 mL pure water, and
washed repeatedly until SDS was no longer detected in the supernatant. The pellet was
confirmed to be clear of SDS by mixing 0.2 mL of the supernatant with 1 uL 0.5% methylene
blue, 0.1 mL 0.7M NaPO$_4$ pH 7.2, and 0.6 mL chloroform and checking to make sure that, after
vortexing and allowing to settle, the solution had an upper blue phase and a lower clear phase
[82]. At this point, the pellets were resuspended in 10 mL PBS with 20 mM MgSO$_4$, 250 U/uL
Pierce Universal Nuclease (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 10 mg/mL amylase (Sigma). The
mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1-4 hours. Afterwards, 10 mg/mL trypsin and 10 mM CaCl$_2$
was added and the mixture incubated overnight at 37°C. 800 uL of 15% SDS were then added to
the mixture and it was brought to a boil for about 10 minutes and allowed to cool to room
temperature. The sample was then pelleted (100,000g, 30 min, room temperature) and
resuspended in 4-5 wash steps until SDS was no longer detected. The final pellet was then
resuspended in 2 mL water and added to 0.8 mL 0.2M remazol brilliant blue (Sigma), 0.4 mL
5M NaOH, and additional water to 8 mL. The mixture was incubated, shaking, overnight at
37°C. After neutralizing the solution with 0.4 mL 5M HCl. The mixture was then pelleted
(21,000g, 20 minutes, room temperature), and resuspended in water until the supernatant became
clear.
To calibrate the concentration of RBB-labeled sacculi for dye-release assays and
peptidoglycan-binding assays, activity curves with Hen Egg White Lysozyme (Sigma) were
produced using different dilutions of the RBB-labeled sacculi. The RBB-labeled sacculi were
used at the dilution that resulted in an A595 of 0.5 when 5 μL of the RBB-labeled sacculi were
incubated with 4 uM HEWL.

Peptioglycan-binding assays. 5μL of calibrated RBB-labeled sacculi were incubated with 1 μM
of purified protein (protein constructs used are shown in Fig. S4) in PBS pH 7.4 to a final
volume of 50 µL for 30 minutes at 37°C and then pelleted (16,000g, 20 minutes). Fractions were
separated and the pellet resuspended in 50 μL PBS. 10 μL of each fraction was loaded onto Any
kD Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels (BioRad) to visualize whether the protein
associated with the insoluble sacculi fraction. BSA (Sigma) was used at 1 μM as a negative
control.

Remazol brilliant blue dye-release assays. Methods were adapted from [32,83]. Assays were
carried out in 25-μL reactions using 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl and 5 μL of
calibrated RBB-labeled sacculi. Enzymes were added at various concentrations (see Figs. 5 and
S5) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Reactions were then centrifuged for 20 minutes at 16,000g,
and the supernatant carefully separated from the pellet. Final values in Fig. 5 and S5 are
normalized against absorbances measured for reactions with HEWL that were run in tandem for
every measurement to correct for differences in different sacculi preparations.

821
Fluorescence microscopy and image analysis. Fluorescence imaging was done using an
inverted Nikon Ti-E microscope using a Plan Apo 60X 1.40 NA oil Ph3 DM objective with a
GFP/Cy3 filter cube and an Andor DU885 EM CCD camera. Images were captured using NIS
Elements (Nikon). Cells were mounted on 1% (w/v) agarose pads made with PYE (or PBS, in
the case of *E. coli*) for imaging. In general, the fluorescent channel of each image was
background subtracted and a Gausian Blur filter was applied using Fiji [84]. Quantification of
stalk morphotypes and stalks with multiple foci was done by hand using Fiji tools. Quantification
of fluorescence data was achieved using MicrobeJ [85]. Mean stalk intensity was measured in *A.*
biprosthecum cells by using Fiji to draw line ROIs that did not overlap with the focus at the base
of the stalk, measuring mean fluorescence along the ROI. Figures and statistics were performed
using GraphPad Prism version 8.00 for Mac, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA,
www.graphpad.com.
834
Western blots. Strains were grown to saturation (overnight for *C. crescentus* and *A. excentricus*,
usually 48 hours for *A. biprosthecum*). OD600 was determined and cells were collected at a
normalized density of OD600 = 1/1mL. 1 mL of each normalized culture was pelleted,
resuspended in 100 uL water, and prepared for analysis using standard procedures using SDS-
PAGE, transfer, and western blotting. 10 μL of each sample was loaded onto Any kD Mini-
PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels (BioRad). The JL-8 monoclonal GFP antibody (Clontech)
was used as the primary antibody and Goat Anti-mouse HRP (Pierce) was used for the secondary
antibody. Transferred blots were visualized with SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration
HRP substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific) using a Bio-Rad Chemidoc.
844
Periplasmic expression in *E. coli*. Fresh Lemo(DE3) competent cells (NEB) were transformed
with pET22b constructs containing various muramidase genes with N-terminal H-tags (**Table**
S3) and plated. Lemo21(DE3) carries a rhamnose-inducible copy of LysY that inhibits T7
polymerase and allows for tunable dampening of expression of toxic products. We could not
transform expression strains BL21(DE3) or Tuner(DE3) with the pET22b-P22Lyso construct,
but were able to isolate a few transformants carrying this construct using Lemo21(DE3) cells
under high rhamnose repression (2 mM). P22Lyso-D20L, and all the SpmX-Mur-*Cc* constructs,
efficiently transformed into all expression strains tested, and could be carried by Lemo21(DE3)
without rhamnose.
In the case of pET22b-P22Lyso, where cells lyse from leak, cell cultures were grown
directly from colonies in the presence of 5 mM rhamnose and monitored over time. Figure 4A
shows the same treatment for all tested constructs. For testing induction of CCM and P22Lyso-
D20L, colonies were grown overnight in LB with 100 ug/mL carbenicillin and 30 μg/mL
chloramphenicol. Overnight cultures were diluted 50-fold in LB medium with 100 ug/mL
carbenicillin and 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol. In experiments cases rhamnose was added at
specified concentrations. Typically 4 mL cultures of cells were grown for 1-1.5 hours to an
OD600 of 0.3-0.4, induced with 400 uM IPTG, and shifted to 20°C.
Growth curves and live-dead staining: Optical densities were measured over time and
cells were routinely checked for lysing by microscopy using standard procedures. Briefly, 1 uL
of a 1:1 mixture of solutions A and B from a LIVE/DEAD *Bac*Light Bacterial Viability Kit
(ThermoFisher Scientific) was directly added to 100 uL of cells diluted 1:10 in PBS. Cells were
visualized on 1% agar pads made with PBS using the methods described in microscopy.

Periplasmic expression levels: After growing for 4 h at 20 °C, OD600 was determined and cells
were collected at a normalized density of OD600 = 1/1mL. One mL of the normalized sample
was pelleted at 4000g for 15 min and the pellet resuspended in 250 uL 20% sucrose, 1 mM
EDTA, 30 mM TRIS pH 8 at room temperature. The sample was mixed gently by rotation at
room temperature for 10 minutes before being spun down at 13,000g for 10 minutes. The
supernatant was carefully removed and the pellet rapidly suspended in 250 uL ice cold pure
water. The sample was mixed gently by rotation at 4°C for 10 minutes before being spun down
at 13,000g at 4°C. The supernatant (periplasmic fraction) and pellet (cell fraction) were then
separated and prepared for analysis using standard procedures using SDS-PAGE, transfer, and
western blotting. Blots were incubated with His-Probe Antibody (H-3) sc-8136 HRP (Santa Cruz
Biotechnology) and visualized with SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration HRP substrate
(ThermoFisher Scientific) using a Bio-Rad Chemidoc.
879
880   **Supplemental Figure Legends**
881
882   **Figure S1. Alignments of SpmX muramidases. Related to Figure 1.** Aligned protein
883   sequences of the muramidase domains from genes listed in **Table S1,** a subset of which were
884   used for the gene tree in **Fig. 1.** Key positions discussed in text are marked with a black dot and
885   annotated with T4L identities.
886
Figure S2. Relationships of enzyme families within the lysozyme superfamily. Related to
Figures 1 and 2. Sequence cluster tree diagram made using NCBI's Conserved Domain
Database tool (CDD) demonstrating the inferred relationship of various lysozyme families with
structural homology but no sequence similarity. This tool uses reverse position-specific BLAST,
a method that compares query sequences to databases of position-specific score matrices and
obtains *E*-values, such as in PSI-BLAST [21,22]. SpmX from *C. crescentus* is marked in red
type; bacteriophages discussed in the text are emphasized in bold, black type. The tree was
formatted using iTol [65].
895
Figure S3. Binding assays of SpmX to peptidoglycan from various species. Related to
Figure 4. Coomassie brilliant blue stained SDS–PAGE gel of supernatant (S) and pellet (P)
fractions from peptidoglycan binding assays. In brief, SpmX protein constructs from three
different species and of two different lengths were incubated in PBS with or without sacculi
(peptidoglycan) isolated from the three different species. Peptidoglycan is insoluble and can be
pelleted out of solution. As shown here, peptidoglycan from all three species pulled down the
SpmX protein constructs.
903
Figure S4. *In vitro* activity and periplasmic expression/activity of SpmX and various
mutants. Related to Figure 4. Active enzymes release peptidoglycan monomers covalently-
bound to RBB into the supernatant that are detected by absorbance at 595 nm. (**A**) RBB assays
with SpmX-Mur-*Cc*-E11A, the catalytic mutant. Due to poor expression and instability, SpmX-
Mur-E11A was not concentrated to higher than 12 μM and its activity is compared to WT SpmX
at 12 μm. Error bars are ± standard deviation from two replicates. (**B**) RBB assays with SpmX-
Mur-*Cc*-L20D, the mutant that restores the ancestral D20. (**C**) Final ODs of cultures expressing
various P22Lyso and SpmX-Mur-*Cc* constructs after four hours of induction. P22Lyso-D20L
showed signs of spheroplast formation and cell lysis but the other tested constructs did not.

Compare to growth curves and microscopy shown in Fig. 4CD. **(D)** SpmX-Mur-*Cc* is expressed at similar levels in the periplasm as P22Lyso-D20L. Attempts at expressing SpmX-Mur-*Cc* more slowly in M9G medium at lower temperatures increased periplasmic levels but did not result in lysis. **(E)** RBB assays on *E. coli* sacculi isolated from Lemo21(DE3) using purified P22 lysozyme, P22 lysozyme D20L mutant, *C. crescentus* SpmX muramidase*,* and SpmX muramidase L20D mutant. Lines are drawn to help guide the eye toward basic trends. Normalization is to Hen Egg White Lysozyme (HEWL) assays (see methods).

Figure S5. Quantification of fluorescence and morphology data of SpmX mutant strains. Related to Figure 5. (A) Histograms of mean total cell fluorescence and integrated focal intensity of *C. crescentus* cells expressing fluorescently tagged WT (n = 1057 cells) and E11A (n = 792 cells) SpmX. Insets display the mean of these measurements with the standard deviation as error bars. Asterisks indicate p < 0.001 by Mann-Whitney U test. **(B)** Histograms (as in **A**) of mean total cell fluorescence and integrated focal intensity of *A. biprosthecum* cell expressing fluorescently tagged WT (n = 494 cells) and E11A (n = 462 cells) SpmX. Far right panel: boxplot of median and quartiles with whiskers indicating minimum and maximum values of mean fluorescence intensity of stalks in *A. biprosthecum* expressing WT or E11A (n = 10 stalks each strain). **(C)** Histogram (as in **A**) of mean total cell fluorescence and integrated focal intensity of *A. excentricus* expressing fluorescently tagged WT (n = 750 cells) and E11A (n = 693 cells) SpmX. Far right panel: quantification of the frequency of cells with multiple SpmX foci in the stalks of *A. excentricus* WT and E11A mutant. Chi-squared p < 0.001, n = 600 cells. **(D)** Quantification of the stalked morphotypes from *A. biprosthecum* WT and *spmX-E11A* mutant from cells without eGFP tags. Chi-squared p < 0.001, n = 500 cells. **(E)** Panels show bands from two independent blots using samples normalized by OD. Lanes have been cropped and rearranged to aid interpretation. In all cases, the primary antibody is directed against the C-terminal GFP fusion.

References

1. Hall, J.P.J., Brockhurst, M.A., and Harrison, E. (2017). Sampling the mobile gene pool: innovation via horizontal gene transfer in bacteria. Phil Trans R Soc B *372*, 20160424.

2. Koonin, E.V. (2016). Viruses and mobile elements as drivers of evolutionary transitions. Phil Trans R Soc B *371*, 20150442.

3. Feiner, R., Argov, T., Rabinovich, L., Sigal, N., Borovok, I., and Herskovits, A.A. (2015). A new perspective on lysogeny: prophages as active regulatory switches of bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *13*, 641–650.

4. Harrison, E., and Brockhurst, M.A. (2017). Ecological and Evolutionary Benefits of Temperate Phage: What Does or Doesn't Kill You Makes You Stronger. BioEssays *39*, 1700112.

5. Howard-Varona, C., Hargreaves, K.R., Abedon, S.T., and Sullivan, M.B. (2017). Lysogeny in nature: mechanisms, impact and ecology of temperate phages. ISME J. *11*, 1511–1520.

6. Menouni, R., Hutinet, G., Petit, M.-A., and Ansaldi, M. (2015). Bacterial genome remodeling through bacteriophage recombination. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. *362*, 1–10.

7. Bobay, L.-M., Touchon, M., and Rocha, E.P.C. (2014). Pervasive domestication of defective
prophages by bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *111*, 12127–12132.

8. Touchon, M., Moura de Sousa, J.A., and Rocha, E.P. (2017). Embracing the enemy: the
diversification of microbial gene repertoires by phage-mediated horizontal gene transfer.
Curr. Opin. Microbiol. *38*, 66–73.

9. Wang, X., Kim, Y., Ma, Q., Hong, S.H., Pokusaeva, K., Sturino, J.M., and Wood, T.K.
(2010). Cryptic prophages help bacteria cope with adverse environments. Nat. Commun. *1*,
147.

10. Leiman, P.G., Basler, M., Ramagopal, U.A., Bonanno, J.B., Sauder, J.M., Pukatzki, S.,
Burley, S.K., Almo, S.C., and Mekalanos, J.J. (2009). Type VI secretion apparatus and
phage tail-associated protein complexes share a common evolutionary origin. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. *106*, 4154–4159.

11. Sarris, P.F., Ladoukakis, E.D., Panopoulos, N.J., and Scoulica, E.V. (2014). A Phage Tail-
Derived Element with Wide Distribution among Both Prokaryotic Domains: A Comparative
Genomic and Phylogenetic Study. Genome Biol. Evol. *6*, 1739–1747.

12. Ghequire, M.G.K., and De Mot, R. (2015). The Tailocin Tale: Peeling off Phage Tails.
Trends Microbiol. *23*, 587–590.

13. Hockett, K.L., Renner, T., and Baltrus, D.A. (2015). Independent Co-Option of a Tailed
Bacteriophage into a Killing Complex in Pseudomonas. mBio *6*, e00452-15.

14. Scholl, D. (2017). Phage Tail–Like Bacteriocins. Annu. Rev. Virol. *4*, 453–467.

15. Radhakrishnan, S.K., Thanbichler, M., and Viollier, P.H. (2008). The dynamic interplay
between a cell fate determinant and a lysozyme homolog drives the asymmetric division
cycle of Caulobacter crescentus. Genes Dev. *22*, 212–225.

16. Lasker, K., Mann, T.H., and Shapiro, L. (2016). An intracellular compass spatially
coordinates cell cycle modules in Caulobacter crescentus. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. *33*, 131–
139.

17. Jiang, C., Brown, P.J.B., Ducret, A., and Brun, Y.V. (2014). Sequential evolution of bacterial
morphology by co-option of a developmental regulator. Nature *506*, 489–493.

18. Perez, A.M., Mann, T.H., Lasker, K., Ahrens, D.G., Eckart, M.R., and Shapiro, L. (2017). A
Localized Complex of Two Protein Oligomers Controls the Orientation of Cell Polarity.
mBio *8*, e02238-16.

19. Bowman, G.R., Comolli, L.R., Gaietta, G.M., Fero, M., Hong, S.-H., Jones, Y., Lee, J.H.,
Downing, K.H., Ellisman, M.H., McAdams, H.H., *et al.* (2010). Caulobacter PopZ forms a
polar subdomain dictating sequential changes in pole composition and function. Mol.
Microbiol. *76*, 173–189.

20. Holmes, J.A., Follett, S.E., Wang, H., Meadows, C.P., Varga, K., and Bowman, G.R. (2016).
Caulobacter PopZ forms an intrinsically disordered hub in organizing bacterial cell poles.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *113*, 12490–12495.

21. Marchler-Bauer, A., Anderson, J.B., Derbyshire, M.K., DeWeese-Scott, C., Gonzales, N.R.,
Gwadz, M., Hao, L., He, S., Hurwitz, D.I., Jackson, J.D., *et al.* (2007). CDD: a conserved
domain database for interactive domain family analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. *35*, D237–D240.

22. Marchler-Bauer, A., Derbyshire, M.K., Gonzales, N.R., Lu, S., Chitsaz, F., Geer, L.Y., Geer,
R.C., He, J., Gwadz, M., Hurwitz, D.I., *et al.* (2015). CDD: NCBI's conserved domain
database. Nucleic Acids Res. *43*, D222–D226.

23. Blackburn, N.T., and Clarke, A.J. (2001). Identification of Four Families of Peptidoglycan
Lytic Transglycosylases. J. Mol. Evol. *52*, 78–84.

24. Evrard, C., Fastrez, J., and Declercq, J.-P. (1998). Crystal structure of the lysozyme from
bacteriophage lambda and its relationship with V and C-type lysozymes11Edited by K.
Nagai. J. Mol. Biol. *276*, 151–164.

25. Vollmer, W., Joris, B., Charlier, P., and Foster, S. (2008). Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein)
hydrolases. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. *32*, 259–286.

26. Wohlkönig, A., Huet, J., Looze, Y., and Wintjens, R. (2010). Structural Relationships in the
Lysozyme Superfamily: Significant Evidence for Glycoside Hydrolase Signature Motifs.
PLOS ONE *5*, e15388.

27. Rennell, D., Bouvier, S.E., Hardy, L.W., and Poteete, A.R. (1991). Systematic mutation of
bacteriophage T4 lysozyme. J. Mol. Biol. *222*, 67–88.

28. Anand, N.N., Stephen, E.R., and Narang, S.A. (1988). Mutation of active site residues in
synthetic T4-lysozyme gene and their effect on lytic activity. Biochem. Biophys. Res.
Commun. *153*, 862–868.

29. Sun, Q., Kuty, G.F., Arockiasamy, A., Xu, M., Young, R., and Sacchettini, J.C. (2009).
Regulation of a muralytic enzyme by dynamic membrane topology. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.
16, 1192–1194.

30. Hardy, L.W., and Poteete, A.R. (1991). Reexamination of the role of Asp20 in catalysis by
bacteriophage T4 lysozyme. Biochemistry (Mosc.) *30*, 9457–9463.

31. Poteete, A.R., Dao-Pin, S., Nicholson, H., and Mathews, B.W. (1991). Second-site revertants
of an inactive T4 lysozyme mutant restore activity by restructuring the active site cleft.
Biochemistry (Mosc.) *30*, 1425–1432.

32. Zhou, R., Chen, S., and Recsei, P. (1988). A dye release assay for determination of
lysostaphin activity. Anal. Biochem. *171*, 141–144.

33. Anderson, W.F., Grütter, M.G., Remington, S.J., Weaver, L.H., and Matthews, B.W. (1981).
Crystallographic determination of the mode of binding of oligosaccharides to T4
bacteriophage lysozyme: Implications for the mechanism of catalysis. J. Mol. Biol. *147*,
523–543.

34. Braga, L.P.P., Soucy, S.M., Amgarten, D.E., Silva, D., M, A., and Setubal, J.C. (2018).
Bacterial Diversification in the Light of the Interactions with Phages: The Genetic Symbionts
and Their Role in Ecological Speciation. Front. Ecol. Evol. *6*. Available at:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2018.00006/full [Accessed August 30,
2018].

35. Canchaya, C., Fournous, G., Chibani-Chennoufi, S., Dillman, M.-L., and Brüssow, H.
(2003). Phage as agents of lateral gene transfer. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. *6*, 417–424.

36. Cortez, D., Forterre, P., and Gribaldo, S. (2009). A hidden reservoir of integrative elements
is the major source of recently acquired foreign genes and ORFans in archaeal and bacterial
genomes. Genome Biol. *10*, R65.

37. Daubin, V., and Ochman, H. (2004). Bacterial genomes as new gene homes: the genealogy
of ORFans in E. coli., Bacterial Genomes as New Gene Homes: The Genealogy of ORFans
in E. coli. Genome Res. Genome Res. *14*, *14*, 1036, 1036–1042.

38. Brézellec, P., Vallet-Gely, I., Possoz, C., Quevillon-Cheruel, S., and Ferat, J.-L. (2016).
DciA is an ancestral replicative helicase operator essential for bacterial replication initiation.
Nat. Commun. *7*, 13271.

39. Brézellec, P., Petit, M.-A., Pasek, S., Vallet-Gely, I., Possoz, C., and Ferat, J.-L. (2017).
Domestication of Lambda Phage Genes into a Putative Third Type of Replicative Helicase
Matchmaker. Genome Biol. Evol. *9*, 1561–1566.

40. Forterre, P. (1999). Displacement of cellular proteins by functional analogues from plasmids
or viruses could explain puzzling phylogenies of many DNA informational proteins. Mol.
Microbiol. *33*, 457–465.

41. Sabehi, G., Shaulov, L., Silver, D.H., Yanai, I., Harel, A., and Lindell, D. (2012). A novel
lineage of myoviruses infecting cyanobacteria is widespread in the oceans. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U. S. A. *109*, 2037–2042.

42. Lang, A.S., Zhaxybayeva, O., and Beatty, J.T. (2012). Gene transfer agents: phage-like
elements of genetic exchange. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *10*, 472–482.

43. Lang, A.S., and Beatty, J.T. (2007). Importance of widespread gene transfer agent genes in
α-proteobacteria. Trends Microbiol. *15*, 54–62.

44. Shakya, M., Soucy, S.M., and Zhaxybayeva, O. (2017). Insights into origin and evolution of
α-proteobacterial gene transfer agents. Virus Evol. *3*. Available at: https://academic-oup-
com.proxyiub.uits.iu.edu/ve/article/3/2/vex036/4705971 [Accessed August 31, 2018].

45. Ho, B.T., Dong, T.G., and Mekalanos, J.J. (2014). A View to a Kill: The Bacterial Type VI
Secretion System. Cell Host Microbe *15*, 9–21.

46. Russell, A.B., Peterson, S.B., and Mougous, J.D. (2014). Type VI secretion system effectors:
poisons with a purpose. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *12*, 137–148.

47. Hurst, M.R.H., Glare, T.R., and Jackson, T.A. (2004). Cloning Serratia entomophila
Antifeeding Genes—a Putative Defective Prophage Active against the Grass Grub Costelytra
zealandica. J. Bacteriol. *186*, 5116–5128.

48. Yang, G., Dowling, A.J., Gerike, U., ffrench-Constant, R.H., and Waterfield, N.R. (2006).
Photorhabdus Virulence Cassettes Confer Injectable Insecticidal Activity against the Wax
Moth. J. Bacteriol. *188*, 2254–2261.

49. Shikuma, N.J., Pilhofer, M., Weiss, G.L., Hadfield, M.G., Jensen, G.J., and Newman, D.K.
(2014). Marine Tubeworm Metamorphosis Induced by Arrays of Bacterial Phage Tail–Like
Structures. Science *343*, 529–533.

50. Patzer, S.I., Albrecht, R., Braun, V., and Zeth, K. (2012). Structural and Mechanistic Studies
of Pesticin, a Bacterial Homolog of Phage Lysozymes. J. Biol. Chem. *287*, 23381–23396.

51. Michalska, K., Brown, R.N., Li, H., Jedrzejczak, R., Niemann, G.S., Heffron, F., Cort, J.R.,
Adkins, J.N., Babnigg, G., and Joachimiak, A. (2013). New sub-family of lysozyme-like
proteins shows no catalytic activity: crystallographic and biochemical study of STM3605
protein from Salmonella Typhimurium. J. Struct. Funct. Genomics *14*, 1–10.

52. Ren, Q., Wang, C., Jin, M., Lan, J., Ye, T., Hui, K., Tan, J., Wang, Z., Wyckoff, G.J., Wang,
W., *et al.* (2017). Co-option of bacteriophage lysozyme genes by bivalve genomes. Open
Biol. *7*, 160285.

53. Crooks, G.E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.-M., and Brenner, S.E. (2004). WebLogo: A Sequence
Logo Generator. Genome Res. *14*, 1188–1190.

54. Meisner, J., Llopis, P.M., Sham, L.-T., Garner, E., Bernhardt, T.G., and Rudner, D.Z. (2013).
FtsEX is required for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity during cell wall elongation in
Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Microbiol. *89*, 1069–1083.

55. Thanbichler, M., Iniesta, A.A., and Shapiro, L. (2007). A comprehensive set of plasmids for
vanillate- and xylose-inducible gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus. Nucleic Acids
Res. *35*, e137–e137.

56. Poindexter, J.L.S., and Cohen-Bazire, G. (1964). The Fine Structure of Stalked Bacteria
Belonging to the Family Caulobacteraceae. J. Cell Biol. *23*, 587–607.

57. Pate, J.L., and Ordal, E.J. (1965). THE FINE STRUCTURE OF TWO UNUSUAL
STALKED BACTERIA. J. Cell Biol. *27*, 133–150.

58. Chen, I.-M.A., Markowitz, V.M., Chu, K., Palaniappan, K., Szeto, E., Pillay, M., Ratner, A.,
Huang, J., Andersen, E., Huntemann, M., *et al.* (2017). IMG/M: integrated genome and
metagenome comparative data analysis system. Nucleic Acids Res. *45*, D507–D516.

59. Edgar, R.C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. *32*, 1792–1797.

60. Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M.A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G.J. (2009).
Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench.
Bioinformatics *25*, 1189–1191.

61. Ashkenazy, H., Erez, E., Martz, E., Pupko, T., and Ben-Tal, N. (2010). ConSurf 2010:
calculating evolutionary conservation in sequence and structure of proteins and nucleic acids.
Nucleic Acids Res. *38*, W529–W533.

62. Tatusova, T., Ciufo, S., Fedorov, B., O'Neill, K., and Tolstoy, I. (2014). RefSeq microbial
genomes database: new representation and annotation strategy. Nucleic Acids Res. *42*,
D553–D559.

63. Darling, A.E., Jospin, G., Lowe, E., Iv, F.A.M., Bik, H.M., and Eisen, J.A. (2014). PhyloSift:
phylogenetic analysis of genomes and metagenomes. PeerJ *2*, e243.

64. Ronquist, F., and Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference
under mixed models. Bioinformatics *19*, 1572–1574.

65. Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the
display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, W242–W245.

66. Gonin, M., Quardokus, E.M., O'Donnol, D., Maddock, J., and Brun, Y.V. (2000). Regulation
of Stalk Elongation by Phosphate inCaulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. *182*, 337–347.

67. Figueroa-Cuilan, W., Daniel, J.J., Howell, M., Sulaiman, A., and Brown, P.J.B. (2016).
Mini-Tn7 Insertion in an Artificial attTn7 Site Enables Depletion of the Essential Master
Regulator CtrA in the Phytopathogen Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Appl Env. Microbiol *82*,
5015–5025.

68. Waldo, G.S., Standish, B.M., Berendzen, J., and Terwilliger, T.C. (1999). Rapid protein-
folding assay using green fluorescent protein. Nat. Biotechnol. *17*, 691–695.

69. Marblestone, J.G., Edavettal, S.C., Lim, Y., Lim, P., Zuo, X., and Butt, T.R. (2006).
Comparison of SUMO fusion technology with traditional gene fusion systems: enhanced
expression and solubility with SUMO. Protein Sci. Publ. Protein Soc. *15*, 182–189.

70. Uehara, T., Parzych, K.R., Dinh, T., and Bernhardt, T.G. (2010). Daughter cell separation is
controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. EMBO J. *29*, 1412–1422.

71. Bowler, M.W., Nurizzo, D., Barrett, R., Beteva, A., Bodin, M., Caserotto, H., Delagenière,
S., Dobias, F., Flot, D., Giraud, T., *et al.* (2015). MASSIF-1: a beamline dedicated to the

fully automatic characterization and data collection from crystals of biological
macromolecules. J. Synchrotron Radiat. *22*, 1540–1547.

72. Svensson, O., Malbet-Monaco, S., Popov, A., Nurizzo, D., and Bowler, M.W. (2015). Fully
automatic characterization and data collection from crystals of biological macromolecules.
Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *71*, 1757–1767.

73. Kabsch, W. (2010). XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *66*, 125–132.

74. McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read,
R.J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. *40*, 658–674.

75. Terwilliger, T.C., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Afonine, P.V., Moriarty, N.W., Zwart, P.H.,
Hung, L.-W., Read, R.J., and Adams, P.D. (2008). Iterative model building, structure
refinement and density modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. Acta Crystallogr.
D Biol. Crystallogr. *64*, 61–69.

76. Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta
Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *60*, 2126–2132.

77. Langer, G.G., Cohen, S.X., Lamzin, V.S., and Perrakis, A. (2008). Automated
macromolecular model building for X-ray crystallography using ARP/wARP version 7. Nat.
Protoc. *3*, 1171–1179.

78. Murshudov, G.N., Skubák, P., Lebedev, A.A., Pannu, N.S., Steiner, R.A., Nicholls, R.A.,
Winn, M.D., Long, F., and Vagin, A.A. (2011). REFMAC5 for the refinement of
macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *67*, 355–367.

79. Brünger, A.T. (1992). Free R value: a novel statistical quantity for assessing the accuracy of
crystal structures. Nature *355*, 472–475.

80. Laskowski, R.A., MacArthur, M.W., Moss, D.S., and Thornton, J.M. (1993). PROCHECK: a
program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr, 283–
291.

81. Kabsch, W., and Sander, C. (1983). Dictionary of protein secondary structure: pattern
recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. Biopolymers *22*, 2577–2637.

82. Hayashi, K. (1975). A rapid determination of sodium dodecyl sulfate with methylene blue.
Anal. Biochem. *67*, 503–506.

83. Uehara, T., Parzych, K.R., Dinh, T., and Bernhardt, T.G. (2010). Daughter cell separation is
controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. EMBO J. *29*, 1412–1422.

84. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., *et al.* (2012). Fiji: an open-source
platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods *9*, 676–682.

85. Ducret, A., Quardokus, E.M., and Brun, Y.V. (2016). MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput
bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. Nat. Microbiol. *1*, 16077.

1165

A

SpmX Architecture — Muramidase Domain — Intermediate Domain — TM Segments

Conservation — High / Low

B

Genome

SpmX

Escherichia coli

Agrobacterium tumefaciens

Hirschia baltica

Ponticaulis koreensis

Henriciella marina

Hyphomonas adhaerens *

Robiginitomaculum antarcticum

Hellea balneolensis *

Oceanicaulis alexandrii

Maricaulis maris *

Asticcacaulis excentricus

Asticcacaulis biprosthecium *

Asticcacaulis benevestitus *

Brevundimonas aveniformis

Brevundimonas subvibrioides

Brevundimonas diminuta *

Phenylobacterium zucineum

Caulobacter henricii *

Caulobacter segnis *

Caulobacter crescentus

D20L
D20R
D20G

A

B

(i) T4L

(ii) autolysin/
endolysin

(iii) SpmX-like
muramidases

(iv) SpmX

Catalytic Glu   β-hairpin / GH Motif

A

B   C

D   Live-Dead Stain:
SYTO9: live cells / PI: dead cells, free DNA

A

i Δ*spmX*

ii WT

iii E11A

iv L20D

v Mur*Bs*

vi L20R

B

kDa

102 ▶

52 ▶

38 ▶

24 ▶

17 ▶

CB15N Δ*spmX*

SpmX-sfGFP

SpmX-E11A-sfGFP

P22Lyso-SpmX-sfGFP

P22Lyso-E11A-SpmX-sfGFP

SpmX-*Cc*