1
2
3 **The phage L capsid decoration protein has a novel OB-fold and an unusual**
4 **capsid binding strategy**
5
6

7 Rebecca L. Newcomer [1], Jason R. Schrad [2], Eddie B. Gilcrease [3], Sherwood R. Casjens [3],
8 Michael Feig [2], Carolyn M. Teschke [*1], Andrei T. Alexandrescu [1], and Kristin N. Parent [*2]
9

Affiliations

[1] University of Connecticut, Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Storrs, CT 06269;

USA

[2] Michigan State University, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, East

Lansing MI, 48824; USA

[3] University of Utah School of Medicine, Division of Microbiology and Immunology,

Department of Pathology, Salt Lake City, 84112; USA

18
19 **Running title:** Structural definition of capsid binding by Dec
20

21 * To whom correspondence should be addressed
22
Kristin N. Parent   Carolyn M. Teschke

Dept. of Biochem & Mol Bio   Depts of Mol and Cell Biol & Chem

Michigan State University   University of Connecticut

603 Wilson Rd   91 N Eagleville Rd

519 Biochemistry Building   Storrs, CT 06269

East Lansing MI, 48824

29
30

31 **Key Words:** bacteriophage, nanotechnology, cementing protein, capsid stability,

32 computational modeling, β-helix

Abstract

The major coat proteins of dsDNA tailed phages and herpesviruses form capsids by a

mechanism that includes active packaging of the dsDNA genome into a precursor procapsid,

followed by expansion and stabilization of the capsid. These viruses have evolved diverse

strategies to fortify their capsids, such as non-covalent binding of auxiliary "decoration"

(Dec) proteins. The Dec protein from the P22-like phage L has a highly unusual binding

strategy that precisely distinguishes between nearly identical three-fold and quasi-three-fold

sites of the icosahedral capsid. Cryo-electron microscopy and three-dimensional image

reconstruction were employed to determine the structure of native phage L particles. NMR

was used to determine the structure/dynamics of Dec in solution. Lastly, the NMR structure

and the cryo-EM density envelope were combined to build a model of the capsid-bound Dec

trimer. Key regions that modulate the binding interface were verified by site-directed

mutagenesis.

Introduction

Viral icosahedral capsids are formed from multiple copies of a single or a few types of

highly structurally-conserved coat proteins that encapsidate the genome [1]. The minimum

number of subunits needed to build an icosahedral capsid is 60 coat proteins, and the result

is a T=1 icosahedral geometry. There is a direct correlation between genome size and T-

number for dsDNA containing phages. Building a bigger capsid necessitates the use of

more coat protein subunits, and requires that chemically identical proteins assemble into

capsid sites with different "quasi-equivalent" conformations [2]. For example, in many of the

well-studied double stranded DNA (dsDNA) containing phages, the capsids have a T=7

geometry (see Figure 1A). These have 11 vertices formed by coat protein pentons and an

additional 60 hexons to create the capsid. The 12th vertex breaks the icosahedral symmetry

and is occupied by a tail that specifies host binding. Estimates predict that 10^{31} viruses are

in Earth's biosphere [3,4], with dsDNA containing bacteriophages being the most abundant.

These phages have an immense diversity in terms of size and complexity. The highly

ubiquitous HK97-like fold is the building block for virtually all dsDNA containing phages, and

allows for enormous versatility in icosahedral geometry, that can lead to differences in

biophysical properties [5]. To withstand environmental stresses and the internal pressure

that amasses as a result of dsDNA genome packaging, some dsDNA phages encode

additional "decoration" proteins that bind to the exterior of their capsids and stabilize the

virions. How various decoration proteins recognize and bind to specific sites on capsids with

different icosahedral geometries is, however, still poorly understood.

The tight packing of the dsDNA genomes into the virions of many phages and

herpesviruses creates enormous internal pressure (10 - 60 atm) within the capsids, resulting

in high-energy states that prime the particles for infection, and facilitate delivery of the

majority of the viral genomes into the hosts [6-13]. Phages have evolved diverse strategies

to strengthen their capsids against the internal pressures resulting from genome packaging.

In the well-studied phage HK97, the coat proteins form covalent crosslinks [14] with

neighboring subunits, thereby creating a unique "chainmail" lattice [15,16]. By contrast in

some other phages, a separately expressed auxilliary "decoration" protein (sometimes

called "cementing" protein) functions as a molecular staple to stabilize the mature capsids.

The essential phage λ decoration protein gpD stabilizes its capsid [17,18] and binds at all

possible binding sites between capsomers including positions at both icosahedral and local

three-fold symmetry axes [19-21]. Other related phages do not utilize covalent crosslinks for

stability, or auxillary decoration proteins. Instead, these capsids rely entirely on stabilizing

interactions between protein subunits. For example, a portion of the coat protein called the

"P-loop" (see Supplementary Materials Figure S2) can create stabilizing interactions with

neighboring capsid subunits around three-fold symmetry axes in the absense of auxiliary

decoration proteins for many diverse phages [22-26].

Bacteriophages P22 and L are members of the short-tailed phages (family

Podoviridae), in the sub-group of P22-like phages [27]. Phage P22 is a particularly well-

studied model for understanding the biophysical mechanisms of capsid assembly [28] and

capsid protein structures at near-atomic level detail [29,30]. The coat proteins of the P22-like

phages, like all known tailed phages and herpesviruses, share a canonical HK97-like coat

protein fold, one of the most ubiquitous protein folds in nature [31,32]. The coat proteins of

phage L and phage P22 share 99.6% identity with only 4 amino acids differing out of 430,

but only phage L encodes the small auxillary decoration protein, Dec [33]. Phage L and P22

encapsidate similar length genomes and have similar capsid diameters. Therefore, the

internal pressures within both capsids are likely to be similar. Dec binds to P22 capsids *in*

vitro with nanomolar affinity at the same sites as on phage L virions, and increases the

stability of P22 capsids at elevated temperatures when treated with a Mg^{++} chelator *in vitro*

[33,34]. Thus, the role(s) of Dec in the life cycle of phage L compared to P22 is not

immediately obvious, and, unlike gpD in phage λ, Dec is not essential to withstand genomic

packaging forces in phage L virions (E. Gilcrease and S. Casjens, unpublished). The

reasons why some phages require stabilization mechanisms such as decoration proteins,

and others do not, despite using highly similar functional capsid building blocks remain

poorly understood.

Like phage λ's cementing protein gpD, phage L's Dec binds as a homotrimer only to

mature particles, and not precursor procapsids [33]. This indicates the proteins are not

involved in capsid assembly but recognize specific surface topology features of mature

capsids. During maturation, dsDNA-containing phage and herpesvirus capsids undergo expansion and massive conformational changes, stabilizing the particles and exposing new residues to the surface [23,29,35]. The T=7 organization of P22 and phage L capsids results in different protein landscapes between neighboring capsomers due to the previously described 'quasi-equivalence' constraints (Figure 1A). At the center of each of the capsid's 20 icosahedral facets there is a highly symmetric trimeric interaction formed by the three surrounding hexons (called the "icosahedral three-fold" axis; black triangle in Figure 1A). Between other hexons there are "quasi-three-fold" symmetry axes (magenta circles in Figure 1A), which have a sublty different arrangement than the icosahedral three-fold symmetry axes. These quasi-three-fold sites are less symmetric, and have bent contacts between capsomers when compared with an icosahedral-three-fold symmetry site. Lastly, there is a second type of quasi-three-fold symmetry site that occurs between hexons and the pentons (green triangles in Figure 1A). Tight binding (~nM affinity) of Dec occurs at only quasi-three-fold axes between hexons (magenta circles). In contrast to other types of cementing proteins, binding of Dec to the 20 "true" icosahedral three-fold symmetry axes (black triangles in Figure 1A) occurs only weakly. The binding affinity for the true three-folds is at least an order of magnitude lower than for the quasi-three-folds [36], so that the former sites are very sparsely occupied by Dec on the native phage L particles. No binding has been detected at the quasi-three-fold sites surrounding the pentons (green circles) [34,36]. Dec's ability to bind discriminately is in sharp contrast with the decoration proteins of other phages that fully saturate all symmetry-related sites in mature virions.

Defining the mechanisms by which different decoration proteins, such as gpD and Dec, bind viral particle surfaces is not only important for understanding the underlying biology, but is also critical for (1) the potential exploitation of phages in nanomedicine [37-40], (2) structure-guided design of virus-inspired nanomaterials [10,36,41-44], and (3) sheding light on capsid assembly and stabilization processes [29,45]. Here, we investigate

how Dec is able to distinguish subtly different capsid binding sites with high specificity.

Furthermore, we report the structure of phage L Dec protein, which has a novel fold for a

decoration protein. We used a combination of structural approaches to understand how Dec

interacts with phage capsids including (1) cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) and three-

dimensional image reconstructions (3DR) of native phage L particles, (2) Nuclear Magnetic

Resonance (NMR) structure and dynamics of Dec in solution, and (3) computational

modeling of the Dec trimer. Dec displays significant asymmetry and flexibility when bound to

capsids. The N-terminal globular domain of the protein that contacts the capsid has an

Oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide-Binding structure (OB-fold; [46]), and the C-terminal portion

of Dec is comprised of a putative three-stranded β-helix domain. Several key residues within

both the phage L coat protein lattice and the Dec trimer are predicted from our structural

model to modulate the affinity of Dec binding. The contributions of these residues towards

Dec binding were probed by site-directed mutagenesis. Our findings reveal that Dec uses a

binding mechanism that is not shared by other known phages and viruses, uncovering new

insights into phage biology and stabilization mechanisms. The combination of these results

provides a highly tunable and novel platform for future uses in virus-inspired nanodesign.

Results

Cryo-EM structure of phage L at near-atomic resolution:

As a first step towards understanding the mechanism by which Dec binds to phage L,

we determined the structure of native phage L capsids using cryo-EM (Figures 1 & S1).

Local resolution analysis [47] shows that some areas of the map are better resolved than

others, such as the capsid proteins and the base of the Dec trimer, whereas the distal end of

Dec is at much lower resolution (Figure S1C). Both the overall protein structure, as well as

the specific contact points for attachment of Dec trimers to the coat protein subunits are

clearly discernable near quasi-three-fold symmetry axes between hexons. As anticipated

from previous studies [34,36], Dec very sparsely occupied icosahedral three-fold symmetry

sites in phage L virions (data not shown), as there was only a hint of very weak density

observed at these positions, and no Dec occupancy was observed at the alternative quasi-

three-fold sites between hexons and pentons (Figure 1A). Segmentation of the Dec density

from the virion density map for subsequent analysis was performed using UCSF Chimera's

Segger [48] (Figure 1B, C).

Structures of the phage L coat lattice and capsid-bound Dec trimer:

As an initial guide for accurately fitting the phage L coat protein into the cryo-EM

density, we used the most recent 3.3 Å-resolution structure of phage P22 coat protein (PDB

ID: 5UU5; [49]), since phage L and phage P22 are highly homologous, differing at only four

positions of their 430 amino acid coat proteins [34]. Upon initial docking, we found small

discrepancies between the phage L capsid cryo-EM density and the P22 coat protein

asymmetric unit structure. Therefore, to optimally fit the cryo-EM density we refined the

phage L asymmetric unit where each capsid protein was allowed to move independently in

the cryo-EM density envelope using Phenix (Table 1). The phage L capsid protein subunit

domains (Figure S2) are named as defined for P22 coat protein [49,50]. The four amino acid

sequence differences between phage L and P22 coat proteins were accounted for during

modeling and are not near the Dec binding interface (see Movie S1). The R101H difference

is within the spine helix pointing towards the capsid interior, I154L is located in the A-domain

towards the hexamer center, M267L is in the I-domain, adjacent to but not interacting with

Dec, and A276T in also located in the I-domain but on the distal end pointing towards the

center of the hexamer (Figure S2). Overall, there were only very minor differences between

the optimally fit P22 and phage L capsid lattices. The phage L coat protein (Cα backbone)

deviated from those in the P22 structure (PDB 5UU5) by about 1 Å for the hexamer and 1.5

Å for the penton unit, which is in the range of what may be expected for structures of

homologous proteins [51].

At lower resolution, the capsid-bound Dec trimer was previously reported to have a

tripod shape with three N-terminal legs interacting with the capsid surface, and a protruding

central C-terminal head or stalk [34,36]. Our results agree with the previously published

structure, however the higher-resolution structure of Dec, particularly in the N-terminal

region, now allows a detailed analysis of the fold of the protein and interpretation of the

binding interface. The trimeric Dec density (one segmented trimer shown in Figure 1B) is

asymmetric and well defined at the quasi-three-fold symmetry axes between hexons [36,42].

The resolution within the Dec density is on average lower than the capsid, likely due to

protein flexibility, especially in the C-terminal stalk region (Figure S1C).

The NMR structure of the Dec monomer consists of an OB-fold domain and an

unfolded C-terminus:

To investigate the properties of free Dec in solution, we used NMR to characterize

the unassembled protein in the absence of capsids using an acid unfolding protocol of

lowering the pH to 2, followed by a refolding step induced by adjusting the pH to 4 as

defined in [52]. Subsequent characterization of the protein using size exclusion

chromatography, native-gel electrophoresis, and ^{15}N NMR relaxation measurements (Figure

S3) showed that the unfolding/refolding protocol converts purified Dec from a trimer to

partially folded monomers [52]. The high quality of the NMR data enabled us to obtain nearly

complete (98%) NMR assignments for the monomeric Dec protein [52]. The NMR structure

was determined using the program ARIA 2.3 [53], based on the experimental NMR

constraints summarized in Table 2. The coordinates of the Dec monomer NMR structure

ensemble have been deposited to the PDB under accession number 6E3C.

The N-terminal domain of the Dec monomer has an OB-fold motif (Figure 2). The

final NMR structure (Figure 2A) is close to an initial NMR model calculated with the program

CS-ROSETTA [54], based on the assigned NMR chemical shifts in combination with

template-based modeling (Figure S4). The backbone (Cα, C', N, O) RMSD is 2.3 Å over the

folded parts of the structure (residues 12-89) between the NMR structure and the CS-

Rosetta model. Submission of the NMR Dec monomer structure to the DALI server [55]

identified about 80 matches to proteins that contain similar OB-fold domain structures. The

strongest of these is a portion (residues 117-174) of chain E of the 40S ribosomal protein

SA (PDB code 4KZX-E). This superposed on the Dec NMR structure with a Cα RMSD of 3.5

Å over 61 residues, supporting our interpretation that the structure of the Dec N-terminus is

in the OB-fold family.

The canonical OB-fold motif [46] is a five-stranded Greek key β-barrel, comprised of

a β1-β3 meander and a β4-β5 hairpin, with an α-helix 'αOB' intervening between strands β3

and β4. The five-stranded OB-fold β-barrel is closed by an antiparallel pairing between

strand β1 and β4, and a short parallel pairing between stands β3 and β5 [46]. In the Dec

structure the anti-parallel pairing of stands β1 and β4 is conserved, but strands β3 and β5

are too distant (~13 Å) for any H-bond contacts. Thus, the Dec β-sheet is distorted to a more

open structure compared with the classical five-stranded OB-fold β-barrel (Figure 2B). In

general, the cores of OB-fold β-barrels are consolidated by three layers of hydrophobic

residues [46,56]. This arrangement is also present in the Dec structure (not shown). The

canonical role of the helix αOB is to provide a 'hydrophobic plug' for the bottom hydrophobic

layer of the β-barrel [46,56]. Residue V55 appears to serve this role in Dec. In OB-fold

proteins the orientation of the helix αOB is more variable than that of the β-barrel [57,58],

and in Dec, the αOB helix extends almost directly between strand β3 and β5, with the helix

axis in the plane of the β1-β3 meander, rather than below this structure (Figure 2B).

As with many OB-fold proteins [58], Dec has additional non-conserved elements of

secondary structure at the periphery of the conserved motif. The short strand $\beta 0$ forms an

anti-parallel pair with the N-terminus of the OB-fold strand $\beta 1$, and the helix αC extends

away from the last OB-fold strand $\beta 5$ (Figure 2C). Outside of the folded globular part of the

Dec monomer structure, residues 1-11 and 90-134 are disordered (Figure 2B). Although

these segments were included in the NMR structure calculations, they have no defined

structure in the Dec monomers (Figure 2B).

To further characterize Dec, we analyzed the dynamics of the monomeric protein

using NMR ^{15}N-relaxation data in terms of the "Model-Free" formalism (Figure S3). The local

backbone mobility of the Dec monomers is summarized in Figure 3. The N-terminus from

residues 1-11 and the C-terminus from residues 90-134 have small S^2 order parameters

characteristic of unfolded protein segments (Figure 3A, Movie S3). The random coil

chemical shifts of these regions [52] and the lack of long-range NOEs, are also consistent

with these segments being unstructured in the Dec monomers. Small and intermediate S^2

values indicative of increased flexibility on the ps-ns timescales are also seen at sites within

the folded globular portion of Dec (Figure 3A). Information on motions within slower μs-ms

timescales can be garnered from exchange contributions to R2 transverse relaxation (R2$_{EX}$

parameters). The sites with the largest R2$_{EX}$ contributions are similar to those with low S2

order parameters, in particular loops L2-3, L4-5 and the region surrounding the loop L3-

α (purple and red in Figure 3B). The sites within the folded portion of the Dec monomers

with the largest flexibility based on S^2 and R2$_{EX}$ values are shown in Figures 3 C, and D,

respectively.

Homology modeling of phage-bound Dec suggests a trimeric β-helix motif forms the

C-terminal spike:

The NMR structure of Dec revealed an OB-fold for the N-terminal portion of the

protein; however, the C-terminal portion was unstructured for the monomer in solution. As

we previously observed [36], the N-terminal domain forms the legs of the Dec "tripod" that

are bound to the capsid, and the C-terminal region forms its protruding central stalk. The

ordered N-terminal domain was not sufficient to fill the entire density within the cryo-EM map.

Moreover, fitting the N-terminal folded OB-fold into the density showed little or no inter-

subunit trimer contacts from the first 77 residues of Dec. Thus the C-terminus of Dec,

evident as a spike in cryo-EM maps of capsid bound Dec [36], becomes ordered either upon

Dec trimerization or capsid binding.

We used a combination of the NMR structure and homology modeling to build a

model of the capsid-bound Dec trimer using the cryo-EM density map as a guide. We initially

docked the NMR derived OB-fold portion of the Dec structure (residues 10-77) into the

segmented cryo-EM density, independently fitting three copies of the OB-fold. Using Phenix,

each copy was refined by flexible fitting to accommodate the slight differences in the

asymmetric trimer density. We then built a complete trimer by using a combination of

homology modeling and flexible fitting to refine the C-terminal portion of Dec separately from

that of the OB-fold. Homology of the C-terminus most closely matched a fragment from the

bacteriophage T4 trimeric proximal long tail fiber protein gp34 (PDB ID: 4UXE [59]) (see

Materials and Methods for details), and was fit to the segmented cryo-EM density. In brief,

the C-terminal domain (residues 78-134) was modeled as a three-stranded β-helix domain

based on the remote homology model, consistent with predicted secondary structures of the

tail fiber protein gp34, and to fit the cylindrical shape of the cryo-EM density for the C-

terminal domain. The C-terminus of the final trimeric Dec structure was then further refined

using partially flexible fitting preserving the β-helix domain as a rigid unit within the cryo-EM

density envelope (Figure 4). These residues are predicted with a lower confidence owing to

the flexibility and lower resolution within that portion of the structure and were refined using

the Cα backbone only in this region.

Mutagenesis of P22 coat protein and Dec reveals key residues that modulate binding:

The OB-fold of Dec, as determined by NMR, fits the cryo-EM map of the "tripod" legs

in the native phage L virion very well (Figure 4). This allowed us to fit models of several coat

protein subunits and Dec to analyze the Dec-coat protein binding interface. The resolution of

the coat protein in the cryo-EM map in this region was sufficient to fit some bulky side chains.

Furthermore, the NMR structure allowed us to make predictions regarding the side chain

orientation of Dec residues that potentially bind to coat protein. Analysis of the binding

interface revealed several residues in close contact between the phage L coat protein and

Dec that appear to be important for binding. Therefore, we tested our models using site-

directed mutagenesis of phage P22 coat protein. P22 is a very well-established model

system with a variety of molecular tools readily available [29]. Previous work has shown that

P22 and phage L behave similarly [33,34,36] and all of the amino acid substitutions found in

phage L have even been identified occasionally in P22 stocks as phenotypically silent

mutations (Teschke lab, unpublished data). Lastly, as Dec bound to P22 is a widely used

system for a variety of nanomaterials applications [10,42,43], identifying key residues that

contribute to Dec interactions with P22 is highly useful for practical applications. Therefore,

we felt it reasonable to use the established genetics of P22 as a model for the native phage

L for our mutagenesis studies.

Previous work suggested that Dec binding to P22 capsids is driven primarily through

electrostatic interactions [42], and our data also suggest a charged binding interface. To

assess the role of specific coat protein surface residues in modulating Dec binding, the

following five coat protein residues in potential close contact with Dec were chosen for

single amino acid substitution by site directed mutagenesis: E81R, P82S, R299E, P322S,

and E323R (Figure 5; Supplementary Materials, Movie S2). Site directed mutagenesis was

performed on a plasmid that expresses coat protein (see Materials and Methods) as

described previously [60-62]. Cells carrying the plasmid were infected with phages having a

nonsense mutation in gene *5* (encodes coat protein), so that any phages produced are the

result of complementation by the coat gene expressed from the plasmid. None of the amino

acid substitutions affected virion production or infectivity; phages assembled with each coat

protein variant were grown to high titer and were phenotypically indistinguishable from the

parental phage.

Coat proteins occupying different local conformations (as a result of the quasi-

equivalent capsid lattice) contribute different residues to the binding interface (Figure 5A,

Movie S2). For example, residues E81, P82, and R299 from the coat protein subunits that

form the quasi-three-fold symmetry axes (grey subunits in Figure 5) contact Dec, whereas

residues P322 and E323 contact Dec from adjacent and overlapping coat protein subunits

(black subunits in Figure 5). To assess the role that specific Dec residues play in capsid

binding, we also made five single amino acid substitutions in recombinant Dec protein:

K30D, Y31A, Y49E, Y71A, and E73R (see Materials and Methods). Modified Dec proteins

were added to mature WT phage particles, complexes were purified using CsCl gradients,

and relative amount of each Dec protein bound to virions was quantitated. The global

secondary structure of all Dec variants was indistinguishable from the WT protein by circular

dichroism. Furthermore, native gel experiments performed as described previously [52]

showed that all Dec variants assemble as trimers in solution. Therefore any changes in Dec

occupancy in the variants are likely due to a disruption of the binding interface, rather than

protein folding artifacts.

Among the five coat protein changes, coat amino acid substitutions E81R and

E323R attenuated but did not completely abolish Dec binding ability (Figure 5B, C). We

created a variant containing both amino acid substitutions, E81R:E323R, which displayed

less Dec binding (<20%) than each of the individual substitutions. Since the E81R:E323

double mutant affects both Dec binding sites, our data indicate that the binding interface

involves more than these two residues. It is also possible that given the inherent asymmetry

in the capsid quasi three-fold binding sites for Dec because they are formed by coat proteins

in two distinct quasi-equivalent conformations, not all chains of the Dec trimer have equal

effects form the amino acid substitutions. Dec with amino acid substitutions K30D and Y49E

displayed lower binding than the WT protein, consistent with the prediction of an

electrostatic binding interface (Figure 5D, Movie S2).

The close contact region between Dec and coat can be thought of as including two

binding sites. One site includes coat residues E81 and P82 that interact with Dec residues

K30, Y31 and Y49 (Figure 5D, "site 1"). Dec residue Y49 is directed towards the side chain

of coat residue E81. The other site includes Dec residues Y71 and E73 and coat residues

P322, E323 and R299 (Figure 5D, "site 2"). Overall, variations in site 1 had the largest effect

on binding. In Dec, site 1 residues K30 and Y49 had the largest effect on binding saturation,

and in coat protein site 1 residue E81R had the largest effect relative to the other

substitutions. Although site 1 clearly plays a strong role in modulating Dec binding, coat

residue E323R that occupies site 2 had a modest but reproducible effect. We conclude that

the site 1 plays a larger role in mediating Dec binding to coat protein, and is largely due to

electrostatic interactions.

We also tested our hypothesis by making substitutions in residues near sites 1 and 2.

As expected, these did not reduce binding (namely coat residues P82S, R299E and P322S;

we intentionally made conservative proline substitutions as coat protein is highly

aggregation prone [29]). Similarly changes in nearby residues in Dec also did not reduce

binding, including Y31A, Y71A, and E73R. These observations are consistent in light of the

3D capsid structure, and indicates that sites 1 and 2 are the true binding sites.

Discussion

Decoration proteins employ a variety of binding schemes to adhere to phage capsids:

For dsDNA tailed phages and related viruses, decoration proteins can occupy the

capsid lattice in several different positions. Some decoration proteins bind capsids at the

center of hexamers including those of phages T4, T5, RB49, and Sf13 through Sf19, [63-66].

Other decoration proteins bind the edges of hexamers as exemplified by gp17 in phage N4

[67]. Finally, there are decoration proteins that bind the capsid in areas between capsomers

such as gpD in λ, gp56 in phage TW1, Soc in phage T4, gp87 in phage P74-26, and Dec in

phage L [20,34,68-70]. In all of the aforementioned cases, the decoration proteins are added

after capsid assembly. Conversely, the herpesvirus heterotrimeric triplex protein decorates

the outside of the capsid and is also essential during capsid assembly [71,72]. For the

majority of these examples, all possible quasi-equivalent binding sites on the lattice are

occupied by the decoration proteins. By contrast, in phage L, Dec uniquely binds at one type

of symmetry axis with high affinity: the quasi-three-fold sites between hexamers (Figure 1A).

To function in a stabilizing capacity, decoration proteins must bind capsids with high

enough affinity to remain associated even under harsh environmental conditions. As

described above there are many potential binding sites on capsids, so the requirement for

Dec binding affinity must be balanced against binding specificity, to allow discrimination

between binding sites. Indeed there is a wide range of reported K_Ds for various decoration

proteins. Phage L's Dec and T4's Hoc bind their respective capsids with nM affinity, whereas

pb10 binds phage T5 with pM affinity [36,63,73]. Taken together, variations in binding

affinities and saturating versus discriminating binding behavior suggests that decoration

proteins are capable of recognizing subtle differences in capsid lattices. The reason some

decoration proteins evolved to discriminate between similar quasi-equivalent sites is

unknown, but at some positions on the capsid lattice coat-coat interactions may be stronger

than at other quasi-equivalent positions; therefore, some positions may not require

stabilization from a decoration protein. Yet, other positions may be more vulnerable to

environmental assaults than others, and benefit from additional stabilization.

How might Dec discriminate between the different three fold sites on phage L?

Figure 6 shows a comparison of the coat protein subunits surrounding two types of quasi

three-fold axes. Coat residues that interact with Dec, in particular E81 (see above), shows a

substantial difference in position at these difference capsid locations. These coat protein

topology differences are likely responsible for the failure of Dec to bind to the type of quasi

three-fold site surrounding pentamers and the different Dec binding affinity from the

icosahedral three-fold site as measured *in vitro* [36]. The coat protein subunits surrounding

the quasi three-fold symmetry axes between pentamers and hexamers (green circles in

Figure 1A) that do not bind Dec have the greatest surface curvature with highly bent

contacts between capsomers (Figure 6). These interfaces are a weak point in many capsids

as perturbations such as chemical or thermal treatments can cause entire pentamers to be

released from the capsid [36,74]. The quasi-three fold coat protein subunits between

hexamers that do bind Dec also have some curvature, but it is less extreme than the quasi

three folds between pentamers and hexamers (Figure 6), likely why Dec can bind here,

albeit at orders of magnitude lower affinity [36]. By contrast, the icosahedral three-folds sites

are the flattest, and although some capsids use decoration proteins to stabilize this interface,

Dec is not used this way in native phage L particles. Biophysical measurements have shown

that Dec plays a much larger role in stabilizing dsDNA-containing heads compared to empty

capsids, and atomic force microscopy experiments showed Dec binds the capsid at

positions that can suffer mechanical damage [75]. Therefore, Dec binds specifically at the

quasi three-fold axes between hexamers via specifics residues within the key binding site

(Dec site 1), and displacement of the corresponding binding partner residues within the

capsid lattice decreases binding affinity at other capsid locations.

Dec has a novel architecture:

In dsDNA-containing tailed phages, previously studied decoration proteins for which atomic-resolution structures are known have two basic types of protein folds. Decoration proteins such as phage T5's pb10 and T4's hoc, have an overall Ig domain fold [63,66]. A second, more common type of decoration protein, exemplified by phage lambda's gpD, P74-26's gp87, phage 21's SHP, and TW1 gp56, have similar polypeptide folds and form a symmetric trimer with an N-terminal β-tulip domain and an α/β subdomain that binds and stabilizes capsids through hydrophobic interfaces [70,76]. Our work shows that Dec represents a third type of decoration protein fold (Figure 6), the OB-fold, as well as a different capsid-binding mechanism that discriminates against quasi three-fold capsid binding sites between pentamers and hexamers as well as that at the icosahedral three-fold sites. To our knowledge, Dec represents the first occurrence of an OB-fold structure in a virus decoration/cementing protein. Dec may have additional functions besides capsid stabilization. For example, Dec could play a role in cell adhesion. Preliminary evidence suggests that Dec might bind to target cells, presumably through carbohydrate moieties emanating from the target cell surfaces (Teschke lab, unpublished data). From a structural point of view, both the OB-fold part of Dec and the C-terminal beta-helix could be carbohydrate-binding motifs. However, future work will be needed to fully explore and validate this hypothesis. If Dec plays a role in cell surface binding, 60 Dec trimers rather than the saturating 140 must be sufficient for this function.

Dec binds phage L capsids with an unusual strategy:

Crystal structures for gpD [77], gp87 [70], and SHP [78] lack resolution for several N-terminal residues, indicating that these regions are flexible when these decoration proteins

are not associated with capsids. Cryo-EM maps of gpD in native phage λ show that the N-

terminus becomes highly ordered when gpD is in a bound conformation, and that the N-

terminus is the major part of the capsid binding mechanism as the N-terminus forms a

stabilizing β-sheet with strands supplied from the capsid protein [20]. Like gpD, the N-

terminus of the Dec monomer is disordered in solution as shown here by NMR. However,

unlike gpD, our data indicates that the Dec N-terminus remains rather flexible in the capsid-

bound form since there is (1) no cryo-EM density that is attributable to the first 10 residues,

(2) our previous cryo-EM data show the N-terminus of Dec can be labeled with large cargo

such as nanogold beads that are rather flexibly bound to the capsid [36], and (3) deletion of

the first 11 residues of Dec does not affect capsid binding affinity [42]. When we compare

the phage L Dec binding motif to the capsid stabilization mechanism in HK97, we see that

the residues that control Dec binding occupy similar spatial positions as the HK97

crosslinking residues that crosslink to form the lattice "chainmail", suggesting that

stabilization at this type of interface is crucial to capsid stability.

Furthermore, comparison of Dec to the β-tulip family of decoration proteins highlights

some interesting implications about binding affinity and selectivity of binding locations in

terms of symmetry of the auxiliary proteins involved. Perfect trimeric symmetry in a bound

decoration protein may allow a broader binding specificity. For example, gpD and gp87 are

highly symmetric trimers and these decoration proteins occupy all quasi-equivalent binding

sites including icosahedral three fold symmetry axes and also both types of quasi-three-fold

sites. By contrast, the asymmetry in the bound Dec trimer evinced by cryo-EM is an unusual

property among decoration proteins and correlates with its binding selectivity to only the

quasi three-fold sites between hexamers. Dec appears to represent a separate evolutionary

lineage of decoration proteins that is distinctly different than those in the β-tulip family and

whose capsid binding is similarly driven mainly by interactions in site 1, with a smaller

contribution towards binding in site 2.

Materials and Methods

Strains and media: Phage L, its bacterial host, and purification procedures to produce high-

titer stocks were previously described [33,34]. Stocks were stored in a 10 mM Tris (pH 7.6)

and 10 mM $MgCl_2$ buffer. LB Miller broth and LB agar (Invitrogen) were used for all

experiments.

Purification of P22 phage with various coat proteins: *Salmonella enterica* serovar

Typhimurium strain DB7136 (leuA414am, hisC525am, su^0), expressing P22 coat protein

from mutant pMS11 plasmids, were infected with P22 phage carrying amber mutations in

gene *5* to stop production of phage-encoded coat protein as described previously [62]. This

P22 strain also carried the c1-7 allele to prevent lysogeny. The resulting phages containing

amino acid substitutions in coat protein were purified using standard protocols [33,36].

Site-directed mutagenesis of P22 coat protein and phage L Dec protein: The plasmid

pMS11 was mutated using Quikchange protocols as described previously [79] to generate

plasmids that express coat protein containing the following substitutions: E81R, P82S,

R299E, P322S, E323R, E81R:E323R. All plasmids were Amp^R (100 μg/mL) and IPTG

inducible (1 mM). Sequences were confirmed at the RSTF Genomics Core at Michigan

State University. Plasmid pDec was mutated using Quikchange protocols, and was used to

express wild type and variant Dec, each with a C-terminal histidine tag. Phage P22

purification [33,36] and binding assays were performed as described [34,36]. To measure

binding, purified Dec was added to P22 phages made with WT or variant coat proteins. Free

Dec was separated from Dec bound to P22 particles by cesium chloride density gradient

sedimentation as previously described [33,34]. Bands containing P22 were TCA-precipitated,

and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Coomassie-stained gel bands corresponding to P22 coat

protein and Dec were quantitated and normalized to the ratio found in native P22 particles

when bound with Dec. All binding experiments were repeated two to four times.

Representative data are shown.

Cryo-EM: Aliquots (~5 μL) of phage L virions were vitrified and examined using published

procedures [80]. Briefly, this involved applying samples to Quantifoil R2/2 holey grids that

had been plasma cleaned for ~20 sec in a Fischione model 1020 plasma cleaner. Grids

were then blotted with Whatman filter paper for ~5 sec, and plunged into liquid ethane.

Samples were pre-screened for concentraton and purification quality in a JEOL JEM-

2200FS TEM using a Gatan 914 specimen holder, using standard low-dose conditions as

controlled by SerialEM [81]. High-resoluiton imaging on an FEI Titan Krios was performed at

Florida State University. Micrographs were recorded on a Direct Electron DE-20 camera wth

a capture rate of 25 frames per second using a total of 53 frames, and a final dose of ~27

e/$Å^2$ at a final pixel size of 1.26 Å. Movie correction was performed using the Direct Electron

software package, v2.7.1 [82] on entire frames.

512
3D image reconstructions of icosahedral particles: Micrographs exhibiting minimal

astigmatism were selected for further processing. The objective lens defocus settings used

to record each data set ranged 0.35 to 2.49 μm. In total, the final reconstruction used 7,879

of the best particles from 494 images. The program RobEM

(http://cryoEM.ucsd.edu/programs.shtm) was used to estimate micrograph defocus and

astigmatism, extract individual phage L particles, and to preprocess the images. 150 particle

images were used as input to the random-model computation procedure to generate an

initial 3D density map at ~25 Å resolution [83]. Each map was then used to initiate

determination and refinement of particle orientations and origins for the complete set of

images using the current version of AUTO3DEM (v4.01.07) [84]. Phases of the particle

structure factor data were corrected to compensate for the effects caused by the microscope

contrast-transfer function, as described [85]. The Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC$_{0.5}$) "gold

standard" criterion was used to estimate the resolution of the final 3D reconstructions [86].

The global resolution of the entire virion density map was determined to be 4.2 Å, without

applying a mask. Local resolution was estimated as previously described [47]. Map

segmentation was performed using the Segger tool in Chimera [87]. Phenix was used for

autosharpening, atomic model fitting, and map validation for the segmented coat and Dec

densities [88]. A portion of the native phage L capsid map (segmented coat and Dec

density) has been deposited in the EMDB database (accession number EMD-9392).

Graphical representations were generated using the UCSF Chimera visualization software

package [87].

NMR characterization of the structure and dynamics of monomeric Dec: Samples of

recombinant Dec enriched in ^{15}N, ^{13}C and ^{2}H isotopes for NMR studies were expressed in *E.*

coli and purified as described [52]. To obtain samples suitable for NMR, 0.3 to 0.5 mM Dec

was unfolded to pH 2 for 20 min, followed by refolding to pH 4.0 in 20 mM sodium acetate

buffer containing 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA. The acid-unfolding/refolding procedure

converted Dec to a monomer as monitored by native gel electrophoresis and size exclusion

chromatography. All NMR data were collected for samples held at a temperature of 33 ^{o}C.

Virtually complete NMR assignments for monomeric Dec (> 98% of backbone resonances)

were obtained using a suite of 3D NMR experiments [52] and have been deposited in the

Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank (http://www.bmrb.wisc.edu/) with the accession

number 27435.

NMR structures for Dec were calculated with the program ARIA v. 2.3.1 [53] using

the experimental restraints summarized in Table 1. Backbone (ϕ,ψ) and side chain ($\chi 1$)

torsion angles were calculated from assigned HN, N, Hα, Cα, Cβ, and CO NMR chemical

shifts using the program TALOS-N [54]. NOE-based distance restraints were obtained from

3D ^{15}N- and ^{13}C-NOESY experiments collected on ^{15}N/^{13}C-labeled Dec samples, with or

without 50% fractional deuteration. The NOESY experiments were collected on an 800 MHz

spectrometer equipped with a cryogenic probe, using a mixing time of 100 ms. Hydrogen

bond restraints were included based on H-bond donors and acceptors identified in a long-

range HNCO experiment [89] recorded on a ^{2}H,^{13}C,^{15}N triple-labeled Dec sample in TROSY

mode at 800 MHz, and H-bond donors inferred from amide proton protection in ^{1}H to ^{2}H

hydrogen exchange experiments. Water refinement in the program ARIA [53] was used as a

final optimization step for the NMR structures.

Backbone dynamics of Dec were characterized using ^{15}N NMR R1, R2, and ^{1}H-^{15}N

NOE relaxation data recorded at 800 MHz. R1 rates were obtained using interleaved

relaxation delays of 0.05, 0.13, 0.21, 0.49, 0.57, 0.71 and 0.99 s. R2 rates were determined

using interleaved relaxation delays of 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.07, 0.09, 0.11, and 0.15 s. A 2 s

pre-acquisition delay was used for recovery to thermal equilibrium. ^{1}H-^{15}N NOE values were

determined from the ratio of crosspeak intensities in a spectrum for which the proton signals

were saturated for 2.5 s and a control spectrum in which the saturation period was replaced

by a pre-acquisition delay of equivalent length. The processing and analysis of relaxation

parameters was done according to published protocols [57]. Model-free analyses [90] of the

^{15}N relaxation data were performed with the program Tensor2 [91], yielding an optimal global

isotropic rotational correlation time of 7.4 ns.

Flexible fitting of coat protein and Dec into the cryo-EM map: Initial structures of the

capsid proteins were built via homology modeling based on the available structure for P22

(PDB ID 5UU5 [49]). Using the symmetry operations in the PDB structure, 1/8th of the capsid

was constructed to cover the entire cryo-EM density map for phage L. To obtain an initial

structure, the entire capsid complex was fitted via rigid-body docking to the density map

using UCSF Chimera [87].

After docking and refining the NMR-derived N-terminal OB-fold of Dec, a model for

the helix spanning residues 78 to 86, and a linker was added to cover residues 87-92 before

connecting with a homology model for the C-terminus based on a fragment from the

bacteriophage T4 proximal long tail fiber protein gp34 (PDB ID: 4UXE [59]). The EM density

lacks sufficient resolution for reliably modeling the C-terminal part of Dec in terms of side

chain orientations but indicates a barrel-shaped structure consistent with a β-helix seen in

many bacteriophage tail structures. The modeled β-helix is also consistent with the β-sheet

secondary structure predicted for the C-terminus of Dec from its sequence [34,36,52]. The

selection of this structure as a template was based on the dimension of the β-helix that was

narrower than most β-helices found in other tail structures, and in better agreement with the

EM density. The complete model of the Dec trimer was then optimized against the EM

density via flexible fitting using the MDFF protocol within NAMD [92]. During the flexible fit,

residues 1-77 were restrained at Cα and Cβ positions and the helices in each subunit

spanning from 79-86 as well as the trimeric β-helix involving residues above 104 were

internally restrained Cα positions. This allowed the C-terminal part of the trimer to move

separately from the N-terminus to find the best fit to the EM density while preserving the

secondary structure motifs. We note, that while the model for the N-terminal part of Dec is

supported by NMR data and a good fit to the relatively high resolution EM density, the model

for the C-terminus is speculative due to a lack of high-resolution experimental data,

therefore we only show the Cα backbone.

Acknowledgements: We thank Timothy S. Baker (University of California, San Diego), Gabriel C. Lander and John E. Johnson (The Scripps Research Institute) for advice and support during the preliminary phase of this project, Giovanni Cardone for support in the local resolution analysis, Prof. Angela Gronenborn (U. Pittsburgh School of Medicine) for useful discussion, and Anne R. Kaplan for help with NMR structure calculations of Dec. This material is based upon work supported by the AAAS Marion Milligan Mason Award for Women in the Chemical Sciences to KNP, by grants NIH GM084953 and GM126948 to MF, NIH grant R01 GM076661 and a grant from the UConn Research Excellence Program to CMT and ATA. High-resolution cryo-electron microscopy data were collected at Florida State University, and the FSU facility is supported by the following grants: S10 OD018142 and S10 RR025080 under PI Ken Taylor. We would like to thank Kaillathe "Pappan" Padmanabhan for his assistance in the setup and maintenance of our computational resources. We thank Dr. Sundharraman Subramanian for help with COOT. Finally, we gratefully acknowledge the NVIDIA Corporation with the donation of the Titan V GPU used for this research.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References:

1. Bamford DH, Grimes JM, Stuart DI (2005) What does structure tell us about virus evolution? Curr Opin Struct Biol 15: 655-663.

2. Caspar DLD, Klug A (1962) Physical principles in the construction of regular viruses. Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol 27: 1-24.

3. Bergh O, Borsheim KY, Bratbak G, Heldal M (1989) High abundance of viruses found in aquatic environments. Nature 340: 467-468.

4. Wommack KE, Colwell RR (2000) Virioplankton: viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiol
Mol Biol Rev 64: 69-114.
5. Hua J, Huet A, Lopez CA, Toropova K, Pope WH, Duda, RL, Hendrix, RW, Conway JF
(2017) Capsids and Genomes of Jumbo-Sized Bacteriophages Reveal the
Evolutionary Reach of the HK97 Fold. MBio 8.
6. Evilevitch A (2018) The mobility of packaged phage genome controls ejection dynamics.
Elife 7.
7. Kellermayer MSZ, Voros Z, Csik G, Herenyi L (2018) Forced phage uncorking: viral DNA
ejection triggered by a mechanically sensitive switch. Nanoscale 10: 1898-1904.
8. Bauer DW, Huffman JB, Homa FL, Evilevitch A (2013) Herpes virus genome, the
pressure is on. J Am Chem Soc 135: 11216-11221.
9. Bauer DW, Li D, Huffman J, Homa FL, Wilson K, Leavitt, JC, Casjens, SR, Bainers, J,
Evilevitch, A (2015) Exploring the Balance between DNA Pressure and Capsid
Stability in Herpesviruses and Phages. J Virol 89: 9288-9298.
10. Sharma J, Uchida M, Miettinen HM, Douglas T (2017) Modular interior loading and
exterior decoration of a virus-like particle. Nanoscale 9: 10420-10430.
11. Gelbart WM, Knobler CM (2009) Virology. Pressurized viruses. Science 323: 1682-1683.
12. Grayson P, Han L, Winther T, Phillips R (2007) Real-time observations of single
bacteriophage lambda DNA ejections in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 14652-
14657.
13. Kindt J, Tzlil S, Ben-Shaul A, Gelbart WM (2001) DNA packaging and ejection forces in
bacteriophage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 13671-13674.
14. Popa MP, McKelvey TA, Hempel J, Hendrix RW (1991) Bacteriophage HK97 structure:
wholesale covalent cross-linking between the major head shell subunits. J Virol 65:
3227-3237.
15. Wikoff WR, Duda RL, Hendrix RW, Johnson JE (1998) Crystallization and preliminary X-
ray analysis of the dsDNA bacteriophage HK97 mature empty capsid. Virology 243:
113-118.
16. Duda RL (1998) Protein chainmail: catenated protein in viral capsids. Cell 94: 55-60.
17. Sternberg N, Weisberg R (1977) Packaging of coliphage lambda DNA. II. The role of the
gene D protein. J Mol Biol 117: 733-759.
18. Imber R, Tsugita A, Wurtz M, Hohn T (1980) Outer surface protein of bacteriophage
lambda. J Mol Biol 139: 277-295.
19. Dokland T, Murialdo H (1993) Structural transitions during maturation of bacteriophage
lambda capsids. J Mol Biol 233: 682-694.
20. Lander GC, Evilevitch A, Jeemaeva M, Potter CS, Carragher B, Johnson JE (2008)
Bacteriophage lambda stabilization by auxiliary protein gpD: timing location, and
mechanism of attachment determined by cryo-EM. Structure 16: 1399-1406.
21. Yang Q, Maluf NK, Catalano CE (2008) Packaging of a unit-length viral genome: the role
of nucleotides and the gpD decoration protein in stable nucleocapsid assembly in
bacteriophage lambda. J Mol Biol 383: 1037-1048.
22. Parent KN, Khayat R, Tu LH, Suhanovsky MM, Cortines JR, Teschke CM, Johnson JE,
Baker TS (2010) P22 coat protein structures reveal a novel mechanism for capsid
maturation: Stability without auxiliary proteins or chemical crosslinks. Structure 18:
390-410.
23. Chen DH, Baker ML, Hryc CF, DiMaio F, Jakana J, Wu W, Dougherty M, Haase-
Pettingell C, Schmid MF, Jiang W, Baker D, King JA, Chiu W (2011) Structural basis
for scaffolding-mediated assembly and maturation of a dsDNA virus. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A 108: 1355-1360.

24. Spilman MS, Dearborn AD, Chang JR, Damle PK, Christie GE, Dokland T (2011) A
conformational switch involved in maturation of *Staphylococcus aureus*
bacteriophage 80α capsids. Journal of Molecular Biology 405: 863-876.
25. Parent KN, Gilcrease EB, Casjens SR, Baker TS (2012) Structural evolution of the P22-
like phages: Comparison of Sf6 and P22 procapsid and virion architectures. Virology
427: 177-188.
26. Parent KN, Tang J, Cardone G, Gilcrease EB, Janssen ME, Olson NH, Casjens SR,
Baker TS (2014) Three-dimensional reconstructions of the bacteriophage CUS-3
virion reveal a conserved I-domain but a distinct tailspike receptor-binding domain.
Virology Accepted.
27. Casjens SR, Thuman-Commike PA (2011) Evolution of mosaically related tailed
bacteriophage genomes seen through the lens of phage P22 virion assembly.
Virology 411: 393-415.
28. Parent KN, Zlotnick A, Teschke CM (2006) Quantitative analysis of multi-component
spherical virus assembly: Scaffolding protein contributes to the global stability of
phage P22 procapsids. J Mol Biol 359: 1097-1106.
29. Teschke CM, Parent KN (2010) 'Let the phage do the work': Using the phage P22 coat
protein structure as a framework to understand its folding and assembly mutants.
Virology 401: 119-130.
30. Parent KN, Schrad JR, Cingolani G (2018) Breaking Symmetry in Viral Icosahedral
Capsids as Seen through the Lenses of X-ray Crystallography and Cryo-Electron
Microscopy. Viruses 10.
31. Hendrix RW (2002) Bacteriophages: evolution of the majority. Theor Popul Biol 61: 471-
480.
32. Baker ML, Jiang W, Rixon FJ, Chiu W (2005) Common ancestry of herpesviruses and
tailed DNA bacteriophages. J Virol 79: 14967-14970.
33. Gilcrease EB, Winn-Stapley DA, Hewitt FC, Joss L, Casjens SR (2005) Nucleotide
sequence of the head assembly gene cluster of bacteriophage L and decoration
protein characterization. J Bacteriol 187: 2050-2057.
34. Tang L, Gilcrease EB, Casjens SR, Johnson JE (2006) Highly discriminatory binding of
capsid cementing protiens in bacteriophage L. Structure 14: 837-845.
35. Veesler D, Johnson JE (2012) Virus maturation. Annu Rev Biophys 41: 473-496.
36. Parent KN, Deedas CT, Egelman EH, Casjens SR, Baker TS, Teschke CM (2012)
Stepwise molecular display utilizing icosahedral and helical complexes of phage coat
and decoration proteins in the development of robust nanoscale display vehicles.
Biomaterials 33: 5628-5637.
37. Tao P, Zhu J, Mahalingam M, Batra H, Rao VB (2018) Bacteriophage T4 nanoparticles
for vaccine delivery against infectious diseases. Adv Drug Deliv Rev.
38. Serwer P, Wright ET (2018) Nanomedicine and Phage Capsids. Viruses 10.
39. Tao P, Mahalingam M, Zhu J, Moayeri M, Sha J, Lawrence WS, Leppla SH, Chopra AK,
Rao VB (2018) A Bacteriophage T4 Nanoparticle-Based Dual Vaccine against
Anthrax and Plague. MBio 9.
40. Asija K, Teschke CM (2018) Lessons from bacteriophages part 1: Deriving utility from
protein structure, function, and evolution. PLoS Pathog 14: e1006971.
41. Douglas T, Young M (2006) Viruses: making friends with old foes. Science 312: 873-875.
42. Schwarz B, Madden P, Avera J, Gordon B, Larson K, Miettinen HM, Uchida M, LaFrance
B, Basu G, Rynda-Apple A, Douglas T (2015) Symmetry Controlled, Genetic
Presentation of Bioactive Proteins on the P22 Virus-like Particle Using an External
Decoration Protein. ACS Nano 9: 9134-9147.

43. McCoy K, Uchida M, Lee B, Douglas T (2018) Templated Assembly of a Functional
Ordered Protein Macromolecular Framework from P22 Virus-like Particles. ACS
Nano 12: 3541-3550.
44. Catalano CE (2018) Bacteriophage lambda: The path from biology to theranostic agent.
Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol.
45. Suhanovsky MM, Teschke CM (2015) Nature's favorite building block: Deciphering
folding and capsid assembly of proteins with the HK97-fold. Virology 479-480: 487-
497.
46. Murzin AG (1993) OB(oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding)-fold: common structural
and functional solution for non-homologous sequences. EMBO J 12: 861-867.
47. Cardone G, Heymann JB, Steven AC (2013) One number does not fit all: mapping local
variations in resolution in cryo-EM reconstructions. J Struct Biol 184: 226-236.
48. Pintilie GD, Zhang J, Goddard TD, Chiu W, Gossard DC (2010) Quantitative analysis of
cryo-EM density map segmentation by watershed and scale-space filtering, and
fitting of structures by alignment to regions. Journal of structural biology 170: 427-
438.
49. Hryc CF, Chen DH, Afonine PV, Jakana J, Wang Z, Haase-Pettingell C, Jiang W, Adams
PD, King JA, Schmid MF, Chiu W (2017) Accurate model annotation of a near-
atomic resolution cryo-EM map. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: 3103-3108.
50. Rizzo AA, Suhanovsky MM, Baker ML, Fraser LC, Jones LM, Rempel DL, Gross ML,
Chius W, Alexandrescu AT, Teschke CM (2014) Multiple functional roles of the
accessory I-domain of bacteriophage P22 coat protein revealed by NMR structure
and CryoEM modeling. Structure 22: 830-841.
51. Eyrich VA, Marti-Renom MA, Przybylski D, Madhusudhan MS, Fiser A, Pazos F,
Valencia A, Sali A, Rost B (2001) EVA: continuous automatic evaluation of protein
structure prediction servers. Bioinformatics 17: 1242-1243.
52. Newcomer RL, Belato HB, Teschke CM, Alexandrescu AT (2018) NMR assignments of
the phage-cementing protein Decorator. Biomol NMR Assign.
53. Bardiaux B, Malliavin T, Nilges M (2012) ARIA for solution and solid-state NMR.
Methods Mol Biol 831: 453-483.
54. Shen Y, Vernon R, Baker D, Bax A (2009) De novo protein structure generation from
incomplete chemical shift assignments. J Biomol NMR 43: 63-78.
55. Holm L, Rosenstrom P (2010) Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. Nucleic Acids
Res 38: W545-549.
56. Alexandrescu AT, Gittis AG, Abeygunawardana C, Shortle D (1995) NMR structure of a
stable "OB-fold" sub-domain isolated from staphylococcal nuclease. J Mol Biol 250:
134-143.
57. Alexandrescu AT, Shortle D (1994) Backbone dynamics of a highly disordered 131
residue fragment of staphylococcal nuclease. J Mol Biol 242: 527-546.
58. Guardino KM, Sheftic SR, Slattery RE, Alexandrescu AT (2009) Relative stabilities of
conserved and non-conserved structures in the OB-fold superfamily. Int J Mol Sci 10:
2412-2430.
59. Granell M, Namura M, Alvira S, Kanamaru S, van Raaij MJ (2017) Crystal Structure of
the Carboxy-Terminal Region of the Bacteriophage T4 Proximal Long Tail Fiber
Protein Gp34. Viruses 9.
60. Parent KN, Suhanovsky MM, Teschke CM (2007) Phage P22 procapsids equilibrate with
free coat protein subunits. J Mol Biol 365: 513-522.
61. Suhanovsky MM, Parent KN, Dunn SE, Baker TS, Teschke CM (2010) Determinants of
bacteriophage P22 polyhead formation: the role of coat protein flexibilty in
conformational switching. Mol Micro 77: 1568-1582.

62. Suhanovsky MM, Teschke CM (2013) An intramolecular chaperone inserted in
bacteriophage P22 coat protein mediates its chaperonin-independent folding. J Biol
Chem 288: 33772-33783.
63. Vernhes E, Renouard M, Gilquin B, Cuniasse P, Durand D, England P, Hoos S, Huet A,
Conway JF, Glukhov A, Ksenzencko V, Jacquet E, Nhiri N, Zinn-Justin S, Boulanger
P (2017) High affinity anchoring of the decoration protein pb10 onto the
bacteriophage T5 capsid. Sci Rep 7: 41662.
64. Doore SM, Schrad JR, Dean WF, Dover JA, Parent KN (2018) Shigella Phages Isolated
during a Dysentery Outbreak Reveal Uncommon Structures and Broad Species
Diversity. J Virol 92.
65. Sathaliyawala T, Islam MZ, Li Q, Fokine A, Rossmann MG, Rao VB (2010) Functional
analysis of the highly antigenic outer capsid protein, Hoc, a virus decoration protein
from T4-like bacteriophages. Mol Microbiol 77: 444-455.
66. Fokine A, Islam MZ, Zhang Z, Bowman VD, Rao VB, Rossmann MG (2011) Structure of
the three N-terminal immunoglobulin domains of the highly immunogenic outer
capsid protein from a T4-like bacteriophage. J Virol 85: 8141-8148.
67. Choi KH, McPartland J, Kaganman I, Bowman VD, Rothman-Denes LB, Rossmann MG
(2008) Insight into DNA and protein transport in double-stranded DNA viruses: the
structure of bacteriophage N4. J Mol Biol 378: 726-736.
68. Wang Z, Hardies SC, Fokine A, Klose T, Jiang W, Cho BC, Rossmann MG (2018)
Structure of the Marine Siphovirus TW1: Evolution of Capsid-Stabilizing Proteins and
Tail Spikes. Structure 26: 238-248 e233.
69. Qin L, Fokine A, O'Donnell E, Rao VB, Rossmann MG (2010) Structure of the small
outer capsid protein, Soc: a clamp for stabilizing capsids of T4-like phages. J Mol
Biol 395: 728-741.
70. Stone NP, Hilbert BJ, Hidalgo D, Halloran KT, Lee J, Sontheimer EJ, Kelch BA (2018) A
Hyperthermophilic Phage Decoration Protein Suggests Common Evolutionary Origin
with Herpesvirus Triplex Proteins and an Anti-CRISPR Protein. Structure 26: 936-
947 e933.
71. Zhou ZH, Prasad BV, Jakana J, Rixon FJ, Chiu W (1994) Protein subunit structures in
the herpes simplex virus A-capsid determined from 400 kV spot-scan electron
cryomicroscopy. J Mol Biol 242: 456-469.
72. Heming JD, Conway JF, Homa FL (2017) Herpesvirus Capsid Assembly and DNA
Packaging. Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol 223: 119-142.
73. Shivachandra SB, Rao M, Janosi L, Sathaliyawala T, Matyas GR, Alving CR, Leppla,
Rao VB (2006) In vitro binding of anthrax protective antigen on bacteriophage T4
capsid surface through Hoc-capsid interactions: a strategy for efficient display of
large full-length proteins. Virology 345: 190-198.
74. Teschke CM, McGough A, Thuman-Commike PA (2003) Penton release from P22 heat-
expanded capsids suggests importance of stabilizing penton-hexon interactions
during capsid maturation. Biophysical Journal 84: 2585-2592.
75. Llauro A, Schwarz B, Koliyatt R, de Pablo PJ, Douglas T (2016) Tuning Viral Capsid
Nanoparticle Stability with Symmetrical Morphogenesis. ACS Nano 10: 8465-8473.
76. Lambert S, Yang Q, De Angeles R, Chang JR, Ortega M, Davis C, Catalano CE (2017)
Molecular Dissection of the Forces Responsible for Viral Capsid Assembly and
Stabilization by Decoration Proteins. Biochemistry 56: 767-778.
77. Yang F, Forrer P, Dauter Z, Conway JF, Cheng N, Cerritello ME, Steven AC, Pluckthun
A, Wlodawer A (2000) Novel fold and capsid-binding properties of the lambda-phage
display platform protein gpD. Nat Struct Biol 7: 230-237.
78. Forrer P, Chang C, Ott D, Wlodawer A, Pluckthun A (2004) Kinetic stability and crystal
structure of the viral capsid protein SHP. J Mol Biol 344: 179-193.

79. D'Lima NG, Teschke CM (2015) A Molecular Staple: D-Loops in the I Domain of
Bacteriophage P22 Coat Protein Make Important Intercapsomer Contacts Required
for Procapsid Assembly. J Virol 89: 10569-10579.
80. Baker TS, Olson NH, Fuller SD (1999) Adding the third dimension to virus life cycles:
three-dimensional reconstruction of icosahedral viruses from cryo-electron
micrographs. [erratum appears in Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2000 Mar;64(1):237.].
Microbiology & Molecular Biology Reviews 63: 862-922.
81. Mastronarde DN (2005) Automated electron microscope tomography using robust
prediction of specimen movements. J Struct Biol 1: 36-51.
82. Wang Z, Hryc C, Bammes B, Afonine PV, Jakana J, Chen DH, Liu X, Kao C, Ludtke SJ,
Schmid MF, Adams PD, Chiu W (2014) An atomic model of brome mosaic virus
using direct electron detection and real-space optimization. Nature Communications
5: 4808.
83. Yan X, Dryden KA, Tang J, Baker TS (2007) Ab initio random model method facilitates
3D reconstruction of icosahedral particles. J Struct Biol 157: 211-225.
84. Yan X, Sinkovits RS, Baker TS (2007) AUTO3DEM-an automated and high throughput
program for image reconstruction of icosahedral particles. J Struct Biol 157: 73-82.
85. Bowman VD, Chase ES, Franz AW, Chipman PR, Zhang X, Perry KL, Baker TS, Smith
TJ (2002) An antibody to the putative aphid recognition site on cucumber mosaic
virus recognizes pentons but not hexons. J Virol 76: 12250-12258.
86. Pintilie G, Chen DH, Haase-Pettingell CA, King JA, Chiu W (2016) Resolution and
Probabilistic Models of Components in CryoEM Maps of Mature P22 Bacteriophage.
Biophys J 110: 827-839.
87. Goddard TD, Huang CC, Ferrin TE (2007) Visualizing density maps with UCSF Chimera.
J Struct Biol 157: 281-287.
88. Afonine PV, Klaholz BP, Moriarty NW, Poon BK, Sobolev OV, Terwilliger TC, Adams PD,
Urzhumtsev A (2018) New tools for the analysis and validation of cryo-EM maps and
atomic models. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol 74: 814-840.
89. Cordier F, Grzesiek S (1999) Direct observation of hydrogen bonds in proteins by
interresidue 3HJNC' scalar couplings. J Am Chem Soc: 1601-1602.
90. Lipari G, Szabo, A. (1982) Model-Free Approach to the Interpretation of Nuclear
Magnetic Resonance Relaxation in Macromolecules. 1. Theory and Validity. J Am
Chem Soc 104: 4546-4559.
91. Dosset P, Hus JC, Blackledge M, Marion D (2000) Efficient analysis of macromolecular
rotational diffusion from heteronuclear relaxation data. J Biomol NMR 16: 23-28.
92. Trabuco LG, Villa E, Mitra K, Frank J, Schulten K (2008) Flexible fitting of atomic
structures into electron microscopy maps using molecular dynamics. Structure 16:
673-683.
93. Henderson R, Sali A, Baker ML, Carragher B, Devkota B, Downing KH, Egelman EH,
Feng Z, Frank J, Grigorieff N, Jiang W, Ludtke SJ, Medalia O, Penczek PA,
Rosenthal PB, Rossmann MG, Schmid MF, Schroder GF, Steven AC, Stokes DL,
Westbrook JD, Wriggers W, Yang H, Young J, Berman HM, Chiu W, Kleywegt GJ,
Lawson CL (2012) Outcome of the first electron microscopy validation task force
meeting. Structure 20: 205-214.
94. Kumar GS, Clarkson MW, Kunze MBA, Granata D, Wand AJ, Lindorff-Lanrsen K, Page
R, Peti W (2018) Dynamic activation and regulation of the mitogen-activated protein
kinase p38. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115: 4655-4660.
870
871

873 **TABLES AND FIGURE LEGENDS**

874 **Tables:**

876 **Table 1: Cryo-EM data collection and model refinement statistics**
877

Data collection

EM equipment	FEI Titan Krios
Voltage (kV)	300
Detector	DE-20
Pixel size (Å)	1.26
Electron Dose ($e^-/Å^2$)	27
Defocus range (μm)	0.35 - 2.49

Reconstruction

Software	AUTO3DEM
Number of particles	7,879
Symmetry	532
Map resolution (Å) at FSC = 0.143	4.2

Model building and Refinement

Software	COOT, Phenix

Model Statistics and Validation	Coat	Dec
No. protein chains in ASU	7	3
No. residues per protein	430	134
Model resolution (Å) at FSC = 0.5	4.30	5.80
Cross-correlation coefficient (CC)	0.61	0.40
MolProbabity Score	2.21	1.93
Clashscore	2.20	0.87
R.m.s. deviations		
Bond length (Å)	0.021	0.018
Bond angle (˚)	2.62	2.21
Preferred	92.42	77.53
Allowed	5.41	13.69
Outlier	2.17	8.59

Table 2. Statistics for the top 20 NMR structures of Dec.

Experimental Restraints		
Total number of NMR restraints	1,009	
Total number of NOE distance restraints	767	
Ambiguous	73	
Unambiguous	694	
Long range (|i-j|>4)	124	
Medium range (|i-j|)≤4)	93	
Sequential (|i-j|)=1)	281	
Intra-residue NOEs	269	
Total number of dihedral restraints	174	
φ/ ψ	127	
χ_1	47	
Hydrogen bond restraints (34*2)	68	
RMSD from experimental restraints[a]		
NOE distance (Å)	0.054 ± 0.003	
Dihedral (°)	0.42 ± 0.14	
RMSD from ideal geometry		
Bonds (Å)	0.0042 ± 0.0001	
Angles (°)	0.55 ± 0.02	
Improper angles (°)	1.68 ± 0.12	
E_{L-J} (kcal/mol)	- 316 ± 44	
RMSD from mean NMR structure	Backbone [b]	All Heavy Atoms
Entire protein 1-134 (Å)	> 9.5	> 9.5
Folded regions 12-86 (Å)	1.33 ± 0.21	1.95 ± 0.32
OB-fold 18-77 (Å)	1.08 ± 0.18	1.66 ± 0.25
OB-fold 2° structure[c] (Å)	0.84 ± 0.15	1.57 ± 0.31
Procheck Ramachandran Plot Statistics[d]		
Most favored (%)	89.1	
Additionally allowed (%)	10.9	
Generously allowed (%)	0.0	
Disallowed (%)	0.0	
Quality Z Scores from PSVS[e]		
Procheck ( )	-2.64	
Molprobity Clash	-1.19	

[a] Structures had no NOE violations > 0.5 Å nor dihedral violations > 5 degrees.

[b] Atoms: C , N, C, O

[c] Calculated over residues in the OB-fold portion: 21-32 (1), 35-40 (2), 47-50 (3), 52-59 (OB), 63-68 (4), 73-77 (5), a total of 41 amino acids.

[d] Calculated with the PSVS server (http://psvs-1_5-dev.nesg.org) using only the folded parts of Dec (residues 12-89).

  Figures and Legends:

Figure 1: Cryo-EM imaging and icosahedral image reconstruction of the mature phage

L capsid. A) Schematic of a T=7 icosahedral capsid overlaid with a black icosahedral cage.

The different symmetry axes are marked; two-fold by a black oval, five-fold by a black

pentagon, and icosahedral three-fold by a black triangle. Magenta circles highlight quasi

three-fold binding sites between hexamers on one icosahedral facet. The magenta quasi

three-folds are the preferred binding sites for Dec. Green circles highlight additional quasi

three-fold sites between hexons and pentons surrounding one vertex, to which Dec has

never been observed to bind. B) Segmented electron density for a capsid-bound Dec trimer

shown in a top-down view. C) Enlarged view of a quasi-3-fold symmetry axis between

hexons, showing the Dec binding site where the coat is segmented from the Dec trimer. The

density is fitted with six coat protein subunits derived from homology modeling. Three

orange subunits are closest to the symmetry axes and the three blue subunits are in a

different quasi equivalent conformation.

Figure 2: NMR structure of the Dec monomer. A) Ensemble of the 20 lowest-energy NMR

structures. For clarity, the disordered N- and C-termini are not shown. The folded globular

part of Dec has an OB-fold consisting of a β1-β3 meander (sky blue) and a β4-β5 hairpin

(yellow), with an α-helix (green) intervening between strands β3 and β4. Additional

secondary structure outside of the OB-fold incudes the short N-terminal strand β0 (dark

blue), and a C-terminal α-helix (pink). B) Ribbon diagram showing the structure closest to

the NMR average. The first 10 N-terminal residues and the last ~45 C-terminal residues,

which are unstructured in the Dec monomers are colored red and orange, respectively. The

coloring scheme for the rest of the protein in this and subsequent panels is the same as in A.

C) Diagram summarizing the topology and secondary structure limits of the Dec monomers.

Figure 3: Dynamics of the Dec monomer. A) S^2 order parameters describing the

amplitude of fast motions on the ps-ns timescale. Rigid ($S^2 > 0.65$), moderately flexible (0.65

$\geq S^2 > 0.55$), and highly flexible ($0.55 \geq S^2$) sites are indicated by black, purple, and red

symbols respectively. The secondary structure of Dec is given at the top of panel A. B)

Contributions to R2 relaxation from slow conformational exchange on the µs-ms timescale.

Amide protons with moderate $R2_{ex}$ values smaller than 3 Hz are shown in purple, those with

large contributions above 3 Hz in red. The S^2 and $R2_{ex}$ parameters were obtained from a

Model-Free analysis [90] of ^{15}N R1, R2, and ^{1}H-^{15}N NOE relaxation data for Dec (Figure S3)

using the program TENSOR 2.0 [91]. In C) and D) the S^2 and $R2_{ex}$ values are mapped onto

the NMR structure ensembles for Dec. Residues 1-10 and 90-134 which are unfolded and

thus have the lowest S^2 values, are not shown in the structures

Figure 4: Fitting the NMR OB-fold into the cryo-EM map and model of the trimer. A)

Side B) top-down, and C) bottom-up views of the Dec trimer model fit into the cryo-EM

density map with individual chains colored magenta, cyan, and green.

Figure 5: Binding assays of variant coat proteins and Dec to probe the interaction

interface. A) Coat protein subunits depicted as ribbon diagrams around a quasi three-fold

axis Dec binding site. Three coat subunits directly surrounding a quasi three-fold axis are

shown in light grey, and three neighboring coat proteins are shown in black. Residues

selected for mutagenesis are shown as spheres. B) Normalized binding data of the ratio of

variant coat protein bound to WT Dec, color-coded to match the corresponding residues in

panel A. C) Portions of Dec highlighting the OB-fold (residues 10-77) shown as ribbons, with

each monomer a different shade of blue. Residues selected for mutagenesis are shown as

spheres. D) Enlarged side view of the Dec cryo-EM density with the OB-fold (residues 10-

77) from one monomer shown as a ribbon, with the two different capsid binding sites

indicated. E) Normalized binding data of the ratio of WT coat protein bound to variant Dec,

and color-coded to match the corresponding residues in panels C and D.

Figure 6: Comparison of Dec binding residues at various three fold symmetry sites on

the phage L capsid. The topology of six coat protein subunits surrounding the different

three fold symmetry axes were compared using the Match tool in Chimera. The quasi three-

fold site between coat protein hexamers (magenta) that binds a Dec trimer was chosen as

the reference structure and aligned to the three-fold site between a pentamer and

neighboring hexamers (green) that does not bind Dec. The cartoon schematic below shows

the location of residue E81 with a small magenta circle on three coat protein subunits that

comprise the Dec binding interface (label "A" designates the specific coat protein subunit

used for anchoring the structures in Chimera). The relative displacement of E81 in subunits

labeled "1" or "2" when in an icosahedral three-fold or the quasi three-fold surrounding

pentamers was measured and the distances are shown in the table. A side view of the quasi

three-fold site between hexamers that binds Dec (magenta) with the quasi three-fold site

between a penatmer and neighboring hexamers (green) is shown as a ribbon diagram. The

box shows an enlarged and tilted view of the binding interface with residue E81 shown as

spheres.

Site	Quasi three-fold (pentamer)	Icosahedral three-fold
1	10.4 Å	8.8 Å
2	7.3 Å	3.9 Å

54.2 Å

970 **Figure 7: Comparison of decoration proteins.** A) Side and B) top-down views of

971 decoration proteins gpD (PDB ID 1C5E), gp87 (PDB ID 6BL5) and Dec (PDB ID 6D2D).

972 Chains of each trimer are rainbow colored from N-terminus (blue) to C-terminus (red).

    Supplementary Figures/Movies Legends:

Figure S1): Phage L cryo-EM and 3D reconstruction data. A) Representative micrograph

of frozen hydrated phage L particles. B) FSC curve with $FSC_{0.143}$ cutoff shown with a dashed

line. The red arrow points out the estimated global resolution of the map at 4.2 Å according

to the "gold standard" method [93]. C) Phage L surface rendered view, colored accorded to

local resolution with an octant of the virion removed to show the internal genome

organization. The color bar indicates resolution in Å.

Figure S2): Phage L coat protein subunit. A) Single coat protein monomer color-coded

according to domain boundaries (N-arm in red, P-domain in green, E-loop in yellow, A-

domain in cyan, and I-domain in magenta). B) 1/8th of the capsid used for modeling with

coat protein segmentation is colored in grey and the Dec segmentation is colored in

lavender. Note the map is rotated to peer down a icosahedral 3-fold axis in the center of the

area that was modeled to emphasize the lack of Dec density at this location.

Figure S3. ¹⁵N relaxation values for monomeric Dec. (A) R1, (B) R2, (C) ¹H-¹⁵N NOE. All data were recorded at 800 MHz, with a sample temperature of 33 °C. The secondary structure of Dec, derived from its NMR structure, is indicated at the top of the first panel. The average R2 and R1 values for the folded portions of Dec are about 13 Hz and 1.4 Hz,

respectively. For comparison, a Dec trimer with the expected theoretical MW of 43 KDa

should have R2 and R1 values near 50 Hz and 0.4 Hz, respectively [94]. Thus the ^{15}N

relaxation data for Dec samples subjected to our unfolding/refolding protocol are more

consistent with a 14.4 KDa Dec monomer than a trimer. Similarly, the Model Free analysis of

the ^{15}N relaxation data gives an optimum global correlation time for isotropic rotational

diffusion of 7.4 ns, consistent with a Dec monomer. For a 43 KDa trimer the value of the

correlation time should be about 21 ns.

1009

1010

1011

Figure S4.   CS-ROSETTA modeling of the Dec monomer structure. (A) The lowest

energy model based on the assigned NMR chemical shifts of Dec [52] has an OB-fold

topology. Only residues 10-88 were included in the CS-ROSETTA simulations [54], since

the program predicted that residues 1-9 and 89-134 are disordered, based on the assigned

NMR chemical shifts. The 5-stranded, Greek Key β-barrel OB-fold motif [46] is formed from

a β1-b3 meander (light blue) and a β4-β5 hairpin (yellow), with an α-helix (green) intervening

between strands β3 and β4. **(B)** Graph showing convergence of the CS-ROSETTA

simulations. The statistics indicated that the CS-ROSETTA simulations did not fully

converge. In spite of this, nine of the top ten lowest energy structures had an OB-fold

structure with an average RMSD of 2.5 Å to the structure shown in (A).

Supplementary Movies
1023

Movie S1

Location of the amino acid differences between phage L and P22, relative to the Dec

binding interface. A single segmented Dec density is shown in lavender, and coat protein

subunits that comprise the binding interface are shown as grey ribbons. The amino acid

substitutions between phage L and P22 are shown as spheres color-coded to match the

protein domains as shown in Figure S2: R101H (green), I154L (cyan), A276 & M267

(magenta).

1031

1032

Movie S2. Key residues that modulate Dec binding affinity. View of the binding

interactions between Dec and coat protein at a quasi-three-fold symmetry axis. Coat protein

is shown in grey density and Dec is shown in lavender. Six coat subunits are fit within this

density and three Dec OB-fold domains from the NMR structure were fit to the Dec density.

Individual protein chains are shown are ribbons; amino acid sites that were chosen for

mutagenesis are shown as spheres, and color coded to match the data in Figure 5 of the

main text.

1040
Movie S3. Definition of different regions of the Dec monomer NMR structure. To

illustrate the precision of the structure all 20 members of the NMR ensemble are shown. The

movie than flips through the 20 individual NMR structures to show the differences in

precision between the structured OB-fold component, and the unstructured N- (yellow) and

C-termini (orange). In the second half of the movie, the N- and C-termini are not shown to

better illustrate differences in structural definition of the OB-fold component.

1047
1048
1049

44