The essential role of hypermutation in rapid adaptation to antibiotic stress

Heer H. Mehta [a], Amy G. Prater [a], Kathryn Beabout [a*], Ryan A. L. Elworth [b], Mark Karavis [c],

Henry S. Gibbons [c] and Yousif Shamoo [a#]

[a] Department of Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

[b] Department of Computer Science, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

[c] US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, MD, USA

Running head: Hypermutation essential for rapid adaptation

#Address correspondence to Yousif Shamoo, shamoo@rice.edu

* Present address: Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, USA.

Abstract word count: 198

Text word count: 5693

Abstract

A common outcome of antibiotic exposure in patients and *in vitro* is the evolution of a

hypermutator phenotype that enables rapid adaptation by pathogens. While hypermutation is a

robust mechanism for rapid adaptation, it requires trade-offs between the adaptive mutations and

the more common "hitchhiker" mutations that accumulate from the increased mutation rate.

Using quantitative experimental evolution, we examined the role of hypermutation in driving

adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to colistin. Metagenomic deep sequencing revealed

2,657 mutations at $\geq$ 5% frequency in 1,197 genes and 761 mutations in 29 end point isolates. By

combining genomic information, phylogenetic analyses, and statistical tests, we showed that

evolutionary trajectories leading to resistance could be reliably discerned. In addition to known

alleles such as *pmrB,* hypermutation allowed identification of additional adaptive alleles with

epistatic relationships. Although hypermutation provided a short-term fitness benefit, it was

detrimental to overall fitness. Alarmingly, a small fraction of the colistin adapted population

remained colistin susceptible and escaped hypermutation. In a clinical population, such cells

could play a role in re-establishing infection upon withdrawal of colistin. We present here a

framework for evaluating the complex evolutionary trajectories of hypermutators that applies to

both current and emerging pathogen populations.

Importance

Bacteria can increase mutation rates in response to stress as an evolutionary strategy to avoid

extinction. However, the complex mutational landscape of hypermutators makes it difficult to

distinguish truly adaptive mutations from hitchhikers that follow similar evolutionary

trajectories. We provide a framework for evaluating the complex evolutionary trajectories of

hypermutators that can be applied to both current and emerging pathogen populations. Using

Pseudomonas aeruginosa evolving to colistin as a model system, we examine the essential role

of hypermutation in the evolution of resistance. Additionally, our results highlight the presence

of a subset of cells that survive and remain susceptible during colistin exposure which can serve

as a reservoir for re-infection upon withdrawal of the drug in clinical infections. This study

provides a broad understanding of hypermutation during adaptation and describes a series of

analyses that will be useful in identifying adaptive mutations in well annotated and novel

bacterial mutator populations.

Introduction

Hypermutation is a phenomenon that is often observed in clinical isolates of pathogenic species

(1–3). The opportunistic pathogen, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a common nosocomial pathogen

affecting immunocompromised patients, especially those with cystic fibrosis (CF). 30 to 54% of

CF patients infected with *P. aeruginosa* are colonized by hypermutator strains that are associated

with reduced lung function and chronic infections (4–6). During infection, *P. aeruginosa* also

forms persistent and difficult to clear biofilms that are known to exhibit reduced susceptibility to

antimicrobials (7, 8). *P. aeruginosa* also exhibits great metabolic and genetic plasticity allowing

it to readily acquire resistance to antibiotics (9). Resistance to the drug of last resort, a cationic

antimicrobial peptide (CAP) called colistin (Polymyxin E), has been observed and has been

associated with hypermutation (10–13).

Mutation acquisition during selection is dependent on mutation supply which can be

boosted by hypermutation (14, 15). The typical path leading to a hypermutator phenotype is

mutations in the DNA repair system including the MutS/MutL class of proteins (14, 16, 17). The

increase in mutation supply accelerates the rate at which bacteria become resistant and thus,

hypermutators explore the adaptive evolutionary trajectories leading to resistance more rapidly

than non-hypermutators. Interestingly, the dramatic increase in mutation rate also means that

many non-adaptive mutations accumulate and are carried along as "hitchhikers" that in the long

run are likely to decrease the overall fitness of the organisms in non-selective conditions (18,

19). Nevertheless, hypermutation provides a swift strategy for cells undergoing stress to acquire

adaptive mutations before they go extinct.

Experimental evolution is a powerful approach to understanding the genetic and

biochemical basis for antibiotic resistance (16, 20–25). In this work, *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 was

evolved to colistin as a continuous culture in a bioreactor where the population was constantly

maintained at mid-exponential phase in the presence of sub-inhibitory drug concentrations (24).

The observation of hypermutation, accompanied by a dramatic increase in mutation rate among

the evolving PAO1 population presented both challenges and opportunities for understanding the

evolution of colistin resistance. The potential benefits of this complex data set, however, were

equally clear. Hypermutation generated an extensive, if not nearly exhaustive survey of the

accessible evolutionary trajectories leading to colistin resistance.

We used a combination of phylogenetic and statistical approaches to sort through these

complex data, discern truly adaptive alleles from hitchhikers and infer not just the major genetic

changes associated with colistin resistance but also those alleles that, in combination with the

major players were essential to produce the high minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs)

observed in many clinical isolates (12, 26).   Our work has benefited strongly from a series of

clinical and *in vitro* experimental evolution studies that have identified many of the major

contributors to colistin resistance in *P. aeruginosa* (10, 12, 13, 26, 27).

Taken together, our work provides a comprehensive survey of the alleles responsible for

P. aeruginosa resistance to colistin and perhaps, more importantly, a means to examine future

hypermutators in less well characterized or emerging pathogens. The increased mutation rate of

hypermutators provides a major adaptive advantage to this pathogen during exposure to colistin.

This highlights the strength of hypermutation as an adaptive strategy during exposure to stress

and the adaptability of *P. aeruginosa* to survive under such conditions, making it a formidable

pathogen.

Results

Hypermutator variants of *P. aeruginosa* emerge rapidly after colistin exposure during

continuous experimental evolution

P. aeruginosa PAO1 was evolved to colistin as a continuous culture in a bioreactor using

quantitative experimental evolution (24). The starting MIC of colistin for PAO1 was 1-2 mg/l.

Over the course of experiment, the PAO1 population was exposed to increasing, but sub-

inhibitory, concentrations of colistin to a final concentration of 16 mg/l colistin, which is four

times higher than the clinical breakpoint for resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to colistin (MIC $\geq$

4mg/l) (28). Genomic DNA from each daily population was prepared for metagenomic deep

sequencing. At the end of the experiment, the final population was serially diluted and spread on

a non-selective growth medium for the isolation of end point isolates for whole genome

sequencing. The end point isolates produced colonies of diverse morphologies (Supplementary

file S1) consistent with the selection of a highly polymorphic population within the bioreactor

experiments and this was consistent across duplicate experiments. Single colonies isolated from

the final populations ranged from being totally susceptible to totally resistant to colistin which is

also consistent with a diverse polymorphic population (Fig. S1 (b) and Table S1). 29 end point

isolates were selected for whole genome sequencing.

Of the 29 sequenced isolates, 25 contained mutations within *mutS,* the gene encoding the

DNA mismatch repair enzyme MutS (Fig. 1). Those end point isolates that had acquired *mutS*

mutations had from 44 to 92 mutations each while strains without mutations in *mutS* had 5 to 9

mutations showing that mutations within *mutS* correlated with increased genetic diversity.

Metagenomic deep sequencing of daily populations showed that on day 10 of adaptation during

both, runs 1 and 2 (corresponding to 1.75 mg/l colistin in run 1 and 2 mg/l colistin in run 2),

mutations were observed readily in *mutS* (Fig. 1). While the majority of the population contained

mutations within *mutS*, a fraction of the final population did not become hypermutators (14.6%

in run 1 and 7.6% in run2).

As expected, the acquisition of the *mutS* mutations was accompanied by a rapid increase

in mutation frequency in the total evolving population. As seen in Figure 2, the starting

population on day 1 of evolution, in both runs, had a basal level of diversity. Aside from the

underlying diversity in the population, what is apparent from Figure 2 is that as soon as *mutS*

mutations arose in both the evolving populations (indicated by red stars), the populations started

accumulating more mutations that rose to higher frequencies than mutations contributing to the

basal diversity in the population. This was accompanied by increased non-susceptibility of the

total population to colistin. Interestingly, lineages derived from Run 1 *mutS* with a 1 bp deletion

that introduces a premature stop codon at residue 609 and Run 2 *mutS* with a 10 bp deletion that

alters the last 16 amino acids both go to extinction, demonstrating that hypermutation, while

increasing the probability of finding successful evolutionary trajectories, does not guarantee

success. It may also be that the unsuccessful *mutS* mutant lineages may have stronger mutator

phenotypes that accumulate deleterious mutations more rapidly leading to a faster decrease in

overall fitness compared to the more successful *mutS* mutants in Runs 1 and 2.

Evolutionary relationship of end point isolates highlights the role of secondary mutations in resistance

Phylogenetic trees were constructed to identify the linkages of mutations within the end point isolates (Fig. 3). The 29 sequenced end point isolates had cumulatively acquired 761 total mutations affecting 563 genes. It was interesting to note that in both adaptation runs, isolates that did not acquire *mutS* mutations were phylogenetically closely related to the ancestor and had no increase in colistin resistance. The lack of success in achieving high levels of colistin tolerance by the non-hypermutators highlights the higher efficiency of sampling the potential evolutionary trajectories by strains with elevated mutation rates. End point isolates containing *mutS* mutations had undergone considerable divergence, with each branch varying substantially in total number and type of mutations. It was evident that being a hypermutator alone was not sufficient to acquire resistance. Isolates like I1-6 and I1-76 that had MutS^{L142P} were still susceptible (MIC = 2 mg/l) and thus while mutations to *mutS* are drivers for adaptation they are not directly responsible for increased colistin resistance.

The highest levels of resistance were achieved by end point isolates that emerged from branches containing mutations in the *pmrAB* genes. Previous studies in *P. aeruginosa* have identified the role of PmrAB in resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAPs) (29, 30). In Figure 3(a), the branch with the initial *pmrB* mutation diverged into several branches leading to end point isolates that varied in MICs from 16 to 128 mg/l. Similarly, in Figure 3(b), different end point isolates diverging from the same *pmrA* or *pmrB* branch had different colistin MICs. This suggested that although mutations in *pmrAB* were required for achieving resistance, additional mutations in resistant end point isolates were playing an essential role in increasing resistance to colistin.

Hypermutation reveals challenges in distinguishing adaptive mutations from hitchhikers

As the final populations of experimental evolution were dominated by cells with mutator phenotypes, classical genetic approaches for the validation of adaptive alleles such as reintroducing the proposed changes into a clean genomic background were effectively impossible (with an average of 60 mutations per hypermutator end point isolate, there were 60! possible combinations of mutations) and required a different approach. While hypermutation introduced a large number of non-adaptive hitchhiker alleles into both the metagenomic and end point isolate genomic data, the extensive mutational saturation offered a methodological path forward. A statistical approach was used to identify potentially adaptive genes based on the concept that if a larger than expected number of mutations in the same gene across various end point isolates from both the runs were identified then those genes were more likely to be adaptive (16). Under the null hypothesis that all mutations were randomly distributed across the genome, 11 genes were identified that were mutated more frequently than expected in the end point isolates using the Fisher's Exact Test (Table 1). A total of 563 genes were mutated in the 29 sequenced end point isolates. By plotting the number of mutations per gene versus the percentage of end point isolates having a mutation in that gene (Fig. 4), it was observed that some of the statistically significant genes (p value < 0.001) were located on the top right quadrant. The exception to this was *mutS.* Although *mutS* was seen in 25 of the 29 sequenced end point isolates that have a total of 3 unique mutations in this gene, the *mutS* gene itself was sufficiently long (2568 bp) so that it did not meet the p value cut-off of 0.001 for being called significant. If a gene is very long or if a single mutation or small subset of mutations are the only possible adaptive changes in that gene then it may not rise above the required p value according to the Fisher's Exact Test. This highlights a shortcoming of this particular approach and explains

why we combine it with other methods (discussed later) to increase the overall success in

identifying adaptive alleles.

Among the evolving daily populations from both runs, 1,197 genes were mutated and a

total of 2657 mutations were identified at ≥ 5% frequency. When this same test was performed

on these mutations, 41 genes were identified as significant (Table 2). Among these 41 genes,

four were also identified in the Fisher's Exact Test of the end point isolates (*pmrB, PA0011,*

migA and *pslA*). *pmrA,* which is known to be involved in resistance, was not identified as a

significant gene in the end point isolates but was in the daily populations. The power of this test

could certainly be increased by having data from multiple evolving populations instead of the 2

runs conducted in this work. Taken together however, the cumulative data from the 2 populations

and 29 end point isolates provides an extensive list of genes that potentially play a role in colistin

resistance.

Identification of additional genes associated with colistin resistance

Previous studies have been conducted to identify genetic changes leading to polymyxin

resistance in *P. aeruginosa* (11–13, 26, 27, 30–32). Since resistance has been often associated

with hypermutation (12, 13) which leads to accumulation of a large number of non-adaptive,

hitchhiker mutations, not all mutations can be implicated in resistance. However, if the same

gene is mutated during adaptation to polymyxin in different studies that use different

experimental conditions, it is more likely an adaptative allele than a hitchhiker. We identified

such genes that were found to be mutated in our study as well as previous studies and arranged

them in the following functional groups: two component system, *pmrAB*, lipopolysaccharide

modification and biosynthesis genes (*migA, pagL* and *PA5194*), long chain fatty acid CoA-ligase

(*fadD2*), outer membrane protein (*opr86*), probable short chain dehydrogenase *(PA4089)* and

multidrug efflux transporter (*mexB*). While the role of some of these genes in polymyxin

resistance has been validated (for example, *pmrAB*, *opr86, PA5194*, *pagL* and *mexB*), others

have not been previously associated with resistance (12, 13, 27, 30, 33, 34). The targets were

mapped on the phylogenetic trees (Fig. 3) to visualize the diversity and distribution of mutations

among the end point isolates. The adaptive trajectories of these mutations are shown in Figures

S3 and S4. Table 1 provides a list of candidates identified as putative players in colistin

resistance among end point isolates and Fig. S5 shows their cellular localization.

The number and variety of mutations observed in *pmrB* suggest that only modest changes

in PmrB function are required for increased colistin resistance

PmrB is the sensor kinase of a two-component system, PmrAB and is involved in sensing

cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAPs) (29). It was noteworthy that during the course of

adaptation to colistin, 19 independent mutations were detected in *pmrB* using a 5% frequency

cut-off for mutation detection. 18 of these mutations were SNPs that led to amino acid

modifications affecting all the domains within this protein while one mutation was a 3 bp

deletion leading to the loss of amino acid 47 in PmrB. Out of the 19 mutations, three (L167P,

L170P and F408L) were observed independently in duplicate experiments and thus, 16 unique

mutations were identified in *pmrB*.

Figure 5 shows the putative relationship of PmrB based on canonical sensor kinases of

two-component systems. From the positions of mutations shown in red in Figure 5 (b), it is clear

that every domain of PmrB was a potential target for adaptive mutations in this study. Also

identified on this figure are adaptive mutations identified previously in clinical and lab-adapted

P. aeruginosa strains (indicated in purple and black) highlighting the plasticity of the gene

encoding this protein to acquire mutations. The propensity of *pmrB* to accumulate mutations at

many locations suggests that modest changes in PmrB function are sufficient to alter the

expression of genes in the regulon of this two-component system sensor kinase and confer

resistance.

Introduction of *pmrB* mutations in a wild type PAO1 background indicates that other

mutations are needed to explain the high levels of resistance

Multiple adaptive mutations identified in colistin resistant end point isolates suggested

the possibility of clonal interference where multiple beneficial mutations in the population were

competing with one another for success in the population (20). Since the role of PmrB in CAP

resistance is known, we wanted to determine if specific changes in PmrB were sufficient to

explain the very high MICs of some of the bioreactor end point isolates as well as clinical

isolates from previous studies (26). Allelic replacement was used to identify the role of

individual *pmrB* mutations in resistance by creating point mutations in *pmrB* within a wild type

PAO1 background. 5 such constructs were made, each containing one *pmrB* mutation identified

in the colistin evolved PAO1 populations from this work (L17P, L18P, D47G, L243R and

F408L). These constructs and their respective bioreactor derived end point isolates were tested to

compare colistin MICs (Table 3). Three *pmrB* mutations were observed in end point isolates that

had been selected for whole genome sequencing – L18P, L243R and F408L. Sanger sequencing

was used to identify the sequence of *pmrB* in a few other end point isolates and 2 isolates,

labelled as #11 and #17 were selected that had the D47G and L17P mutations in PmrB,

respectively. There is no information regarding other mutations in these isolates since whole

genomes of these isolates were not sequenced.

From Table 3, it is evident that different mutations within *pmrB* contribute to different

levels of colistin resistance. While a mutation constructed in the transmembrane domain of

PmrB, L18P imparted complete resistance to colistin (MIC 8 mg/l), adjacent mutation L17P did

not (MIC 2 mg/l). The mutation L18P first appeared on day 18 of adaptation (Run 1) while L17P

was seen only during the final day of adaptation when the population was growing at 16 mg/l

colistin (Fig. S4). While early mutations during adaptation are often the most beneficial,

additional mutations conferring typically smaller advantages can arise later (35). Appearance of

L18P earlier during adaptation provided resistance to members of a population that was still

evolving suggesting that it might be a primary mutation. L17P, which appeared later when the

population was already growing at a high drug concentration (16 mg/l) was not an early primary

mutation but may have played a role in enhancing the level of resistance in a specific genomic

background that had achieved initial success (12). Other point mutations in the periplasmic

domain (D47G), dimerization and phosphotransferase (L243R) and in the C-terminal ATP

binding domains (F408L) all imparted resistance to colistin with the highest MIC at 8 mg/l while

their corresponding bioreactor derived end point isolates consistently had acquired higher levels

of resistance. This data provides strong evidence for the role of epistasis in high resistance of the

bioreactor derived end point isolates.

PAO1 incurs a fitness cost as a trade-off to acquiring colistin non-susceptibility

Growth characteristics of the constructed mutants and bioreactor end point isolates

possessing the same mutation shed light on the fitness of the mutants in the presence and absence

of colistin (Fig. 6). The growth of the ancestor, PAO1 served as the reference (Fig. 6 (a)). It was

clear from our data that higher levels of colistin resistance, that were associated with

hypermutation, led to reduced fitness in the isolates.

pmrB point mutants constructed in a wild type background could resist up to 8 mg/l

colistin without undergoing a severe growth defect in the absence of the drug. In comparison,

bioreactor isolates with the same *pmrB* mutations that had higher levels of resistance had

decreased fitness in the absence of the drug. Bioreactor isolate I1-37 (doubling time = 397 ± 90

minutes; MIC >128 mg/l) grew more than two times slower than the point mutant PmrB^{L18P}

(doubling time = 165 ± 5 minutes; MIC = 8 mg/l) in the absence of colistin (Fig. 6 (d)). Isolate I1-

37, that had 59 mutations in addition to PmrB^{L18P} also had an increased lag time (longer by

approximately 125 minutes) and lower overall yield but had the ability to survive in the presence

of higher levels of colistin. Similarly, bioreactor isolate I2-55 (MIC = 16 mg/l) had a two-fold

decrease in growth rate compared to the point mutant PmrB^{F408L} (MIC = 4 mg/l) (Fig. 6 (f)).

However, it was capable of achieving nearly the same final cell density as PmrB^{F408L} as well as

the ancestor strain (Fig. 6 (a)) suggesting that higher levels of resistance, as seen in I1-37, were

associated with greater fitness defects. Although PmrB^{L17P} alone offered no adaptive advantage

(Fig. 6 (a)), bioreactor derived isolate #17 that had a very high colistin MIC also had a severe

growth defect.

The balance between acquisition of resistance and the associated fitness costs was further

supported by allelic replacements to produce PmrB^{D47G} and PmrB^{L243R} in the wild type PAO1

background. These adaptive mutants were only modestly less fit in terms of growth rate and

yield (Fig. 6 (c), (e) and (f)) under non-selective conditions but were able to grow better than

wild type PAO1 in the presence of colistin which is also true for their corresponding bioreactor

derived end point isolates.

Our data suggests that while *P. aeruginosa* acquires myriad mutations to resist colistin,

the accumulation of these mutations comes at a fitness cost to the cells and higher levels of

resistance are usually accompanied by a more severe growth phenotype in hypermutators. The

advantage of mutation supply in a hypermutator is off-set by the fitness defect of the evolved

isolates. In spite of the fitness cost, resistance to this drug of last resort in *P. aeruginosa* clinical

isolates has been observed (36–38) which underscores the importance of understanding the

mechanism of colistin resistance for the design of new strategies that can circumvent this

problem.

Discussion

The development of a hypermutator phenotype is a common occurrence in clinical settings and

can lead to rapid adaptation to antibiotics (2, 14). Hypermutators increase the mutation supply

within the evolving population allowing natural selection to act upon a more genetically diverse

population thereby increasing the probability that a successful, e.g. a more antibiotic resistant

variant can be found (18). The increased mutation load however comes with a cost to overall

fitness as non-adaptive or hitchhiker mutations accumulate within the genomes of the

hypermutators (15). As a random mutation is much more likely to be deleterious, the

accumulation of these random mutations brings consequences to fitness especially when the

selection pressure of the antibiotic is removed. For example, end-point isolates with increased

MICs to colistin had substantially decreased growth rates in the absence of colistin (Fig. 6).

While an increased mutation rate may be a poor long term evolutionary path for organisms like

P. aeruginosa, the short-term benefit is clear. Interestingly, in our bioreactor environments that

strongly favor the formation of biofilms, susceptible and non-hypermutator cells persisted

despite the vessel containing >2 mg/l colistin for two weeks in the case of Run 1 (Fig. 2). We

speculate that the biofilms insulate these weaker variants (Supplementary file S1) and can act as

a reservoir for re-establishing a more fit population if the colistin were withdrawn. In an infected

individual such as a cystic fibrosis patient, such a reservoir could suggest that when the antibiotic

is switched, the more fit *P. aeruginosa* strains can re-emerge and conversely that colistin

resistant variants could now be a reservoir for re-establishing the colistin resistant population if

the patient returned to colistin therapy. Thus, the combination of hypermutation and strong

biofilms can lead to the persistent and difficult to treat infections that are a hallmark of *P.*

aeruginosa.

It has been suggested that because of the large number of hitchhiker mutations that

succeed in the population under conditions of selection, the signature of selection in the genome

is very weak, making it difficult to distinguish driver alleles from passenger mutations (15). We

show that it is possible to identify the signature of selection in an adapting hypermutator

population using a combination of genomic and statistical approaches. Quantitative experimental

evolution provides a ready means to construct the genomic data needed for analysis (13, 16, 19–

21). We propose a hierarchy of analyses beginning with the Fisher's Exact Test of both end-

point isolates and longitudinal metagenomic data to build and rank a list of candidate genes that

are putatively involved in resistance. This method has proven useful in identification of adaptive

mutations in previous studies involving hypermutation and weak selection (16, 19). We also

construct phylogenetic trees of the end-point isolates that provide further genetic and

evolutionary structure to the candidate list. Furthermore, we use the information about the

frequencies of these mutations in the daily populations to build parsimonious evolutionary

trajectories for the most important drivers for colistin resistance and taken together these

trajectories illustrate what we term the "adaptive genome" of *P. aeruginosa* to the selection

environment (Fig. 7).

Using genetics and phenotypic analysis of growth rates, we establish that epistatic

interactions between multiple mutations in the bioreactor derived hypermutator end point isolates

are most likely responsible for the observed higher levels of colistin resistance which comes at a

fitness cost to the cells in terms of reduced growth rate and overall yield (Fig. 6). Such epistatic

interactions between multiple alleles implies that high levels of colistin resistance can be

acquired via multiple adaptive routes which in turn result in the formation of a rugged fitness

landscape with many local peaks, each representing a local optimum. Hypermutation provides an

effective means for the cells to access this landscape during selection.

In summary, this work sheds light on multiple features of *P. aeruginosa's* evolvability to

the drug of last resort, colistin. While the complexities of hypermutation have hindered progress,

it has become increasingly clear that modern next-gen sequencing and experimental evolution

provide a path forward to the study of this clinically and conceptually important evolutionary

mechanism for adaptation (13, 16, 39). The methodological approaches within this work show

that hypermutation can be studied successfully to produce a broad understanding of adaptive

evolution in established as well as in future emerging pathogens.

Materials and methods

<u>Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions</u>

P. aeruginosa PAO1 was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC 15692).

Plasmid pEX18Gm was kindly provided by Dr. Herbert Schweizer. PAO1 was routinely grown

in Lysogeny Broth (LB: 10 g/l tryptone, 5 g/l yeast extract, 10 g/l sodium chloride) or on LB +

15 g/l bacto agar. Growth medium for adaptation of PAO1 to colistin was LBHI (80% LB + 20%

brain heart infusion (BHI) medium) supplemented with 2 mM magnesium sulfate and

appropriate concentration of colistin. Colistin stock solution was made by dissolving colistin

sulfate (DOT Scientific Inc., MI, USA) in water followed by filter sterilization using a 0.22 μm

filter. Cation adjusted Mueller Hinton broth (CA-MHB) was used for minimum inhibitory

concentration (MIC) testing and growth curves. Gentamicin at 20 mg/l was used for maintenance

of pEX18Gm in *Escherichia coli* and 60 mg/l was used for growing PAO1 transformed with the

pEX18-*pmrB* plasmids. Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 4.

<u>Evolution of PAO1 to colistin</u>

PAO1 was evolved to colistin in a modified turbidostat as described in (24). In duplicate runs, a

300 ml PAO1 culture was established in the bioreactor vessel by using a single colony as

inoculum. The culture was maintained in mid-exponential growth phase using respiratory CO_2 as

a proxy for turbidity to control media flow. After 12 hours of growth, the first sub-inhibitory

dose of colistin was added to the vessel (0.5 mg/l). After that, the culture was monitored and the

drug concentration was empirically increased. Details of the process are provided in (24). PAO1

was able to grow at 18 mg/l colistin after 26 days of evolution during run 1 and at 16 mg/l

colistin after 17 days of evolution during run 2.

<u>Isolation and characterization of end point isolates</u>

The final resistant population of PAO1 was serially diluted and spread on non-selective medium

(LBHI + 2mM magnesium sulfate) to isolate individual members of the population. Each colony

was called an end point isolate. 88 end point isolates were selected from run 1 and 82 from run 2

for further phenotypic characterization. Morphological characteristics were recorded for each

isolate which included colony size, shape, color, consistency and appearance when grown in a

non-selective liquid medium (Supplementary file S1). Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

of colistin was tested using a preliminary broth microdilution assay. A colistin gradient (0 to 128

mg/l) was set up in a 96 well polypropylene plate containing 100 μl CA-MHB per well. 1 μl of

an overnight culture (grown in LBHI + 2mM magnesium sulfate) for each isolate was used as

inoculum. Plates were incubated at 37ºC and visible growth was recorded after 20-24 hours. The

lowest colistin concentration showing absence of growth was the MIC. MIC of other antibiotics

were also tested for determining cross-sensitivity/cross-resistance to other drugs. Agar dilution

MIC assays were performed for this in 100 mm petri dishes containing CA-MH agar with

appropriate drug concentration. A 96 pin applicator was used to spot the overnight culture of

each isolate on the agar plates. MICs were recorded after 20-24 hours of incubation at 37°C.

Based on the different phenotypic traits, 15 end point isolates from run 1 and 14 from run 2 were

selected for whole genome sequencing and mutation identification. Cross sensitivities to other

antibiotics were observed in some end point isolates but a correlation of the cross sensitivity to

colistin resistance could not be established and hence, this phenotype was not pursued further.

<u>Minimum inhibitory concentration assay</u>

Broth microdilution MIC tests in biological triplicate were performed for the 29 end point

isolates selected for whole genome sequencing using 96 well polypropylene plates. Each well

was filled with 100 µl CA-MHB and appropriate concentration of colistin (0-128 mg/l colistin in

2-fold increments). Overnight cultures for end point isolates were grown as biological triplicate

in LBHI + 2 mM magnesium sulfate. Optical densities of the cultures were adjusted to 0.05 and

5 µl of this OD adjusted culture was used to inoculate each well of the plates. Growth was

checked visually after 20-24 hours of incubation at 37°C and MICs were recorded.

<u>Whole genome sequencing and analysis</u>

DNA isolation and whole genome sequencing was performed as described in (24). Samples from

run 1 of adaptation were sequenced at the US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center

(ECBC, MD, USA) as 100 bp paired end reads and samples from run 2 were sequenced by a

commercial facility (Genewiz, NJ, USA) as 150 bp paired end reads. Read trimming of raw

Fastq reads was performed using Sickle (40). Trimmed reads were analyzed using Breseq

version 0.30.1 (41) to identify genetic variations between ancestor and adaptive populations as

well as end point isolates. The genome sequence of the ancestor was obtained from NCBI

(AE004091). The ancestor colony used to inoculate the bioreactor during each run was re-

sequenced and the APPLY function on Breseq was used to incorporate any differences in the

genome of the re-sequenced ancestor strain into the NCBI reference genome before using it for

identifying mutations in the evolved strains. The consensus mode was used for identification of

mutations in the end point isolates. The polymorphism mode on Breseq was used for the analysis

of daily metagenomic populations from the bioreactor using the following command: -p --

polymorphism-reject-surrounding-homopolymer-length 5 --polymorphism-reject-indel-

homopolymer-length 0 --polymorphism-minimum-coverage-each-strand 6 --polymorphism-

frequency-cutoff 0.02. To filter low quality mutations from the daily populations under analysis,

two additional quality-filtering steps were added after the Breseq analysis of each daily sample.

It was observed that the number of false calls increased substantially for low frequency

mutations. Thus, mutations in the daily populations that fell below a threshold of 5% frequency

in the population were filtered out. Second, it was found that mutations had been called in

several reads that had low Mapping Quality (MQ) scores. Mutations in regions that contained

three or more reads having an MQ score less than 100 were also filtered out. Also, reads were

manually examined and mutation calls which were characterized by 3 or more mutations

clustered within a read at low frequencies and whose occurrence was not consistent with

adaptation or hitchhiking were eliminated from further analysis. Dataset S1 contains a list of all

mutations and their frequencies on each day of evolution during Runs 1 and 2.

Construction of phylogenetic trees

The Breseq output for each end point isolate contained a list of mutations in the genome of the

end point isolate. The APPLY function on Breseq was used to apply the mutations in the end

point isolate onto the PAO1 reference genome to create a genome sequence for each end point

isolate. Next, the genome of the reference strain was manually aligned with that of all the end

point isolates using the software MEGA7 (42). Phylogenetic trees were constructed for both runs

using the maximum parsimony algorithm based on (43) as implemented in MEGA7. These trees

were then visualized using the Dendroscope3 software (44).

<u>Fisher's Exact Test</u>

To perform the Fisher's Exact Test on end point isolates, a list of the total number of mutations

occurring in a gene was compiled from the Breseq output file. Gene lengths were obtained from

the Pseudomonas Genome Database (45) by mapping the gene name to the gene length. A few

genes without matches were manually given gene lengths. With the compiled list containing the

number of mutations per gene, the length of the gene, the total number of mutations in all end

point isolates or populations and the total length of the PAO1 genome, a Fisher's Exact Test was

performed using the fisher.test function in R with a "two sided" alternative hypothesis and a

significance threshold of 0.001.

<u>Construction of point mutations in PAO1 *pmrB*</u>

Point mutants in PAO1 were constructed using the protocol described in (46) with minor

modifications. pEX18Gm was used instead of pEX18Tc. Allelic exchange vectors (Table 4)

were made using Gibson Assembly® Master Mix (New England BioLabs) with primers listed in

Table 4. Mutant *pmrB* alleles were amplified from the respective bioreactor end point isolate

containing the mutation. Electroporation of the constructed plasmid into PAO1 was done at room

temperature using a 2mm gap electroporation cuvette at 2.2 kV as described previously (46).

Electroporated cells were spread on BHI + 60 mg/l gentamicin (Gm) plates and incubated at

37ºC for 2-3 days. Gm resistant colonies were colony purified on BHI + Gm60 plates and single

colonies were streaked on no salt LB (NSLB) + 15% sucrose plates and incubated at 30ºC for

sucrose counterselection. Sucrose resistant colonies were tested for Gm sensitivity and for

colonies that were Gm sensitive and sucrose resistant, the *pmrB* gene was amplified and

sequenced using Sanger sequencing to determine presence of the point mutation.

<u>Growth curves</u>

Overnight cultures were prepared in LB broth in biological triplicate. Optical densities were

measured and normalized to 0.05. 96 well polypropylene plates were used for growth curves.

Each well was filled with 100 µl CA-MHB and a colistin gradient was set up for concentrations

ranging from 0 to 64 mg/l. Each well was inoculated with 1 µl of the OD normalized culture and

growth was measured in each well using a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader at 37ºC for 24

hours with optical density being measured at 5-minute intervals. The OD of plain CA-MHB

(blank reading) was subtracted from the OD of the samples at every time point. Exponential

smoothing was applied to each data series to account for the noise in the OD measurements due

to clumping and biofilm formation in the wells. The final graph of OD versus time was plotted

using the average of 3 biological replicates with standard deviation. Doubling times were

calculated in the OD_{600} interval 0.2 - 0.4.

Acknowledgements: We are thankful to Dr. Luay Nakhleh for his assistance with computational

analysis and resources. We are thankful to Dr. Herbert Schweizer for kindly providing plasmids

for genetically modifying *P. aeruginosa.* We appreciate the advice provided by Weiliang Huang

and Dr. Angela Wilks for isolation and identification of mutant *P. aeruginosa* candidates

following allelic replacement. We are grateful to Dr. Jeff Barrick for assistance with analysis of

metagenomic population data using Breseq.

Funding: This work is supported by funds from the Defense Threat Reduction Agency

(HDTRA1-15-1-0069) to Y. S., the National Institutes of Health fellowship

(F31GM108402NIAID) to K. B. and the National Science Foundation (DMS-1547433) to Luay

Nakhleh (PI) and R. A. L. E. (trainee). The content of the information does not necessarily

reflect the position or the policy of the federal government, and no official endorsement should

be inferred.

Competing interest: The authors declare no competing interest.

Data availability: Whole genome sequencing data generated during this study are submitted to

the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under the BioProject Accession number

PRJNA486960.

Author contributions: Y. S. conceived of the idea. H. H. M., A. P. and K. B. conducted the

bioreactor adaptation experiments. M. K. and H. S. G. conducted whole genome sequencing for

Run 1 of this project. H. H. M. was involved in analyzing the WGS data and in mutation

identification. R. A. L. E. performed the phylogenetic and statistical analysis. H. H. M.

performed the phenotypic characterization and allelic replacements. H. H. M. and Y. S. wrote the

manuscript. All the authors provided critical feedback and contributed to the manuscript.

Materials and correspondence: Material requests and correspondence should be addressed to

Professor Yousif Shamoo (shamoo@rice.edu).

References

1.   Swings T, Bergh B Van Den, Wuyts S, Oeyen E, Voordeckers K, Verstrepen KJ, Fauvart

M, Verstraeten N, Michiels J. 2017. Adaptive tuning of mutation rates allows fast

response to lethal stress in *Escherichia coli*. eLife 6:e22939.

2.   Hall LMC, Henderson-Begg SK. 2006. Hypermutable bacteria isolated from humans – a

critical analysis. Microbiology 152:2505–2514.

3.   Sundin GW, Weigand MR. 2007. The microbiology of mutability. FEMS Microbiol Lett

277:11–20.

4.   Ciofu O, Riis B, Pressler T, Poulsen HE, Høiby N. 2005. Occurrence of Hypermutable

Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Cystic Fibrosis Patients Is Associated with the Oxidative

Stress Caused by Chronic Lung Inflammation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:2276–

2282.

5.   Waine DJ, Honeybourne D, Smith EG, Whitehouse JL, Dowson CG. 2008. Association

between hypermutator phenotype, clinical variables, mucoid phenotype, and antimicrobial

resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* J Clin Microbiol 46:3491–3493.

6.   Oliver A, Canton R, Campo P, Baquero F, Blazquez J. 2000. High frequency of

hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Science

288:1251–1253.

7.   Mulcahy LR, Isabella VM, Lewis K. 2014. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in disease.

Microb Ecol 68:1–12.

8.   Flynn KM, Dowell G, Johnson TM, Koestler BJ, Waters CM, Cooper VS. 2016.

Evolution of ecological diversity in biofilms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by altered cyclic

diguanylate signaling. J Bacteriol 198:2608–2618.

9.   Rumbaugh KP. 2014. Genomic complexity and plasticity ensure *Pseudomonas* success.

FEMS Microbiol Lett 356:141–143.

10.   Lee JY, Na IY, Park YK, Ko KS. 2014. Genomic variations between colistin-susceptible

and -resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates and their effects on colistin

resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:1248–1256.

11.   Abraham N, Kwon DH. 2009. A single amino acid substitution in PmrB is associated with

polymyxin B resistance in clinical isolate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEMS Microbiol

Lett 298:249–254.

12.   Jochumsen N, Marvig RL, Damkiær S, Jensen RL, Paulander W, Molin S, Jelsbak L,

Folkesson A. 2016. The evolution of antimicrobial peptide resistance in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa is shaped by strong epistatic interactions. Nat Commun 7:13002.

13.   Dobelmann B, Willmann M, Steglich M, Bunk B, Nubel U, Peter S, Neher RA. 2017.

Rapid and consistent evolution of colistin resistance in extensively drug-resistant

Pseudomonas aeruginosa during morbidostat culture. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

61:e00043-17.

14.   Denamur E, Matic I. 2006. Evolution of mutation rates in bacteria. Mol Microbiol

60:820–827.

15.   Couce A, Caudwell L V., Feinauer C, Hindré T, Feugeas J, Weigt M, Lenski RE,

Schneider D, Tenaillon O. 2017. Mutator genomes decay , despite sustained fitness gains ,

in a long-term experiment with bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci 114:9026–9035.

16.   Hammerstrom TG, Beabout K, Clements TP, Saxer G, Shamoo Y. 2015. *Acinetobacter*

baumannii repeatedly evolves a hypermutator phenotype in response to tigecycline that

effectively surveys evolutionary trajectories to resistance. PLoS One 10:e0140489.

17. Dettman JR, Sztepanacz JL, Kassen R. 2016. The properties of spontaneous mutations in

the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. BMC Genomics 17:27.

18. Tenaillon O, Taddei F, Radman M, Matic I. 2001. Second-order selection in bacterial

evolution : selection acting on mutation and recombination rates in the course of

adaptation. Res Microbiol 152:11–16.

19. Saxer G, Krepps MD, Merkley ED, Ansong C, Kaiser BLD, Valovska M-T, Ristic N, Yeh

PT, Prakash VP, Leiser OP, Nakhleh L, Gibbons HS, Kreuzer HW, Shamoo Y. 2014.

Mutations in global regulators lead to metabolic selection during adaptation to complex

environments. PLOS Genet 10:e1004872.

20. Cooper VS. 2018. Experimental evolution as a high-throughput screen for genetic

adaptations. mSphere 3:e00121-18.

21. Miller C, Kong J, Tran TT, Arias CA, Saxer G, Shamoo Y. 2013. Adaptation of

Enterococcus faecalis to daptomycin reveals an ordered progression to resistance.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:5373–5383.

22. Beabout K, Hammerstrom TG, Wang TT, Bhatty M, Christie PJ, Saxer G, Shamoo Y.

2015. Rampant parasexuality evolves in a hospital pathogen during antibiotic selection.

Mol Biol Evol 32:2585–97.

23. Mehta H, Weng J, Prater A, Elworth RAL, Han X, Shamoo Y. 2018. Pathogenic *Nocardia*

cyriacigeorgica and *Nocardia nova* evolve to resist trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole by

both expected and unexpected pathways. Antimicrob Agents Chemother AAC.00364-18.

24.   Mehta HH, Prater AG, Shamoo Y. 2018. Using experimental evolution to identify

druggable targets that could inhibit the evolution of antimicrobial resistance. J Antibiot

(Tokyo) 71:279–286.

25.   Toprak E, Veres A, Michel J-B, Chait R, Hartl DL, Kishony R. 2012. Evolutionary paths

to antibiotic resistance under dynamically sustained drug selection. Nat Genet 44:101–

105.

26.   Moskowitz SM, Brannon MK, Dasgupta N, Pier M, Sgambati N, Miller AK, Selgrade SE,

Miller SI, Denton M, Conway SP, Johansen HK, Høiby N. 2012. PmrB mutations

promote polymyxin resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from colistin-treated

cystic fibrosis patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:1019–1030.

27.   Lee JY, Park YK, Chung ES, Na IY, Ko KS. 2016. Evolved resistance to colistin and its

loss due to genetic reversion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* Sci Rep 6:25543.

28.   Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2017. Performance Standards for

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 27th Ed. CLSI supplement M100.

29.   McPhee JB, Lewenza S, Hancock REW. 2003. Cationic antimicrobial peptides activate a

two-component regulatory system, PmrA-PmrB, that regulates resistance to polymyxin B

and cationic antimicrobial peptides in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* Mol Microbiol 50:205–

217.

30.   Moskowitz SM, Ernst RK, Miller SI. 2004. PmrAB, a Two-Component Regulatory

System of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* that modulates resistance to cationic antimicrobial

peptides and addition of aminoarabinose to lipid A. J Bacteriol 186:575–579.

31.   Lee JY, Ko KS. 2014. Mutations and expression of PmrAB and PhoPQ related with

colistin resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates. Diagn Microbiol Infect

Dis 78:271–276.

32.   Barrow K, Kwon DH. 2009. Alterations in two-component regulatory systems of *phoPQ*

and *pmrAB* are associated with polymyxin B resistance in clinical isolates of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:5150–5154.

33.   Pamp SJ, Gjermansen M, Johansen HK, Tolker-Nielsen T. 2008. Tolerance to the

antimicrobial peptide colistin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms is linked to

metabolically active cells , and depends on the *pmr* and *mexAB-oprM* genes. Mol

Microbiol 68:223–240.

34.   Han M, Velkov T, Zhu Y, Roberts KD, Brun AP Le, Chow SH, Gutu AD, Moskowitz

SM, Shen H, Li J. 2018. Polymyxin-Induced Lipid A Deacylation in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa Perturbs Polymyxin Penetration and Confers High-Level Resistance. ACS

Chem Biol 13:121–130.

35.   Barrick JE, Yu DS, Yoon SH, Jeong H, Oh TK, Schneider D, Lenski RE, Kim JF. 2009.

Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. Nature

461:1243–1247.

36.   Oliveira dos Santos S, Martins La Rocca S, Hörner R. 2016. Colistin resistance in non-

fermenting Gram-negative bacilli in a university hospital. Brazilian J Infect Dis 20:649–

650.

37.   Ramesh N, Prasanth M, Ramkumar S, Suresh M, Tamhankar AJ, K.M. G, Karthikeyan S,

Bozdogan B. 2016. Colistin susceptibility of gram-negative clinical isolates from Tamil

Nadu , India. Asian Biomed 10:35–39.

38.   Denton M, Kerr K, Mooney L, Keer V, Rajgopal A, Brownlee K, Arundel P, Conway S.

2002. Transmission of colistin-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* between patients

attending a pediatric cystic fibrosis center. Pediatr Pulmonol 34:257–261.

39.   Swings T, Weytjens B, Schalck T, Bonte C, Verstraeten N, Michiels J, Marchal K. 2017.

Network-Based Identification of Adaptive Pathways in Evolved Ethanol-Tolerant

Bacterial Populations. Mol Biol Evol 34:2927–2943.

40.   Joshi NA, Fass JN. 2011. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool

for FastQ files (Version 1.33) [Software]. Available at https://github.com/najoshi/sickle.

41.   Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory evolved

microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol

1151:165–188.

42.   Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7 : Molecular Evolutionary Genetics

Analysis version 7 . 0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33:1870–1874.

43.   Nei M, Kumar S. 2000. Molecular evolution and phylogenetics. Oxford University Press,

Oxford.

44.   Huson DH, Scornavacca C. 2012. Dendroscope 3 : An interactive tool for rooted

phylogenetic trees and networks. Syst Biol 61:1061–1067.

45.   Winsor GL, Griffiths EJ, Lo R, Dhillon BK, Shay JA, Brinkman FSL. 2016. Enhanced

632  annotations and features for comparing thousands of *Pseudomonas* genomes in the

633  Pseudomonas genome database. Nucelis Acids Res 44:646–653.

634 46. Huang W, Wilks A. 2017. A rapid seamless method for gene knockout in *Pseudomonas*

635  *aeruginosa*. BMC Microbiol 17:199.

636 47. Bhate MP, Molnar KS, Goulian M, DeGrado WF. 2015. Signal Transduction in Histidine

637  Kinases: Insights from New Structures. Structure 23:981–994.

638 48. Schurek KN, Sampaio JLM, Kiffer CR V, Sinto S, Mendes CMF, Hancock REW. 2009.

639  Involvement of *pmrAB* and *phoPQ* in Polymyxin B Adaptation and Inducible Resistance

640  in Non-Cystic Fibrosis Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents

641  Chemother 53:4345–4351.

642 49. Poon KKH, Westman EL, Vinogradov E, Jin S, Lam JS. 2008. Functional characterization

643  of MigA and WapR : Putative rhamnosyltransferases involved in outer core

644  oligosaccharide biosynthesis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 190:1857–1865.

645 50. Billings N, Millan MR, Caldara M, Rusconi R, Tarasova Y, Stocker R, Ribbeck K. 2013.

646  The extracellular matrix component Psl provides fast- acting antibiotic defense in

647  *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003526.

648 51. Lee J, Chung ES, Na IY, Kim H, Shin D, Ko KS. 2014. Development of colistin

649  resistance in *pmrA-, phoP-, parR-* and *cprR*-inactivated mutants of *Pseudomonas*

650  *aeruginosa*. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:2966–2971.

651 52. Hoang TT, Karkhoff-Schweizer RR, Kutchma AJ, Schweizer HP. 1998. A broad-host-

652  range Flp- FRT recombination system for site-specific excision of chromosomally-located

DNA sequences : application for isolation of unmarked *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants.

Gene 212:77–86.

Figure legends

Figure 1. *mutS* mutations observed during adaptation of *P. aeruginosa* populations to colistin. In

duplicate experiments (Run 1 and Run 2), mutations were seen in the *mutS* gene. The specific

mutations identified, their effect on MutS and their abundance in the evolved populations are

indicated (mutation detection cut-off = 5%). The last column shows the frequency for each *mutS*

mutation over the course of evolution. The X-axis shows the day of adaptation (26 days in Run 1

and 17 in Run 2) and the Y-axis indicates the percentage of the total population that possessed

the mutation.

Figure 2. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) map of the PAO1 populations evolving to

colistin (Run 1 and Run 2). For ease of comparison, the graphs represent a linearized genome of

PAO1 with SNP positions indicated on the X-axis. The X-axis is not distributed evenly but

denotes positions on the genome carrying mutations. This is done to highlight regions of the

genome with higher mutation density. The Y-axis is the frequency of the mutation in the total

population. For each run of adaptation, graphs of daily sampled populations are stacked (26 days

of evolution for Run 1 and 17 days for Run 2). Red stars indicate days on which *mutS* mutations

arose in each population. The color gradient on the right side of each panel shows the step-wise

increase in colistin concentration experienced by the populations during adaptation. The

increased genetic diversity in the region from 7.8×10^5 to 8×10^5 bp on the PAO1 genome can be

attributed to the Pf4 phage island (indicated by asterisk), details of which are provided in

Supplementary file S1.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees for end point isolates obtained from experimental evolution Runs 1

(a) and 2 (b). PAO1: Ancestor. Isolate names are at the right side of each branch. Orange text

following the isolate name denotes its colistin MIC and in parenthesis are the total number of

mutations identified in that particular isolate. Branches with mutations in putative targets

identified in this study have names of mutated genes on them. Targets in purple text were

identified by the Fisher's Exact test of end point isolates and targets underlined were common

among our study and other polymyxin resistance studies. *mutS* mutations are identified in red

text. The large number of mutations per hypermutator lineage precludes their complete inclusion

in these phylogenetic trees. The complete list of mutations in each end point isolate can be found

in Dataset S1.

Figure 4. Genes mutated more frequently than expected identified using Fisher's Exact Test.

Number of mutations identified within a single gene among the 29 sequenced end point isolates

was plotted against the percentage of end point isolates containing a mutation in that gene. Genes

identified as significant with a *p* value less than 0.001 in the Fisher's Exact Test are highlighted

in red. False noise has been added to the data points to separate them on the graph. Detailed

analysis of this graph is provided in Supplementary file S1.

Figure 5: (a) Structural representation of a canonical two-component system sensor kinase dimer

(adapted from (47)) and (b) linear map of *pmrB* showing positions of identified mutations. The

color scheme for domains used in (a) has been maintained in (b). Mutations identified in *pmrB* in

this study as well as previous works have been indicated by vertical lines on the *pmrB* gene (b).

Red lines represent mutations identified in this study. Black lines represent mutations observed

in other evolution experiments (12, 13, 27, 30) and purple lines represent mutations identified in

colistin resistant clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates (10–12, 26, 31, 32, 48). HAMP: histidine

kinases, adenylate cyclases, methyltransferases and phosphodiesterases; DHP: dimerization and

histidine phosphotransfer. Domain assignments in the PmrB protein are based on the predicted

domain structure of PmrB of Moskowitz et al. (26). Details of the types of mutations observed in

each domain of PmrB in this study can be found in Supplementary file S1.

Figure 6. Growth characteristics of PAO1 ancestor, constructed point mutants and bioreactor

adapted end point isolates. Each panel (except (a)) represents the constructed mutant on the left

and the bioreactor adapted end point isolate carrying the same *pmrB* mutation on the right. All

growth assays were conducted at colistin concentrations ranging from 0 to 64 mg/l. Error bars

represent the standard deviation of three biological replicates. MICs of all these strains,

measured by broth microdilution, are on the top right corner of each graph.

Figure 7. Adaptive genome of a *P. aeruginosa* population (Run 1) evolving to colistin. Most

adaptive genes had multiple mutations within them during the course of adaptation. On a given

day, the sum of frequencies of the different alleles within a gene was calculated and plotted on

the Y-axis. The X-axis represents the day of adaptation and the Z-axis represents each gene.

Genes represented here include putative candidates listed in Table 1 and selected candidates

from Table 2 (selection based on their appearance in other polymyxin resistance studies).

721 Table 1. Putative targets involved in colistin resistance in PAO1 based on mutations observed in

722 end point isolates

Gene name	Mutation(s) identified in end point isolates	*p*-value from Fisher's Exact Test	Function
Fisher's Exact Test of end point isolates			
migA	frameshifts, V170M, H219P	5.58e-6	Alpha-1,6-rhamnosyl transferase, responsible for uncapped core oligosaccharides in the LPS (49)
mvfR	Y77H, V162A, L6P, V9V	8.39e-6	Quorum sensing and virulence regulator
pmrB	L18P, G348S, L243R, F408L	3.4e-5	Two component system involved in cationic antimicrobial sensing and resistance (30)
pslA	frameshifts, 700 bp deletion, G364D, R229C, Y268H	3.43e-5	Exopolysaccharide involved in biofilm formation; involved in biofilm resistance to antibiotics (50)
yfiR	L183P, C71S, Y58C	5.43e-5	Part of c-di-GMP regulator system involved in biofilm formation.
lasR	L118P, G235D, T115A	1.06e-4	Quorum sensing regulator
PA5194	W64*, G227D, W239*	1.46e-4	LpxT; phosphorylation of lipid A
PA0011	frameshifts, W33*	1.96e-4	2-hydroxylauroyl transferase; transfers 2-hydroxylaurate to C-2 position of lipid A
gltS	A194V, G351S, L126L	4.86e-4	Glutamate/sodium symporter
PA4900	L321P, P339P, Q4*	6.45e-4	Probable major facilitator superfamily transporter
PA0494	A44V, P56L, Y201Y	6.96e-4	Probable acyl-CoA carboxylase subunit
Observed in other polymyxin resistance studies of *P. aeruginosa*			
pmrAB	G19E, L18P, G348S, L243R, F408L		Two component system involved in cationic antimicrobial sensing and resistance (12, 13, 27, 30)
migA	frameshifts, V170M, H219P		Alpha-1,6-rhamnosyl transferase, responsible for uncapped core oligosaccharides in the LPS (12, 13)
PA5194	W64*, G227D, W239*		Lipid a kinase; adds phosphate group to lipid A (12)
fadD2	Q245R		Long chain fatty acid CoA ligase (12)
opr86	D535N		Outer membrane protein (12)
PA4089	G105D		Probable short chain dehydrogenase involved in fatty acid biosynthesis (27)
pagL	D118G		Lipid A deacylation, upregulated by polymyxin (34)
mexB	T242A, T295A		RND multidrug efflux transporter (12)

727   Table 2: Candidate colistin resistance genes identified as significant by Fisher's Exact Test of

728   daily populations

Gene	Number of mutation events	Function	*p*-value	Comments
LPS modification				
pmrB	19	Pmrb: two-component regulator system signal sensor kinase pmrb	4.41E-22	Also identified in Fisher's Exact Test of end point isolates
PA0011	9	Probable 2-OH-lauroyltransferase	3.19E-10	Also identified in Fisher's Exact Test of end point isolates
migA	8	Alpha-1,6-rhamnosyltransferase	8.37E-09	Also identified in Fisher's Exact Test of end point isolates
pmrA	4	Two-component regulator system response regulator pmra	2.15E-04	Involved in polymyxin resistance (51)
Biofilm synthesis				
pelA	13	Modification and secretion system for PEL polysaccharide dependent biofilm	6.44E-10	Mutations in PEL polysaccharide genes also identified in (10, 12)
pslA	8	Psl polysaccharide synthesis and biofilm formation	2.85E-07	Also identified in Fisher's Exact Test of end point isolates
algP	7	Alginate regulatory protein	5.11E-07	
pslN	4	Involved in Psl biosynthesis	9.81E-04	Part of operon containing *pslA*
Unknown role				
wspA	9	Probable chemotaxis transducer	5.53E-08	
PA1336	8	Probable two-component sensor	2.24E-06	
PA3272	11	Probable ATP-dependent DNA helicase	4.02E-06	Mutation in PA3272 also identified in (12)
ostA	9	Organic solvent tolerance protein	4.28E-06	
vfr	5	Transcriptional regulator	1.04E-05	
PA4133	6	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit (cbb3 type)	4.17E-05	
PA4021	6	Probable transcriptional regulator	2.13E-04	
PA4873	5	Probable heat-shock protein	2.42E-04	
pqqD	3	Pyrroloquinoline quinone biosynthesis protein D	2.58E-04	
exbB1	4	Transport protein exbb	2.89E-04	
PA2802	4	Probable transcriptional regulator	2.89E-04	
ureD	4	Urease accessory protein	5.19E-04	
pqqF	6	Pyrroloquinoline quinone biosynthesis protein F	5.64E-04	
hitB	5	Iron (III)-transport system permease	5.83E-04	
PA0181	4	Probable transcriptional regulator	7.55E-04	

PA4180	5	Probable acetolactate synthase large subunit	7.82E-04	
PA3900	4	Probable transmembrane sensor	8.20E-04	
PA0041	13	Probable hemagglutinin	8.20E-04	
PA2511	4	Probable transcriptional regulator	9.81E-04	

NOTE: Genes within the Pf4 phage encoded region identified by this test were excluded from this table.
Genes encoding hypothetical proteins identfied by this test are listed in Table S2.

Table 3. Comparison of constructed *pmrB* mutants and bioreactor derived end point isolates

having the same *pmrB* mutation

Mutation	Colistin MIC (mg/l) of constructed mutant	Colistin MIC (mg/l) of end point isolates with this mutation	Frequency of mutation at the end of adaptation (run1 and run 2)	Number of additional mutations in end point isolates having this mutation
WT	1/2	-	-	
L17P	2	>128	46%	Not determined[b]
L18P	8	16 to 128 [a]	10%	53 to 62
D47G	8	16	4.3%	Not determined[b]
L243R	4	16 to 32 [a]	5.1%	60 to 74
F408L	4	8 to 64 [a]	3.6% and 22.8%	56 to 68

MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration
a   Shows range of MICs for different end point isolates with this mutation
b   Whole genome sequencing for these end point isolates was not performed

Table 4. Bacterial strains, plasmids and primers used in this study.

Strain/plasmid	Characteristics	Source
Strains		
PAO1	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ancestor	ATCC 15692
NEB 5-alpha	*Escherichia coli* DH5α derivative	New England Biolabs C2987I
PAO1- PmrB[F408L]	Point mutant PAO1 *pmrB*[1222T->C] encoding PmrB[F408L]	This study

PAO1- PmrB^{D47G}	Point mutant PAO1 $pmrB^{140A->G}$ encoding PmrB^{D47G}	This study
PAO1- PmrB^{L243R}	Point mutant PAO1 $pmrB^{728T->G}$ encoding PmrB^{L243R}	This study
PAO1-PmrB^{L18P}	Point mutant PAO1 $pmrB^{53T->C}$ encoding PmrB^{L18P}	This study
PAO1- PmrB^{L17P}	Point mutant PAO1 $pmrB^{50T->C}$ encoding PmrB^{L17P}	This study
Plasmids		
pEX18Gm	Gm^R; $oriT^+$ $sacB^+$, gene replacement vector with MCS from pUC18	(52)
pEX18Gm-$pmrB^{1222T->C}$	$pmrB^{1222T->C}$ allelic exchange vector for mutant encoding PmrB^{F408L}	This study
pEX18Gm-$pmrB^{140A->G}$	$pmrB^{140A->G}$ allelic exchange vector for mutant encoding PmrB^{D47G}	This study
pEX18Gm-$pmrB^{728T->G}$	$pmrB^{728T->G}$ allelic exchange vector for mutant encoding PmrB^{L243R}	This study
pEX18Gm-$pmrB^{53T->C}$	$pmrB^{53T->C}$ allelic exchange vector for mutant encoding PmrB^{L18P}	This study
pEX18Gm-$pmrB^{50T->C}$	$pmrB^{50T->C}$ allelic exchange vector for mutant encoding PmrB^{L17P}	This study

Primer	Sequence	Template
1. Cloning $pmrB^{F408L}$ into pEX18Gm		
F408L-500F F408L-500R	ctgcaaggcgattaagttggCTCATCGACGAACTCAACCT gattacgaattcgagctcggCTCCTCGATCTTGCGATTCA	I2-55
pEX-F408L-500F pEX-F408L-500R	TGAATCGCAAGATCGAGGAGccgagctcgaattcgtaat c AGGTTGAGTTCGTCGATGAGccaacttaatcgccttgcag	pEX18Gm
2. Cloning $pmrB^{D47G}$ into pEX18Gm		
pmrB-D47G-fwd pmrB-D47G-rev	ctgcaaggcgattaagttggTGACCAAGCCCTTCGATCTC G gattacgaattcgagctcggGCGGATCTCCAGCGGTACCG	colony #11
pEX-D47G-fwd pEX-D47G-rev	CGGTACCGCTGGAGATCCGCccgagctcgaattcgtaatc GAGATCGAAGGGCTTGGTCAccaacttaatcgccttgcag	pEX18Gm

3. Cloning *pmrB*[L243R] **into pEX18Gm**		
pmrB-L243R-fwd	ctgcaaggcgattaagttggGACCTTGCCACCGAAGACCA	I2-58
pmrB-L243R-rev	gattacgaattcgagctcggGTAGAAGCGGGTGAAGATCG	
pEX-L243R-fwd	CGATCTTCACCCGCTTCTACccgagctcgaattcgtaatc	pEX18Gm
pEX-L243R-rev	TGGTCTTCGGTGGCAAGGTCccaacttaatcgccttgcag	
4. Cloning *pmrB*[L18P] **into pEX18Gm**		
L18P-450F	tgtgctgcaaggcgattaagCCGACGACTACCTGACCAAG	I1-58
L18P-450R	ggtacccgggggatcctctagGTAGAACAGCAGCAGGTTCA	
pEX-L18P-450F	TGAACCTGCTGCTGTTCTACctagaggatccccgggtacc	pEX18Gm
pEX-L18P-450R	CTTGGTCAGGTAGTCGTCGGcttaatcgccttgcagcaca	
5. Cloning *pmrB*[L17P] **into pEX18Gm**		
L18P-450F	tgtgctgcaaggcgattaagCCGACGACTACCTGACCAAG	colony #17
L18P-450R	ggtacccgggggatcctctagGTAGAACAGCAGCAGGTTCA	
pEX-L18P-450F	TGAACCTGCTGCTGTTCTACctagaggatccccgggtacc	pEX18Gm
pEX-L18P-450R	CTTGGTCAGGTAGTCGTCGGcttaatcgccttgcagcaca	

Supplemental material legends

Figure S1. Phenotypic diversity of end point isolates obtained at the end of adaptation of PAO1

to colistin. (a) End point isolates showed variations in the size, color and texture. (b) Pie chart

showing colistin minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of end point isolates. Numbers in

each segment represent the actual number of isolates from that population having the specific

colistin MIC as indicated by the colors in the legend. 12 out of 88 isolates from run 1 (14%) and

19 out of the 82 isolates from run 2 (23%) were colistin susceptible (MIC $\leq$ 2 mg/l).

Figure S2. (a) Excision and circularization of phage Pf4 upon colistin exposure. The prophage,

Pf4 exists in lysogenic state in PAO1. During exposure to colistin, the phage encoded DNA

excised from the PAO1 chromosome and formed superinfective phage. (b) Induction of

prophage during evolution of PAO1 to colistin. Top left panel shows different dilutions of the

supernatant from day 1 of evolution (before drug exposure) that are incapable of lysing the lawn

of PAO1 on the plate. The supernatant obtained after centrifugation of the population sample

was filter sterilized and then serially diluted (10-fold dilutions) in SM buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 7.5 + 100 mM NaCl + 10 mM MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O). 5 µl of each dilution was spotted on a lawn of

PAO1. Supernatant from day 2 (first instance of drug exposure) has strong lytic activity (top

right) suggesting induction of prophage and lytic capability. This lytic capability continues to

exist till the end of adaptation (bottom panels -days 13 and 25). All these samples are from run 1

of adaptation that lasted 26 days.

Figure S3. Evolutionary trajectories of adaptive mutations identified in this study. Each graph is

a plot of the frequency of a mutation in a gene within the population versus the day of adaptation

on which it was observed. Multiple mutations within a gene are plotted on the same graph using

different colors to represent each mutation. Only alleles that rose above 10% frequency during

adaptation are shown here. Evolutionary trajectories of the adaptive alleles of *pmrB* are shown in

Figure S4.

Figure S4. Trajectories of *pmrB* mutations detected at $\geq$ 5% frequency during adaptation to

colistin. 11 mutations were identified in run 1 which lasted 26 days. Out of the 11, only 3

mutations, L17P, L18P and L167P were detected in the final resistant population at $\geq$ 5%

frequency. Run 2 which lasted 17 days had 8 *pmrB* mutations in the evolving population with

only 2 mutations, L243R and F408L detectable in the final resistant population.

Figure S5. Cellular localization of targets identified in this study playing putative roles in

colistin resistance. Targets in purple text were identified by the Fisher's Exact test of end point

isolates and targets underlined were common among our study and other polymyxin resistance

studies.

Figure S6. Relationship between colistin MIC of an end point isolate and its biofilm forming

capability as measured by crystal violet staining. No significant co-relation between level of

resistance of the isolate and its biofilm forming capability can be inferred from this data.

Table S1. List of end point isolates selected for whole genome sequencing and their minimum

inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to colistin

Table S2. Hypothetical protein encoding genes identified as significant by Fisher's Exact Test

performed on mutations in daily populations of PAO1 evolving to colistin

Dataset S1. Mutations identified in daily populations and well as in end point isolates obtained

from adaptation of PAO1 to colistin during Run 1 and Run 2.

Mutation in *mutS*	Effect of mutation	Frequency on final day of adaptation	Mutational trajectory
Run1:			
L142P	Point mutation in connector domain of MutS	78.7%	
1bp deletion at nucleotide position 1745	Premature stop codon at amino acid position 609 (Wild type MutS=855 amino acids)	6.7%	
Run2:			
9 bp deletion (nucleotides 1536 to 1544)	Deletion of amino acids 512-514 in the lever domain of MutS	92.4%	
10 bp deletion (nucleotides 2520 to 2529)	Extends open reading frame and alters last 16 amino acids of MutS	0%	

Figure 1. *mutS* mutations observed during adaptation of *P. aeruginosa* populations to colistin. In duplicate experiments (Run 1 and Run 2), mutations were seen in the *mutS* gene. The specific mutations identified, their effect on MutS and their abundance in the evolved populations are indicated (mutation detection cut-off = 5%). The last column shows the frequency for each *mutS* mutation over the course of evolution. The X-axis shows the day of adaptation (26 days in Run 1 and 17 in Run 2) and the Y-axis indicates the percentage of the total population that possessed the mutation.

Figure 2. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) map of the PAO1 populations evolving to colistin (Run 1 and Run 2). For ease of comparison, the graphs represent a linearized genome of PAO1 with SNP positions indicated on the X-axis. The X-axis is not distributed evenly but denotes positions on the genome carrying mutations. This is done to highlight regions of the genome with higher mutation density. The Y-axis is the frequency of the mutation in the total population. For each run of adaptation, graphs of daily sampled populations are stacked (26 days of evolution for Run 1 and 17 days for Run 2). Red stars indicate days on which *mutS* mutations

arose in each population. The color gradient on the right side of each panel shows the step-wise increase in colistin concentration experienced by the populations during adaptation. The increased genetic diversity in the region from 7.8×10^5 to 8×10^5 bp on the PAO1 genome can be attributed to the Pf4 phage island (indicated by asterisk), details of which are provided in Supplementary file S1.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees for end point isolates obtained from experimental evolution Runs 1 (a) and 2 (b). PAO1: Ancestor. Isolate names are at the right side of each branch. Orange text following the isolate name denotes its colistin MIC and in parenthesis are the total number of mutations identified in that particular isolate. Branches with mutations in putative targets identified in this study have names of mutated genes on them. Targets in purple text were

identified by the Fisher's Exact test of end point isolates and targets underlined were common among our study and other polymyxin resistance studies. *mutS* mutations are identified in red text. The large number of mutations per hypermutator lineage precludes their complete inclusion in these phylogenetic trees. The complete list of mutations in each end point isolate can be found in Datasets S3 and S4.

Figure 4. Genes mutated more frequently than expected identified using Fisher's Exact Test. Number of mutations identified within a single gene among the 29 sequenced end point isolates was plotted against the percentage of end point isolates containing a mutation in that gene. Genes identified as significant with a p value less than 0.001 in the Fisher's Exact Test are highlighted in red. False noise has been added to the data points to separate them on the graph. Detailed analysis of this graph is provided in Supplementary file S1.

(a) Structure of canonical two-component system sensor kinase

(b) Linear map of *pmrB*

Figure 5: (a) Structural representation of a canonical two-component system sensor kinase dimer (adapted from (38)) and (b) linear map of *pmrB* showing positions of identified mutations. The color scheme for domains used in (a) has been maintained in (b). Mutations identified in *pmrB* in this study as well as previous works have been indicated by vertical lines on the *pmrB* gene (b). Red lines represent mutations identified in this study. Black lines represent mutations observed in other evolution experiments (12, 13, 27, 30) and purple lines represent mutations identified in colistin resistant clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates (10–12, 26, 35, 36, 39). HAMP: histidine kinases, adenylate cyclases, methyltransferases and phosphodiesterases; DHP: dimerization and histidine phosphotransfer. Domain assignments in the PmrB protein are based on the predicted domain structure of PmrB of Moskowitz et al. (26). Details of the types of mutations observed in each domain of PmrB in this study can be found in Supplementary file S1.

Figure 6. Growth characteristics of PAO1 ancestor, constructed point mutants and bioreactor adapted end point isolates. Each panel (except (a)) represents the constructed mutant on the left and the bioreactor adapted end point isolate carrying the same *pmrB* mutation on the right. All growth assays were conducted at colistin concentrations ranging from 0 to 64 mg/l. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three biological replicates. MICs of all these strains, measured by broth microdilution, are on the top right corner of each graph.

Figure 7: Adaptive genome of a *P. aeruginosa* population (Run 1) evolving to colistin. Most adaptive genes had multiple mutations within them during the course of adaptation. On a given day, the sum of frequencies of the different alleles within a gene was calculated and plotted on the Y-axis. The X-axis represents the day of adaptation and the Z-axis represents each gene. Genes represented here include putative candidates listed in Table 1 and selected candidates from Table 2 (selection based on their appearance in other polymyxin resistance studies).