Classification: Biological Sciences: Plant Biology

Arabidopsis and *Chlamydomonas* **phosphoribulokinase crystal structures complete the redox**

structural proteome of the Calvin-Benson cycle

Short title: **Insight into chloroplast PRK structure**

Libero Gurrieri[a], Alessandra Del Giudice[b], Nicola Demitri[c], Giuseppe Falini[d], Nicolae Viorel Pavel[b],

Mirko Zaffagnini[a], Maurizio Polentarutti[c], Pierre Crozet[e], Christophe H. Marchand[e], Julien Henri[e],

Paolo Trost[a], Stéphane D. Lemaire[e], Francesca Sparla[a,1], Simona Fermani[d,1]

[a]Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology – FaBiT, University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy

[b]Department of Chemistry, University of Rome 'Sapienza', 00185 Rome, Italy

[c]Elettra - Sincrotone Trieste, 34149, Basovizza - Trieste, Italy

[d]Department of Chemistry 'G. Ciamician', University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy

[e]Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, UMR8226, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 75005 Paris,

France

[1]To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Simona Fermani:

Address: Department of Chemistry 'G. Ciamician,' University of Bologna, Via Selmi 2 -

40126 Bologna, Italy

Phone: +39 051 2099475

Email address: simona.fermani@unibo.it

Francesca Sparla:

Address: Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology – FaBiT, University of Bologna, Via

Irnerio 42 - 40126 Bologna, Italy

Phone: +39 051 2091281

Email address: francesca.sparla@unibo.it

Abstract

In land plants and algae, the Calvin-Benson (CB) cycle takes place in the chloroplast, a specialized organelle in which photosynthesis occurs. Thioredoxins (TRXs) are small ubiquitous proteins, known to harmonize the two stages of photosynthesis through a thiol-based mechanism. Among the 11 enzymes of the CB cycle, the TRX target phosphoribulokinase (PRK) has yet to be characterized at the atomic scale. To accomplish this goal, we determined the crystal structures of PRK from two model species: the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (*Cr*PRK) and the land plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*At*PRK). PRK is an elongated homodimer characterized by a large central β-sheet of 18 strands, extending between two catalytic sites positioned at its edges. The electrostatic surface potential of the catalytic cavity has both a positive region suitable for binding the phosphate groups of substrates and an exposed negative region to attract positively charged TRX-f. In the catalytic cavity, the regulatory cysteines are 13 Å apart and connected by a flexible region exclusive to photosynthetic eukaryotes—the clamp loop—which is believed to be essential for oxidation-induced structural rearrangements. Structural comparisons with prokaryotic and evolutionarily older PRKs revealed that both *At*PRK and *Cr*PRK have a strongly reduced dimer interface and increased number of random coiled regions, suggesting that a general loss in structural rigidity correlates with gains in TRX sensitivity during the molecular evolution of PRKs in eukaryotes.

Keywords: 3D structure; Calvin-Benson cycle; phosphoribulokinase; redox-regulation; structural flexibility; thioredoxin

Significance Statement

In chloroplasts, five enzymes of the Calvin-Benson (CB) cycle are regulated by thioredoxins (TRXs). These enzymes have all been structurally characterized with the notable exception of phosphoribulokinase (PRK). Here, we determined the crystal structure of chloroplast PRK from two model photosynthetic organisms. Regulatory cysteines appear distant from each other and are

linked by a long loop that is present only in plant-type PRKs and allows disulfide bond formation

and subsequent conformational rearrangements. Structural comparisons with ancient PRKs indicate

that the presence of flexible regions close to regulatory cysteines is a unique feature that is shared

by TRX-dependent CB cycle enzymes, suggesting that the evolution of the PRK structure has

resulted in a global increase in protein flexibility for photosynthetic eukaryotes.

INTRODUCTION

Photosynthetic CO_2 fixation supports life on earth and is a fundamental source of food, fuel, and

chemicals for human society. In the vast majority of photosynthetic organisms, carbon fixation

occurs via the Calvin-Benson (CB) cycle (Fig. S1), a pathway that has been extensively studied at

the physiological, biochemical, and structural levels. The CB cycle consists of 13 distinct reactions

catalyzed by 11 enzymes (1) that are differentially regulated to coordinate the two stages of

photosynthesis—electron transport and carbon fixation—and to couple them with the continuous

changes in environmental light.

Thioredoxins (TRXs) are small thiol oxidoreductase enzymes that are widely distributed among the

kingdoms of life. They reduce disulfide bonds in target proteins, controlling the redox state and

modulating the activity of target enzymes (2). Compared to animals that usually possess 2–3

different TRXs, the plant thioredoxin system is much more complex. Looking only at the

chloroplast, five different classes of TRXs are known (i.e., TRX-f, -m, -x, -y, and -z) with several

isoforms composing many of these classes (2). Despite this diversity, TRXs are ancient and

strongly evolutionarily conserved, consisting of a central core of a five-stranded β-sheet surrounded

by four α-helices and an active site that protrudes from the protein's surface (3).

In TRX-dependent regulation, a small fraction of photosynthetic-reducing equivalents are

transferred from photosystem I to several chloroplast TRXs, which in turn reduce key enzymes of

the CB cycle, regulating their activity (1). Among the five classes of chloroplast TRXs, class f

displays a high specificity towards CB cycle enzymes. In both plants and algae, the activities of

fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase) (4, 5), sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (SBPase) (5), PRK

(6), and the AB isoform of NADP-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (AB-GAPDH) (7) in

plants and of phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) (8) in *Chlamydomonas* are directly linked to light by

a thiol-based mechanism driven by TRX (Fig. S1). In addition, PRK forms a regulatory complex

with the redox-sensitive CP12 and the homotetrameric NADP-GAPDH (A_4-GAPDH) (9-11). The

formation of this ternary complex occurs in both plants and algae, and causes an almost complete

inhibition of PRK activity (12).

In contrast to the canonical WCGPC active site of TRXs, no thioredoxin-recognition signature is

found in these enzymes. A structural comparison of SBPase and FBPase highlights how evolution

has adopted different strategies for modeling protein structure to achieve the same TRX-dependent

redox control (5). Of the three enzymes regulated by TRX whose 3D structures are available, a pair

of redox-sensitive cysteines was found to be located in completely different regions. In AB-

GAPDH, these cysteines are located in a C-terminal extension (CTE) (7); in SBPase, they are

present at the dimer interface (5); and in FBPase, they are solvent exposed and distant from the

sugar-binding site (4, 5).

To complete the structural redox proteome of the CB cycle enzymes and identify the common

feature behind the acquisition of TRX-mediated redox control, the crystal structures of PRK from

two model species—the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and the land plant *Arabidopsis*

thaliana—were determined and compared to those of other TRX-controlled enzymes. Our results

strongly show that the acquisition of a TRX-targeted motif positively correlates with the acquisition

of flexibility in the target proteins, consistent with the observation that the entropic contribution

obtained from the reduction of the oxidized target enzyme is the main driving force of the redox

control mediated by TRXs (13).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three-dimensional structures of *Cr*PRK and *At*PRK in solution and in crystal form

To gain insight into the molecular mechanism of regeneration of the five-carbon sugar ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (Fig. S1) and of its redox control by dithiol/disulfide exchange, the crystal structures of *Cr*PRK and *At*PRK were determined at 2.6 Å and 2.5 Å, respectively, and compared with those obtained in solution by size-exclusion chromatography-small angle X-ray scattering (SEC-SAXS). Both enzymes are dimers of two identical monomers connected by a 2-fold noncrystallographic axis (Fig. 1). They are structurally similar, and their superimposition results in a root main square deviation of 0.61 Å (on 330 aligned residues) for *Cr*PRK and 1.03 Å (on 328 aligned residues) for *At*PRK.

Each monomer contains a central nine-stranded mixed β-sheet (β1−β9) surrounded by eight α-helices (α1, α3−α9), four additional β-strands (β1'−β4'), and one additional α-helix (α2; Figs. 2 and S2).

The *At*PRK crystal structure confirms the elongated shape of the oxidized protein previously observed by SAXS analysis (10) but appears less bent and twisted. Structural information regarding *Cr*PRK in solution provides a single recognizable species with an R_g value of 35 ± 1 Å and an estimated molecular weight around 70 kDa (Fig. S3 and Table S1). The SAXS scattering profile can be completely superimposed on the theoretical profile calculated from the *Cr*PRK crystal structure (Fig. 3*A*), indicating that the solved crystal structure closely matches the structure of the protein in solution (Fig. 3*B*).

The active sites (one per monomer) are located in an elongated cavity at the edges of the dimer (Figs. 4*A* and S4*A*). In the *Cr*PRK structure, the active site cavity is marked by a sulfate ion derived from the crystallization medium that highlights the position occupied by the phosphate group of the substrate ribulose 5-phosphate (Ru5P) (Fig. 4*A* and *B*). The anion is stabilized by the side chains of Arg64, Arg67, and Tyr103, and by the main chain carbonyl group of His105 (Fig. S5). Except for Arg67, these residues are strictly conserved in PRKs (Fig. S6*A*). The catalytic role of the invariant Arg64 was also confirmed in *C. reinhardtii* (14). In addition, Arg64 was found to play a major role in the interaction of PRK with A$_4$-GAPDH during the formation of the regulatory ternary complex

(14). Consistent with this finding, Arg64 faces out at the far end of the dimer (Fig. 1 and Table S2).

The active site also contains a pair of regulatory cysteines (Figs. 4*A* and *B* and S4*A* and *B*); Cys15

in *At*PRK and Cys16 in *Cr*PRK are located within the P-loop (Walker A) (15) and belong to the

ATP-binding site (16). Additionally, Cys54 in *At*PRK and Cys55 in *Cr*PRK are located at the C-

terminal end of strand β2 and compose the intermolecular mixed disulfide with TRX-f (6) (Figs. 2

and S2).

Redox properties of *Cr*PRK and *At*PRK

Despite the role that PRK plays in photosynthesis, putative PRK sequences have also been found in

heterotrophic prokaryotes. Phylogenetic analyses performed on 69 PRK sequences, including 31

from nonphotosynthetic prokaryotes, clearly show a first evolutionary separation between Bacteria

and Archaea. Cyanobacterial and eukaryotic PRKs emerged as the third clade from Archaea (Fig.

S6*B*). Single PRK structures from the anoxygenic photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodobacter*

sphaeroides (*Rs*PRK; PDB ID code 1A7J) (17) and the nonphotosynthetic Archaea

Methanospirillum hungatei (*Mh*PRK; PDB ID code 5B3F; 18) have been reported. Both *Rs*PRK

and *Mh*PRK sequences are shorter than plant PRKs with a low sequence identity (Fig. S7). All

PRKs from bacteria (type I PRKs; 19), including phototrophic organisms, are octamers (~32-kDa

subunits) that are allosterically activated by NADH and inhibited by AMP (20). Archaea,

cyanobacteria, and eukaryotic (i.e., plant-type) PRKs are dimers (~40-kDa subunits; type II PRKs)

(19) with no allosteric regulation (11, 21). While little is known about the regulation of archaeal

PRKs, in oxygenic phototrophs from ancient cyanobacteria to modern flowering plants, it is well

established that PRK forms a complex with A_4-GAPDH and the regulatory protein CP12 at low

NADP(H)/NAD(H) ratios (22, 23). Moreover, cyanobacterial PRKs are inhibited by AMP via the

cyanobacteria-specific fusion protein CBS-CP12 (24), whereas plant-type PRKs are directly

regulated by the TRX-dependent interconversion of specific dithiol-disulfide bridges (6). To date,

no experimental evidence of the direct regulation of cyanobacterial PRKs by TRXs has been

reported.

Regulatory cysteines Cys15/16 and Cys54/55 in *At*PRK and *Cr*PRK, respectively, protrude from

the catalytic cavity (Figs. 4*A* and S4*A*) and are thus available to interact with TRX (Table S2). In

addition, both PRKs share similar redox properties with comparable midpoint redox potentials (−

312 ± 3 mV for *Cr*PRK and −330 mV for *At*PRK) (23) and pK_a values (6.79 ± 0.01 for *Cr*PRK and

6.95 ± 0.13 for *At*PRK) (Figs. 4*C* and S4*C*). These slightly acidic values are supported by the

molecular environment around Cys15/16, as the thiol groups form hydrogen bonds with the main

chain atoms of two nearby residues (i.e., Asp13 and Ser14 in *Cr*PRK and Asp12 and Ser13 in

*At*PRK) and with the amino and carboxyl groups of Lys156 and Asp13 in *Cr*PRK or Lys151 in

*At*PRK (Figs. 4*D* and S4*D*). All of these residues are strictly conserved in type I PRKs (Fig. S6*A*).

Furthermore, the proximity of Cys15/16 to the positive N-terminal end of helix α1 contributes to a

low pK_a value (Figs. 4*D* and S4*D*).

The pH and temperature dependence of *At*PRK and *Cr*PRK are also comparable, although the algal

enzyme has a wider range of activity at higher temperatures and lower pH values (Fig. S8). The pair

of cysteines involved in TRX regulation is also present in the TRX-insensitive PRK in

cyanobacteria (Fig. S6*A*). However, cyanobacterial PRKs lack an unstructured amino acid stretch

(25)—here named clamp loop—which connects the regulatory cysteines whose thiol groups are

separated by more than 13 Å, as found in plant-type PRKs (Figs. 4*A* and S4*A*). The clamp loop

connects α1 and β2 (Figs. 1 and S7) and possibly confers the flexibility necessary to permit the

conformational changes required for disulfide formation. This bond would thus allow the narrowing

of the catalytic cavity and the inhibition of enzymatic activity (Fig. 5*A*). Similarly, a comparison of

the chloroplast and redox-sensitive isoforms of FBPase (5) and AB-GAPDH (7) with their cytosolic

and redox-insensitive counterparts reveals that the acquisition of TRX-mediated regulation is

accompanied by the appearance of loops presumably required for the approach of the two cysteines

involved in the formation of the regulatory disulfide bond.

The electrostatic surface potential of the catalytic cavity reveals an elongated positive region on the

bottom and a negative region on the side (Figs. 4*B* and S4*B*), the former suitable for binding the

phosphate groups of substrates (i.e., ATP and Ru5P) and the latter relevant for the recognition and positioning of TRX, as proposed for FBPase (13, 26). Compared to TRX-m, TRX-f2 is more efficient in the reductive activation of both *At*PRK (27) and *Cr*PRK (Fig. 5*B*). Accordingly, the structural model of *At*TRX-f1 and the crystal structure of *Cr*TRX-f2 (28) show that the catalytic cysteines are surrounded by a large positive region, less extended in TRX-m (Fig. S9). This finding suggests that the early stages of pairing between TRX and PRK are mainly governed by electrostatic interactions between exposed regions of the two proteins (26).

Moreover, it has been reported that the oxidized state of target proteins is an essential feature for TRX target recognition, since disulfide formation does not confer any specific conformational change in TRXs structure (13). Indeed, the disulfide bond between the two distant regulatory cysteines introduces a significant conformational constraint that decreases the overall entropy of PRK. Therefore, a favorable entropic contribution is proposed to be the main driving force of the reduction of PRK by TRXs.

Dimer interface and flexible regions

Dimer interfaces consist of large contact areas in octameric *Rs*PRK (1,667 Å^2) (17) and dimeric *Mh*PRK (1,695 Å^2; Fig. S10) (18), and a small area in eukaryotic PRK. The dimer interfaces in *Cr*PRK (545.6 Å^2) and *At*PRK (560.3 Å^2) are exclusively formed by β7, which is located in an antiparallel position to its partner (Figs. 1, 2, and S2), suggesting a less rigid structure. Since cyanobacterial and eukaryotic PRKs are found together with CP12, the greater flexibility of PRK could enable the formation of regulatory GAPDH/CP12/PRK complexes (9-11). Moreover, *At*PRK and *Cr*PRK are characterized by an increased number of exposed random coiled regions (Fig. 1 and Table S3), some of which show a poor or absent electron density, indicative of highly flexible and disordered regions (Fig. S11). Two such regions are common to algal and plant enzymes, whereas the presence of a third region is exclusive to *At*PRK.

The long loop between helices α5 and α6 (Fig. S11) contains the highly conserved catalytic Asp160 or Arg159 and Arg164 residues involved in Ru5P binding (29, 30). A second flexible region,

corresponding to the loop between β7 and β8, contains Cys243 and Cys249 (Figs. 1 and S11). In both PRK structures, this portion is so flexible that the two thiol groups are far from each other in one monomer and closer to one another in the other; however, in contrast to *At*PRK, they are not oxidized in *Cr*PRK (Figs. 1 and S12). This result is in agreement with the observation that in *Cr*PRK, the disulfide bond between Cys243 and Cys249 only forms via an interaction with CP12 (31). This interaction is an essential step for the assembly of PRK and A_4-GAPDH, leading to the regulatory complex GAPDH/CP12/PRK (32, 33).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

TRXs are widespread regulatory proteins whose structure is strongly conserved throughout evolution. Fascinating experiments in paleobiochemistry conducted on seven "resurrected" TRXs dating back to the Precambrian era showed that only small differences in the length of helix α1 were found to occur over 4 Gyr of evolution (3). How evolution affected the TRX dependence of enzymes in the CB cycle is still a mystery. After studying the five CB cycle enzymes whose 3D structures have been solved or modeled (Fig S1), several strategies were adopted to establish their dependency on the same regulator. In fact, each of these five proteins are regulated by TRX in a different way. In FBPase and SBPase, the TRX-binding site is far from the conserved sugar-binding domain (5). In FBPase, upon reduction, the substrate-binding site adopts a catalytically competent conformation due to the loosening of secondary structures (4, 5). In AB-GAPDH, the redox-active cysteines are found in the highly flexibly CTE, which is characteristic of B-subunits, and when oxidized, the last residue in the CTE blocks the NAPDH-binding site (7). Similarly, a change in protein flexibility is believed to enable the regulation of *Chlamydomonas* PGK in which the formation of the regulatory disulfide appears to lower catalytic efficiency by decreasing the flexibility required to approach the substrates (8). Finally, we report that the regulatory pair of cysteines in PRK is embedded in the substrate-binding site and that oxidation can be achieved by the presence of the flexible clamp loop.

We conclude that a common strategy has allowed the acquisition of TRX dependence of the CB

cycle enzymes during evolution—the introduction of flexible regions. This strategy is in sharp

contrast to the evolutionary rigidity of the TRX structure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein Expression and Purification

Recombinant AtPRK and CrPRK were expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) strain (New England

Biolabs) harboring the pET-28 expression vector (Novagen) containing the coding sequence of the

mature form of both enzymes (Fig. S7). AtPRK was expressed and purified as previously described

(33). The sequence coding for CrPRK was cloned in frame with a 6xHis-Tag and a thrombin

cleavage site at the 5' end of the nucleotide sequence. CrPRK was purified by Chelating Sepharose

Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) column, following the manufacturer instruction. Immediately after

elution, CrPRK was desalted in 30 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.9 by PD-10 Desalting

Columns (GE Healthcare). The 6xHis-Tag was removed by overnight digestion with thrombin

protease. Samples purity was checked by 12.5% SDS-PAGE and purified proteins were quantified

by absorbance at 280 nm (Nanodrop; Thermo Scientific) using the following extinction coefficients:

εAtPRK 31985 M^{-1} cm^{-1}; εCrPRK 35995 M^{-1} cm^{-1} and molecular weights: AtPRK 38784.23 g mol^{-1}

and CrPRK 40790.7 g mol^{-1}. Proteins were stored at -80 °C.

When required, oxidized and reduced AtPRK and CrPRK were prepared by incubation at 25°C for

2-3 h in the presence of 40 mM trans-4,5-dihydroxy-1,2-dithiane (oxidized DTT) or 1,4-

dithiothreitol (reduced DTT). Following incubation, samples were desalted in 30 mM Tris-HCl, 1

mM EDTA, pH 7.9 through NAP-5 column (GE Healthcare) and brought to the desired

concentration either by dilution or by concentration through Amicon-Ultra device (Millipore; cut-

off 10 kDa).

Activity Assay and Determination of pH and Temperature Dependence

Phosphoribulokinase activity was measured spectrophotometrically (Cary 60 UV-Vis; Agilent) as

previously described (34). The pH dependence of purified enzymes was determined in Britton-

Robinson buffer for pH values ranging from 4.0 to 8.5. For pH ranging from 9 to 10, 100 mM

Glycine buffer was used. Activities were measured on two independent protein batches and are

expressed as percentage of the highest measured activity. Temperature dependence was evaluated

on aliquots of *At*PRK and *Cr*PRK incubated for 20 min at temperatures ranging from 20°C to 60°C.

Following incubation, the activity was assayed at 25°C. Activities were measured on two

independent protein purifications and are expressed as percentage of the highest measured activity.

Thioredoxin Specificity, Redox Titration

Thioredoxin specificity for activation of oxidized *Cr*PRK (2 µM) was measured in the presence of

0.2 mM reduced DTT and *C. reinhardtii* recombinant TRX-f2 and TRX-m (5 µM each), as

previously described (27). At different incubation times, PRK activity was measured. To determine

the midpoint redox potential of *Cr*PRK, three independent redox titrations were performed. Briefly,

a mixture containing pure recombinant *Cr*PRK (12 µM), commercial *E. coli* thioredoxin (1 mg ml^{-}

^{1}) and 20 mM DTT, at different reduced to oxidized ratios, were incubated for 3 h at 25°C before

measuring PRK activity. The obtained curves were fitted by non-linear regression (CoStat, Co-Hort

Software) to the Nernst equation.

Determination of the pK_a Values of the Catalytic Cysteines

The determination of pK_a values for both *Cr*PRK and *At*PRK was performed as previously

described (35). Briefly, PRK activity was measured on reduced PRK samples (2 µM) following 20

min of incubation at 25°C in the presence or absence of 0.2 mM iodoacetamide (IAM). Incubations

were performed in different buffers within a 4.5-10 pH range. Aliquots of 10-20 µl were used to

measure PRK activity in 1 ml of assay mixture. The residual activity was expressed as percentage

of inhibition between IAM-treated and untreated samples, and expressed as a function of pH. The

obtained curves were fitted by non-linear regression (CoStat, Co-Hort Software) to modified

Henderson-Hasselbalch equation.

Crystallization Data Collection and Structure Refinement.

Reduced *Cr*PRK was concentrated at 10 mg ml^{-1} in 30 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.9. Reduced *At*PRK was concentrated at 10 mg ml^{-1} in 25 mM potassium phosphate buffer solution, pH 7.5. They were crystallized by the vapor diffusion method at 293 K with sitting drop in 22% w/v PEG MME 5K, 0.1 M MES, pH 6.5, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate for *Cr*PRK, and hanging drop in 1.5 M sodium malonate, pH 5.0, for *At*PRK. The crystals obtained were analyzed by X-ray diffraction, and the structures were solved by molecular replacement. The refinement was performed with REFMAC 5.8.0135 (36) selecting 5% of reflections for R_{free} (for details, see SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods).

Small Angle X-ray Scattering Data Collection and Analysis

Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data were collected at the BioSAXS beamline BM29 (37) at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble) and the data collection parameters are reported in Table S4. A size-exclusion chromatography SEC-SAXS experiment was performed using a HPLC system (Shimadzu) directly connected to the measurement capillary. All the details about experimental procedures and data analysis are listed in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

Modeling from SAXS Data

The experimental SAXS profile of the *Cr*PRK dimer was fitted with the theoretical profile calculated from the atomic coordinates using CRYSOL3 (38) with default parameters. In addition, low-resolution models of the CrPRK dimer based on the SAXS profile were built using the ab initio program GASBOR (39). All details are reported in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

Data Availability

Atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under accession codes 6H7G and 6H7H for *Cr*PRK and *At*PRK, respectively. The SEC-SAXS data of *Cr*PRK have been deposited in SASBDB with accession code SASDDH9.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We sincerely thank Prof. Bob B. Buchanan for offering comments and constructive suggestions on the content of the manuscript. We thank the Elettra and European Synchrotron Radiation Facility for allocation of X-ray diffraction and SAXS beam time (BAG proposal MX686 and MX1750) and the staff of beamlines XRD1 (Elettra) and BM29 (ESRF) for technical support. This work was supported by the Italian Minister of Education, Research and University (MIUR) grant FIRB2003 (P.T.); by University of Bologna grant FARB2012 (F.S., S.F., and M.Z.); by CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Agence Nationale de la Recherche Grant 17-CE05-0001; and CalvinDesign and LABEX DYNAMO ANR-LABX-011 (J.H., S.D.L., and P.C.). S.F. thanks the Consorzio Interuniversitario di Ricerca in Chimica dei Metalli nei Sistemi Biologici (CIRCMSB).

REFERENCES

1. Michelet L, et al. (2013) Redox regulation of the Calvin-Benson cycle: Something old, something new. *Front Plant Sci* 4:470.

2. Geigenberger P, Thormählen I, Daloso DM, Fernie AR (2017) The Unprecedented Versatility of the Plant Thioredoxin System. *Trends Plant Sci* 22(3):249-262.

3. Ingles-Prieto A, et al. (2013) Conservation of protein structure over four billion years. *Structure* 21(9):1690-1697.

4. Chiadmi M, Navaza A, Miginiac-Maslow M, Jacquot JP, Cherfils J (1999) Redox signalling in the chloroplast: Structure of oxidized pea fructose-1,6-bisphosphate phosphatase. *EMBO J* 18(23):6809–6815.

5. Gütle DD, et al. (2016) Chloroplast FBPase and SBPase are thioredoxin-linked enzymes with similar architecture but different evolutionary histories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 24(113):6779–6784.

6. Brandes HK, Larimer FW, Hartman FC (1996) The molecular pathway for the regulation of phosphoribulokinase by thioredoxin f. *J Biol Chem* 271(7):3333-3335.

7. Fermani S, et al. (2007) Molecular mechanism of thioredoxin regulation in photosynthetic A2B2-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 26(104):11109–11114.

8. Morisse S, et al. (2014). Thioredoxin-dependent redox regulation of chloroplastic phosphoglycerate kinase from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *J Biol Chem* 289(43):30012-30024.

9. Mouche F, Gontero B, Callebaut I, Mornon J-P, Boisset N (2002). Striking conformational change suspected within the phosphoribulokinase dimer induced by interaction with GAPDH. *J Biol Chem* 277(8):6743–6749.

10. Del Giudice A, et al. (2015) Unravelling the shape and structural assembly of the photosynthetic GAPDH-CP12-PRK complex from *Arabidopsis thaliana* by small-angle X-ray scattering analysis. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 71(Pt 12):2372–2385.

11. Mcfarlane C, Shah N, Kabasakal BV, Cotton CAR, Bubeck D, Murray JW (2018) Structural

basis of light-induced redox regulation in the Calvin cycle. *bioRxiv* 414334; doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/414334

12. Marri L, Thieulin-Pardo G, Lebrun R, Puppo R, Zaffagnini M, Trost P, Gontero B, Sparla F

(2014) CP12-mediated protection of Calvin-Benson cycle enzymes from oxidative stress.

Biochimie 97:228-237.

13. Palde PB, Carroll KS (2015) A universal entropy-driven mechanism for thioredoxin-target

recognition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(26):7960–7965.

14. Avilan L, Gontero B, Lebreton S, Ricard J (1997) Information transfer in multienzyme

complexes 2. The role of Arg64 of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* phosphoribulokinase in the

information   transfer   between   glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate   dehydrogenase   and

phosphoribulokinase. *Eur J Biochem* 250(2):296–302.

15. Walker JE, Saraste M, Runswick MJ, Gay NJ (1982) Distantly related sequences in the alpha-

and beta-subunits of ATP synthase, myosin, kinases and other ATP-requiring enzymes and a

common nucleotide binding fold. *EMBO J.* 1(8):945–951.

16. Brandes HK, Hartman FC, Lu TY, Larimer FW (1996) Efficient expression of the gene for

spinach phosphoribulokinase in *Pichia pastoris* and utilization of the recombinant enzyme to

explore the role of regulatory cysteinyl residues by site-directed mutagenesis. *J Biol Chem*

271(11):6490-6496.

17. Harrison DH, Runquist JA, Holub A, Miziorko HM (1998) The crystal structure of

phosphoribulokinase from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* reveals a fold similar to that of adenylate

kinase. *Biochemistry* 37(15):5074–5085.

18. Kono T, et al. (2017) A RuBisCO-mediated carbon metabolic pathway in methanogenic

archaea. *Nat. Commun.* 8:14007.

19. Martin W, Schnarrenberger C (1997) The evolution of the Calvin cycle from prokaryotic to

eukaryotic chromosomes: a case study of functional redundancy in ancient pathways through

endosymbiosis. *Curr Genet* 32(1):1-18.

20. Kung G, Runquist JA, Miziorko HM, Harrison DH (1999) Identification of the allosteric
regulatory site in bacterial phosphoribulokinase. *Biochemistry* 38(46):15157–15165.

21. Porter MA, Hartman FC (1990) Exploration of the function of a regulatory sulfhydryl of
phosphoribulokinase from spinach. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 281(2):330–334.

22. Tamoi M, Miyazaki T, Fukamizo T, Shigeoka S (2005) The Calvin cycle in cyanobacteria is
regulated by CP12 via the NAD(H)/NADP(H) ratio under light/dark conditions. *Plant J*
42(4):504–513.

23. Marri L, Trost P, Pupillo P, Sparla F (2005) Reconstitution and properties of the recombinant
glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase/CP12/phosphoribulokinase supramolecular
complex of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 139(3):1433–1443.

24. Hackenberg C, et al. (2018) Structural and functional insights into the unique CBS-CP12 fusion
protein family in cyanobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115(27):7141-7146.

25. Kobayashi D, Tamoi M, Iwaki T, Shigeoka S, Wadano A (2003) Molecular characterization and
redox regulation of phosphoribulokinase from the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC
7942. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 44(3):269–276.

26. Mora-Garcia S, Rodríguez-Suárez R, Wolosiuk RA (1997) Role of electrostatic interactions on
the affinity of thioredoxin for target proteins. Recognition of chloroplast fructose-1,6-
bisphosphatase by mutant Escherichia coli thioredoxins. *J Biol Chem* 273(26):16273–16280.

27. Marri, L. *et al.* (2009) Prompt and easy activation by specific thioredoxins of Calvin cycle
enzymes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* associated in the GAPDH/CP12/PRK supramolecular
complex. *Mol. Plant.* 2(2):259–269.

28. Lemaire SD, et al. (2018) Crystal Structure of chloroplastic thioredoxin f2 from
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii reveals distinct surface properties. *Antioxidants* 7(12):171.

29. Charlier HA Jr, Runquist JA, Miziorko HM (1994) Evidence Supporting Catalytic Roles for
Aspartate Residues in Phosphoribulokinase. *Biochemistry* 33(31):9343–9350.

30. Runquist JA, Harrison DH, Miziorko HM (1999) *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*
phosphoribulokinase: identification of lysine-165 as a catalytic residue and evaluation of the
contributions of invariant basic amino acids to ribulose 5-phosphate binding. *Biochemistry*
38(42):13999–14005.

31. Thieulin-Pardo G, Remy T, Lignon S, Lebrun R, Gontero B (2015) Phosphoribulokinase from
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: a Benson-Calvin cycle enzyme enslaved to its cysteine residues.
Mol. Biosyst. 11(4):1134–1145.

32. Graciet E, et al. (2003) The small protein CP12: a protein linker for supramolecular complex
assembly *Biochemistry* 42(27):8163–8170.

33. Marri L, et al. (2008) Spontaneous assembly of photosynthetic supramolecular complexes as
mediated by the intrinsically unstructured protein CP12. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283(4):1831-1838.

34. Roesler KR, Ogren WL (1990) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* phosphoribulokinase: sequence,
purification and kinetics. *Plant Physiol* 93(1):188-193.

35. Bedhomme M, et al. (2012) Glutathionylation of cytosolic glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
dehydrogenase from the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* is reversed by both glutaredoxins and
thioredoxins in vitro. *Biochem J* 445(3):337–347.

36. Cowtan K (2006) The Buccaneer software for automated model building. 1. Tracing protein
chains. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 62(Pt 9):1002–1011.

37. Brennich ME, et al. (2016) Online data analysis at the ESRF bioSAXS beamline, BM29. *J Appl*
Crystallogr 49:203–212.

38. Rambo RP, Tainer JA (2013) Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-
angle scattering. Nature 496(7446):477–481.

39. Franke D, et al. (2017) ATSAS 2.8: A comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle
scattering from macromolecular solutions. J Appl Crystallogr 50(Pt 4):1212–1225.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. The crystal structure of photosynthetic PRK. Representation of the overall crystal structure of reduced phosphoribulokinase (PRK) from (*A*) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and (*B*) *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The P-loop is highlighted in green and light-blue, and the clamp loop in orange and yellow, respectively. Each monomer contains two pairs of cysteines, one in the active site (Cys16 and Cys55 for *Cr*PRK and Cys15 and Cys54 for *At*PRK) and one in the dimer interface close to the C-terminal end of the protein chain (Cys243 and Cys249). Cysteine residues are indicated and represented as sticks. In *At*PRK, a pair of C-terminal cysteines forms a disulfide bond. *Cr*PRK binds two sulfate ions (one for each monomer), represented as spheres, from the crystallization solution. Arg64, represented as sticks, is one of the residues stabilizing the anions.

Fig. 2. The crystal structure of the *Cr*PRK monomer. (*A*) Topology diagram: The monomer is composed of a mixed β-sheet of nine strands, nine α-helices, and four additional small β-strands indicated by β'. (*B*) Representation of the structure of the monomer: The central β-sheet is sandwiched between helices α3, α4, and α6 and helices α1, α7, α8, and α9. Strand β7 is located in the dimer interface, whereas the four additional β-strands (β1' to β4') form the edge of the dimer.

Fig. 3. SAXS analysis of *Cr*PRK. (*A*) Superimposition of the experimental SAXS scattering profile (black) and the theoretical SAXS curve (red), calculated from the *Cr*PRK crystal structure (upper graph). The lower graph shows the residuals obtained by the difference between the experimental and calculated intensities. (*B*) Comparison between the ab initio model (surface representation) and the crystal structure (ribbon representation) of *Cr*PRK, showing an excellent agreement between the two models.

Fig. 4. The active site and TRX-dependent regulation of *Cr*PRK. (*A*) The catalytic cavity is shown. The distance between the regulatory cysteines is greater than 13 Å. (*B*) The electrostatic surface

potential of the catalytic cavity. The bottom of the catalytic cavity is marked by a positive potential.

The negative potential observed in the left side of the cavity is thought to be involved in the correct

positioning of TRX close to the regulatory cysteines. (*C*) The pK_a of Cys16 was determined by

measuring the IAM-mediated inactivation as a function of pH. (*D*) The molecular environment of

Cys16, considering a sphere of 5 Å centered on its thiol group. The hydrogen bonds between the

thiol group and the neighboring residues, and the corresponding distances are shown.

Fig. 5. The clamp loop and TRX-dependent regulation of *Cr*PRK. (*A*) A schematic representation

of the proposed role for the clamp loop and conformational changes occurring in the chloroplast

PRK upon TRX binding. (*B*) Activation kinetics by plastidial *C*. *reinhardtii* TRX-f2 and -m, and

DTT.

Figure 1

467
468

469 **Figure 2**

Figure 3

Figure 4

481   **Figure 5**

A