Protein inference using PIA workflows and PSI standard file formats

Julian Uszkoreit[1]*, Yasset Perez-Riverol[2], Britta Eggers[1], Katrin Marcus[1] and Martin Eisenacher[1]*

[1] Ruhr University Bochum, Medical Faculty, Medizinisches Proteom-Center, Universitaetsstrasse 150, D-44801- Bochum, Germany

[2] EMBL Outstation, European Bioinformatics Institute, Proteomics Services, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

*corresponding authors

Keywords: Protein inference, spectrum identification, protein isoforms, standard formats, workflows, computational proteomics

Abstract

Proteomics using LC-MS/MS has become one of the main methods to analyze the proteins in biological samples in high-throughput. But the used machines are still limited with respect to resolution and measurable mass ranges, which is one of the main reasons why shotgun proteomics is the main approach. Thus, proteins are digested, which leads to the identification and quantification of peptides instead. While often neglected, the important step of protein inference needs to be conducted to infer from the identified peptides to the actual proteins in the original sample.

In this work, we highlight some of the previously published features of the tool PIA – Protein Inference Algorithms, which helps the user with the protein inference of measured samples. We also highlight the importance of the usage of PSI standard file formats, as PIA is the only current software supporting all available standards used for spectrum identification and protein inference. Additionally, we briefly describe the benefits of working with workflows environments for proteomics analyses and show the new features of the PIA nodes for the workflow environment KNIME. Finally, we benchmark PIA against a recently published dataset for isoform detections.

PIA is open source and available for download on GitHub (https://github.com/mpc-bioinformatics/pia) or directly via the community extensions inside the KNIME analytics platform.

INTRODUCTION

Due to limitations in the measurable mass to charge range and limitations in the resolution of mass spectrometers, measuring intact proteins at deep proteome level (i.e. detecting as many proteins as possible) is currently not possible. Therefore, shotgun proteomics using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS) is the state-of-the-art method to analyze peptides in a biological sample. Here, digested proteins are measured and much effort is used to identify as many spectra as possible, using lots of different search engines, and downstream analyze the proteins, using biological networks and pathways. The actual step of inferring the correct proteins [1] is rather neglected, though. While there are several tools and algorithms to perform the protein inference, which all have different restraints and assumptions [2], often protein inference is something thought of as automatically performed by the peptide search engines. This is only in some cases the fact, though.

The tool *PIA – Protein Inference Algorithms* [3] was introduced to facilitate the protein inference in an easy and user friendly way. PIA is not only returning a comprehensive list of protein groups, but also showing the user the actual relations between peptide spectrum matches (PSMs), peptides and protein groups. The original publication highlighted the command line interface (CLI) and a web interface, as well as relatively simple KNIME [4] nodes, which in fact just wrapped the CLI commands. While the CLI can still be used for scripting and similar environments, in which a user interface cannot be used or simply the same task should be performed all the time, the web interface is currently no longer developed.

In contrast, PIA has been fully integrated with other Java desktop applications and workflow environments such as PRIDE Inspector Toolsuite [citation for PRIDE Inspector] and KNIME nodes. The integration with PRIDE Inspector Toolsuite has enabled the quality assessment of

thousands of complete submissions in PRIDE and is enabling the proper curation and review of the public proteomics data.

In addition, we have extended the features and support of KNIME nodes. KNIME is one of the leading workflow environments for data analysis and mining (https://www.knime.com/). With the KNIME Analytics Platform it provides an open source workflow infrastructure, which allows the creation and exchange of workflows not only in the field of proteomics, but in any data-driven science.

We have recently benchmarked PIA against other protein inference tools [5], and prove that it can accurately report the "correct" list of proteins. However, since the original publication, major features have been added to support PSI standard file formats and more search engines. We will briefly recapitulate what PIA is for and how it works before highlighting the new features especially in the KNIME nodes. Finally, we show an application examples on a recent dataset for isoform characterizations, which is one main issue in protein inference and is far from being solved, as recently highlighted by the ABRF[6].

USAGE AND FEATURES

File format support

The input for PIA is the results of a peptide or spectrum search engine. PIA natively supports the identifications of Mascot[7] (Matrixscience Ltd., UK), X!Tandem[8] and the TXT output of Tide[9]. Also the import of MSF files generated by ProteomeDiscoverer 1.3 and 1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) is supported, including for example search results from Mascot, SEQUEST [10] and MS Amanda[11]. Finally, the OpenMS[12, 13] intermediate format idXML can be imported.

Originally, PIA supported the HUPO-PSI[14] standard formats mzIdentML[15, 16]. Recently, the import of files in the PRIDE database file format (PRIDE XML) was added as well as full support for the mzTab [17] file format, converting PIA in the only API (application programming interface) that can perform statistical analysis of proteomics data for all current PSI standards. For this reason, PIA is a key component of PRIDE database[18] and is used to accurately assess the quality of the submitted peptides and proteins evidences.

The support of PSI standard formats facilitate the import from almost all search engines, for which respective exporters or converters exist, as well as modern search engines which use the standard formats natively like MS-GF+ [19, 20]. As one principle, PIA does not perform any changes of the imported PSMs and the relations to the protein accessions reported by the search engine. If any peptide-protein mapping must or should be performed before the PIA analysis, this can be performed by external tools (e.g. the PeptideIndexer of OpenMS).

Basic principles of a PIA analysis

In a first step, the compilation, PIA structures the PSMs, peptides and proteins into a directed acyclic graph, as described in [3]. This is useful for all later analyses, as it speeds up the search of connected components, like PSMs belonging to a protein accession.

After the compilation is done, the actual protein inference analysis is performed. If multiple search results from the same MS run are under analysis, potential PSMs originating from the same spectrum can be combined into PSM sets. The false discovery rate (FDR) can be estimated using the standard target decoy approach [21] (TDA). Using this together with the *FDR Score* and *Combined FDR Score [22]*, search results of multiple MS runs can be combined seamlessly. The PSM results, including the FDR estimation, of each file as well as of the combination of all files can be filtered and exported.

For the peptide level inference, PIA allows to filter the PSMs according to several properties like charge or measured mass error, as well as scores and FDR estimations. Identified modifications can be considered or left out. In the latter case the peptide is defined be the amino acid sequence alone.

PIA is based on the concept to always report protein groups, never just a representative. Hence, proteins or accessions, which have identical evidence on PSM and peptide level, are reported as one protein group (which might contain only one protein, though). Protein groups, which have overlapping but less evidence on PSM and peptide level as another group are reported as sub-groups. For the protein inference, the user can select between three different algorithms, the *report all*, *Occam's razor* and *spectrum extractor*. The first just reports all possible protein groups, disregarding any sub-grouping. This option is only useful to scan an analysis for any specific identification, which otherwise might become a subgroup. The second option, *Occam's razor*, is one of the most widely used parsimonious algorithms for protein inference. It reports a set of protein groups to explain all peptide evidences, which is as small as possible. *Spectrum extractor*, the default algorithm, is similar to *Occam's razor*, but considers that some search engines report multiple PSMs per MS/MS spectrum. If this is the case, only one peptide per spectrum is assigned. Which peptide to assign is determined by the evidence of the protein without the respective spectrum. Which search engine score or *FDR Score* of the PSMs should be used by the inference algorithm to calculate the protein group score can be selected by the user, as well as any filters on properties or scores. Also on the protein level the FDR can be estimated using the default TDA approach, which is highly recommended[23].

All of the principles explained in the prior paragraphs are explained in more detail in the original PIA publication.

Improved integration into KNIME workflows

The main change in the KNIME nodes of the original implementation is the change from a CLI wrapper, which was implemented using the generic KNIME nodes [24] (GKN), towards a more flexible native KNIME implementation of the nodes. While the GKN implementation needed a single node for each setting of a parameter or filter for the KNIME analysis, the new implementation has only two nodes, the *PIA Compiler* and the *PIA Analysis*.

The *PIA Compiler* is used to aggregate spectrum identifications from search engine results, create the acyclic graph and save it into an intermediate XML format. It does not allow many settings and gives only some basic information about the input files, modifications and the total number of PSMs and peptides after the compilation.

The *PIA Analysis* node performs all other functionalities of PIA and lets the user adjust the settings at all levels of the analysis: the PSM, peptide and protein level as well as some general settings. For each level the filters can be adjusted as well as whether the overview or merge of all files or the analysis of a specific input file should be reported. The reported lists of PSMs, peptides and protein groups are saved into KNIME tables and thus directly available for all kind of other nodes, which allow further analyses inside the workflow environment, like e.g. basic KNIME nodes for statistics or clustering as well as scripts for R [25] or python.

As PIA is not restricted to protein inference, but also allows a comprehensive analysis on PSM and peptide level, the report on peptide and protein level can be disabled to save time, if either is not required. One of the new features is the FDR estimation on the peptide level. The estimation is conducted automatically, if the FDR estimation on PSM level is activated. Even if the peptide level FDR is currently not used by any of the protein inference algorithms, an analysis can be important. With this strategy PIA is used for the internal reanalysis of the PRIDE [18] database.

Besides the newly supported import formats mzTab and PRIDE XML, PIA supports also new export formats. To allow an easy exchange of results between scientists, mzTab is now supported. The idXML export for OpenMS compatibility was adapted to support OpenMS 2.x and the new protein grouping for quantification. For the deposition of results in an archive like PRIDE, it is recommended to use the standard format mzIdentML for data concerning MS/MS identifications. PIA now allows exporting into this format, using the recommended framing for describing the protein inference on all levels supported by this mzIdentML[16, 26].

Protein inference visualization

The main focus of PIA besides providing a comprehensive protein inference is to actually show the evidence behind the reason for reporting a certain protein group. This was one of the main aspects of the web interface and is now also available from the *PIA Analysis* node inside KNIME and as a Java generic component already in use in some proteomics tools (e.g. PRIDE Inspector Toolsuite[27]). **Figure 1** shows the visualization given by the *PIA Analysis* node, after the protein inference was performed. The respective view shows a list for the inferred protein groups with the respective scores, protein sequence coverages and target-decoy states, as well as lists for the peptide and protein levels, with all important information on these levels. Furthermore, the relations between the PSMs, peptides and accessions is depicted as a graph. This graph can give a comprehensive explanation for the causes, why a certain accession was selected in a protein group and others might not be reported, using a color code: black bordered nodes are reported, while nodes without borders are in subgroups. The items of the currently selected protein group are intensely colored, while members of other groups are in pale shades. Finally, nodes which were available in the search engine results but filtered out, have no filling at all.

Besides the viewer for the analysis results, also an optional spectrum viewer was implemented, using the ms-data-core-api[28]. This viewer (see **Figure 2**) does not visualize the actual annotation given by a search engine, but reconstructs the spectrum annotations given the actual spectra and the amino acid sequence together with any modifications. This viewer can only be used, if the MS/MS spectra are provided at the time of the PIA analysis.

Application example: detection of protein isoforms

Recently, The et al. published a dataset[29], which emulates homology and benchmarks the theoretical ability of different protein inference approaches to detect protein isoforms. In short, in this study several recombinant protein fragments (PrESTs) where expressed and analyzed using mass spectrometry, to simulate different levels of overlapping proteins. To test the performance of PIA, the data was downloaded from the PRIDE repository (accession number PXD008425). The raw files were converted using MSConvert[30] and the vendor peak picking algorithm. The provided FASTA files were concatenated and a target decoy database was created by reversing the entries. All following steps, except the plotting, were performed inside a KNIME workflow (see supplemental data). The spectra were identified using X!Tandem with the following settings: 10 ppm precursor mass tolerance, 0.02 Da fragment mass tolerance, carbamidomethylation of C as fixed modification, no variable modifications and trypsin as cleavage enzyme. After the identification, the three replicates of each mixture given in the dataset (A, B and AB) were analyzed by PIA together, filtering the identification on PSM level on 1% FDR. For the protein inference, the *Spectrum Extractor* was used with the *PSM FDR Score* as base score. The protein level results were finally used to execute the script provided by The et al., to plot the estimated q-values against the expected values. The resulting plots are given in the **Supplemental Figures 1-3**. These figures show, that the PIA results are within the

estimated bounds for a parsimony algorithm using product of PEPs, which is the category of PIA in the comparison, when compared to the plots given in the respective publication. **Table 1** shows the results of the analysis on the 5% protein-level entrapment and reported FDR. The table shows, that PIA slightly outperforms the test-implementation of the original manuscript, but only on the single mixtures, not on the combination of both. It must be considered, that the analysis was mainly focused on proteins and not protein groups though, and thus is biased against the main principles of PIA. Furthermore, for the analysis with PIA a PSM FDR of 1% was used, as is recommended, and not a 5% threshold as it was used in the prior publication.

To show, how the PIA viewer can be used to further elucidate the reasoning behind some actual reported isoforms, see **Figure 1** and **Figure 3**. In Figure 1, the graph for the relations between accessions and peptides shows, that HPRR2310052 is reported, which is correct for mixture A. HPRR4160683 on the other hand shares several peptides with the reported protein, but is not expressed itself in the given sample. **Figure 3** shows a more complex example: here, HPRR2310052 and HPRR2310049 are reported in different protein groups, each one containing only one protein. For the accession HPRR3950112, which is not present in the given mixture, some spectra were wrongly identified in the sample, but filtered out by the FDR threshold, which finally resulted in the report of the correct protein groups.

CONCLUSION

In this manuscript we highlighted some of the new features of PIA, especially the implementation inside the workflow and data analysis environment KNIME analytics platform, which is freely available and open source. This environment especially allows the repeated and automated execution of recurring tasks, but also the setup of complex analyses with subsequent

annotations, statistics or machine learning after protein inference or quantification. These workflows can easily be exported and exchanged between scientists or can be deposited into archives like PRIDE to make the computational analysis as replicable as possible. PIA is currently the only protein inference tool for this environment, which also provides a graphical inspection of the results as well as KNIME tables as output. Furthermore, with the implemented support for native search engine results and standard file formats for spectrum identifications, a wide range of identification algorithms is available for a PIA analysis.

While PIA itself does neither use quantitative information for the analysis, nor reports any quantitative data, together with OpenMS it can help with the correct quantification of proteins. OpenMS allows, besides many more features, the identification and quantification of peptides. It furthermore supports a tool for protein quantification, which can either perform a simple protein inference itself but also allows to import the inference information from other tools. For this, an idXML file containing protein group information is needed, which can be generated by PIA. OpenMS is also integrated into KNIME and thus PIA and the quantification nodes work together out of the box. Besides the mentioned methods to perform the protein inference using PIA, we also provide a Docker container via the BioContainers [31] group. This is especially useful for performing scripted or command line analyses in cloud environments.

PIA is open source under a three-clause BSD license and available for download via GitHub (https://github.com/mpc-bioinformatics/pia) or directly via the community extensions inside the KNIME analytics platform. Documentation and test data to start the usage of PIA is linked from the download location as well.

FIGURES

Figure 1. This screenshot shows the viewer of the PIA Analysis node. Lists of protein groups, the respective peptides and PSMs are given with all important information for each level. The relations between accessions, peptides and PSMs is reported in the graph on the upper right-hand side. In this case, it can be seen that the protein group with the accession HPRR650016 is reported, while another protein group is reported with less evidence as a sub-group.

Figure 2. The spectrum viewer implemented in the *PIA Analysis* node.

Figure 3. A more complex example of two correctly reported isoforms in the benchmark dataset. The proteins HPRR2310052 and HPRR2310049 are reported in different protein groups, while HPRR3950112 is not reported and filtered out.

TABLES

Table 1. The number of inferred proteins at a 5% protein-level entrapment FDR and 5% protein-level reported FDR. This table shows the number of proteins, which were reported for the homology dataset by PIA and in parentheses the values expected by the original manuscript.

	5% protein-level entrapment FDR.	5% protein-level reported FDR
mixture A	187 (181)	190 (191)
mixture B	198 (196)	209 (203)
mixture AB	353 (360)	358 (365)

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information.

The following files are available free of charge:

supplemental01: Supplemental material (PDF) showing the plots created for the isoform

detection after The et. al.

supplemental02: KNIME workflow (knwf file) for the isoform analysis.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Julian Uszkoreit

Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Medizinisches Proteom-Center

Building ZKF 1.054, Universitätsstr. 150, D-44801 Bochum

Fon +49 (0)234 32 29283, E-Mail julian.uszkoreit@rub.de

Martin Eisenacher

Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Medizinisches Proteom-Center

Building ZKF 0.056, Universitätsstr. 150, D-44801 Bochum

Fon +49 (0)234 32 29288, E-Mail martin.eisenacher@rub.de

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding Sources

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The development of PIA is funded by de.NBI (FKZ 031 A 534A), a project of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung BMBF). ME is funded by PURE, a project of North Rhine-Westphalia, a federal German state.). BE gratefully thanks Ruhr University Research School PLUS, funded by Germany's Excellence Initiative [DFG GSC 98/3] for funding.

REFERENCES

1.   Nesvizhskii, A. I.; Aebersold, R., Interpretation of shotgun proteomic data: the protein inference problem. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **2005,** *4* (10), 1419-40.

2.   Huang, T.; Wang, J.; Yu, W.; He, Z., Protein inference: a review. *Brief Bioinform* **2012,** *13* (5), 586-614.

3.   Uszkoreit, J.; Maerkens, A.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Meyer, H. E.; Marcus, K.; Stephan, C.; Kohlbacher, O.; Eisenacher, M., PIA: An Intuitive Protein Inference Engine with a Web-Based User Interface. *J Proteome Res* **2015,** *14* (7), 2988-97.

4.   Berthold, M. R.; Cebron, N.; Dill, F.; Gabriel, T. R.; Kötter, T.; Meinl, T.; Ohl, P.; Sieb, C.; Thiel, K.; Wiswedel, B. In *KNIME: The Konstanz Information Miner*, Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008; pp 319-326.

5.   Audain, E.; Uszkoreit, J.; Sachsenberg, T.; Pfeuffer, J.; Liang, X.; Hermjakob, H.; Sanchez, A.; Eisenacher, M.; Reinert, K.; Tabb, D. L.; Kohlbacher, O.; Perez-Riverol, Y., In-depth analysis of protein inference algorithms using multiple search engines and well-defined metrics. *J Proteomics* **2017,** *150*, 170-182.

6.   Lee, J. Y.; Choi, H.; Colangelo, C. M.; Davis, D.; Hoopmann, M. R.; Käll, L.; Lam, H.; Payne, S. H.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; The, M.; Wilson, R.; Weintraub, S. T.; Palmblad, M., ABRF Proteome Informatics Research Group (iPRG) 2016 Study: Inferring Proteoforms from Bottom-up Proteomics Data. *J Biomol Tech* **2018,** *29* (2), 39-45.

7.   Perkins, D. N.; Pappin, D. J.; Creasy, D. M.; Cottrell, J. S., Probability-based protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. *Electrophoresis* **1999,** *20* (18), 3551-67.

8.   Craig, R.; Beavis, R. C., TANDEM: matching proteins with tandem mass spectra. *Bioinformatics* **2004,** *20* (9), 1466-7.

9.   Diament, B. J.; Noble, W. S., Faster SEQUEST searching for peptide identification from tandem mass spectra. *J Proteome Res* **2011,** *10* (9), 3871-9.

10.   Eng, J.; McCormack, A.; Yates, J., An approach to correlate tandem mass spectral data of peptides with amino acid sequences in a protein database. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry* **1994,** *5* (11), 976-989.

11.   Dorfer, V.; Pichler, P.; Stranzl, T.; Stadlmann, J.; Taus, T.; Winkler, S.; Mechtler, K., MS Amanda, a universal identification algorithm optimized for high accuracy tandem mass spectra. *J Proteome Res* **2014,** *13* (8), 3679-84.

12.   Pfeuffer, J.; Sachsenberg, T.; Alka, O.; Walzer, M.; Fillbrunn, A.; Nilse, L.; Schilling, O.; Reinert, K.; Kohlbacher, O., OpenMS – A platform for reproducible analysis of mass spectrometry data. *Journal of Biotechnology* **2017,** *261*, 142-148.

13.   Röst, H. L.; Sachsenberg, T.; Aiche, S.; Bielow, C.; Weisser, H.; Aicheler, F.; Andreotti, S.; Ehrlich, H. C.; Gutenbrunner, P.; Kenar, E.; Liang, X.; Nahnsen, S.; Nilse, L.; Pfeuffer, J.; Rosenberger, G.; Rurik, M.; Schmitt, U.; Veit, J.; Walzer, M.; Wojnar, D.; Wolski, W. E.; Schilling, O.; Choudhary, J. S.; Malmström, L.; Aebersold, R.; Reinert, K.; Kohlbacher, O., OpenMS: a flexible open-source software platform for mass spectrometry data analysis. *Nat Methods* **2016,** *13* (9), 741-8.

14.   Deutsch, E. W.; Orchard, S.; Binz, P. A.; Bittremieux, W.; Eisenacher, M.; Hermjakob, H.; Kawano, S.; Lam, H.; Mayer, G.; Menschaert, G.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Salek, R. M.; Tabb, D. L.; Tenzer, S.; Vizcaíno, J. A.; Walzer, M.; Jones, A. R., Proteomics

Standards Initiative: Fifteen Years of Progress and Future Work. *J Proteome Res* **2017,** *16* (12), 4288-4298.

15.   Jones, A. R.; Eisenacher, M.; Mayer, G.; Kohlbacher, O.; Siepen, J.; Hubbard, S. J.; Selley, J. N.; Searle, B. C.; Shofstahl, J.; Seymour, S. L.; Julian, R.; Binz, P. A.; Deutsch, E. W.; Hermjakob, H.; Reisinger, F.; Griss, J.; Vizcaíno, J. A.; Chambers, M.; Pizarro, A.; Creasy, D., The mzIdentML data standard for mass spectrometry-based proteomics results. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **2012,** *11* (7), M111.014381.

16.   Vizcaíno, J. A.; Mayer, G.; Perkins, S.; Barsnes, H.; Vaudel, M.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Ternent, T.; Uszkoreit, J.; Eisenacher, M.; Fischer, L.; Rappsilber, J.; Netz, E.; Walzer, M.; Kohlbacher, O.; Leitner, A.; Chalkley, R. J.; Ghali, F.; Martínez-Bartolomé, S.; Deutsch, E. W.; Jones, A. R., The mzIdentML Data Standard Version 1.2, Supporting Advances in Proteome Informatics. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **2017,** *16* (7), 1275-1285.

17.   Griss, J.; Jones, A. R.; Sachsenberg, T.; Walzer, M.; Gatto, L.; Hartler, J.; Thallinger, G. G.; Salek, R. M.; Steinbeck, C.; Neuhauser, N.; Cox, J.; Neumann, S.; Fan, J.; Reisinger, F.; Xu, Q. W.; Del Toro, N.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Ghali, F.; Bandeira, N.; Xenarios, I.; Kohlbacher, O.; Vizcaino, J. A.; Hermjakob, H., The mzTab Data Exchange Format: communicating MS-based proteomics and metabolomics experimental results to a wider audience. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **2014**.

18.   Vizcaíno, J. A.; Csordas, A.; del-Toro, N.; Dianes, J. A.; Griss, J.; Lavidas, I.; Mayer, G.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Reisinger, F.; Ternent, T.; Xu, Q. W.; Wang, R.; Hermjakob, H., 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2016,** *44* (D1), D447-56.

19.   Kim, S.; Gupta, N.; Pevzner, P. A., Spectral probabilities and generating functions of tandem mass spectra: a strike against decoy databases. *J Proteome Res* **2008,** *7* (8), 3354-63.

20.   Kim, S.; Mischerikow, N.; Bandeira, N.; Navarro, J. D.; Wich, L.; Mohammed, S.; Heck, A. J.; Pevzner, P. A., The generating function of CID, ETD, and CID/ETD pairs of tandem mass spectra: applications to database search. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **2010,** *9* (12), 2840-52.

21.   Elias, J. E.; Gygi, S. P., Target-decoy search strategy for increased confidence in large-scale protein identifications by mass spectrometry. *Nat Methods* **2007,** *4* (3), 207-14.

22.   Jones, A. R.; Siepen, J. A.; Hubbard, S. J.; Paton, N. W., Improving sensitivity in proteome studies by analysis of false discovery rates for multiple search engines. *Proteomics* **2009,** *9* (5), 1220-9.

23.   The, M.; Tasnim, A.; Käll, L., How to talk about protein-level false discovery rates in shotgun proteomics. *Proteomics* **2016,** *16* (18), 2461-9.

24.   GenericKnimeNodes.   https://github.com/genericworkflownodes/GenericKnimeNodes (accessed 19.09.2014).

25.   R-Core-Team, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2016.

26.   Seymour, S. L.; Farrah, T.; Binz, P. A.; Chalkley, R. J.; Cottrell, J. S.; Searle, B. C.; Tabb, D. L.; Vizcaíno, J. A.; Prieto, G.; Uszkoreit, J.; Eisenacher, M.; Martínez-Bartolomé, S.; Ghali, F.; Jones, A. R., A standardized framing for reporting protein identifications in mzIdentML 1.2. *Proteomics* **2014**.

27.   Wang, R.; Fabregat, A.; Ríos, D.; Ovelleiro, D.; Foster, J. M.; Côté, R. G.; Griss, J.; Csordas, A.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Reisinger, F.; Hermjakob, H.; Martens, L.; Vizcaíno, J. A., PRIDE Inspector: a tool to visualize and validate MS proteomics data. *Nat Biotechnol* **2012,** *30* (2), 135-7.

28.   Perez-Riverol, Y.; Uszkoreit, J.; Sanchez, A.; Ternent, T.; del Toro, N.; Hermjakob, H.; Vizcaíno, J. A.; Wang, R., ms-data-core-api: An open-source, metadata-oriented library for computational proteomics. *Bioinformatics* **2015**.

29.   The, M.; Edfors, F.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Payne, S. H.; Hoopmann, M. R.; Palmblad, M.; Forsström, B.; Käll, L., A Protein Standard That Emulates Homology for the Characterization of Protein Inference Algorithms. *J Proteome Res* **2018,** *17* (5), 1879-1886.

30.   Chambers, M. C.; Maclean, B.; Burke, R.; Amodei, D.; Ruderman, D. L.; Neumann, S.; Gatto, L.; Fischer, B.; Pratt, B.; Egertson, J.; Hoff, K.; Kessner, D.; Tasman, N.; Shulman, N.; Frewen, B.; Baker, T. A.; Brusniak, M. Y.; Paulse, C.; Creasy, D.; Flashner, L.; Kani, K.; Moulding, C.; Seymour, S. L.; Nuwaysir, L. M.; Lefebvre, B.; Kuhlmann, F.; Roark, J.; Rainer, P.; Detlev, S.; Hemenway, T.; Huhmer, A.; Langridge, J.; Connolly, B.; Chadick, T.; Holly, K.; Eckels, J.; Deutsch, E. W.; Moritz, R. L.; Katz, J. E.; Agus, D. B.; MacCoss, M.; Tabb, D. L.; Mallick, P., A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. *Nat Biotechnol* **2012,** *30* (10), 918-20.

31.   da Veiga Leprevost, F.; Grüning, B. A.; Alves Aflitos, S.; Röst, H. L.; Uszkoreit, J.; Barsnes, H.; Vaudel, M.; Moreno, P.; Gatto, L.; Weber, J.; Bai, M.; Jimenez, R. C.; Sachsenberg, T.; Pfeuffer, J.; Vera Alvarez, R.; Griss, J.; Nesvizhskii, A. I.; Perez-Riverol, Y., BioContainers: an open-source and community-driven framework for software standardization. *Bioinformatics* **2017,** *33* (16), 2580-2582.