Genome-Wide Associations with Resistance to Bipolaris Leaf Spot (*Bipolaris oryzae* (Breda de

Haan) Shoemaker) in a Northern Switchgrass Population (*Panicum virgatum* L.)

Kittikun Songsomboon[1], Ryan Crawford[1], Jamie Crawford[1], Julie Hansen[1], Jaime Cummings[2],

Neil Mattson[3], Gary Bergstrom[4], Donald Viands[1]

[1]Section of Plant Breeding and Genetics, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell Univer-

sity, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

[2]Cornell Cooperative Extension-Integrated Pest Management Program, Cornell University,

Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

[3]Section of Horticulture, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

14853, USA

[4]Section of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology, School of Integrative Plant Science,

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Corresponding Author: K. Songsomboon, Email: ks899@cornell.edu Phone: +1 607 379 7332

RUNNING TITLE: Bipolaris leaf spot resistance in switchgrass

KEYWORDS:

Disease resistance, Bipolaris leaf spot, switchgrass, genome-wide association

¹Corresponding Author: K. Songsomboon, Section of Plant Breeding and Genetics, School of

Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA. Email: ks899@cor-

nell.edu Phone: +1 607 379 7332

ABSTRACT

Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.), a northern native perennial grass, suffers from

yield reduction from Bipolaris leaf spot caused by *Bipolaris oryzae* (Breda de Haan) Shoe-

maker. This study aimed for determining the resistant populations via multiple phenotyping

approaches and identifying potential resistance genes to the disease from genome-wide asso-

ciation studies in the switchgrass northern association panel. The disease resistance was

evaluated from both natural (field evaluations in NY and PA) and artificial inoculations (de-

tached leaf and leaf disk assays). There are ten out of the 66 populations showed the most re-

sistant based on a combination of detached leaf, leaf disk, and mean from two locations. The

GWAS from five subgroups from the association panel to different disease evaluation

combinations yielded 27 significant SNPs on 12 chromosomes: 1K, 2K, 2N, 3K, 3N, 4N, 5K,

5N, 6N, 7K, 7N, and 9N accumulatively explaining phenotypic variance of BLUPs of

detached leaf percent lesion via image analysis 26.52% at most and BLUPs of leaf disk

percent lesion via image analysis 3.28% at least. Within linkage disequilibrium of 20 kb, these

SNP markers linked with the potential resistance genes including genes encoding for NBS-

LRR, PPR, cell wall related proteins, homeostatic proteins, anti-apoptotic proteins, and ABC

transporters.

INTRODUCTION

Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) is a perennial biomass crop native to North Amer-

ica. Biomass and other agronomic traits have been the focus of breeding aims (Godshalk et

al., 1998; Hopkins et al., 1993; Talbert et al., 1983; Vogel et al., 2002). Although many dis-

eases have been reported to cause deleterious effects on yield, research on disease resistance,

especially breeding for the resistance, is scarce. Only 28 of the 1693 research articles were di-

rectly related to diseases in switchgrass based on AGRICultural OnLine Access (AGRIC-

OLA) (2018). *Bipolaris oryzae* (Breda de Haan) Shoemaker (teleomorph: *Cochliobolus*

miyabeanus) is one of the major fungi causing Bipolaris leaf spot (BLS) in switchgrass that

can reduce biomass by 70% (Fajolu, 2012). To manage the disease, breeding is one of the

most economical approaches (Casler, 2012).

The natural distribution of switchgrass is broad latitudes across North America east of

the Rocky Mountains. By simple morphological differentiation, switchgrass can be separated

into two groups of upland and lowland ecotypes. Upland ecotypes are well adapted to higher

latitudes and have higher drought tolerance whereas lowland ecotypes provide higher yield

and require more water (Stroup et al., 2003). The more in-depth genetic diversity was

revealed by Network-Based single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) discovery protocol. It

suggested the differentiation of switchgrass groups by isolation-by-ploidy, the migration from

south to north, and the incidence of tetraploid upland from octaploid upland (Lu et al., 2013).

Such a large diversity of switchgrass populations can provide a source for disease resistance

(Hoisington et al., 1999).

Plant-pathogen interactions are complicated. The basal defense is initiated when

conserved molecular signatures of pathogens called pathogen-associated molecular patterns

(PAMPs) are recognized by plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). Such a recognition

activate PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). Successful pathogens can suppress PTI by

secreting virulent effector proteins. These effectors then trigger the second line of defense

called effector-triggered immunity (ETI) mediated by resistance R genes (Jones & Dangl,

2006). In biothrophic pathogens, a gene-for-gene interaction between resistance R genes of

the plant and avirulent (Avr) genes of the pathogen result in a hypersensitive response leading

to local programmed cell death and and the end of colonization, confering resistance. Since *B.*

oryzae is a necrotrophic pathogen (Ou, 1985), the interaction between switchgrass and the

fungus was modelled potentially as an inverse gene-for-gene model (Friesen et al., 2007). In

general, the pathogen secretes necrotrophic effectors as host-selective toxins (HST), such as

SnTox1 from *Stagonospora nodorum*, T-toxin from *Cochliobolus heterostrophus*, and HC-

toxin from *C. carbonum* (Liu et al., 2004; Ullstrup, 1972; Walton, 1996), that interact with

host sensitivity genes, resulting in a compatible susceptible interaction to trigger host cell

death (Friesen et al., 2008). Such an interaction is known as effector-triggered susceptibility

(ETS). Although crude extract from *B. oryzae* was proposed to contain HST (Vidhyasekaran

et al., 1986), the toxin has never been characterized. Instead, according to comparative ge-

nome study (Condon et al., 2013) and screening on a wide range of hosts (Chakrabarti, 2001;

De Bruyne et al., 2016), *B. oryzae* does not produce any HST but produces ophiobolin A and

B as a non-host-selective toxin (Xue et al., 2015) triggering many pathways such as reactive

oxygen species (ROS) detoxification, protein phosphorylation, and ethylene production (Kim

et al., 2014; Bockhaven et al., 2015).

Such an ETS interaction suggested that susceptible cultivars can be rapidly screened

for pathogens carrying the necrotrophic effectors (Juliana et al., 2018). Breeding for the im-

provement of resistance to BLS can be done by eliminating susceptible alleles from the popu-

lation. However, the recurrent phenotypic selection for the resistance in upland switchgrass

cultivars 'Shelter' and 'Cave-in-Rock' for two cycles of selection did not provide any more

resistance to BLS (Songsomboon, 2019). The screening was done in a seedling stage; there-

fore, future breeding for the resistance with various screening methods, in various growth

stages, and bigger plant populations was suggested. Identification of resistant genotypes or

populations is still needed.

To accelerate breeding for disease resistance, genomics-assisted breeding is an im-

portant approach (Leng et al., 2017). Basically, it begins with gene identification, isolation,

cloning, functional characterization, validation and utilization. There are two main approaches

to identify resistance genes in a diverse population: linkage mapping and genome-wide asso-

ciation studies (GWAS). Although GWAS cannot confirm the causal polymorphism, it de-

pends on linkage disequilibrium (LD) that potentially link between the markers and the causal

polymorphisms. The diversity panel in GWAS contains high allelic diversity and ancestral re-

combination events resulting in a finer resolution than linkage mapping (Yu and Buckler,

2006). The technique has been used to dissect flowering time in switchgrass (Grabowski et

al., 2017). Although GWAS has never been used to dissect disease resistance in switchgrass,

it has successfully dissected resistance to leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina* Eriks., tan spot

caused by *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* (Die.) Shoemaker and stripe rust caused by *Puccinia*

striiformis West. in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) (Juliana et al., 2018). Despite no resistance

genes identified in switchgrass, there were 13 SNP markers from quantitative trait loci

(QTLs) linked with the resistance in rice (Sato et al., 2008, 2015). This study will provide the

first dissection of the resistance to BLS in switchgrass.

The objectives were 1) to determine genotypes or populations from the northern

switchgrass association panel that can be candidates for resistance to BLS, 2) to conduct a

single- and multi-trait GWAS for resistance to BLS in the association panel via both natural

and artificial inoculation, and 3) to explore the genes linked to the significant markers from

the GWAS model to determine potential candidate genes for resistance to BLS.

122　　　　　　　　　　　　　**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Switchgrass in the Northern Association Panel

The switchgrass population used in this study had been developed for accelerating

breeding progress especially for bioenergy traits at northern latitudes (Lu et al., 2013; Lipka et

al., 2014). The association panel consists of 478 plant genotypes from 66 populations repre-

senting mostly the upland northern population and some southern lowland populations. 'Pop-

ulation' in this study means a seed source with a specific origin and, in some cases, breeding

history. Six to ten seeds from each population were planted in to individual plants called

"genotypes". The association panel was initially planted in Ithaca, NY, in 2008 and then vege-

tatively cloned and planted in a randomized, complete block design with three replicates in

0.9 m^2 spaced planting in Ithaca, NY, and Philipsburg, PA, in 2016. No fungicide had been

applied to the field.

Disease evaluation and phenotype processing

Since the unsuccessful recurrent phenotypic selection for resistance to BLS was done in the seedling stage (Songsomboon, 2019), the phenotypic evaluations in this study were explored at a mature stage and different conditions. Bipolaris leaf spot has been evaluated in both fields to determine the resistance from natural incidence under different environments and laboratory to minimize environmental effects on the resistance. Field evaluation was conducted in the same week in both locations in August 2017. Each plant was visually evaluated by one person to reduce the variance among persons. The disease severity score ranged from 0 to 5, as 0 = 0% BLS, 1= 1-10% BLS, 2= 11-25% BLS, 3= 26-50% 134 BLS, 4= 51-75% BLS, and 5= 76-100% of the leaf area of the whole plant with BLS. In addition to field evaluation, to minimize environmental effects, an artificial inoculation in the laboratory was conducted. Disease severity was evaluated by detached leaf and leaf disk assays by taking leaf samples from one replicate of genotypes in Ithaca, NY. In the detached leaf assay, three healthy leaves were randomly selected from 30 centimeters below the top of the shoot to control the same stage of leaf development. The leaves were cut into five centimeter segments, washed with deionized water, placed in a pre-wet petri dish bedded with filter paper, and kept cold in a cooler in the field, then refrigerated overnight.

The inoculum was prepared from a subculture of a single conidium isolated from a 'Carthage' switchgrass leaf in the warm season biofuels field experiment in Ithaca, NY (Waxman, 2011). The day after collecting the detached leaf, the three-week-old plate was flooded, filtered via gauze, and the concentration adjusted to 10^5 conidia·ml^{-1} by hemocytometer with 2 drops of Tween-20 per 100 ml. An airbrush pressuring at 10 psi was used to spray inoculum

on each dry-surfaced adaxial side of the leaf in each plate. After letting the droplets of inocu-

lum dry and firmly attach to the leaf surface, the plates were then sealed with a paraffin tape

to maintain high moisture condition. Each plate contained three detached leaves from one

genotype. The plates were placed on table randomly and kept at room temperature with 12-

hour light for seven days showing necrotic lesions and leaf necrosis (Figure 1). Due to the

limitation of laboratory space for the plates and labor in the leaf collection, 85 to 200 geno-

types were sampled in each set of the experiment, with some overlapping genotypes in one to

three duplicated plates and additional non-inoculated plates as controls. The leaf samplings

were done weekly from May to June 2017 but were controlled by the attempt to sample the

similar stage of leaf by sampling from the same height from the top. A total of seven sets of

the experiment eventually covered all of 478 genotypes. The disease evaluation was

conducted by vision and by image analysis software. Both evaluations were based on

percentage of leaf covered with lesions. The evaluation by vision was from 0 to 100 % by

10% increments. Then, each leaf was dried and scanned with a flatbed scanner (Canon Ca-

noScan LiDE 700F) at a resolution of 1,200 dpi, and images were saved in .tiff format. Alt-

hough the detached leaf assay was an unbalanced design, best linear unbiased predictions

(BLUPs) to extract random effect of the genotypes was suggested to be able to alleviate the

problem by fitting the experiment factor as a fixed effect (Hill & Rosenberger, 1985; Piepho

et al., 2008; Robinson, 1991; Stroup & Mulitze 1991; White & Hodge, 2013).

In the leaf disk evaluation, all of 478 genotypes were collected on the same day in Au-

gust 2017 by cutting the same age leaves from each genotype and keeping them refrigerated

overnight. On the next day, the leaves were washed with deionized water, surface dried, bored

by keeping the midrib in the middle into an 8-mm disk, and placed on a water agar plate.

There were 28 leaf disks in each plate, including four check disks (non-inoculated control and

inoculated disks of resistant 'SW43_09' and susceptible 'SW122_02') and three replicated

disks of eight genotypes (Figure 2). The inoculum was prepared the same way described

above. For each leaf, two μL of inoculum was dropped in the middle of the right side of the

leaf. After letting the droplet dry, the total of 64 plates were sealed with paraffin tape to main-

tain high moisture, randomly placed on a table, and kept under 12-hour light at room tempera-

ture. The disease evaluation was conducted at seven dpi both by vision and image analysis

software. The percent of leaf disk covered with lesions and necrotic tissue was assessed by

vision from 0% to 100% with 10% increments. Also, on the same day, a photo was taken of

each plate with a digital DSLR Canon Rebel T6 DSLR camera with the resolution of 2644 x

3084 in .JPG.

Images from both detached leaf and leaf disk assays were analyzed by using ImageJ

macro at the setting provided in the Supplement file. In brief, each detached leaf or leaf disk

was measured for total leaf area and total necrosis lesion area (yellow and black area). Per-

centage of area covered with lesions was computed by dividing the total necrotic lesion area

by total leaf area.

Package 'LME4' (Bates et al., 2015) in R was used to calculate BLUPs from various

disease evaluations. For the field evaluation, BLUPs were computed based on each location

separately and on the two locations combined using genotypes nested in populations and rep-

licates as random effects in each location model and populations as a fixed effect. BLUPs for

the two locations combined were fitted as genotype nested in population, replicates, locations,

and the interaction between genotypes and location as random effects and populations as a

fixed effect. In addition to generating BLUPs from the field evaluations, the highest score,

which is the most severe symptoms observed from each location and the combined two loca-

tions over replicates, were used based on the successful example of QTLs of resistance to fo-

liar symptoms caused by potato virus Y in autotetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)

(Silva et al., 2017). The highest scores were used on the assumption that in the field there was

a chance that some replicates may be exposed by or avoid the pathogens differently. The max-

imum scores were considered the worst response of each genotype. For disease evaluations

under laboratory condition, since all phenotypes were expressed as percentages to leaf area,

log transformation was performed before computing BLUPs. For the detached leaf assay,

BLUPs were computed with experiment, genotype nested in populations, interaction between

genotypes and experiment, plates within the experiment, and leaf replicates of genotypes as

random effects and populations as a fixed effect. For the leaf disk assay, BLUPs were calcu-

lated with plates as a fixed effect and genotype, replicates within plates, and genotype within

plates as random effects and populations as a fixed effect. Broad-sense heritability was

estimated from variances in each model and standard error was computed by bootstrap ap-

proach for 1000 times (Supplement file). Moreover, phenotypic correlations were computed

between resistance to Bipolaris leaf spot to the 20 morphological and biomass quality traits

from Lipka et al. (2014), such as plant height, anthesis date, acid detergent lignin, minerals,

ethanol·g⁻¹ dry weight, etc.

Therefore, the resistance to BLS was evaluated via three approaches – detached leaf,

leaf disk, and field evaluation – providing phenotyped traits including BLUPs of detached leaf

percent lesion via vision and image analysis (DTVI and DTIA), BLUPs of leaf disk percent

lesion via vision and image analysis (DSVI and DSIA), BLUPs of field evaluation from two

locations, NY and PA (BTL, BNY, and BPA), highest score from two locations, NY and PA

(MTL, MNY, and MPA).

Genotyping, linkage disequilibrium analysis, and population structure

HAPMAP v.1 set from Evans et al. (2015) provided 1,377,841single-nucleotide poly-

morphisms covering 38,654 genic regions. In short, DNA of each genotype was processed via

exome-capture using the Roch-Nimblegen switchgrass exome-capture probe set (Evans et al.,

2014) and DNA sequencing. The sequences were aligned to the *P. virgatum* genome assembly

v.4.1 (*P. virgatum* v.4.1, DOE-JGI, http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/) for SNP discovery (Ram-

stein et al., 2018). The reads were sorted with PicardTools version 2.1.1 (http://broadinsti-

tute.github.io/picard) SortSam and MarkDuplicates. Samtools version 1.3.1 (Li et al., 2009)

was used to pile up files with base alignment quality disabled and map quality adjustment dis-

abled. In this calling, SNPs were required to be bi-allelic, sequenced in all samples, be mono-

morphic in at least two samples, filtered a minimum read mapping quality score of 30, and

more than 5X coverage in more than 95% of the samples. At each SNP, the genotype dosages

ranged from zero to two copies of minor alleles and can be nonintegers by using EM algo-

rithm (Martin et al., 2010). Naturally, the switchgrass association panel includes allopolyploid

switchgrass: tetraploids (4x), octoploids (8x) and hexaploids (6x). It is challenging to perform

GWAS with polyploid models. In this study, we modelled them under the assumption of diso-

mic inheritance similar to the study of GWAS of flowering time in Grabowski et al. (2017).

The reason was that tetraploid switchgrass was confirmed to show disomic inheritance

(Okada et al., 2010). Although octaploid switchgrass had four copies of each homologous

chromosome, which was difficult to precisely determine heterozygous genotypes, the disomic

segregation was used for the model with the caution of the increasing standard error of esti-

mates in GWAS. Moreover, linkage disequilibrium (LD) was computed via '-r2' tag in

PLINK as r^2 between all SNPs within 1 MB and recorded all values (Purcell et al., 2007).

Principle component analysis was used to evaluate the population structure via PCA in Fac-

toMineR package (Lê & Husson, 2008). Additionally, ADMIXTURE was used to evaluate

population structure with five-fold cross-validation (Alexander et al., 2009).

We were also interested in testing whether the variations in phenotypes were from the

high differentiation across five ecotypes (lowland north, lowland south, upland east, upland

north, upland west) based on Qst-Fst analysis. Estimated Qst-Fsts were computed by R pack-

age "QstFstComp" by bootstrapping 1,000 times by sampling random genotypes (Gilbert &

Whitlock, 2015).

Genome-wide association studies

Genome-wide Efficient Mixed Model Association (GEMMA) (Zhou and Stephens,

2012) was used to implement a multivariate linear mixed model for GWAS of single- and

multiple-phenotyping approaches for resistance to BLS, to analyze each phenotype and com-

bined phenotypes for improving statistical power (Porter & O'Reilly, 2017; Zhu et al., 2015).

Kinship was included as a random effect. Also, the first three principal components (PCs)

were included as fixed covariates. Although the results of Bayesian information criterion re-

sulted in no improvement of the GWAS model with PC inclusion (Lipka et al., 2013), the in-

clusion of PCs helped to improve the QQ-plot closer to the theoretical QQ-plot for this re-

sistance to BLS. The GWAS was conducted in five groups including all of 478, tetraploid

(4X), octaploid (8X), lowland, and upland genotypes to determine if there were any SNPs

linked to specific switchgrass populations. Minor allele frequency (MAF) at 0.05 was used to

filter the minor alleles. In total, 135,791 SNP markers were used in the association analysis.

To correct multiple testing, false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated as $\frac{\frac{P}{k}(1-\frac{A}{T})}{\frac{A}{T}(1-\frac{P}{k})}$ where P is the

p-value tested at 0.1, k is number of traits in the combination, A is the number of SNPs that

were significant at the p-value tested and T is the total number of SNPs tested. The significant

markers then were used to determine candidate genes linked to them within the range of LD

and search in JBrowse in *P. virgatum* v.4.1 in Phytozome (e.g., if the position of the marker

was 4019500 on chromosome 9K and LD was 20 kb, "Chr09K:4019500..4039500" was

used). In case that the candidate gene was not fully aligned within that LD range, we consid-

ered the gene as a candidate if 80% of its length was within the LD range. Since some of

SNPs' positions could not be aligned to the *P. virgatum* genome assembly v.4.1, they were

excluded from determining the candidate genes.

Candidate genes based on resistance in rice

Since *B. oryzae* is one of the major pathogens in rice (*Oryza sativa*), major resistance

QTLs have been identified in recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and double haploids (DH) by

using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), simple-sequence repeat (SSR)

markers, and sequence- tagged site (STS) (Sato et al., 2008, 2015; Katara et al., 2010). Three

major QTLs in Chromosomes 1, 4 and 11 were then studied further in near-isogenic lines

(NILs) and 13 SNP markers linked with the resistance (Sato et al., 2015). The NILs are

BC3F5 between indica 'Tadukan' (resistance) and temperate japonica 'Koshihikari' (suscepti-

ble). According to GWAS in rice, linkage disequilibrium (LD) are ~ 100 kb in indica and

~200 kb temperate in japonica (Zhao et al., 2011). Thus, in this study, the candidate resistance

genes were screened from 200 kb around the 13 SNPs from *Oryza sativa* genome assembly

v.7.0 (*Oryza sativa* v.7.0, DOE-JGI, http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/). The candidate genes

from rice are listed in the table S1. The sequences of these candidate genes were used to iden-

tify potential homologs in switchgrass by using the BLASTP tool on Phytozome and kept the

hits with top 10% highest score (Goodstein et al., 2012).

RESULTS

Phenotyping and correlation between traits

The results from detached leaf showed that 'ECS.6' had the lowest severity (DTVI

24% and DTIA 8%) and 'SW115' had the highest severity among populations (DTVI 81%

and DTIA 60%) (Table S2). However, they were not significantly different from the other

population with lowest and highest severities (Table Stat1&2). When comparing among geno-

types, 'SW788.05' had the lowest severity (DTVI 6% and DTIA 2%) whereas 'SW63.05' had

the highest severity (DTVI 100% and DTIA 100%) (Table S3). Similarly, they were not sig-

nificantly different from the other genotypes with lowest and highest severities (Table

Stat11&12). The leaf disk assay showed that 'SW803' had the lowest severity (DSVI 20%

and DSIA 48%) and 'SW38' had the highest severity (DSVI 98% and DSIA 99%) but not sig-

nificantly different than other populations (Table Stat3&4). In the genotype-based compari-

son, 'High Tide.02' had the lowest severity (DSVI 10% and DSIA 11%) but not significantly

different than other genotypes (Table Stat13&14), and the highest severity at DSVI 100% and

DSIA 100% among the 81 genotypes. Based on artificial inoculations, both the detached leaf

and the leaf disk assay suggested that the lowland ecotypes (DTVI 43%, DTIA 21%, DSVI

43% and DSIA 70%) appeared to be more resistant than the upland ecotypes (DTVI 61%,

DTIA 39%, DSVI 67% and DSIA 89%) which are statistically significant (p-value < 0.05)

(Table S4).

In the field evaluation based on the mean of the severity score (0 to 5) from two loca-

tions, 'Shelter' showed the lowest severity (0.44) and 'SW787' the highest severity but not

significantly different from the other populations (Table Stat5). However, when considering

each location, different populations performed differently. In comparison between NY and

PA, Wilcoxson's rank test showed significant difference between the two locations (p-

value=0.042). The re-ranking incidence (Le et al., 2001; Muir et al., 1992) across locations

indicates the significant GxE effect. In NY, SW803 had the lowest severity (0.22) and SW787

had the highest severity (3.0) despite not significantly different from other populations (Table

Stat6). Whereas, in PA, Shelter had the lowest severity (0.33) and Pathfinder had the highest

severity (2.9) despite not significantly different from other populations (Table Stat9). Between

the two locations, 'SW123', 'SW33', 'SW793', 'SW781', High Tide, and Timber had the

lowest MTL at 3 (resistant), and 41 populations had the highest MTL at 5 (susceptible) even

being not significantly different from the other 66 populations (Table Stat6). In NY, SW123,

SW128 and 'ECS.6' had the lowest MNY at 2, and 32 populations had the highest MNY at 5.

In PA, 'SW115', 'SW802', 'SW31' and 'SW43' had the lowest MPA at 2, and 19 populations

had the highest MPA at 5 without significant differences (Table Stat8&10). Although, each

phenotyping approach cannot determine single resistant population, a combination of de-

tached leaf, leaf disk, and mean from two locations showed that 'SW788', 'SW806',

'SW802', 'SW793', 'SW781', 'SW797', 'SW798', 'SW803', 'SW795', 'SW805' were al-

ways in the lowest severity group and potential candidates of resistance.

When comparing among ecotypes, the field evaluation of means between two locations

showed the trend of more resistance in lowland than upland ecotypes significantly (Figure S1

& Table S4). The same difference also occurred in NY and PA. The severities based on geno-

types in each phenotyping approach are listed in Table S3 and Stat15-18.

Broad-sense heritabilities (H^2) in different groups of genotypes were similar across

groups with some variations (Table 1). For example, H^2 from DSIA in upland was only 0.14

while other groups had around 0.40. Although each trait from different phenotyping ap-

proaches in different groups had significantly moderate to high H^2, H^2 of severity from the two

locations combined was zero. This was supported by the significantly different mean of sever-

ity from the two locations, NY and PA (Figure S2 and Wilcoxson p-value = 0.042). Due to

zero heritability, the BTL was zero and cannot be used for conducting GWAS. The different

ranking was not only present in the field evaluation, the other phenotyping approaches yielded

different ranking of resistance as suggested by the ranks of DTIA, DSIA and mean from two

locations and the correlations between the traits (Figure S2, Figure 3 and Wilcoxson's p-

value<2.2e-16). For example, from 478 genotypes, 'KY1625_07' ranked 27 from DTIA but

ranked 329 in DSIA, and 100 in mean from two locations. Such differences led to low corre-

lation among approaches such that the r^2 between DTIA and DSIA was only 0.1 and between

DTIA and mean of two locations (TL) was 0.03 (Figure 3). In contrast to these low correla-

tions, there were high correlations in DTVI-DTIA ($r^2 = 0.84$) and DSVI-DSIA ($r^2 = 0.85$).

There was no BLS resistance trait correlating to other agronomic or biomass quality traits

(Figure S3).

In addition to comparing solely on phenotype, Qst-Fst comparisons were used to in-

vestigate whether the mean difference of each of the phenotypes among populations is greater

than expected mean difference under genetic drift. None of the traits (DTVI, DTIA, DSVI,

and DSIA) has Qst-Fst significantly more than zero (Figure 4 and Figure S4) showing no pos-

itive selection for resistance to BLS in populations.

369   Linkage disequilibrium, principal component analysis and admixture

To determine the interval that potentially linked to the significant markers from

GWAS, linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay was estimated by plotting the allele frequency cor-

relations (R^2) against the physical distance in base pairs (Figure 5). In the full set of 478 geno-

types, the LD decayed sharply within 20 kb and reached a plateau background within 50 kb.

Therefore, in this study, we focused on genes within the 20 kb from the significant SNPs.

Based on the principal component analysis and admixture, there was a population

structure in this association panel (Figure 6). From PC1 and PC2, upland and lowland eco-

types separated from each group. Also, within the lowland ecotype, the latitude of lowland

can be distinguished to lowland north and south groups. The separation of upland ecotype can

also be noticed in PC1 and PC3 in that the upland north was grouped apart from the other up-

land. Besides ecotypes, ploidy level can be differentiated into groups. Both lowland north and

south, and upland north genotypes were tetraploid (4X) while the upland east and upland west

genotypes were octaploid (8X). In total, PC1, PC2, and PC3 explained the variance due to the

population of 49.35%. The admixture (Figure 5b) also showed the distinction among five

groups of ecotypes.

Genome-wide association

As we conducted GWAS with five subsets of genotypes including478, 4X, 8X, low-land and upland, most of the single phenotypes did not show significant Manhattan peaks or theoretically-expected QQ-plots (Figure S5 to S8). For multiple combinations of assessing re-sistance to BLS via many phenotyping approaches, almost all the combinations for GWAS did not provide significant peaks or QQ-plots (Supplement file). To improve the association analysis, the GWASs were then conducted with the combination of three traits of DTVI, DTIA and DSIA, which yielded 14 significant peaks passing FDR threshold and the QQ-plot with the expected distribution (Figure 7a and Table 2).

In a tetraploid group, the two-trait combination of DSVI and MNY provided three sig-nificant peaks with expected QQ-plot (Figure 7b). Interestingly, one peak of SNP Chr07N_61547213 on chromosome 7N from the tetraploid group overlapped with the same peak in GWAS from the 478 group. In the octaploid group, the two-trait combination of DTVI-DSIA showed one significant peak on chromosome 9N (Figure 7c). In the lowland group, the best GWAS was from the single trait mean of the combined two locations yielding eight peaks (Figure 7d). In the upland group, the combination of DTIA-MTL gave two most significant peaks on chromosome 1k and 7K (Figure 7e).

In this study, therefore, we focused on five selected GWAS analyses including 478-DTVI-DTIA-DSIA, 4X-DSVI-MNY, 8X-DTVI-DSIA, low-mean TL, and up-DTIA-MTL (Figure 7). In total, there were 27 significant peaks (one overlap between 478 and lowland group) across 12 chromosomes: 1K, 2K, 2N, 3K, 3N, 4N, 5K, 5N, 6N, 7K, 7N, and 9N. Ac-cumulatively, when considering phenotypic variance explained (PVE) by all 27 significant

SNP markers for each trait, PVE of DSIA was the highest at 26.52% and PVE of DTIA was

the lowest at 3.28% (Table 3).

In 478-DTVI-DTIA-DSIA, the significant markers were on chromosomes 2K, 2N, 3K,

4N, 5K, 7K, 7N and 9N. These 14 SNP markers explained phenotypic variances for DTVI,

DTIA and DSIA of 4.25, 1.77, and 22.62%, respectively (Table S5). In 4X-DSVI-MNY, there

were three SNPs on chromosome 3K, 3N and 7N, accumulatively explaining 9.78% for DSVI

and 11.31% for MNY. In 8X-DTVI-DSIA, only one significant marker showed on chromo-

some 9N explaining 0.64% of DTVI and 11.31% of MNY. In low-mean TL, the eight signifi-

cant markers were on chromosomes 1K, 5N, 6N, 7N, and 9N explaining 45% of mean TL. In

up-DTIA-MTL, the significant markers were on chromosome 1K and 7K explaining 5.03% of

DTIA and 7.77% of MTL. The PVEs of each SNP in each subgroup and each trait are re-

ported in Table S6.

In addition to the effect size of each SNP to each trait via PVE, the direction and in-

heritance of genotypic effects was shown in the format of allele combination effect of each

SNP on phenotypes (Figure 8 and S10-S17). Expectedly, none of the SNPs showed inher-

itance with all of phenotypes, but with only some phenotypes. Most of inheritance patterns

were dominant (Figure 8a, b, c, d, f, g, m, n, o, and q). A few were over dominant (Figure 8e,

h, j, and k). Only Chr07N.48546809 showed and additive effects to DSIA as it contributes to

the trait with PVE at 2.31 (Figure 8i). However, the PVE did not explain PVE. For example,

Chr07N.61547213 had PVE 3.67 for MNY but did not show inheritance (Figure 8p).

When comparing these significant SNP markers to the potential candidate genes for

resistance to BLS in rice, Chr07N_48546809, on chromosome 7N from 478-DTVI-DTIA-

DSIA linking with Pavir.7NG240300 overlapped with LOC_Os04g39430.1 on rice chromo-

some 4 from AE11005627 marker (Table S1). Moreover, Chr07_54502620, on chromosome

7K from up-DTIA-MTL linking with Pavir.7KG238800 overlapped with LOC_Os04g43730

on rice chromosome 4 from ad04009558 marker.

DISCUSSION

The most resistant populations for improvement of resistance to BLS

Since the various phenotyping approaches yielded various resistant populations, it was

challenging to determine the most resistant candidates for further breeding. Based on field

evaluation, BLUPs from two locations were zero due to zero broad-sense heritability. The dif-

ferent trend for BLS resistance between two locations was confirmed by the significant rank

test. This re-ranking incidence suggested that the resistance was under GxE effects between

two locations (Le et al., 2001; Muir et al., 1992). If the mean of field scores across the two lo-

cations were used, the upland Shelter should be considered the most resistant population

across two locations with a mean of 0.44. The high resistance of Shelter from the field evalua-

tions could explain the result in a prior study (Songsomboon, 2019) that it cannot be improved

for resistance to BLS via recurrent phenotypic selection in seedlings. However, the one of

most susceptible SW787 had the mean score only 2.33. This suggested the low natural inocu-

lation in 2017, which was not conducive to severe disease development. When comparing

each location, SW803 showed lowest severity in NY but not significantly different than the

other 55 genotypes, but it had highest score in PA at 2.11, which was significantly different.

Therefore, this variation needs to be confirmed with more replicates, years, and locations. One

more location in New Jersey was transplanted in 2018.

To lessen the GxE effect on the BLS, artificial inoculation was conducted in the labor-

atory. In the detached leaf assay, due to the limitation of sampling, a whole set of 478 geno-

types cannot be tested at the same time. The experiment was separated into seven overlapping

sets. However, there was a significant variation among sets. Such a variation can occur from

the different age of each genotype in the same sampling time. Although the same stage of

leaves was sampled at 30 centimeters from the top, variation existed among leaves from the

same plant. To handle the variation among sets and unbalanced design (Hill & Rosenberger,

1985; Piepho et al., 2008; Robinson, 1991; Stroup & Mulitze 1991; White & Hodge, 2013),

BLUPs were used by fitting the effect from the set as a fixed effect. Another artificial inocula-

tion was leaf disk assay, with less limitation of the experimental size, the whole set of 478

genotypes was sampled at the same time with resistant and susceptible checks in each plate.

However, this experiment was conducted only once at the end of growing season. Although a

small effect of plates existed, BLUPs was fitted by considering plates as a fixed effect. Both

artificial inoculations were evaluated via vision and image analysis. The image analysis is bet-

ter regarding repeatability and reanalysis in the future (Stewart and McDonald, 2014). For the

sake of comparison for the most resistant population, mean of percent lesion from image anal-

ysis was considered. In the detached leaf approach, the lowest severity population from DTIA

was ECS.6 at 8% (not significantly different than the other 26 populations), but the population

appeared very susceptible from DSIA at 94%. In the leaf disk assay, the most resistant popu-

lation from DSIA was SW803 with 20 other populations. These supported the low correlation

among all three disease evaluation approaches. The low correlation between laboratory and

field evaluations could be explained by the different disease pressure between the two condi-

tions. The natural inoculation in the field varied by the weather condition of each year

whereas the laboratory inoculation was conducted with the high inoculum concentration to

maximize the disease pressure. Another concern was the low correlation between detached

leaf assay and leaf disk assay. It can be the result of the different ratio of leaf area to inocu-

lum. The detached leaf assay used 5-cm long whole leaf and spraying inoculum whereas the

leaf disk assay used only 8-mm bored leaf section with a 2-microliter inoculum droplet on a

single location. The difference between spraying and droplet also showed in the assessment of

early blight (*Alternaria solani*) (Chaerani et al., 2017).

The Qst-Fst of all phenotypes showed that none of them has gone under positive selec-

tion across five ecotypes. This neutrality was not expected as most of the resistance genes

were hypothesized to be under natural selection (Grant et al. 1995, 1998; Stahl et al. 1999;

Tian et al. 2002, 2003). However, neutral evolution of resistance genes like nucleotide bind-

ing sites – leucine rice repeats (NBS-LRR) can be undergone in a relaxed selective constraint.

For example, when pathogens are absent or at low frequency, the resistance genes may expe-

rience much weaker or no cost of resistance (Gos and Wright, 2008). Such a low disease pres-

sure can also be noticed by the means field evaluation that the highest severity was only 2.33

from 5 (the most severe).

492
493

The improvement of multi-trait GWAS from single trait GWAS

Although all single traits from a different phenotype approach had moderate to high

heritability, none of them provided significant peaks from GWAS from theoretically expected

QQ-plots that were not distributed as expected from theory. This incidence can be explained

by the high density of markers with high collinearity between markers and too small popula-

tion size, giving the low power detection (Muir, 2007). Moreover, to utilize the SNP from

multiple phenotype, multi-trait GWAS was suggested than aggregating the association SNP

from each single phenotype (Cotsapas et al., 2011). Although the low correlations among phe-

notyping results of BLS resistance, such a low correlation or even negative correlation can

lead to increase in effect size of SNP and thus increase statistical power, as happened with the

tetraploid group by combining DSVI and MNY (Porter & O'Reilly, 2017; Zhu et al., 2015).

On the other hand, combining highly positively correlated traits can reduce the average effect

size and power as happened in the upland group combining DTVI and DTIA. However, the

addition of traits did not always improve the analysis. In the lowland group, for example, only

mean of the two locations yielded the most significant peaks of GWAS with theoretically ex-

pected QQ-plot.

Despite the improvement of multi-trait GWAS over single-trait GWAS, 28 SNP mark-

ers can explain the phenotypic variance ranging from only 3.28 to 26.52% among DTVI,

DTIA, DSVI, DSIA, mean TL, MNY and MTL. The low PVE from GWAS is a common phe-

nomenon and generally caused by missing heritability and can be improved by more genomic

imputation and implementation of a large-scale next-generation sequence data (Fan and Song,

2016).

Moreover, each genotype group can show significant peaks from GWAS with specific

traits. For example, the tetraploid group only provided the significant peaks from GWAS with

DSVI-MNY whereas the full set of 478 genotypes provided the best fit GWAS with DTVI-

DTIA-DSIA. To further study resistance to BLS in switchgrass populations, single-trait

GWAS is not suggested due to the low power of determining significant markers (Porter &

O'Reilly, 2017; Zhu et al., 2015). Multi-trait GWAS from both artificial and natural inocula-

tion can help to improve the power.

Dissecting resistance to BLS

From the QTLs study of Sato et al. (2015), the candidate resistance genes in rice were

reported to be on three chromosomes with 13 SNPs markers within the LD of 200 kb (Table

S1). There were 278 genes linked to these markers. Among them, 172 genes were annotated

with 134 biological functions. There were 106 non-annotated genes. The most frequent

biological functions were Leucine-rich repeat family protein (6), peroxidase precursor (6),

transposon protein (6), nucleotide binding sites – leucine rice repeats (NBS-LRR) type disease

resistance protein (5), MYB family transcription factor (3), dirigent (2), pentatricopeptide

repeat (PPR) domain (2), fasciclin domain-containing protein (2), flavin monooxygenase (2),

and heavy metal associated domain (2).

There were only two significant SNP markers that overlap with candidate resistance

genes from rice Chr07N_48546809 from the 478 GWAS and Chr07K_54502620 from upland

GWAS (Table 1 and S7). Chr07N_48546809 linked to Pavir.7NG240300 encoding for cyto-

chromes P450, which involved diverse oxidation reactions and triterpene synthesis (Geisler et

al.,). The most well-known triterpene against fungal disease was Avenacins in oat (*Avena*

spp.). Chr07K_54502620 linked to Pavir.7KG238800 encoding for EGF_CA which has been

proved to be the main structure of *ZmWAK* conferring resistance to maize head smut by con-

trolling the galacturonan-binding for cell wall mediation (Zuo et al. 2015). In addition to

genes overlapping with the resistance rice genes, Chr07N_61547213 showed as the signifi-

cant peak in both 478 and 4X GWAS linked with Pavir.7NG322400 encoding for PPR repeat,

which is one of the potential resistance genes in rice. These PPR repeat families were com-

monly known for disease resistance genes (Geddy & Brown, 2007). Pavir.2NG397900 encod-

ing GDP-fucose protein O-fucosyltransferase relating to cell wall development and disease

resistance (Perrin et al., 1999).

Although the rest of significant peaks are unique within each group of GWAS, we

considered the biological functions for the resistance over the fixation of the alleles in each

population for better resistance mechanism. In the full set of 478, on chromosome 2N,

Pavir.2KG226200 was predicted to function as a zinc-binding RING finger. The zinc finger

domains suggested the broad-spectrum nature of rice blast resistance gene *Pi54* classed as

NBS-LRR, which played an important role in effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Gupta et al.,

2012). Moreover, this gene also encoded glycosyltransferase, which was responsible for cell

wall synthesis and modification leading to disease resistance (Vorwerk et al., 2004).

Pavir.2NG424700 encoded for pyruvate dehydrogenase, which is a key protein in tricarbox-

ylic acid cycle. This can suggest the high energy intensive for resistance response as happened

in wheat leaf rust (*Puccinia triticina* Eriks) (Bolton et al., 2008). Pavir.2NG500000 encoded

for casein kinase II. The protein itself did not confer the resistance but it is often found as

many of motifs in Mlo (Niu & He, 2009) which was identified to provide broad resistance to

powdery mildew in barley (Jorgensen, 1992). On chromosome 3K, Pavir.3KG329700 en-

coded DNA polymerase III mainly known for DNA replications and repairing DNA damaged

by hydrogen peroxide (Hagensee et al., 1987). The DNA repair process is part of the plant im-

mune response (Song et al., 2011) especially in the infection of *B. oryzae* that hydrogen per-

oxide was shown to accumulate in a leaf (Kim et al., 2014). Pavir.3KG358400 encoded for

serine aminopeptidase conferring no resistance but playing a major role in necrosis (López-

Otín & Bond, 2008). On chromosome 4N, since Chr04N_47494808 was more closely linked

to Pavir.4NG267200 encoding for uncharacterized protein suspected of hydrolase activity, the

next Pavir.4NG267500 was considered for its function. The further gene encoded for Villin

which is a tissue-specific actin modifying protein responsible for anti-apoptotic activity sup-

pressing necrosis (Khurana & George, 2008; Xu et al., 2004). On chromosome 5N,

Pavir.5KG028600 encoded for tetratricopeptide repeat which was one of five domains of

SGT1 resistance gene in Arabidopsis (Azevedo et al., 2002). Pavir.5KG482000 encoded for

ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter. There are many subgroups of ABC. In Arabidopsis,

the mutant lacking the ABC transporter of penetration3/pleotropic drug resistance8

(PEN3/PDR8) conferred nonhost susceptibility to *Blumeria graminis*, suggesting that the

ABC transporter was involved in exporting secreted toxins from the fungus and fungal sup-

pressor from the host (Stein et al., 2006). Also, in wheat, the ABC suggested the exportation

of mycotoxin conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight (Walter et al., 2015). On chromo-

some 7K, Pavir.7KG196600 encoded for interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase, which was

the pathogen recognition resulting in plant response (Kumagai et al., 2008). Pavir.7KG255000

encoded for oligo peptide transporter protein, which was also shown in rice QTL resistance to

rice blast (*Manaporthe grisea*) (Wang et al., 2001). Lastly for full set, on chromosome 9N,

Pavir.9NG844200 encoded for Mitochondrial Fe(II) transporter MMT1. Despite no direct re-

port of resistance from this activity, mitochondria were proven to control redox homeostasis

under stress (Dutilleul et al., 2003).

In GWAS from 4X-DSVI-MNY, on chromosome 3K, Pavir.3KG095200 encoded for

both peroxisomal membrane protein and ABC transporter. As the high involvement of ROS in

the infection of *B. oryzae* (Antonious and Jawhar, 2013), peroxidase played an important role

in Pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) (Mammarella et al.,

2015). Additionally, Pavir.3NG101800 on chromosome 3N encoded ABC transporter.

The GWAS from 8X-DTVI-DSIA yielded only one significant SNP on chromosome

9N. Pavir.9NG061100 encoded for DNA topoisomerase and more importantly dirigent. Diri-

gent protein conferred resistance by lignin and lignan synthesis (Ralph et al., 2006). The lig-

nin synthesis was expected to relate with resistance to BLS by strengthening cell walls

(Dallagnol et al., 2013).

In GWAS from lowland mean of two locations, on chromosome 1K, the further linked

Pavir.1KG225100 encoded for MORC family-ATPases. This protein is the key component of

compromised for recognition of Turnip Crinkle Virus (CRT1) in Arabidopsis that are required

for PTI, basal resistance, no-host resistance and systemic acquired resistance (Kang et al.,

2012). On chromosome 5N, Pavir.5NG192000 encoded for COP9 signalosome complex. In-

terestingly, this signalosome complex interacts with SGT1, which Pavir.5KG028600 from

GWAS of 478 genotype set encodes, indicating the disease resistance (Azevedo et al., 2002).

Pavir.5NG248900 encoded basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor, which is a key

modulator in hypersensitive response and salicylic acid (Alve et al., 2006). TB2/DP1,

Pavir.5NG476900 encoded for abcisic-acid induced TB2/DP1, HVA22 family responsible for

membrane turnover or decrease unnecessary secretion against Southern corn leaf blight by

Cochliobolus heterostrophus (Drechs.) Drechs. in maize (Balint-Kurti et al., 2010). On chro-

mosome 6N, Pavir.6NG083100 encoded homeobox-leucine zipper protein playing an im-

portant role in responses to abscisic acid under stress (Gago et al., 2002), transcriptional regu-

lation for disease resistance in rice (Luo et al., 2005) and programmed cell death (Mayda et

al., 1999). On chromosome 7N, Pavir.7NG091000 encoded sucrose synthase. Herbers et al.,

(1996) suggested that hexose sensing was important to activate defense-related genes as well

as repression of photosynthetic genes. On chromosome 9N, Pavir.9NG173600 encoded Phy-

tochrome-interacting factor 4. Photo receptors in plants plays essential role in hydrogen per-

oxide and ROS in the cell resulting in disease response (Karpinski et al., 2003). Lastly,

Pavir.9NG499500 encoded for alpha/beta hydrolase which is a diversely biochemical protein

family involving the activation of hydrogen peroxide (Lenfant et al., 2012).

In the last GWAS from upland DTIA-MTL, Chr01K_61692577 linked to two interest-

ing genes. First, Pavir.1KG372200 encoded Exo70 exocyst complex subunit, which was re-

quired for recognizing the avirulent effector AVR-Pii from rice blast resulting in ETI (Fuji-

saki et al., 2015). The other linked gene was Pavir.1KG372200 encoding for Nicotinamidase

that showed resistance to tomato mosaic virus (Arens et al., 2010).

Although these candidate genes from the switchgrass association studies shared some

functions from candidate genes from rice resistance to BLS, some of the potential functions

conferring resistance were still missing such as MYB. In rice, the *MYB* gene controls

Jasmonic acid (JA) against rice blast fungus: *Pyricularia greisea* (Ambawat et al., 2013; Lee

et al., 2001). In Arabidopsis interaction with necrotrophic *Alternaria brassicicola*, the re-

sponse to JA was the upregulated plant defensin protein (*Pdf*) gene.

CONCLUSIONS AND POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS

In conclusion, the resistance to BLS resulted differently based on different phenotyp-

ing approaches, with low correlations suggesting that resistance was sensitive to phenotyping

methods in different conditions. Although it is difficult to standardize the phenotyping ap-

proach that can take account of all conditions, finer and more powerful phenotyping of the re-

sistance is still needed. As such, to the best of our phenotyping combination, 'SW788',

'SW806', 'SW802', 'SW793', 'SW781', 'SW797', 'SW798', 'SW803', 'SW795', 'SW805

should be considered as candidates for resistance. To increase the statistical power in SNP de-

tection, the five subgroups of populations were conducted with multi-trait GWAS. The full

population of 478 genotypes showed the most SNP markers that can be considered as poten-

tial candidate genes related to resistance to BLS with reasonable biological functions. Addi-

tionally, the SNP markers from the other subgroups provided broader resistance mechanism.

Nevertheless, one needs to be prudent regarding the utilization of these markers. The depend-

ency of multitrait GWAS to yield significant SNP peaks brought the challenge for genomic-

assisted breeding for resistance to BLS. The application of these markers cannot be directly

transferred for genomics-assisted selection without validation to the choices of phenotyping

approaches in new populations.

When considering biological functions from the GWAS regardless of subgroups and

trait combinations, the defense mechanisms against BLS are complicated and multifaceted,

probably controlled by multiple small-effect alleles. The defense mechanism started from ba-

sal defense via cell-wall mediated proteins and PTI via peroxidase. Then, ETI depending on

NBS-LRR, and PPR repeat took place. The responses to necrosis were suppressed by homeo-

stasis and anti-apoptotic proteins. To our knowledge, this was first research to dissect the re-

sistance to BLS in switchgrass. With the current power of detection within the population,

multi-trait GWAS can provide functional insight into the resistance within multiple subgroups

of genotypes.

657   **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The project is supported by PhD funding from the Development and Promotion of Sci-

ence and Technology Talents Project (DPST), the Royal Thai Government. The northern

switchgrass association panel and its HAPMAP data were provided by Dr. Michael Casler,

Dr. Paul Grabowski and Dr. Guillaume Ramstein from US Dairy Farage Research Center,

USDA-ARS. The genome-wide association analysis with multiple traits was consulted by Dr.

Deniz Akdemir. Visual and digital disease evaluations were guided by Dr. Shawn Kenaley

and Dr. Ethan Stewart.

REFERENCES

AGRICultural online access. 2018. Available at http://agricola.nal.usda.gov

Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unre-

lated individuals. Genome Research. 19: 1655–1664.

Alves, M., Dadalto, S., Gonçalves, A., De Souza, G., Barros, V., & Fietto, L. 2013. Plant

bZIP transcription factors responsive to pathogens: a review. International journal of

molecular sciences. 14(4): 7815-7828.

Ambawat, S., P. Sharma, N.R. Yadav, and R.C. Yadav. 2013. MYB transcription factor genes

as regulators for plant responses: an overview. Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants 19(3): 307–21.

Antonious, A. D., & Jawhar, M. 2013. Proteome analysis of the susceptible barley-Cochliobo-

lus sativus interaction. Research in Biotechnology. 4(2).

Arens, P., Mansilla, C., Deinum, D., Cavellini, L., Moretti, A., Rolland, S., & Mathis, R.

2010. Development and evaluation of robust molecular markers linked to disease re-

sistance in tomato for distinctness, uniformity and stability testing. Theoretical and ap-

plied genetics, 120(3): 655-664.

Azevedo, C., Sadanandom, A., Kitagawa, K., Freialdenhoven, A., Shirasu, K., & Schulze-

Lefert, P. 2002. The RAR1 interactor SGT1, an essential component of R gene-triggered

disease resistance. Science. 295(5562): 2073-2076.

Balint-Kurti, P., Simmons, S. J., Blum, J. E., Ballaré, C. L., & Stapleton, A. E. 2010. Maize

leaf epiphytic bacteria diversity patterns are genetically correlated with resistance to

fungal pathogen infection. Molecular plant-microbe interactions. *23*(4), 473-484.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. 2014. Fitting linear mixed-effects models

using lme4. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.5823.

Bockhaven, J. V., Spíchal, L., Novák, O., Strnad, M., Asano, T., Kikuchi, S., & De Vleess-

chauwer, D. 2015. Silicon induces resistance to the brown spot fungus *Cochliobolus*

miyabeanus by preventing the pathogen from hijacking the rice ethylene pathway. New

Phytologist. 206(2): 761-773.

Bolton, M. D., Kolmer, J. A., Xu, W. W., and Garvin, D. F. 2008. Lr34-mediated leaf rust re-

sistance in wheat: Transcript profiling reveals a high energetic demand supported by

transient recruitment of multiple metabolic pathways. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 21:

1515-1527.

Bradbury, P.J., Z. Zhang, D.E. Kroon, T.M. Casstevens, Y. Ramdoss, and E.S. Buckler. 2007.

TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. 23(19):

2633–2635.

Casler, M. D. 2012. Switchgrass breeding, genetics, and genomics. Switchgrass: 29-53.

Springer, London.

Chaerani, R., Groenwold, R., Stam, P., & Voorrips, R. E. 2007. Assessment of early blight

(Alternaria solani) resistance in tomato using a droplet inoculation method. Journal of

General Plant Pathology. 73(2): 96-103.

Chakrabarti, N. K. 2001. Epidemiology and disease management of brown spot of rice in In-

dia. Major Fungal Diseases of Rice: 293–305, Dordrecht: Springer.

Condon, B. J., Leng, Y., Wu, D., Bushley, K. E., Ohm, R. A., Otillar, R., & Xue, C. 2013.

Comparative genome structure, secondary metabolite, and effector coding capacity

across Cochliobolus pathogens. PLoS Genetics, 9(1), e1003233.

Cotsapas, C., Voight, B. F., Rossin, E., Lage, K., Neale, B. M., Wallace, C., & De Jager, P. L.

2011. Pervasive sharing of genetic effects in autoimmune disease. PLoS genetics. 7(8):

e1002254.

Dallagnol, L., F. Rodrigues, and M. Mielli. 2013. Silicon improves the emergence and sanity

of rice seedlings obtained from seeds infected with Bipolaris oryzae. Trop. Plant Pathol.

38(6): 478–484.

De Bruyne, L., Van Poucke, C., Di Mavungu, D. J., Zainudin, N. A. I. M., Vanhaecke, L., De

Vleesschauwer, D., & Höfte, M. 2016. Comparative chemical screening and genetic

analysis reveal tentoxin as a new virulence factor in *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*, the

causal agent of brown spot disease on rice. Molecular plant pathology. 17(6): 805-817.

Dutilleul, C., Garmier, M., Noctor, G., Mathieu, C., Chétrit, P., Foyer, C. H., & de Paepe, R.

2003. Leaf mitochondria modulate whole cell redox homeostasis, set antioxidant capac-

ity, and determine stress resistance through altered signaling and diurnal regulation. The

Plant Cell. 15(5): 1212-1226.

Evans, J., E. Crisovan, K. Barry, C. Daum, J. Jenkins, G. Kunde-Ramamoorthy, A. Nandety,

C.Y. Ngan, B. Vaillancourt, C.-L. Wei, J. Schmutz, S.M. Kaeppler, M.D. Casler, and

C.R. Buell. 2015. Diversity and population structure of northern switchgrass as revealed

through exome capture sequencing. Plant J. 84(4): 800–815.

Evans, J., J. Kim, K.L. Childs, B. Vaillancourt, E. Crisovan, A. Nandety, D.J. Gerhardt, T.A.

Richmond, J.A. Jeddeloh, S.M. Kaeppler, M.D. Casler, and C.R. Buell. 2014. Nucleotide

polymorphism and copy number variant detection using exome capture and next-genera-

tion sequencing in the polyploid grass Panicum virgatum. Plant J. 79(6): 993–1008.

Fajolu, O.L. 2012. Characterization of Bipolaris species, their effects on switchgrass biomass

yield and chemical components.

Fan, Y., & Song, Y. Q. 2016). Finding the missing heritability of genome-wide association

study using genotype imputation. Matters, 2(5), e201604000013.

Friesen, T.L., J.D. Faris, P.S. Solomon, and R.P. Oliver. 2008. Host-specific toxins: effectors

of necrotrophic pathogenicity. Cell. Microbiol. 10(7): 1421–1428

Friesen, T.L., S.W. Meinhardt, and J.D. Faris. 2007. The Stagonospora nodorum-wheat pa-

thosystem involves multiple proteinaceous host-selective toxins and corresponding host

sensitivity genes that interact in an inverse gene-for-gene manner. Plant J. 51(4): 681–

692.

Fujisaki, K., Abe, Y., Ito, A., Saitoh, H., Yoshida, K., Kanzaki, H., & Terauchi, R. 2015. Rice

Exo70 interacts with a fungal effector, AVR-Pii, and is required for AVR-Pii-triggered

immunity. The Plant Journal. *83*(5): 875-887.

Gago, G. M., Almoguera, C., Jordano, J., Gonzalez, D. H., & Chan, R. L. 2002. Hahb-4, a

homeobox-leucine zipper gene potentially involved in abscisic acid-dependent responses

to water stress in sunflower. Plant, Cell & Environment. 25(5): 633-640.

Geddy, R., & Brown, G. G. 2007. Genes encoding pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins are

not conserved in location in plant genomes and may be subject to diversifying selec-

tion. BMC genomics. 8(1): 130.

Geisler, K., R.K. Hughes, F. Sainsbury, G.P. Lomonossoff, M. Rejzek, S. Fairhurst, C.-E. Ol-

sen, M.S. Motawia, R.E. Melton, A.M. Hemmings, S. Bak, A. Osbourn, and J.R. Ecker.

Biochemical analysis of a multifunctional cytochrome P450 (CYP51) enzyme required

for synthesis of antimicrobial triterpenes in plants. Proceedings of the National Academy

of Sciences. 110(35): E3360-E3367.

Gilbert, K. J., & Whitlock, M. C. 2015. QST–FST comparisons with unbalanced half-sib de-

signs. Molecular ecology resources. 15(2): 262-267.

Godshalk, E. B., Timothy, D. H., & Burns, J. C. 1988. Effectiveness of index selection for

switchgrass forage yield and quality. Crop science. 28(5): 825-830.

Goodstein, D.M., S. Shu, R. Howson, R. Neupane, R.D. Hayes, J. Fazo, T. Mitros, W. Dirks,

U. Hellsten, N. Putnam, and D.S. Rokhsar. 2012. Phytozome: a comparative platform for

green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 40(1): 1178–1186.

Gos, G., & Wright, S. I. 2008. Conditional neutrality at two adjacent NBS-LRR disease re-

sistance loci in natural populations of Arabidopsis lyrata. Molecular ecology. 17(23):

4953-4962

Grabowski, P.P., J. Evans, C. Daum, S. Deshpande, K.W. Barry, M. Kennedy, G. Ramstein,

S.M. Kaeppler, C.R. Buell, Y. Jiang, and M.D. Casler. 2017. Genome-wide associations

with flowering time in switchgrass using exome-capture sequencing data. New Phytol.

213(1): 154–169.

Grant M.R., Godiard L., Straube E .et al . 1995. Structure of the Arabidopsis RPM1 gene ena-

bling dual-specificity disease resistance. Science. 269: 843–846.

Grant M.R., McDowell J.M., Sharpe A.G. et al . 1998. Independent deletions of a pathogen-

resistance gene in Brassica and Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences. 95:15843–15848.

Gupta, S.K., A.K. Rai, S.S. Kanwar, and T.R. Sharma. 2012. Comparative analysis of zinc

finger proteins involved in plant disease resistance. PLoS One 7(8): e42578.

Hagensee, M. E., Bryan, S. K., & Moses, R. E. 1987. DNA polymerase III requirement for re-

pair of DNA damage caused by methyl methanesulfonate and *hydrogen peroxide. Jour-*

nal of bacteriology. 169(10): 4608-4613.

Herbers, K., Meuwly, P., Frommer, W. B., Metraux, J. P., & Sonnewald, U. 1996. Systemic

acquired resistance mediated by the ectopic expression of invertase: possible hexose

sensing in the secretory pathway. The Plant Cell. 8(5): 793-803.

Hill, R. R., & Rosenberger, J. L. 1985. Methods for Combining Data from Gemrplasm Evalu-

ation Trials 1. Crop Science. 25(3): 467-470.

Hoisington, D., Khairallah, M., Reeves, T., Ribaut, J. M., Skovmand, B., Taba, S., & War-

burton, M. 1999. Plant genetic resources: What can they contribute toward increased

crop productivity?. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 96(11): 5937-

5943.

Hopkins, A. A., Vogel, K. P., & Moore, K. J. 1993. Predicted and realized gains from selec-

tion for in vitro dry matter digestibility and forage yield in switchgrass. Crop sci-

ence. 33(2): 253-258

Idnurm, A., and B.J. Howlett. 2002. Isocitrate lyase is essential for pathogenicity of the fun-

gus *Leptosphaeria maculans* to canola (*Brassica napus*). Eukaryot. Cell 1(5): 719–24.

Jones, J. D., & Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *nature, 444*(7117): 323.

Jorgensen, I.H. 1992. Discovery, characterization and exploitation of Mlo powdery mildew

resistance in barley. Euphytica 63(1–2): 141–152.

Juliana, P., R.P. Singh, P.K. Singh, J.A. Poland, G.C. Bergstrom, J. Huerta-Espino, S. Bha-

vani, J. Crossa, and M.E. Sorrells. 2018. Genome-wide association mapping for re-

sistance to leaf rust, stripe rust and tan spot in wheat reveals potential candidate genes.

Theor. Appl. Genet.: 1–18.

Kang, H. G., Choi, H. W., Von Einem, S., Manosalva, P., Ehlers, K., Liu, P. P., & Kogel, K.

H. 2012. CRT1 is a nuclear-translocated MORC endonuclease that participates in multi-

ple levels of plant immunity. Nature communications. 3: 1297.

Karpinski, S., Gabrys, H., Mateo, A., Karpinska, B., & Mullineaux, P. M. 2003. Light percep-

tion in plant disease defence signalling. Current opinion in plant biology. *6*(4): 390-396.

Katara, J. L., Sonah, H., Deshmukh, R. K., Chaurasia, R., & Kotasthane, A. S. 2010. Molecu-

lar analysis of QTLs associated with resistance to brown spot in rice (Oryza sativa L.).

Indian J. Genet. 70(1): 17-21.

Khurana, S., & George, S. P. 2008. Regulation of cell structure and function by actin-binding

proteins: villin's perspective. FEBS letters. 582(14): 2128-2139.

Kim, J.Y., J. Wu, S.J. Kwon, H. Oh, S.E. Lee, S.G. Kim, Y. Wang, G.K. Agrawal, R. Rakwal,

K.Y. Kang, I.P. Ahn, B.G. Kim, and S.T. Kim. 2014. Proteomics of rice and Cochliobo-

lus miyabeanus fungal interaction: Insight into proteins at intracellular and extracellular

spaces. Proteomics 14(20): 2307–2318.

Kumagai, Y., Takeuchi, O., & Akira, S. 2008. Pathogen recognition by innate receptors. Jour-

nal of Infection and Chemotherapy. 14(2): 86-92.

Kumar, M., W. Busch, H. Birke, B. Kemmerling, T. Nürnberger, and F. Schöffl. 2009. Heat

shock factors HsfB1 and HsfB2b are involved in the regulation of Pdf1.2 expression and

pathogen resistance in Arabidopsis. Mol. Plant 2(1): 152–65.

Le Boucher, R., Quillet, E., Vandeputte, M., Lecalvez, J. M., Goardon, L., Chatain, B., &

Dupont-Nivet, M. 2011. Plant-based diet in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss Wal-

baum): Are there genotype-diet interactions for main production traits when fish are fed

marine vs. plant-based diets from the first meal?. Aquaculture 321(1-2): 41-48.

Lê, S., Josse, J. & Husson, F. 2008. FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis.

Journal of Statistical Software. 25(1): 1-18.

Lee, M.-W., M. Qi, and Y. Yang. 2001. A Novel Jasmonic Acid-Inducible Rice myb Gene as-

sociates with fungal infection and host cell death. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 14(4):

527–535.

Lenfant, N., Hotelier, T., Velluet, E., Bourne, Y., Marchot, P., & Chatonnet, A. 2012. ES-

THER, the database of the α/β-hydrolase fold superfamily of proteins: tools to explore

diversity of functions. Nucleic acids research. 41(D1): D423-D429.

Leng, P. F., Lübberstedt, T., & Xu, M. L. 2017. Genomics-assisted breeding–A revolutionary

strategy for crop improvement. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 16(12): 2674-2685.

Lipka, A. E., Gore, M. A., Magallanes-Lundback, M., Mesberg, A., Lin, H., Tiede, T., & Del-

laPenna, D. 2013. Genome-wide association study and pathway level analysis of to-

cochromanol levels in maize grain. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics. g3-113.

Lipka, A.E., F. Lu, J.H. Cherney, E.S. Buckler, M.D. Casler, and D.E. Costich. 2014. Accel-

erating the switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) breeding cycle using genomic selection

approaches. PLoS One 9(11): 1–7.

Liu, Z. H., Friesen, T. L., Rasmussen, J. B., Ali, S., Meinhardt, S. W., & Faris, J.

D. 2004. Quantitative trait loci analysis and mapping of seedling resistance to *Stagono-*

spora nodorum leaf blotch in wheat. Phytopathology 94: 1061–1067

López-Otín, C., & Bond, J. S. 2008. Proteases: multifunctional enzymes in life and disease.

Journal of Biological Chemistry. 283(45): 30433-30437.

Lu, F., A.E. Lipka, J. Glaubitz, R. Elshire, J.H. Cherney, M.D. Casler, E.S. Buckler, and D.E.

Costich. 2013. Switchgrass Genomic Diversity, Ploidy, and Evolution: Novel Insights

from a Network-Based SNP Discovery Protocol. PLoS Genet. 9(1).

Luo, H., Song, F., Goodman, R. M., & Zheng, Z. 2005. Up-regulation of OsBIHD1, a rice

gene encoding BELL homeodomain transcription factor, in disease resistance re-

sponses. Plant Biology. 7(05): 459-468.

Mammarella, N.D., Z. Cheng, Z.Q. Fu, A. Daudi, G.P. Bolwell, X. Dong, and F.M. Ausubel.

2015. Apoplastic peroxidases are required for salicylic acid-mediated defense against

Pseudomonas syringae. Phytochemistry 112: 110–121.

Martin, E.R., D.D. Kinnamon, M.A. Schmidt, E.H. Powell, S. Zuchner, and R.W. Morris.

2010. SeqEM: an adaptive genotype-calling approach for next-generation sequencing

studies. Bioinformatics 26(22): 2803–2810.

Mayda, E., Tornero, P., Conejero, V., & Vera, P. 1999. A tomato homeobox gene (HD-Zip) is

involved in limiting the spread of programmed cell death. The Plant Journal. 20(5): 591-

600.

Muir, W., Nyquist, W. E., & Xu, S. 1992. Alternative partitioning of the genotype-by-envi-

ronment interaction. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 84(1-2): 193-200.

Muir, W.M. 2007. Comparison of genomic and traditional BLUP-estimated breeding value

accuracy and selection response under alternative trait and genomic parameters. J. Anim.

Breed. Genet. 124(6): 342–355.

Niu, J., & He, D. 2009. Molecular basis of powdery mildew resistance in wheat (*Triticum* aes-

tivum L.). African Journal of Biotechnology. 8(19): 4708-4716.

Okada, M., C. Lanzatella, M.C. Saha, J. Bouton, R. Wu, and C.M. Tobias. 2010. Complete

switchgrass genetic maps reveal subgenome collinearity, preferential pairing and multi-

locus interactions. Genetics 185(3): 745–760.

Ou, S. H. 1985. Rice diseases. IRRI.

Perrin, R. M., DeRocher, A. E., Bar-Peled, M., Zeng, W., Norambuena, L., Orellana, A., &

Keegstra, K. 1999. Xyloglucan fucosyltransferase, an enzyme involved in plant cell wall

biosynthesis. Science. 284(5422): 1976-1979.

Piepho, H. P., Möhring, J., Melchinger, A. E., & Büchse, A. 2008. BLUP for phenotypic se-

lection in plant breeding and variety testing. Euphytica. 161(1-2): 209-228.

Porter, H. F., & O'Reilly, P. F. 2017. Multivariate simulation framework reveals performance

of multi-trait GWAS methods. Scientific reports. 7: 38837.

Purcell, S., B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M.A.R. Ferreira, D. Bender, J. Maller, P.

Sklar, P.I.W. de Bakker, M.J. Daly, and P.C. Sham. 2007. PLINK: A tool set for whole-

genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81(3):

559–575.

Ralph, S., J.-Y. Park, J. Bohlmann, and S.D. Mansfield. 2006. Dirigent proteins in conifer de-

fense: gene discovery, phylogeny, and differential wound- and insect-induced expression

of a family of DIR and DIR-like Genes in spruce (*Picea* spp.). Plant Mol. Biol. 60(1):

21–40.

Ramstein, G. P., Evans, J., Nandety, A., Saha, M. C., Brummer, E. C., Kaeppler, S. M., &

Casler, M. D. (2018). Candidate Variants for Additive and Interactive Effects on Bioen-

ergy Traits in Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) Identified by Genome-Wide Associa-

tion Analyses. The plant genome.

Robinson, G. K. 1991. That BLUP is a good thing: the estimation of random effects. Statisti-

cal science. 6(1): 15-32.

Sato, H., I. Ando, H. Hirabayashi, Y. Takeuchi, S. Arase, J. Kihara, H. Kato, T. Imbe, and H.

Nemoto. 2008. QTL analysis of brown spot resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Breed.

Sci. 58(1): 93–96.

Sato, H., K. Matsumoto, C. Ota, T. Yamakawa, J. Kihara, and R. Mizobuchi. 2015. Confirm-

ing a major QTL and finding additional loci responsible for field resistance to brown

spot (*Bipolaris oryzae*) in rice. Breed. Sci. 65(2): 170–175.

Silva, W., J. Ingram, C.A. Hackett, J.J. Coombs, D. Douches, G. Bryan, W. De Jong, and S.

Gray. 2017. Mapping loci that control tuber and foliar symptoms caused by PVY in Au-

totetraploid Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Genes Genomes Genetics: g3-300264.

Song, J., Durrant, W. E., Wang, S., Yan, S., Tan, E. H., & Dong, X. 2011. DNA repair pro-

teins are directly involved in regulation of gene expression during plant immune re-

sponse. Cell host & microbe. 9(2): 115-124.

Songsomboon, K., R. Crawford, J. Crawford, J. Hansen, J. Cummings, N. Mattson, G. Berg-

strom, D. Viands. 2019. Recurrent phenotypic selection for resistance to diseases caused

by Bipolaris oryzae in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*). Biomass and Bioenergy 125:

105-113

Stahl E.A., Dwyer G., Mauricio R., Kreitman M., Bergelson J. 1999. Dynamics of disease re-

sistance polymorphism at the Rpm1 locus of Arabidopsis. Nature.400: 667–671.

Stein, M., J. Dittgen, C. Sánchez-Rodríguez, B.-H. Hou, A. Molina, P. Schulze-Lefert, V.

Lipka, and S. Somerville. 2006. Arabidopsis PEN3/PDR8, an ATP binding cassette

transporter, contributes to nonhost resistance to inappropriate pathogens that enter by di-

rect penetration. Plant Cell 18(3): 731–46.

Stewart, E.L., and B.A. McDonald. 2014. Measuring quantitative virulence in the wheat path-

ogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* using high-throughput automated image analysis. Phytopathol-

ogy 104(9): 985–992.

Stroup, J., M. Sanderson, J.P. Muir, M.J. McFarland, and R.L. Reed. 2003. Comparison of

growth and performance in upland and lowland switchgrass types to water and nitrogen

stress. Bioresour. Technol. 86: 65–72.

Stroup, W. W., & Mulitze, D. K. 1991. Nearest neighbor adjusted best linear unbiased predic-

tion. The American Statistician. 45(3): 194-200.

Talbert, L. E., Timothy, D. H., Burns, J. C., Rawlings, J. O., & Moll, R. H. 1983. Estimates of

Genetic Parameters in Switchgrass. Crop Science. 23(4): 725-728.

Tian D., Traw M.B., Chen J.Q., Kreitman M., Bergelson J. 2003. Fitness costs of R-gene-me-

diated resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nature. 423: 74–77.

Tian D.C., Araki H, Stahl E., Bergelson J., Kreitman M. 2002. Signature of balancing selec-

tion in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 99: 11525–

11530.

Ullstrup, A. J. 1972. The impacts of the southern corn leaf blight epidemics of 1970–

71. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 10: 37–50.

Vidhyasekaran, P., Borromeo, E. S. and Mew, T. W. (1986) Host-specific toxin production

by *Helminthosporium oryzae*. Phytopathology. 76: 261–266.

Vogel, K. P., Hopkins, A. A., Moore, K. J., Johnson, K. D., & Carlson, I. T. 2002. Winter sur-

vival in switchgrass populations bred for high IVDMD. Crop Science. 42(6): 1857-1862.

Vorwerk, S., Somerville, S., & Somerville, C. 2004. The role of plant cell wall polysaccharide

composition in disease resistance. Trends in plant science. 9(4): 203-209.

Walter, S., A. Kahla, C. Arunachalam, A. Perochon, M.R. Khan, S.R. Scofield, and F.M.

Doohan. 2015. A wheat ABC transporter contributes to both grain formation and myco-

toxin tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 66(9): 2583–2593.

Walton, J. D. 1996. Host-selective toxins: agents of compatibility. Plant Cell 8: 1723–1733.

Wang, Z., Taramino, G., Yang, D., Liu, G., Tingey, S. V., Miao, G. H., & Wang, G. L. 2001.

Rice ESTs with disease-resistance gene-or defense-response gene-like sequences

mapped to regions containing major resistance genes or QTLs. Molecular Genetics and

Genomics. 265(2): 302-310.

Waxman, K. D., & Bergstrom, G. C. 2011. First report of a leaf spot caused by Bipolaris ory-

zae on switchgrass in New York. Plant Disease. 95(9): 1192-1192.

White, T. L., & Hodge, G. R. 2013. Predicting breeding values with applications in forest tree

improvement. Springer Science & Business Media. 33.

Xu, P., Rogers, S. J., & Roossinck, M. J. 2004. Expression of antiapoptotic genes bcl-xL and

ced-9 in tomato enhances tolerance to viral-induced necrosis and abiotic stress. Proceed-

ings of the National Academy of Sciences. 101(44): 15805-15810.

Xue, D., Q. Wang, Z. Chen, L. Cai, L. Bao, Q. Qi, L. Liu, X. Wang, H. Jin, J. Wang, H. Wu,

H. Liu, and Q. Chen. 2015. 3-Anhydro-6-hydroxy-ophiobolin A, a fungal sesterterpene

from Bipolaris oryzae induced autophagy and promoted the degradation of α-synuclein

in PC12 cells. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 25(7): 1464–1470.

Zhao, K., C. W. Tung, G.C. Eizenga, M.H. Wright, M.L. Ali, A.H. Price, G.J. Norton, M.R.

Islam, A. Reynolds, J. Mezey, A.M. McClung, C.D. Bustamante, and S.R. McCouch.

2011. Genome-wide association mapping reveals a rich genetic architecture of complex

traits in Oryza sativa. Nat. Commun. 2: 467.

Zhou, X., and M. Stephens. 2012. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for associa-

tion studies. Nat. Genet. 44(7): 821–4.

Zhu, X., Feng, T., Tayo, B. O., Liang, J., Young, J. H., Franceschini, N., & Chen, W. 2015.

Meta-analysis of correlated traits via summary statistics from GWASs with an applica-

tion in hypertension. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 96(1): 21-36.

Zuo, W., Chao, Q., Zhang, N., Ye, J., Tan, G., Li, B., & Zhao, J. 2015. A maize wall-associ-

ated kinase confers quantitative resistance to head smut. Nature genetics. 47(2):151.

TABLES

Table 1. Broad-sense heritability of each trait in each groups.

Traits	$H^2 \pm s.e.$				
	full set 478	4X	8X	lowland	upland
Severity from the detached leaf via vision (DTVI)	0.76 ± 0.02	0.84 ± 0.04	0.66 ± 0.06	0.87 ± 0.03	0.71 ± 0.05
Severity from the detached leaf via image analysis (DTIA)	0.74 ± 0.06	0.78 ± 0.05	0.72 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.06	0.68 ± 0.02
Severity from the leaf disk assay via vision (DSVI)	0.76 ± 0.05	0.70 ± 0.02	0.74 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.04	0.63 ± 0.04
Severity from the leaf disk assay via image analysis (DSIA)	0.5 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.06	0.52 ± 0.04	0.45 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.03
Severity in field from two locations	0.003 ± 0.06	0.003 ± 0.04	0.1 ± 0.05	0.001 ± 0.08	0.08 ± 0.06
Severity in field from NY	0.32 ± 0.05	0.31 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.04
Severity in field from PA	0.61 ± 0.04	0.68 ± 0.03	0.52 ± 0.02	0.78 ± 0.05	0.51 ± 0.03

Table 2. Markers significantly associated with resistance to Bipolaris leaf spot in each case.

Cases	SNP	Chro­mosome	p-value	Dis­tance from gene (bp)	*P. virgatum* gene	Orthologous genes	Identity	Predicted functions
478-DTVI-DTIA-DSIA	Chr02K_29538993	Chr02K	3.30E-06	1083	Pavir.2KG226200	Pahal.B01583.1[Pha]	99.6	Zinc-binding RING-finger, Glycosyl transferase family group 2, cellulose bi­osynthesis
	Chr02N_74508772	Chr02N	1.76E-05	899	Pavir.2NG397900	Pahal.B03217.1[Pha]	97.2	GDP-fucose protein O-fucosyltransferase
	Chr02N_79645558	Chr02N	1.00E-05	1496	Pavir.2NG424700	Sobic.002G259700.1[Sbi]	98.4	Pyruvate dehydro­genase (acetyl-trans­ferring)
	Chr02N_88427045	Chr02N	5.70E-06	1046	Pavir.2NG500000	Pahal.B04009.1[Pha]	100	Casein kinase II, beta subunit
	Chr03K_26598614	Chr03K	9.25E-06	1239	Pavir.3KG329700	Sevir.3G174000.1[Svi]	90.6	DNA polymerase III
	Chr03K_28867984	Chr03K	8.31E-06	788	Pavir.3KG358400	Sevir.3G185400.1[Svi]	93.3	Serine aminopepti­dase
	Chr04N_47494808	Chr04N	8.29E-06	235	Pavir.4NG267200	Sobic.010G215800.1[Sbi]	78.2	similar to OSIGBa0118P15.3 relating to protein hydrolase activity
				8698	Pavir.4NG267500	LOC_Os06g44890.1[Osa]	93.3	Villin as an anti-apoptotic protein
	Chr05K_5450256	Chr05K	7.26E-06	47	Pavir.5KG028600	Pahal.E04447.1[Pha]	97.5	Tetratricopeptide re­peat
	Chr05K_90814719	Chr05K	8.62E-07	321	Pavir.5KG482000	Zm00008a014067_T0[Zma]	94.9	ABC transporter
	Chr07K_50656672	Chr07K	1.22E-05	207	Pavir.7KG196600	Zm00008a007144_T01[Zma]	95.2	interleukin-1 recep­tor-associated kinase

Cases	SNP	Chro-mosome	p-value	Distance from gene (bp)	*P. virgatum* gene	Orthologous genes	Identity	Predicted functions
	Chr07K_56339702	Chr07K	4.94E-06	756	Pavir.7KG255200	Pahal.G01334.1[Pha]	92.1	Uncharacterized ACR, COG1399
				930	Pavir.7KG255000	LOC_Os04g44320.1[Osa]	84.2	Oligopeptide transporter protein
	Chr07N_48546809	Chr07N	9.13E-07	524	Pavir.7NG240300*	LOC_Os04g39430.1[Osa]	88.1	Cytochrome P450 CYP4/CYP19/CYP26 subfamilies
	Chr07N_61547213	Chr07N	2.18E-07	571	Pavir.7NG322400	LOC_Os04g51350.1[Osa]	91.1	PPR repeat family
	Chr09N_106831822	Chr09N	5.42E-06	20	Pavir.9NG844100	Sobic.001G445100.1[Sbi]	73.5	Putative uncharacterized protein
				9902	Pavir.9NG844200	GRMZM2G118497_T0[Zma]	98.5	Mitochondrial Fe2+ transporter MMT1 and related transporters, metal tolerate
4X-DSVI-MNY	Chr03K_8647071	Chr03K	3.12E-06	733	Pavir.3KG095300	Sobic.009G005500.1[Sbi]	93.6	Uncharacterized potentially histone acetyltransferases subunit 3
				6957	Pavir.3KG095200	Sobic.009G005400.1[Sbi]	96.8	Similar to peroxisomal membrane protein ABC transporter homologue
	Chr03N_12119852	Chr03N	7.69E-07	2038	Pavir.3NG101800	LOC_Os12g22284.1[Osa]	89.3	ABC-2 type transporter
	Chr07N_61547213	Chr07N	1.66E-06	571	Pavir.7NG322400	LOC_Os04g51350.1[Osa]	91.1	PPR repeat family

Cases	SNP	Chro-mosome	p-value	Distance from gene (bp)	*P. virgatum* gene	Orthologous genes	Identity	Predicted functions
8X-DTVI-	Chr09N_3891068	Chr09N	2.66E-07	535	Pavir.9NG061100	Sobic.001G040600.1[Sbi]	94.3	DNA topoisomerase (ATP-hydrolyzing), dirigent
low-mean TL	Chr01K_36400224	Chr01K	3.08E-06	1354	Pavir.1KG225400	Sobic.004G141800.2[Sbi]	96	Similar to Os02g0469200 protein
				10167	Pavir.1KG225100	Sevir.1G159400.1[Svi]	88	MORC family ATPases
	Chr05N_24540124	Chr05N	5.43E-06	1020	Pavir.5NG192000	Sevir.5G038000.1[Svi]	100	COP9 signalosome complex
	Chr05N_35504012	Chr05N	2.47E-06	360	Pavir.5NG248900	Sevir.5G193800.1[Svi]	88.5	basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor
	Chr05N_84742282	Chr05N	5.20E-06	1309	Pavir.5NG476900	Zm00008a032406_T01[Zma]	71.5	TB2/DP1, HVA22 family
	Chr06N_14870066	Chr06N	2.40E-06	1766	Pavir.6NG083100	Sevir.6G037200.1[Svi]	97.6	homeobox-leucine zipper protein
	Chr07N_22055455	Chr07N	1.89E-05	1088	Pavir.7NG091000	Sobic.010G276700.1[Sbi]	98.2	Sucrose synthase
	Chr09N_17627471	Chr09N	1.47E-05	2258	Pavir.9NG173600	Pahal.I02469.1[Pha]	92.7	Phytochrome-interacting factor 4
	Chr09N_82637734	Chr09N	1.16E-06	1030	Pavir.9NG499500	Zm00008a001876_T01[Zma]	95.3	Alpha/beta hydrolase family
up-	Chr01K_61692577	Chr01K	1.52E-06	6831	Pavir.1KG372200	Sobic.010G272700.1[Sbi]	53.6	Exo70 exocyst complex subunit
				2646	Pavir.1KG372200	Zm00008a022268_T01	95.9	Nicotinamidase

Cases	SNP	Chro-mosome	p-value	Distance from gene (bp)	*P. virgatum* gene	Orthologous genes	Identity	Predicted functions
	Chr07K_54502620	Chr07K	4.48E-06	3810	Pavir.7KG238800*	LOC_Os04g43730.1[Osa]	73.6	Calcium-binding EGF domain (EGF_CA), Wall-associated receptor kinase galacturonan-binding

* *P. virgatum* genes that overlapping with resistance genes in *Oryza sativa* search

[Osa] gene from *Oryza sativa*

[Pha] gene from Panicum hallii

[Sbi] gene from Sorghum bicolor

[Svi] gene from Setaria viridis

[Zma] gene from Zea may

Table 3 Phenotypic variance explained (PVE) (adjusted PVE) by accumulative 27 significant

SNP markers across all analyses for each trait.

Traits	PVE (adjusted PVE)
DTVI	11.68 (6.38)
DTIA	8.76 (3.28)
DSVI	25.03 (20.53)
DSIA	30.68 (26.52)
mean TL	15.73 (10.67)
MNY	15.74 (10.68)
MTL	13.7 (8.52)

985 Abbreviation: BLUPs of severity from the detached leaf via vision (DTVI), BLUPs of sever-

986 ity from the detached leaf via image analysis (DTIA), BLUPs of severity from the leaf disk

987 assay via vision (DSVI), BLUPs of severity from the leaf disk assay via image analysis

988 (DSIA), mean of field evaluation of BLS in two locations (mean TL), highest score of BLS in

989 NY (MNY), and highest score of BLS in two locations (MTL).

FIGURES

Figure 1. Detached leaf assay; a) sealed plates of detached leaves under 12-h light 25°C; b) control inoculated (left) and inoculated leaves (right) at 7 dpi were taped on white paper with QR code label for scanning for image analysis.

Figure 2. A plate example of leaf disk assay at 7 dpi. Each plate consisted of 28 leaf disks. SW43_09 was used as a resistant check and SW122_02 as a susceptible check. Two non-inoculated control leaf disks (yellow circles) and two inoculated leaf disks (red circles) were included in all plates. The rest of the leaf disks (24) consisted of eight genotypes with three replicates placed in a consecutive row from left to right.

993
994

Figure 3. Correlation plot among BLUPs of severity from the detached leaf via vison (DTVI), via image analysis (DTIA), from leaf disk assay via vision (DSVI), via image analysis (DSIA), the highest score between two locations (MTL), mean from two location (TL), minerals total ash, *in vitro* dry matter digestibility, cell wall concentration, and ethanol conversion per day forage.

998
999   Figure 4. The bootstrapped (1000 times) distributions of Qst-Fst for each phenotype (DTVI,
1000   DTIA, DSVI, DSIA, BLUPs NY and BLUP PA) are compared to the expected value of zero
1001   under neutrality (black dashed line).

1002

Figure 5. Scatter plot showing the linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay by plotting physical distance in base pairs against the LD estimate as correlations (R^2) in 478 genotypes. The LD (blue curve) decays rapidly within 20 kb (red line) and reaches background levels around 50 kb (dashed red line).

1005

1006

Figure 6. a) The 478 switchgrass genotypes from the Northern Association Panel showing the different distributions based on ecotype and region from principal component analysis (PC). Colors represented different ecotypes and shapes represented different ploidy levels. Percentage variances explained by PCs are in parentheses. The lowland ecotype can be differentiated into two regions from PC1 and PC2, and the upland ecotype can be grouped into three regions from PC1 and PC3. b) Admixture also showed the separations of the genotypes into five gene pools.

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018
Figure 7. (Left) Manhattan plot showing genetic associated of a) DTVI-DTIA-DSIA in 478 genotypes, b) DSVI-
MNY in 4X genotypes, c) DTVI-DSIA in 8X genotypes, d) mean TL in lowland ecotype, e) DTIA-MTL in up-
land genotypes. The black dashed line represents the FDR threshold (0.1) and the red dashed line represents
Bonferroni correction threshold. On x-axis, the physical positions of the SNPs were aligned in 18 chromosomes
of *P. virgatum*. (Right) Quantile-quantile (QQ) plots between the distributions of observed to expected P-values
for GWAS of each trait combination in each genotype group.

Abbreviation: Abbreviation: BLUPs of severity from the detached leaf via vision (DTVI), BLUPs of severity from the detached leaf via im-
age analysis (DTIA), BLUPs of severity from the leaf disk assay via vision (DSVI), BLUPs of severity from the leaf disk assay via image
analysis (DSIA), mean of field evaluation of BLS in two locations (mean TL), highest score of BLS in NY (MNY), and highest score of BLS
in two locations (MTL).

Figure 8. Examples of changes in DTVI, DTIA, DSVI, DSIA, mean TL, MTL, MNY and MPA associated with alleles at selected candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 478 genotypes. The effects of three different allele combinations were tested at alpha = 0.05. "ns" means non-significant, "*" means significant at alpha 0.05, "**" means significant at alpha 0.01, and "***" means significant at alpha 0.001.