Reduced representation characterization of genetic and epigenetic differentiation to oil pollution in the foundation plant *Spartina alterniflora*

Mariano Alvarez*
Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708, USA;
marianoferdinandalvarez@gmail.com

Marta Robertson*
IRHS-INRA 49071 Beaucouzé Cedex, France; marta.h.robertson@gmail.com

Thomas van Gurp
Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, the Netherlands; thomasvangurp@gmail.com

Niels Wagemaker
Department of Experimental Plant Ecology, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; n.wagemaker@science.ru.nl

Delphine Giraud
UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France; delphine.giraud@univ-rennes1.fr

Malika L. Ainouche
UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France ; malika.ainouche@univ-rennes1.fr

Armel Salmon
UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France; armel.salmon@univ-rennes1.fr

Koen J. F. Verhoeven
Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, the Netherlands; k.verhoeven@nioo.knaw.nl

Christina L. Richards
Department of Integrative Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA; Plant Evolutionary Ecology group, University of Tübingen, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany; clr@usf.edu

*indicates equal contribution by authors

Running title: Epigenomic response to pollution
Keywords: AFLP, DNA methylation, epiGBS, epigenetics, foundation species, oil pollution, salt marsh, *Spartina alterniflora*
Type of article: Letter
163 words in abstract, 5536 words in main text
85 references, 3 tables and 3 figures (2 supplementary tables, 2 supplementary figures)

49   **Correspondence:** Christina L. Richards, Department of Integrative Biology, University of South Florida,
50   4202 East Fowler Avenue, Tampa, FL 33617, USA; phone: +001 813 974 5090; fax: +001 813 974 3263;
51   clr@usf.edu
52

Abstract

Theory predicts that environmental challenges can shape the composition of populations, which is manifest at the molecular level. Previously, we demonstrated that oil pollution affected gene expression patterns and altered genetic variation in natural populations of the foundation salt marsh grass, *Spartina alterniflora*. Here, we used a reduced representation bisulfite sequencing approach, epigenotyping by sequencing (epiGBS), to examine relationships among DNA sequence, DNA methylation, gene expression, and exposure to oil pollution. We documented genetic and methylation differentiation between oil-exposed and unexposed populations, suggesting that the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill may have selected on genetic variation, and either selected on epigenetic variation or induced particular epigenotypes and expression patterns in exposed compared to unexposed populations. In support of the potential for differential response to the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, we demonstrate genotypic differences in response to oil under controlled conditions. Overall, these findings demonstrate genetic variation, epigenetic variation and gene expression are correlated to exposure to oil pollution, which may all contribute to the response to environmental stress.

Introduction

Organismal interactions and response to environment are governed by molecular mechanisms, which are among the most basic levels of biological organization. Studies across a diversity of organisms have described the association of genetic variation with environmental factors (Andrew *et al.*, 2013; Feder & Mitchell-Olds, 2003). More recently, transcriptomics studies in natural populations have identified gene expression differences that are associated with phenotypic plasticity, genotype-by-environment interactions, and local adaptation, and that some of these differences are only elicited in natural environments (Alvarez, Schrey, & Richards, 2015; Nagano *et al.* 2012, 2019; Nicotra *et al.*, 2010). Hence, gene expression variation, like genetic variation, can translate into trait variation that contributes to organismal performance with important population- and community-level ecological effects (Alvarez *et al.,* 2015; Hughes, Inouye, Johnson, Underwood, & Vellend, 2008; Schoener, 2011; Whitham *et al.*, 2006).

Additional layers of variation, including chromatin modifications, small RNAs, and other non-coding variants, can mediate changes in genotypic expression and phenotype. However, this type of variation is infrequently studied in natural settings (Alvarez *et al.* 2015; Kudoh 2016; Nagano *et al.* 2012, 2019; Richards *et al.*, 2017). DNA and chromatin modifications, such as DNA methylation, can also vary among individuals within populations (Banta & Richards, 2018; Becker & Weigel, 2012; Richards *et al.*, 2017), and contribute to phenotypic variation by modulating the expression of genes (Alvarez *et al.*, 2015, 2018), the types of transcripts that genes produce (Maor, Yearim, & Ast, 2015), the movement of mobile elements (Matzke & Mosher, 2014), and the production of structural variants (Underwood *et al.*, 2018 ; Yelina *et al.*, 2015). At the same time, changes in genetic sequence or gene expression may cause variation in patterns of DNA methylation, creating a bidirectional relationship that varies across the genome (Meng *et al.*, 2016; Niederhuth & Schmitz, 2017; Secco *et al.*, 2015). Patterns of DNA methylation have been correlated to habitat types, exposure to stress, and shifts in species range (Foust *et al*., 2016; Liebl, Schrey, Andrew, Sheldon, & Griffith, 2015; Liebl, Schrey, Richards, & Martin, 2013; Richards, Schrey, & Pigliucci, 2012; Verhoeven, Jansen, van Dijk, & Biere, 2010; Weyrich, *et al*., 2016; Xie *et al*., 2015). However, it is often unclear whether changes in DNA methylation, and correlated changes in gene expression, are simply a downstream consequence of changes in allele frequencies or if they may manifest through other mechanisms.

In 2010, the *Deepwater Horizon* (*DWH*) oil spill developed into the largest marine oil

spill in history (National Commission on the BP *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, 2011), and became

an opportunity to test ecological and evolutionary hypotheses in a diversity of organisms

exposed to this recurrent anthropogenic stress (e.g. Alvarez *et al.* 2018; DeLeo *et al.* 2018;

Hazen *et al*. 2010; Kimes *et al*. 2013; Kimes, Callaghan, Suflita & Morris, 2014; Robertson,

Schrey, Shayter, Moss, & Richards, 2017; Rodriguez-R *et al.* 2015; Whitehead *et al*. 2012). A

mixture of crude oil and dispersants made landfall along 1,773 kilometers on the shorelines of

Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama and Florida (Mendelssohn *et al.*, 2012; Michel *et al.,* 2013).

Nearly half of the affected habitat was salt marsh, which supplies valuable ecosystem functions

such as providing nurseries for birds and fish, and buffering storm and wave action (Day *et al.*,

2007; Mendelssohn *et al.*, 2012; Michel *et al.,* 2013). Gulf of Mexico salt marshes are dominated

by the hexaploid foundation plant species *Spartina alterniflora* (2n=6x=62; Marchant, 1968)*,*

which is remarkably resilient to a variety of environmental stressors (Bedre, Mangu, Srivastava,

Sanchez, & Baisakh, 2016; Cavé-Radet, Salmon, Lima, Ainouche, & El Amrani, 2018; Pennings

& Bertness, 2001; Silliman *et al.*, 2012). Crude oil exposure from the *DWH* oil spill resulted in

reduced carbon fixation, reduced transpiration, and extensive above-ground dieback in *S.*

alterniflora populations (Lin & Mendelssohn, 2012; Silliman *et al.,* 2012), but oil-affected

populations showed partial to complete recovery within seven months of the spill (Lin *et al.,*

2016). However, the genomic and population level mechanisms that underlie this remarkable

recovery have been poorly characterized.

In previous studies, we found that in *S. alterniflora* exposed to the *DWH* oil spill,

pollution tolerance was correlated to changes in expression of a diverse set of genes, including

epigenetic regulators and chromatin modification genes, such as a homolog of SUVH5 (Alvarez

et al., 2018). Although *S. alterniflora* populations were partially resilient to the *DWH* spill (Lin

& Mendelssohn, 2012), we found evidence of genetic differentiation between individuals from

oil-exposed areas and nearby uncontaminated areas (Robertson *et al.*, 2017). We expected that

DNA methylation patterns would be divergent between oil exposed and unexposed populations,

which might be induced by the environment or result from the genetic differences between

exposed and unexposed populations. However, while a few DNA methylation loci (measured via

methylation sensitive amplified fragment length polymorphism; MS-AFLP) were correlated with

oil exposure, we did not find genome-wide patterns in DNA methylation correlated with oil

exposure in *S. alterniflora* (Robertson *et al.*, 2017).

In this study, we used a recently developed reduced representation bisulfite sequencing

(RRBS) technique, epigenotyping by sequencing (epiGBS), to generate a more robust DNA

sequence and DNA methylation data set (van Gurp *et al.*, 2016). We expected that the increased

resolution, both in number and in detail of the markers, provided by this sequencing approach

would confirm our previously observed patterns of genetic differentiation, and allow us to

identify fine scale DNA methylation structure that was not apparent in our previous study. By

aligning our fragments to the *S. alterniflora* transcriptomes (Boutte *et al.*, 2016; Ferreira de

Carvalho *et al.,* 2013, 2017) and *Oryza sativa* genome (Kawahara *et al.*, 2013), we expected to

assess the relationship between DNA methylation and previously reported gene expression. We

predicted that we would find evidence that DNA methylation was correlated with changes in

gene expression since some fragments might overlap with the coding regions of genes

(Niederhuth & Bewick *et al.*, 2016). In addition, we examined the potential for response to

selection by crude oil exposure among genotypes collected from the field in a common garden

greenhouse experiment. We predicted that we would find variation in response to crude oil

among genotypes, which would indicate existing standing variation in wild populations of *S.*

alterniflora that could be acted upon by selection.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

We collected individuals from the leading edge of the marsh at three contaminated and

three neighboring uncontaminated sites near Grand Isle, Louisiana and Bay St. Louis,

Mississippi in August 2010, four months after the *DWH* oil spill as described in previous studies

(Table 1; Alvarez *et al.,* 2018; Robertson *et al.,* 2017). These sites were naturally variable in

conditions, but all sites supported monocultures of *S. alterniflora*. Contaminated sites were

identified by the visual presence of oil on the sediment and substantial above-ground dieback of

S. alterniflora on the leading edge of the marsh with *S. alterniflora* plants growing through the

dead wrack. Nearby uncontaminated sites did not have any visible signs of the presence of oil or

noticeable dieback of the above ground portions of *S. alterniflora*. Contamination status was

later confirmed via National Resource Damage Assessment databases (Robertson *et al.*, 2017).

To standardize age and minimize developmental bias in sampling, we collected the third fully

expanded leaf from each of eight individuals, spaced 10 meters apart at each of the six sites

(N=48). Leaf samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen to prevent degradation, and

kept frozen during transport to the University of South Florida for processing and analysis.

DNA extractions and library prep

We isolated DNA from each field-collected sample (N=48) using the Qiagen DNeasy

plant mini kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. We prepared epiGBS libraries *sensu* van

Gurp *et al.* (2016). Briefly, isolated DNA was digested with the enzyme PstI, which is sensitive

to CHG methylation and biases resulting libraries toward coding regions (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016).

After digestion, adapters containing methylated cytosines and variable barcodes were ligated to

either end of the resulting fragments. We used the Zymo EZ Lightning methylation kit to

bisulfite treat and clean the DNA. Libraries were then amplified with the KAPA Uracil Hotstart

Ready Mix with the following PCR conditions: an initial denaturation step at 98ºC for 1 min

followed by 16 cycles of 98ºC for 15s, 60ºC for 30s, and 72ºC for 30s, with a final extension of

72ºC for 5 min. We used rapid run–mode paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq2500

sequencer using the HiSeq v4 reagents and the HiSeq Control software (v2.2.38), which

optimizes the sequencing of low-diversity libraries (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016).

Data pre-processing and mapping to transcriptome

We used the epiGBS pipeline (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016) to demultiplex samples, trim

adapter sequences, assemble the *de novo* reference sequence, and call single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) and DNA methylation polymorphisms (DMPs)

(https://github.com/thomasvangurp/epiGBS). Sequencing depth varied substantially between

samples, which we evaluated with a principal components analysis (PCA) on sampling depths

across loci. We assumed that an approximately even spread of the samples across PC1 and PC2

with no association of population or oil exposure, would indicate that sampling depth did not

bias our downstream analyses (Figure S1). SNPs (the resulting snps.vcf file) and DMPs

(methylation.bed) were filtered separately for each individual to include only loci that were

sequenced a minimum of ten times (10x depth of coverage), while loci below this coverage were

considered missing data. We first removed 10 individuals with high amounts of missing data

(>80%), leaving 38 samples across all 6 populations (Table 1). We then retained only loci that

were present in more than 50% of individuals, with no more than 70% missing from any one

individual (Figure S2). During the course of this filtering, missing data were imputed via a k-

nearest neighbors approach (impute, Hastie, Tibshirani, Narasimhan, & Chu, 2018). We also

performed genome-wide analyses (redundancy analyses, explained below) a second time with

stricter filtering parameters (no more than 50% missing data in any individual, and no more than

20% missing data at each locus, leaving 34 individuals) and obtained nearly identical P-values

and F-statistics, although percent variance explained was reduced (Supplementary File 1).

All fragments were mapped to the published *S. alterniflora* transcriptome (Boutte *et al.,*

2016) and the *O. sativa* genome (Michigan State University version 7, Kawahara *et al.*, 2013)

using BLAST (Altschul *et al.,* 1997). We used BLAST (Altschul *et al.,* 1997) and

RepeatExplorer (Novak, Neumann, Pech, Steinhaisl, & Macas*,* 2013) to compare our sequenced

fragments to the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome (Boutte *et al.*, 2016; Ferreira de Carvalho *et al.,*

2013, 2017) and known repeat elements, respectively.

Population genetics

All statistical analyses were performed in R v 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2017). The epiGBS

technique, and the sequencing design that we chose, did not provide sufficient sequencing depth

to estimate hexaploid genotype likelihoods with confidence, particularly considering the lack of

a high-quality reference genome (Boutte *et al.,* 2016; Dufresne *et al.,* 2014). We therefore used

the frequency of the most common allele within an individual at each polymorphic locus as a

substitute for genotype at each locus. Although this method ignores the various types of partial

heterozygosity that are possible in hexaploid *S. alterniflora*, methods do not currently exist for

accurate estimation of heterozygosity in polyploids, and the majority of standard population

genetic inference assumes diploidy. We assumed that the frequency of the most common allele

was likely to underestimate diversity and therefore underestimate divergence between

populations, making our tests of differentiation conservative (Meirmans, Liu, & van Tienderen,

2018).

We obtained pairwise F_{ST} values between populations to test for significant

differentiation (StAMPP, Pembleton, Cogan, & Forster*,* 2013). We also used distance-based

redundancy analysis (RDA function in the Vegan package v. 2.5-2; Oksanen *et al.* 2017) to

minimize false positives (Meirmans, 2015) in assessing isolation by distance using the formula

(genetic distance ~ latitude * longitude). We visualized data using principal components analysis

(PCA; Figure 1A).

225   To quantify the relationship between genome-wide variation and environmental

conditions, we used partial constrained redundancy analysis (RDA, implemented with the RDA

function in the Vegan package v. 2.5-2; Oksanen *et al.* 2017). RDA is a multivariate ordination

technique that allowed us to assess the joint influence of all SNPs simultaneously, while

effectively controlling for both population structure and false discovery (Forester, Lasky,

Wagner, & Urban, 2018). The resulting "locus scores" correspond to the loadings of each SNP

on to the constrained axis, which represents the variation that can be explained by the variable of

interest (in this case, crude oil exposure). We attempted to control for variation among sites with

a replicated sampling strategy, but rather than using a single term for "population", we

conditioned our ordination on variables identified by latent factor mixed models analysis using

the LFMM package (Caye, Jumentier, Lepeule, & François, 2019), which provides a method to

account for residual variation due to unmeasured differences among populations, including

environmental variation, life history variation, and geographical separation (Leek *et al.*, 2017).

We used RDA to fit our final model with the formula (SNP matrix ~ oil exposure +

Condition(latent factors)). We used a permutational test (999 permutations; Oksanen *et al.* 2017)

to assess the likelihood that oil-exposed and unexposed populations differed by chance, and

visualized results using principal components analysis. We identified individual SNPs that were

significantly correlated with oil contamination using the three standard deviation outlier method

described by Forester *et al*. (2018). Finally, we tested for differences in genetic variation using

the PERMDISP2 procedure (Vegan; Oksanen *et al*., 2017), under the assumption that a

significant reduction in genetic variation in oiled populations may be evidence of a bottleneck.

Methylation analysis

248   During the filtering process, loci were annotated with their methylation context, but all

contexts were pooled for distance-based analyses as well as multiple testing correction after

locus-by-locus modeling. We tabulated methylation frequency at each locus (methylated

cytosines/(methylated+unmethylated cytosines)), and visualized differences between samples

with PCA (Figure 2A).

To identify signatures of DNA methylation variation that were correlated with oil

exposure while controlling for genetic structure, we estimated latent variables with LFMM (Caye

et al., 2019) as above. In addition to the advantages described above, latent factor analysis (or the

related surrogate variable analysis) provides a control for cell type heterogeneity in epigenomic

studies (Akulenko, Merl, & Helms, 2016; Caye *et al.,* 2019; McGregor *et al.,* 2016). We then

modeled the impact of oil exposure to genome-wide patterns of DNA methylation while

controlling for latent variation as well as population structure via RDA (Vegan v. 2.5-2; Oksanen

et al., 2017) with the formula (methylation distance ~ oil exposure + Condition(latent factors) +

the first 5 principal components).

To identify differentially methylated positions (DMPs) between contaminated and

uncontaminated samples, we used binomial linear mixed modeling (MACAU; Lea, Tung, &

Zhou, 2015), using the genetic relatedness matrix and latent factors as covariates to control for

population structure. We corrected locus-specific P-values for multiple testing (qvalue v 2.14.1;

Storey, Bass, Dabney, & Robinson, 2015), and tested for overrepresentation of cytosine contexts

(CG, CHG, CHH) using binomial tests, implemented in R (R Core Team, 2017). Our epiGBS

fragments rarely exceeded 200bp, and we were therefore unable to identify differentially

methylated regions.

Relationships to gene expression variation

In a previous study using pools of individuals on a custom microarray, we found

differential expression associated with response to the *DWH* in 3,334 out of 15,950 genes that

were assessed in *S. alterniflora* (Alvarez *et al*., 2018)*.* In order to make the epiGBS data

comparable to our pooled microarray design, we concatenated SNPs and methylation

polymorphisms from individuals into *in silico* sample pools by averaging values at individual

loci across the same three individuals within pools that were used in the gene expression

analysis. We then calculated genetic, expression, and methylation distances between sample

pools and used Mantel and partial Mantel tests to assess the relationship between all three data

types and between methylation and expression, controlling for the effect of genetic distance

(Vegan, Oksanen *et al.* 2017).

We also obtained the probability of whether significantly associated SNPs and

methylation positions were likely to be overlapping differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by

chance using a bootstrap method. We drew a number of random SNPs or methylated positions,

with replacement, equal to the number of observed significantly associated SNPs or DMPs, and

counted the number of loci that overlapped with DEGs in our previous study. This process was

repeated 9999 times each for genetic and methylation data. We derived P-values by counting the

number of times a value at least as large as the observed value appeared in the bootstrap

resamples and dividing by the number of bootstrap replicates.

Greenhouse oil response experiment

We assessed the possibility that native *S. alterniflora* populations harbored genetic

variation for the response to crude oil via a controlled greenhouse experiment. We collected 10

S. alterniflora individuals that had been collected from two oil-naïve sites ("Cabretta" and

"Lighthouse") in the Sapelo Island National Estuarine Research Reserve in Georgia, USA, in

May 2010. We collected plants that were spaced ten meters apart, maximizing the chance that

individuals were of different genotypes (Foust *et al.,* 2016; Richards, Hamrick, Donovan, &

Mauricio, 2004). We grew these individuals in pots under greenhouse conditions for at least

three years before beginning our experiments and propagated multiple replicates of each

genotype by rhizome cutting. Individual ramets were separated and potted in 4 inch pots in a 50-

50 mixture of peat and sand (Cypress Creek, Tampa, USA; Alvarez, 2016).

We distributed three potted replicates of each of the 10 genotypes in each of two plastic

containment chambers, for a total of 60 biological samples. One chamber received only

uncontaminated fresh water, while the oil treatment chamber received 2.5% oil (sweet Louisiana

crude) in 62 liters of water, which we previously determined would induce strong phenotypic

response (Alvarez, 2016). Tides were simulated once per day by filling containment chambers

with the water or water-oil mixture and allowing the fluid to drain into a catchment. We

estimated biomass by tallying the number of living leaves and the number of living ramets when

the experiment began, and again seven days after crude oil was added.

Statistical analysis of greenhouse experiment

We used generalized linear models (R Core Team 2017) with a Poisson error distribution

to analyze the above-ground biomass at the end of the experiment (measured as the number of

leaves and the number of ramets). Because *S. alterniflora* reproduces clonally, we assumed that

biomass would represent a reasonable proxy of fitness in our species (Younginger, Sirová,

Cruzan, & Ballhorn, 2017). We also included a covariate for the size of each plant at the start of

the experiment, represented by the number of leaves and the number of ramets at the start of the

experiment. Each model was written as ($Phenotype_{Final} \sim Treatment * Genotype +$

$Phenotype_{Initial}$), where asterisks represent main effects and all interactions. We did not explicitly

test for differences between sites since admixture is high between sites on Sapelo Island and we

found no evidence of genetic differentiation (Foust *et al.*, 2016). We assessed significance for

main effects and interactions using type III tests. However, to identify individual genotypes

responding more strongly than others, we conducted post-hoc pairwise comparisons, correcting

for multiple testing using Holm's correction for multiple testing (emmeans; Lenth, 2018).

Results

epiGBS yields informative genetic and methylation loci

The libraries for 48 individuals (Table 1) generated 6,809,826 total raw sequencing reads,

of which 3,833,653 (56.3%) could be matched to their original mate strand. *De novo* assembly

using the epiGBS pipeline resulted in 36,131 contiguous fragments ranging from 19-202 bp, an

average length of 123.92 bp, and a total length of 5,441,437 bp. The size of the *S. alterniflora*

genome is estimated to be 2C values = 6x = 4.2 GB (Fortune *et al.*, 2008), and current genomic

analyses indicate that repetitive sequences (including transposable elements and tandem repeats)

represent about 45% of the total analyzed genomic data set in *S. alterniflora* (Giraud *et al., in*

prep). Therefore, we estimate that our epiGBS approach assayed approximately 0.6% of the non-

repetitive genome. However, fragments that were >90% similar were merged, and polyploid

homeologs may have been concatenated. With BLAST, we found 10,103 fragments mapped to

2,718 transcripts in the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. We found that 1,571 transcripts (57.8%)

contained multiple epiGBS fragments that align to the same place, and 296 (10.9%) contained

multiple epiGBS fragments that mapped to different places within the same gene. We suspect

that multiple epiGBS fragments map to the same location because some epiGBS fragments

represent different homeologs of the same region, which mapped to the same location. Only 1%

of reads map to repetitive elements, confirming that *Pst1*-fragmented libraries were biased away

from highly methylated, repetitive regions (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016). The bisulfite non-conversion

rate was calculated to be 0.36% of cytosines per position, and was estimated from lambda phage

spike-in (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016). Although we found substantial variation in average sequencing

depth among samples, we found no obvious non-random bias in sampling depth across samples

(Figure S1). However, during filtering, we removed ten samples due to stochastic under-

sequencing, leaving 38 samples for population analyses (Table 1, Figure S2).

Genetic differentiation

Our initial sequencing run yielded 171,205 SNPs across all individuals. After filtering to

common loci, removing invariant sites, and imputing missing data (Figure S2), we obtained

63,796 SNP loci. Of these, 5,753 SNPs occurred in transcripts. As in our AFLP study, we found

significant genetic differentiation that was correlated to oil exposure: oil exposure explained

23.4% of the variance in DNA sequence (P<0.001, Figure 1A, B, Table 2), providing evidence

that selection may have acted on these populations. Pairwise F_{ST} calculations showed that all

sites were significantly different from each other (Table 3), with no evidence of isolation by

distance (P>0.05 for latitude, longitude, and interaction). We found 1,631 SNPs that were

significantly associated with oil exposure (defined by a locus score >3 standard deviation units

away from the mean locus score; Forester *et al*., 2018; Figure 1C), including 169 that overlapped

with the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. Of these loci, 41 were annotated using information from

O. sativa, and contained a number of putative regulators of gene expression. Among significant

loci, 1,324 differed in major allele frequency between exposed and unexposed populations by

greater than 5%, and 334 by greater than 20% (Figure 1C). Significantly differentiated loci

appeared no less likely to increase or decrease in major allele frequency based on exposure (809

increasing vs 822 decreasing in frequency). We tested for homogeneity of group dispersion, and

found no evidence of change in variance in oil-exposed populations (P=0.512).

DNA methylation differentiation

Our bisulfite sequencing yielded 1,402,083 cytosines that were polymorphic for

methylation across our samples before filtering. After filtering our data to common loci as

described above, we analyzed 92,999 polymorphic methylated loci, 25,381 of which occurred in

the CG context, 24,298 in the CHG context, and 43,030 in the CHH context (Figure 2C). An

additional 290 had variable context because they co-occurred with a SNP. These proportions of

polymorphic methylation loci did not change significantly due to oil exposure. Methylation calls

were collapsed for symmetric CG and CHG loci across "watson" and "crick" strands so that

methylation on either one or both strands was considered as a single locus. Although DNA

methylation was strongly correlated with oil exposure (Table 2, $P<0.001$) when controlling only

for latent factors, this differentiation was not significant after controlling for genetic population

structure with principal components of genetic data (Table 2, $P>0.1$). In the latter model, oil

explained 10% of the variation in methylation.

We found 240 DMPs that differed significantly between exposure types ($Q<0.05$, Figure

2C; Table S1). The number of observed DMPs in the CG context (125 loci) was significantly

overrepresented relative to their occurrence in our data ($P<0.001$). We also found DMPs in CHG

(57 loci), and CHH (58 loci) context, which was underrepresented among DMPs relative to their

prevalence in all contigs ($P<0.001$). Among the significant loci, most had negligible differences

in the magnitude of methylation frequency changes (average 1.4% change between exposed and

unexposed populations). Only 29 experienced a change in magnitude of methylation greater than

5%, and only 7 loci showed a change of greater than 20%. Additionally, 19 DMPs were located

within a fragment that mapped to the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome, and 49 DMPs occurred in the

same fragment as a significantly differentiated SNP. However, only 4 of those SNPs altered the

trinucleotide context of DNA methylation.

Correlations with gene expression

We found no significant relationship between genetic distance and gene expression

distance (Mantel's R= 0.050, P=0.32), between patterns of methylation variation and genome

wide gene expression (Mantel's R=0.051, P=0.29), or between methylation and genome wide

expression when controlling for genetic variation (Mantel's R=0.014, P= 0.41). Only 14 SNPs

that were significantly associated with oil exposure overlapped with DEGs correlated with

exposure to the *DWH* oil spill (Alvarez *et al.,* 2018). However, our bootstrap test showed that

this overlap could occur by chance (P>0.79). Therefore, our data suggests that if these SNPs are

under selection, they are not necessarily regulating differential expression resulting from coding

changes in those genes. In addition, although 19 DMPs overlapped coding regions, only 3 of the

DMPs corresponded to a DEG (Table S1), and our bootstrap test suggests that this was also

likely to occur by chance (P>0.5). However, our data is limited to address the association

between DNA methylation and differential expression of specific genes.

Genotypes in common garden differ in their response to crude oil

We found a significant effect of oil exposure on both the number of leaves (F= 13.09, P <

0.001) and the number of ramets (F = 28.75, P < 0.001) at the end of the controlled greenhouse

experiment. Type III tests showed significant genotype-by-treatment interactions for the number

of leaves, but not ramets, at the end of the experiment, suggesting that individual genotypes vary

in their response to crude oil exposure. Post-hoc comparisons identified two genotypes (C and G;

FDR<0.05, Figure 3; Table S2) that lost a significantly greater number of leaves over the course

of the experiment relative to other genotypes, further suggesting the presence of standing

variation among individuals for the response to crude oil exposure.

Discussion

Spartina alterniflora displays high levels of genetic and DNA methylation variation

across environmental conditions in its native range (Foust *et al.,* 2016; Hughes & Lotterhos,

2014; Richards *et al.*, 2004; Robertson *et al.*, 2017), potentially providing substrate for both

genetic and epigenetic response to pollution. We previously found that genetic structure and

expression of 3,334 genes were correlated to exposure to the *DWH* oil spill, but genome-wide

methylation variation was not (Alvarez *et al.*, 2018; Robertson *et al.,* 2017). Higher resolution

epiGBS suggests that both genetic sequence and DNA methylation are correlated with crude oil

exposure in *S. alterniflora*, but that differentiation in DNA methylation is primarily explained by

differences in allele frequencies. Additionally, our greenhouse experiment shows phenotypic

plasticity and genotypic variation in crude oil response, as measured by differential reduction in

biomass between exposed and unexposed samples. These findings are consistent with genotype-

specific mortality, and suggest that the *DWH* oil spill may have been a selective event in *S.*

alterniflora populations.

Genetic and epigenetic response to the DWH

We found significant genetic differentiation between oil-exposed and unexposed sites,

which may reflect either stochastic mortality in oil-exposed areas from a severe bottleneck, or a

signature of selection for oil tolerance in affected populations. *Spartina alterniflora* displays high

phenotypic plasticity, and populations have persisted after exposure to the *DWH* oil spill, even

after extensive aboveground dieback (Lin & Mendelssohn, 2012; Lin *et al.*, 2016; Silliman *et al.*,

2012). However, our studies and previous accounts of initial losses in live aboveground and

belowground biomass (Lin *et al.*, 2016) suggest that some *S. alterniflora* genotypes were more

susceptible than others to crude oil stress, and either had not regrown at the time of sampling or

experienced mortality as a result of oil exposure. Although we found no evidence for a reduction

in genetic variation, which may have further indicated selection for tolerant genotypes, the high

ploidy of *S. alterniflora* makes accurate quantification of total genetic variation challenging.

Further investigations are required to confirm the magnitude of selection, whether mortality

varied by genotype, and if there was a reduction in genetic variation among oil-exposed

populations.

DNA methylation differences may reflect either the downstream effects of genetic

variants, an induced response to environment, or both (Meng *et al.*, 2016). For example, in

another study of *S. alterniflora* populations, patterns of DNA methylation were more strongly

correlated than genetic structure with microhabitat, and correlation of DNA methylation to

environment was independent of population structure (Foust *et al.,* 2016). In this study, we found

a multi-locus signature of methylation differentiation (17% of the variation explained by oil

exposure) between oil-affected and unaffected sites before controlling for population structure.

However, we found no association between methylation and crude oil contamination after

controlling for genetic variation and latent effects, suggesting DNA methylation is controlled by

genetic variation.

The observed variation in DNA methylation may be controlled by genetic variation via

either a change in the nucleotide context, the presence or absence of particular alleles in *cis,* or

variation in upstream regulatory elements. Allelic variation that changes trinucleotide context

can alter or eliminate the ability of a methyltransferase to deposit methylation at that site.

However, in our data, we did not find an enrichment of SNPs that affected trinucleotide context

of DMPs. Concurrently, we did not detect an enrichment of oil-associated SNPs in DEGs, which

we would expect if changes in the coding regions of those genes explain the observed gene

expression variation in oil-exposed individuals. However, our ability to assess the relationships

between SNPs, SMPs and DEGs was limited by the distribution of our RRBS fragments. Further,

changes in allele frequencies, due to either selection or drift, may have generated divergence in

the regulatory machinery maintaining DNA methylation and gene expression profiles among

exposed and unexposed populations.

Although we cannot disentangle whether differential expression causes alternative

methylation patterns or vice versa, we previously identified a DEG that was homologous to the

histone methyltransferase SUVH5, which may modulate fitness effects during oil exposure

(Alvarez *et al.,* 2018). Histone methylation is linked to DNA methylation through the regulation

of CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) activity (Stroud, Greenberg, Feng, Bernatavichute, &

Jacobsen, 2013). Given our previous results and those from the present study, we hypothesized

that the differential expression of SUVH5 in response to crude oil exposure would result in

differences in DNA methylation. These differences, in turn, may be maintained via genetic

variation between exposed and unexposed populations either in the SUVH5 homolog itself, or

more broadly within the CMT3-mediated pathway. However, targeted resequencing and further

functional validation in the populations of interest will be required to confirm this hypothesis.

Reduced representation sequencing compared to AFLP

As the field of ecological genomics matures, there is a pressing need to develop robust

assays and statistically sound measures of regulatory variation. Reduced representation

methylation sequencing techniques are attractive for ecological epigenomics because they can be

used to infer genome wide patterns of both genetic and methylation variation without a high-

quality reference genome (Paun, Verhoeven, & Richards, 2019; Richards *et al.*, 2017; Robertson

& Richards, 2015; van Moorsel *et al.* 2019). However, they still have serious limitations

particularly for species that do not yet have a fully sequenced reference genome (Paun *et al.*,

2019). Furthermore, it is important to note that the limited number of loci surveyed may have led

to a biased subsampling of the genome. In turn, this can lead to a poor estimation of the "neutral"

level of divergence in the genome, and therefore a biased interpretation of divergence between

these populations (Lowry *et al.*, 2017).

When comparing epiGBS to MS-AFLP, we expected that the substantial increase in

markers (92,999 compared to 39 polymorphic methylation loci, respectively) would lend greater

resolution to detect patterns of DNA methylation variation. Our epiGBS survey detected

significant differentiation in both genetic variation and DNA methylation that was correlated to

oil exposure, suggesting that epiGBS provides increased resolution over MS-AFLP to detect

genome-wide methylation differences. However, despite the much larger data set generated by

epiGBS, we only found 240 differentially methylated positions. Although it would be valuable to

identify associations between gene expression and nearby DNA methylation variation, the

minimal overlap between our RRBS fragments and DEGs hindered our ability to associate

methylation and gene expression variation. This is due to the small fraction of the genome that is

assayed, substantial variation in methylation, and that we were unable to identify fragments that

overlapped promoter regions without a reference genome.

Future RRBS studies will benefit from optimizing protocols that enrich for specific

portions of the genome (*e.g.* Heer & Ullrich *et al.,* 2018), but generating a draft reference

genome will be imperative to allow for better exploitation of RRBS data and ascertain gene

function (Paun *et al.*, 2019). While sequencing-based techniques provide the potential to identify

functional genomic regions, correct annotations rely on genomic resources in a relevant

reference. In polyploid species like *S. alterniflora*, the number of duplicated genes and the

potential for neofunctionalization among them creates additional uncertainty for correctly

assigning annotations (Primmer, Papkostas, Leder, Davis & Ragan, 2013). *Spartina alterniflora*

has various levels of duplicated gene retention, small RNA variation (including miRNAs and

SiRNAs) and homeologous expression (Ainouche, Baumel, Salmon, & Yannic 2003; Boutte *et*

al., 2016; Cavé-Radet, Giraud, Lima, El Amrani, Ainouche, & Salmon, 2019; Ferreira de

Carvalho, 2013, 2017; Fortune *et al.*, 2007), which may result in more opportunities for gene

diversification and subfunctionalization (Chen *et al.*, 2015; Salmon & Ainouche, 2015; Shimizu-

Inatsugi *et al.,* 2017). Therefore, while studies with RRBS techniques in non-model plants offer

increased power to detect broad, genome-wide patterns of variation that may be correlated to

ecology, they are still limited for the detection of specific gene function. Improving genomics

resources in a variety of organisms is an essential next step for understanding the molecular level

basis of ecological interactions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Steve Pennings, Brittney DeLoach McCall, Aaron Schrey, Christina Moss, and

Ashley Shayter for access to field sites and assistance with plant sampling. We thank Acer Van

Wallendael, Kieran Samuk, and Kate Ostevik for constructive feedback. This work was

supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (U.S.A.) DEB-1419960 and IOS-

1556820 (to CLR) and through the Global Invasions Network Research Exchange (Grant No.

0541673 for MR), the Franco-American Fulbright Commission (to CLR), and the Netherlands

Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO-ALW No. 820.01.025 to KJFV).

References

1. Ainouche, M.L., Baumel, A., Salmon, A. & Yannic, G. (2003). Hybridization, polyploidy
and speciation in Spartina (Poaceae). *New Phytologist,* 161, 165-172.

2. Akulenko, R., Merl, M., & Helms, V. (2016). BEclear: batch effect detection and
adjustment in DNA methylation data. *PloS one,* 11, p.e0159921.

3. Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., &
Lipman, D.J. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein
database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research,* 25, 3389-3402.

4. Alvarez, M. (2016). *Molecular response of Spartina alterniflora to the Deepwater
Horizon oil spill* (PhD thesis). University of South Florida, Tampa, FL.

5. Alvarez, M., Ferreira de Carvalho, J., Salmon, A., Ainouche, M.L., Cavé-Radet, A., El
Amrani, A., … Richards, C.L. (2018). Transcriptome response to the *Deepwater Horizon*
oil spill identifies novel candidate genes for oil tolerance in natural populations of the
foundation plant *Spartina alterniflora. Molecular Ecology.* doi: 10.1111/mec.14736

6. Alvarez, M., Schrey, A.W., & Richards, C.L. (2015). Ten years of transcriptomics in wild
populations: what have we learned about their ecology and evolution?. *Molecular
Ecology,* 24, 710-25. doi: 10.1111/mec.13055

7. Andrew, R.L., Bernatchez, L., Bonin, A., Buerkle, C.A., Carstens, B.C., Emerson, B.C.,
… Rieseberg, L.H. (2013). A road map for molecular ecology. *Molecular Ecology,* 22,
2605-2626. doi: 10.1111/mec.12319

8. Banta, J.B., & Richards, C.L. (2018). Quantitative epigenetics and evolution. *Heredity,*
121, 210–224. doi: 10.1038/s41437-018-0114-x

9. Becker, C., & Weigel, D. (2012). Epigenetic variation: origin and transgenerational
inheritance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 15, 562-567.

10. Bedre, R., Mangu, V.R., Srivastava, S., Sanchez, L.E., & Baisakh, N. (2016).
Transcriptome analysis of smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora* Loisel), a monocot
halophyte, reveals candidate genes involved in its adaptation to salinity. *BMC Genomics,*
17, 657. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3017-3
573

574 11. Boutte, J., Ferreira de Carvalho, J., Rousseau-Gueutin, M., Poulain, J., Da Silva, C.,
575   Wincker, P., … Salmon, A. (2016). Reference Transcriptomes and Detection of
576   Duplicated Copies in Hexaploid and Allododecaploid Spartina Species (Poaceae).
577   *Genome Biology and Evolution,* 8, 3030–3044. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evw209
578

579 12. Cavé-Radet, A., Salmon, A., Lima, O., Ainouche, M.L., & El Amrani, A. (2018).
580   Increased tolerance to organic xenobiotics following recent allopolyploidy in Spartina
581   (Poaceae), *Plant Science*. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.11.005
582

583 13. Cavé-Radet, A., Giraud, D., Lima, O., El Amrani, A., Ainouche, M.L., & Salmon, A.
584   (2019). Evolution of small RNA expression following hybridization and
585   allopolyploidization: insights from Spartina species (Poaceae, Chloridoideae). *Plant Mol*
586   *Biol.* doi: 10.1007/s11103-019-00931-w.
587

588 14. Caye, K., Jumentier, B., Lepeule, J., & François, O. (2019). LFMM 2: fast and accurate
589   inference of gene-environment associations in genome-wide studies. *Molecular biology*
590   *and Evolution,* 36(4), 852-860.
591

592 15. Chen, X., Ge, X., Wang, J., Tan, C., King, G.J., & Liu, K. (2015). Genome-wide DNA
593   methylation profiling by modified reduced representation bisulfite sequencing in Brassica
594   rapa suggests that epigenetic modifications play a key role in polyploid genome
595   evolution. *Frontiers in Plant Science,* 6, 836.
596

597 16. Day, J.W., Boesch, D.F., Clairain, E.J., Kemp, G.P., Laska, S.B., Mitsch, W.J., …
598   Dennis, F. (2007). Restoration of the Mississippi Delta: lessons from hurricanes Katrina
599   and Rita. *Science,* 23, 1679-84.
600

601 17. DeLeo, D.M., Herrera, S., Lengyela, S.D., Quattrinia, A.M., Kulathinala, R.J., & Cordes,
602   E.E. (2018)*.* Gene expression profiling reveals deep-sea coral response to the *Deepwater*
603   *Horizon* oil spill. *Molecular Ecology,* 27, 4066–4077. doi: 10.1111/mec.14847
604

605 18. Dufresne, F., Stift, M., Vergilino, R., & Mable, B.K. (2014). Recent progress and
606   challenges in population genetics of polyploid organisms: an overview of current state-
607   of-the-art molecular and statistical tools. *Molecular Ecology,* 23, 40-69.
608

609 19. Feder, M.E., & Mitchell-Olds, T. (2003). Evolutionary and ecological functional
610   genomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics,* 4, 649.
611

612 20. Ferreira de Carvalho, J., Poulain, J., Da Silva, C., Wincker, P., Michon-Coudouel, S.,
613   Dheilly, A., … Ainouche, M. (2013). Transcriptome de novo assembly from next-
614   generation sequencing and comparative analyses in the hexaploid salt marsh species
615   Spartina maritima and Spartina alterniflora (Poaceae). *Heredity,* 110, 181-193.

21. Ferreira de Carvalho, J., Boutte, J., Bourdaud, P., Chelaifa, H., Ainouche, K., Salmon, A., & Ainouche, M. (2017). Gene expression variation in natural populations of hexaploid and allododecaploid Spartina species (Poaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution, 303,* 1061-1079.

22. Forester, B.R., Lasky, J.R., Wagner, H.H., & Urban, D.L. (2018). Comparing methods for detecting multilocus adaptation with multivariate genotype–environment associations. *Molecular Ecology, 27*(9), 2215-2233.

23. Fortune, P.M., Schierenbeck, K.A., Ainouche, A.K., Jacquemin, J., Wendel, J.F., & Ainouche, M.L. (2007). Evolutionary dynamics of Waxy and the origin of hexaploid Spartina species (Poaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 43,* 1040–1055. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.11.018

24. Fortune, P.M., Schierenbeck, K.A., Ayres, D., Bortolus, A., Catrice, O., Brown, S., & Ainouche, M.L. (2008). The enigmatic invasive *Spartina densiflora*: A history of hybridizations in a polyploidy context. *Molecular Ecology, 17,* 4304–4316.

25. Foust, C.M., Preite, V., Schrey, A.W., Alvarez, M., Robertson, M.H., Verhoeven, K.J.F., & Richards, C.L. (2016). Genetic and epigenetic differences associated with environmental gradients in replicate populations of two salt marsh perennials. *Molecular Ecology, 25,* 1639-1652.

26. Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Narasimhan, B., & Chu, G. (2018). impute: Imputation for microarray data. R package version 1.54.0. doi: 10.18129/B9.bioc.impute

27. Hazen, T.C., Dubinsky, E.A., DeSantis, T.Z., Andersen, G.L., Piceno, Y.M., Singh, N., … Mason, O.U. (2010). Deep-sea oil plume enriches indigenous oil-degrading bacteria. *Science, 330,* 204–208. doi: 10.1126/science.1195979

28. Heer, K.*, Ullrich, K.K.*, Hiss, M., Liepelt, S., Schulze-Brüning, R., Zhou, J., … Rensing, S.A. (2018). Detection of somatic epigenetic variation in Norway spruce via targeted bisulfite sequencing. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(19), 9672-9682. doi: 10.1002/ece3.4374

29. Hughes, A.R., Inouye, B.D., Johnson, M.T., Underwood, N., & Vellend, M. (2008). Ecological consequences of genetic diversity. *Ecology Letters, 11,* 609-23.

30. Hughes, A.R., & Lotterhos, K.E. (2014). Genotypic diversity at multiple spatial scales in the foundation marsh species, *Spartina alterniflora*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series,* 497, 105–117. doi: 10.3354/meps10565

31. Kawahara, Y., de la Bastide, M., Hamilton, J.P., Kanamori, H., McCombie, W.R., Ouyang, S., … Matsumoto, T. (2013). Improvement of the *Oryza sativa* Nipponbare

reference genome using next generation sequence and optical map data. *Rice,* 6(1), 4. doi: 10.1186/1939-8433-6-4

32. Kimes, N.E., Callaghan, A.V., Aktas, D.F., Smith, W.L., Sunner, J., Golding, B.T., … Morris, P.J. (2013). Metagenomic analysis and metabolite profiling of deep-sea sediments from the Gulf of Mexico following the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Frontiers in Microbiol*ogy, 4:50. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00050

33. Kimes N.E., Callaghan A.V., Suflita J.M., & Morris P.J. 2014. Microbial transformation of the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill—past, present, and future perspectives. *Front. Microbiol.* 5: 603. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00603

34. Kudoh, H. (2016). Molecular phenology in plants: in natura systems biology for the comprehensive understanding of seasonal responses under natural environments. *New Phytologist,* 210, 399–412. doi: 10.1111/nph.13733

35. Lea, A.J., Tung, J., & Zhou, X. (2015). A flexible, efficient binomial mixed model for identifying differential DNA methylation in bisulfite sequencing data. *PLoS Genetics,* 11, e1005650.

36. Leek, J., McShane, B.B., Gelman, A., Colquhoun, D., Nuijten, M.B., & Goodman, S.N. (2017). Five ways to fix statistics. *Nature,* 551, 557-559.

37. Lenth, R. (2018). Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R Package Version 1.2.

38. Liebl, A.L., Schrey, A.W., Richards, C.L., & Martin, L.B. (2013). Patterns of DNA methylation throughout a range expansion of an introduced songbird. *Integrative and Comparative Biology,* 53, 351-358. doi: 10.1093/icb/ict007

39. Liebl, A.L., Schrey, A.W., Andrew, S.C., Sheldon, E.L., & Griffith, S.C. (2015). Patterns of DNA methylation throughout a range expansion of an introduced songbird. *Current Zoology,* 61(3), 465-476.

40. Lin, Q., & Mendelssohn, I.A. (2012). Impacts and recovery of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on vegetation structure and function of coastal salt marshes in the northern Gulf of Mexico, *Environmental Science & Technology,* 46, 3737-43.

41. Lin, Q., Mendelssohn, I.A., Graham, S.A., Hou, A., Fleeger, J.W., & Dies, D.R. (2016). Response of salt marshes to oiling from the Deepwater Horizon spill: Implications for plant growth, soil surface-erosion, and shoreline stability. *Science of the Total Environment,* 1, 369-77.

42. Lowry, D.B., Hoban, S., Kelley, J.L., Lotterhos, K.E., Reed, L.K., Antolin, M.F., & Storfer, A. (2017). Breaking RAD: an evaluation of the utility of restriction site-

706 associated DNA sequencing for genome scans of adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*
707 *Resources,* 17, 142-152. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12635
708
709 43. Maor, G.L., Yearim, A., & Ast, G. (2015). The alternative role of DNA methylation in
710 splicing regulation. *Trends in Genetics,* 31, 274-80.
711
712 44. Marchant, C.J. (1968). Evolution in Spartina (Gramineae). II. Chromosomes, basic
713 relationships and the problem of *S. x townsendii* agg. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean*
714 *Society*, 60, 381–409
715
716 45. Matzke, M.A., & Mosher, R.A. (2014). RNA-directed DNA methylation: an epigenetic
717 pathway of increasing complexity. *Nature Reviews Genetics,* 15, 394-408.
718
719 46. McGregor, K., Bernatsky, S., Colmegna, I., Hudson, M., Pastinen, T., Labbe, A., &
720 Greenwood, C.M. (2016). An evaluation of methods correcting for cell-type
721 heterogeneity in DNA methylation studies. Genome biology, 17, 84.
722
723 47. Meirmans, P.G. (2015). Seven common mistakes in population genetics and how to avoid
724 them. *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 3223-3231.
725
726 48. Meirmans, P.G., Liu, S., van Tienderen, P.H. (2018). The analysis of polyploid genetic
727 data. *Journal of Heredity,* 109(3), 283-296. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esy006
728
729 49. Mendelssohn, I.A., Andersen, G.L., Baltz, D.M., Caffey, R.H., Carman, K.R., Fleeger,
730 J.W., … Rozas, L.P. (2012). Oil impacts on coastal wetlands: implications for the
731 Mississippi River Delta ecosystem after the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *BioScience,* 62,
732 562-74.
733
734 50. Meng, D., Dubin, M., Zhang, P., Osborne, E.J., Stegle, O., Clark, R.M., & Nordborg, M.
735 (2016). Limited contribution of DNA methylation variation to expression regulation in
736 Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Genetics,* 12, e1006141.
737
738 51. Michel, J., Owens, E.H., Zengel, S., Graham, A., Nixon, Z., Allard, T., … Taylor, E.
739 (2013). Extent and degree of shoreline oiling: Deepwater Horizon oil spill, Gulf of
740 Mexico, USA. *PloS One* 12: e65087. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065087
741
742 52. Nagano, A.J., Sato, Y., Mihara, M., Antonio, B.A., Motoyama, R., Itoh, H., … Izawa, T.
743 (2012). Deciphering and prediction of transcriptome dynamics under fluctuating field
744 conditions. *Cell,* 151, 1358–1369. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.048
745
746 53. Nagano, A.J., Kawagoe, T., Sugisaka, J., Honjo, M.N., Iwayama, K., & Kudoh, H.
747 (2019). Annual transcriptome dynamics in natural environments reveals plant seasonal
748 adaptation. *Nature Plants,* 5, 74–83.
749
750 54. National Commission on the BP Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. 2011. Graham B, Reilly
751 WK, Beinecke F, Boesch DF, Garcia TD, Murray CA, Ulmer F, eds. *Deep Water: The*

752   *Gulf Oil Disaster and the Future of Offshore Drilling. Report to the President.* doi:
753   10.1111/jols.12003/full
754

755 55. Nicotra, A.B., Atkin, O.K., Bonser, S.P., Davidson, A.M., Finnegan, E.J., Mathesius, U.,
756   … van Kleunen, M. (2010). Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. *Trends in*
757   *Plant Science,* 15, 684-92. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.09.008
758

759 56. Niederhuth, C.E.*, Bewick, A.J.*, Ji, L., Alabday, M., Kim, K.D., Li, Q., … Schmitz,
760   R.J. (2016). Widespread natural variation of DNA methylation within angiosperms.
761   *Genome Biology,* 17, 194. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1059-0
762

763 57. Niederhuth, C.E., & Schmitz, R.J. (2017). Putting methylation in context: from genomes
764   to gene expression in plants. *Biochemica et Biophysica Acta,* 1, 149–156. doi:
765   10.1016/j.bbagrm.2016.08.009
766

767 58. Novák, P., Neumann, P., Pech, J., Steinhaisl, J., & Macas, J. (2013). RepeatExplorer: a
768   Galaxy-based web server for genome-wide characterization of eukaryotic repetitive
769   elements from next-generation sequence reads. *Bioinformatics,* 29, 792-793.
770

771 59. Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., …
772   Wagner, H. (2017). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-4.
773   https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
774

775 60. Paun, O., Verhoeven, K.J.F., & Richards, C.L. (2019). Opportunities and limitations of
776   reduced representation bisulphite sequencing in plant ecological epigenomics. *New*
777   *Phytologist,* 221, 738-742. doi: 10.1111/nph.15388
778

779 61. Pembleton, L.W., Cogan, N.O., & Forster, J.W. (2013). StAMPP: an R package for
780   calculation of genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations.
781   *Molecular Ecology Resources,* 13, 946-952.
782

783 62. Pennings, S.C., & Bertness, M.D. (2001). Salt Marsh Communities. In: Bertness MD,
784   Gaines SD, Hay M, eds. *Marine Community Ecology*. (pp 289-316). Sunderland,
785   Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
786

787 63. Primmer, C.R., Papakostas, S., Leder, E.H., Davis, M.J., & Ragan, M.A. (2013).
788   Annotated genes and nonannotated genomes: cross-species use of Gene Ontology in
789   ecology and evolution research. *Molecular Ecology,* 22, 3216–3241. doi:
790   10.1111/mec.12309
791

792 64. R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
793   foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www. R-project.org/
794

65. Richards, C.L., Alonso, C., Becker, C., Bossdorf, O., Bucher, E., Colomé-Tatché, M., …
Verhoeven K.J.F. (2017). Ecological plant epigenetics: Evidence from model and non-
model species, and the way forward. *Ecology Letters,* 20, 1576-1590.

66. Richards, C.L., Hamrick, J.L., Donovan, L.A., & Mauricio, R. (2004). Unexpectedly high
clonal diversity of two salt marsh perennials across a severe environmental gradient.
Ecology Letters, 7, 1155–1162. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00674.x

67. Richards, C.L., Schrey, A.W., & Pigliucci, M. (2012). Invasion of diverse habitats by few
Japanese knotweed genotypes is correlated with high epigenetic differentiation. *Ecology*
Letters, 15, 1016-1025.

68. Robertson, M., & Richards, C.L. (2015). Opportunities and challenges of next-generation
sequencing applications in ecological epigenetics. *Molecular Ecology, 24*, 3799-3801.

69. Robertson, M., Schrey, A., Shayter, A., Moss, C.J., & Richards, C.L. (2017). Genetic and
epigenetic variation in Spartina alterniflora following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.
Evolutionary Applications, 10, 792-801.

70. Rodriguez-R, L.M., Overholt, W.A., Hagan, C., Huettel, M., Kostka, J.E., &
Konstantinidis, K.T. (2015). Microbial community successional patterns in beach sands
impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *The ISME Journal, 9*, 1928-1940.

71. Salmon, A., & Ainouche, M. (2015). Next-generation sequencing and the challenge of
deciphering evolution of recent and highly polyploid genomes. In: Horandl, E., &
Appelhans, M. (eds.). *Next-Generation Sequencing in Plant Systematics*. Königstein,
Germany: Koeltz Scientific Books. doi: 10.14630/000002

72. Schoener, T.W. (2011). The newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of
evolutionary and ecological dynamics. *Science, 331*, 426-429.

73. Secco, D., Wang, C., Shou, H., Schultz, M.D., Chiarenza, S., Nussaume, L., … Lister, R.
(2015). Stress induced gene expression drives transient DNA methylation changes at
adjacent repetitive elements. *Elife, 4*, e09343

74. Shimizu-Inatsugi, R., Terada, A., Hirose, K., Kudoh, H., Sese, J., & Shimizu, K.K.
(2017). Plant adaptive radiation mediated by polyploid plasticity in transcriptomes.
Molecular Ecology, 26, 193-207. doi: 10.1111/mec.13738

75. Silliman, B.R., van de Koppel, J., McCoy, M.W., Diller, J., Kasozi, G.N., Earl, K., …
Zimmerman, A.R. (2012). Degradation and resilience in Louisiana salt marshes after the
BP-Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America, 109, 11234–11239. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1204922109

76. Storey, J.D., Bass, A.J., Dabney, A., & Robinson, D. (2015). qvalue: Q-value estimation
for false discovery rate control. R package version 2.6.0.
http://github.com/jdstorey/qvalue

77. Stroud, H., Greenberg, M.V.C., Feng, S., Bernatavichute, Y.V., & Jacobsen, S.E. (2013).
Comprehensive analysis of silencing mutants reveals complex regulation of the
Arabidopsis methylome. *Cell,* 152, 352-364. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.054
846

847   78. Underwood, C.J., Choi, K., Lambing, C., Zhao, X., Serra, H., Borges, F., … Martienssen,
848   R.A. (2018). Epigenetic activation of meiotic recombination near *Arabidopsis thaliana*
849   centromeres via loss of H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA methylation. *Genome Research,* 28,
850   1-13.
851

852   79. van Gurp, T.P., Wagemaker, N.C., Wouters, B., Vergeer, P., Ouborg, J.N., & Verhoeven,
853   K.J. (2016). epiGBS: reference-free reduced representation bisulfite sequencing. *Nature*
854   *Methods,* 13, 322-324.
855

856   80. van Moorsel, S.J., Schmid, M.V., Wagemaker, C.A.M., van Gurp, T., Schmid, B.,
857   Vergeer, P. (2019) Evidence for rapid evolution in a grass-land biodiversity experiment.
858   *Molecular Ecology,* 28, 4097–4117. https ://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15191
859

860   81. Verhoeven, K.J., Jansen, J.J., van Dijk, P.J., & Biere, A. (2010). Stress-induced DNA
861   methylation changes and their heritability in asexual dandelions. *New Phytologist,* 185,
862   1108-1018.
863

864   82. Weyrich, A., Lenz, D., Jeschek, M., Chung, T.H., Rübensam, K., Göritz, K., Jewgenow,
865   K., Fickel, J. (2016) Paternal intergenerational epigenetic response to heat exposure in
866   male Wild guinea. *Molecular Ecology – Special Issue on Epigenetic Studies in Ecology*
867   *and Evolution*, 25, 1729–1740.doi: 10.1111/mec.13494
868

869   83. Whitehead, A., Dubansky, B., Bodinier, C., Garcia, T.I., Miles, S., Pilley, C., & Walter,
870   R.B. (2012). Genomic and physiological footprint of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on
871   resident marsh fishes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 109, 20298–
872   20302.
873

874   84. Whitham, T.G., Bailey, J.K., Schweitzer, J.A., Shuster, S.M., Bangert, R.K., LeRoy, C.J.,
875   … Wooley, S.C. (2006). A framework for community and ecosystem genetics: from
876   genes to ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Genetics,* 7, 510.
877

878   85. Xie, H.J.*, Li, H.*, Liu, D., Dai, W.M., He, J.Y., Lin, S., … Qiang, S. (2015). *ICE1*
879   demethylation drives the range expansion of a plant invader through cold tolerance
880   divergence. *Molecular Ecology,* 24, 835-850. doi: 10.1111/mec.13067
881

882   86. Yelina, N.E., Lambing, C., Hardcastle, T.J., Zhao, X., Santos, B., & Henderson, I.R.
883   (2015). DNA methylation epigenetically silences crossover hot spots and controls
884   chromosomal domains of meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis. *Genes & Development,*
885   29, 2183-2202.
886

887   87. Younginger, B.S., Sirová, D., Cruzan, M.B., & Ballhorn, D.J. (2017). Is biomass a
888   reliable estimate of plant fitness? *Applications in Plant Sciences*, 5(2), p.1600094
889

     Tables and Figures

891   **Table 1** Sampling information across all sites after filtering. Site information includes location
892   and oil status at each site (exposure).
893

Site Location	Site Code	Exposure	No. of individuals
Grand Isle, LA	GIN1	None	8
Grand Isle, LA	GIN2	None	6
Barataria Bay, LA	GIO1	Heavily Oiled	3
Barataria Bay, LA	GIO2	Heavily Oiled	7
Bay St. Louis, MS	MSN	None	6
Bay St. Louis, MS	MSO	Heavily Oiled	8

894
895
896   **Table 2** Association between oil exposure, genetic distance, and methylation distance across
897   tests. Test statistics and significance determined through RDA. *** indicates significance at $P \leq$
898   0.001.
899

	df	F		Variance Explained
Genetic	1	2.183	***	0.234
Methylation				
Without control for genetic var.	1	1.96	***	0.167
With control for genetic var.	1	1.199		0.099

900
901
902   **Table 3** Pairwise Fst among three oil contaminated and three uncontaminated sites. Bold (i.e. all
903   entries) indicates significance at $P < 0.001$.
904

	GIN1	GIN2	MSN	GIO1	GIO2
GIN2	**0.0855**				
MSN	**0.1045**	**0.1219**			
GIO1	**0.0707**	**0.0909**	**0.1088**		
GIO2	**0.0885**	**0.1091**	**0.1251**	**0.0914**	
MSO	**0.1108**	**0.1343**	**0.1507**	**0.1106**	**0.1330**

906
Figure 1 A) Visualization of principal components 1 and 2 of allele frequency data (SNP) data.
B) Visualization of principal component 1 and RDA1, which represents the line of maximal
separation between samples based on allele frequency data. C) The locus score, representing
loadings of each SNP to the constrained axis, plotted against the average change in allele
frequency between unexposed and oil exposed populations. Significantly differentiated SNPs are
shown in black.
913

Figure 2 A) Visualization of principal components 1 and 2 of methylation frequency data. B) Visualization of principal component 1 and RDA1, which represents the line of maximal separation between samples based on methylation frequency data, after controlling for genetic variation and latent factors. C) All methylation polymorphisms, with differentially methylated positions (DMPs) shown in black. Negative log10 P-values are plotted on the Y axis while the average change in methylation frequency between unexposed and oil exposed populations is shown on the X axis. Dotted lines represent a change of at least 20%, either increased or decreased, due to oil exposure.

Figure 3 Variation in effect size estimates of the effect of crude oil exposure in individual genotypes. Estimates were based on estimated marginal means in our greenhouse experiment. Asterisks represent significance in post-hoc comparisons.

Author Contributions

CLR & KJFV conceived the study. CLR, KJFV, MA, MR, MLA and AS designed the

experiments and analyses. MA, MR, CAMW and TVG did the laboratory work. MA, MR, and

TVG analyzed the epiGBS data. CLR, MA, DG, and AS analyzed the transcriptome and gene

expression data. CLR, MA and MR wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All co-authors

provided input and revisions to the manuscript.

Data accessibility

Raw data files are available on Dryad at XXX. Supplementary tables and figures can be

found in the electronic supplementary material, and is available on

github.com/alvarezmf/DWH_epigbs along with processed data and R scripts.

Supporting Information

Table S1 SNPs and methylation loci significantly associated with oil exposure.

Table S2 Post-hoc tests for the effect of crude oil exposure in individual genotypes. Estimates

were based on estimated marginal means in our greenhouse experiment.

Figure S1 A) Principal components analysis on sampling depth per SNP allele. B) Percent

variance explained by each principal component.

Figure S2 Upper half: percentage of present and imputed data per sample after filtering for A)

SNP and B) methylation loci. Lower half: percentage of present and imputed data per locus after

filtering for C) SNP and D) methylation loci. In C and D, only the first 5,000 loci are shown for

clarity. Dotted lines represent missing data cut-off values for removal (80% missing for

individuals in A & B, 50% for loci in C & D).