Epigenome-wide response to oil pollution in the foundation plant *Spartina alterniflora*

Mariano Alvarez*
Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708, USA;
marianoferdinandalvarez@gmail.com

Marta Robertson*
IRHS-INRA 49071 Beaucouzé Cedex, France; marta.h.robertson@gmail.com

Thomas van Gurp
Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, the Netherlands;

Cornelis A. M. Wagemaker
Department of Experimental Plant Ecology, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands**;**
n.wagemaker@science.ru.nl

Delphine Giraud
UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France; delphine.giraud@univ-rennes1.fr

Malika L. Ainouche
UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France ; malika.ainouche@univ-rennes1.fr

Armel Salmon
UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France; armel.salmon@univ-rennes1.fr

Koen J. F. Verhoeven
Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, the Netherlands; k.verhoeven@nioo.knaw.nl

Christina L. Richards
Department of Integrative Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA; clr@usf.edu

*indicates equal contribution by authors

Running title: Epigenetic response to pollution
Keywords: AFLP, DNA methylation, epiGBS, epigenetics, foundation species, oil pollution, salt marsh, *Spartina alterniflora*
Type of article: Letter
150 words in abstract, 5087 words in main text
82 references, 4 figures and 3 tables; 2 supplemental table, 3 supplemental figures

Correspondence: Christina L. Richards, Department of Integrative Biology, University of South Florida, 4202 East Fowler Avenue, Tampa, FL 33617, USA; phone: +001 813 974 5090; fax: +001 813 974 3263; clr@usf.edu

Author Contributions

CLR & KJFV conceived the study. CLR, KJFV, MA, MR, MLA and AS designed the experiments and analyses. MA, MR, CAMW and TVG did the laboratory work. MA, MR, and TVG analyzed the epiGBS data. CLR, MA, DG, and AS analyzed the transcriptome and gene expression data. CLR, MA and MR wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All co-authors provided input and revisions to the manuscript.

Data accessibility
Raw data files are available on Dryad at XXX. Processed data and R scripts are available on github.com/alvarezmf/DWH_epigbs.

Abstract

Theory predicts that environmental challenges can shape the composition of populations, which is manifest at the molecular level. Previously, we demonstrated that oil pollution affected gene expression patterns and altered genetic variation in natural populations of the foundation salt marsh grass, *Spartina alterniflora*. Here, we used a reduced representation bisulfite sequencing approach, epigenotyping by sequencing (epiGBS), to examine relationships among DNA sequence, DNA methylation, gene expression, and exposure to oil pollution. We document genome-wide genetic and methylation differentiation between oil-exposed and unexposed populations, and a correlation of genome-wide methylation patterns and gene expression, independent of population genetic structure. In addition, we demonstrate genotypic differences in response to oil under controlled conditions. Overall, these findings demonstrate genetic variation correlated to oil pollution, and that variation in DNA methylation is abundant, responsive, and correlated to gene expression in natural populations, which may represent an important component of the response to environmental stress.

Introduction

Organismal interactions and response to environment are governed by molecular mechanisms, which are among the most basic levels of biological organization. Studies across a diversity of organisms have described the association of genetic variation with environmental factors (Feder & Mitchell-Olds, 2003; Andrew *et al.*, 2013). More recently, transcriptomics studies in natural populations have identified gene expression differences that underlie phenotypic plasticity, genotype-by-environment interactions, and local adaptation, and that some of these differences are only elicited in natural environments (Nicotra *et al.*, 2010; Nagano *et al.* 2012, 2019; Alvarez *et al.*, 2015). Hence, genetic variation and gene expression variation can translate into trait variation that contributes to organismal performance with important population- and community-level ecological effects (Whitham *et al.*, 2006; Hughes *et al.*, 2008; Schoener, 2011; Alvarez *et al.*, 2015).

Additional layers of regulatory variation, including chromatin modifications, small RNAs, and other non-coding variants, can mediate changes in genotypic expression and phenotype. However, regulatory variation is infrequently studied in ecological settings (Nagano *et al.* 2012, 2019; Alvarez *et al.* 2015; Kudoh 2016; Richards *et al.*, 2017). Chromatin modifications such as DNA methylation can also vary among individuals within populations, and contribute to phenotypic variation through a variety of regulatory roles (Becker & Weigel, 2012; Jackson, 2017; Richards *et al.*, 2017). For example, DNA methylation may affect phenotype and subsequent ecological interactions by modulating the expression of genes (Alvarez *et al.*, 2015, 2018), the types of transcripts that genes produce (Maor *et al.*, 2015), the movement of mobile elements (Matzke & Mosher, 2014), and the production of structural variants (Yelina *et al.*, 2015; Underwood *et al.*, 2018). At the same time, changes in genetic sequence or gene expression may cause variation in patterns of DNA methylation, creating a relationship that is bidirectional and heterogeneous across the genome (Secco *et al.*, 2015; Meng *et al.*, 2016; Niederhuth & Schmitz, 2017). Patterns of DNA methylation have been correlated to habitat types, exposure to stress, and shifts in species range (Verhoeven *et al.*, 2010; Richards *et al.*, 2012; Liebl *et al.*, 2013; Xie & Li *et al.*, 2015; Foust *et al.*, 2016).

In 2010, the *Deepwater Horizon* (*DWH*) oil spill developed into the largest marine oil spill in history (National Commission on the BP *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, 2011), and became an opportunity to test ecological and evolutionary hypotheses in a diversity of organisms exposed to this recurrent anthropogenic stress (e.g. Hazen *et al.* 2010; Whitehead *et al.* 2012;

Kimes *et al*. 2013, 2014; Robertson *et al*. 2017; Alvarez *et al*. 2018; DeLeo *et al*. 2018). A

mixture of crude oil and dispersants made landfall along 1,773 kilometers on the shorelines of

Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama and Florida (Mendelssohn *et al.*, 2012; Michel *et al.,* 2013).

Nearly half of the affected habitat was salt marsh, which provides valuable ecosystem functions

such as providing nurseries for birds and fish, and buffering storm and wave action (Day *et al.*,

2007; Mendelssohn *et al.*, 2012; Michel *et al.,* 2013). Gulf of Mexico salt marshes are dominated

by the hexaploid foundation plant species *Spartina alterniflora* (2n=6x=62; Marchant, 1968)*,*

which is remarkably resilient to a variety of environmental stressors (Pennings & Bertness, 2001;

Baisakh *et al.*, 2008; Baisakh & Subudhi, 2009; Silliman *et al.*, 2012; Bedre *et al.*, 2016; Armand

Cavé-Radet *et al.*, 2018). Crude oil exposure from the *DWH* oil spill resulted in reduced carbon

fixation, reduced transpiration, and extensive above-ground dieback in *S. alterniflora*

populations (Lin & Mendelssohn, 2012; Silliman *et al.,* 2012). While oil-affected populations

showed partial to complete recovery within seven months of the spill (Lin *et al.,* 2016), the

genomic and population level mechanisms that underlie this remarkable recovery have been

poorly characterized.

In previous studies, we found that pollution tolerance was correlated to changes in

expression of a diverse set of genes, including epigenetic regulators and chromatin modification

genes, such as a homolog of SUVH5 (Alvarez *et al.*, 2018). Despite the resilience of *S.*

alterniflora populations to the *DWH* spill, we also found evidence of genetic differentiation

between individuals from oil-exposed areas and nearby uncontaminated areas (Robertson *et al.*,

2017). We expected that DNA methylation patterns would be divergent between oil exposed and

unexposed populations, which might be induced by the environment or result from the genetic

differences between exposed and unexposed populations. However, while a few DNA

methylation loci (measured via methylation sensitive amplified fragment length polymorphism;

MS-AFLP) were correlated with oil exposure, we did not find genome-wide patterns in DNA

methylation correlated with oil exposure in *S. alterniflora* (Robertson *et al.*, 2017).

In this study, we used a recently developed reduced representation bisulfite sequencing

(RRBS) technique, epigenotyping by sequencing (epiGBS), to generate a more robust DNA

sequence and DNA methylation data set (van Gurp *et al.*, 2016). We expected that the increased

resolution, both in number and in detail of the markers, provided by this sequencing approach

would confirm our previously observed patterns of genetic differentiation, and allow us to

identify fine scale DNA methylation structure that was not apparent in our previous study. We

also predicted that we would find evidence that DNA methylation was correlated with changes in
gene function since some fragments might overlap with the coding regions of genes (Niederhuth
& Bewick *et al.*, 2016). In addition, we examined the potential for response to selection among
genotypes to crude oil treatments in a greenhouse experiment. We expected that variation in
response to crude oil among genotypes would indicate existing standing variation in wild
populations of *S. alterniflora* that could be acted upon by selection.
146
147 **Materials and Methods**
148
Sample Collection
We collected individuals from the leading edge of the marsh at three contaminated and
three uncontaminated sites near Grand Isle, Louisiana and Bay St. Louis, Mississippi in August
2010, four months after the *DWH* oil spill as described in previous studies (Table 1; Robertson *et*
al., 2017; Alvarez *et al.,* 2018). These sites were naturally variable in conditions, but all sites
supported monocultures of *S. alterniflora*. Contaminated sites were identified by the visual
presence of oil on the sediment, and substantial above-ground dieback of *S. alterniflora* on the
leading edge of the marsh with *S. alterniflora* plants growing up through the dead wrack. Nearby
uncontaminated sites did not have any visual signs of the presence of oil or noticeable dieback of
the above ground portions of *S. alterniflora* (contamination status confirmed via NRDA
databases, Robertson *et al.*, 2017). To standardize age and minimize developmental bias in
sampling, we collected the third fully expanded leaf from each of eight individuals, spaced 10
meters apart at each of the six sites (N=48). Leaf samples were immediately frozen in liquid
nitrogen to prevent degradation, and kept frozen during transport to the University of South
Florida for processing and analysis.
164
Greenhouse oil response experiment
For the greenhouse experiment, we used 10 *S. alterniflora* individuals that had been
collected from two oil-naïve sites ("Cabretta" and "Lighthouse") in the Sapelo Island National
Estuarine Research Reserve in Georgia, USA, in May 2010. Collected plants were spaced ten
meters apart, maximizing the chance that individuals were of different genotypes (Richards et al.
2004; Foust et al 2016). We grew these individuals in pots under greenhouse conditions for at
least three years before beginning our experiments and propagated multiple replicates of each

genotype by rhizome. Individual ramets were separated and potted in 4 inch pots in a 50-50

mixture of peat and sand (Cypress Creek, Tampa, USA; Alvarez 2016).

We distributed six potted replicates of each of the 10 genotypes in each of two plastic

containment chambers, for a total of 60 biological samples. One chamber received only

uncontaminated fresh water, while the oil treatment chamber received 2.5% oil (sweet Louisiana

crude) in 62 liters of water, which we previously determined would induce strong phenotypic

response (Alvarez 2016). Tides were simulated once per day by filling containment chambers

with the water or water-oil mixture and allowing the fluid to drain into a catchment. We

measured biomass by tallying the number of living leaves and the number of living ramets when

the experiment began, and again seven days after crude oil was added.

DNA extractions and library prep

We isolated DNA from each sample (48) using the Qiagen DNeasy plant mini kit

according to the manufacturer's protocol. We prepared epiGBS libraries *sensu* van Gurp *et al.*

(2016). Briefly, isolated DNA was digested with the enzyme PstI, which is sensitive to CHG

methylation and biases resulting libraries toward coding regions (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016). After

digestion, adapters containing methylated cytosines and variable barcodes were ligated to either

end of the resulting fragments. We used the Zymo EZ Lightning methylation kit to bisulfite treat

and clean the DNA. Libraries were then amplified with the KAPA Uracil Hotstart Ready Mix

with the following PCR conditions: an initial denaturation step at 98ºC for 1 min followed by 16

cycles of 98ºC for 15s, 60ºC for 30s, and 72ºC for 30s, with a final extension of 72ºC for 5 min.

Paired-end reads were sequenced at the University of Florida Interdisciplinary Center for

Biotechnology Research on the Illumina HiSeq 5000.

Data pre-processing and mapping to transcriptome

We used the epiGBS pipeline (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016) to demultiplex samples, trim

adapter sequences, and assemble the *de novo* reference sequence

(https://github.com/thomasvangurp/epiGBS). To improve variant calling while accounting for

polyploidy, we realigned individuals to the *de novo* reference (generated from the epiGBS

pipeline), separately using BWAmeth (Pedersen *et al.,* 2014), and called variants using the

GATK pipeline (DePristo *et al.,* 2011) with a specified ploidy of six. Methylation was tabulated

using the existing epiGBS analysis pipeline. Both SNP and methylation data were filtered

separately to include only loci that were present in more than 90% of individuals, with no more
than 50% missing from any one individual. We removed loci with less than 5x depth of coverage
and remaining missing data were imputed via a k-nearest neighbors approach (impute, Hastie *et*
al., 2018).
All fragments were mapped to the published *S. alterniflora* transcriptome (Boutte *et al.,*
2016) and the *Oryza sativa* genome (Michigan State University version 7, Kawahara *et al.*, 2013)
using BLAST (Altschul *et al.,* 1997). We estimated the number and order of exons by mapping
the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome to *Oryza sativa*. We used BLAST (Altschul *et al.,* 1997) and
RepeatExplorer (Novak *et al.,* 2013) to compare our sequenced fragments to the *S. alterniflora*
transcriptome (Ferreira de Carvalho *et al.,* 2013, 2017; Boutte *et al.*, 2016) and known repeat
elements, respectively.
215
Population genetics
This RRBS technique did not provide sufficient sequencing depth to estimate hexaploid
genotype likelihoods with confidence, particularly considering the lack of a high-quality
reference genome (Dufresne *et al.,* 2014; Boutte *et al.,* 2016). We therefore used the frequency
of the most common allele within an individual at each polymorphic locus as a proxy to estimate
Nei's genetic distance (StAMPP, Pembleton *et al.,* 2013).
We obtained pairwise F_{ST} values between populations to test for significant
differentiation. We used distance-based redundancy analysis (RDA; Vegan, Oksanen *et al.* 2017)
to minimize false positives (Meirmans 2015) in assessing isolation by distance using the formula
(genetic distance ~ latitude * longitude).
To quantify the relationship between genome-wide variation and environmental
conditions, we again used RDA with the formula (genetic distance ~ oil exposure + surrogate
variables). RDA allowed us to assess the joint influence of all SNPs simultaneously (Meirmans
2015). We attempted to control for variation among sites with a replicated sampling strategy, but
rather than using a single term for "population", we included parameters identified by surrogate
variable analysis (SVA), which provides a method to account for residual variation due to
unmeasured differences among populations, including environmental variation, life history
variation, and geographical separation (Leek *et al.*, 2017). We estimated surrogate variables by
fitting a full and null model to the allele frequency or DNA methylation frequency data matrix
and examining the principal components of the residuals (Leek & Storey (2007). We used a

permutational test (999 permutations) to assess significance, and tested for differences in the

amount of dispersion around centroids among oil-exposed or unexposed populations

(PERMDISP2, Vegan, Oksanen *et al.,* 2017). We visualized results using multidimensional

scaling (MDS, Vegan, Oksanen *et al.,* 2017).

We used binomial linear mixed modeling (implemented in MACAU; Lea *et al.,* 2015)

directly on observed allele frequencies to identify individual SNPs that were significantly

correlated with oil contamination. For this analysis, we accounted for population structure with a

genetic relatedness matrix calculated as Nei's distance (StAMPP, Pembleton *et al*., 2013), and

used the surrogate variables as covariates. All p-values were corrected for family-wise false

discovery using the Q-value package in R (Storey *et al.,* 2015).

Methylation analysis

During the filtering process, loci were annotated with their methylation context, but all

contexts were pooled for distance-based analyses as well as family-wise error rate corrections

after locus-by-locus modeling. We tabulated methylation frequency at each locus (methylated

cytosines/(methylated+unmethylated cytosines)), and used methylation frequencies to generate a

Euclidean methylation distance matrix in R.

To identify signatures of DNA methylation variation that were correlated with oil

exposure while controlling for genetic structure, we used principal components analysis to

reduce the genetic data to orthogonal components, and identified 8 of the 42 possible principal

components axes that were significantly correlated with methylation. We then modeled the

impact of oil exposure to genome-wide patterns of DNA methylation by jointly assessing the

influence of all polymorphic methylation loci concurrently via RDA (Vegan, Oksanen *et al.*

2017) with the formula (methylation distance ~ oil exposure + surrogate variables + significant

principal components of allele frequency data). We corrected the resulting P values for false

discovery using a Holm correction, and visualized results using multidimensional scaling (MDS,

Vegan, Oksanen *et al.* 2017).

To identify differentially methylated positions (DMPs) between contaminated and

uncontaminated samples, we used binomial linear mixed modeling (MACAU; Lea *et al.,* 2015),

using the genetic relatedness matrix and surrogate variables as covariates to control for

population structure. We corrected locus-specific P-values for multiple testing (qvalue, Storey *et*

al., 2015), and tested for overrepresentation of cytosine contexts (CG, CHG, CHH) using an

exact binomial test, implemented in R. Our epiGBS fragments rarely exceeded 200bp, and we

were unable to identify differentially methylated regions.

Relationships to gene expression variation

In a previous study using pools of individuals on a custom microarray, we found

differential expression in response to the *DWH* in 3,334 out of 15,950 genes that were assessed

in *S. alterniflora* (Alvarez *et al*., 2018)*.* In order to make the epiGBS data comparable to our

pooled microarray design, we concatenated SNPs and methylation polymorphisms from

individuals into *in silico* sample pools by averaging values at individual loci across the same

three individuals within pools that were used in the gene expression analysis. We then calculated

genetic, expression, and methylation distances between sample pools and used multiple

regression on distance matrices to assess the relationship between all three data types (MRM;

ecodist, Goslee & Urban, 2007).

We assessed the correlation between gene expression, genetic variation, and methylation

variation within the same fragment (i.e. in *cis*) gene-by-gene using only microarray probes and

epiGBS fragments that could be mapped to the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. We regressed the

expression values of each gene against the methylation frequencies and most common allele

frequencies at corresponding epiGBS fragments using the *lm* function in R with the formula

(gene expression ~ *cis* methylation + *cis* SNP). We summarized variation across each epiGBS

fragment for multiple SNP or methylation loci by using the first principal component of each

data type. We interpreted significant effects of SNPs or DNA methylation to mean that the

expression of a given gene depended on the frequency of the nucleotide or methylation

polymorphism. We corrected for false discovery using qvalue (Storey *et al.*, 2015).

Greenhouse statistical analysis

We used linear mixed models (lme4; Bates *et al*. 2015) to analyze the change in above-

ground biomass over the course of the experiment (measured as the change in number of leaves

overall and in the number of leaves per ramet), as biomass is a reliable proxy of fitness across

plants (Younginger *et al*. 2017). Each model was written as (Phenotype ~ Treatment * Genotype,

where asterisks represent main effects and all interactions. We do not explicitly test for

differences between sites since admixture is high between sites on Sapelo Island and we found

no evidence of genetic differentiation (Foust *et al*. 2016). We assessed significance for main

effects and interactions using type III tests. However, to identify individual genotypes

responding more strongly than others, we conducted post-hoc pairwise comparisons using a

Tukey's correction (emmeans; Lenth 2018), which provide additional power to test responses in

individual genotypes (Hsu 1996).

Results

epiGBS yields informative genetic and methylation loci

308   Following library preparation, we removed four samples due to stochastic under-

sequencing. The libraries for 44 individuals (Table 1) generated 6,809,826 total raw sequencing

reads, of which 3,833,653 (56.3%) could be matched to their original mate strand. *De novo*

assembly using the epiGBS pipeline resulted in 36,131 contiguous fragments (19-202 basepairs)

with a total length of 5,441,437 bp (Figs. **S1, S2**). Given the size of the *S. alterniflora* genome

(2C values = 4.3 pg; Fortune *et al.*, 2008), our epiGBS approach assayed approximately 0.3% of

the genome. However, fragments that were >90% similar were merged, and polyploid

homeologs may have been concatenated. With BLAST, we found 10,103 fragments mapped to

2,718 transcripts in *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. We found that 1,571 transcripts (57.8%)

contained multiple epiGBS fragments that align to the same place, and 296 (10.9%) contained

multiple epiGBS fragments that mapped to different places within the same gene. We suspect

that multiple epiGBS fragments map to the same location because some epiGBS contiguous

fragments represent different homeologs of the same region, which mapped to the same location.

Only 1% of reads map to repetitive elements, confirming that *Pst1*-fragmented libraries were

biased away from highly methylated, repetitive regions (van Gurp *et al.,* 2016).

Genetic differentiation

325   Our initial sequencing run yielded 171,205 SNPs across all individuals. After filtering to

common loci and removing invariant sites, we imputed 10-25% missing genetic data and 10-50%

methylation data per sample (Fig. **S3**), resulting in 6,521 SNP loci. Significant data loss after

filtering was likely due to insufficient sampling depth across an exceptionally large hexaploid

genome. Of these 6,521 loci, 243 SNPs occurred in transcripts. Pairwise F_{ST} calculations showed

that all sites were significantly different from each other (Table 3), with no evidence of isolation

by distance (P>0.05 for latitude, longitude, and interaction). As in our AFLP study, we found

significant genetic differentiation that was correlated to oil exposure: oil exposure explained

7.9% of the variance in DNA sequence (P=0.035, Table 2). These results are recapitulated in the

MDS visualization, which shows partial separation of oil-exposed and unexposed sites along

MDS axis 1 (Fig. **1**). We found 42 SNPs that were significantly associated with oil exposure,

including 6 that overlapped with the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. Four of these genes were

annotated in *O. sativa* (Table S1). After testing for homogeneity of group dispersion, we found

no evidence of change in variance in oil-exposed populations (P=0.696).

DNA methylation differentiation

Our bisulfite sequencing yielded 1,402,083 cytosines that were polymorphic for

methylation across our samples before filtering. After filtering our data to common loci as

described above, we analyzed 19,577 polymorphic methylated loci, 5,836 of which occurred in

the CG context, 5,069 in the CHG context, and 8,673 in the CHH context. These proportions of

polymorphic loci did not change significantly due to oil exposure (Fig. **2**). Methylation calls

were collapsed for symmetric CG and CHG loci across "watson" and "crick" strands so that

methylation on either one or both strands was considered as a single locus. A quarter of cytosines

that showed methylation polymorphism (26.3%) were methylated in fewer than 5 individuals,

suggesting that many cytosines were infrequently methylated or methylated only on some sub-

genomes. We found significant methylation differentiation by oil exposure after controlling for

population structure with principal components of genetic data (P=0.022). Oil explained 9.2% of

the variation in methylation.

We found 14 differentially methylated positions (DMPs between exposure types

(Q<0.05, Fig. **3**; Table S1). These loci occurred nearly equally in CG (4 loci), CHG (5 loci), and

CHH (5 loci) contexts, and no contexts were overrepresented relative to their distribution across

all loci (P>0.2 for all tests). Among these significant loci, one was located within a fragment that

mapped to the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. In addition, four were located in one of three

fragments that mapped to the *Oryza sativa* transcriptome (Table S1). Most of these 14 loci had

negligible differences in the magnitude of methylation frequency changes (average 2.8% change

between exposed and unexposed populations). However, we found a 30% change in methylation

frequency of a locus located in an actin filament depolymerization protein (Os03g56790; ADF2).

We found no DMPs that mapped to the first 250 nucleotides of exon 1 of any gene, which is

where DNA methylation may be particularly relevant to differential expression (Secco *et al.*,
2015).

Correlations with gene expression

Although 2,718 epiGBS fragments mapped to the *Spartina* transcriptome, none of these
fragments overlapped with transcripts that showed expression differences correlated with
exposure to the *DWH* oil spill (Alvarez *et al.*, 2018). We found no significant relationship
between genetic distance and gene expression distance (P=0.185, Mantel's R=0.1489), but a
moderate correlation between patterns of methylation variation and genome wide gene
expression (P=0.009, Mantel's R=0.2319). We had expression data and either SNPs or
methylation polymorphisms for only 54 genes, and we found no association between individual
SNPs or methylation polymorphisms with expression in *cis*.

S. alterniflora genotypes differ in their response to crude oil

We found a significant effect of oil exposure on both the change in leaves (F= 13.09, P <
0.001) and the change in leaves normalized by the number of ramets (F = 28.75, P < 0.001).
Type III tests did not show significant differences among genotypoes in oil response; however
post-hoc comparisons identified three genotypes (C, G, J; P<0.05, Table S2) that lost a
significantly greater number of leaves over the course of the experiment relative to other
genotypes, and one genotype (H; P<0.05) that lost significantly more leaves when normalized by
the number of ramets (Table S2).

Discussion

Spartina alterniflora displays high levels of genetic and methylation variation across
environmental conditions in its native range (Richards *et al.*, 2004; Hughes & Lotterhos, 2014;
Foust *et al.,* 2016; Robertson *et al.*, 2017), potentially providing substrate for both genetic and
epigenetic response to pollution. We previously found that genetic structure and expression of
3,334 genes were correlated to exposure to the *DWH* oil spill, but genome-wide methylation
variation was not (Robertson *et al.,* 2017; Alvarez *et al.*, 2018). Higher resolution epiGBS
suggests that both genetic sequence and DNA methylation are correlated with crude oil exposure
in *S. alterniflora*, and that changes in gene expression are more strongly correlated to genome-
wide patterns of DNA methylation than population structure. Additionally, our greenhouse

experiment shows genotypic variation in crude oil response, as measured by reduction in

biomass. These findings are consistent with genotype-specific mortality, and suggest that the

DWH oil spill may have been a selective event.

Confirmation of genetic and epigenetic response to the DWH

We found significant genetic differentiation between oil-exposed and unexposed sites,

which may reflect either stochastic mortality in oil-exposed areas from a severe bottleneck, or a

signature of selection for oil tolerance in affected populations. *Spartina alterniflora* is a highly

stress tolerant plant, and populations have persisted after exposure to the *DWH* oil spill, even

after extensive dieback (Lin & Mendelssohn, 2012; Silliman *et al.*, 2012; Lin *et al.*, 2016).

However, our genetic data and our greenhouse experiment suggest that some *S. alterniflora*

genotypes were more susceptible than others to crude oil stress, and either had not regrown at the

time of sampling or experienced mortality as a result of oil exposure. Our genetic marker studies,

genotypic differences in our greenhouse study and previous accounts of initial losses in live

aboveground and belowground biomass, support the hypothesis of differential mortality (Lin *et*

al., 2016). Although we found no evidence for a reduction in genetic variation, which may

further indicate selection for tolerant genotypes, the high ploidy of *S. alterniflora* makes accurate

quantification of total genetic variation challenging. Further investigations will be required to

confirm whether mortality varied by genotype in oil-exposed populations, the magnitude of

selection and if there was reduction in genetic variation among oil-exposed populations.

DNA methylation differences that were correlated to oil exposure in our study may

reflect either the downstream effects of genetic variants, an induced regulatory response to oil

exposure, or both (Meng et al. 2016). For example, in another study of *S. alterniflora*

populations, patterns of DNA methylation were more strongly correlated than genetic structure

with microhabitat, and some response of DNA methylation to environment was independent of

population structure (Foust *et al.,* 2016). In the oil contaminated populations, we identified a

differentially expressed gene that was homologous to the histone methyltransferase SUVH5,

which may modulate fitness effects during oil exposure (Alvarez *et al.,* 2018). Histone

methylation is linked to DNA methylation through the regulation of *DNA (cytosine-5)-*

methyltransferase CMT3 activity (Stroud *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, we hypothesized that the

differential expression of SUVH5 in response to crude oil exposure would result in differences in

DNA methylation. With the increased resolution offered by epiGBS (19,615 methylation loci

compared to 39 polymorphic MS-AFLP fragments), we found a genome-wide signature of
methylation differentiation between oil affected and unaffected sites after controlling for
population structure. In our greenhouse experiment, all genotypes responded to oil exposure by
reducing biomass. However, some genotypes responded more dramatically, such that crude oil
exposure may select on standing variation, despite broad plastic response across genotypes.
432
Epigenetic variation is correlated to genome wide patterns of gene expression
We expected that our fragments would be biased toward coding regions of the genome,
and that we would find DNA methylation was correlated with changes in gene function where
fragments overlapped with the promoters or the 5' end of the coding regions of genes. We found
that almost 1/3 (10,103 of the 36,131) of the epiGBS fragments mapped to 2,718 transcripts in
the *S. alterniflora* transcriptome. However, none of these 2,718 genes overlapped with the 3,334
oil responsive genes from our previous transcriptome analysis (Alvarez *et al.*, 2018), and few
fragments overlapped the 5' end of genes. Additionally, our data was limited to explore an
association between DNA methylation and expression when individual fragments were
examined. Therefore, our expectation that we could correlate local methylation polymorphisms
to expression of individual oil responsive genes was not fulfilled in this study. However,
genome-wide patterns of DNA methylation are more strongly correlated than genetic structure
with gene expression, suggesting a broader interplay between the regulation of gene expression
and DNA methylation.
Combined, our results suggest that genetic variation and regulatory mechanisms may play
a role in the response of *S. alterniflora* populations to crude oil pollution. Although we are
unable to dissect the mechanistic role of these molecular processes, our data suggests that crude
oil exposure may have generated differentiation between oil exposed and unexposed populations,
which is manifest in patterns of genetic variation, gene expression and DNA methylation.
Variation in these genome-level processes may modulate both the fixed and plastic phenotype
responses to crude oil exposure in this system. Our greenhouse study supports the hypothesis that
both plasticity, in the form of oil tolerance, as well as differential mortality may shape the
evolutionary outcome of *S. alterniflora* populations exposed to crude oil. Reverse genetic screens
and higher resolution surveys may help to discern the mechanistic roles of methylation and
genetic variation in genes of interest in oil-exposed populations.
458

Reduced representation sequencing compared to AFLP

As the field of ecological genomics matures, there is a pressing need to develop robust

assays and statistically sound measures of population regulatory variation. Reduced

representation methylation sequencing techniques are attractive for ecological epigenomics

because they can be used to infer genome wide patterns of both genetic and methylation

variation without a high-quality reference genome (Robertson & Richards, 2015; Richards *et al.*,

2017; Paun *et al.*, 2019). However, they still have serious limitations particularly for species that

do not yet have a fully sequenced reference genome (Paun *et al.*, 2019).

We assessed the utility of epiGBS compared to MS-AFLP, and expected that the

substantial increase in markers would confirm our results from our MS-AFLP study and lend

greater resolution to detect patterns of DNA methylation variation. Our epiGBS survey detected

significant differentiation in both genetic variation and DNA methylation that was correlated to

oil exposure, suggesting that epiGBS provides increased resolution over MS-AFLP to detect

genome-wide methylation differences in studies of similar sample sizes. However, despite the

much larger data set generated by epiGBS compared to MS-AFLP, we only found 14

differentially methylated positions, which is not substantial improvement over the five loci

detected by MS-AFLP (Robertson *et al.*, 2017). The comparable number of DMPs and lack of

power to evaluate *cis* association between methylation, genetic variation, and gene expression

indicate that the ability to characterize individual functional elements remains obscured by

substantial variation in methylation, and is limited by the small fraction (an estimated 0.3%) of

the genome that is assayed. Additionally, we found few fragments that overlapped the

functionally relevant 5' end of transcripts. Without a reference genome for *S. alterniflora,* we

were unable to identify fragments that overlapped promoter regions.

Sequencing-based techniques provide the potential to identify functional genomic

regions, but correct annotations rely on genomic resources in a relevant reference. In polyploid

species like *S. alterniflora*, a greater number of duplicated copies and the potential for

neofunctionalization among them creates additional uncertainty for using annotations from other

species (Primmer *et al.*, 2013). *Spartina alterniflora* has various levels of duplicated gene

retention and homeologous expression (Ainouche *et al.*, 2003; Fortune *et al.*, 2007; Ferreira de

Carvalho, 2013, 2017; Boutte *et al.*, 2016), which may result in more opportunities for gene

diversification and subfunctionalization (Chen *et al.*, 2015; Salmon & Ainouche, 2015; Shimizu-

Inatsugi *et al.* 2017), and complicates gene annotation. Therefore, while studies with RRBS

techniques in non-model plants offer increased power to detect broad, genome-wide patterns of
variation that may be correlated to ecology, they are still limited for the detection of specific
gene function. Future studies will benefit from optimizing protocols that enrich for specific
portions of the genome (Heer et al. 2018), but generating a draft reference genome will be
imperative to allow for better exploitation of RRBS data and ascertain gene function (Paun *et al.*,
2019). Improving genomics resources in a variety of organisms is an essential next step for
understanding the molecular level basis of ecological interactions.
498

Acknowledgments
We thank Steve Pennings, Brittney DeLoach McCall, Aaron Schrey, Christina Meals,
and Ashley Shayter for access to field sites and assistance with plant sampling. We thank Acer
Van Wallendael, Kieran Samuk, and Kate Ostevik for constructive feedback. This work was
supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (U.S.A.) DEB-1419960 and IOS-
1556820 (to CLR) and through the Global Invasions Network Research Exchange (Grant No.
0541673 for MR), the Franco-American Fulbright Commission (to CLR), and the Netherlands
Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO-ALW No. 820.01.025 to KJFV).

Tables and Figures

Table 1 Sampling information across all sites after filtering.

Site Location	Site Code	Exposure	No. of individuals
Grand Isle, LA	GIN1	None	7
Grand Isle, LA	GIN2	None	7
Barataria Bay, LA	GIO1	Heavily Oiled	8
Barataria Bay, LA	GIO2	Heavily Oiled	8
Bay St. Louis, MS	MSN	None	7
Bay St. Louis, MS	MSO	Heavily Oiled	7

511   Note: Site information includes location and whether oil was observed at each site (exposure).

512 **Table 2** Association between oil exposure, genetic distance, and methylation distance across
513 tests.

Factor	df	Genetic		Methylation	
		F	Variance explained	F	Variance explained
oil	1	1.395 *	0.079	1.960*	0.092

515 Notes: Test statistics and significance, determined through RDA. For clarity, we do not show
516 significance for estimated surrogate variables (7 for methylation data, 16 for genetic data) or the
517 8 principal components of allele frequencies for the methylation data. $P * \leq 0.05$

518   **Table 3** Pairwise Fst among three oil contaminated and three uncontaminated sites. Bold (i.e. all

519   entries) indicates significance at P<0.001.

	GIN1	GIN2	MSN	GIO1	GIO2
GIN2	**0.1304**				
MSN	**0.1329**	**0.1421**			
GIO1	**0.1451**	**0.1264**	**0.1382**		
GIO2	**0.0966**	**0.1020**	**0.1198**	**0.1158**	
MSO	**0.1171**	**0.1471**	**0.1497**	**0.1580**	**0.1008**

521   **Figure 1** Multidimensional scaling (MDS) of **a**) genetic distance matrix and **b**) DNA

522   methylation distance matrix. Circles represent 95% confidence intervals around the centroid of

523   each group: no oil exposure ("none") or oil-exposed ("oil").

Figure 2 Proportion of methylated sites by context across a) all samples, b) in uncontaminated sites and c) oil-contaminated sites.

Figure 3 Circular manhattan plot showing 14 significant outlier methylation loci, as determined

by Q-value. Only significant loci (Q<0.05) are colored, where colors represent trinucleotide

context. Plot is shown as circular to accommodate unknown positions, as *S. alterniflora* does not

have a reference genome.

Figure 4 Results of greenhouse exposure. Note that scales differ by phenotype measured, and

represent the change in count number over the course of the experiment.

Table S1 Genetic and methylation loci significantly differentially associated with oil exposure.

Contiguous fragment	Position	Context	Q-value	*O. sativa* annotation	Gene name
3386	141	-	0.0056		
5449	67	-	0.0399		
7242	26	-	0.0447		
8158	95	-	0.016		
9488	45	-	0.0339		
9488	51	-	0.0421		
9488	94	-	0.0385		
10931	43	-	0.0385		
11792	111	-	0.0339		
11939	123	-	0.0033	Os06g02570	Syntaxin, putative
11972	49	-	0.0327		
11974	102	-	0.012		
11974	131	-	0.0327		
12084	26	-	0.0447		
12418	86	-	0.0033		
13976	47	-	0.0385		
14656	84	-	0.0385		
14804	93	-	0.0001		
14957	105	-	0.012		
19335	34	-	0.0032		
19759	76	-	0.0447		
26805	111	-	0.0466		
26906	41	-	0.0009		
27016	91	-	0.0421	Os03g18220	pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 2, putative
27227	103	-	0.0307		
28167	59	-	0.0443		
28937	126	-	0.0421		
28937	133	-	0.0413		
28937	147	-	0.0307		
30433	105	-	0.013		
31126	85	-	0.0181		
31126	147	-	0.0174		
31604	78	-	0.0421		
32215	52	-	0.0033		
33738	102	-	0.0218		
34288	53	-	0.0001	Os11g39230	retrotransposon protein, putative
34398	88	-	0.0013		
34744	123	-	0.0366		

35646	61	-	0	Os01g43070	psbP-related thylakoid lumenal protein 4, chloroplast precursor, putative
36084	92	-	0.0009		
36084	96	-	0.0447		
36084	115	-	0.0308		
673	43	CG	0.0461		
8098	139	CG	0.0291		
11959	13	CG	0.0182	Os01g69980	TCP family transcription factor, putative
34711	123	CG	0.0075		
183	10	CHG	0.0443		
527	19	CHG	0.0388	Os03g56790	actin-depolymerizing factor, putative
4191	107	CHG	0.0105		
5540	171	CHG	0.0482		
7334	97	CHG	0.0009		
45	82	CHH	0.0388	Os03g51920	peptidase, M50 family, putative
5540	34	CHH	0.008		
6013	69	CHH	0.0016	Os10g34480	cytochrome P450, putative
14227	11	CHH	0.0312	Os08g38560	receptor-like protein kinase 2 precursor, putative
14776	15	CHH	0.0394		

544 Only loci with Q-value <0.05 are shown. Trinucleotide context (CG, CHG, CHH) shown for

545 methylated loci. Gene numbers from *O. sativa* are shown for loci on fragments that were able to

546 annotated.

547   **Table S2** Post-hoc tests of individual genotypes, based on estimated marginal means, in our
548   greenhouse experiment.

Phenotype	Genotype	df	T-ratio
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype A	40	1.337
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype B	40	1.403
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype C	40	0.632
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype D	40	-1.043
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype E	40	1.116
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype F	40	1.273
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype G	40	1.465
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype H	40	2.306*
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype I	40	1.103
Change in leaves per ramet	Genotype J	40	1.851
Change in leaf number	Genotype A	40	0.628
Change in leaf number	Genotype B	40	1.381
Change in leaf number	Genotype C	40	4.521***
Change in leaf number	Genotype D	40	0.502
Change in leaf number	Genotype E	40	1.130
Change in leaf number	Genotype F	40	1.005
Change in leaf number	Genotype G	40	2.386*
Change in leaf number	Genotype H	40	1.507
Change in leaf number	Genotype I	40	1.381
Change in leaf number	Genotype J	40	2.512*

Figure S1 Depth of sampling by fragment size in each sample across all fragments. Fragments ranged from 19-202 basepairs (bp) for a total length of 5,441,437 bp (approximately 0.3% of the 2117 Mbp *S. alterniflora* genome (2C= 4.33pg); Fortune *et al.,* 2008). Fragments shown in classes on the X axis.

559 **Figure S2** Sequencing depth for each sample for each of the 36,131 fragments.

Figure S3. Missing and imputed data by sample after filtering. Genetic and methylation data were both filtered to include only loci present in >90% of samples and samples with less than 50% missing data.

References

1. **Ainouche ML, Baumel A, Salmon A, Yannic G. 2003.** Hybridization, polyploidy and
speciation in Spartina (Poaceae). *New Phytologist* **161**: 165-172.

2. **Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ.**
1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **25**: 3389-3402.

575
576   3. **Alvarez, M. (2016).** Molecular response of Spartina alterniflora to the Deepwater
577   Horizon oil spill. PhD thesis. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida.

578
579   4. **Alvarez M, Ferreira de Carvalho J, Salmon A, Ainouche ML, Cavé-Radet A, El**
580   **Amrani A, Foster TE, Moyer S, Richards CL. 2018.** Transcriptome response to the
581   *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill identifies novel candidate genes for oil tolerance in natural
582   populations of the foundation plant *Spartina alterniflora*. *Molecular Ecology* doi:
583   10.1111/mec.14736

584
5. **Alvarez M, Schrey AW, Richards CL. 2015.** Ten years of transcriptomics in wild
populations: what have we learned about their ecology and evolution?. *Molecular*
Ecology **24**: 710-25. doi: 10.1111/mec.13055

6. **Andrew RL, Bernatchez L, Bonin A, Buerkle CA, Carstens BC, Emerson BC,**
Garant D, Giraud T, Kane NC, Rogers SM *et al*. 2013. A road map for molecular
ecology. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 2605-2626. doi: 10.1111/mec.12319

7. **Baisakh N, Subudhi PK. 2009.** Heat stress alters the expression of salt stress induced
genes in smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora* L.). *Plant Physiology and*
Biochemistry:PPB **47**: 232–235. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2008.11.010

8. **Baisakh N, Subudhi PK, Varadwaj P. 2008.** Primary responses to salt stress in a
halophyte, smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora* Loisel.). *Functional & Integrative*
Genomics **8**: 287–300. doi: 10.1007/s10142-008-0075-x

9. **Bates, D, Maechler, M, Bolker, B, Walker, S.** 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. R package version 1.7

603
10. **Becker C, Weigel D. 2012.** Epigenetic variation: origin and transgenerational inheritance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **15**: 562-567.

606
11. **Bedre R, Mangu VR, Srivastava S, Sanchez LE, Baisakh N. 2016.** Transcriptome analysis of smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora* Loisel), a monocot halophyte, reveals candidate genes involved in its adaptation to salinity. *BMC Genomics* **17**: 657. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3017-3

611
12. **Boutte J, Ferreira de Carvalho J, Rousseau-Gueutin M, Poulain J, Da Silva C, Wincker P, Ainouche M, Salmon A. 2016.** Reference Transcriptomes and Detection of Duplicated Copies in Hexaploid and Allododecaploid Spartina Species (Poaceae). *Genome Biology and Evolution* **8**: 3030–3044. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evw209

616
13. **Cavé-Radet A, Salmon A, Lima O, Ainouche ML, El Amrani A. 2018.** Increased tolerance to organic xenobiotics following recent allopolyploidy in Spartina (Poaceae), *Plant Science*, 10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.11.005

620
14. **Chen X, Ge X, Wang J, Tan C, King GJ, Liu K. 2015.** Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling by modified reduced representation bisulfite sequencing in Brassica rapa suggests that epigenetic modifications play a key role in polyploid genome evolution. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **6**: 836.

625
15. **Day JW, Boesch DF, Clairain EJ, Kemp GP, Laska SB, Mitsch WJ, Orth K, Mashriqui H, Reed DJ, Shabman L *et al*. 2007.** Restoration of the Mississippi Delta: lessons from hurricanes Katrina and Rita. *Science* **23**: 1679-84.

629
16. **DeLeo DM, Herrera S, Lengyela SD, Quattrinia AM, Kulathinala RJ, Cordes EE. 2018.** Gene expression profiling reveals deep-sea coral response to the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Molecular Ecology* **27:** 4066–4077 doi: 10.1111/mec.14847.

17. **DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis AA, Del Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M *et al.* 2011.** A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nature Genetics* **43**: 491-498.

18. **Dufresne F, Stift M, Vergilino R, Mable BK. 2014.** Recent progress and challenges in population genetics of polyploid organisms: an overview of current state-of-the-art molecular and statistical tools. *Molecular Ecology* **23**: 40-69.

19. **Feder ME, Mitchell-Olds T. 2003.** Evolutionary and ecological functional genomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **4**: 649.

20. **Ferreira de Carvalho J, Poulain J, Da Silva C, Wincker P, Michon-Coudouel S, Dheilly A, Naquin D, Boutte J, Salmon A, Ainouche M. 2013.** Transcriptome de novo assembly from next-generation sequencing and comparative analyses in the hexaploid salt marsh species Spartina maritima and Spartina alterniflora (Poaceae). *Heredity* **110**: 181-193.

21. **Ferreira de Carvalho J, Boutte J, Bourdaud P, Chelaifa H, Ainouche K, Salmon A, Ainouche M. 2017.** Gene expression variation in natural populations of hexaploid and allododecaploid Spartina species (Poaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **303**: 1061-1079.

22. **Fortune PM, Schierenbeck KA, Ainouche AK, Jacquemin J, Wendel JF, Ainouche ML. 2007.** Evolutionary dynamics of Waxy and the origin of hexaploid Spartina species (Poaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **43**: 1040–1055. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.11.018

23. **Fortune PM, Schierenbeck KA, Ayres D, Bortolus A, Catrice O, Brown S, Ainouche ML. 2007.** The enigmatic invasive *Spartina densiflora*: A history of hybridizations in a polyploidy context. *Molecular Ecology* **17**: 4304–4316.

24. **Foust CM, Preite V, Schrey AW, Alvarez M, Robertson MH, Verhoeven KJF,**
Richards CL. 2016. Genetic and epigenetic differences associated with environmental
gradients in replicate populations of two salt marsh perennials. *Molecular Ecology* **25**:
1639-1652.

25. **Goslee SC, Urban DL. 2007.** The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of
ecological data. *Journal of Statistical Software* **22**: 1-19.

26. **Hastie T, Tibshirani R, Narasimhan B, Chu G. 2018.** impute: Imputation for
microarray data. R package version 1.54.0. doi: 10.18129/B9.bioc.impute

27. **Hazen TC, Dubinsky EA, DeSantis TZ, Andersen GL, Piceno YM, Singh N, et al.**
2010. Deep-sea oil plume enriches indigenous oil-degrading bacteria. *Science* 330:
204–208. doi: 10.1126/science.1195979

28. **Heer K*, Ullrich KK*, Hiss M, Liepelt S, Schulze-Brüning R, Zhou J, Opgenoorth**
L Rensing SA 2018. Detection of somatic epigenetic variation in Norway spruce via
targeted bisulfite sequencing. Ecology and Evolution 2018, 1-11.

29. **Hsu J 1996**. *Multiple comparisons: theory and methods*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

30. **Hughes AR, Inouye BD, Johnson MT, Underwood N, Vellend M. 2008.** Ecological
consequences of genetic diversity. *Ecology Letters* **11**: 609-23.

31. **Hughes AR, Lotterhos KE. 2014.** Genotypic diversity at multiple spatial scales in the
foundation marsh species, *Spartina alterniflora*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **497**:
105–117. doi: 10.3354/meps10565

32. **Jackson SA. 2017.** Epigenomics: dissecting hybridization and polyploidization. *Genome*
Biology **18**: 117.

33. **Kawahara Y, de la Bastide M, Hamilton JP, Kanamori H, McCombie WR, Ouyang S, Schwartz DC, Tanaka T, Wu J, Zhou S *et al.* 2013.** Improvement of the *Oryza sativa* Nipponbare reference genome using next generation sequence and optical map data. *Rice* **6**: 4.

34. **Kimes NE, Callaghan AV, Aktas DF, Smith WL, Sunner J, Golding BT, et al. 2013.** Metagenomic analysis and metabolite profiling of deep-sea sediments from the Gulf of Mexico following the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Front. Microbiol*. 4:50. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00050

35. **Kimes NE, Callaghan AV, Suflita JM, Morris PJ. 2014.** Microbial transformation of the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill—past, present, and future perspectives. *Front. Microbiol*. 5: 603. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00603.

36. **Kudoh, H. 2016.** Molecular phenology in plants: in natura systems biology for the comprehensive understanding of seasonal responses under natural environments. *New Phytologist* 210: 399–412.

37. **Lea AJ, Tung J, Zhou X. 2015.** A flexible, efficient binomial mixed model for identifying differential DNA methylation in bisulfite sequencing data. *PLoS Genetics* **11**: e1005650.

38. **Leek J, McShane BB, Gelman A, Colquhoun D, Nuijten MB, Goodman SN. 2017.** Five ways to fix statistics. *Nature* **551**: 557-559.

39. **Leek JT, Storey JD. 2007.** Capturing heterogeneity in gene expression studies by surrogate variable analysis. *PLoS Genetics* **3**: e161.

40. **Lenth, R. 2018.** Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R Package Version 1.2.

41. **Liebl AL, Schrey AW, Richards CL, Martin LB. 2013.** Patterns of DNA methylation
throughout a range expansion of an introduced songbird. *Integrative and Comparative*
Biology **53**: 351-358. doi: 10.1093/icb/ict007

42. **Lin Q, Mendelssohn IA. 2012.** Impacts and recovery of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill
on vegetation structure and function of coastal salt marshes in the northern Gulf of
Mexico. *Environmental Science & Technology* **46**: 3737-43.

43. **Lin Q, Mendelssohn IA, Graham SA, Hou A, Fleeger JW, Deis DR. 2016.** Response
of salt marshes to oiling from the Deepwater Horizon spill: Implications for plant growth,
soil surface-erosion, and shoreline stability. *Science of the Total Environment* **1:** 369-77.

44. **Maor GL, Yearim A, Ast G. 2015.** The alternative role of DNA methylation in
splicing regulation. *Trends in Genetics* **31**: 274-80.

45. **Marchant CJ.** 1968. Evolution in Spartina (Gramineae). II. Chromosomes, basic
relationships and the problem of *Spartina townsendii* agg. Bot J Linn Soc 60:381–
409

46. **Matzke MA, Mosher RA. 2014.** RNA-directed DNA methylation: an epigenetic
pathway of increasing complexity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **15**: 394-408.

47. **Meirmans, P. G. 2015.** Seven common mistakes in population genetics and how to avoid
them. *Molecular ecology*, **24**: 3223-3231.

48. **Mendelssohn IA, Andersen GL, Baltz DM, Caffey RH, Carman KR, Fleeger JW,**
Joye SB, Lin Q, Maltby E, Overton EB, Rozas LP. 2012. Oil impacts on coastal
wetlands: implications for the Mississippi River Delta ecosystem after the Deepwater
Horizon oil spill. *BioScience* **62**: 562-74.

49. **Meng D, Dubin M, Zhang P, Osborne EJ, Stegle O, Clark RM, Nordborg M. 2016.**
Limited contribution of DNA methylation variation to expression regulation in
Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Genetics* **12**: e1006141.

50. **Michel J, Owens EH, Zengel S, Graham A, Nixon Z, Allard T, Holton W, Reimer PD, Lamarche A, White M** *et al.* **2013.** Extent and degree of shoreline oiling: Deepwater Horizon oil spill, Gulf of Mexico, USA. *PloS One* **12**: e65087.

51. **Nagano AJ, Sato Y, Mihara M et al. 2012.** Deciphering and prediction of transcriptome dynamics under fluctuating field conditions. *Cell* 151: 1358–1369.

52. Nagano AJ, Kawagoe T, Sugisaka J, Honjo MN, Iwayama K. Kudoh H. Annual transcriptome dynamics in natural environments reveals plant seasonal adaptation. *Nature Plants* 5: 74–83.

53. **National Commission on the BP Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. 2011.** Graham B, Reilly WK, Beinecke F, Boesch DF, Garcia TD, Murray CA, Ulmer F, eds. *Deep Water: The Gulf Oil Disaster and the Future of Offshore Drilling. Report to the President.* doi: 10.1111/jols.12003/full

54. **Nicotra AB, Atkin OK, Bonser SP, Davidson AM, Finnegan EJ, Mathesius U, Poot P, Purugganan MD, Richards CL, Valladares F** *et al.* **2010.** Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. *Trends in Plant Science* **15**: 684-92.

55. **Niederhuth CE*, Bewick AJ*, Ji L, Alabday M, Kim KD, Li Q, Rohr NA, Rambani A, Burke JM, Udall JA** *et al.* **2016.** Widespread natural variation of DNA methylation within angiosperms. *Genome Biology* **17**: 194.

56. **Niederhuth CE, Schmitz RJ. 2017.** Putting methylation in context: from genomes to gene expression in plants. *Biochemica et Biophysica Acta* **1**: 149–156. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2016.08.009

57. **Novák P, Neumann P, Pech J, Steinhaisl J, Macas J. 2013.** RepeatExplorer: a Galaxy-based web server for genome-wide characterization of eukaryotic repetitive elements from next-generation sequence reads. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 792-793.

793   58. **Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin**
794   **PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P *et al.* 2017.** vegan: Community Ecology
795   Package. R package version 2.4-4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
796

797   59. **Paun O, Verhoeven KJF, Richards CL. 2019.** Opportunities and limitations of reduced
798   representation bisulphite sequencing in plant ecological epigenomics. *New Phytologist*
799   **221:** 738-742. **doi**: 10.1111/nph.15388
800

801   60. **Pedersen BS, Eyring K, De S, Yang IV, Schwartz DA. 2014.** Fast and accurate
802   alignment of long bisulfite-seq reads. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:1401.1129.
803

804   61. **Pembleton LW, Cogan NO, Forster JW. 2013.** StAMPP: an R package for calculation
805   of genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. *Molecular*
806   *Ecology Resources* **13**: 946-952.
807

808   62. **Pennings SC, Bertness MD. 2001.** Salt Marsh Communities. In: Bertness MD, Gaines
809   SD, Hay M, eds. *Marine Community Ecology*. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer
810   Associates, 289-316.
811

812   63. **Primmer CR, Papakostas S, Leder EH, Davis MJ, Ragan, MA. 2013.**
813   Annotated genes and nonannotated genomes: cross-species use of Gene Ontology
814   in ecology and evolution research. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 3216–3241. doi:
815   10.1111/mec.12309
816

817   64. **Richards CL, Alonso C, Becker C, Bossdorf O, Bucher E, Colomé-Tatché M, Durka**
818   **W, Engelhardt J, Gaspar B, Gogol-Doring A *et al.* 2017.** Ecological plant epigenetics:
819   Evidence from model and non-model species, and the way forward. *Ecology Letters* **20**:
820   1576-1590.
821

822   65. **Richards CL, Hamrick JL, Donovan LA, Mauricio R. 2004.** Unexpectedly high clonal
823   diversity of two salt marsh perennials across a severe environmental gradient. *Ecology*
824   *Letters* **7**: 1155–1162. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00674.x

66. **Richards CL, Schrey AW, Pigliucci M. 2012.** Invasion of diverse habitats by few
   Japanese knotweed genotypes is correlated with high epigenetic differentiation. *Ecology*
   Letters **15**: 1016-1025.

67. **Robertson M, Richards CL. 2015.** Opportunities and challenges of next-generation
   sequencing applications in ecological epigenetics. *Molecular Ecology* **24**: 3799-3801.

68. **Robertson M, Schrey A, Shayter A, Moss CJ, Richards, CL. 2017.** Genetic and
   epigenetic variation in Spartina alterniflora following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.
   Evolutionary Applications **10**: 792-801.

69. **Salmon A, Ainouche M. 2015.** Next-generation sequencing and the challenge of
   deciphering evolution of recent and highly polyploid genomes. In: *Next-Generation*
   Sequencing in Plant Systematics. (Horandl E. & Appelhans M., eds.). Regnum
   Vegetabile (IAPT) http://dx.doi.org/10.14630/000002

70. **Schoener TW. 2011.** The newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of evolutionary
   and ecological dynamics. *Science* **331**: 426-429.

71. **Secco D, Wang C, Shou H, Schultz MD, Chiarenza S, Nussaume L, Ecker JR,**
   Whelan J, Lister R. 2015. Stress induced gene expression drives transient DNA
   methylation changes at adjacent repetitive elements. *Elife* **4**: e09343

72. **Shimizu-Inatsugi R, Terada A, Hirose K, Kudoh H, Sese J, Shimizu KK. 2017**. Plant
   adaptive radiation mediated by polyploid plasticity in transcriptomes. *Molecular Ecology*
   26: 193-207. doi: 10.1111/mec.13738

73. **Silliman BR, van de Koppel J, McCoy MW, Diller J, Kasozi GN, Earl K, Adams PN,**
   Zimmerman AR. 2012. Degradation and resilience in Louisiana salt marshes after the
   BP-Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
   United States of America **109**: 11234–11239. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1204922109

74. **Storey JD, Bass AJ, Dabney A, Robinson D. 2015.** qvalue: Q-value estimation for false
    discovery rate control. R package version 2.6.0. http://github.com/jdstorey/qvalue

75. **Underwood CJ, Choi K, Lambing C, Zhao X, Serra H, Borges F, Simorowski J,**
    Ernst E, Jacob Y, Henderson IR *et al.* **2018.** Epigenetic activation of meiotic
    recombination near *Arabidopsis thaliana* centromeres via loss of H3K9me2 and non-CG
    DNA methylation. *Genome Research* **28**: 1-13.

76. **van Gurp TP, Wagemaker NC, Wouters B, Vergeer P, Ouborg JN, Verhoeven KJ.**
    2016. epiGBS: reference-free reduced representation bisulfite sequencing. *Nature*
    Methods **13**: 322-324.

77. **Verhoeven KJ, Jansen JJ, van Dijk PJ, Biere A. 2010.** Stress-induced DNA
    methylation changes and their heritability in asexual dandelions. *New Phytologist* **185**:
    1108-1018.

78. **Whitehead A, Dubansky B, Bodinier C, Garcia TI, Miles S, Pilley C, Walter RB.**
    2012. Genomic and physiological footprint of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on resident
    marsh fishes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**: 20298–20302.

79. **Whitham TG, Bailey JK, Schweitzer JA, Shuster SM, Bangert RK, LeRoy CJ,**
    Lonsdorf EV, Allan GJ, DiFazio SP, Potts BM *et al.* **2006.** A framework for
    community and ecosystem genetics: from genes to ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Genetics*
    7: 510.

80. **Xie HJ*, Li H*, Liu D, Dai WM, He JY, Lin S, Duan H, Liu LL, Chen SG, Song XL**
    et al. **2015.** *ICE1* demethylation drives the range expansion of a plant invader through
    cold tolerance divergence. *Molecular Ecology* **24**: 835-850. doi: 10.1111/mec.13067

887   81. **Yelina NE, Lambing C, Hardcastle TJ, Zhao X, Santos B, Henderson IR. 2015.**
888   DNA methylation epigenetically silences crossover hot spots and controls chromosomal
889   domains of meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis. *Genes & Development* **29**: 2183-2202.
890
891   82. **Younginger, BS, Sirová, D, Cruzan, MB, Ballhorn, DJ. 2017.** Is biomass a reliable
892   estimate of plant fitness?. Applications in plant sciences, 5(2), p.1600094