Title: Phototrophic co-cultures from extreme environments: community structure and potential

value for fundamental and applied research

Claire Shaw[1], Charles Brooke[1], Erik Hawley[2], Morgan P. Connolly[3], Javier A. Garcia[4], Miranda
Harmon-Smith[5], Nicole Shapiro[5], Michael Barton[5], Susannah G. Tringe[5], Tijana Glavina del
Rio[5], David E. Culley[6], Richard Castenholz[7] and *Matthias Hess[1]

[1] Systems Microbiology & Natural Products Laboratory, University of California, Davis, CA
[2] Bayer, Pittsburg, PA
[3] Microbiology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA
[4] Biochemistry, Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology Graduate Group, University of California,
Davis, CA
[5] Department of Energy, Joint Genome Institute, Berkeley, CA
[6] Greenlight Biosciences, Medford, MA
[7] University of Oregon, Eugene, OR
15
16
17 ***Corresponding author:**   Matthias Hess
18   University of California, Davis
19   2251 Meyer Hall
20   Davis, CA 95616, USA
21   P (530) 530-752-8809
22   F (530) 752-0175
23   mhess@ucdavis.edu
24
25

ABSTRACT

Cyanobacteria are found in most illuminated environments and are key players in global carbon

and nitrogen cycling. Although significant efforts have been made to advance our understanding

of this important phylum, still little is known about how members of the cyanobacteria affect and

respond to changes in complex biological systems. This lack of knowledge is in part due to our

dependence on pure cultures when determining the metabolism and function of a microorganism.

In the work presented here we took advantage of the Culture Collection of Microorganisms from

Extreme Environments (CCMEE), a collection of more than 1,000 publicly available

photosynthetic co-cultures now maintained at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. To

highlight some of their scientific potential, we selected 26 of these photosynthetic co-cultures from

the CCMEE for 16S rRNA gene sequencing. We assessed if samples readily available from the

CCMEE could be used to generate new insights into the role of microbial communities in global

and local carbon and nitrogen cycling. Results from this work support the existing notion that

culture depositories in general hold the potential to advance fundamental and applied research.

If collections of co-cultures can be used to infer roles of the individual organisms remains to be

seen and requires further investigation.

INTRODUCTION

Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic prokaryotes that are found in the majority of illuminated habitats

and are known to be some of the most morphologically diverse prokaryotes on our planet (Whitton

and Potts, 2000). The global cyanobacterial biomass is estimated to total ~3×10^{14} g of carbon

(Garcia-Pichel et al., 2003) and cyanobacteria may account for 20–30% of Earth's primary

photosynthetic productivity (Pisciotta et al., 2010). The efficient photosynthetic machinery of

cyanobacteria has inspired growing interest in the utilization of cyanobacteria and cyanobacteria

containing co-cultures in microbial fuel cells (Zhao et al., 2012; Gajda et al., 2015). In addition to

having a global effect on the carbon cycle, cyanobacteria-mediated nitrogen fixation has been

estimated to supply 20–50% of the nitrogen input in some marine environments (Karl et al., 1997).

A detailed comprehension of cyanobacteria and their contribution to global carbon and nitrogen

cycling is therefore indispensable for a multi-scalar and holistic understanding of these globally

important nutrient cycles.

Besides their ecological relevance, cyanobacteria have potential applications in biotechnology:

cyanobacteria facilitate the assimilation of carbon dioxide, a cheap and abundant substrate, to

synthesize a variety of value-added compounds with industrial relevance (Al-Haj et al., 2016).

Although monocultures have dominated in microbial biomanufacturing, controlled co-cultures

have been recognized as valuable alternatives, due to their potential of reducing the risk of costly

contaminations and in some cases enabling increasing product yield (Wang et al., 2015; Yen et

al., 2015; Padmaperuma et al., 2018). Numerous cyanobacterial strains have been investigated

for their potential to produce bioactive compounds, biofertilizer, biofuels, and bioplastics (Abed et

al., 2009; Woo and Lee, 2017; Miao et al., 2018); and co-expression of non-cyanobacterial genes

as well as co-cultivation of cyanobacteria with non-photosynthetic bacteria has resulted in self-

sustained systems and improved desirable cyanobacterial phenotypes (de-Bashan et al., 2002;

Subashchandrabose et al., 2011; Formighieri and Melis, 2016). Genes coding for enzymes

capable of catalyzing reactions that result in unique products, such as modified trichamide, a

cyclic peptide suggested to protect the bloom-forming *Trichodesmium erythraeum* against

predation (Sudek et al., 2006), and prochlorosins, a family of lanthipeptides with diverse functions

that are synthesized by various strains of *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* (Li et al., 2010;

Cubillos-Ruiz et al., 2017), have been identified from cyanobacterial genomes (Zarzycki et al.,

2013; Kleigrewe et al., 2016). It is very likely that *de novo* genome assembly from metagenomic

data will facilitate the discovery of novel enzymes from cyanobacteria that are recalcitrant to

current isolation and cultivation techniques. Although metagenome-derived genomes hold great

potential to enhance our knowledge about genomic dark matter, improved techniques to isolate

and enable axenic culturing of microorganisms that are currently considered as "unculturable", as

well as new genetic tools to study non-axenic cultures will be necessary in order to fully access

the biotechnological potential of cyanobacteria.

Culture collections provide the possibility of preserving microbial isolates over extended periods

of time without introducing significant genetic changes (McCluskey, 2017) and they facilitate open

access to these isolates and their associated metadata (Boundy-Mills et al., 2015). Although

culture collections hold enormous potential for capturing and preserving microbial biodiversity,

there are numerous challenges in maintaining these biological depositories and the individual

samples they contain. With recent advances in DNA sequencing technologies and the

accessibility of 16S rRNA gene-based microbial community profiling, we are now well positioned

to re-inventory, and standardize existing culture collections, which will be essential for preserving

and cataloguing the planet's microbial biodiversity.

To explore the potential of culture collections, specifically those that maintain samples of microbial

co-cultures, we reexamined the biodiversity of 26 historical phototrophic samples from the Culture

Collection of Microorganisms from Extreme Environments (CCMEE). While some of the samples,

and their dominant phototrophs were studied previously using 16S rRNA profiling and

morphological characterization (Camacho et al., 1996; Miller and Castenholz, 2000; Nadeau and

Castenholz, 2000; Nadeau et al., 2001; Dillon et al., 2002; Dillon and Castenholz, 2003; Norris

and Castenholz, 2006; Toplin et al., 2008) the diversity of the photosynthetic and non-

photosynthetic organisms and the overall community assemblage of these co-cultures have not

yet been characterized. To add further value to this study, we selected samples that originated

from diverse extreme environments with distinct physical properties from across the globe,

suggesting each co-culture would yield a unique microbial consortium. An enhanced

understanding of the microbial diversity, even if affected by culturing conditions, of environmental

co-cultures available through public culture collections, will contribute to a better understanding

of global microbial biodiversity and demonstrate the utility of such culture collections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection & sample description

Co-cultures selected for this study are part of a larger culture collection and were collected from

different locations (Table 1) between 1988 and 2002. Isolates were collected using sterile

techniques, kept in the dark and stored on ice as soon as possible. Samples were transported

to the laboratory where aliquots were prepared for cultivation and preservation at -80°C. For this

study, co-cultures were selected from the CCMEE to cover a variety of geographical locations

(Supplemental Figure S1) as well as a range of different ecosystems (Table 1). Due to the lack

of a consistent terminology to describe the sampling sites, we categorized co-cultures according

to the geographical location (e.g. Antarctica, Bermuda, Denmark, Mexico and Spain) and on a

general description of ecosystem (i.e. creek, crust, freshwater, hot spring, marine, saline pond,

terrestrial, travertine, and tree bark) from where the co-cultures were collected. In addition, we

used the growth medium and temperature (i.e. 12°C, 23°C, 40°C, 45°C, 55°C) at which

available co-cultures have been maintained historically in the CCMEE to categorize the co-

cultures used in this study.

FECB1 (CCMEE ID 5011) and FECB3 (CCMEE ID 5034) were collected from saline and

brackish melt ponds and were dominated by phototrophic cyanobacteria classified as

Oscillatoria sp. (Nadeau et al., 2001). FECB2 (CCMEE ID 5019) was collected from a

freshwater pond and was phylogenetically uncharacterized prior to our efforts. FECB4 (CCMEE

ID 5047; AP1) and FECB5 (CCMEE ID 5049; AO21) were also isolated from freshwater and the

dominant photosynthetic organisms within these samples were classified by 16S rRNA

sequence analysis as being related to *Pseudanabaena limnetica* and *Oscillatoria* cf. *tenuis,*

respectively (Camacho et al., 1996). FECB6 (CCMEE ID 5051), FECB14 (CCMEE ID 5093;

WT-97 Cal), FECB15 (CCMEE ID 5083), and FECB19 (CCMEE ID 5091; Y-97) were collected

from diverse hot springs within Yellowstone National Park (YNP) (Table 1). FECB10 (CCMEE

ID 5056; M88-VD (1)) was collected as epiliths (Dillon et al., 2002). FECB17 (CCMEE ID 5085;

RC-97 Cal) and FECB36 (CCMEE ID 6076) were isolated from Rabbit Creek and a crust in the

Sentinel Spring Meadows in YNP respectively and dominant phototrophs of these co-cultures

were characterized previously as *Calothrix* spp. (Dillon and Castenholz, 2003). FECB22

(CCMEE ID 5097; HW-91) and FECB26 (CCMEE ID 5099; B77-scy,j,) were collected from a

tree trunk and a wooded fence respectively. FECB24 (CCMEE ID 5098; AN-90) was collected

from a shallow melt pond (~10 m2) in the Victoria Valley, Antarctica, whereas FECB28 (CCMEE

ID 5102) was collected from a saline melt pond on Bratina Island, Antarctica (Nadeau and

Castenholz, 2000). FECB32 (CCMEE ID 6031), FECB34 (CCMEE ID 6069) and FECB38

(CCMEE ID 6083) were endoliths collected from subsurface (1-5 mm depths) travertine deposits

in YNP (Norris and Castenholz, 2006). FECB53 (CCMEE ID 5610) was collected from Sylvan

Springs in YNP. Temperature and pH at FECB53's sampling site were determined to be 40°C

and pH4, conditions which are considered to be too harsh to actively support growth of

cyanobacteria, and Toplin et al. reported the thermo-acidophilic red algae *Cyanidioschyzon* as a

highly abundant phototropic strain in this sample (Toplin et al., 2008). FECB58 (CCMEE ID

5216; OH-9-45C) and FECB68 (CCMEE ID 5240; OH-2-55C) were collected from Hunter's Hot

Spring in Oregon and in 2000 Miller and Castenholz reported the isolation of several

thermophilic clones belonging to the genus *Synechococcus* from these samples (Miller and

Castenholz, 2000).

Table 1: Summary of photosynthetic co-cultures for which 16S rRNA gene profiles were
generated.

FECB ID	Growth Temperature [°C]	Sample Location (country)	Sample Location (region)	Habitat
FECB1	12	Antarctica	McMurdo Ice Shelf; Bratina Island	Pond (saline)
FECB2	12	Antartica	McMurdo Ice Shelf; Bratina Island	Pond (freshwater)
FECB3	12	Antartica	McMurdo Ice Shelf; Bratina Island	Pond (brackish)
FECB4	23	Spain	Lake Arcas	Lake (freshwater)
FECB5	23	Spain	Lake Arcas	Lake (freshwater)
FECB6	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Pott's Basin	Hot Spring
FECB10	23	Mexico	Viscaino Desert	Terrestrial (epilithic)
FECB12	23	United States	University of Oregon; Eugene, Oregon	Terrestrial (concrete)
FECB14	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Pott's Geyser	Hot Spring
FECB15	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Rabbit Creek	Warm Creek
FECB17	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Rabbit Creek	Warm Creek
FECB19	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Shoshone Geyser Basin	Hot Spring
FECB21	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Pott's Geyser	Hot Spring
FECB22	23	United States	Hawaii	Terrestrial (tree trunk)
FECB24	23	Antarctica	McMurdo Ice Shelf; Bratina Island	Pond (freshwater)
FECB26	23	Bermuda	Sommerset	Terrestrial (wooden fence)
FECB28	23	Antarctica	McMurdo Ice Shelf; Bratina Island	Pond (saline)
FECB30	23	Denmark	Limfjord Shallows, Limfjord	Marine
FECB32	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Mammoth; Narrow Gauge	Travertine (endolithic)
FECB34	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Lower Geyser Basin; Great Fountain	Crust
FECB36	23	United States	Yellow Stone National Park; Lower Geyser Basin; Sentinel Spring	Hot Spring (silica crust)
FECB38	23	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Lower Geyser Basin; Mushroom Spring	Crust
FECB52	40	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Norris Geyser Basin	Terrestrial (acid crust)
FECB53	40	United States	Yellowstone National Park; Sylvan Springs	Hot Spring (acid pool)
FECB58	45	United States	Hunter's Hot Spring, Oregon	Hot Spring
FECB68	55	United States	Hunter's Hot Spring, Oregon	Hot Spring

Growth of co-cultures

To obtain sufficient biomass for subsequent DNA analysis, 100 μL of each co-culture were

transferred to 25 mL of sterile BG11 media (Allen and Stanier, 1968). For FECB52 and FECB53

BG11 was substituted by Cyanidium medium (Castenholz, 1981). Co-cultures were subjected to

a 12 hr diurnal light/dark cycle while grown over 28 days at a temperature somewhat similar to

the temperature that was measured at the location where to sample was located. Growth

temperature for each sample is indicated in Table 1.

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplification

Total microbial DNA was extracted from 500 μL of each photosynthetic co-culture using the

FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedical, Solon, OH) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Extracted DNA was quantified via fluorescence (Qubit; Thermo Scientific, USA)

and the hypervariable V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified from extracted DNA using

the primer set 515F/805R (515F: 5′-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3′ and 805R: 5′-

GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′). The forward primer included an 11 bp barcode to allow

multiplexing of samples during sequencing. The barcode sequence for each sample is listed in

Supplemental Table S1. Subsequent PCR reactions were performed using the 5PRIME

HotMasterMix amplification mix (QIAGEN, Beverly, MA) with the following PCR conditions: initial

denaturation for 90 sec at 94°C, followed by 30 amplification cycles (45 sec at 94°C, 60 sec at

60°C, and 90 sec at 72°C) followed by a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. Amplification

products were cooled to 4°C. Samples were sequenced at the Department of Energy's Joint

Genome Institute (JGI; http://www.jgi.doe.gov) according to JGI's standard operating procedure

using Illumina's MiSeq platform and v3 chemistry.

Sequence data analysis

Raw sequencing data were downloaded from the JGI's Genome Portal

(http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/) under the project ID 1032475. Data were decompressed and de-

interleaved using the 7-zip software (www.7-zip.org) and an in-house script, respectively. De-

interleaved files were subsequently processed using MOTHUR version 1.38.1 (Schloss et al.,

2009; Kozich et al., 2013). Paired-end reads were combined using the *make.contigs* command.

Sequences with ambiguous base calls and sequences longer than 325 bp were removed using

screen.seqs. Duplicate sequences were merged using *unique.seqs*, and the resulting unique

sequences were aligned to the V4 region of the SILVA database (v123) (Quast et al., 2013).

Chimeras were removed using UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011) and quality filtered sequences

were taxonomically classified at 80% confidence to the GreenGenes reference taxonomy

(release gg_13_5_99) (McDonald et al., 2012). Non-prokaryotic sequences were removed and

the *dist.seqs* command was used to calculate pairwise distances between the aligned

sequences. The resulting pairwise distance matrix was used to cluster sequences into

operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with a 97% sequence identity cut-off using UCLUST (Edgar,

2010). The most abundant sequence of each OTU was picked as the representative sequence.

OTUs were taxonomically classified using the *classify.otu* command using the GreenGenes

reference taxonomy (release gg_13_5_99). Shannon and Simpson estimators were calculated

in MOTHUR (Schloss et al., 2009).

In order to visualize the overall compositional differences between the co-cultures, an

uncorrected pairwise distance matrix was generated using the *dist.seqs* command in MOTHUR

and a tree was generated using *Clearcut* (version 1.0.9) (Evans et al., 2006). A cladogram from

the resulting tree file was constructed and visualized using iTOL (Letunic and Bork, 2016).

Cluster designations were assigned at a branch length of 0.05, with branch length indicating the

(number of differences / overall length of branches) between two samples. Samples whose

branches split at a distance >0.05 were considered as part of the same cluster (Figure 1).

Availability of data and material

Co-cultures subject to this study are publicly available through the CCMEE and the UTEX

Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Texas at Austin upon request using the

corresponding FECB ID (Table 1). Co-cultures can also be obtained from the Hess Lab at UC

Davis. Sequences generated during this project have been deposited and are publicly available

at NCBI's SRA under the BioProject ID PRJNA401502. All other data is included in this

published article and its supplementary information files.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

A total of 3,357,905 raw reads (mean (SD) = 129,150 ($\pm$15,845) reads per sample) were

generated from the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (Table 2). Quality filtering removed ~3.8%

($\pm$0.57%) of the raw reads from each sample due to insufficient quality. The remaining reads were

assigned to a total of 5,785 distinct Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) based on 97% sequence

identity (Table S2).

To estimate the microbial diversity within each sample, rarefaction analyses were performed

(Supplemental Figure S2) and diversity indices were calculated (Table 2). The Inverse Simpson

index (Simpson, 1949; Morris et al., 2014) of the samples ranged between 1.21 and 9.24 with the

lowest and highest indices calculated for FECB53 and FECB32 respectively (Table 2). Not

surprisingly, the diversity in the co-cultures under investigation appeared to be negatively

correlated with the proportion of reads recruited by the dominant OTU of each sample (Pearson

r =-0.8806; *p* <0.01). Although samples ranked slightly differently based on their diversity, when

diversity was calculated using the Shannon index (Kim et al., 2017), the overall trend remained

the same (Table 2).

The McMurdo Dry Valley Lake System, a physically highly stable lacustrine system

The McMurdo Dry Valley (MDV) is one of the most extreme deserts on Earth, and although the

importance of microbial communities for biogeochemical cycles of this region is widely accepted,

the microbial ecology of the MDV remains poorly understood (Chan et al., 2013). FECB3,

originating from a brackish pond on Bratina Island, was dominated by OTU000003, which

recruited 80.3% of all reads (Supplemental Table S2). OTU000003 was classified as the

cyanobacterium *Phormidium pseudopriestleyi*, previously reported to dominate microbial mats of

the anoxic zone of Lake Fryxell, Antarctica (Jungblut et al., 2015). The second and third most

abundant OTUs in FECB3 were OTU000015 and OTU000061, respectively (Supplemental Table

S2). Both OTU000015 and OTU000061 were classified as Rhodobacteriaceae and recruited

9.2% and 8.2% of the reads generated for FECB3. Whereas a taxonomic classification of

OTU000015 was not possible beyond the family level, OTU000061 was classified as *Paracoccus*

marcusii, a Gram-negative organism that displays a bright orange color due to the synthesis of

carotenoids such as astaxanthin (Harker et al., 1998).

While the microbial ecology of melt ponds and lakes in the MDV, habitats covered year-round

with an ice sheet, have been studied in great detail, most of the insights regarding the microbial

community assemblage in these waters are based primarily on visual observations via microscopy

(Jungblut et al., 2015). Molecular data, like those presented here, will be of great value to extend

our knowledge framework of the microbial ecology of this unique ecosystem.

Table 2: Read statistics and Diversity Index for co-cultures investigated in this study.

Sample ID	Total Raw Reads	Total Quality Filtered Reads	Total OTUs Observed	OTUs Recruiting >0.1% of Reads	% Reads Represented by OTUs Recruiting >0.1% of Reads	% Reads Recruited by Dominant OTU	Inverse Simpson Index	Shannon Index
FECB1	154,251	139,666	349	13	99.6%	47%	3.23	1.49
FECB2	128,172	116,166	197	3	99.8%	51%	2.04	0.77
FECB3	139,819	128,879	274	8	99.6%	80%	1.52	0.76
FECB4	94,960	84,983	288	17	99.4%	44%	3.92	1.77
FECB5	122,414	108,882	401	18	99.4%	40%	4.63	2.00
FECB6	153,355	136,199	549	28	99.4%	29%	6.5	2.39
FECB10	114,324	102,534	299	10	99.7%	72%	1.78	0.96
FECB12	151,629	141,953	335	19	99.5%	72%	1.87	1.23
FECB14	145,839	98,588	206	4	99.6%	67%	1.83	0.75
FECB15	105,256	96,030	278	9	99.6%	63%	2.18	1.14
FECB17	109,474	97,391	317	7	99.5%	59%	2.47	1.33
FECB19	118,003	103,477	300	10	99.5%	47%	3.27	1.50
FECB21	127,371	113,281	401	15	99.2%	58%	2.38	1.27
FECB22	129,934	117,651	399	23	99.4%	40%	4.46	2.08
FECB24	115,275	93,362	265	12	99.9%	65%	2.12	1.17
FECB26	121,303	103,597	265	16	99.5%	63%	2.29	1.32
FECB28	152,974	134,318	332	10	99.4%	37%	3.22	1.36
FECB30	140,681	118,256	546	22	98.7%	33%	4.61	2.00
FECB32	116,889	72,130	336	29	98.3%	19%	9.24	2.68
FECB34	138,723	125,710	288	14	99.9%	76%	1.69	1.11
FECB36	137,395	124,885	360	12	99.6%	52%	2.38	1.16
FECB38	139,001	124,379	479	17	99.1%	32%	5.22	2.01
FECB52	117,261	75,433	367	7	99.9%	71%	1.85	1.02
FECB53	119,380	86,565	303	5	99.1%	91%	1.21	0.44
FECB58	135,683	124,119	351	13	99.3%	38%	3.65	1.61
FECB68	128,539	114,032	402	11	99.7%	26%	5.27	1.90
Total	3,357,905	2,882,466	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Average	129,150	110,864	342	14	99%	51%	N/A	N/A
Stdev	15,845	19,275	87.2	6	0%	17%	N/A	N/A

Ubiquity of Cyanobacteria and Proteobacteria within photosynthetic co-cultures

While the microbial communities of the co-cultures under investigation varied greatly,

cyanobacteria and proteobacteria co-occurred in all 26 of the community assemblages.

Community composition analysis revealed that each of the co-cultures contained at least one

OTU (mean (SD) = 2 ($\pm$1.23)) that recruited >0.1% of the co-culture specific reads and that was

classified as *Cyanobacteria* (Table 3). The only other phylum present in each of the individual 26

co-cultures and represented by at least one OTU recruiting >0.1% of the reads was the

Proteobacteria phylum (Table 3). In contrast, only three samples, namely FECB5, FECB30 and

FECB68, contained OTUs that recruited >0.1% of the sample specific reads and that could not

be classified at the phylum level or at a higher taxonomic resolution (Table 3). It is possible that

the relatively high abundance of non-classified phyla might contribute to the separation of these

samples into distinct clusters (i.e. cluster XII, IX, and IV; Figure 1). In addition to their ubiquity,

Cyanobacteria and *Proteobacteria* also recruited the majority of the reads in all but four (i.e.

FECB2, FECB12, FECB58, and FECB68) of the samples under investigation (Figure 2 and

Supplemental Table S3). In FECB2 and FECB12 the majority of the reads were recruited by OTUs

classified as members of the phylum *Bacteroidetes* (recruiting 50.6% and 72% of the reads

respectively), whereas within FECB58 and FECB68, *Armatimonadetes* (38.3%) and *Chloroflexi*

(25.9%) were identified as the most abundant phyla (Figure 2 and Supplemental Table S3). The

fact that these samples were dominated by phyla other than the *Cyanobacteria* or *Proteobacteria*

may also help to explain why these samples form distinct clusters (cluster I, XIV and V, IV

respectively; Figure 1).

Firmicutes dominate photosynthetic co-cultures from hot springs

Firmicutes abundances calculated for co-cultures from hot spring samples were higher compared

to those calculated for co-cultures from other environments. OTUs assigned to the *Firmicutes*

phylum were detected above the applied cut-off level of 0.1% in only five of the twenty-six co-

cultures under investigation (Table 3). Interestingly, these samples (i.e. FECB32, FECB34,

FECB52, FECB58 and FECB68) are co-cultures collected from hot springs or from deposits within

hot springs, with FECB52, FECB58 and FECB68 being maintained in culture at temperatures

>40°C. OTU000073 (classified as *Alicyclobacillus tolerans*), OTU00082 (classified as members

of the genus *Paenibacillus*), OTU000154 (classified as *Geobacillus vulcani*), and OTU000158

(classified as a member of the *Bacillaceae* family) recruited 5.9%, 3.4% , 0.5% and 0.4% of the

reads generated from FECB52, FECB34, FECB68 and FECB58 respectively (Supplemental

Table S2). *Alicyclobacillus tolerans* and *Geobacillus vulcani* have been described previously as

aerobic spore-forming thermophiles and have been isolated from lead–zinc ores (Karavaiko et

al., 2005) and hot springs (Nazina et al., 2004) located in Russia, respectively. Members of the

genus *Paenibacillus* have been isolated from a wide variety of environments and some

Paenibacillus species have been found to promote crop growth directly via biological nitrogen

fixation, phosphate solubilization, production of the phytohormone indole-3-acetic acid; and they

have been identified as a potential source of novel antimicrobial agents (Grady et al., 2016).

Although it is difficult to make a reliable prediction of the metabolic capacities of the organism

associated with OTU000082 solely based on 16S rRNA data, it is certainly possible that this

organism might possess the ability to promote or inhibit plant and microbial growth respectively.

Photosynthetic co-cultures from Antarctica and YNP to study adaptation to increased radiation,

low temperatures and oligotrophic growth conditions

Microbial adaptation to extreme environments and the molecular framework that enable

microorganisms to survive and thrive in the presence of increased rates of radiation, low

temperatures and in the absence of nutrients has fascinated the scientific community for decades

and remains poorly understood. In an attempt to provide a better basis of the taxonomic make-up

of co-cultures that were collected from ecosystems that are characterized by these extremes, we

included co-cultures from Antarctica and YNP in this study (Table 1). OTU-based comparison of

Antarctica and YNP co-cultures revealed between 197 (FECB2) and 549 (FECB6) distinct OTUs

(mean (SD) = 342 ($\pm$87.2) OTUs) based on 97% sequence similarity (Table 2). The number of

OTUs that recruited >0.1% of all reads ranged from 3 to 29 OTUs, with FECB2 and FECB32

having the lowest and highest OTU count respectively (Table 2). FECB2 was dominated by an

OTU classified as *Hymenobacter,* which recruited all *Bacteroidetes*-specific reads generated from

this sample (Tables 3 & 4). The genus *Hymenobacter* contains several pigmented bacteria that

have been isolated from Antarctica and have been reported to possess increased resistance to

radiation (Oh et al., 2016; Marizcurrena et al., 2017), which might explain their increased

abundance in FECB2, a co-culture isolated from an environment known to possess increased

levels of UV radiation. Taking this into consideration, FECB2 and its individual community

members could be a potential target for future studies to enhance our understanding of processes

that infer resistance to radiation and DNA damage. The second most abundant OTU in FECB2,

recruiting 48% of the generated samples, was classified as *Phormidium* sp (Supplemental Table

S2), a cyanobacterial genus that has been reported to dominate aquatic microbial mats from

Antarctica (Jungblut et al., 2005; Strunecky et al., 2012). Representative isolates from this genus

have been proposed previously as cost-effective options for industrial carotenoid

production(Shukla and Kashyap, 2003), suggesting that FECB2 may hold the potential for this

process.

FECB32 is a mixed culture isolated from an ancient travertine at Mammoth in YNP. Our analysis

indicated that FECB32 contained 29 OTUs that each accounted for >0.1% of the reads generated

(Table 2). Fifteen of these OTUs recruited >1% of all reads and 4 OTUs collectively accounted

for ~60% of the reads generated from this co-culture (Supplemental Table S4). These 4 OTUs

were classified as *Sphingopyxis alaskensis, Chelativorans sp.* and as members of the

Chitinophagaceae and *Comamonadaceae families,* recruiting ~19%, 13%, 17%, and 11% of the

reads respectively (Supplemental Tables S2 & S4). *Sphingopyxis alaskensis* is a Gram-negative

bacterium found in relatively high abundance in oligotrophic regions of the ocean (Vancanneyt et

al., 2001; Cavicchioli et al., 2003) and it has been studied in great detail as a model system for

marine bacteria, specifically to understand microbial adaptation to cold or oligotrophic

environments (Lauro et al., 2009; Ting et al., 2010). The *Chitinophagaceae* family contains a wide

phylogenetic diversity with many of its members being mesophilic. However, *Chitinophagaceae*

have been reported to grow optimally at temperatures of 55°C and higher (Anders et al., 2014;

Hanada et al., 2014).

Photosynthetic co-cultures containing the deep-branching candidate phylum Melainabacteria

Extreme environments similar to those on early Earth are often proposed to hold critical

information about the historical progression of life on our planet and a niche that encompasses

those physical stresses is the endolithic environment of rocks (Norris and Castenholz, 2006).

Phylogenetic analysis of the heterotrophic population associated with FECB32, which was

isolated from travertine deposited by hot springs in YNP, found that sequences from MLE-12

(OTU000109) recruited ~2% of the sample specific sequences (Supplemental Table S2). This

rendered MLE-12, previously assigned to the deep-branching candidate phylum *Melainabacteria*

(Di Rienzi et al., 2013), as the eleventh most abundant organism in this photosynthetic co-culture.

It has been proposed previously that *Melainabacteria*, which is commonly found in aquatic

habitats, separated from the cyanobacteria before the latter acquired photosynthetic capabilities

(Di Rienzi et al., 2013). Hence FECB32 might be a particularly valuable co-culture to generate

new insights into the evolution of and relationship between the phylogenetically closely related

Cyanobacteria and *Melainabacteria*. In addition, this sample might provide the opportunity to

enhance our understanding of the origin of oxygenic photosynthesis and aerobic respiration in

Cyanobacteria, an area that is currently still poorly understood (Soo et al., 2017).

Table 3: Count and phylogenetic classification of identified OTUs at the phylum level. Only OTUs recruiting >0.1% of the co-culture specific reads are shown.

Phylum	FECB 1	FECB 2	FECB 3	FECB 4	FECB 5	FECB 6	FECB 10	FECB 12	FECB 14	FECB 15	FECB 17	FECB 19	FECB 21	FECB 22	FECB 24	FECB 26	FECB 28	FECB 30	FECB 32	FECB 34	FECB 36	FECB 38	FECB 52	FECB 53	FECB 58	FECB 68
Cyanobacteria	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	2	1	2	6	5	1	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	1	2
Proteobacteria	10	1	5	13	11	18	7	12	2	7	5	5	6	16	10	14	8	16	20	11	9	14	3	2	6	2
Actinobacteria	1	0	1	3	1	1	2	3	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
Bacteroidetes	0	1	0	0	1	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Armatimonadetes	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Unclassified Bacteria	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Firmicutes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
Thermi	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Chloroflexi	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Acidobacteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Planctomycetes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total OTU Count	13	3	8	17	18	28	10	19	4	9	7	10	15	23	12	16	10	22	29	14	12	17	7	5	13	11

Table 4. Taxonomy relative abundance of dominant OTU identified in each co-culture

Sample	Taxonomic Assignment	% Reads Recruited
FECB1	*Cyanobacteria; Oscillatoriophycideae; Oscillatoriales; Phormidiaceae; Phormidium; pseudopriestleyi*	47
FECB2	*Bacteroidetes; Cytophagia; Cytophagales; Cytophagaceae; Hymenobacter*	51
FECB3	*Cyanobacteria; Oscillatoriophycideae; Oscillatoriales; Phormidiaceae; Phormidium; pseudopriestleyi*	80
FECB4	*Cyanobacteria; Oscillatoriophycideae; Oscillatoriales; Phormidiaceae; Planktothrix*	44
FECB5	*Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Sphingomonadales; unclassified*	40
FECB6	*Proteobacteria;Gammaproteobacteria;Pseudomonadales; Pseudomonadaceae; Pseudomonas*	29
FECB10	*Cyanobacteria; Nostocophycideae; Nostocales; Nostocaceae; unclassified*	70
FECB12	*Bacteroidetes; Saprospirae; Saprospirales; Chitinophagaceae; Sediminibacterium*	72
FECB14	*Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhodospirillales; Rhodospirillacaea; Phaeospirillum;fulvum*	67
FECB15	*Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Pseudomonadales; Pseudomonadaceae; Pseudomonas*	63
FECB17	*Cyanobacteria ;Nostocphycideae; Stigonematales; Rivulariaceae; Rivularia*	59
FECB19	*Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Pseudomonadales; Pseudomonadaceae; Pseudomonas*	47
FECB21	*Cyanobacteria; Nostocophycideae; Stigonematales; Rivulariaceae; Rivularia*	58
FECB22	*Proteobacteria; Alphabacteria; Caulobacterales; Caulobacteraceae; Mycoplana*	40
FECB24	*Cyanobacteria; Nostocphycideae; Nostocales;Nostocaceae; unclassified*	65
FECB26	*Cyanobacteria; Nostocophycideae; Nostocales; unclassified*	63
FECB28	*Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Altermonadales; Alteromonadaceae; Marinobacter; hydrocarbonoclasticus*	37
FECB30	*Cyanobacteria; Oscillatoriophycideae; Oscillatoriales; Phormidiaceae; Geitlerinema*	33
FECB32	*Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Sphingomonadales; Sphingomonadaceae; Sphingopyxis;alaskensis*	19
FECB34	*Cyanobacteria; Nostocophycideae; Nostocales; Nostocaceae; unclassified*	76
FECB36	*Cyanobacteria; Oscillatoriophycideae; unclassified*	52
FECB38	*Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Xanthomonadales; Xanthomonadaceae; Stenotrophomonas; geniculata*	32
FECB52	*Cyanobacteria; unclassified*	71
FECB53	*Cyanobacteria; unclassified*	91
FECB58	*Armatimonadetes;OS-L; unclassified*	38
FECB68	*Chloroflexi; Chloroflexi; Chloroflexales; Chloroflexaceae; Chloroflexus*	26

Interestingly, OTU000109 was also detected in FECB36 and FECB38 (Supplemental Table S2),

although at significantly lower abundance (<0.001%). FECB36 and FECB38 were similar to

FECB32 in that they were isolated from sites in YNP. Interestingly, FECB32 and FECB38 cluster

together (cluster IX) suggesting similar overall microbial community profiles, but separately from

FECB36 (Figure 1). The only additional samples that contained OTUs classified as

Melainabacteria, recruiting >0.1% of the generated reads, were FECB58 and FECB68 with ~0.9%

and ~0.2% of their reads to this deeply branched phylum, respectively (Supplemental Table S2).

It seems noteworthy that FECB58 and FECB68 were also isolated from hot springs and clustered

closely together based on their overall microbiome composition (Clusters V and IV respectively;

Figure 1).

Photosynthetic co-cultures from Hunter's Hot Spring, Oregon

FECB58 and FECB68 were both isolated from Hunters Hot Spring in Oregon, USA and they

shared similar microbial community members. Despite their similar community profile,

abundances of the dominant OTUs associated with these two hot spring co-cultures were

remarkably different. FECB58 was dominated by 3 OTUs (OTU000014, OTU000024, and

OTU000033). OTU000014 was classified as OS-L, an uncultured representative of the phylum

Armatimonadetes, OTU000024 which was classified as belonging to the *Bacteroidetes* phylum,

and OTU000033 which was classified as *Thermosynechococcus*. These OTUs contributed 38%,

29% and 20% of the reads generated from FECB58 respectively. Whereas OTU000014 recruited

~4.9% of all reads generated from FECB68, representing the sixth most abundant OTU in the

FECB68 community, OTU000024 and OTU000033 were only present at an abundance <0.0001%

in FECB68 (Supplemental Table S2).

FECB68 was dominated by 6 OTUs (i.e. OTU000028, OTU000030, OTU000036, OTU000049,

OTU000065, and OTU000014) recruiting ~25.7%, 23.1%, 20.4%, 14.3%, 7.6%, and 4.9% of the

reads respectively. OTU000028 was classified as belonging to the genus *Chloroflexus*, whereas

OTU000030 and OTU000036 were classified as representative of the genus *Meiothermus* and

Gloeobacter, respectively. *Chloroflexus* is an anoxygenic phototrophic bacterium that grows at

temperatures up to 70°C(Castenholz, 2015) and forms yellow-orange-greenish mats in

association with cyanobacteria (Hanada, 2014). Members of the cyanobacterial genus

Gloeobacter lack thylakoids, and have been proposed to host the earliest ancestors, or a missing

link, in the cyanobacteria lineage (Saw et al., 2013). Thus, FECB68 offers a unique opportunity

to investigate interspecies interaction between a member of these basal cyanobacteria and the

thermophilic phototroph *Chloroflexus*, represented by OTU000028 in this co-culture. As outlined

in a recent review by Castenholz (2015), Hunter's Hot Spring located in Oregon is one of the most

studied hot springs in the world and a large repertoire of work has been conducted on this habitat

over the last 40 years. However, most of this work was performed prior to the advent of recent

molecular and -omics techniques. Hence, sequencing data generated from FECB58 and FECB68

during this study complement previous work and will facilitate new insights into the microbiology

of this unique ecosystem.

Photosynthetic co-cultures from lignocellulosic surfaces with potential to fix nitrogen and degrade

aromatic compounds.

FECB22 and FECB26 are mesophilic co-cultures collected from similar habitats (i.e. from tree

bark and a wooden fence) from two locations (i.e. Hawaii and Bermuda) approximately 9,000

kilometers apart from each other (Supplemental Figure S1 & Table 1). Diversity index calculation

placed these two samples in the mid-range of the diversity spectrum of the 26 co-cultures

analyzed for this study. The inverse Simpson and Shannon index was calculated at 4.46 and 2.08

for FECB22 and 2.29 and 1.32 for FECB26, respectively (Table 2). Within FECB22, 23 OTUs

were identified as individually recruiting more than 0.1% of the generated reads. In contrast,

FECB26 contained only 16 OTUs that recruited more than 0.1% of the reads each (Table S2).

FECB22, scraped from tree bark in Hawaii, was dominated by 11 OTUs, each recruiting >1% of

the reads. The most abundant OTU (OTU000017) was classified as a member of the *Mycoplana*,

a genus that contains bacteria capable of aromatic compound degradation (Urakami et al., 1990),

and it recruited 40.2% of the reads. OTU000042 (classified as *Rhizobium leguminosarum*),

OTU000045 (classified as *Acetobacteraceae*), and OTU000072 (classified as *Cyanobacteria*),

were the next most abundant OTUs, recruiting 17.1%, 16.3%, and 5.5% of the reads generated

from FECB22 respectively. *Rhizobium leguminosarum* is a well-studied α-proteobacterium

capable of N_2-fixation and "rhizobia" have been suggested repeatedly to facilitate more

sustainable agricultural practices through their symbiosis with legumes, reducing the need for

nitrogen fertilizer (Marek-Kozaczuk et al., 2017). It remains to be seen if OTU000042 provides N_2

to the other organisms in this co-culture or if it consumes all of the fixed N_2 itself.

Acetobacteraceae are α-proteobacteria often associated with low pH environments and are

known for their ability to efficiently synthesize biological cellulose (Rozenberga et al., 2016;

Semjonovs et al., 2017). Furthermore, *Acetobacteraceae* have been reported before as some of

the dominant players in photosynthetic consortia during soil formation (Mapelli et al., 2011). It

would be interesting to explore the agricultural and chemical potential of a minimalistic co-culture

composed of the 4 OTUs (i.e. OTU000017, OTU000042, OTU000045 and OTU000072) that

dominated FECB22, as they may combine the ability to degrade aromatic compounds and

synthesize cellulose while removing nitrogen from the atmosphere. FECB26, on the other hand,

was dominated by OTU000010, which recruited 63.2% of the reads generated and it was

identified as an unclassified member of the *Nostocales*; a phylogenetic group known for their

functional and morphological diversity. Members of the *Sphingomonadaceae* (i.e. OTU000041

and OTU000062), phototropic α-proteobacteria often found in high abundance in environments

previously thought to support mostly the growth of cyanobacteria (Tahon and Willems, 2017),

contributed to a total of 25.6% of the generated reads. Most interestingly, OTU000017 was also

detected within FECB26 recruiting ~1.6% of the reads. It is possible that OTU000017 facilitates a

metabolic reaction in which aromatic compounds typically associated with the decomposition of

woody material under aerobic conditions are utilized. Further characterization of this organism in

co-culture and eventually in axenic culture might provide further clarity if this is the case.

CONCLUSION

Culture collections can provide easy access to biological samples without the need for extensive

resources by the requesting individual, subsequently facilitating new studies and ultimately

advancing our understanding of phylogenetic and functional biodiversity. Although some of the

diversity of the original microbial community might have been lost due to a cultivation bias, the

16S rRNA based community fingerprints of the 26 photosynthetic co-cultures described here

provide a first in-depth glimpse into the taxonomic and functional diversity of communities from

extreme environments that were considered for a long time as too harsh to support the growth of

complex microbial communities. The extreme conditions that are associated with the habitats

from where these co-cultures were collected offer the unique opportunity to study the molecular

mechanisms that support the growth of these extremophilic co-cultures and their role in global

carbon and nitrogen cycling. Furthermore, an in-depth understanding of these extreme co-

cultures holds the potential to discover novel microbial proteins that might render current

agricultural, industrial and medical processes more economical and sustainable.

The heterogeneity of the physical parameters reported for the sites where the samples presented

in this work were collected, highlights a major challenge (i.e. standardization of protocols)

associated with environmental samples and their corresponding metadata (i.e. data describing

conditions at each sampling site), specifically when collected during independent sampling efforts.

Fortunately, with recent advances in data technologies, the task of data acquisition and

dissemination has become less of a challenge. In order to make the best use of these

technologies defining a set of minimal information parameters to be recorded during the collection

of an environmental sample is of great importance. Similar efforts have been successfully

implemented by the Genomic Standards Consortium (GSC) for microbial genomes and

metagenomes in the form of the "minimum information about a genome sequence" (MIGS) (Field

et al., 2008) and are enforced when describing a novel microbial species (Kampfer et al., 2003).

The identification of **M**inimum **I**nformation about a **C**o-**C**ulture **S**ample (MICCS) would be a

significant step in standardizing sample acquisition and maintenance, increasing the value of

current and future microbial samples collected from the environment. Developing MICCS and

applying them to co-cultures currently available from existing culture depositories is beyond the

scope of the work presented here, but we hope that the results presented here will contribute to

the initiation of this process and stimulate broad involvement and support from the scientific

community and various funding agencies.

Acknowledgements: This work was funded by the College of Agricultural and Environmental

Science and the Microbiology & Biochemistry, Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology

Graduate Group at University of California Davis (Davis, CA) and the U.S. Department of

Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute (JGI) in Walnut Creek, CA. Work conducted by the JGI, a

DOE User Facility, is supported by DOE's Office of Science under Contract No. DE-AC02-

05CH11231. We would also thank Drs. Jorge Rodrigues and John Meeks from UC Davis for

providing valuable comments and suggestions on how to improve this manuscript.

We would like to dedicate this publication to Professor Dr. Richard Castenholz who passed away

during the completion of this work after a long and satisfying journey in the world of Cyanobacteria.

He was, and will remain, a great inspiration to many of us.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions Statement: Claire Shaw, Charles Brooke, Richard Castenholz, David E.

Culley, Matthias Hess, and Susannah G. Tringe wrote the manuscript. Richard Castenholz and

Matthias Hess designed the experiment. Erik Hawley and Matthias Hess performed experiment.

Michael Barton, David E. Culley, Tijana Glavina del Rio, Miranda Harmon-Smith, Erik Hawley,

Matthias Hess, Nicole Shapiro, and Susannah G. Tringe generated the data. Michael Barton,

Claire Shaw, Charles Brooke, Morgan P. Connolly, David E. Culley, Javier A. Garcia, Tijana

Glavina del Rio, Miranda Harmon-Smith, Erik Hawley, Matthias Hess, and Nicole Shapiro

analyzed the data.

Figures & Tables Legends

Figure 1: Cladogram of 16S rRNA based community composition of co-cultures under

investigation. FECB identifier (sample ID) is provided for each co-culture. Sample location is

indicated on the corresponding branch. Roman numerals on the right indicate the clusters

identified at a branch cutoff of 0.05. Symbols (i.e. circles and squares) next to sample ID

indicate habitat type and color indicates the temperatures at which sample was historically

maintained in the CCMEE. Branch length indicates (number of differences / overall length of

branches) between two samples.

Figure 2: Relative abundance of phyla associated with phototrophic co-cultures. 16S

rRNA based community profile. Only phyla recruiting >0.1% of the reads in at least one of the

co-cultures are shown.

Table 1: Summary of photosynthetic co-cultures for which 16S rRNA gene profiles were

generated.

Table 2: Sequencing statistics and diversity indices for co-cultures investigated in this

study.

Table 3: Count and phylogenetic classification of identified OTUs at the phylum level.

Only OTUs recruiting >0.1% of the co-culture specific reads are shown.

Table 4: Taxonomy relative abundance of dominant OTU identified in each co-culture.

REFERENCES

Abed, R.M., Dobretsov, S., and Sudesh, K. (2009). Applications of cyanobacteria in
biotechnology. *J Appl Microbiol* 106(1), 1-12. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03918.x.

Al-Haj, L., Lui, Y.T., Abed, R.M., Gomaa, M.A., and Purton, S. (2016). Cyanobacteria as
Chassis for Industrial Biotechnology: Progress and Prospects. *Life (Basel)* 6(4). doi:
10.3390/life6040042.

Allen, M.M., and Stanier, R.Y. (1968). Selective isolation of blue-green algae from water and
soil. *J Gen Microbiol* 51(2), 203-209. doi: 10.1099/00221287-51-2-203.

Anders, H., Dunfield, P.F., Lagutin, K., Houghton, K.M., Power, J.F., Mackenzie, A.D., et al.
(2014). *Thermoflavifilum aggregans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic and slightly
halophilic filamentous bacterium from the phylum *Bacteroidetes*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
64(Pt 4), 1264-1270. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.057463-0.

Boundy-Mills, K., Hess, M., Bennett, A.R., Ryan, M., Kang, S., Nobles, D., et al. (2015). The
United States Culture Collection Network (USCCN): Enhancing Microbial Genomics
Research through Living Microbe Culture Collections. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81(17),
5671-5674. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01176-15.

Camacho, A., Garcia-Pichel, F., Vicente, E., and Castenholz, R.W. (1996). Adaptation to sulfide
and to the underwater light field in three cyanobacterial isolates from Lake Arcas
(Spain). *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 21(4), 293-301. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-
6941.1996.tb00126.x.

Castenholz, R.W. (1981). "Isolation and Cultivation of Thermophilic Cyanobacteria," in *Isolation
and Identification of Bacteria,* eds. S. M.P., S. H., T. H.G., B. A. & S. H.G. (Berlin,
Heidelberg: Springer), 236-246.

Castenholz, R.W. (2015). Portrait of a Geothermal Spring, Hunter's Hot Springs, Oregon. *Life
(Basel)* 5(1), 332-347. doi: 10.3390/life5010332.

Cavicchioli, R., Ostrowski, M., Fegatella, F., Goodchild, A., and Guixa-Boixereu, N. (2003). Life
under nutrient limitation in oligotrophic marine environments: an eco/physiological
perspective of *Sphingopyxis alaskensis* (formerly *Sphingomonas alaskensis*). *Microb
Ecol* 45(3), 203-217. doi: 10.1007/s00248-002-3008-6.

Chan, Y., Van Nostrand, J.D., Zhou, J., Pointing, S.B., and Farrell, R.L. (2013). Functional
ecology of an Antarctic Dry Valley. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110(22), 8990-8995. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1300643110.

Cubillos-Ruiz, A., Berta-Thompson, J.W., Becker, J.W., van der Donk, W.A., and Chisholm,
S.W. (2017). Evolutionary radiation of lanthipeptides in marine cyanobacteria. *Proc Natl
Acad Sci USA* 114(27), E5424-E5433. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1700990114.

de-Bashan, L.E., Bashan, Y., Moreno, M., Lebsky, V.K., and Bustillos, J.J. (2002). Increased
pigment and lipid content, lipid variety, and cell and population size of the microalgae
Chlorella spp. when co-immobilized in alginate beads with the microalgae-growth-

promoting bacterium *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 48(6)**,**
514-521. doi: 10.1139/w02-051.

Di Rienzi, S.C., Sharon, I., Wrighton, K.C., Koren, O., Hug, L.A., Thomas, B.C., et al. (2013).
The human gut and groundwater harbor non-photosynthetic bacteria belonging to a new
candidate phylum sibling to Cyanobacteria. *Elife* 2**,** e01102. doi: 10.7554/eLife.01102.

Dillon, J.G., and Castenholz, R.W. (2003). The synthesis of the UV-screening pigment,
scytonemin, and photosynthetic performance in isolates from closely related natural
populations of cyanobacteria (*Calothrix* sp.). *Environmental Microbiology* 5(6)**,** 484-491.
doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00436.x.

Dillon, J.G., Tatsumi, C.M., Tandingan, P.G., and Castenholz, R.W. (2002). Effect of
environmental factors on the synthesis of scytonemin, a UV-screening pigment, in a
cyanobacterium (*Chroococcidiopsis* sp.). *Arch Microbiol* 177(4)**,** 322-331. doi:
10.1007/s00203-001-0395-x.

Edgar, R.C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
Bioinformatics 26(19)**,** 2460-2461. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461.

Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., and Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves
sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27(16)**,** 2194-2200. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381.

Evans, J., Sheneman, L., and Foster, J. (2006). Relaxed neighbor joining: a fast distance-based
phylogenetic tree construction method. *J Mol Evol* 62(6)**,** 785-792. doi: 10.1007/s00239-
005-0176-2.

Field, D., Garrity, G., Gray, T., Morrison, N., Selengut, J., Sterk, P., et al. (2008). The minimum
information about a genome sequence (MIGS) specification. *Nat Biotechnol* 26(5)**,** 541-
547. doi: 10.1038/nbt1360.

Formighieri, C., and Melis, A. (2016). Sustainable heterologous production of terpene
hydrocarbons in cyanobacteria. *Photosynthesis Research* 130(1)**,** 123-135. doi:
10.1007/s11120-016-0233-2.

Gajda, I., Greenman, J., Melhuish, C., and Ieropoulos, I. (2015). Self-sustainable electricity
production from algae grown in a microbial fuel cell system. *Biomass & Bioenergy* 82**,**
87-93. doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.05.017.

Garcia-Pichel, F., Belnap, J., Neuer, S., and Schanz, F. (2003). Estimates of global
cyanobacterial biomass and its distribution. *Algological Studies* 109(1)**,** 213-227. doi:
10.1127/1864-1318/2003/0109-0213.

Grady, E.N., MacDonald, J., Liu, L., Richman, A., and Yuan, Z.C. (2016). Current knowledge
and perspectives of *Paenibacillus*: a review. *Microb Cell Fact* 15(1)**,** 203. doi:
10.1186/s12934-016-0603-7.

Hanada, S. (2014). "The Phylum Chloroflexi, the Family *Chloroflexaceae*, and the Related
Phototrophic Families *Oscillochloridaceae* and *Roseiflexaceae*," in *Other Major Lineages*

of Bacteria and The Archaea, eds. E. Rosenberg, E.F. DeLong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt
& F. Thompson. (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 515-532.

Hanada, S., Tamaki, H., Nakamura, K., and Kamagata, Y. (2014). *Crenotalea thermophila* gen.
nov., sp. nov., a member of the family *Chitinophagaceae* isolated from a hot spring. *Int J*
Syst Evol Microbiol 64(Pt 4)**,** 1359-1364. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.058594-0.

Harker, M., Hirschberg, J., and Oren, A. (1998). *Paracoccus marcusii* sp. nov., an orange gram-
negative coccus. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 48 Pt 2**,** 543-548. doi: 10.1099/00207713-48-2-543.

Jungblut, A.-D., Hawes, I., Mountfort, D., Hitzfeld, B., Dietrich, D.R., Burns, B.P., et al. (2005).
Diversity within cyanobacterial mat communities in variable salinity meltwater ponds of
McMurdo Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Environmental Microbiology* 7(4)**,** 519-529. doi:
10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00717.x.

Jungblut, A.D., Hawes, I., Mackey, T.J., Krusor, M., Doran, P.T., Sumner, D.Y., et al. (2015).
Microbial Mat Communities along an Oxygen Gradient in a Perennially Ice-Covered
Antarctic Lake. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82(2)**,** 620-630. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02699-15.

Kampfer, P., Buczolits, S., Albrecht, A., Busse, H.J., and Stackebrandt, E. (2003). Towards a
standardized format for the description of a novel species (of an established genus):
Ochrobactrum gallinifaecis sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 53(Pt 3)**,** 893-896. doi:
10.1099/ijs.0.02710-0.

Karavaiko, G.I., Bogdanova, T.I., Tourova, T.P., Kondrat'eva, T.F., Tsaplina, I.A., Egorova,
M.A., et al. (2005). Reclassification of '*Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans* subsp.
thermotolerans' strain K1 as *Alicyclobacillus tolerans* sp. nov. and *Sulfobacillus*
disulfidooxidans Dufresne et al. 1996 as *Alicyclobacillus disulfidooxidans* comb. nov.,
and emended description of the genus *Alicyclobacillus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 55(Pt
2)**,** 941-947. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63300-0.

Karl, D., Letelier, R., Tupas, L., Dore, J., Christian, J., and Hebel, D. (1997). The role of nitrogen
fixation in biogeochemical cycling in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean. *Nature*
388(6642)**,** 533-538.

Kim, B.R., Shin, J., Guevarra, R., Lee, J.H., Kim, D.W., Seol, K.H., et al. (2017). Deciphering
Diversity Indices for a Better Understanding of Microbial Communities. *J Microbiol*
Biotechnol 27(12)**,** 2089-2093. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1709.09027.

Kleigrewe, K., Gerwick, L., Sherman, D.H., and Gerwick, W.H. (2016). Unique marine derived
cyanobacterial biosynthetic genes for chemical diversity. *Nat Prod Rep* 33(2)**,** 348-364.
doi: 10.1039/c5np00097a.

Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K., and Schloss, P.D. (2013).
Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing
amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol 79(17)**,** 5112-5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01043-13.

Lauro, F.M., McDougald, D., Thomas, T., Williams, T.J., Egan, S., Rice, S., et al. (2009). The
genomic basis of trophic strategy in marine bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106(37)**,**
15527-15533. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0903507106.

Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display
and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44(W1)**,** W242-245.
doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw290.

Li, B., Sher, D., Kelly, L., Shi, Y., Huang, K., Knerr, P.J., et al. (2010). Catalytic promiscuity in
the biosynthesis of cyclic peptide secondary metabolites in planktonic marine
cyanobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107(23)**,** 10430-10435. doi:
10.1073/pnas.0913677107.

Mapelli, F., Marasco, R., Rizzi, A., Baldi, F., Ventura, S., Daffonchio, D., et al. (2011). Bacterial
communities involved in soil formation and plant establishment triggered by pyrite
bioweathering on arctic moraines. *Microb Ecol* 61(2)**,** 438-447. doi: 10.1007/s00248-010-
9758-7.

Marek-Kozaczuk, M., Wdowiak-Wrobel, S., Kalita, M., Chernetskyy, M., Derylo, K.,
Tchorzewski, M., et al. (2017). Host-dependent symbiotic efficiency of *Rhizobium*
leguminosarum bv. *trifolii* strains isolated from nodules of *Trifolium rubens. Antonie Van*
Leeuwenhoek. doi: 10.1007/s10482-017-0922-7.

Marizcurrena, J.J., Morel, M.A., Brana, V., Morales, D., Martinez-Lopez, W., and Castro-
Sowinski, S. (2017). Searching for novel photolyases in UVC-resistant Antarctic bacteria.
Extremophiles 21(2)**,** 409-418. doi: 10.1007/s00792-016-0914-y.

McCluskey, K. (2017). A Review of Living Collections with Special Emphasis on Sustainability
and Its Impact on Research Across Multiple Disciplines. *Biopreserv Biobank* 15(1)**,** 20-
30. doi: 10.1089/bio.2016.0066.

McDonald, D., Price, M.N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E.P., DeSantis, T.Z., Probst, A., et al.
(2012). An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and
evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J* 6(3)**,** 610-618. doi:
10.1038/ismej.2011.139.

Miao, R., Xie, H., and Lindblad, P. (2018). Enhancement of photosynthetic isobutanol
production in engineered cells of *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*
11(1)**,** 267. doi: 10.1186/s13068-018-1268-8.

Miller, S.R., and Castenholz, R.W. (2000). Evolution of thermotolerance in hot spring
cyanobacteria of the genus *Synechococcus. Appl Environ Microbiol* 66(10)**,** 4222-4229.

Morris, E.K., Caruso, T., Buscot, F., Fischer, M., Hancock, C., Maier, T.S., et al. (2014).
Choosing and using diversity indices: insights for ecological applications from the
German Biodiversity Exploratories. *Ecology and Evolution* 4(18)**,** 3514-3524. doi:
10.1002/ece3.1155.

Nadeau, T.-L., and Castenholz, R.W. (2000). Characterization of psychrophilic oscillatorians
(cyanobacteria) from antarctic meltwater ponds. *Journal of Phycology* 36(5)**,** 914-923.
doi: 10.1046/j.1529-8817.2000.99201.x.

Nadeau, T.-L., Milbrandt, E.C., and Castenholz, R.W. (2001). Evolutionary relationships of
cultivated antarctic oscillatorians (cyanobacteria). *Journal of Phycology* 37(4)**,** 650-654.
doi: 10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.037004650.x.

Nazina, T.N., Lebedeva, E.V., Poltaraus, A.B., Tourova, T.P., Grigoryan, A.A., Sokolova, D., et
al. (2004). *Geobacillus gargensis* sp. nov., a novel thermophile from a hot spring, and
the reclassification of Bacillus vulcani as *Geobacillus vulcani* comb. nov. *Int J Syst Evol*
Microbiol 54(Pt 6)**,** 2019-2024. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02932-0.

Norris, T.B., and Castenholz, R.W. (2006). Endolithic photosynthetic communities within ancient
and recent travertine deposits in Yellowstone National Park. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 57(3)**,**
470-483. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00134.x.

Oh, T.J., Han, S.R., Ahn, D.H., Park, H., and Kim, A.Y. (2016). Complete genome sequence of
Hymenobacter sp. strain PAMC26554, an ionizing radiation-resistant bacterium isolated
from an Antarctic lichen. *J Biotechnol* 227**,** 19-20. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.04.011.

Padmaperuma, G., Kapoore, R.V., Gilmour, D.J., and Vaidyanathan, S. (2018). Microbial
consortia: a critical look at microalgae co-cultures for enhanced biomanufacturing.
Critical Reviews in Biotechnology 38(5)**,** 690-703. doi:
10.1080/07388551.2017.1390728.

Pisciotta, J.M., Zou, Y., and Baskakov, I.V. (2010). Light-dependent electrogenic activity of
cyanobacteria. *PLoS One* 5(5)**,** e10821. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010821.

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013). The SILVA
ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.
Nucleic Acids Res 41(Database issue)**,** D590-596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219.

Rozenberga, L., Skute, M., Belkova, L., Sable, I., Vikele, L., Semjonovs, P., et al. (2016).
Characterisation of films and nanopaper obtained from cellulose synthesised by acetic
acid bacteria. *Carbohydr Polym* 144**,** 33-40. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.02.025.

Saw, J.H., Schatz, M., Brown, M.V., Kunkel, D.D., Foster, J.S., Shick, H., et al. (2013).
Cultivation and complete genome sequencing of *Gloeobacter kilaueensis* sp. nov., from
a lava cave in Kilauea Caldera, Hawai'i. *PLoS One* 8(10)**,** e76376. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0076376.

Schloss, P.D., Westcott, S.L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J.R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E.B., et al. (2009).
Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software
for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Applied and environmental*
microbiology 75(23)**,** 7537-7541. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01541-09.

Semjonovs, P., Ruklisha, M., Paegle, L., Saka, M., Treimane, R., Skute, M., et al. (2017).
Cellulose synthesis by *Komagataeibacter rhaeticus* strain P 1463 isolated from
Kombucha. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 101(3)**,** 1003-1012. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-
7761-8.

Shukla, S.P., and Kashyap, A.K. (2003). An assessment of biopotential of three cyanobacterial
isolates from Antarctic for carotenoid production. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* 40(5)**,** 362-
366.

Simpson, E.H. (1949). Measurement of Diversity. *Nature* 163(4148)**,** 688-688. doi:
10.1038/163688a0.

Soo, R.M., Hemp, J., Parks, D.H., Fischer, W.W., and Hugenholtz, P. (2017). On the origins of
oxygenic photosynthesis and aerobic respiration in Cyanobacteria. *Science* 355(6332)**,**
1436-1440. doi: 10.1126/science.aal3794.

Strunecky, O., Elster, J., and Komarek, J. (2012). Molecular clock evidence for survival of
Antarctic cyanobacteria (*Oscillatoriales, Phormidium autumnale*) from Paleozoic times.
FEMS Microbiol Ecol 82(2)**,** 482-490. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01426.x.

Subashchandrabose, S.R., Ramakrishnan, B., Megharaj, M., Venkateswarlu, K., and Naidu, R.
(2011). Consortia of cyanobacteria/microalgae and bacteria: Biotechnological potential.
Biotechnology Advances 29(6)**,** 896-907. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.07.009.

Sudek, S., Haygood, M.G., Youssef, D.T., and Schmidt, E.W. (2006). Structure of trichamide, a
cyclic peptide from the bloom-forming cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum*,
predicted from the genome sequence. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72(6)**,** 4382-4387. doi:
10.1128/AEM.00380-06.

Tahon, G., and Willems, A. (2017). Isolation and characterization of aerobic anoxygenic
phototrophs from exposed soils from the Sor Rondane Mountains, East Antarctica. *Syst*
Appl Microbiol 40(6)**,** 357-369. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2017.05.007.

Ting, L., Williams, T.J., Cowley, M.J., Lauro, F.M., Guilhaus, M., Raftery, M.J., et al. (2010).
Cold adaptation in the marine bacterium, *Sphingopyxis alaskensis,* assessed using
quantitative proteomics. *Environ Microbiol* 12(10)**,** 2658-2676. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-
2920.2010.02235.x.

Toplin, J.A., Norris, T.B., Lehr, C.R., McDermott, T.R., and Castenholz, R.W. (2008).
Biogeographic and phylogenetic diversity of thermoacidophilic cyanidiales in
Yellowstone National Park, Japan, and New Zealand. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74(9)**,**
2822-2833. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02741-07.

Urakami, T., Oyanagi, H., Araki, H., Suzuki, K.-I., and Komagata, K. (1990). Recharacterization
and Emended Description of the Genus *Mycoplana* and Description of Two New
Species, *Mycoplana ramosa* and *Mycoplana segnis. International Journal of Systematic*
and Evolutionary Microbiology 40(4)**,** 434-442. doi: doi:10.1099/00207713-40-4-434.

Vancanneyt, M., Schut, F., Snauwaert, C., Goris, J., Swings, J., and Gottschal, J.C. (2001).
Sphingomonas alaskensis sp. nov., a dominant bacterium from a marine oligotrophic
environment. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 51(Pt 1)**,** 73-79. doi: 10.1099/00207713-51-1-73.

Wang, R., Xue, S., Zhang, D., Zhang, Q., Wen, S., Kong, D., et al. (2015). Construction and
characteristics of artificial consortia of *Scenedesmus obliquus*-bacteria for *S. obliquus*
growth and lipid production. *Algal Research* 12**,** 436-445. doi:
10.1016/j.algal.2015.10.002.

Whitton, B.A., and Potts, M. (2000). *The ecology of cyanobacteria: their diversity in time and*
space. Kluwer Academic.

Woo, H.M., and Lee, H.J. (2017). Toward solar biodiesel production from CO2 using engineered
cyanobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 364(9). doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnx066.

Yen, H.-W., Chen, P.-W., and Chen, L.-J. (2015). The synergistic effects for the co-cultivation of
oleaginous yeast-*Rhodotorula glutinis* and microalgae-*Scenedesmus obliquus* on the
biomass and total lipids accumulation. *Bioresource Technology* 184**,** 148-152. doi:
10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.113.

Zarzycki, J., Axen, S.D., Kinney, J.N., and Kerfeld, C.A. (2013). Cyanobacterial-based
approaches to improving photosynthesis in plants. *J Exp Bot* 64(3)**,** 787-798. doi:
10.1093/jxb/ers294.

Zhao, J., Li, X.F., Ren, Y.P., Wang, X.H., and Jian, C. (2012). Electricity generation from Taihu
Lake cyanobacteria by sediment microbial fuel cells. *Journal of Chemical Technology*
and Biotechnology 87(11)**,** 1567-1573. doi: 10.1002/jctb.3794.