Title: Community structure of phototrophic co-cultures from extreme environments

Charles Brooke[1], Morgan P. Connolly[2], Javier A. Garcia[3], Miranda Harmon-Smith[4],
Nicole Shapiro[4], Erik Hawley[5], Michael Barton[4], Susannah G. Tringe[4], Tijana Glavina del
Rio[4], David E. Culley[6], Richard Castenholz[7] and *Matthias Hess[1, 4]

[1]Systems Microbiology & Natural Products Laboratory, University of California, Davis, CA
[2]Microbiology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA
[3]Biochemistry, Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology Graduate Group, University of
California, Davis, CA
[4]DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA
[5]Bayer, Pittsburg, PA
[6]LifeMine, Cambridge, MA
[7]University of Oregon, Eugene, OR
14
15
16 ***Corresponding author:**   Matthias Hess
17   University of California, Davis
18   1 Shields Ave
19   Davis, CA 95616, USA
20   P (530) 530-752-8809
21   F (530) 752-0175
22   mhess@ucdavis.edu
23
24

ABSTRACT

Cyanobacteria are found in most illuminated environments and are key players in global carbon and nitrogen cycling. Although significant efforts have been made to advance our understanding of this important phylum, still little is known about how members of the cyanobacteria affect and respond to changes in complex biological systems. This lack of knowledge is in part due to the reliance on our ability to maintain pure cultures when determining the metabolism and function of a microorganism. To fill this knowledge-gap, we selected 26 photosynthetic co-cultures from the Culture Collection of Microorganisms from Extreme Environments (CCMEE) for 16S rRNA gene sequencing. We assessed if samples readily available from the CCMEE could contribute valuable insights to advance applied and fundamental science in the areas of global and local carbon and nitrogen cycling, without growing individual members of these co-cultures axenically. Results from this work will aid in determining whether culture depositories in general hold the potential to advance fundamental and applied research. Since maintaining culture depositories is resource intensive, such an assessment will be of great value in guiding future funding decisions.

INTRODUCTION

Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic prokaryotes that are found in the majority of illuminated habitats and are known to be some of the most morphologically diverse prokaryotes on our planet [1]. The global cyanobacterial biomass is estimated to total ~3×10^{14} g of carbon [2] and cyanobacteria may account for 20–30% of Earth's primary photosynthetic productivity [3]. The efficient photosynthetic machinery of cyanobacteria has inspired

growing interest in the utilization of axenic cyanobacteria as well as cyanobacteria

containing co-cultures in microbial fuel cells [4,5]. In addition to having a global effect on the

carbon cycle, cyanobacteria-mediated nitrogen fixation has been estimated to supply 20–

50% of the nitrogen input in some marine environments [6]. A detailed comprehension of

cyanobacteria and their contribution to global carbon and nitrogen cycling is therefore

necessary for a multi-scalar understanding of these globally important nutrient cycles and

ultimately for our ability to build accurate models to predict future climate patterns.

Besides their ecological relevance, cyanobacteria have potential applications in

biotechnology. The photosynthetic metabolism of cyanobacteria facilitates the assimilation

of carbon dioxide, a cheap and abundant substrate, to synthesize a variety of value-added

compounds with industrial relevance [7]. Numerous strains of cyanobacteria have been

investigated for their potential to produce bioactive compounds, biofertilizer, biofuels, and

bioplastics [8]; and interactions of cyanobacterial strains with other bacteria have been

found to improve desirable cyanobacterial phenotypes [9]. Genes encoding enzymes

capable of catalyzing reactions that result in unique products, such as modified trichamide,

a cyclic peptide suggested to protect the bloom-forming *Trichodesmium erythraeum*

against predation [10], and prochlorosins, a family of lanthipeptides with diverse functions

that are synthesized by various strains of *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* [11,12], have

been identified from cyanobacterial genomes [13,14]. It is very likely that *de novo* genome

assembly from metagenomic data will facilitate the discovery of novel enzymes from

cyanobacteria for which we currently lack the appropriate isolation and cultivation

techniques. Although metagenome-derived genomes hold great potential to enhance our

knowledge about genomic dark matter, ultimately, improved techniques to isolate and

enable axenic culturing of microorganisms that are currently characterized as

"unculturable", as well as new genetic tools to work with non-axenic cultures will be

necessary in order to fully access the biotechnological potential of cyanobacteria.

Culture collections provide the possibility of preserving microbial isolates over extended

periods of time without introducing significant genetic changes [15] and they facilitate open

access to these isolates and their associated metadata [16]. Hence co-culture repositories

represent a promising starting point for developing and testing techniques to study and

manipulate uncultivated microbes. Although culture collections hold enormous potential

for capturing and preserving microbial biodiversity and for improving cultivation

techniques, there are numerous challenges in maintaining these biological depositories

and the individual samples they contain. A detailed understanding of the make-up of

individual co-cultures is essential to assess their true value and ultimately to develop

strategies that will be suitable to address challenges associated with long-term sample

maintenance. With recent advances in DNA sequencing technologies and the accessibility

of 16S rRNA gene-based microbial community profiling, we are now well positioned to re-

inventory and evaluate existing culture collections. Standardized inventories will facilitate

sample documentation for deposits maintained by individual laboratories and large culture

collections alike, which will be essential for cataloguing, preserving and surveying the

planet's microbial biodiversity.

To explore the potential of culture collections, specifically those that maintain samples of

microbial co-cultures, to provide reference genomes from environmentally or industrially

relevant microorganisms, we reexamined the biodiversity of 26 historical phototrophic

samples from the Culture Collection of Microorganisms from Extreme Environments

(CCMEE). While some of the samples, and their dominant phototrophs were studied

previously using 16S rRNA profiling and morphological characterization [17-24] the diversity

of the photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic organisms and the overall community

assemblage of these co-cultures have not yet been characterized. To add further value to

this study, we selected samples that originated from diverse extreme environments across

the globe; with properties suggesting each co-culture would yield a unique microbial

consortium. An enhanced understanding of the microbial diversity that is preserved within

environmental co-cultures available through public culture collections will contribute to a

better understanding of global microbial biodiversity.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection & sample description

Co-cultures selected for this study are part of a larger culture collection and were

collected from different locations (Table 1) between 1988 and 2002. Isolates were

collected using sterile techniques, kept in the dark and stored on ice as quickly as

possible. Samples were transported to the laboratory where aliquots were prepared for

cultivation and preservation at -80°C. For this study, co-cultures were selected from the

CCMEE to cover a variety of geographical locations (Figure 1) as well as a range of

different ecosystems (Table 1). Due to the lack of a consistent terminology historically

used to describe the sampling sites, we categorized co-cultures according to the

geographical location (e.g. Antarctica, Bermuda, Denmark, Mexico and Spain) and

based on a general description of the habitat (i.e. creek, crust, freshwater, hot spring,

marine, saline pond, terrestrial, travertine, and tree bark) from where the co-cultures

were collected. In addition, we used the growth medium and temperature (i.e. 12°C,

23°C, 40°C, 45°C, 55°C) at which available co-cultures have been maintained

historically in the laboratory to categorize the co-cultures used in this study.

FECB1 (CCMEE ID 5011) and FECB3 (CCMEE ID 5034) were collected from saline and

brackish melt ponds in Antarctica respectively and were dominated by phototrophic

cyanobacteria classified as *Oscillatoria* sp [18]. FECB2 (CCMEE ID 5019) was collected

from a small freshwater pond (Pinnacle Pond) in the Ice Pinnacle area near Bratina

Island, Antarctica, and was phylogenetically uncharacterized prior to our efforts. FECB4

(CCMEE ID 5047; AP1) and FECB5 (CCMEE ID 5049; AO21) were isolated from Lake

Arcas, Spain and the dominant photosynthetic organisms within these samples were

classified by 16S rRNA sequence analysis as being related to *Pseudanabaena limnetica*

and *Oscillatoria* cf. *tenuis,* respectively [24]. FECB6 (CCMEE ID 5051), FECB14 (CCMEE

ID 5093; WT-97 Cal), FECB15 (CCMEE ID 5083), and FECB19 (CCMEE ID 5091; Y-97)

were collected from diverse hot springs and a warm hot spring outflow (warm creek)

within Yellowstone National Park (YNP) (Table 1). FECB10 (CCMEE ID 5056; M88-VD

(1)) was collected as epiliths from the Viscaino Desert in Mexico [23]. FECB17 (CCMEE ID

5085; RC-97 Cal) and FECB36 (CCMEE ID 6076) were isolated from Rabbit Creek and

a crust in the Sentinel Spring Meadows in YNP respectively and dominant phototrophs

of these co-cultures were characterized previously as *Calothrix* spp. [22]. FECB22

(CCMEE ID 5097; HW-91) and FECB26 (CCMEE ID 5099; B77-scy,j,) were collected

from a tree trunk in Hawaii and a wooded fence in Bermuda respectively. FECB24

(CCMEE ID 5098; AN-90) was obtained from a shallow melt pond (~10 m^2) in the

Victoria Valley, Antarctica, whereas FECB28 (CCMEE ID 5102) was collected from a

saline melt pond on Bratina Island, Antarctica [19]. FECB32 (CCMEE ID 6031), FECB34

(CCMEE ID 6069) and FECB38 (CCMEE ID 6083) were endoliths collected from

subsurface (1-5 mm depths) travertine deposits in YNP [20]. FECB53 (CCMEE ID 5610)

was collected from Sylvan Springs in YNP. Temperature and pH at the sampling site of

FECB53 were determined to be 40°C and pH4. The dominant phototropic strain in

FECB53 was identified previously as the thermo-acidophilic *Cyanidioschyzon* [21].

FECB58 (CCMEE ID 5216; OH-9-45C) and FECB68 (CCMEE ID 5240; OH-2-55C) were

collected from Hunter's Hot Spring in Oregon and the phototroph dominating these

samples was determined to be a thermophilic member belonging to the genus

Synechococcus [17].

Growth of co-cultures

To obtain sufficient biomass for subsequent DNA analysis, 100 µL of each co-culture

were transferred to 25 mL of sterile BG11 media [25]. For FECB52 and FECB53 BG11

was substituted by Cyanidium medium [26]. Co-cultures were subjected to a 12 hr diurnal

light/dark cycle while grown at the temperatures indicated in Table 1.

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplification

Total microbial DNA was extracted from 500 µL of each photosynthetic co-culture using

the FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedical, Solon, OH) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA was quantified via fluorescence (Qubit;

Thermo Scientific, USA) and the hypervariable V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was

amplified from extracted DNA using the primer set 515F/805R (515F: 5′-

GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3′ and 805R: 5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′). The

forward primer included an 11 bp barcode to allow multiplexing of samples during

sequencing. The barcode sequence for each sample is listed in Supplemental Table S1.

Subsequent PCR reactions were performed using the 5PRIME HotMasterMix

amplification mix (QIAGEN, Beverly, MA) with the following PCR conditions: initial

denaturation for 90 sec at 94°C, followed by 30 amplification cycles (45 sec at 94°C, 60

sec at 60°C, and 90 sec at 72°C) followed by a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min.

Amplification products were cooled to 4°C. Samples were sequenced at the Department

of Energy's Joint Genome Institute (JGI; http://www.jgi.doe.gov) according to JGI's

standard operating procedure using Illumina's MiSeq platform and v3 chemistry.

Sequence data analysis

Raw sequencing data were downloaded from the JGI's Genome Portal

(http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/) under the project ID 1032475. Data were decompressed

and de-interleaved using the 7-zip software (www.7-zip.org) and an in-house script,

respectively. De-interleaved files were subsequently processed using MOTHUR version

1.38.1 [27,28]. Paired-end reads were combined using the *make.contigs* command.

Sequences with ambiguous base calls and sequences longer than 325 bp were removed

using *screen.seqs*. Duplicate sequences were merged using *unique.seqs*, and the

resulting unique sequences were aligned to the V4 region of the SILVA database (v123)

[29]. Chimeras were removed using UCHIME [30] and quality filtered sequences were

taxonomically classified at 80% confidence to the GreenGenes reference taxonomy

(release gg_13_5_99) [31]. Non-prokaryotic sequences were removed and the *dist.seqs*

command was used to calculate pairwise distances between the aligned sequences.

The resulting pairwise distance matrix was used to cluster sequences into operational

taxonomic units (OTUs) with a 97% sequence identity cut-off using UCLUST [32]. The

most abundant sequence of each OTU was picked as the representative sequence.

OTUs were taxonomically classified using the *classify.otu* command using the

GreenGenes reference taxonomy (release gg_13_5_99). Shannon, Simpson, and

Chao1 estimators were calculated in MOTHUR [27].

In order to visualize the overall compositional differences between the co-cultures, an

uncorrected pairwise distance matrix was generated using the *dist.seqs* command in

MOTHUR and a tree was generated using *Clearcut* (version 1.0.9*)* [33]. A cladogram from

the resulting tree file was constructed and visualized using iTOL (https://itol.embl.de;

accessed on October 16th, 2016; [34]). Cluster designations were assigned at a branch

length of 0.05. Samples whose branches split at a distance >0.05 were considered as

part of the same cluster (Figure 2).

Availability of data and material

Co-cultures subject to this study are publicly available through the CCMEE and the

UTEX Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Texas at Austin upon request

using the corresponding FECB ID (Table 1). Co-cultures can also be obtained from the

Hess Lab at UC Davis. Sequences generated during this project have been deposited

and are publicly available at NCBI's SRA under the BioProject ID PRJNA401502. All

other data is included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Results & Discussion

A total of 3,357,905 raw reads (mean (SD) = 129,150 ($\pm$15,845) reads per sample) were

generated from the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (Table 2). Quality filtering removed

~3.8% ($\pm$0.57%) of the raw reads from each sample due to insufficient quality. The

remaining reads were assigned to a total of 5,785 distinct Operational Taxonomic Units

(OTUs) based on 97% sequence identity (Table S2).

To estimate the microbial diversity within each sample, rarefaction analyses were

performed (Supplemental Figure S1) and diversity indices were calculated (Table 2). The

inverse Simpson index of the samples ranged between 1.52 and 9.24 with the lowest and

highest indices calculated for FECB3 and FECB32 respectively (Table 2). Not surprisingly,

the diversity in the co-cultures under investigation appeared to be negatively correlated

with the proportion of reads recruited by the dominant OTU of each sample (Pearson r =-

0.8806; p <0.01). Although samples ranked slightly differently based on their diversity

when Chao1 or Shannon indices were calculated, the overall trend remained the same

(Table 2).

The McMurdo Dry Valley Lake System, a physically highly stable lacustrine system

The McMurdo Dry Valley (MDV) is one of the most extreme deserts on Earth, and although

the importance of the microbial communities for the biogeochemical cycles of this region

is widely accepted, the microbial ecology of the MDV remains poorly understood [35].

FECB3, originating from a brackish pond on Bratina Island, was dominated by

OTU000003, which recruited 80.3% of all reads (Supplemental Table S2). OTU000003

was classified as the cyanobacterium *Phormidium pseudopriestleyi*, previously reported

to dominate microbial mats of the anoxic zone of Lake Fryxell, Antarctica [36]. The second

and third most abundant OTUs in FECB3 were OTU000015 and OTU000061 respectively

(Supplemental Table S2). Both OTU000015 and OTU000061 were classified as

Rhodobacteriaceae and recruited 9.2% and 8.2% of the reads generated for FECB3.

Whereas a taxonomic classification of OTU000015 was not possible at a resolution higher

than the family level, OTU000061 was classified as *Paracoccus marcusii,* a Gram negative

organism that displays a bright orange color due to the synthesis of carotenoids such as

astaxanthin [37].

While the microbial ecology of melt ponds and lakes in the MDV, habitats covered year-

round with an ice sheet, have been studied in great detail; most of the insights regarding

the microbial community assemblage in these waters are based primarily on microscopy

[36]. Molecular data, like those presented here and those that could theoretically be

generated from other MDV samples that are readily available from the CCMEE and other

culture collections, will be of great value to extend our knowledge framework of the

microbial ecology of this unique ecosystem.

Omnipresence of Cyanobacteria and Proteobacteria within photosynthetic co-cultures

While the microbial communities of the co-cultures under investigation varied greatly,

cyanobacteria and proteobacteria co-occurred in all 26 of the community assemblages.

Community composition analysis revealed that each of the co-cultures contained at least

one OTU (mean (SD) = 2 ($\pm$1.23)) that recruited >0.1% of the co-culture specific reads

and that was classified as *Cyanobacteria* (Table 3). The only other phylum present in

each of the individual 26 co-cultures and represented by at least one OTU recruiting

>0.1% of the reads was the *Proteobacteria* phylum (Table 3). In contrast, only three

samples, namely FECB5, FECB30 and FECB68, contained OTUs that recruited >0.1%

of the sample specific reads and that could not be classified at the phylum level or at a

higher taxonomic resolution (Table 3). It is possible that the relatively high abundance of

non-classified phyla might contribute to the separation of these samples into distinct

clusters (i.e. cluster XII, IX, and IV) (Figure 2). In addition to their omnipresence,

Cyanobacteria and *Proteobacteria* also recruited the majority of the reads in all but four

(i.e. FECB2, FECB12, FECB58, and FECB68) of the samples under investigation

(Figure 3 and Supplemental Table S3). In FECB2 and FECB12 the majority of the reads

were recruited by OTUs classified as members of the phylum *Bacteroidetes* (recruiting

50.6% and 72% of the reads respectively), whereas within FECB58 and FECB68,

Armatimonadetes (38.3%) and *Chloroflexi* (25.9%) were identified as the most abundant

phyla (Figure 3 and Supplemental Table S3). The fact that these samples were

dominated by phyla other than the *Cyanobacteria* or *Proteobacteria* may also help to

explain why these samples (Figure 2) form distinct clusters (cluster I, XIV and V, IV

respectively).

Firmicutes dominate photosynthetic co-cultures from hot springs

Firmicutes abundances calculated for co-cultures from hot spring samples were higher

compared to those calculated for co-cultures from other environments studied during this

project. OTUs assigned to the *Firmicutes* phylum were detected above the applied cut-

off level of 0.1% in only four of the twenty-six co-cultures under investigation (Table 3).

Interestingly, these samples (i.e. FECB34, FECB52, FECB58 and FECB68) are co-

cultures collected from hot springs or deposits within hot springs, with FECB52, FECB58

and FECB68 being maintained in culture at temperatures >40°C. OTU000073 (classified

as *Alicyclobacillus tolerans*), OTU00082 (classified as members of the genus

Paenibacillus), OTU000154 (classified as *Geobacillus vulcani*), and OTU000158

(classified as a member of the *Bacillaceae* family) recruited 5.9%, 3.4% , 0.5% and 0.4%

of the reads generated from FECB52, FECB34, FECB68 and FECB58 respectively

(Supplemental Table S2). *Alicyclobacillus tolerans* and *Geobacillus vulcani* have been

described previously as aerobic spore-forming thermophiles and have been isolated

from lead–zinc ores [38] and hot springs [39] in Russia, respectively. Members of the genus

Paenibacillus have been isolated from a wide variety of environments and some

Paenibacillus species have been found to promote crop growth directly via biological

nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, production of the phytohormone indole-3-

acetic acid and they have been identified as a potential source of novel antimicrobial

agents [40]. Although it is difficult to make a reliable prediction of the metabolic capacities

of the organism associated with OTU000082 solely based on 16S rRNA data, it is

certainly possible that this organism might possess the ability to promote or inhibit plant

and microbial growth respectively.

Photosynthetic co-cultures from Antarctica and YNP to study adaptation to increased

radiation, low temperatures and oligotrophic growth conditions

Microbial adaptation to extreme environments and the molecular framework that allows

microorganisms to survive and thrive in the presence of increased rates of radiation, low

temperatures and in the absence of nutrients has fascinated the scientific community for

decades and remains poorly understood. In an attempt to provide a better basis of the

taxonomic make-up of co-cultures that were collected from ecosystems that are

characterized by these extremes we included co-cultures from Antarctica and YNP in

this study (Table 1). OTU-based comparison of Antarctica and YNP co-cultures revealed

between 197 (FECB2) and 549 (FECB6) distinct OTUs (mean (SD) = 342 ($\pm$87.2)

OTUs), based on 97% sequence similarity (Table 2). The number of OTUs that recruited

>0.1% of all reads ranged from 3 to 29 OTUs, with FECB2 and FECB32 having the

lowest and highest OTU count respectively (Table 2). FECB2 was dominated by an OTU

classified as *Hymenobacter,* which recruited all *Bacteroidetes*-specific reads generated

from this sample (Tables 3 & 4). The genus *Hymenobacter* contains several pigmented

bacteria that have been isolated from Antarctica and have been reported to possess

increased resistance to radiation [41,42], which might explain their increased abundance in

FECB2, a co-culture isolated from an environment known to possess increased levels of

UV radiation. Taking this into consideration, FECB2 and its individual community

members could be a potential target for future studies to enhance our understanding of

processes that infer resistance to radiation and DNA damage. The second most

abundant OTU in FECB2, recruiting 48% of the generated samples, was classified as

Phormidium sp (Supplemental Table S2), a cyanobacterial genus that has been reported

to dominate aquatic microbial mats from Antarctica [43,44]. Representative isolates from

this genus have been proposed previously as cost-effective options for industrial

carotenoid production [45], suggesting that FECB2 may hold the potential for industrial

carotenoid production.

FECB32 is a mixed culture isolated from an ancient travertine at Mammoth in YNP. Our

analysis indicated that FECB32 contained 29 OTUs that each accounted for >0.1% of all

the generated reads (Table 2). Fifteen of these OTUs recruited >1% of all reads and 4

OTUs collectively accounted for ~60% of the reads generated from this co-culture

(Supplemental Table S4). These 4 OTUs were classified as *Sphingopyxis alaskensis,*

Chelativorans sp. and as members of the *Chitinophagaceae* and *Comamonadaceae*

families, recruiting ~19%, 13%, 17%, and 11% of the reads respectively (Supplemental

Tables S2 & S4). *Sphingopyxis alaskensis* is a Gram negative bacterium found in

relatively high abundance in oligotrophic regions of the ocean [46,47] and it has been

studied in great detail as a model marine bacterium, specifically to understand microbial

adaptation to cold or oligotrophic environments [48,49]. The *Chitinophagaceae* family

contains a wide phylogenetic diversity with many of its members being mesophilic.

However, *Chitinophagaceae* have been reported to grow optimally at temperatures of

55°C and higher [50,51].

Photosynthetic co-cultures containing the deep-branching candidate phylum

Melainabacteria

Extreme environments, similar to those on early Earth, are often proposed to hold critical

information about the historical progression of life on our planet and one niche that

encompasses those physical stresses is the endolithic environment of rocks [20].

Phylogenetic analysis of the heterotrophic population associated with FECB32, which

was isolated from travertine deposited by hot springs in YNP, found that sequences from

MLE-12 (OTU000109) recruited ~2% of the sample specific sequences (Supplemental

Table S2). This rendered MLE-12, previously assigned to the deep-branching candidate

phylum *Melainabacteria* [52], as the eleventh most abundant organism in this

photosynthetic co-culture. It has been proposed previously that *Melainabacteria*, which is

commonly found in aquatic habitats, separated from the cyanobacteria before the latter

acquired photosynthetic capabilities [52]. Hence FECB32 might be a particularly valuable

co-culture to generate new insights into the evolution of and relationship between the

phylogenetically closely related *Cyanobacteria* and *Melainabacteria*. In addition, this

sample might provide the opportunity to enhance our understanding of the origin of

oxygenic photosynthesis and aerobic respiration in *Cyanobacteria*, an area that is

currently still poorly understood [53].

Interestingly, OTU000109 was also detected in FECB36 and FECB38 (Supplemental

Table S2), although at significantly lower abundance (<0.001%). FECB36 and FECB38

were similar to FECB32 in that they were isolated from sites in YNP. Interestingly,

FECB32 and FECB38 cluster together (cluster IX) suggesting a similar overall microbial

community profiles, but separately from FECB36 (Figure 2). The only additional samples

that contained OTUs classified as *Melainabacteria,* recruiting >0.1% of the generated

reads, were FECB58 and FECB68 with ~0.9% and ~0.2% of their reads to this deeply

branched phylum, respectively (Supplemental Table S2). It seems noteworthy that

FECB58 and FECB68 were also isolated from hot springs and clustered closely together

based on their overall microbiome composition (Clusters V and IV respectively; Figure

2).

Photosynthetic co-cultures from Hunter's Hot Spring, Oregon

Hunters Hot Spring continues to be a source of interesting microbial activity. FECB58

and FECB68 were both isolated from Hunters Hot Spring in Oregon, USA and they

shared similar microbial community members. Despite their similar community profile,

abundances of the dominant OTUs associated with these two hot spring co-cultures

were remarkably different. FECB58 was dominated by 3 OTUs (OTU000014,

OTU000024, and OTU000033). OTU000014 was classified as OS-L, an uncultured

representative of the phylum *Armatimonadetes*, OTU000024 which was classified as

belonging to the *Bacteroidetes* phylum, and OTU000033 which was classified as

Thermosynechococcus. These OTUs contributed 38%, 29% and 20% of the reads

generated from FECB58 respectively. Whereas OTU000014 recruited ~4.9% of all reads

generated from FECB68, representing the sixth most abundant OTU in the FECB68

community, OTU000024 and OTU000033 were only present at an abundance <0.0001%

in FECB68 (Supplemental Table S2).

FECB68 was dominated by 6 OTUs (i.e. OTU000028, OTU000030, OTU000036,

OTU000049, OTU000065, and OTU000014) recruiting ~25.7%, 23.1%, 20.4%, 14.3%,

7.6%, and 4.9% of the reads respectively. OTU000028 was classified as belonging to

the genus *Chloroflexus*, whereas OTU000030 and OTU000036 were classified as

representative of the genus *Meiothermus* and *Gloeobacter*, respectively. *Chloroflexus* is

an anoxygenic phototrophic bacterium that grows at temperatures up to 70°C [54] and

forms yellow-orange-greenish mats in association with cyanobacteria [55]. Members of the

cyanobacterial genus *Gloeobacter* lack thylakoids, and have been proposed to host the

earliest ancestors, or a missing link, in the cyanobacteria lineage [56]. Thus, FECB68

offers a unique opportunity to investigate interspecies interaction between a member of

these basal cyanobacteria and the thermophilic phototroph *Chloroflexus*, represented by

OTU000028 in this co-culture. As outlined in a recent review [54], Hunter's Hot Spring

located in Oregon is one of the most studied hot springs in the world, and has a large

repertoire of work conducted over the last 40 years [54]. However, most of this work was

performed prior to the advent of recent molecular techniques. Hence, the sequencing

data generated from FECB58 and FECB68 during this study will complement previous

work performed using traditional microbiology techniques and facilitate new insights into

the microbiology of this unique ecosystem.

Photosynthetic co-cultures from lignocellulosic surfaces with potential to fix nitrogen and

degrade aromatic compounds.

FECB22 and FECB26 are mesophilic co-cultures collected from similar habitats (i.e.

from tree bark and a wooden fence) from two locations (i.e. Hawaii and Bermuda)

approximately 9,000 kilometers apart from each other (Figure 1 & Table 1). Diversity

index calculation placed these two samples in the mid-range of the diversity spectrum of

the 26 co-cultures analyzed for this study. The inverse Simpson, Chao1, and Shannon

index was calculated at 4.46, 937.11 and 2.08 for FECB22 and 2.29, 722.94, and 1.32

for FECB26, respectively (Table 2). Within FECB22, 23 OTUs were identified as

individually recruiting more than 0.1% of the generated reads. In contrast, FECB26

contained only 16 OTUs that recruited more than 0.1% of the reads each (Table S2).

FECB22, scraped from tree bark in Hawaii, was dominated by 11 OTUs, each recruiting

>1% of the reads. The most abundant OTU (OTU000017) was classified as a member of

the *Mycoplana*, a genus that contains bacteria capable of aromatic compound

degradation [57], and it recruited 40.2% of the reads. OTU000042 (classified as *Rhizobium*

leguminosarum), OTU000045 (classified as *Acetobacteraceae*), and OTU000072

(classified as *Cyanobacteria*), were the next most abundant OTUs, recruiting 17.1%,

16.3%, and 5.5% of the reads generated from FECB22 respectively. *Rhizobium*

leguminosarum is a well-studied α-proteobacterium capable of N_2-fixation and "rhizobia"

have been suggested repeatedly to facilitate more sustainable agricultural practices

through their symbiosis with legumes, reducing the need for nitrogen fertilizer [58]. It

remains to be seen if OTU000042 provides N_2 to the other organisms in this co-culture

or if it consumes all of the fixed N_2 itself. *Acetobacteraceae* are α-proteobacteria often

associated with low pH environments and are known for their ability to efficiently

synthesize biological cellulose [59,60]. Furthermore, *Acetobacteraceae* have been reported

before as some of the dominant players in photosynthetic consortia during soil formation

[61]. It would be interesting to explore the agricultural and chemical potential of a

minimalistic co-culture composed of the 4 OTUs (i.e. OTU000017, OTU000042,

OTU000045 and OTU000072) that dominated FECB22, as they may combine the ability

to degrade aromatic compounds and synthesize cellulose while removing nitrogen from

the atmosphere. FECB26, on the other hand, was dominated by OTU000010, which

recruited 63.2% of the reads generated and classified as an unclassified member of the

Nostocales; a phylogenetic group known for their functional and morphological diversity.

Members of the *Sphingomonadaceae* (i.e. OTU000041 and OTU000062), phototropic α-

proteobacteria often found in high abundance in environments previously thought to

support mostly the growth of cyanobacteria [62], contributed to a total of 25.6% of the

generated reads. Most interestingly, OTU000017 was also identified within FECB26

recruiting ~1.6% of the reads. It is possible that OTU000017 facilitates a metabolic

reaction, in which aromatic compounds typically associated with the decomposition of

woody material under aerobic conditions are utilized. Further characterization of this

organism in co-culture and eventually in axenic culture might provide further clarity if this

is the case.

Conclusion

Culture collections can provide easy access to biological samples without the need for

extensive resources by the requesting individual, subsequently facilitating new studies

and ultimately advancing our understanding and appreciation of phylogenetic and

functional biodiversity. The 16S rRNA based community fingerprints of the 26

photosynthetic co-cultures described here provide us with a first glimpse into the

taxonomic and functional diversity of communities from extreme environments that were

considered for a long time as too harsh to support the growth of complex microbial

communities. The extreme conditions that are associated with the habitats from where

these co-cultures were collected offer the unique opportunity to study the molecular

mechanisms that support the growth of these extremophilic co-cultures and their role in

global carbon and nitrogen cycling. Furthermore, an in-depth understanding of these

extreme co-cultures holds the potential to discover novel microbial proteins that might

render current agricultural, industrial and medical processes more economical and

sustainable. The relatively low diversity and complexity of these co-cultures make them

ideal subjects to investigate symbiotic relationships. By determining the chemical and

physical requirements of individual community members in the low complexity co-cultures

described here, the goal of enabling their axenic growth is promising. Advancing our ability

to infer the metabolic requirements of individual hitherto uncultivable microorganisms is of

great importance as has been shown by a large body of work including the "Genomic

Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea" (GEBA) and CyanoGEBA projects, multi-

investigator projects spearheaded by the Kerfeld and Eisen group in collaboration with

Drs. Krypides and Woyke from the DOE's Joint Genome Institute [63-65]. However,

bioinformatics and wet-lab tools to dissect complex microbial communities and processes

into their individual components are still in their infancy and obtaining pure isolates from

complex microbial communities still represents a major challenge. The photosynthetic co-

cultures utilized in this work represent excellent model systems for tool development and

verification due to their relatively low community complexity and their public availability via

culture collections such as the CCMEE and UTEX.

This study highlights a major challenge (i.e. standardization of protocols) associated with

environmental samples and sample data obtained during independent sampling efforts.

Fortunately, with recent advances in data technologies, the task of data acquisition and

dissemination has become less of a challenge. Recording standardized geographical and

environmental data, such as latitude, longitude, elevation, and temperature, can now be

performed with relatively high accuracy on a range of electronic devices - from most cell

phones, to inexpensive handheld devices developed specifically for accurate data

acquisition under field conditions. In order to make the best use of these technologies and

of biological samples that will be collected, defining a set of minimal information

parameters to be recorded during the collection of an environmental sample is of great

importance. Similar efforts have been successfully implemented by the Genomic

Standards Consortium (GSC) for microbial genomes and metagenomes in the form of the

"minimum information about a genome sequence" (MIGS) [66] and are enforced when

describing a novel microbial species [67]. It is understandable that characteristics suitable

as "minimal information" for environmental samples might differ from those that have been

established for axenic isolates and their genomes. However, as long as there is

consistency, the reported data will provide a valuable starting point for future efforts to

retroactively study archived samples.

16S rRNA gene profiling has become a commodity and easily generated phylogenetic

fingerprints provide a useful starting point to classify and categorize environmental

samples of microbial co-cultures. Due to the wide availability and continuing decline in

cost, this technique provides an ideal approach to re-examine the phylogenetic makeup

of legacy samples before they disappear due to continuous decline in funding for

maintaining small and non-centralized culture collections. In combination with biochemical

measurements, such as carbon and nitrogen utilization capabilities, the scientific

community would have immediate access to the phylogenetic and functional diversity

available through the existing culture collections. The identification of **M**inimum

Information about a **C**o-**C**ulture **S**ample (MICCS) would be a significant step in

standardizing sample acquisition and maintenance, increasing the value of current and

future microbial samples collected from the environment. Developing MICCS and applying

them to co-cultures currently available from existing culture depositories is beyond the

scope of the work presented here, but we hope that the results presented here will

contribute to the initiation of this process and stimulate broad involvement and support

from the scientific community and various funding agencies.

Another noteworthy aspect of samples readily available through existing culture

collections, including the consortia discussed in this work, is their educational value. More

specifically, samples that can be acquired and maintained without the need of significant

resources and for which basic phylogenetic and functional information is available. These

co-cultures provide a unique opportunity for exciting undergraduate research, in

combining microbial diversity, microbial ecology and biotechnology. Techniques for basic

biochemical and physiological characterizations of these samples could be learned and

conducted by dedicated undergraduate students within a few weeks. A research program

based on these co-cultures would provide students with the unique opportunity to develop

laboratory skills and to learn firsthand about biogeochemical processes that shape our

environment and climate. Additional publicly available *omics* data, such as metagenomics

and metatranscriptomics generated from individual samples, would extend the scope of

these undergraduate research programs, in providing students the opportunity to learn

various *omics* analysis techniques using web-based tools or standalone scripts,

depending on the educational level and interest of each student.

In summary, culture collections that provide access to and standardized information

about microorganisms and microbial consortia provide opportunities for educational and

scientific progress. Therefore, it is of high importance that culture collections continue to

obtain the financial support necessary to provide this invaluable service to our society

References

1   Whitton, B. A. & Potts, M. *The ecology of cyanobacteria: their diversity in time*
and space., 3-4 (Kluwer Academic, 2000).

2   Garcia-Pichel, F., Belnap, J., Neuer, S. & Schanz, F. Estimates of global
cyanobacterial biomass and its distribution. *Algological Studies* **109**, 213-227,
doi:10.1127/1864-1318/2003/0109-0213 (2003).

3   Pisciotta, J. M., Zou, Y. & Baskakov, I. V. Light-dependent electrogenic activity of
cyanobacteria. *PLoS One* **5**, e10821, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010821 (2010).

4   Gajda, I., Greenman, J., Melhuish, C. & Ieropoulos, I. Self-sustainable electricity
production from algae grown in a microbial fuel cell system. *Biomass &*
Bioenergy **82**, 87-93, doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.05.017 (2015).

5   Zhao, J., Li, X. F., Ren, Y. P., Wang, X. H. & Jian, C. Electricity generation from
Taihu Lake cyanobacteria by sediment microbial fuel cells. *Journal of Chemical*
Technology and Biotechnology **87**, 1567-1573, doi:10.1002/jctb.3794 (2012).

6   Karl, D. *et al.* The role of nitrogen fixation in biogeochemical cycling in the
subtropical North Pacific Ocean. *Nature* **388**, 533-538 (1997).

7   Al-Haj, L., Lui, Y. T., Abed, R. M., Gomaa, M. A. & Purton, S. Cyanobacteria as
Chassis for Industrial Biotechnology: Progress and Prospects. *Life (Basel)* **6**,
doi:10.3390/life6040042 (2016).

8   Abed, R. M., Dobretsov, S. & Sudesh, K. Applications of cyanobacteria in
biotechnology. *J Appl Microbiol* **106**, 1-12, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03918.x
(2009).

9   Wang, R. *et al.* Construction and characteristics of artificial consortia of
Scenedesmus obliquus-bacteria for S. obliquus growth and lipid production. *Algal*
Research **12**, 436-445, doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.10.002 (2015).

10   Sudek, S., Haygood, M. G., Youssef, D. T. & Schmidt, E. W. Structure of
trichamide, a cyclic peptide from the bloom-forming cyanobacterium
Trichodesmium erythraeum, predicted from the genome sequence. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol **72**, 4382-4387, doi:10.1128/AEM.00380-06 (2006).

11   Li, B. *et al.* Catalytic promiscuity in the biosynthesis of cyclic peptide secondary
metabolites in planktonic marine cyanobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**,
10430-10435, doi:10.1073/pnas.0913677107 (2010).

12   Cubillos-Ruiz, A., Berta-Thompson, J. W., Becker, J. W., van der Donk, W. A. &
Chisholm, S. W. Evolutionary radiation of lanthipeptides in marine cyanobacteria.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **114**, E5424-E5433,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1700990114 (2017).

13   Kleigrewe, K., Gerwick, L., Sherman, D. H. & Gerwick, W. H. Unique marine
derived cyanobacterial biosynthetic genes for chemical diversity. *Nat Prod Rep*
33, 348-364, doi:10.1039/c5np00097a (2016).

14   Zarzycki, J., Axen, S. D., Kinney, J. N. & Kerfeld, C. A. Cyanobacterial-based
approaches to improving photosynthesis in plants. *J Exp Bot* **64**, 787-798,
doi:10.1093/jxb/ers294 (2013).

15   McCluskey, K. A Review of Living Collections with Special Emphasis on
Sustainability and Its Impact on Research Across Multiple Disciplines. *Biopreserv*
Biobank **15**, 20-30, doi:10.1089/bio.2016.0066 (2017).

16   Boundy-Mills, K. *et al.* The United States Culture Collection Network (USCCN):
Enhancing Microbial Genomics Research through Living Microbe Culture
Collections. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **81**, 5671-5674, doi:10.1128/AEM.01176-15
(2015).

17   Miller, S. R. & Castenholz, R. W. Evolution of thermotolerance in hot spring
cyanobacteria of the genus Synechococcus. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **66**, 4222-
4229 (2000).

18   Nadeau, T.-L., Milbrandt, E. C. & Castenholz, R. W. EVOLUTIONARY
RELATIONSHIPS OF CULTIVATED ANTARCTIC OSCILLATORIANS
(CYANOBACTERIA). *Journal of Phycology* **37**, 650-654, doi:10.1046/j.1529-
8817.2001.037004650.x (2001).

19   Nadeau, T.-L. & Castenholz, R. W. CHARACTERIZATION OF PSYCHROPHILIC
OSCILLATORIANS (CYANOBACTERIA) FROM ANTARCTIC MELTWATER
PONDS. *Journal of Phycology* **36**, 914-923, doi:10.1046/j.1529-
8817.2000.99201.x (2000).

20 Norris, T. B. & Castenholz, R. W. Endolithic photosynthetic communities within
ancient and recent travertine deposits in Yellowstone National Park. *FEMS*
Microbiol Ecol **57**, 470-483, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00134.x (2006).

21 Toplin, J. A., Norris, T. B., Lehr, C. R., McDermott, T. R. & Castenholz, R. W.
Biogeographic and phylogenetic diversity of thermoacidophilic cyanidiales in
Yellowstone National Park, Japan, and New Zealand. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**,
2822-2833, doi:10.1128/AEM.02741-07 (2008).

22 Dillon, J. G. & Castenholz, R. W. The synthesis of the UV-screening pigment,
scytonemin, and photosynthetic performance in isolates from closely related
natural populations of cyanobacteria (Calothrix sp.). *Environmental Microbiology*
5, 484-491, doi:10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00436.x (2003).

23 Dillon, J. G., Tatsumi, C. M., Tandingan, P. G. & Castenholz, R. W. Effect of
environmental factors on the synthesis of scytonemin, a UV-screening pigment,
in a cyanobacterium (Chroococcidiopsis sp.). *Arch Microbiol* **177**, 322-331,
doi:10.1007/s00203-001-0395-x (2002).

24 Camacho, A., Garcia-Pichel, F., Vicente, E. & Castenholz, R. W. Adaptation to
sulfide and to the underwater light field in three cyanobacterial isolates from Lake
Arcas (Spain). *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **21**, 293-301, doi:10.1111/j.1574-
6941.1996.tb00126.x (1996).

25 Allen, M. M. & Stanier, R. Y. Selective Isolation of Blue-green Algae from Water
and Soil. *Microbiology* **51**, 203-209, doi:doi:10.1099/00221287-51-2-203 (1968).

26 Castenholz, R. W. in *The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on Habitats, Isolation, and*
Identification of Bacteria   (eds Mortimer P. Starr *et al.*) 236-246 (Springer Berlin
Heidelberg, 1981).

27 Schloss, P. D. *et al.* Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent,
community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial
communities. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **75**, 7537-7541,
doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09 (2009).

28 Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K. & Schloss, P. D.
Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for
analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform.
Appl Environ Microbiol **79**, 5112-5120, doi:10.1128/AEM.01043-13 (2013).

29 Quast, C. *et al.* The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, D590-596,
doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219 (2013).

30 Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C. & Knight, R. UCHIME
improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2194-
2200, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381 (2011).

31   McDonald, D. *et al.* An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for
645   ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J* **6**, 610-
646   618, doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.139 (2012).

647   32   Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
648   *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2460-2461, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461 (2010).

649   33   Evans, J., Sheneman, L. & Foster, J. Relaxed neighbor joining: a fast distance-
650   based phylogenetic tree construction method. *J Mol Evol* **62**, 785-792,
651   doi:10.1007/s00239-005-0176-2 (2006).

652   34   Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the
653   display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**,
654   W242-245, doi:10.1093/nar/gkw290 (2016).

655   35   Chan, Y., Van Nostrand, J. D., Zhou, J., Pointing, S. B. & Farrell, R. L. Functional
656   ecology of an Antarctic Dry Valley. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 8990-8995,
657   doi:10.1073/pnas.1300643110 (2013).

658   36   Jungblut, A. D. *et al.* Microbial Mat Communities along an Oxygen Gradient in a
659   Perennially Ice-Covered Antarctic Lake. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **82**, 620-630,
660   doi:10.1128/AEM.02699-15 (2015).

661   37   Harker, M., Hirschberg, J. & Oren, A. Paracoccus marcusii sp. nov., an orange
662   gram-negative coccus. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **48 Pt 2**, 543-548,
663   doi:10.1099/00207713-48-2-543 (1998).

664   38   Karavaiko, G. I. *et al.* Reclassification of 'Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans
665   subsp. thermotolerans' strain K1 as Alicyclobacillus tolerans sp. nov. and
666   Sulfobacillus disulfidooxidans Dufresne et al. 1996 as Alicyclobacillus
667   disulfidooxidans comb. nov., and emended description of the genus
668   Alicyclobacillus. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **55**, 941-947, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.63300-0
669   (2005).

670   39   Nazina, T. N. *et al.* Geobacillus gargensis sp. nov., a novel thermophile from a
671   hot spring, and the reclassification of Bacillus vulcani as Geobacillus vulcani
672   comb. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **54**, 2019-2024, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02932-0
673   (2004).

674   40   Grady, E. N., MacDonald, J., Liu, L., Richman, A. & Yuan, Z. C. Current
675   knowledge and perspectives of Paenibacillus: a review. *Microb Cell Fact* **15**, 203,
676   doi:10.1186/s12934-016-0603-7 (2016).

677   41   Marizcurrena, J. J. *et al.* Searching for novel photolyases in UVC-resistant
678   Antarctic bacteria. *Extremophiles* **21**, 409-418, doi:10.1007/s00792-016-0914-y
679   (2017).

680   42   Oh, T. J., Han, S. R., Ahn, D. H., Park, H. & Kim, A. Y. Complete genome
681   sequence of Hymenobacter sp. strain PAMC26554, an ionizing radiation-
682   resistant bacterium isolated from an Antarctic lichen. *J Biotechnol* **227**, 19-20,
683   doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.04.011 (2016).

43 Strunecky, O., Elster, J. & Komarek, J. Molecular clock evidence for survival of
Antarctic cyanobacteria (Oscillatoriales, Phormidium autumnale) from Paleozoic
times. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **82**, 482-490, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01426.x
(2012).

44 Jungblut, A.-D. *et al.* Diversity within cyanobacterial mat communities in variable
salinity meltwater ponds of McMurdo Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Environmental*
Microbiology **7**, 519-529, doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00717.x (2005).

45 Shukla, S. P. & Kashyap, A. K. An assessment of biopotential of three
cyanobacterial isolates from Antarctic for carotenoid production. *Indian J*
Biochem Biophys **40**, 362-366 (2003).

46 Vancanneyt, M. *et al.* Sphingomonas alaskensis sp. nov., a dominant bacterium
from a marine oligotrophic environment. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **51**, 73-79,
doi:10.1099/00207713-51-1-73 (2001).

47 Cavicchioli, R., Ostrowski, M., Fegatella, F., Goodchild, A. & Guixa-Boixereu, N.
Life under nutrient limitation in oligotrophic marine environments: an
eco/physiological perspective of Sphingopyxis alaskensis (formerly
Sphingomonas alaskensis). *Microb Ecol* **45**, 203-217, doi:10.1007/s00248-002-
3008-6 (2003).

48 Lauro, F. M. *et al.* The genomic basis of trophic strategy in marine bacteria. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **106**, 15527-15533, doi:10.1073/pnas.0903507106 (2009).

49 Ting, L. *et al.* Cold adaptation in the marine bacterium, Sphingopyxis alaskensis,
assessed using quantitative proteomics. *Environ Microbiol* **12**, 2658-2676,
doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02235.x (2010).

50 Hanada, S., Tamaki, H., Nakamura, K. & Kamagata, Y. Crenotalea thermophila
gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of the family Chitinophagaceae isolated from a hot
spring. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **64**, 1359-1364, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.058594-0
(2014).

51 Anders, H. *et al.* Thermoflavifilum aggregans gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic
and slightly halophilic filamentous bacterium from the phylum Bacteroidetes. *Int J*
Syst Evol Microbiol **64**, 1264-1270, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.057463-0 (2014).

52 Di Rienzi, S. C. *et al.* The human gut and groundwater harbor non-photosynthetic
bacteria belonging to a new candidate phylum sibling to Cyanobacteria. *Elife* **2**,
e01102, doi:10.7554/eLife.01102 (2013).

53 Soo, R. M., Hemp, J., Parks, D. H., Fischer, W. W. & Hugenholtz, P. On the
origins of oxygenic photosynthesis and aerobic respiration in Cyanobacteria.
Science **355**, 1436-1440, doi:10.1126/science.aal3794 (2017).

54 Castenholz, R. W. Portrait of a Geothermal Spring, Hunter's Hot Springs,
Oregon. *Life (Basel)* **5**, 332-347, doi:10.3390/life5010332 (2015).

55 Hanada, S. in *The Prokaryotes: Other Major Lineages of Bacteria and The*
Archaea (eds Eugene Rosenberg *et al.*) 515-532 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
2014).

56 Saw, J. H. *et al.* Cultivation and complete genome sequencing of Gloeobacter
kilaueensis sp. nov., from a lava cave in Kilauea Caldera, Hawai'i. *PLoS One* **8**,
e76376, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076376 (2013).

57 Urakami, T., Oyanagi, H., Araki, H., Suzuki, K.-I. & Komagata, K.
Recharacterization and Emended Description of the Genus *Mycoplan*a and
Description of Two New Species, *Mycoplana ramosa* and *Mycoplana segnis*.
International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology **40**, 434-442,
doi:doi:10.1099/00207713-40-4-434 (1990).

58 Marek-Kozaczuk, M. *et al.* Host-dependent symbiotic efficiency of Rhizobium
leguminosarum bv. trifolii strains isolated from nodules of Trifolium rubens.
Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek, doi:10.1007/s10482-017-0922-7 (2017).

59 Semjonovs, P. *et al.* Cellulose synthesis by Komagataeibacter rhaeticus strain P
1463 isolated from Kombucha. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **101**, 1003-1012,
doi:10.1007/s00253-016-7761-8 (2017).

60 Rozenberga, L. *et al.* Characterisation of films and nanopaper obtained from
cellulose synthesised by acetic acid bacteria. *Carbohydr Polym* **144**, 33-40,
doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.02.025 (2016).

61 Mapelli, F. *et al.* Bacterial communities involved in soil formation and plant
establishment triggered by pyrite bioweathering on arctic moraines. *Microb Ecol*
61, 438-447, doi:10.1007/s00248-010-9758-7 (2011).

62 Tahon, G. & Willems, A. Isolation and characterization of aerobic anoxygenic
phototrophs from exposed soils from the Sor Rondane Mountains, East
Antarctica. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **40**, 357-369, doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2017.05.007
(2017).

63 Shih, P. M. *et al.* Improving the coverage of the cyanobacterial phylum using
diversity-driven genome sequencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America **110**, 1053-1058,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1217107110 (2013).

64 Mukherjee, S. *et al.* 1,003 reference genomes of bacterial and archaeal isolates
expand coverage of the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 676-683,
doi:10.1038/nbt.3886 (2017).

65 Kyrpides, N. C. *et al.* Genomic encyclopedia of bacteria and archaea:
sequencing a myriad of type strains. *PLoS Biol* **12**, e1001920,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001920 (2014).

66 Field, D. *et al.* The minimum information about a genome sequence (MIGS)
specification. *Nat Biotechnol* **26**, 541-547, doi:10.1038/nbt1360 (2008).

67   Kampfer, P., Buczolits, S., Albrecht, A., Busse, H. J. & Stackebrandt, E. Towards
a standardized format for the description of a novel species (of an established
genus): Ochrobactrum gallinifaecis sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **53**, 893-896,
doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02710-0 (2003).

Acknowledgements: This work was funded by the College of Agricultural and

Environmental Science and the Microbiology & Biochemistry, Molecular, Cellular, and

Developmental Biology Graduate Group at University of California Davis (Davis, CA) and

the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute (JGI) in Walnut Creek, CA.

Work conducted by the JGI, a DOE User Facility, is supported by DOE's Office of

Science under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. We would also thank Drs. Jorge

Rodrigues and John Meeks from UC Davis for providing valuable comments and

suggestions on how to improve this manuscript.

We would like to dedicate this publication to Professor Dr. Richard Castenholz who

passed away during the completion of this work after a long and satisfying journey in the

world of Cyanobacteria. He was, and will remain, a great inspiration to many of us.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

Charles Brooke, Richard Castenholz, David E. Culley, Matthias Hess, and Susannah G.

Tringe wrote the manuscript. Richard Castenholz and Matthias Hess designed the

experiment. Erik Hawley and Matthias Hess performed experiment. Michael Barton,

David E. Culley, Tijana Glavina del Rio, Miranda Harmon-Smith, Erik Hawley, Matthias

Hess, Nicole Shapiro, and Susannah G. Tringe generated the data. Michael Barton,

Charles Brooke, Morgan P. Connolly, David E. Culley, Javier A. Garcia, Tijana Glavina

del Rio, Miranda Harmon-Smith, Erik Hawley, Matthias Hess, and Nicole Shapiro

analyzed the data.

Figures & Tables Legends

Figure 1: Geographical locations of co-cultures analyzed. 1.) Antarctica. McMurdo

Ice Shelf; Bratina Island; 2.) Spain. Lake Arcas; 3.) USA. Yellowstone National Park; 4.)

Mexico. Vizcaino Desert; 5.) USA. Eugene, Oregon. 6.) USA. Hawaii; 7.) Bermuda,

Somerset; 8.) Denmark. Limfjord Shallows; 9.) USA. Hunter's Hot Spring, Oregon. (Map

downloaded and adapted from

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:ColoredBlankMap-World-10E.svg#file)

Figure 2: Cladogram of 16S rRNA based community composition of co-cultures

under investigation. FECB ID are provided for each co-culture. Sample location is

indicated on the branch. Roman numerals on the right indicate the clusters identified at a

branch cutoff of 0.05. Symbols (i.e. circles and squares) next to the sample ID indicate

habitat type and their color indicates the temperatures at which samples were historically

maintained in the CCMEE.

Figure 3: Relative abundance of phyla associated with phototrophic co-cultures.

16S rRNA based community profile. Only phyla recruiting >1% of the reads in at least

one of the co-cultures are shown.

Table 1: Summary of photosynthetic co-cultures for which 16S rRNA gene profiles

were generated.

Table 2: Sequencing statistics and diversity indices for co-cultures investigated in

this study.

Table 3: Count and phylogenetic classification of identified OTUs at the phylum

level. Only OTUs recruiting >0.1% of the co-culture specific reads are shown.

Table 4: Taxonomy relative abundance of dominant OTU identified in each co-

culture.

Tree scale: 0.01

Location	Sample	ID
Antarctica, McMurdo Ice Shelf	FECB2	I
Yellowstone — Sylvan Spring	FECB53	II
Norris Geyser	FECB52	
	FECB28	
Antarctica, McMurdo Ice Shelf	FECB3	III
	FECB1	
Hunter's Hot Spring, OR	FECB68	IV
	FECB58	V
Antarctica, McMurdo Ice Shelf	FECB24	VI
Viscaino Desert, Mexico	FECB10	
Bermuda	FECB26	VII
Hawaii	FECB22	VIII
Limfjord Shallows, Denmark	FECB30	IV
Great Fountain –	FECB34	X
Yellowstone — Mushroom Spring –	FECB38	XI
Narrow Gauge –	FECB32	
	FECB5	XII
Lake Arca, Spain	FECB4	XIII
Eugene, OR	FECB12	XIV
Pott's Geyser –	FECB14	
Yellowstone — Pott's Geyser –	FECB21	XV
Rabbit Creek –	FECB17	
Sentinel Spring	FECB36	
Yellowstone — Pott's Basin –	FECB6	
Shoshone Geyser Basin —	FECB19	XVI
Rabbit Creek	FECB15	

Legend:
- ⊕ Saline Lake
- ⊜ Marine
- ⊘ Travertine
- ⊗ Crust
- ▥ Freshwater
- ▤ Terrestrial
- ▨ Creek
- ▧ Tree Bark
- ■ Hot Spring

- 12°C
- 23°C
- 40°C
- 45°C
- 55°C