Genome-wide analyses supported by RNA-Seq reveal non-canonical splice sites in

plant genomes

- Boas Pucker^{1,2}, Samuel F. Brockington¹
- 1 Evolution and Diversity, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge,
- Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 2 Genetics and Genomics of Plants, CeBiTec & Faculty of Biology, Bielefeld University,
- Bielefeld, Germany
- * corresponding author: Boas Pucker, bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de
- BP: bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de
- SFB: sb771@cam.ac.uk

- Key words: gene structure, splicing, annotation, comparative genomics, transcriptomics,
- gene expression, natural diversity, evolution

23 Abstract

Most eukaryotic genes comprise exons and introns thus requiring the precise removal of introns from pre-mRNAs to enable protein biosynthesis. U2 and U12 spliceosomes catalyze this step by recognizing motifs on the transcript in order to remove the introns. A process which is dependent on precise definition of exon-intron borders by splice sites, which are consequently highly conserved across species. Only very few combinations of terminal dinucleotides are frequently observed at intron ends, dominated by the canonical GT-AG splice sites on the DNA level.

31 Here we investigate the occurrence of diverse combinations of dinucleotides at predicted 32 splice sites. Analyzing 121 plant genome sequences based on their annotation revealed 33 strong splice site conservation across species, annotation errors, and true biological 34 divergence from canonical splice sites. The frequency of non-canonical splice sites clearly 35 correlates with their divergence from canonical ones indicating either an accumulation of 36 probably neutral mutations, or evolution towards canonical splice sites. Strong conservation 37 across multiple species and non-random accumulation of substitutions in splice sites indicate 38 a functional relevance of non-canonical splice sites. The average composition of splice sites 39 across all investigated species is 98.7% for GT-AG, 1.2% for GC-AG, 0.06% for AT-AC, and 0.09% for minor non-canonical splice sites. RNA-Seg data sets of 35 species were 40 41 incorporated to validate non-canonical splice site predictions through gaps in sequencing 42 reads alignments and to demonstrate the expression of affected genes. We conclude that 43 bona fide non-canonical splice sites are present and appear to be functionally relevant in 44 most plant genomes, if at low abundance.

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48

49 Introduction

50	Introns separate eukaryotic genes into exons [1, 2]. After their likely origin as selfish
51	elements [3], introns subsequently evolved into beneficial components in eukaryotic
52	genomes [4-6]. Historical debates concerning the evolutionary history of introns led to the
53	"introns-first-hypothesis" which proposes that introns were already present in the last
54	common ancestor of all eukaryotes [3, 7]. Although this putative ancestral genome is inferred
55	to be intron-rich, several plant genomes accumulated more introns during their evolution
56	generating the highly fragmented gene structures with average intron numbers between six
57	and seven [8]. Introner elements (IEs) [9], which behave similar to transposable elements,
58	are one possible mechanism for the amplification of introns [10]. Early introns probably
59	originated from self-splicing class II introns [3, 11] and evolved into passive elements, that
60	require removal by eukaryote-specific molecular machineries [11]. No class II introns were
61	identified in the nuclear genomes of sequenced extant eukaryotes [11] except for
62	mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) insertions [12, 13].
63	The removal of these introns during pre-mRNA processing is a complex and expensive step,
64	which involves 5 snoRNAs and over 150 proteins building the spliceosome [14]. In fact, a
65	major U2 [15] and a minor U12 spliceosome [16] are removing different intron types from
66	eukaryotic pre-mRNAs [17]. The major U2 spliceosome mostly recognises canonical GT-AG
67	introns, but is additionally reported to remove AT-AC class I introns [18]. Non-canonical AT-
68	AC class II introns are spliced by the minor U2 spliceosome, which is also capable of
69	removing some GT-AG introns [18, 19]. Highly conserved cis-regulatory sequences are
70	required for the correct spliceosome recruitment to designated splice sites [20-22]. Although
71	these sequences pose potential for deleterious mutations [4], some intron positions are
72	conserved between very distant eukaryotic species like Homo sapiens and Arabidopsis
73	thaliana [23].

Among the most important recognition sequences are dinucleotides at both ends of spliceosomal introns which show almost no variation from GT at the 5' end and AG at the 3'

76 end, respectively [24]. Different types of alternative splicing generate diversity at the 77 transcript level by combining exons in different combinations [25]. This process results in a 78 substantially increased diversity of peptide sequences [2, 26]. Special splicing cases e.g. 79 utilizing a single nucleotide within an intron for recursive splicing [27] or generating circular 80 RNAs [28] are called non-canonical splicing events [25] and build an additional layer of RNA 81 and proteomic diversity. If this process is based on splice sites differing from GT-AG those 82 splice sites are called non-canonical. Non-canonical splice sites were first identified before 83 genome sequences became available on a massive scale (reviewed in [29]). GC-AG and AT-84 AC are classified as major non-canonical splice site combinations, while all deviations from 85 these sequences are deemed to be minor non-canonical splice sites. More recently, 86 advances in sequencing technologies and the development of novel sequence alignment 87 tools now enable a systematic investigation of non-canonical splicing events [25, 30]. 88 Comprehensive genome sequence assemblies and large RNA-Seq data sets are publicly 89 available. Dedicated split-read aligners like STAR [31, 32] are able to detect non-canonical 90 splice sites during the alignment of RNA-Seg reads to genomic sequences. Numerous 91 differences in annotated non-canonical splice sites even between accessions of the same 92 species [30] as well as the extremely low frequency of all non-canonical splice sites indicate 93 that sequencing, assembly, and annotation are potential major sources of erroneously 94 inferred splice sites [29, 30, 33]. Distinguishing functional splice sites from degraded 95 sequences such as in pseudogenes is also still an unsolved issue. Nonetheless, the 96 combined number of currently inferred minor non-canonical splice site combinations is even 97 higher than the number of the major non-canonical AT-AC splice site combinations [30, 34]. 98 Here, we analysed 121 whole genome sequences from across the entire plant kingdom to 99 harness the power of a very large sample size and genomic variation accumulated over 100 extensive periods of evolutionary time, to better understand splice site combinations. 101 Although, only a small number of splice sites are considered as non-canonical, the potential 102 number in 121 species is large. Furthermore, conservation of sequences between these 103 species over a long evolutionary time scale may also serve as a strong indication for their

functional relevance. We incorporated RNA-Seq data to differentiate between artifacts and *bona fide* cases of active non-canonical splice sites. Active splice sites are revealed by an
RNA-Seq read alignment allowing quantification of splice site activity. We then identified
homologous non-canonical splice sites across species and subjected the genes containing
these splice sites to phylogenetic analyses. Conservation over a long evolutionary time,
expression of the effected gene, and RNA-Seq reads spanning the predicted intron served
as evidence to identify *bona fide* functional non-canonical splice site combinations.

111

112 Materials & Methods

113 Collection of data sets and quality control

Genome sequences (FASTA) and the corresponding annotation (GFF3) of 121 plant species (Additional file 1) were retrieved from the NCBI. Since all annotations were generated by GNOMON [35], these data sets should have an equal quality and thus allow comparisons between them. BUSCO v3 [36] was deployed to assess the completeness and duplication level of all sets of representative peptide sequences using the reference data set 'embryophyta odb9'.

120

121 Classification of annotated splice sites

122 Genome sequences and their annotation were processed by a Python script to identify the 123 representative transcript per gene defined as the transcript that encodes the longest 124 polypeptide sequence [30, 37]. Like all custom Python scripts relevant for this work, it is 125 available with additional instructions at https://github.com/bpucker/ncss2018. Genes with 126 putative annotation errors or inconsistencies were filtered out as done before in similar 127 analyses [38]. Focusing on the longest peptide is essential to avoid biases caused by 128 different numbers of annotated isoforms in different species. Splice sites within the coding 129 sequence of the longest transcripts were analyzed by extracting dinucleotides at the borders

130	of all introns. Untranslated regions (UTRs) were avoided due to their more challenging and
131	thus less reliable prediction [30, 39]. Splice sites and other sequences will be described
132	based on their encoding DNA sequence (e.g. GT instead of GU for the conserved
133	dinucleotide at the donor splice site). Based on terminal dinucleotides in introns, splice site
134	combinations were classified as canonical (GT-AG) or non-canonical if they diverged from
135	the canonical motif. A more detailed classification into major non-canonical splice site
136	combinations (GC-AG, AT-AC) and all remaining minor non-canonical splice site
137	combinations was applied. All following analyses were focused on introns equal or greater
138	than 20 bp.

139

140 Investigation of splice site diversity

141 A Python script was applied to summarize all annotated combinations of splice sites that were detected in a representative transcript. The specific profile comprising frequency and 142 143 diversity of splice site combinations in individual species was analyzed. Splice site 144 combinations containing ambiguity characters were masked from this analysis as they are 145 most likely caused by sequencing or annotation errors. Spearman correlation coefficients 146 were computed pairwise between the splice site profiles of two species to measure their 147 similarity. Flanking sequences of CA-GG and GC-AG splice sites in rice were investigated, 148 because CA-GG splice sites seemed to be the result of an erroneous alignment. The 149 conservation of flanking sequences was illustrated based on sequence web logos 150 constructed at https://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi.

151

152 Analysis of splice site conservation

153 Selected protein encoding transcript sequences with non-canonical splice sites were

subjected to a search via BLASTn v2.2.28+ [40] to identify homologues in other species to

investigate the conservation of splice sites across plant species. As proof of concept, one

previously validated non-canonical splice site containing gene [30], At1g79350 (rna15125),

157 was investigated in more depth. Homologous transcripts were compared based on their

158 annotation to investigate the conservation of non-canonical splice sites across species.

159 Exon-intron structures of selected transcripts were plotted by a Python script using matplotlib

- 160 [41] to facilitate manual inspection.
- 161

162 Validation of annotated splice sites

163 Publicly available RNA-Seq data sets of different species (Additional file 2) were retrieved 164 from the Sequence Read Archive [42]. Whenever possible, samples from different tissues 165 and conditions were included. The selection was restricted to paired-end data sets to provide 166 a high accuracy during the read mapping. Only species with multiple available data sets were 167 considered for this analysis. All reads were mapped via STAR v2.5.1b [31] to the 168 corresponding genome sequence using previously described parameters [43]. A Python 169 script utilizing BEDTools v2.25.0 [44] was deployed to convert the resulting BAM files into 170 customized coverage files. Next, the read coverage depth at all exon-intron borders was 171 calculated based on the terminal nucleotides of an intron and the flanking exons. Splice sites 172 were considered as supported by RNA-Seq if the read coverage depth dropped by at least 173 20% when moving from an exon into an intron (Additional file 3).

174

175 **Phylogenetic tree construction**

RbcL (large RuBisCO subunit) sequences of almost all investigated species were retrieved from the NCBI for the construction of a phylogenetic tree. MAFFT v.7 [45] was deployed to generate an alignment which was trimmed to a minimal occupancy of 60% in each alignment column and finally subjected to FastTree v.2.1.10 [46] for tree construction. Species without an available RbcL sequence were integrated manually by constructing subtrees based on scientific names via phyloT (https://phylot.biobyte.de/). Due to these manual adjustments, the

- branch lengths in the resulting tree are not accurate and only the topology (Additional file 4)
- 183 was considered for further analyses.
- 184

185 Comparison of non-canonical splice sites to overall sequence variation

- 186 A previously generated variant data set [47] was used to identify the general pattern of
- 187 mutation and variant fixation between the two A. thaliana accessions Columbia-0 and
- 188 Niederzenz-1. All homozygous SNPs in a given VCF file were considered for the calculation
- 189 of nucleotide substitution rates. Corresponding substitution rates were calculated for all minor
- 190 non-canonical splice sites by assuming they originated from the closest sequence among
- 191 GT-AG, GC-AG, and AT-AC. General substitution rates in a species were compared against
- the observed substitution in minor non-canonical splice sites via Chi² test.

193

194 **Results**

195 Genomic properties of plants and diversity of non-canonical splice sites

Comparison of all genomic data sets revealed an average GC content of 36.3%, an average
percentage of 7.8% of protein encoding sequence, and on average 95.7% of complete
BUSCO genes (Additional file 5). Averaged across all 121 genomes, a genome contains an
average of 27,232 genes with 4.5 introns per gene. The number of introns per gene was only
slightly reduced to 4.15 when only introns enclosed by coding exons were considered for this
analysis.

- 202 Our investigation of these 121 plant genome sequences revealed a huge variety of different
- 203 non-canonical splice site combinations (Additional file 6, Additional file 7). Nevertheless,
- 204 most of all annotated introns display the canonical GT-AG dinucleotides at their borders.
- 205 Despite the presence of a huge amount of non-canonical splice sites in almost all plant
- 206 genomes, the present types and the frequencies of different types show a huge variation

207 between species (Additional file 8). A phylogenetic signal in this data set is weak if it is 208 present at all. The total number of splice site combinations ranged between 1,505 209 (Bathycoccus prasinos) and 372,164 (Gossypium arboreum). Algae displayed a very low 210 number of non-canonical splice site combinations, but other plant genome annotations within 211 land plants also did not contain any non-canonical splice sites e.g. Ziziphus jujuba. 212 Eucalyptus grandis displayed the highest number of non-canonical splice site combinations 213 (2,902). There is a strong correlation between the number of non-canonical splice site 214 combinations and the total number of splice sites (Spearman correlation coefficient=0.53, pvalue=5.5*10⁻¹⁰). However, there is almost no correlation between the number of splice sites 215

and the genome size (Additional file 9).

217

218 Non-canonical splice sites are likely to be similar to canonical splice sites

219 There is a negative correlation between the frequency of non-canonical splice site

220 combinations and their divergence from canonical sequences (r= -0.43 p-value=7e-13;

Fig.1;Additional file 7). Splice sites with one difference to a canonical splice site are more

222 frequent than more diverged splice sites. A similar trend can be observed around the major

non-canonical splice sites AT-AC (Fig.2) and the canonical GT-AG. Comparison of the

224 overall nucleotide substitution rate in the plant genome and the divergence of minor non-

225 canonical splice sites from canonical or major non-canonical splice sites revealed significant

226 differences (p-value=0, Chi² test). For example, the substitutions of A by C and A by G were

227 observed with a similar frequency at splice sites, while the substitution of A by G is almost

three times as likely as the A by C substitution between the A. thaliana accessions Col-0 and

229 Nd-1.

230 The genome-wide distribution of genes with non-canonical splice sites did not reveal striking

231 patterns. When looking at the chromosome-level genome sequences of A. thaliana, B.

vulgaris, and V. vinifera (Additional file 10, Additional file 11, Additional file 12), there were

slightly less genes with non-canonical splice sites close to the centromere. However, the total

number of genes was reduced in these regions as well, so likely correlated with genic

235 content.

236

237	One interesting species-specific property was the high frequency of non-canonical CA-GG
238	splice site combinations in Oryza sativa which is accompanied by a low frequency of the
239	major non-canonical GC-AG splice sites. In total, 233 CA-GG splice site combinations were
240	identified. However, the transcript sequences can be aligned in a different way to support
241	GC-AG sites close to and even overlapping with the annotated CA-GG splice sites. RNA-Seq
242	reads supported 224 of these CA-GG splice sites. Flanking sequences of CA-GG and GC-
243	AG splice sites were extracted and aligned to investigate the reason for these erroneous
244	transcript alignments (Additional file 13). An additional G directly downstream of the 3' AG
245	splice site was only present when this splice site was predicted as GG. Cases where the GC-
246	AG was predicted lack this G thus preventing the annotation of a CA-GG splice site
247	combination.

248

249 Non-canonical splice sites in single copy genes

250 To assess the impact of gene copy number on the presence of non-canonical splice sites, we 251 compared a group of presumably single copy genes against all other genes. The average 252 percentage of genes with non-canonical splice sites among single copy BUSCO genes was 253 11.4%. The average percentage among all genes was only 10.4%. This uncorrected 254 difference between both groups is statistically significant (p=0.04, Mann-Whitney U test), but 255 species-specific effects were obvious. While the percentage in some species is almost the 256 same, other species show a much higher percentage of genes with non-canonical splice 257 sites among BUSCO genes (Additional file 14). A couple of species displayed an inverted 258 situation, having less genes with non-canonical splice sites among the BUSCO genes than 259 the genome-wide average.

260

261 Intron analysis

- 262 Length distributions of introns with canonical and non-canonical splice site combinations are 263 similar in most regions (Fig.3). Most striking differences are the higher abundance of very 264 short introns with non-canonical splice sites, the lower peak at the most frequent intron 265 length (around 200 bp), and the high percentage of introns with non-canonical splice sites 266 that are longer than 5 kb. Although the total number of introns with canonical splice sites 267 longer than 5 kb is much higher, the proportion of non-canonical splice sites containing 268 introns is on average at least twice as high as the proportion of introns with canonical splice 269 site combinations. These differences between both distributions are significant (Wilcoxon 270 test, p-value=0.02).
- 271 The likelihood of having a non-canonical splice site in a gene is almost perfectly correlated
- with the number of introns (Additional file 15). Analyzing this correlation across all plant
- 273 species resulted in a sufficiently large sample size to see this effect even in genes with about
- 40 introns. Insufficient sample sizes kept us from investigating it for genes with even more
- 275 introns.

276

277 Conservation of non-canonical splice sites

278 Non-canonical splice site combinations detected in A. thaliana Col-0 were compared to

single nucleotide polymorphisms of 1,135 accessions which were studied as part of the 1001

genomes project. Of 1,296 non-canonical splice site combinations, 109 overlapped with

- listed variant positions. At 21 of those positions, the majority of all accessions displayed the
- 282 Col-0 allele, while the remaining 88 positions were dominated by other alleles.
- 283 To differentiate between randomly occurring non-canonical splice sites (e.g. sequencing
- errors) and true biological variation, the conservation of non-canonical splice sites across
- 285 multiple species can be analyzed. This approach was demonstrated for the selected

286	candidate At1g79350 (rna15125). Manual inspection revealed that non-canonical splice sites
287	were conserved in three positions in many putative homologous genes across various
288	species (Additional file 16).

289

290 RNA-Seq-based validation of annotated splice sites

291 RNA-Seq reads of 35 different species (Additional file 2) were mapped to the respective 292 genome sequence to allow the validation of splice sites based on changes in the read 293 coverage depth (Additional file 3, Additional file 17). Validation ratios of all splice sites ranged 294 from 75.5% in Medicago truncatula to 96.4% in Musa acuminata. A moderate correlation 295 (r=0.46) between the amount of RNA-Seq reads and the ratio of validated splice sites was 296 observed (Additional file 18). When only considering non-canonical splice sites, the validation 297 ranged from 15.2% to 91.3% displaying a similar correlation with the amount of sequencing 298 reads. Based on validated splice sites, the proportion of different splice site combinations 299 was analyzed across all species (Fig.4). The average percentages are approximately 98.7% 300 for GT-AG, 1.2% for GC-AG, 0.06% for AT-AC, and 0.09% for all other minor splice site 301 combinations. Medicago truncatula, Oryza sativa, Populus trichocarpa, Monoraphidium 302 neglectum, and Morus notabilis displayed substantially lower validation values for the major 303 non-canonical splice sites.

304

305 Quantification of splice site usage

Based on mapped RNA-Seq reads, the usage of different splice sites was quantified (Fig.5; doi:10.4119/unibi/2931315). Canonical GT-AG splice site combinations displayed the strongest RNA-Seq read coverage drop when moving from an exon into an intron (Additional file 3). There was a substantial difference in average splice site usage between 5' and the 3' ends of GT-AG introns. The same trend holds true for major non-canonical GC-AG splice site combinations, while the total splice site usage is lower. Major non-canonical AT-AC and

minor non-canonical splice sites did not show a difference between 5' and 3' end. However,

the total usage values of AT-AC are even lower than the values of GC-AG splice sites.

314 There is a significant correlation between the usage of a 5' splice site and the corresponding

315 3' splice site. However, the Spearman correlation coefficient varies between all four groups

of splice sites ranging from 0.42 in minor non-canonical splice site combinations to 0.82 in

317 major non-canonical AT-AC splice site combinations.

318

319

320 **Discussion**

This inspection of non-canonical splice sites annotated in plant genome sequences was performed to capture the diversity and to assess the validity of these annotations, because previous studies indicate that annotations of non-canonical splice sites are a mixture of artifacts and *bona fide* splice sites [29, 34, 48]. Our results update and expand previous systematic analyses of non-canonical splice sites in smaller data sets [29, 30, 33, 34]. An extended knowledge about non-canonical splice sites in plants could benefit gene predictions [30, 49], as novel genome sequences are often annotated by lifting an existing annotation.

328

329 Confirmation of *bona fide* splicing from minor non-canonical combinations

Our analyses supported a variety of different non-canonical splice sites matching previous
 reports of *bona fide* non-canonical splice sites [29, 30, 34, 48]. Frequencies of different minor

332 non-canonical splice site combinations are not random and vary between different

333 combinations. Those combinations similar to the canonical combination or the major non-

334 canonical splice site combinations are more frequent. Furthermore, our RNA-Seq analyses

demonstrate the actual use of non-canonical splice sites, revealing a huge variety of different

transcripts derived from non-canonical splice sites, which may be evolutionarily significant.

337 Although, some non-canonical splice sites may be located in pseudogenes, the 338 transcriptional activity and accurate splicing at most non-canonical splice sites indicates 339 functional relevance e.g. by contributing to functional diversity as previously postulated [2, 340 25, 26]. These findings are consistent with published reports that have demonstrated 341 functional RNAs generated from non-canonical splice sites [30, 50]. 342 In general, the pattern of non-canonical splice sites is very similar between species with 343 major non-canonical splice sites accounting for most cases of non-canonical splicing. While 344 the average across plants of 98.7% GT-AG canonical splice sites is in agreement with recent 345 reports for A. thaliana [30], it is slightly lower than 99.2 % predicted for mammals [33] or 346 99.3% as previously reported for Arabidopsis based on cDNAs [51]. In contrast, the 347 frequency of major non-canonical GC-AG splice sites in plants is almost twice the value 348 reported for mammals [33]. Most importantly the proportion of 0.09% minor non-canonical 349 splice site combinations in plants is substantially higher than the estimation of 0.02% initially 350 reported for mammals [33]. Taking these findings together, both major and minor non-351 canonical splice sites could be a more significant phenomenon of splicing in plants than in 352 animals. This hypothesis would be consistent with the notion that splicing in plants is a more 353 complex and diverse process than that occurring in metazoan lineages [52-54]. An in-depth 354 investigation of non-canonical splice sites in animals and fungi would be needed to validate 355 this hypothesis.

356

357 Species-specific differences in minor non-canonical splice site combinations

As previous studies on non-canonical splice sites were often focused on one species [51] or a few model organisms [33, 34, 38], the observed variation among the plant genomes investigated here updates the current knowledge and revealed potential species-specific differences. The group of minor non-canonical splice sites displayed the largest variation between species, and a frequent non-canonical splice site combination (CA-GG) which appeared peculiar to *O. sativa* is probably due to an alignment error. In other words, the

364 predicted CA-GG splice site combinations in rice can be conceived as major non-canonical 365 GC-AG events by just splitting the transcript sequence in a different way during the alignment 366 over the intron. An additional downstream G at the 3' splice site seems to be responsible for 367 leading to this annotation, because cases where GC-AG was correctly annotated do not 368 display this G in the respective position. Dedicated alignment tools are needed to 369 bioinformatically distinguish these events [55], otherwise manual inspection must be used to 370 correctly resolve these situations.

371 Despite all artifacts described here and elsewhere [29, 33, 56], non-canonical splice sites

372 seem to have conserved functions as indicated by conservation over long evolutionary

373 periods displayed as presence in homologous sequences in multiple species [23, 29]. Our

374 own analyses across multiple accessions of A. thaliana support this conjecture and suggest

375 that some non-canonical splice sites are conserved in homologous loci at the intra-specific

level. At the same time, there is intra-specific variability [30] that might be attributed to the

377 accumulation of mutations prior to purifying selection. Assessing the variability within a

378 species could be an additional approach to distinguish *bona fide* splice sites from artifacts or

379 recent mutations.

380

381 Putative mechanisms for processing of minor non-canonical splice sites

382 We sought to understand possible correlations with minor non-canonical splice site 383 combinations in order understand the mechanisms driving their occurrence. Therefore, we 384 explored the impact of genomic position relative to centromeres, the effect of increased gene 385 number, and the impact of intron length. The occurrence of non-canonical splice sites is 386 reduced with proximity to the centromere, but this is likely due to reduced gene content in 387 centromeric regions. Averaged across all species, there a significantly higher proportion of 388 non-canonical sites in single copy genes, but species-specific differences also violate this 389 observation, suggesting that gene copy number is not an important determinant. However, 390 non-canonical splice sites may be more important in splicing very long introns, because they

appear in introns above 5 kb with a higher relative likelihood than canonical splice sites.
Previous studies postulated different non-spliceosomal removal mechanisms for such introns
including the IRE1 / tRNA ligase system [57, 58] and short direct repeats leading to
transcriptional slippage [59, 60]. It should be mentioned that many sequence variants of
snRNAs are encoded in plant genomes [61]. The presence of multiple spliceosome types in
addition to the canonical U2 and the non-canonical U12 spliceosome could be another
explanation [38].

398 Another hypothesis suggests parasitic splice sites using neighbouring recognition sites for

the splicing machinery to enable their processing [33]. The mere presence of GT close to the

400 5' non-canonical splice site and AG close to the 3' non-canonical splice site might be

401 sufficient for this process to take place. These non-canonical splice sites are expected to be

402 in frame with the associated GT-AG signals which could be responsible for recruiting the

403 splicing machinery [33]. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that splice sites

404 seem to be missed sometimes thus leading to the use of the next splice site which is usually

in frame with the original one [51]. Further investigation might connect neighbouring

406 sequences to the processing of minor non-canonical splice sites.

407 There is no evidence for RNA editing to modify splice sites yet, but previous studies found

that modifications of mRNAs are necessary to enable proper splicing in some cases [62].

409 Even so such a system is probably not in place for all minor non-canonical splice sites, a

410 modification of nucleotides in the transcript would be another way to regulate gene

411 expression at the post-transcriptional level.

412 Although, these hypotheses could be an additional or alternative explanations for the

situation observed in *O. sativa*, considering the CA-GG cases as annotation and alignment

414 errors seems more likely due to their unique presence in this species.

415

416 Usage of non-canonical splice sites

417 Our results could provide a strong foundation to further analyses of the splicing process by 418 providing detailed information about the frequency at which splicing occurred at a certain 419 splice site. The results indicate that this usage of different splice site types could vary 420 substantially. A possible explanation for these observed differences is the mixture of RNA-421 Seg data sets, which contains samples from various tissues and different environmental or 422 physiological conditions. Sequencing reads reflect the splicing events occurring under these 423 specific conditions. As previously indicated by several reports, non-canonical splice sites 424 might be more frequently used under stress conditions [25, 48, 60]. 425 The observation of a stronger usage of the donor splice site over the acceptor splice site in 426 GT-AG and GC-AG splice site combinations is matching previous reports where one donor 427 splice site can be associated with multiple acceptor splice sites [51, 63]. The absence of this 428 effect at minor non-canonical splice site combinations might hint towards a different splicing 429 mechanism, which is restricted to precisely one combination of donor and acceptor splice 430 site.

431

432 Limitations of the current analyses

433 Some constraints limit the power of the presented analyses. In accordance with the important 434 plant database Araport11 [37] and previous analyses [30], only the transcript encoding the 435 longest peptide sequence was considered when investigating splice site conservation across 436 species. Although the exclusion of alternative transcripts was necessary to compensate 437 differences in the annotation quality, more non-canonical splice sites could be revealed by 438 investigations of all transcript versions in the future. The exclusion of annotated introns 439 shorter than 20 bp as well as the minimal intron length cutoff of 20 bp during the RNA-Seq 440 read mapping prevented the investigation of very small introns. This cutoff was selected to 441 avoid previously reported issues with false positives [48]. However, de novo identification of 442 very short introns as recently performed for Mus musculus and H. sapiens [48, 64] could 443 become feasible as RNA-Seq data sets based on similar protocols become available for a

444 broad range of plant species. Variations between RNA-Seg samples posed another 445 challenge. Since there is a substantial amount of variation within species [65, 66], we can 446 assume that small differences in the genetic background of the analyzed material could bias 447 the results. Splice sites of interest might be canonical splice site combinations in some 448 accessions or subspecies, respectively, while they are non-canonical in others. Despite our 449 attempts to collect RNA-Seq samples derived from a broad range of different conditions and 450 tissues for each species, data of many specific physiological states are missing for most 451 species. Therefore, we cannot exclude that certain non-canonical splice sites were missed in 452 our splice site usage analysis due to a lack of gene expression under the investigated 453 conditions.

454

455 Future Perspectives

456 As costs for RNA-Seg data generation drops over the years [67], improved analyses will 457 become possible over time. Investigation of homologous non-canonical splice sites poses 458 several difficulties, as the exonic sequence is not necessarily conserved. Due to upstream 459 changes in the exon-intron structure [68], the number of the non-canonical intron can differ 460 between species. However, a computationally feasible approach to investigate the phylogeny 461 of all non-canonical splice sites would significantly enhance our knowledge e.g. about the 462 emergence and loss of non-canonical splice sites. Experimental validation of splice sites in 463 vivo and in vitro could be the next step. It is crucial for such analyses to avoid biases 464 introduced by reverse transcription artifacts e.g. by comparing different enzymes and 465 avoiding random hexameters during cDNA synthesis [69]. Splice sites could be 466 experimentally validated e.g. by integration in the Aequoria vicotria GFP sequence [70] to 467 see if they are functional in plants. Our analyses support the concept that differences between plant species need to be taken into account when performing such investigations 468 469 [71, 72].

470

471 **Declarations**

472 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 473 Not applicable
- 474 **Consent for publication**
- 475 Not applicable

476 Availability of data and materials

- 477 The datasets generated during the current study are included as Additional files and publicly
- 478 available from doi:10.4119/unibi/2931315. Scripts written for the described analyses are
- 479 available on github: https://github.com/bpucker/ncss2018.

480 **Competing interests**

481 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

482 Funding

- 483 We acknowledge support for the Article Processing Charge by the Deutsche
- 484 Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Open Access Publication Fund of Bielefeld University.

485 Authors' contribution

- 486 BP and SFB designed the research. BP performed bioinformatic analyses. BP and SFB
- 487 interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript.

488 Acknowledgements

489 We are thankful to everyone involved in generating the datasets underlying this study.

490

491 **References**

- 492 1. Berget SM, Moore C, Sharp PA. Spliced segments at the 5' terminus of adenovirus 2 late mRNA.
- 493 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1977;74:3171–5.
- 494 2. Gilbert W. The Exon Theory of Genes. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 1987;52:901–5.
- 495 3. Koonin EV, Senkevich TG, Dolja VV. The ancient Virus World and evolution of cells. Biol Direct.
 496 2006;1:29.
- 497 4. Carmel L, Chorev M. The Function of Introns. Front Genet. 2012;3. doi:10.3389/fgene.2012.00055.
- 498 5. Jo B-S, Choi SS. Introns: The Functional Benefits of Introns in Genomes. Genomics Inform.
 499 2015;13:112–8.
- 500 6. Mukherjee D, Saha D, Acharya D, Mukherjee A, Chakraborty S, Ghosh TC. The role of introns in the 501 conservation of the metabolic genes of Arabidopsis thaliana. Genomics. 2018;110:310–7.
- 7. Rogozin IB, Carmel L, Csuros M, Koonin EV. Origin and evolution of spliceosomal introns. Biol
 Direct. 2012;7:11.
- 8. Csuros M, Rogozin IB, Koonin EV. A Detailed History of Intron-rich Eukaryotic Ancestors Inferred
 from a Global Survey of 100 Complete Genomes. PLoS Comput Biol. 2011;7.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002150.
- 9. Worden AZ, Lee J-H, Mock T, Rouzé P, Simmons MP, Aerts AL, et al. Green evolution and dynamic
 adaptations revealed by genomes of the marine picoeukaryotes Micromonas. Science.
 2009;324:268–72.
- 510 10. Huff JT, Zilberman D, Roy SW. Mechanism for DNA transposons to generate introns on genomic
 511 scales. Nature. 2016;538:533-6.
- 512 11. Zimmerly S, Semper C. Evolution of group II introns. Mob DNA. 2015;6. doi:10.1186/s13100-015513 0037-5.
- 514 12. Knoop V, Brennicke A. Promiscuous mitochondrial group II intron sequences in plant nuclear
 515 genomes. J Mol Evol. 1994;39:144–50.
- 516 13. Pucker B, Holtgraewe D, Stadermann KB, Frey K, Huettel B, Reinhardt R, et al. A Chromosome-
- 517 level Sequence Assembly Reveals the Structure of the Arabidopsis thaliana Nd-1 Genome and its
 518 Gene Set. bioRxiv. 2018;:407627.
- 519 14. Wahl MC, Will CL, Lührmann R. The Spliceosome: Design Principles of a Dynamic RNP Machine.
 520 Cell. 2009;136:701–18.
- 521 15. Papasaikas P, Valcárcel J. The Spliceosome: The Ultimate RNA Chaperone and Sculptor. Trends
 522 Biochem Sci. 2016;41:33–45.
- 16. Turunen JJ, Niemelä EH, Verma B, Frilander MJ. The significant other: splicing by the minor
 spliceosome. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2013;4:61–76.
- 17. Hall SL, Padgett RA. Conserved Sequences in a Class of Rare Eukaryotic Nuclear Introns with Non consensus Splice Sites. J Mol Biol. 1994;239:357–65.
- 527 18. Wu Q, Krainer AR. Splicing of a divergent subclass of AT-AC introns requires the major
 528 spliceosomal snRNAs. RNA. 1997;3:586–601.

- 529 19. Dietrich RC, Incorvaia R, Padgett RA. Terminal intron dinucleotide sequences do not distinguish
 530 between U2- and U12-dependent introns. Mol Cell. 1997;1:151–60.
- 531 20. Lewandowska D, Simpson CG, Clark GP, Jennings NS, Barciszewska-Pacak M, Lin C-F, et al. 532 Determinants of Plant U12-Dependent Intron Splicing Efficiency. Plant Cell. 2004;16:1340–52.
- 533 21. Wang G-S, Cooper TA. Splicing in disease: disruption of the splicing code and the decoding
 534 machinery. Nat Rev Genet. 2007;8:749–61.
- 535 22. Will CL, Lührmann R. Spliceosome Structure and Function. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.536 2011;3:a003707.
- 537 23. Rogozin IB, Wolf YI, Sorokin AV, Mirkin BG, Koonin EV. Remarkable Interkingdom Conservation of
 538 Intron Positions and Massive, Lineage-Specific Intron Loss and Gain in Eukaryotic Evolution. Curr Biol.
 539 2003;13:1512–7.
- 540 24. Jacob M, Gallinaro H. The 5' splice site: phylogenetic evolution and variable geometry of 541 association with U1RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 1989;17:2159–80.
- 542 25. Sibley CR, Blazquez L, Ule J. Lessons from non-canonical splicing. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17:407–21.
- 543 26. Gorlova O, Fedorov A, Logothetis C, Amos C, Gorlov I. Genes with a large intronic burden show
 544 greater evolutionary conservation on the protein level. BMC Evol Biol. 2014;14:50.
- 545 27. Sibley CR, Emmett W, Blazquez L, Faro A, Haberman N, Briese M, et al. Recursive splicing in long 546 vertebrate genes. Nature. 2015;521:371–5.
- 28. Zhao W, Cheng Y, Zhang C, You Q, Shen X, Guo W, et al. Genome-wide identification and
- characterization of circular RNAs by high throughput sequencing in soybean. Sci Rep. 2017;7:5636.
- 549 29. Jackson IJ. A reappraisal of non-consensus mRNA splice sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 1991;19:3795–8.
- 550 30. Pucker B, Holtgräwe D, Weisshaar B. Consideration of non-canonical splice sites improves gene
- prediction on the Arabidopsis thaliana Niederzenz-1 genome sequence. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10.
 doi:10.1186/s13104-017-2985-y.
- 31. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNAseq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:15–21.
- 32. Dobin A, Gingeras TR. Mapping RNA-seq Reads with STAR. Curr Protoc Bioinforma.
 2015;51:11.14.1-11.14.19.
- 33. Burset M, Seledtsov IA, Solovyev VV. Analysis of canonical and non-canonical splice sites in
 mammalian genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28:4364–75.
- 55934. Sheth N, Roca X, Hastings ML, Roeder T, Krainer AR, Sachidanandam R. Comprehensive splice-site560analysis using comparative genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34:3955–67.
- 561 35. Souvorov A, Kapustin Y, Kiryutin B, Chetvernin V, Tatusova T, Lipman D. Gnomon NCBI
- 562 eukaryotic gene prediction tool. 2010.
- 563 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/core/assets/genome/files/Gnomon-description.pdf. Accessed 25 Sep
- 564 2018.

- 565 36. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome 566 assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:3210–2.
- 567 37. Cheng C-Y, Krishnakumar V, Chan AP, Thibaud-Nissen F, Schobel S, Town CD. Araport11: a
- complete reannotation of the Arabidopsis thaliana reference genome. Plant J. 2017;89:789–804.
- 569 38. Qu W, Cingolani P, Zeeberg BR, Ruden DM. A Bioinformatics-Based Alternative mRNA Splicing
- 570 Code that May Explain Some Disease Mutations Is Conserved in Animals. Front Genet. 2017;8.
 571 doi:10.3389/fgene.2017.00038.
- 572 39. Hoff KJ, Stanke M. WebAUGUSTUS—a web service for training AUGUSTUS and predicting genes in 573 eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:W123–8.
- 40. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol.
 1990;215:403–10.
- 41. Hunter JD. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. Comput Sci Eng. 2007;9:90–5.
- 42. Leinonen R, Sugawara H, Shumway M. The Sequence Read Archive. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39
 suppl_1:D19-21.
- 43. Haak M, Vinke S, Keller W, Droste J, Rückert C, Kalinowski J, et al. High Quality de Novo
- 580 Transcriptome Assembly of Croton tiglium. Front Mol Biosci. 2018;5. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2018.00062.
- 44. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
 Bioinformatics. 2010;26:841–2.
- 45. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in
 Performance and Usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30:772–80.
- 46. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2 Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees for Large
 Alignments. PLoS ONE. 2010;5. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009490.
- 587 47. Pucker B, Holtgräwe D, Rosleff Sörensen T, Stracke R, Viehöver P, Weisshaar B. A De Novo
- 588 Genome Sequence Assembly of the Arabidopsis thaliana Accession Niederzenz-1 Displays 589 Presence/Absence Variation and Strong Synteny. PLOS ONE. 2016;11:e0164321.
- 590 48. Abebrese EL, Ali SH, Arnold ZR, Andrews VM, Armstrong K, Burns L, et al. Identification of human
- 591 short introns. PLOS ONE. 2017;12:e0175393.
- 49. Sparks ME, Brendel V. Incorporation of splice site probability models for non-canonical introns
 improves gene structure prediction in plants. Bioinformatics. 2005;21 Suppl_3:iii20-iii30.
- 50. Gupta S, Wang B-B, Stryker GA, Zanetti ME, Lal SK. Two novel arginine/serine (SR) proteins in
 maize are differentially spliced and utilize non-canonical splice sites. Biochim Biophys Acta.
 2005;1728:105–14.
- 51. Alexandrov NN, Troukhan ME, Brover VV, Tatarinova T, Flavell RB, Feldmann KA. Features of
 Arabidopsis Genes and Genome Discovered using Full-length cDNAs. Plant Mol Biol. 2006;60:69–85.
- 599 52. Ner-Gaon H, Leviatan N, Rubin E, Fluhr R. Comparative Cross-Species Alternative Splicing in
 600 Plants. Plant Physiol. 2007;144:1632–41.

- 53. Richardson DN, Rogers MF, Labadorf A, Ben-Hur A, Guo H, Paterson AH, et al. Comparative
- Analysis of Serine/Arginine-Rich Proteins across 27 Eukaryotes: Insights into Sub-Family Classification
- and Extent of Alternative Splicing. PLOS ONE. 2011;6:e24542.
- 54. Ling Y, Alshareef S, Butt H, Lozano-Juste J, Li L, Galal AA, et al. Pre-mRNA splicing repression
 triggers abiotic stress signaling in plants. Plant J. 2017;89:291–309.
- 55. Slater GS, Birney E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. BMC
 Bioinformatics. 2005;6:31–31.
- 56. Parada GE, Munita R, Cerda CA, Gysling K. A comprehensive survey of non-canonical splice sites in
 the human transcriptome. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:10564–78.
- 57. Sidrauski C, Cox JS, Walter P. tRNA Ligase Is Required for Regulated mRNA Splicing in the
 Unfolded Protein Response. Cell. 1996;87:405–13.
- 58. Gonzalez TN, Sidrauski C, Dörfler S, Walter P. Mechanism of non-spliceosomal mRNA splicing in
 the unfolded protein response pathway. EMBO J. 1999;18:3119–32.
- 59. Ritz K, van Schaik BDC, Jakobs ME, Aronica E, Tijssen MA, van Kampen AHC, et al. Looking ultra
 deep: Short identical sequences and transcriptional slippage. Genomics. 2011;98:90–5.
- 60. Dubrovina AS, Kiselev KV, Zhuravlev YN. The Role of Canonical and Noncanonical Pre-mRNA
 Splicing in Plant Stress Responses. BioMed Res Int. 2013;2013. doi:10.1155/2013/264314.
- 61. Solymosy F, Pollák T. Uridylate-Rich Small Nuclear RNAs (UsnRNAs), Their Genes and
- Pseudogenes, and UsnRNPs in Plants: Structure and Function. A Comparative Approach. Crit Rev
 Plant Sci. 1993;12:275–369.
- 621 62. Castandet B, Choury D, Bégu D, Jordana X, Araya A. Intron RNA editing is essential for splicing in 622 plant mitochondria. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:7112–21.
- 623 63. Mühlemann O, Kreivi JP, Akusjärvi G. Enhanced splicing of nonconsensus 3' splice sites late during 624 adenovirus infection. J Virol. 1995;69:7324–7.
- 625 64. Bai Y, Ji S, Wang Y. IRcall and IRclassifier: two methods for flexible detection of intron retention 626 events from RNA-Seq data. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:S9.
- 627 65. Clark RM, Schweikert G, Toomajian C, Ossowski S, Zeller G, Shinn P, et al. Common Sequence
 628 Polymorphisms Shaping Genetic Diversity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science. 2007;317:338–42.
- 66. Alonso-Blanco C, Andrade J, Becker C, Bemm F, Bergelson J, Borgwardt KM, et al. 1,135 Genomes
 Reveal the Global Pattern of Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell. 2016;166:481–91.
- 631 67. Muir P, Li S, Lou S, Wang D, Spakowicz DJ, Salichos L, et al. The real cost of sequencing: scaling 632 computation to keep pace with data generation. Genome Biol. 2016;17:53.
- 633 68. Garcia-España A, Mares R, Sun T-T, DeSalle R. Intron Evolution: Testing Hypotheses of Intron
- 634 Evolution Using the Phylogenomics of Tetraspanins. PLoS ONE. 2009;4.
- 635 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004680.
- 636 69. Houseley J, Tollervey D. Apparent Non-Canonical Trans-Splicing Is Generated by Reverse
- 637 Transcriptase In Vitro. PLoS ONE. 2010;5. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012271.

- 638 70. Haseloff J, Siemering KR, Prasher DC, Hodge S. Removal of a cryptic intron and subcellular
- 639 localization of green fluorescent protein are required to mark transgenic Arabidopsis plants? brightly.
- 640 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94:2122–7.
- 641 71. Keith B, Chua N-H. Monocot and dicot pre-mRNAs are processed with different efficiencies in 642 transgenic tobacco. EMBO J. 1986;5:2419–25.
- 643 72. Goodall GJ, Filipowicz W. Different effects of intron nucleotide composition and secondary
- 644 structure on pre-mRNA splicing in monocot and dicot plants. EMBO J. 1991;10:2635–44.

645

646

647 Additional files

- 648 Additional file 1. Analysed data sets. List of investigated genome sequences and
- 649 corresponding annotation. Md5sums are given for all files.
- 650 Additional file 2. RNA-Seq data sets. List of Sequence Read Archive accession numbers
- of all included RNA-Seq data sets sorted by species.

652 Additional file 3. RNA-Seq based splice site validation. Schematic illustration how the

- 653 splitted mapping of RNA-Seq reads (arrows) over exons (red) and introns (grey) was used to
- validate splice sites. The read coverage depth should drop when moving from an exon into
- 655 an intron.
- 656 Additional file 4. Phylogenetic tree. RbcL sequences were used to construct a
- 657 phylogenetic tree of all species involved in the analysis. Missing data points were corrected
- by relying on the NCBI taxonomy thus the branch lengths are not to scale.
- 659 Additional file 5. Genome statistics. Statistical information about each analyzed genome
- sequence and the average values across all species are listed.
- 661 Additional file 6. Number of splice sites per species. Canonical and non-canonical splice
- sites were counted per species as described in the method section.
- 663 Additional file 7. Splice site diversity per species. The occurrence of all possible splice
- site combinations was counted for all species as described in the method section.

665 Additional file 8. Similarity of the non-canonical splice site pattern across plants. The

- 666 Spearman correlation coefficient between each pair of plants was calculated based on the
- observed frequency of all possible splice site combinations. Red color indicates similarity
- 668 while blue color indicates substantial differences.

669 Additional file 9. Correlation of splice site frequencies with genome size. For each

- 670 investigated species the number of canonical and non-canonical splice sites is displayed.
- The Spearman correlation coefficient between splice site number and genome size is r=0.14
- 672 for canonical splice sites and r=0.02 for non-canonical splice sites.

673 Additional file 10. Genome-wide distribution of non-canonical splice sites in A.

- 674 *thaliana.* The distribution of genes with non-canonical splice sites (red dots) across the five
- 675 chromosome sequences (black lines) of *A. thaliana* was analysed.

676 Additional file 11. Genome-wide distribution of non-canonical splice sites in *B*.

- 677 *vulgaris.* The distribution of genes with non-canonical splice sites (red dots) across the nine
- 678 chromosome sequences (black lines) of *B. vulgaris* was analysed.

679 Additional file 12. Genome-wide distribution of non-canonical splice sites in *V.vinifera*.

- The distribution of genes with non-canonical splice sites (red dots) across the nineteen
- 681 chromosome sequences (black lines) of *V. vinifera* was analysed.

682 Additional file 13. Conserved sequences around splice sites in Oryza sativa. Predicted

- splice site combinations observed in *Oryza sativa* are indicated by a black line below them.
- 684 Donor splice sites are on the left, acceptor splice sites on the right. The minor non-canonical
- splice combination CA-GG at the top could be converted into the major non-canonical GC-
- AG combination by just shifting one nucleotide to the left. The presence of two Gs at the
- 687 acceptor splice site seems to correlate with the prediction of this CA-GG splice site
- 688 combination instead of a major non-canonical GC-AG.

689 Additional file 14. Non-canonical splice sites in single copy genes. The occurrence of

non-canonical splice sites in single copy genes (BUSCO) and in all genes was assessed perspecies.

692 Additional file 15. Proportion of non-canonical splice sites. The green line indicates the

average (median) proportion of genes with a non-canonical splice site combination. Grey

694 lines indicate the range between 25% and 75% quantiles. Genes with more introns are more

695 likely to have a non-canonical splice site combination. There is an almost perfect correlation

⁶⁹⁶ up to 40 introns per gene. Insufficient sample sizes above this intron number prevent further

697 analyses.

698 Additional file 16. Conservation of non-canonical splice sites. Non-canonical splice sites

at conserved positions in putative homologous of At1g79350 across various species.

700 Additional file 17. Supported splice sites. Percentage of splice sites supported by RNA-

701 Seq reads is given per species.

702 Additional file 18. RNA-Seq data set sizes. There is a moderate correlation between the

amount of bases in the used RNA-Seq data sets and the number of supported splice sites.

The trend is similar for canonical (r=0.46) and non-canonical (r=0.43) splice site

705 combinations.

706

707

708 **Fig. 1: Correlation between splice site sequence divergence and frequency.** Spearman

709 correlation coefficient between the splice site combination divergence from the canonical GT-

AG and their frequency is r=-0.43 (p-value = $7*10^{-13}$).

711 Fig. 2: Splice site combination frequency. The frequencies of selected splice site

combinations across 121 plant species are displayed. Splice site combinations with high

similarity to the canonical GT-AG or the major non-canonical GC-AG/AT-AC are more

714 frequent than other splice site combinations.

Fig. 3: Intron length distribution. Length distribution of introns with canonical (green) and
non-canonical (red) splice site combinations are displayed. Values of all species are
combined in this plot resulting in a consensus curve. Most striking differences are (1) at the
intron length peak around 200 bp where non-canonical splice site combinations are less
likely and (2) at very long intron lengths where introns with non-canonical splice sites are
more likely.

- 721 Fig. 4: Splice site frequency. Occurrences of the canonical GT-AG, the major non-
- 722 canonical GC-AG and AT-AC as well as the combined occurrences of all minor non-
- canonical splice sites (others) are displayed. The proportion of GT-AG is about 98.7%. There
- is some variation, but most species show GC-AG at about 1.2% and AT-AC at 0.06%. All
- others combined account usually for about 0.09% as well.

Fig. 5: Usage of splice sites. Usage of splice sites was calculated based on the number of RNA-Seq reads supporting the exon next to a splice site and the number of reads supporting the intron containing the splice site. There is a substantial difference between the usage of 5' and 3' splice sites in favor of the 5' splice sites. Canonical GT-AG splice site combinations are used more often than major or minor non-canonical splice site combinations.









