# CrRLK1L receptor-like kinases HERK1 and ANJEA are female determinants of pollen tube reception

Sergio Galindo-Trigo[1], Noel Blanco-Touriñán[2], Thomas A. DeFalco[3,4], Cyril Zipfel[3,4], Julie E Gray[5], Lisa M Smith[1*]

[1]Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

[2]Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

[3]The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK

[4]Department of Molecular and Cellular Plant Physiology, University of Zurich, Zollikerstrasse 107, CH-8008 Zurich, Switzerland

[5]Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

*Corresponding author: lisa.m.smith@sheffield.ac.uk

## Abstract

Communication between the male and female gametophytes is vital for fertilisation to occur in angiosperms. A number of receptor-like kinases have been implicated in male-female interactions. Notably, the CrRLK1L family proteins ANX1, ANX2, BUPS1 and BUPS2 are required to prevent pollen tube burst before fertilisation, while the CrRLK1L protein FER is required for pollen tube burst upon entrance into the female gametophyte. Here, we show that two further CrRLK1L proteins act redundantly to control pollen tube burst at the female synergid cell. In the absence of HERK1, which also functions in cell elongation in leaves, and its previously uncharacterized homologue ANJEA, the majority of ovules are not fertilised due to pollen tube overgrowth. Both proteins are localised to the filiform apparatus of the synergid cells in the unfertilised ovule and act as female determinants for fertilisation. As in *fer* mutants, the synergid cell-specific, endomembrane protein NTA is not relocalised after pollen tube reception; however reactive oxygen species levels are not affected in *herk1 anj* double mutants. ANJEA and HERK1 interact directly with LRE, a glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol-anchored protein proposed to act as co-receptor for FER at the filiform apparatus. Our results support that HERK1 and ANJEA can form receptor complexes with LRE at the filiform apparatus to mediate female-male gametophyte interactions during plant fertilisation.

## Keywords

CrRLK1L, Fertilisation, Synergid, Receptor Kinase, Angiosperm

52
53
## Author summary

We depend on seeds for food and to sustain our livestock. Seed production relies on efficient plant

sexual reproduction, which in turn requires the coordination of male and female gametes during

fertilisation. Using reverse genetics and the model flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana,* we have

identified two novel regulators of fertilisation. The receptors HERK1 and ANJEA act in the maternal

tissues to ensure the timely release of the male gametes from the pollen tube into the female

ovule, a necessary step for successful fertilisation. Our work assigns two additional receptors to

the toolbox that controls early stages of fertilisation, therefore expanding our current knowledge of

the regulation of plant reproduction in flowering plants. These findings will be a useful underpinning

for future research aiming to understand the molecular basis of the signalling events that lead to

fertilisation and, as a consequence, seed production.

## Introduction

Fertilisation is a critical point in the life cycle of any sexually reproducing organism. In flowering plants, gametes are enclosed in gametophytes, multicellular structures that develop in the reproductive organs of the flower. The pollen grain constitutes the male gametophyte, with each grain generating a pollen tube in the form of a rapidly growing cellular protrusion that delivers the male gametes, or sperm cells, into the ovule. Female gametophytes develop inside the ovule and contain the female gametes within an embryo sac; the egg cell and central cell. The process of double fertilisation in angiosperms consists of the fusion of a sperm cell with each of the female gametes. If fertilisation is successful, the embryo and endosperm develop from the egg cell and central cell fertilisations, respectively. For double fertilisation to occur, the male and female gametophytes must engage in a molecular dialog that controls pollen tube attraction towards the ovule entrance, or micropyle, the arrest of pollen tube growth and the release of the sperm cells within the ovule (see (1) for a detailed review).

The synergid cells occupy the micropylar portion of the female gametophyte, and their function is strongly linked to communication between the gametophytes. As such, their cytoplasm is densely occupied by endomembrane compartments, reflective of a highly active secretion system generating messenger molecules (2). The filiform apparatus appears at the outermost pole, a thickened and intricate cell wall structure that represents the first contact point between female and male gametophytes prior to fertilisation (3). Synergid cells secrete small cysteine-rich LURE peptides to guide pollen tubes towards the embryo sac (4). LURE peptides are sensed by two pairs of pollen-specific receptor-like kinases (RLKs), MALE DISCOVERER 1 (MDIS1) and MDIS1-INTERACTING RLK 1 (MIK1), and POLLEN-SPECIFIC RECEPTOR KINASE 6 (PRK6) and PRK3 in Arabidopsis (5, 6). These RLKs bind LURE peptides through their extracellular domains at the growing tip of the pollen tubes, triggering directional growth towards the synergid cells (5-7).

Within the expanded family of RLKs in Arabidopsis, the *Catharanthus roseus* RLK1-like (CrRLK1L) subfamily has been linked to several aspects of fertilisation. Two pairs of functionally redundant CrRLK1Ls are integral in controlling pollen tip growth [ANXUR1 and 2 (ANX1/2), and BUDDHA'S

PAPER SEAL 1 and 2 (BUPS1/2) (8-10)]. ANX1/2 and BUPS1/2 heterodimerise and ensure pollen tube growth by sensing of two autocrine secreted peptides belonging to the RAPID ALKALINIZATION FACTOR (RALF) family, RALFL4 and RALFL19 (9, 11). A fifth CrRLK1L protein, ERULUS (ERU), has also been implicated in male-determined pollen tube growth via regulation of $Ca^{+2}$ oscillations (12). The CrRLK1L protein FERONIA (FER) accumulates in the filiform apparatus of the synergids and functions as a female determinant of pollen tube burst and subsequent sperm cell release (13, 14). Although no extracellular ligand has been identified for FER in a reproductive context, there is evidence for FER activation of a synergid-specific signalling cascade upon pollen tube arrival. This signalling pathway involves the glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored protein LORELEI (LRE) (15), activation of NADPH oxidases to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the micropyle (16), generation of specific $Ca^{2+}$ signatures in the synergid cytoplasm (17), and relocalisation of the Mildew resistance locus O (MLO)-like NORTIA (NTA), an endomembrane compartment protein that affects pollen tube-induced $Ca^{2+}$ signatures in the synergids (17-19).

Many questions remain about the nature of the communication between gametophytes that controls sperm cell release and CrRLK1Ls FER, ANX1/2 and BUPS1/2 are potential receptor candidates to mediate this dialog. Here we report the characterisation of CrRLK1Ls HERCULES RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (HERK1) and ANJEA (AT5G59700; ANJ) as female determinants of pollen tube reception in Arabidopsis. HERK1 and ANJ act redundantly at the filiform apparatus of the synergids to control pollen tube growth arrest and burst, representing two new mediators of gametophytic communication and therefore expanding the female-specific toolbox required for fertilisation.

## Results

### HERK1 and ANJEA function redundantly in seed set

To test whether additional Arabidopsis CrRLK1L proteins are involved in reproduction, we obtained T-DNA insertion lines for all seventeen family members. Presence of a homozygous insertion was

verified for ten CrRLK1L genes. These verified lines were crossed and double homozygous plants

selected in the F2 generation by PCR genotyping (Figure S1A-B for T-DNA lines used further in

this study). Stable double homozygous lines were examined for reduced fertility. Through this

screen, we identified that double mutants in *HERCULES RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (HERK1)* and

*AT5G59700* (hereafter referred to as *ANJEA/ANJ*) have high rates of unfertilised ovules or seeds

that have aborted very early in development, and shorter siliques (Figure 1A). HERK1 and ANJEA

are close homologues within the CrRLK1L family (20), with 75% identity and 86% similarity at the

protein level. Loss of *ANJ* gene expression in the double homozygous *herk1-1 anj-1* T-DNA line

(hereafter referred to as *herk1 anj*) was confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure S1C), with the *herk1-1* T-

DNA insertion previously confirmed to knockout gene expression (21).

To verify that the low rate of seed set results from functional redundancy between *HERK1* and

*ANJ*, we examined seed development in dissected siliques of wild-type, *herk1*, *anj* and *herk1 anj*

plants grown in parallel. While single mutants *herk1* and *anj* did not have elevated numbers of

unfertilised/aborted seeds compared to wild-type, a high proportion of ovules in *herk1 anj* siliques

had not developed into mature seeds, leading to a reduced number of seeds per silique (Figure

1B). Therefore we conclude that there is functional redundancy between the HERK1 and ANJ

proteins during fertilisation or early seed development.

HERK1 has previously been described to influence cell elongation in vegetative tissues with

THESEUS1 and HERK2, with the *herk1 the1-4* and *herk1 herk2 the1-4* mutants displaying a short

petiole phenotype, similarly to *fer* mutants (21, 22). We further examined the *herk1 anj* mutants for

developmental defects in vegetative and reproductive growth, finding no further developmental

aberrations (Figure S2A-G). Thus, HERK1 and ANJ do not act redundantly during vegetative

growth.

**HERK1 and ANJEA are female determinants of pollen tube burst**

Previous studies of CrRLK1L proteins where mutation results in low or absent seed set have

identified functions in pollen tube growth (ANX1, ANX2, BUPS1, BUPS2 and ERU; (8-12)) and

female-mediated pollen tube burst at the synergids (FER (14)). To test which step in fertilisation is impaired in the *herk1 anj* mutant, we tracked pollen tube growth through the style in single and double mutants. In all plant lines, aniline blue staining revealed that the pollen tubes targeted the female gametophytes correctly (Figure S3). However, closer examination of the ovules revealed pollen tube overgrowth at high frequency in *herk1 anj* mutants. While pollen tube overgrowth is rare in wild-type and single mutants, 83% of pollen tubes failed to burst upon entering ovules in the double mutant (Figure 1C). The 83% of ovules exhibiting pollen tube overgrowth is notably higher than the 71% of ovules that fail to develop into seeds (Figure 1B,C), indicating that in some cases fertilisation occurs in the presence of pollen tube overgrowth. Pollen tube overgrowth in *herk1 anj* is occasionally accompanied by polytubey, where more than one pollen tube enters the ovule, as reported for several other mutations causing pollen tube overgrowth including *fer* (Figure S4A-B; (13, 23)). This is indicative of uninterrupted secretion of attraction signals from the synergid cells, suggesting impaired degeneration of the receptive synergid cell upon pollen tube arrival (24, 25).

In *fer* mutants, pollen tube overgrowth occurs due to maternal defects in male-female gametophyte communications (13, 14, 16). To confirm that HERK1 and ANJ are female determinants of pollen tube burst, we performed reciprocal crosses between the *herk1 anj* mutant and wild-type plants, as well as control crosses within each plant line. While wild-type Col-0 (female; f) x *herk1 anj* (male; m) crosses resulted in 1% of ovules with pollen tube overgrowth, over 90% of pollen tubes exhibited overgrowth in *herk1 anj* (f) x wild-type (m) crosses, indicating that pollen tube overgrowth is a maternally-derived phenotype in *herk1 anj* mutants (Figure 1D). As expected, pollen tube overgrowth was observed in only 3% of the ovules in the control wild-type (f) x wild-type (m) crosses, while 89% of ovules had overgrowth of the pollen tube in *herk1 anj* (f) x *herk1 anj* (m) crosses.

To confirm that the reproductive defect is due to the disruption of the *HERK1* and *ANJ* genes and not to additional T-DNA insertions, we re-introduced the *HERK1* and *ANJ* genes into the *herk1 anj* background to test for complementation of the pollen tube overgrowth phenotype. We generated *pHERK1::HERK1* and *pANJ::ANJ-GFP* constructs and obtained *pFER::HERK1-GFP* (26). A *pBRI1::HERK1-GFP* construct has previously been used to complement the *herk1* mutant (21),

and we found that while *pHERK1::HERK1* could be generated, *pHERK1::HERK1-GFP* could not be cloned due to toxicity in several bacterial strains. In the developing ovules of five independent T1 plants where a hemizygous insertion would segregate 50:50, expression of *pFER::HERK1-GFP* or *pANJ::ANJ-GFP* constructs in the *herk1 anj* background reduced pollen tube overgrowth by ~50%, as did a *pHERK1::HERK1* construct (Figure S5A). Complementation indicates that these reporter constructs produce functional proteins and confirms that the T-DNA insertions in the *HERK1* and *ANJ* genes are responsible for pollen tube overgrowth. We conclude that HERK1 and ANJ are female determinants of pollen tube burst and therefore named *AT5G59700* after the fertility goddess in Australian aboriginal mythology, Anjea.

The kinase activity of FER is not required for its control of pollen tube reception in ovules (26). We therefore tested for complementation of the *herk1 anj* reproductive defect with kinase-dead (KD) versions of HERK1 and ANJ generated by targeted mutagenesis of key residues within the kinase activation loop (D609N/K611R for HERK1 and D606N/K608R for ANJ; (27)). *pHERK1::HERK1-KD* and *pANJ::ANJ-KD-GFP* were also able to complement the pollen overgrowth phenotype, indicating that the kinase activity of these RLKs is not required for their function in fertilisation (Figure S5B). The similarity in the mutant phenotypes, cellular localisation and the dispensable kinase activity in HERK1/ANJ and FER suggests they may act in the same signalling pathway as co-receptors or as parallel receptor systems.

**HERK1 and ANJEA are localised to the filiform apparatus**

We generated *promoter::GUS* (β-glucuronidase) transcriptional fusions to gain insight into the possible function of HERK1/ANJ in fertilisation. Both *HERK1* and *ANJ* are strongly expressed in ovules, specifically along the funiculus and the synergid cell area (Figure 2A-B). *pHERK1::GUS* is also expressed in the style, ovary walls and stamens (Figure 2C and Figure S6A-B), whereas *pANJ::GUS* expression is detected in stigmas and stamens (Figure 2D and Figure S6D-E). No expression was detected in pollen grains within mature anthers, although HERK1 is expressed in some developing pollen grains (Figure S6A,C,F). Thus *HERK1* and *ANJ* are expressed in multiple reproductive tissues, with the pattern of expression suggesting the fertilisation defect may arise

through a biological function in the junction of the stigma and style, at the funiculus or in the female

gametophyte where *HERK1* and *ANJ* gene expression overlaps.

To examine HERK1 and ANJ expression and cellular localisation in ovules, we used the

*pANJ::ANJ-GFP* and *pFER::HERK1-GFP* constructs that complement the fertilisation phenotype.

Examination of fluorescent signal from HERK1-GFP and ANJ-GFP fusion protein in the female

gametophyte showed that they were strongly localised to the filiform apparatus of the synergid

cells (Figure 2E-H). The filiform apparatus is a structure formed by dense folds in the plasma

membrane and cell wall where the regulators of fertilisation FER and LRE also localise (14, 23,

28). This specific cellular localisation suggests that HERK1 and ANJ could function in the same

pathway as FER and LRE. While loss of FER or LRE alone leads to a reproductive defect caused

by pollen tube overgrowth in the ovule (14, 23), HERK1 and ANJ are functionally redundant, such

that HERK1 and ANJ could act as alternative co-receptors for FER and/or LRE during male-female

interactions.

**NORTIA relocalisation after fertilisation is impaired in *herk1 anj* mutants**

Previous reports point to an interdependence between FER, LRE and NTA in their respective

cellular localisations (15, 18). FER only accumulates in the filiform apparatus if functional LRE is

present, and NTA relocalisation towards the filiform apparatus upon pollen tube arrival is

dependent on FER (15, 18). As HERK1 and ANJ may act in the same signalling pathway as FER,

we tested whether these two receptors also interfere in this signalling network by studying the

localisation of fluorescence-tagged HERK1, ANJ, FER, LRE and NTA in the *herk1 anj* and *lre-5*

backgrounds (Figure 3A). Localisation within the synergids of FER-GFP, LRE-Citrine and NTA-

GFP was not affected by *herk1 anj* mutations. Similarly, HERK1-GFP and ANJ-GFP localised to

the filiform apparatus in the *lre-5* background. Contrary to previous findings (15), under our

conditions FER-GFP accumulation in the filiform apparatus was not impaired in *lre-5* plants (n>25;

FER-GFP was found at the filiform apparatus in all ovules checked). Therefore, we found no

dependency on HERK1/ANJ or LRE for localisation of FER, LRE, HERK1, ANJ or NTA within the

synergids.

To determine whether NTA relocalisation in synergid cells upon pollen arrival depends on functional HERK1 and ANJ, we transformed *pMYB98::NTA-GFP* into the *herk1 anj* background. Using SR2200-based callose staining to visualise the filiform apparatus and pollen tube, we observed NTA-GFP fluorescence intensity across the length of the synergid cell. In unfertilised ovules, NTA-GFP fluorescence is evenly distributed across the length of the synergid cell in wild-type and *herk1 anj* plants (Figure 3B). Wild-type fertilised ovules have a shift in the fluorescence intensity pattern, with NTA accumulation towards the micropylar end of the synergid cytoplasm and a decrease in relative fluorescence intensity towards the chalazal end (Figure 3B-C). This response is absent in *herk1 anj* fertilised ovules in which the relative fluorescence intensity pattern is indistinguishable from that of unfertilised ovules, indicating a requirement for HERK1/ANJ in NTA relocalisation upon pollen tube perception.

As reported by Ngo and colleagues (2014), the journey of the pollen tube does not conclude upon contact with the filiform apparatus of the synergid cells (17). Pollen tubes transiently arrest growth upon contact with the synergid; they then grow rapidly along the receptive synergid and towards the chalazal end, before burst and release of the sperm cells (17). To observe this process in detail, we used TdTomato-tagged pollen and monitored NTA-GFP localisation at different stages of pollen growth within the ovule. The shift in NTA-GFP localisation was noted in ovules in which the pollen tube had grown past the filiform apparatus and ruptured, rather than upon pollen tube arrival at the filiform apparatus (Figure S7A). Interestingly, in rare cases when pollen tube burst occurred normally in the *herk1 anj* background, the fluorescence shift towards the micropyle had also taken place (Figure S7A). In both cases, NTA-GFP did not appear to accumulate in the filiform apparatus (Figure S7B). Our results differ from the interpretation of previous reports that NTA is polarly relocalised from endomembrane compartments to the plasma membrane in the filiform apparatus, instead supporting a more generalised relocalisation within the synergid cytoplasm towards the micropylar end. We propose that HERK1 and ANJ, similarly to FER, act upstream of NTA relocalisation in the signalling pathway. Deciphering whether NTA relocalisation is a requirement or a consequence of pollen tube burst will require the temporal resolution that only high-resolution, live-imaging approaches can provide (17, 29, 30).

**ROS production is not affected in mature *herk1 anj* ovules**

ROS levels in *fer-4* and *lre-5* ovules have been reported to be significantly lower than in wild-type with the implication that, as hydroxyl free radicals can induce pollen tube burst (16), reduced ROS levels could be responsible for pollen tube overgrowth. To assess whether HERK1 and ANJ also act upstream of ROS accumulation in the ovules, we used $H_2$DCF-DA to measure ROS levels on a categorical scale in *herk1 anj*, *lre-5* and *fer-4* ovules (Figure S8A). In stage 14 flowers (31), when the highest levels of ROS are reported in wild-type ovules (16), we could recapitulate a strong reduction in ROS levels in *fer-4* ovules (16), with a lesser reduction in *lre-5* and *herk1 anj* (Figure S8B).

Subsequently, we analysed the female gametophyte structure in *herk1 anj* ovules of stage 14 flowers and verified they develop correctly and accumulate callose at the filiform apparatus, suggesting that the observed phenotypes are due to a signalling rather than a morphological defect (Figures 4A and S9A-C; (32)). Undeveloped ovules in the *herk1 anj* mutant could hinder our interpretation of the ROS measurements. Thus, we studied gametophytic development in *herk1 anj*, *lre-5* and *fer-4* stage 14 flowers at 0 and 20 hours after emasculation (HAE). Quantification of development indicated that at 0 HAE more than 40% of wild-type ovules were not mature, with a further delay in development in *herk1 anj* and *fer-4* ovules (Figure S10A). At 20 HAE, all ovules had reached the mature 7-celled or 4-celled pollen-receptive stages in all backgrounds tested (Figure S10B; (32, 33)). Consequently, we checked ROS levels in ovules at 20 HAE when ovules are mature in all lines. Across three independent experiments, we confirmed that ROS levels are significantly lower in *fer-4* ovules compared to wild-type (Figure 4B and S8C), indicating the that ROS assay is functional in our hands and able to distinguish changes in ROS levels. However, we found that ROS levels are consistently comparable to wild-type in mature ovules of *herk1 anj* and *lre-5* (Figure 4B and S8C). To verify that the fertilisation defect is not rescued in the *herk1 anj* and *lre-5* genotypes at 20 HAE, we confirmed that pollen tube overgrowth still occurs when ovules are fertilised at this stage (Figure 4C). Taken together, these results suggest that FER acts upstream of ROS accumulation in ovules prior to pollen tube arrival while, under our experimental conditions,

HERK1, ANJ and LRE are not required for this process. As these results conflict with a previous study showing lower ROS levels in *lre-5* ovules (16), the function of LRE in ROS production may be environment-dependent. Our results do not preclude that pollen tube arrival-induced ROS signalling in the synergid cells is affected in *herk1 anj* and *lre-5*, however differences in transient synergid-specific ROS burst cannot be quantified in our *in vitro* system.

**HERK1 and ANJEA interact with LORELEI**

LRE and its homolog LORELEI-LIKE GPI-ANCHORED PROTEIN 1 (LLG1) physically interact with RLKs FER, FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2) and EF-TU RECEPTOR (EFR) (15, 34). Mutations in these GPI-anchored proteins and their associated RLKs result in similar phenotypes, with LRE and LLG1 regarded as co-receptors and stabilisers of RLK function (15, 34). HERK1, ANJ and FER are closely related RLKs and, given the similarities in reproduction defects and sub-cellular localisation in synergid cells (Figure 3A), we hypothesised that HERK1 and ANJ may also act in complex with LRE at the filiform apparatus. To this end, we used yeast two hybrid assays to test for direct interactions between the extracellular juxtamembrane domains of HERK1 and ANJ (HERK1exJM, ANJexJM) and LRE. Interactions between HERK1exJM and LRE, and ANJexJM and LRE were detected, indicative of a possible direct interaction between these proteins (Figure 5A). To confirm these interactions *in planta*, co-immunoprecipitation assays were performed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves after Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of *pFER::HERK1-GFP* and *p35S::HA-LRE*. HA-LRE co-immunprecipitated with HERK1-GFP (Figure 5B), confirming that these two proteins form a complex *in planta*. We were unfortunately unable to detect ANJ-GFP or ANJ-MYC expression in this heterologous system.

Additionally, we introduced the *lre-5* mutation into the *herk1 anj* background and characterised fertility impairment in triple homozygous *herk1 anj lre-5* plants. No additive effect was observed in the seed set defect in *herk1 anj lre-5* plants compared to *herk1 anj* and *lre-5* mutants (Figure 6A). ROS production in these mutants was measured using $H_2$DCF-DA in *herk1 anj lre-5* ovules at 20 HAE. In agreement with the seed set phenotype, ROS levels were unaffected in the triple homozygous line (Figure 6B). These results reinforce the hypothesis that HERK1, ANJ and LRE

308  act in the same signalling pathway and, given their cellular localisation and our protein-protein

309  interaction results, we propose that HERK1-LRE and ANJ-LRE form part of a receptor complex in

310  the filiform apparatus of synergid cells to mediate pollen tube reception.

## Discussion

Successful reproduction in angiosperms relies on tightly controlled communication between

gametophytes where chemical and mechanical cues are exchanged (1). Here, we describe the

role of the RLKs HERK1 and ANJ in early stages of fertilisation in Arabidopsis. HERK1 and ANJ

are widely expressed in female reproductive tissues including the synergid cell area of ovules,

where they are polarly localised in the filiform apparatus. *herk1 anj* plants fail to produce seeds

from most ovules due to a maternally-derived pollen tube overgrowth defect. As female

gametophytes develop normally in *herk1 anj* mutants, pollen tube overgrowth is likely due to

impaired signalling. To clarify the position of HERK1/ANJ in relation to the previously characterised

signalling elements of the pollen tube reception pathway, we have shown that NTA relocalisation

after pollen tube reception is impaired in *herk1 anj* as described for FER, whereas ROS production

at the micropylar entrance of ovules prior to pollen arrival is not affected. Interactions between

HERK1/ANJ and LRE lead us to propose possible receptor complexes of HERK1-LRE and ANJ-

LRE at the filiform apparatus.

Associated with diverse hormonal, developmental and stress responses, FER is regarded as a

connective hub of cellular responses through its interactions with multiple partners, including small

secreted peptides, cell-wall components, other RLKs, GPI-anchored proteins and ROPGEFs (15,

35-39). As related members of the CrRLK1L family, HERK1 and ANJ have the potential to perform

similar roles to FER, as reported here in controlling pollen tube rupture. Interestingly, control of tip-

growth in pollen tubes depends on two redundant pairs of CrRLK1Ls; ANX1 and ANX2, and

BUPS1 and BUPS2 (8-11). ANX1/2 and BUPS1/2 form ANX-BUPS heterodimers to control pollen

tube growth by sensing autocrine RALF signals (9). In turn, ovular RALFL34 efficiently induces

pollen tube rupture at the pollen tip, likely through competition with autocrine RALFL4/19 (9).

LEUCINE-RICH REPEAT EXTENSINS (LRXs) constitute an additional layer of regulation during

pollen tube growth (11). LRXs interact physically with RALFL4/19 and are thought to facilitate

RALFL sensing during pollen tube growth (11, 40). It is therefore possible to hypothesise that the

female control of pollen tube reception may also be executed via CrRLK1L heterocomplexes of

FER with either HERK1 or ANJ, which could sense pollen tube-derived cues to prime the female

gametophyte to trigger the required response to induce pollen tube rupture. Given the multiple

CrRLK1L-RALFL interactions identified to date (9, 11, 35, 41), pollen tube-produced RALF signals

constitute a potential candidate to induce synergid responses to pollen tube perception.

RALFL4/19 are continuously secreted at the growing tip of the pollen tube and while their

involvement in pollen growth has been thoroughly studied (9, 11), their possible dual role as

synergid-signalling activators remains unexplored. Disruption of synergid autocrine RALF

signalling upon pollen arrival constitutes another possible scenario, parallel to what is

hypothesised for RALFL34 and RALFL4/19 during pollen growth (9). Additionally, LRXs could

facilitate RALFL perception at the synergid cell to control pollen tube reception.

A second category of putative pollen tube cues involves changes in cell wall properties of the

filiform apparatus. As a polarised fast-growing structure, pollen tubes present cell walls that differ

from stationary cell types, with special emphasis on the growing tip where active cell wall

remodelling rapidly takes place (42). When the growing tip reaches the filiform apparatus, it

temporarily arrests growth, subsequently growing along the receptive synergid cell prior to rupture

(17). The prolonged direct physical contact between the growing tip and the filiform apparatus

likely allows a direct exchange of signals which could result in modification of the filiform apparatus

cell wall structure. CrRLK1L receptors present an extracellular malectin-like domain (43), a tandem

organisation of two malectin domains with structural similarity to the di-glucose binding malectin

protein (44). The malectin di-glucose binding residues are not conserved in the malectin-like

domains of ANX1/2 according to structural data (45, 46). However, direct interactions of FER,

ANX1/2 and BUPS1/2 malectin-like domains with the pectin building block polygalacturonic acid

have been recently reported (36, 47). An extracellular domain anchored to cell wall components

and a cytoplasmic kinase domain capable of inducing downstream signalling make FER and the

other CrRLK1L proteins a putative link between cell wall status and cellular responses (48).

Involvement of FER in root mechanosensing provides additional support for this hypothesis (49).

Therefore, FER and the related receptors HERK1 and ANJ may be fulfilling a cell wall integrity

surveillance function in the filiform apparatus, triggering cellular responses upon changes in the

composition or mechanical forces registered at this specialised cell wall structure. Future research

in this field will undoubtedly provide new views on how these RLKs integrate pollen-derived cues to

ensure tight control of fertilisation.

Receptor complexes are a common feature in signal transduction in multiple cellular processes

(50-52). Our genetic and biochemical results support a possible HERK1-LRE/ANJ-LRE

heterocomplex. LRE and related proteins form complexes with RLKs FER, FLS2 and EFR, making

them versatile co-receptors that mediate signal perception in multiple processes (15, 34). LRE

functions in the maternal control of fertilisation and early seed development (53, 54), whereas its

homolog LLG1 is restricted to vegetative growth and plant-pathogen interactions (34).

Uncharacterised LLG2 and LLG3 show pollen-specific expression in microarray data and therefore

constitute likely candidates as ANX1/2 and BUPS1/2 receptor complex partners to control pollen

tube growth. LRE proteins are thought to stabilise their receptor partners in the plasma membrane

and to act as direct co-receptors for the extracellular cues sensed by the RLK (15). As we found

that FER localisation in the filiform apparatus is unaltered in *lre-5* plants, as is HERK1/ANJ

localisation, our results do not support the role previously reported for LRE as a chaperone for

FER localisation in synergid cells (15). Nonetheless LRE could act as co-receptor for FER and

HERK1 or ANJ, forming tripartite HERK1-LRE-FER or ANJ-LRE-FER complexes that sense

pollen-derived ligands such as RALF peptides or cell wall components. Structural studies of RLK-

LRE complexes will shed light on LRE protein functions in membrane heterocomplexes.

Our results indicate that HERK1, ANJ and LRE are not required to generate the ROS-enriched

environment in the micropyle of mature ovules under our experimental conditions, while FER is

involved in this process (16). The role of FER in ROS production has also been characterised in

root hairs, where FER activates NADPH oxidase activity via ROPGEF and RAC/ROP GTPase

signalling, ensuring root hair growth stability (37). Micropylar ROS accumulation prior to pollen

tube arrival depends on NADPH oxidase activity and FER, suggesting a similar pathway to root

hairs may take place in synergid cells (16). This evidence places FER upstream of ROS production, whereas FER, HERK1/ANJ and LRE would function upstream of pollen tube burst. One possible explanation is that FER is a dual regulator in synergid cells, promoting ROS production and regulating pollen tube reception, while HERK1/ANJ and LRE functions are restricted to the latter under our environmental conditions. Kinase-dead mutants of FER rescue the pollen tube overgrowth defect in *fer* mutants, but cannot restore the sensitivity to exogenous RALF1 in root elongation (55). These recent findings support multiple signal transduction mechanisms for FER in a context-dependent manner (55). It would thus be informative to test whether the kinase-dead version of FER can restore the ovular ROS production defect in *fer* mutants. The use of genetic ROS reporters expressed in synergid cells and pollen tubes in live imaging experiments would allow us to observe specific changes in ROS production at the different stages of pollen tube perception in ovules, as performed with $Ca^{2+}$ sensors (17, 29, 30). ROS production and $Ca^{2+}$ pump activation in plant cells have been linked during plant-pathogen interactions and are thought to take place during gametophyte communication (56, 57). Thus, given the dynamic changes in $Ca^{2+}$ during the different stages of pollen tube reception in synergids and pollen, it is likely that ROS production variations also take place in parallel. Studying ROS production profiles during pollen perception in the *fer-4*, *herk1 anj* and *lre-5* backgrounds would provide the resolution required to link these receptors to dynamic ROS regulation during pollen reception. Induction of specific $Ca^{2+}$ signatures in the synergids upon pollen tube arrival is dependent on FER, LRE and NTA (17). Given that NTA relocalisation after pollen reception depends on functional HERK1/ANJ and NTA is involved in modulating $Ca^{+2}$ signatures in the synergids, it is possible that HERK1 and ANJ might also be required for $Ca^{+2}$ signalling during pollen perception.

Downstream signalling after pollen tube reception in the synergid cells likely involves interactions of HERK1, ANJ and FER with cytoplasmic components through their kinase domain. Our results indicate that the kinase activity of HERK1/ANJ is not required for controlling pollen tube rupture, as has been reported for FER (26). The *fer-1* pollen tube overgrowth defect could also be rescued with a chimeric protein comprising the FER extracellular domain and the HERK1 kinase domain

(26). This implies that the FER and HERK1/ANJ kinase domains are likely redundant in controlling

pollen tube burst and may transduce the signal in a similar manner. Testing whether FER-

dependent induction of ROS production in the micropyle is also independent of its kinase activity

and whether the HERK1/ANJ kinase domains can also substitute for the FER kinase domain in this

process would provide insight into how this signalling network is organised.

This study provides evidence for the involvement of multiple CrRLK1L receptors of pollen tube

perception at the female gametophyte and highlights the relevance of the CrRLK1Ls in controlling

reproduction in flowering plants.

**Methods**

**Experimental Model and Subject Details**

**Plant material.** *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA insertion lines *herk1* (At3g46290; N657488; *herk1-1;*

(21)), and *anj* (At5g59700; N654842; *anj-1*) were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock

Centre (NASC;(58, 59)). T-DNA lines *fer-4* (At3g51550; N69044; (16, 35)) and *lre-5* (At4g26466;

N66102; (53)) were kindly provided by Prof. Alice Cheung (University of Massachusetts) and Dr.

Ravi Palanivelu (University of Arizona), respectively. Col-0 accession was used as wild-type in all

experiments. T-DNA lines were confirmed as homozygous for the T-DNA insertion by genotyping

PCR. The *anj* mutant line was characterised as a knockout of gene expression in this study by RT-

PCR.

**Growth conditions.** Seeds were stratified at 4ºC for three days. Seeds were sown directly on soil

and kept at high humidity for four days until seedlings emerged. Soil mix comprised a 4:1 (v:v)

mixture of Levington M3 compost:sand. Plants were grown in walk-in Conviron growth chambers

with 22ºC continuous temperature, 16 hours per day of ~120 µmols$^{-1}$m$^{-2}$ light and 60% humidity.

For selection of transformants, seeds were surface sterilised with chlorine gas, sown onto half-

strength Murashige and Skoog medium (MS; (60)), 0.8% (w/v) agar, pH 5.7 (adjusted with KOH),

supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic (25 µg/mL of hygromycin B or 50 µg/mL of

kanamycin). Seeds on plates were stratified for three days at 4ºC and then transferred to a growth

chamber (Snijders Scientific) at 22ºC, 16 hours per day of ~90 $\mu mols^{-1}m^{-2}$ of light. Basta selection

was carried out directly on soil soaked in a 1:1000 dilution of Whippet (150 g/L glufosinate

ammonium; AgChem Access Ltd).

**Method Details**

**Phenotyping.** To quantify seed production, fully expanded green siliques were placed on double-

sided sticky tape, valves were dissected along the replum with No. 5 forceps, exposing the

developing seeds. Dissected siliques were kept in a high humidity chamber until photographed to

avoid desiccation.

Carpels from self-pollinated or hand-pollinated flowers at stage 16 were selected for aniline blue

staining of pollen tubes. Carpels were fixed overnight in a 3:1 solution of ethanol:acetic acid, then

softened overnight in 8M NaOH, washed four times in water and incubated for three hours in

aniline blue staining solution (0.1% (w/v) aniline blue (Fisons Scientific) in 0.1M $K_2PO_4$-KOH buffer,

pH 11). Stained carpels were mounted in 50% glycerol, gently squashed onto the microscope slide

and then visualised with epifluorescence or confocal microscopy. Aniline blue fluorescence was

visualised in an epifluorescence microscope using a 400 nm LED light source and a filter set with

340-380 nm excitation, emission filter of 425 nm (long pass) and 400 nm dichroic mirror. Confocal

images were acquired using 403.5 nm laser line, 30.7 μm pinhole size and filter set with 405 nm

dichroic mirror and 525/50 nm emission filter cube.

Quick callose staining was carried out by incubating freshly dissected tissue samples in a 1000x

dilution of SR2200 (Renaissance Chemicals Ltd) in half-strength MS, 5% (w/v) sucrose, pH 5.7.

Samples were mounted in the staining solution directly and visualised under an epifluorescence

microscope with the same settings used for aniline blue staining. Callose-enriched structures like

pollen tubes and the filiform apparatus of ovules display a strong fluorescence within 10 minutes of

incubation. Only structures directly exposed to the SR2200 solution are stained.

To observe the development of the female gametophyte we used a confocal laser scanning

microscopy method as described by Christensen (61). Ovules were dissected from unpollinated

carpels, fixed for 2 hours in a 4% (v/v) solution of glutaraldehyde, 12.5mM sodium cacodylate buffer pH 6.9, dehydrated in ethanol series (20%-100%, 20% intervals, 30 minutes each) and cleared in a benzyl benzoate:benzyl alcohol 2:1 mixture for 2 hours prior to visualisation. Samples were mounted in immersion oil, coverslips sealed with clear nail varnish and visualised with an inverted confocal microscope. Fluorescence was visualised with 35.8 µm pinhole size, 642.4 nm laser line and filter set of 640 nm dichroic mirror and 595/50 nm emission filter cube. Multiple z-planes were taken and analysed with ImageJ.

Analyses of expression patterns of *HERK1* and *ANJ* were carried out by testing β-glucuronidase activity in *promoter::GUS* reporter Col-0 plants from the T1 and T2 generations. Samples were fixed in ice-cold 90% acetone for 20 minutes, then washed for 30 minutes in 50mM $NaPO_4$ buffer pH 7.2. Samples were transferred to X-Gluc staining solution (2mM X-Gluc (Melford Laboratories Ltd), 50mM $NaPO_4$ buffer pH 7.2, 2mM potassium ferrocyanide, 2mM potassium ferricyanide and 0.2% (v/v) Triton-X), vacuum-infiltrated for 30 minutes and incubated at 37ºC for several hours or overnight. Samples were cleared in 75% ethanol and visualised under a light microscope or stereomicroscope.

$H_2DCF-DA$ staining of ROS in ovules was carried out as per (16). Ovules from unpollinated carpels were dissected and incubated in staining solution (25µM $H_2DCF-DA$ (Thermo Scientific), 50mM KCl, 10mM MES buffer pH 6.15) for 15 minutes. Samples were subsequently washed three times in $H_2DCF-DA$-free buffer for 5 minutes, mounted on slides and immediately visualised by epifluorescence microscopy. $H_2DCF-DA$ fluorescence was visualised using a 470 nm LED light source and a filter set with 470/40 nm excitation filter, 460/50 nm emission filter and 495 nm dichroic mirror.

All steps were performed at room temperature unless otherwise specified. Ovules were dissected by placing carpels on double-sided sticky tape, separating the ovary walls from the replum with a 0.3 mm gauge needle, and by splitting the two halves of the ovary along the septum with No. 5 forceps. GFP was visualised by epifluorescence microscopy with the same settings used to

visualise $H_2$DCF-DA fluorescence. TdTomato was visualised using a 535 nm LED light source and

a filter set with 545/25 nm excitation filter, 605/70 nm emission filter and a 565 nm dichroic mirror.

**Cloning and transformation of Arabidopsis.** To study the cellular localisation and to

complement the pollen overgrowth defect we generated the constructs *pANJ::ANJ-GFP*,

*pHERK1::HERK1*, *pFER::FER-GFP, pANJ::ANJ-KD-GFP,* and *pHERK1::HERK1-KD*. Genomic

regions of interest (spanning 2 kb upstream of the start codon ATG and the full coding sequence

excluding stop codon) were amplified by PCR with Phusion DNA polymerase (NEB).

*Promoter::CDS* amplicons were cloned via KpnI/BamHI restriction sites into a pGreen-IIS

backbone (Basta resistance; from Detlef Weigel's group, Max Planck Institute for Developmental

Biology; (62)), with or without an in-frame C-terminal GFP coding sequence. Kinase-dead versions

of *HERK1* and *ANJ* were generated by targeted mutagenesis of the activation loop residues

D606N/K608R of ANJ and D609N/K611R of HERK1 using *pANJ::ANJ-GFP* and *pHERK1::HERK1*

constructs as template (63). To generate the GUS reporter constructs *pHERK1* and *pANJ* (2 kb

upstream of the ATG start codon) were cloned with a pENTR-dTOPO system (Thermo Scientific)

and then transferred to the GUS expression cassette in the pGWB433 destination vector via LR

recombination [LR clonase II; Thermo Scientific; (64)]. ASE *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain was

used with pGreen vectors; GV3101pMP90 strain was used otherwise. Arabidopsis stable

transformants were generated through the floral dip method. To test interaction *in vivo* in co-

immunoprecipitation assays, we generated GFP- and MYC-tagged overexpression constructs of

*HERK1*, *ANJ* and *FER*. PCR-amplified coding sequences were cloned into a pENTR-dTOPO

vector and then transferred to the destination vectors pGWB405 and pGWB420 [*35S::gene-GFP*;

*35S::gene-MYC* cassettes, respectively; (64)] via LR recombination. To test direct interaction

between HERK1exJM, ANJexJM and LRE in yeast, we cloned the extracellular juxtamembrane

sequence corresponding to the 81 amino acids N-terminal of the predicted transmembrane domain

of HERK1 and ANJ, as well as the sequence corresponding to the aminoacids 23-138 of LRE [as

per (15)]. Amplicons were cloned into yeast two hybrid vectors pGADT7 and pGBKT7 via SmaI

restriction digests, in frame with the activation or DNA binding domains (AD or BD, respectively).

Col-0 genomic DNA was used as the template for all cloning events unless otherwise specified.

Primers used for cloning are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

**Genotyping and RT-PCR.** Genotyping PCRs were performed with Taq polymerase and 35 cycles

with 60ºC annealing temperature and one minute extension time. Genomic DNA was extracted

from leaves of 2 week old seedlings by grinding fresh tissue in DNA extraction buffer (200mM Tris-

HCl pH 7.5, 250mM NaCl, 25mM EDTA and 0.5% SDS), precipitating DNA with isopropanol,

washing pellets with 75% EtOH and resuspending DNA in water. RNA was extracted with E.Z.N.A.

plant RNA extraction kit (Omega Bio-Tek) from 100 mg of floral tissue from multiple plants per line.

RNA concentrations were normalised, an aliquot was DNaseI-treated and subsequently

transcribed into first strand cDNA with the RevertAid cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific) using

random hexamers. RT-PCR of *ANJ* and the control gene *FER* were performed with the conditions

used in genotyping PCRs with 45 seconds of extension time. Primers for genotyping and RT-PCR

are listed in the Supplementary Table S2.

**Yeast two-hybrid.** Direct interaction assays in yeast were carried out following the Clontech small-

scale LiAc yeast transformation procedure. Yeast strain Y187 was transformed with pGADT7

constructs and yeast strain Y2HGold with pGBKT7 constructs (including empty vectors as

controls). Yeast diploids cells carrying both plasmids were obtained by mating and interaction test

were surveyed on selective media lacking leucine, tryptophan and histidine.

**Co-immunoprecipitation and western blot.** *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *A.*

*tumefaciens* strain GV3101 carrying constructs indicated in figure captions. In all cases, leaves

were co-infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* carrying a P19 silencing suppressor. Leaves were

harvested 2 days post-infiltration and frozen in liquid nitrogen before extraction in buffer (20 mM

MES pH 6.3, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, supplemented with 1%

IGEPAL and protease inhibitors). Immunoprecipitations were performed in the same buffer with

0.5% IGEPAL for 4 hours at 4ºC with GFP-trap (Chromotek) or Anti-HA Affinity Matrix (Roche)

resin. Beads were washed with the same buffer and bound proteins were eluted by addition of

SDS loading dye and heating to 90ºC for 10 min. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and

detected via Western blot following blocking (in TBS-0.1% Tween-20 with 5% non-fat milk powder)

with the following antibody dilutions: α-GFP-HRP (B-2, Santa Cruz), 1:5000; α-HA-HRP (3F10,

Roche), 1:3000.

**Microscopy and image building.** Epifluorescence images were obtained with Leica DM6 or

Olympus BX51 widefield microscopes equipped with HC PL Fluotar objectives or UPlanFl 4x,10x

and 20x objectives, respectively. A Nikon A1 inverted confocal laser scanning microscope fitted

with Plan Fluor 40x oil and Plan Apo VC 60x oil objectives was used to obtain confocal

micrographs. A Leica M165 FC stereomicroscope was used to visualise floral tissues from GUS

stained samples. Leica LASX, NIS Elements Viewer and ImageJ software were used to analyse

microscopy images. Inkscape was used to build all figures in this article.

**Quantification and Statistical Analysis**

Leica LASX software was used to obtain relative fluorescence intensity profiles from synergid cells

by defining linear regions of interest across the synergid cytoplasm in a micropylar to chalazal

orientation. Synergid cytoplasm area was defined between filiform apparatus and the synergid-egg

cell chalazal limit using the corresponding DIC images.

Statistical significance in seed set averages and relative fluorescence averages (at equivalent

distances from the filiform apparatus) were assessed with Student's *t*-tests. $\chi$-square tests were

used to compare distributions obtained in pollen tube overgrowth assays and ROS measurements

in ovules, using the distribution obtained in wild-type plants as the expected distribution. In all

tests, *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, and ***$p<0.001$. Sample size *n* is indicated in the graphs or figure

legends.

**Acknowledgements**

S. G-T. was supported by a Department of Animal and Plant Sciences postgraduate teaching

fellowship. Research in J.G.'s lab is supported by RCUK grant BB/N004167/1. T. A. D. was

supported by a long-term post-doctoral fellowship from the European Molecular Biology

Organisation (LTF 100-2017). N. B-T. was supported by a MINECO FPI Fellowship (BES-2014-068868) and we acknowledge David Alabadi for his supervision of N. B-T. The Zipfel laboratory was supported by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation and European Research Council (PEPTALK). We thank Andrew Fleming and his group at the University of Sheffield for early feedback and guidance on experiments, Alice Cheung and Qiaohong Duan from the University of Massachusetts for advice on the ROS assays and for sharing *fer-4* seeds with us, Chao Li from East China Normal University for the *p35S::HA-LRE* construct, Ravi Palanivelu from the University of Arizona for *lre-5* seeds, Martin Bayer from the Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology for the *pLAT52::TdTomato* line, Ueli Grossniklaus from the University of Zurich for the *pFER::HERK1-GFP* and *pLRE::LRE-Citrine* constructs and Sharon Kessler from Purdue University for sharing the *pMYB98::NTA-GFP* construct.

## Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

1. Dresselhaus T, Sprunck S, Wessel GM. Fertilization Mechanisms in Flowering Plants. Current Biology. 2016;26(3):R125-R39.
2. Higashiyama T. The synergid cell: attractor and acceptor of the pollen tube for double fertilization. Journal of Plant Research. 2002;115(1118):149-60.
3. Huang B-Q, Russell SD. Female Germ Unit: Organization, Isolation, and Function. In: Russell SD, Dumas C, editors. International Review of Cytology. 140: Academic Press; 1992. p. 233-93.
4. Okuda S, Tsutsui H, Shiina K, Sprunck S, Takeuchi H, Yui R, et al. Defensin-like polypeptide LUREs are pollen tube attractants secreted from synergid cells. Nature. 2009;458(7236):357-61.
5. Wang T, Liang L, Xue Y, Jia PF, Chen W, Zhang MX, et al. A receptor heteromer mediates the male perception of female attractants in plants. Nature. 2016;531(7593):241-4.
6. Takeuchi H, Higashiyama T. Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth and LURE sensing in Arabidopsis. Nature. 2016;531(7593):245-8.
7. Zhang XX, Liu WJ, Nagae TT, Takeuchi H, Zhang HQ, Han ZF, et al. Structural basis for receptor recognition of pollen tube attraction peptides. Nature Communications. 2017;8:1331.
8. Boisson-Dernier A, Roy S, Kritsas K, Grobei MA, Jaciubek M, Schroeder JI, et al. Disruption of the pollen-expressed FERONIA homologs ANXUR1 and ANXUR2 triggers pollen tube discharge. Development. 2009;136(19):3279-88.

9.    Ge ZX, Bergonci T, Zhao YL, Zou YJ, Du S, Liu MC, et al. Arabidopsis pollen tube integrity and
sperm release are regulated by RALF-mediated signaling. Science. 2017;358(6370):1596-9.
10.    Miyazaki S, Murata T, Sakurai-Ozato N, Kubo M, Demura T, Fukuda H, et al. ANXUR1 and 2,
sister genes to FERONIA/SIRENE, are male factors for coordinated fertilization. Curr Biol.
2009;19(15):1327-31.
11.    Mecchia MA, Santos-Fernandez G, Duss NN, Somoza SC, Boisson-Dernier A, Gagliardini V,
et al. RALF4/19 peptides interact with LRX proteins to control pollen tube growth in Arabidopsis.
Science. 2017;358(6370):1600-3.
12.    Schoenaers S, Balcerowicz D, Costa A, Vissenberg K. The Kinase ERULUS Controls Pollen
Tube Targeting and Growth in Arabidopsis thaliana. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2017;8:1942.
13.    Huck N, Moore JM, Federer M, Grossniklaus U. The Arabidopsis mutant feronia disrupts
the female gametophytic control of pollen tube reception. Development. 2003;130(10):2149-59.
14.    Escobar-Restrepo JM, Huck N, Kessler S, Gagliardini V, Gheyselinck J, Yang WC, et al. The
FERONIA receptor-like kinase mediates male-female interactions during pollen tube reception.
Science. 2007;317(5838):656-60.
15.    Li C, Yeh FL, Cheung AY, Duan Q, Kita D, Liu MC, et al. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-
anchored proteins as chaperones and co-receptors for FERONIA receptor kinase signaling in
Arabidopsis. Elife. 2015;4:e06587.
16.    Duan Q, Kita D, Johnson EA, Aggarwal M, Gates L, Wu HM, et al. Reactive oxygen species
mediate pollen tube rupture to release sperm for fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun.
2014;5:3129.
17.    Ngo QA, Vogler H, Lituiev DS, Nestorova A, Grossniklaus U. A Calcium Dialog Mediated by
the FERONIA Signal Transduction Pathway Controls Plant Sperm Delivery. Developmental Cell.
2014;29(4):491-500.
18.    Kessler SA, Shimosato-Asano H, Keinath NF, Wuest SE, Ingram G, Panstruga R, et al.
Conserved molecular components for pollen tube reception and fungal invasion. Science.
2010;330(6006):968-71.
19.    Jones DS, Kessler SA. Cell type-dependent localization of MLO proteins. Plant Signaling &
Behavior. 2017;12(11):e1393135.
20.    Galindo-Trigo S, Gray JE, Smith LM. Conserved Roles of CrRLK1L Receptor-Like Kinases in
Cell Expansion and Reproduction from Algae to Angiosperms. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1269.
21.    Guo H, Li L, Ye H, Yu X, Algreen A, Yin Y. Three related receptor-like kinases are required for
optimal cell elongation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(18):7648-53.
22.    Guo H, Ye H, Li L, Yin Y. A family of receptor-like kinases are regulated by BES1 and involved
in plant growth in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Signal Behav. 2009;4(8):784-6.
23.    Capron A, Gourgues M, Neiva LS, Faure J-E, Berger F, Pagnussat G, et al. Maternal Control
of Male-Gamete Delivery in Arabidopsis Involves a Putative GPI-Anchored Protein Encoded by the
LORELEI Gene. The Plant Cell. 2008;20(11):3038-49.
24.    Beale KM, Leydon AR, Johnson MA. Gamete Fusion Is Required to Block Multiple Pollen
Tubes from Entering an Arabidopsis Ovule. Current Biology. 2012;22(12):1090-4.
25.    Maruyama D, Volz R, Takeuchi H, Mori T, Igawa T, Kurihara D, et al. Rapid Elimination of
the Persistent Synergid through a Cell Fusion Mechanism. Cell. 2015;161(4):907-18.
26.    Kessler SA, Lindner H, Jones DS, Grossniklaus U. Functional analysis of related CrRLK1L
receptor-like kinases in pollen tube reception. EMBO Rep. 2015;16(1):107-15.
27.    Knighton DR, Zheng JH, Teneyck LF, Ashford VA, Xuong NH, Taylor SS, et al. Crystal-
Structure of the Catalytic Subunit of Cyclic Adenosine-Monophosphate Dependent Protein-Kinase.
Science. 1991;253(5018):407-14.

28.      Tsukamoto T, Qin Y, Huang YD, Dunatunga D, Palanivelu R. A role for LORELEI, a putative
glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein, in Arabidopsis thaliana double fertilization and
early seed development. Plant Journal. 2010;62(4):571-88.
29.      Hamamura Y, Nishimaki M, Takeuchi H, Geitmann A, Kurihara D, Higashiyama T. Live
imaging of calcium spikes during double fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nature Communications.
2014;5:4722.
30.      Denninger P, Bleckmann A, Lausser A, Vogler F, Ott T, Ehrhardt DW, et al. Male-female
communication triggers calcium signatures during fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nature
Communications. 2014;5:4645.
31.      Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM. Early Flower Development in Arabidopsis. Plant
Cell. 1990;2(8):755-67.
32.      Christensen CA, Subramanian S, Drews GN. Identification of gametophytic mutations
affecting female gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. Developmental Biology.
1998;202(1):136-51.
33.      Yadegari R, Drews GN. Female gametophyte development. Plant Cell. 2004;16:S133-S41.
34.      Shen QJ, Bourdais G, Pan HR, Robatzek S, Tang DZ. Arabidopsis
glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein LLG1 associates with and modulates FLS2 to
regulate innate immunity. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114(22):5749-54.
35.      Haruta M, Sabat G, Stecker K, Minkoff BB, Sussman MR. A peptide hormone and its
receptor protein kinase regulate plant cell expansion. Science. 2014;343(6169):408-11.
36.      Feng W, Kita D, Peaucelle A, Cartwright HN, Doan V, Duan QH, et al. The FERONIA Receptor
Kinase Maintains Cell-Wall Integrity during Salt Stress through Ca2+ Signaling. Current Biology.
2018;28(5):666-75.
37.      Duan Q, Kita D, Li C, Cheung AY, Wu HM. FERONIA receptor-like kinase regulates RHO
GTPase signaling of root hair development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(41):17821-6.
38.      Stegmann M, Monaghan J, Smakowska-Luzan E, Rovenich H, Lehner A, Holton N, et al. The
receptor kinase FER is a RALF-regulated scaffold controlling plant immune signaling. Science.
2017;355(6322):287-9.
39.      Hou YN, Guo XY, Cyprys P, Zhang Y, Bleckmann A, Cai L, et al. Maternal ENODLs Are
Required for Pollen Tube Reception in Arabidopsis. Current Biology. 2016;26(17):2343-50.
40.      Stegmann M, Zipfel C. Complex regulation of plant sex by peptides. Science.
2017;358(6370):1544-5.
41.      Gonneau M, Desprez T, Martin M, Doblas VG, Bacete L, Miart F, et al. Receptor Kinase
THESEUS1 Is a Rapid Alkalinization Factor 34 Receptor in Arabidopsis. Current Biology.
2018;28(15):2452-8.
42.      Chebli Y, Kaneda M, Zerzour R, Geitmann A. The Cell Wall of the Arabidopsis Pollen Tube-
Spatial Distribution, Recycling, and Network Formation of Polysaccharides. Plant Physiology.
2012;160(4):1940-55.
43.      Boisson-Dernier A, Kessler SA, Grossniklaus U. The walls have ears: the role of plant
CrRLK1Ls in sensing and transducing extracellular signals. J Exp Bot. 2011;62(5):1581-91.
44.      Schallus T, Jaeckh C, Feher K, Palma AS, Liu Y, Simpson JC, et al. Malectin: a novel
carbohydrate-binding protein of the endoplasmic reticulum and a candidate player in the early
steps of protein N-glycosylation. Mol Biol Cell. 2008;19(8):3404-14.
45.      Du S, Qu LJ, Xiao J. Crystal structures of the extracellular domains of the CrRLK1L receptor-
like kinases ANXUR1 and ANXUR2. Protein Sci. 2018;27(4):886-92.
46.      Moussu S, Augustin S, Roman AO, Broyart C, Santiago J. Crystal structures of two tandem
malectin-like receptor kinases involved in plant reproduction. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol.
2018;74(Pt 7):671-80.

47. Lin W, Tang W, Anderson C, Yang Z. FERONIA's sensing of cell wall pectin activates ROP
GTPase signaling in Arabidopsis. bioRxiv. 2018.
48. Verger S, Hamant O. Plant Physiology: FERONIA Defends the Cell Walls against Corrosion.
Curr Biol. 2018;28(5):R215-R7.
49. Shih HW, Miller ND, Dai C, Spalding EP, Monshausen GB. The Receptor-like Kinase FERONIA
Is Required for Mechanical Signal Transduction in Arabidopsis Seedlings. Current Biology.
2014;24(16):1887-92.
50. Greeff C, Roux M, Mundy J, Petersen M. Receptor-like kinase complexes in plant innate
immunity. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2012;3:209.
51. Burkart RC, Stahl Y. Dynamic complexity: plant receptor complexes at the plasma
membrane. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2017;40:15-21.
52. Couto D, Zipfel C. Regulation of pattern recognition receptor signalling in plants. Nature
Reviews Immunology. 2016;16:537.
53. Tsukamoto T, Qin Y, Huang Y, Dunatunga D, Palanivelu R. A role for LORELEI, a putative
glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein, in Arabidopsis thaliana double fertilization and
early seed development. The Plant Journal. 2010;62(4):571-88.
54. Wang YB, Tsukamoto T, Noble JA, Liu XL, Mosher RA, Palanivelu R. Arabidopsis LORELEI, a
Maternally Expressed Imprinted Gene, Promotes Early Seed Development. Plant Physiology.
2017;175(2):758-73.
55. Haruta M, Gaddameedi V, Burch H, Fernandez D, Sussman MR. Comparison of the effects
of a kinase-dead mutation of FERONIA on ovule fertilization and root growth of Arabidopsis. FEBS
Lett. 2018.
56. Bleckmann A, Alter S, Dresselhaus T. The beginning of a seed: regulatory mechanisms of
double fertilization. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2014;5:452.
57. Ma W, Berkowitz GA. The grateful dead: calcium and cell death in plant innate immunity.
Cell Microbiol. 2007;9(11):2571-85.
58. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen HM, Shinn P, et al. Genome-wide
Insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science. 2003;301(5633):653-7.
59. Kleinboelting N, Huep G, Kloetgen A, Viehoever P, Weisshaar B. GABI-Kat SimpleSearch:
new features of the Arabidopsis thaliana T-DNA mutant database. Nucleic Acids Research.
2012;40(D1):D1211-D5.
60. Murashige T, Skoog F. A Revised Medium for Rapid Growth and Bio Assays with Tobacco
Tissue Cultures. Physiologia Plantarum. 1962;15(3):473-97.
61. Christensen CA, King EJ, Jordan JR, Drews GN. Megagametogenesis in Arabidopsis wild type
and the Gf mutant. Sex Plant Reprod. 1997;10(1):49-64.
62. Mathieu J, Warthmann N, Kuttner F, Schmid M. Export of FT protein from phloem
companion cells is sufficient for floral induction in Arabidopsis. Current Biology. 2007;17(12):1055-
60.
63. Ho SN, Hunt HD, Horton RM, Pullen JK, Pease LR. Site-Directed Mutagenesis by Overlap
Extension Using the Polymerase Chain-Reaction. Gene. 1989;77(1):51-9.
64. Nakagawa T, Suzuki T, Murata S, Nakamura S, Hino T, Maeo K, et al. Improved Gateway
Binary Vectors: High-Performance Vectors for Creation of Fusion Constructs in Transgenic Analysis
of Plants. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry. 2007;71(8):2095-100.
746

# Figures

**A**

WT

*herk1*

*anj*

*herk1 anj*

**B**

**C**

Normal

Overgrowth

**D**

**Figure 1. The *herk1 anj* fertility defect is caused by maternally-mediated pollen tube overgrowth.** (A) Representative mature siliques from wild-type (WT; Col-0), *herk1*, *anj* and *herk1 anj* plants. Siliques were collected prior to dehiscence and cleared in 0.4M NaOH, 1% Triton X-100. Scale bar = 1 mm. (B) Developing seeds per silique in wild-type, *herk1*, *anj* and *herk1 anj* plants. Fully expanded siliques were dissected and photographed under a stereomicroscope. *n* = 15 (four independent experiments with at least three plants per line and five siliques per plant).

Data presented are means ± SEM. *** p<0.001 (Student's *t*-test). (C) Percentage of pollen tubes

with normal reception at the female gametophyte (black bars) and with overgrowth (grey bars) as

assessed by aniline blue staining. 15 self-pollinated stage 16 flowers from wild-type, *herk1*, *anj* and

*herk1 anj* were analysed. Legend scale bars = 50 μm. *** p<0.001 ($\chi$-square tests). (D) Aniline

blue staining of pollen tube reception in reciprocal crosses between wild-type and *herk1 anj* plants

with at least two siliques per cross. Legend as per (C). *** p<0.001 ($\chi$-square tests).

**Figure 2. HERK1 and ANJ are expressed in the female gametophyte and localise to the filiform apparatus of the synergid cells.** (A-B) Expression of *pHERK1::GUS* and *pANJ::GU*S in mature ovules. Scale bars = 25 μm. (C-D) Expression of *pHERK1::GUS* and *pANJ::GU*S in inflorescences. Scale bars = 5 mm. (E,F) Localisation of HERK1-GFP in the synergid cell from the *pFER::HERK1-GFP* construct in (F) and corresponding differential interference contrast (DIC) image in (E). White and red dotted lines delineate the egg cell and synergid cells, respectively. Scale bars = 50 μm. (G,H) Localisation of ANJ-GFP in the synergid cell from the *pANJ::ANJ-GFP* construct in (H) and corresponding DIC image in (G). White and red dotted lines delineate the egg cell and synergid cells, respectively. Scale bars = 50 μm. M, micropyle. Arrows, filiform apparatus.

779 **Figure 3. Normal synergid localisation of HERK1, ANJ, LRE, FER and NTA pre-fertilisation**

780 **and impaired relocalisation of NTA after pollen tube reception in *herk1 anj*.** (A) Localisation of

781 HERK1, ANJ, LRE, FER and NTA in the synergid cell of wild-type (Col-0; WT), *herk1 anj* and *lre-5*

782 in unfertilised ovules, as shown by *pFER::HERK1-GFP*, *pANJ::ANJ-GFP*, *pLRE::LRE-Citrine*,

783 *pFER::FER-GFP* and *pMYB98::NTA-GFP*. DIC and fluorescence images are shown, left to right,

784 respectively. White and red dotted lines delineate the egg cell and synergid cells, respectively.

785 Scale bars = 25 µm. (B) Localisation of NTA in the synergid cell of wild-type and *herk1 anj* plants

786 before (upper panels) and after (lower panels) pollen tube arrival. In green, NTA localisation as

787 shown by *pMYB98::NTA-GFP* fluorescence. In magenta, callose of the filiform apparatus and

788 pollen tube stained with SR2200. From left to right, images shown are DIC, merged fluorescence

789 images, and merged images of DIC and fluorescence. White and red dotted lines delineate the

790 pollen tube and synergid cells, respectively. Scale bars = 25 µm. M, micropyle. (C) Profile of

791 relative fluorescence intensity of NTA-GFP along the synergid cells of wild-type and *herk1 anj*

792 ovules, before (virgin) and after (pollinated) pollen arrival. Data shown are means ± SEM, n = 25.

793 *** $p < 0.001$ (Student's *t*-test). FA, filiform apparatus.

**Figure 4.** *herk1 anj* **mature female gametophytes are morphologically normal and unaffected in ROS production at the micropyle.** (A) Representative images of ovules from wild-type, *herk1 anj*, *lre-5* and *fer-4* 20 HAE displaying the mature female gametophyte structure. Images presented here are maximum intensity projections from confocal microscopy images across several z-planes of ovules stained as per (61). Scale bars = 50 μm. (B) Quantification of $H_2CDF$-DA staining of ROS in ovules from wild-type, *herk1 anj*, *lre-5* and *fer-4* plants at 20 HAE. Categories are listed in the legend (see also Figure S8A). Ovules dissected from at least five siliques per line. *** p<0.001 ($\chi$-square tests). (C) Percentage of pollen tubes with normal reception at the female gametophyte (black bars) and displaying overgrowth (grey bars) in wild-type, *herk1 anj*, *lre-5* and *fer-4* plants, manually selfed at 20 HAE. Fertilisation events counted from at least three siliques per line. *** p<0.001 (Student's *t*-test).

808
809

**Figure 5. HERK1 and ANJ interact with LRE.** (A) Yeast two hybrid assays of the extracellular juxtamembrane domains of HERK1 and ANJ (HERK1exJM and ANJexJM, respectively) with LRE (residues 23-138; signal peptide and C-terminal domains excluded). Ø represents negative controls where no sequence was cloned into the activating domain (AD) or DNA-binding domain (BD) constructs. -L-W-H, growth medium depleted of leucine (-L), tryptophan (-W) and histidine (-H). (B) Co-immunoprecipitation of HA-LRE with HERK1-GFP following 2 days of transient expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Numbers indicate MW marker sizes in kDa. Assays were performed twice with similar results.

**Figure 6. HERK1, ANJ and LRE do not act additively in seed set or ROS production.**

(A) Quantification of developing seeds per silique in wild-type, *herk1 anj*, *lre-5*, *herk1 anj lre-5* and

*fer-4* plants. Fully expanded siliques were dissected and photographed under a stereomicroscope.

n = 25. Data presented are means ± SD. *** p<0.001 (Student's *t*-test). (B) Quantification of the

$H_2CDF-DA$ staining of ROS in ovules from wild-type, *herk1 anj*, *lre-5*, *herk1 anj lre-5* and *fer-4*

plants at 20 HAE. Categories are listed in the legend (see also Figure S8A). Ovules dissected from

at least five siliques per line. *** p<0.001 ($\chi$-square tests).