Pathogen Detection and Microbiome Analysis of Infected Wheat Using a Portable DNA

Sequencer

Yiheng Hu and **Gamran S. Green**, Research School of Biology, The Australian National University,

Acton ACT 2601, Australia; **Andrew W. Milgate**, Wagga Wagga Agricultural Institute, New South

Wales Department of Primary Industry, Wagga Wagga NSW 2650, Australia; **Eric A. Stone**, ANU-

CSIRO Centre for Genomics, Metabolomics and Bioinformatics, Acton ACT 2601, Australia; **John**

P. Rathjen† and **Benjamin Schwessinger**†, Research School of Biology, The Australian National

University, Acton ACT 2601, Australia.

†Corresponding authors: B. Schwessinger; E-mail: benjamin.schwessinger@anu.edu.au, and J. P.

Rathjen; Email: john.rathjen@anu.edu.au

Funding: This project was supported by The Hermon Slade Foundation, The Australian National

University and Australian Research Council.

Current address of G. S. Green: School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, The

University of New South Wales, Kensington, NSW2052, Australia.

ABSTRACT

Fungal diseases of plants are responsible for major losses in agriculture, highlighting the need

for rapid and accurate identification of plant pathogens. Disease outcomes are often defined

not only by the main pathogen but are influenced by diverse microbial communities known as

the microbiome at sites of infection. Here we present the first use of whole genome shot-gun

sequencing with a portable DNA sequencing device as a method for the detection of fungal

pathogens from wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in a standard molecular biology laboratory. The data

revealed that our method is robust and applicable to the diagnosis of fungal diseases including

wheat stripe rust (caused by *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*), septoria tritici blotch (caused by

Zymoseptoria tritici) and yellow leaf spot (caused by *Pyrenophora tritici repentis*). We also

identified the bacterial genus *Pseudomonas* co-present with *Puccinia* and *Zymoseptoria* but not

Pyrenophora infections. One limitation of the method is the over-representation of redundant

wheat genome sequences from samples. This could be addressed by long-range amplicon-

based sequencing approaches in future studies, which specifically target non-host organisms.

Our work outlines a new approach for detection of a broad range of plant pathogens and

associated microbes using a portable sequencer in a standard laboratory, providing the basis

for future development of an on-site disease monitoring system.

Plant diseases, especially those caused by fungal pathogens, jeopardise global crop biosecurity.

Increased global trade, human migration, environmental changes and the accelerated

emergence of virulence have been identified as causes for increasing prevalence of diseases in

plants and animals (Fisher et al. 2012). To prevent and manage diseases, rapid detection and

identification of their causal agents are crucial. This is particularly important for outbreak

management during the incursion of virulent new isolates that overcome classical methods of

control such as the use of resistant crop varieties (Bhattacharya 2017; Pretorius et al. 2000).

Traditional methods for crop disease diagnosis rely largely on the expertise of pathologists

whom identify diseases initially by eye in the field. While molecular methods of pathogen

detection also exist, these are generally only capable of identifying specific diseases with low

amounts of variation (De Boer and López 2011). For example, the enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assay (ELISA) have been applied for the detection of tree pathogen *Xylella*

fastidiosa (Sherald and Lei 1991). Recently, other optical sensor-based methods have been

developed for the detection, identification, and quantification of plant diseases (Mahlein 2015).

This method has been used to help smallholder farmers for the disease and pest control

(PlantVillage, https://plantvillage.psu.edu/). Conventional pathology, however, remains the

primary means of pathogen identification and harbors certain limitations: 1. It relies heavily on

the physical appearance of disease symptoms; 2. It has difficulty detecting pathogens that do

not infect aerial parts of the plant; 3. Co-infections may lead to conflicting visual symptoms; 4.

No method currently exists for the rapid identification of unknown pathogens during outbreaks

– a limitation epitomized by the recent, devastating incursion of South American races of wheat

blast fungus in Bangladesh (Callaway 2016).

DNA sequencing-based methods have been applied to address limitations in detection and

monitoring of environmental microbial communities (Nezhad 2014). Such assays rely largely on

amplification and sequencing of small conserved regions called meta barcodes from pathogen

genomes, including the internal transcribed spacer region or *Elongation Factor 1 alpha* (*EF1α*)

and *Beta-tubulin* genes for fungal species and 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for bacteria (Janda

and Abbott 2007; Raja et al. 2017). Combining multiple conserved gene loci, known as

multilocus sequence typing (Maiden et al. 1998), can discriminate closely related species based

on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within amplicon sequences. This has been used, for

example, to distinguish different closely related species of *Candida* that pose distinct risks and

epidemiological outcomes (Odds and Jacobsen 2008). Amplicon sequencing also allows for

characterisation of the microbial community - the microbiome - within a sample. The

microbiome can impact plant function, for example, on altering flowering time (Panke-Buisse et

al. 2015) or promoting colonization of fungal pathogens such as *Botrytis cinerea* (Ritpitakphong

et al. 2016). Furthermore, the microbiome is likely to contain organisms that interact with

disease-causing agents and, as some of these organisms have anti-microbial activities against

plant pathogens, could potentially be harnessed for biocontrol strategies (Ellis 2017). Restrictive

read lengths generated by the Illumina sequencing platform limits sequence-based

identification of microbial species. Standard Illumina sequencing only allows for a maximum

amplicon length of 500 base pairs (bp) to be sequenced and, as such, only the genetic variation

present within 500 bp is available for taxonomic assignment of the organism in question

(Benítez-Páez and Sanz 2017). Use of PCR also biases the abundance of individual amplicons,

making estimation of population frequencies problematic (Kennedy et al. 2014).

Next-generation DNA sequencing platforms have developed rapidly during the last half-decade,

overcoming the read length issue and facilitating the identification of microbial species

(Benítez-Páez and Sanz 2017). Multiple single molecule, long-read sequencing technologies are

currently in use. Most predominant among these are single molecular real-time (SMRT)

sequencing (PacBio) and nanopore sequencing (MinION; Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT)).

PacBio SMRT sequencing relies on the detection of fluorescent nucleotides incorporated into a

single DNA molecule during its synthesis within a nanoscale observation chamber (Ardui et al.

2018). Nanopore sequencing enables direct sequencing of native DNA by measuring voltage

changes across an artificial membrane when a single DNA molecule passes through a nanopore

embedded in the membrane of a flowcell (Jain et al. 2016). Advantages of nanopore sequencing

include its relatively low cost, pocketsize form and the potential for real-time data analysis,

while current disadvantages include relatively low per read accuracy of around 90% (Leggett

and Clark 2017). Because the MinION is a mobile technology independent of large sequencing

facilities, it has been useful for on-site sample sequencing in extreme environments such as

microbial paleo mats in the Antarctic (Johnson et al. 2017). For rapid pathogen identification,

studies have shown a turnaround time of six hours for bacterial from clinical samples with

MinION (Charalampous et al. 2018), and from seconds to up to four hours for detecting cassava

virus in Africa (Boykin et al. 2018) – both unachievable with previous technologies. For

antibiotic resistance, Břinda et al. (2018) reported their identification of known resistant

bacterial strain within five minutes from real time MinION data. Moreover, the MinION

provides significantly longer sequencing reads (~10 kb) than other sequencing platforms such as

Illumina, allowing for more genetic information per read and thus more precise identification of

the organism from which the read is derived (Benítez-Páez, Portune, and Sanz 2016; Benítez-

Páez and Sanz 2017). Although recent studies have shown important advances in identification

of bacterial pathogens using nanopore sequencing from clinical samples (Mitsuhashi et al. 2017;

Schmidt et al. 2017), little has been done testing this new sequencing platform in terms of plant

pathogens detection and microbiome profiling of diseased plants.

Here, we tested nanopore sequencing for disease diagnosis in field-grown wheat plants and

explored its potential for microbiome profiling. We designed a whole-genome shot-gun

sequencing workflow for identification of major pathogen species from field samples and

profiling of microbes present in these samples to the species level. As a proof-of-concept, we

applied our sequencing workflow to wheat leaves infected with known fungal pathogens

sampled from the field and a fungicide treated control. By mapping sequencing reads to

databases, we successfully detected all fungal diseases present in the infected samples

including stripe rust (caused by *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*), septoria tritici blotch (caused by

Zymoseptoria tritici) and yellow leaf spot (caused by *Pyrenophora tritici repentis*), and found

one disease (septoria nodorum blotch, caused by *Parastagonospora nodorum*). We also

conducted preliminary characterisation of the microbiomes associated with those diseases,

identifying the bacterial genus *Pseudomonas* as co-present with *Puccinia* and *Zymoseptoria*

infections. Our results suggest that portable nanopore sequencing has a considerable potential

for adaptation to a broad range of crop disease diagnoses and environmental monitoring

applications under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

Wheat cultivar Crusader (Advanta Seeds Pty. Ltd.) seeds were planted in the disease nursery

field on May 2016 at the Wagga Wagga Agricultural Institute (New South Wales (NSW),

35°02'24.3"S 147°19'09.5"E) with daily irrigation. Wheat straw infected the previous season

with septoria tritici blotch and yellow leaf spot was allowed to stand in the field to promote

development of pseudothecia. This infected material was used to manually inoculate plants in

the nursery field at the tillering growth stage (4-5 weeks post emergence) while stripe rust

infections occurred naturally at jointing stage (7-9 weeks post emergence). Healthy or diseased

plants were diagnosed by a plant pathologist from the NSW Department of Primary Industries

via symptomatology before samples were collected during September 2016. Five different

treatment groups were collected into five separate containers. These included four infection

types: three single infections (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici, Z. tritici* and *Pyrenophora tritici*

repentis) and one double infection (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici + Z. tritici*), and a fungicide-

treated control. For each treatment group, three separate entire wheat tillers were pooled in

one container and shipped to the Rathjen laboratory, Research School of Biology, The

Australian National University. Each biological replicate (n=4) consisted of two independent leaf

cuts (~100 mg) from each treatment group containing visible disease symptoms or not in case

of the control. The two leaf of each biological replicate were transferred into 2 mL Eppendorf

tubes containing one 5 mm metal bead. All tubes were labelled and stored at -80°C prior to

DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

DNA extractions were performed according to Hu (2016). Briefly, 100 mg leaf tissue was

homogenized and cells were lysed using cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, Sigma-

Aldrich Cas. No: 57-09-0) buffer (added RNAse T1, Thermo Fisher, Cat#EN0541, 1000 units per

1750 μL), followed by a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#P2069)

extraction to remove protein and lipids. The DNA was precipitated with 700 μL isopropanol,

washed with 1 mL 70 % ethanol, dried for 5 minutes at room temperature and resuspended in

TE buffer containing 10 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA at pH 8. DNA extractions were performed

independently for each biological replicate (n=4), with the operator blind to the identity of each

sample. After extraction, DNA was purified with one volume of Agencourt AMPure XP beads

(Beckman Coulter, Inc. Cat#BECLA63880) according to the manufacturer's protocol and stored

at 4°C. Quality and average size of genomic DNA was visualized by gel electrophoresis with a 1%

agarose gel for 1 hour at 100 volts. Representative gel images for replicate 3 and 4 are shown in

Supplementary Fig. S1. DNA was quantified by NanoDrop and Qubit (Life Technologies)

according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA quality and purity was evaluated as described

previously (Schalamun et al. 2018).

Library construction and sequencing

For each biological replicate, one PCR-based barcoded 1D sequencing libraries were

constructed using 1D PCR barcoding workflow (SQK-LSK107 and EXP-PBC001, batch number

EP01.10.0005) as previously described in Hu and Schwessinger (2018) with the omission of the

DNA shearing step. Briefly, a dA-tailing reaction was performed on 700 ng genomic DNA from

each sample using the NEBNext Ultra II End-repair/dA-tailing kit (New England Biolabs, Catalog

#E7645). Repaired DNA was cleaned up using one volume of AMPure XP beads, washed on a

magnetic rack using 70% ethanol, and eluted with 31 µL nuclease-free water. Thirty µL eluate

was used for barcode adapter ligation via the NEB Blunt/TA Ligase Master Mix (New England

Biolabs, Catalog #M0367S) and Barcode Adapter Mix (ONT 1D PCR barcoding kit EXP-PBC001),

followed by another wash step on one volume of AMPure XP beads. Twenty ng of the adapter-

ligated template in 2 µL of nuclease free water was added to each barcoding PCR reaction with

50 µL LongAmp Taq 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Catalog #M0287S), 46 µL water and 2

µL PCR Barcodes (ONT 1D PCR barcoding kit EXP-PBC001). The PCR was performed as follows:

denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 15 cycles of 95°C/15 s; 62°C/15 s; 65°C/150 s, a final extension

step at 65°C for 5 min. Barcoded DNA was purified using one volume of AMPure XP beads and

pooled into 1 µg fractions in a total of 45 µL to which 5 µL of DNA CS control (ONT 1D ligation

sequencing kit SQK-LSK107) was added. A further dA-tailing reaction was performed as above

followed by purification on with one volume of AMPure XP beads. DNA was eluted in 31 µL

nuclease free water. Adapter ligation was carried out using 30 µL end-prepped DNA, 20 µL

Adapter Mix (ONT 1D ligation sequencing kit SQK-LSK107) and 50 µL NEB Blunt/TA Ligase

Master Mix. The adapter-ligated DNA library was purified with one volume of AMPure XP beads

using the Adapter Beads Binding buffer (ONT 1D ligation sequencing kit SQK-LSK107) for

washing and eluted in 15 µL of Elution Buffer (ONT 1D ligation sequencing kit SQK-LSK107).

Sequencing reactions were performed independently for each biological replicate on a MinION

flowcell (R9.4, ONT) connected to a MK1B device (ONT) operated by the MinKNOW software

(version 1.5.2 and version 1.6.11). Each flowcell was primed with 1 mL of priming buffer

comprising 480 µL Running Buffer Fuel Mix (RBF, ONT) and 520 µL nuclease free water. Twelve

µL of amplicon library was added to a loading mix including 35 µL RBF, 25.5 µL Library Loading

beads (ONT library loading bead kit EXP-LLB001, batch number EB01.10.0012) and 2.5 µL water

with a final volume of 75 µL and then added to the flowcell via the SpotON sample port. The

"NC_48Hr_sequencing_FLO-MIN106_SQK-LSK107" protocol was executed through MinKNOW

after loading the library. The sequencing run was restarted after 12 h and stopped after 48 h.

Sequencing analysis

Raw fast5 files were processed via the Albacore 2.0.2 software (ONT) for basecalling, barcode

de-multiplexing and quality filtering (Phred quality score of >7) following manufacturer's

recommendations. All reads that passed quality filtering for each barcode were treated in

parallel as follows: firstly, NanoLyse (De Coster et al. 2018) was used to remove lambda phage

control DNA sequences; secondly, barcode and adapter sequences were trimmed from the

ends of reads using Porechop (Wick, Judd, and Holt 2018). To identify middle adapter

sequences by Porechop, a 95% threshold was set, and reads with middle adapter sequences

were split into two sequences; thirdly, seqtk (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) was used to

convert the processed fastq file into fasta format for further analysis. The two nucleotide BLAST

(blastn, Basic Local Alignment Search Tool, Altschul et al. 1990) searches were performed using

the fasta files for each barcode as queries: first, against a custom genome reference database

that contained the wheat reference genome (Clavijo et al. 2017), accessed 09/2017, and the

genome sequence of three fungal species used in our study: *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*:

Schwessinger et al. (2018), accessed 09/2017; *Z. tritici*: Goodwin et al. (2011), version 2,

accessed 09/2017; *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*: Manning et al. (2013), unmasked assembly,

accessed 09/2017. The genome of a fourth species suggested to be absent from the studied

wheat growing region, *Parastagonospora nordorum*, as a negative control (Hane et al. (2007),

accessed 09/2017. Individual reads were assigned to have originated from a specific DNA

sequence based on their best blastn hit (e-value < 0.01) (Zhang et al. 2000). Reads that did not

hit the custom-made database were captured using the filterbyname.sh script from the bbmap

script package (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/). These reads were used as queries to

search against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide (nt)

database (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/, downloaded 09/2017) using blastn and the

same settings and species assignment criteria mentioned above. Two Python scripts

(QC_and_BLAST.py and creating_final_dataframe.py) that combine all steps from quality

control to BLAST outputs and construct dataframes containing statistical and information from

BLAST   searches   for   each   read   were   deposited   at

https://github.com/Yiheng323/Pathogen_Detection_and_Microbiome_scripts to facilitate the

reproducibility of our results. All basecalled fastq data for each sequencing run were deposited

at NCBI Short Reads Archive (SRA) under accession number PRJNA493553. The sequencing

summary files and final dataframes were deposited as .tab files for each replicate at

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7138262. The python scripts used for generating Fig. 2 − 5

and   Supplementary   Fig.   S2   −   S7   were   also   deposited   at

https://github.com/Yiheng323/Pathogen_Detection_and_Microbiome_scripts.

RESULTS

Sequencing showed variations in yield and consistency in barcode classification

We designed a pipeline for identification of three known wheat pathogens from infected field

samples using MinION sequencing. We infected three experimental field plots as disease

nurseries with their corresponding pathogens (*Puccinia. striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* for stripe rust

disease, *Z. tritici* for septoria tritici blotch and *Pyrenophora. tritici-repentis* for yellow leaf spot)

commonly found in New South Wales, Australia, and conducted sampling during the 2016-17

growing season (September). The sequencing experiment included three steps (Fig. 1): 1.

Pathologists collected three plants from each disease nursery field, with visual confirmation of

disease symptoms from three single infections plus one double infection (*Puccinia striiformis* f.

sp. *tritici* + *Z. tritici*) and a negative control comprising the same wheat variety treated with

commercial fungicides at the 10- to 11-week growth stage (three months post germination).

Individual plants were pooled by treatment type and shipped to the laboratory on ice for

processing. 2. We extracted DNA from two independent leaf cuts of each treatment type

without knowing the identity of each treatment to eliminate potential bias from operators. 3.

We barcoded each sample to enable pooling of all five sample types into one sequencing run.

The five wheat leaf samples were barcoded as follows: leaves infected with one of the three

fungal pathogens (barcodes 1-3); leaves infected with two pathogens (barcode 4); and fungicide

treated control without disease symptoms (barcode 5). We performed steps 2 to 3 four times

independently as biological replicates, with Step 3 performed using a MinION 1D PCR barcoding

workflow (EXP-PBC001 and SQK-LSK107). The raw data of each nanopore sequencing run were

converted into fastq sequencing reads using Albacore software (ONT), with a quality (Q) score

for each base estimating the likelihood of the identified base being correct using the Phred

scale (Ewing et al. 1998; Ewing and Green 1998). Based on read mean Q scores, Albacore

grouped reads into pass (Q score > 7) or fail (Q score <= 7) based on manufacturer's

recommendations. We binned reads based on the barcode sequences identified by Albacore at

both ends of each sequencing read. If no barcode sequence could be identified, we named the

corresponding read as unclassified. Overall, ~92-95% of the total sequence length of all four

sequencing runs passed quality filtering (Supplementary Table 1). The total sequence length

from the four runs varied from 682 to 5100 megabase pairs (Mbp). The mean read length

ranged from 1380 to 3054 bp. Proportions of each barcode in each run were relatively even,

although 23.3-25.7% of the sequences were unclassified in all four runs (Fig. 2).

Sequence-based identification correctly identifies the fungal species causing wheat diseases

We performed blastn searches for all quality-filtered reads against a custom genome reference

database. We assigned the origin of each read based on its best blastn hit within each database

(e-value < 0.01) using a 'winner-takes-all' strategy which only considers the best match of each

sequencing read. The custom genome reference database contained the wheat genome and

four fungal genomes including *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, *Z. tritici*, *Pyrenophora tritici-*

repentis and *Parastagonospora nodorum*. These represent the host plant genome, three fungal

species present in infected field samples, and one fungal species (*Parastagonospora nordorum*)

not expected to be present in the sampling area as a negative control. The majority of reads

were assigned to wheat sequences, comprising total sequence length of 90.1% in flowcell 1,

80.1% in flowcell 2, 92.7% in flowcell 3 and 91.4% in flowcell 4 (Fig. 3). We found that healthy

leaf samples (barcode 5) contained no or minor total length percentages of pathogen-related

sequences in all four replicates (< 0.01%, Fig. 4). This indicates that our analysis method is

robust and does not lead to high background signals in healthy wheat samples (barcode 5).

Significantly higher proportions of total sequencing length (0.5–5.7%) from infected samples

(barcode 1-4) were assigned as pathogen genome-derived. In all cases, the pathogen genome

identified by the highest total sequence length corresponded to the pathogen causing the

disease as identified by symptomatology (Fig. 4). This indicates that we are clearly able to

identify the disease-causing pathogen via our sequencing workflow in cases of single infections.

Infections with two pathogens (barcode 4) were identified less clearly. In barcode 4 from all

biological replicates, total sequence length percentages assigned to *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp.

tritici were between 0.1% and 0.4%; close to or lower than the proportion assigned to negative

control species *Parastagonospora nodorum.* The total relative sequence length identifying each

major pathogen species within each barcode were similar across all replicates, with around 0.6%

of barcode 1 from *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, 1.9-5.0% of barcode 2 from *Z. tritici*, 2.1-5.7%

of barcode 3 from *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*, and 0.1-0.4% of barcode 4 from *Puccinia*

striiformis f. sp. *tritici* and 0.6-1.2% from *Z. tritici*. Unexpectedly, we also identified

Parastagonospora nodorum in samples derived from different treatment groups in all four

biological replicates. To ascertain whether *Parastagonospora nodorum* was truly present, we

extracted all 915 reads that were assigned to have originated from the *Parastagonospora*

nodorum genome in all four biological replicates. We used these reads to perform an open-

ended blastn search against the entire NCBI non-redundant nucleotide (nt) database. We found

that 344 of 915 reads were assigned to genus *Parastagonospora*, including 339 reads identifing

Parastagonospora nodorum SN15 genome (Supplementary Fig. S2). A further 371 reads hit

related species, including 226 from the same *Pleosporales* order: *Leptosphaeria* spp. (84 reads),

Alternaria spp. (81), *Pyrenophora* spp. (10), *Bipolaris* spp. (9), *Shiraia* spp. (9) and other genus

(Supplementary Fig. S2). The remaining 200 reads fell above the given e-value threshold (0.01)

and failed to be assigned. The difference between both BLAST searches potentially stems from

the relatively high error rate of the MinION sequencer and the distinct conserved regions from

genomes of closely related species (Jain et al. 2018; Laver et al. 2015). We tested for the

presence of *Parastagonospora nodorum* in one of our four biological replicates using an

independent molecular biology approach. We performed a PCR screen in replicate 3 using the

PnTox3 gene that is universally found in all Australian *Parastagonospora nodorum* isolates but

not in any other fungal species (Liu et al. 2009). We were able to amplify a specific band

corresponding to *PnTox3* (Supplementary Fig. S3) in the *Z. tritici* treatment group (barcode 2)

which displayed the most *Parastagonospora nodorum* assigned sequencing reads (Fig. 4). The

Puccinia striiformis f. sp. *tritici* treatment group (barcode 1) and the *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp.

tritici + *Z. tritici* treatment group (barcode 4) contained a lesser number of *Parastagonospora*

nodorum-assigned sequencing reads, and these appeared to be below the detection threshold

of PCR analysis. These results support the finding that *Parastagonospora nodorum* is present in

our samples from the Wagga Wagga wheat-growing region despite the disease not being

commonly found in the region nor the pathogen being purposefully inoculated in the trial.

Bacterial species associated with fungal disease development

To obtain an overview of the microbiome profile in and on wheat leaves infected by different

fungal pathogens, we attempted to identify other microbial species present on the leaves. We

searched the non-redundant NCBI nt database with reads that were not assigned to any of the

five reference genomes present in our initial custom genome reference database. We found

that the proportion of reads assigned to a genome in the restricted and the nt-NCBI databases

were high for flowcells 1, 3 and 4 (92.9, 94.3 and 93.8%, respectively), but only 84.6% for

flowcell 2 likely due to technical issues. Overall, from each flowcell, less than 1.4% of passed

reads identified microbiota other than the major pathogens in the custom genome reference

database. We pooled the reads from each barcode in all biological replicates, and calculated the

total sequence length percentage that identified a specific genus in each sample using NCBI

taxonomic identifiers (Fig. 5A). Genus *Pseudomonas* took up the highest proportion in all

diseased samples, ranging from 0.08% to more than 0.88%, but was nearly absent in healthy

wheat (barcode 5, 0.01%). Genus *Alternaria* is also found in all samples with a proportion

between 0.05% to 0.2% in diseased samples and 0.005% in healthy wheat. Uniquely in *Puccinia*

striiformis f. sp. *tritici* treatment group (barcode 1), bacterial *Erwinia* comprised 0.09% of total

sequence length as represented by 219 reads and the second highest proportion. In contrast,

Erwinia only represented 0.003% and 0.001% in *Z. tritici* treatment group (barcode 2) and

fungicide treatment group (barcode 5) while being absent in any other treatment group. In

treatment groups infected with *Z. tritici* (barcodes 2 and 4), hits on *Z. tritici* were still present

and may represent sequences in the six *Z. tritici* genomes in the NCBI nt database that are

absent from the *Z. tritici* genome we used to generated our initial custom database. At the

species level (Fig. 5B), total sequence length percentages identifying *Pseudomonas syringae*

were much more frequent in treatment groups infected with *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*

(barcode 1, 0.59%) and/or *Z. tritici* (barcode 2, 0.33%). In contrast, the treatment group

infected wtih *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* (barcode 3) displayed a much lower relative total

sequence length of 0.02% assigned to *Pseudomonas syringae*. Relative sequence length

assigned to other *Pseudomonas* species such as *Pseudomonas poae* followed a similar trend.

This finding is consisted with previous reports were *Pseudomonas* spp. have been described to

be associated with fungal infections of wheat (Al-Sallami et al. 1997; Mehrabi et al. 2016). At

the upper taxonomic classifications, *Proteobacteria* and *Ascomycota* were the most abundant

bacterial and fungal phyla across all treatment groups (Supplementary Fig. S4) followed by

Actinobacteria and *Basidiomycota*. At the class level (Supplementary Fig. S5), either

Gammaproteobacteria or *Dothideomycetes* were the most abundant. Overall, the microbiome

structure of the upper taxonomy resembles that of the lower taxonomy (Supplementary Fig. S4,

Fig. S5, Fig. S6, Fig. S7), as most sequences were assigned to genus *Pseudomonas*.

DISCUSSION

We have developed a whole genome shot-gun sequencing (WGS) workflow which accurately

identified three fungal pathogens responsible for three major wheat diseases – stripe rust,

septoria tritici blotch and yellow spot – from field samples. We designed a two-step BLAST

process to identify major agents in diseased samples and characterise the associated

microbiota. We identified a number of *Pseudomonas* species present in stripe rust and septoria

tritici blotch infected wheat leaves which appear far less abundant in yellow spot or non-

diseased leaves.

WGS provides a wealth of information that could be used to accurately identify disease-causing

agents and begin to describe microbial community composition in a sample (Brown et al. 2017;

Thomas, Gilbert, and Meyer 2012). Without PCR amplification process to potentially introduce

bias, WGS data is obtained directly from sample extracts and illustrates features closest to

reality dependent only on sampling and DNA extraction strategies. Omitting PCR steps, WGS

requires less processing time and proves advantageous for on-site diagnostic purposes where

technical simplicity and fast processing time are prioritised. It has a significant drawback,

however, in that most sequences are derived from the host (wheat) genome, representing 90.1%

of total sequence length in flowcell 1, 80.1% in flowcell 2, 92.7% in flowcell 3 and 91.4% in

flowcell 4. This result is due to our DNA extraction method in which the whole leaf sample was

ground to retain all the microbial population in and on the leaf. For the purpose of microbial

species identification, host sequences are unwanted and can overwhelm community DNA

(Sharpton 2014). To enrich microbial sequences, one strategy is to apply intricate molecular

methods during DNA extraction such as gradient centrifugation and sonication (Bulgarelli et al.

2012; Lim et al. 2014). As these techniques require sophisticated instruments, this can be a

challenge to apply to environmental samples, especially in field conditions. Another strategy to

enrich microbiome sequences involves sequencing of microbial metabarcode markers. With the

improvement of sequencing length, species-level resolution using long read amplicon

sequencing has been achieved in few cases (Benítez-Páez and Sanz 2017; Martijn et al. 2017).

Long-read amplicon sequencing seems to be a promising method for species identification of

known microbes, as it requires less input DNA than WGS and metabarcode databases contain

information from comparatively more species than whole genome databases (Cruaud et al.

2017; Tessler et al. 2017; Ranjan et al. 2016). Amplicon sequencing is also possible in applied

cases given the rapid development of remote PCR such as the miniPCR system (Amplyus,

Cambridge, USA) and the Bento Lab platform (Bento Bioworks Ltd, London, United Kingdom).

Drawbacks of amplicon strategy include biases related to PCR amplification, which lead to

inaccuracy when calculating relative proportions of microbial species.

While many workflows are available for microbiome profiling using metagenomics data, there is

no standard method for analysing long read data. This makes validation of both methods and

results crucial (Quince et al. 2017), and mock microbial communities or samples containing

known microbial species have been used to validate methods as a proof-of-concept (Nicholls et

al. 2018). Verifying the diagnosis of a specific pathogen strain based on SNPs called from

MinION reads, Votintseva et al. (2017) first identified pathogens using clinical methods and

then generated sequencing data to map this data to the targeted species in real-time.

Sequencing reactions were stopped once sufficient SNPs were obtained to confidentially

identify the targeted pathogen strain. Similarly, our study used wheat samples inoculated

manually by known pathogens and confirmed visually prior to sequencing. The major pathogen

identifications from the first BLAST analysis against the custom genome reference database

validated the capacity of our workflow for identification of those pathogen species. To validate

the result, different analysis approaches should be applied on the same dataset. Brown et al.

(2017) compared the performance of four bioinformatics pipelines on identifying species within

different synthetic bacterial communities using MinION. Compared to the composition of a

mock community, even the pipeline that resulted in the most similar classification still contains

reads classified to species known not to be present. These false positive results are due largely

to sequencing errors, which illustrates the need for more stringent filtering of the raw data and

improvement of per read accuracy.

Nanopore metagenomics has great potential for quick diagnosis of suddenly emerging crop

disease and large-scale disease monitoring at centralized agricultural institutions. As shown

from other sequencing studies performed in a more extreme environment (Johnson et al. 2017;

Boykin et al. 2018), all processes including DNA extraction and purification, library preparation

and sequencing can be completed within a few hours. A tailored database can be created for

each crop species containing the genomes of pathogens known to cause diseases to minimise

processing time. For rapidly evolving pathogens such as wheat rusts, the SNP-based

classification method described by Votintseva et al. (2017) could be adapted to define rust

strains once enough SNPs are obtained. However, before running the sequencer, sampling

procedures and tissue processing strategies still need to be carefully curated. In our study, we

randomly sampled multiple leaves from different plants belonging to five treatment groups and

performed complete sequencing and analysis independently on all four biological replicate

sample sets. Consistency in barcode classification and BLAST analysis across all replicates

suggested reliability of the workflow. In centralized agricultural institutions, amplicon

sequencing can potentially play a key role and, to-date, there have been a few preliminary

successes (Benítez-Páez, Portune, and Sanz 2016; Benítez-Páez and Sanz 2017; Pomerantz et al.

2018). Given the multiplexing capacity of the 96 native barcoding kit (ONT), many samples can

be sequenced in parallel when larger scale sequencing platforms are employed (e.g. GridION,

ONT). To achieve this goal, long read databases, sequencing accuracy and access to large-scale

sample processing power need to be improved.

In conclusion, our workflow demonstrates the potential of this technology for plant pathogen

diagnosis, field applications and microbiome characterisation. A combination of on-site and

centralized sequencing approaches would, in future, revolutionize management of agricultural

biosecurity and reduce crop loss.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Megan McDonald for providing photos of infected wheat leaves, and thank Mr.

Michael McCaig, Mr. Tony Goldthorpe for their help during field sampling. We also thank Prof.

Peter Solomon for sharing knowledge and PCR primers for *Parastagonospora nodorum Tox3*

gene.

LITERATURE CITED

Al-Sallami, F., Karov, S., Vassileva, P., Popova, R., and Vassilev, V. 1997. Pseudomonas syringae pv.

atrofaciens associated with fungal black point of wheat (Triticum aestivum) grain. In *Pseudomonas*

Syringae Pathovars and Related Pathogens, Springer, p. 505–508.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403–410.

Ardui, S., Ameur, A., Vermeesch, J. R., and Hestand, M. S. 2018. Single molecule real-time (SMRT)

sequencing comes of age: applications and utilities for medical diagnostics. Nucleic Acids Res. 46:2159–

2168.

Benítez-Páez, A., Portune, K. J., and Sanz, Y. 2016. Species-level resolution of 16S rRNA gene amplicons

sequenced through the MinION[TM] portable nanopore sequencer. GigaScience. 5:4.

Benítez-Páez, A., and Sanz, Y. 2017. Multi-locus and long amplicon sequencing approach to study

microbial diversity at species level using the MinION[TM] portable nanopore sequencer. GigaScience. 6:1–

12.

Bhattacharya, S. 2017. Deadly new wheat disease threatens Europe's crops. Nat. News. 542:145.

Boykin, L., Ghalab, A., Rossitto De Marchi, B., Savill, A., M. Wainaina, J., Kinene, T., et al. 2018. Real time

portable genome sequencing for global food security. F1000Research. 7:1101.

Břinda, K., Callendrello, A., Cowley, L., Charalampous, T., Lee, R. S., MacFadden, D. R., et al. 2018.

Lineage calling can identify antibiotic resistant clones within minutes. bioRxiv. :403204.

Brown, B. L., Watson, M., Minot, S. S., Rivera, M. C., and Franklin, R. B. 2017. MinION[TM] nanopore

sequencing of environmental metagenomes: a synthetic approach. GigaScience. 6:1–10.

Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Themaat, E. V. L. van, Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., et al. 2012.

Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. Nature.

488:91–95.

Callaway, E. 2016. Devastating wheat fungus appears in Asia for first time. Nat. News. 532:421.

Charalampous, T., Richardson, H., Kay, G. L., Baldan, R., Jeanes, C., Rae, D., et al. 2018. Rapid Diagnosis

of Lower Respiratory Infection using Nanopore-based Clinical Metagenomics. bioRxiv. :387548.

Clavijo, B. J., Venturini, L., Schudoma, C., Accinelli, G. G., Kaithakottil, G., Wright, J., et al. 2017. An

improved assembly and annotation of the allohexaploid wheat genome identifies complete families of

agronomic genes and provides genomic evidence for chromosomal translocations. Genome Res.

27:885–896.

Cruaud, P., Rasplus, J.-Y., Rodriguez, L. J., and Cruaud, A. 2017. High-throughput sequencing of multiple

amplicons for barcoding and integrative taxonomy. Sci. Rep. 7:41948.

De Boer, S. H., and López, M. M. 2011. New Grower-Friendly Methods for Plant Pathogen Monitoring.

Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 50:197–218.

De Coster, W., D'Hert, S., Schultz, D. T., Cruts, M., Van Broeckhoven, C., and Berger, B. 2018. NanoPack:

visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 34:2666–2669.

Ellis, J. G. 2017. Can Plant Microbiome Studies Lead to Effective Biocontrol of Plant Diseases? Mol. Plant.

Microbe Interact. 30:190–193.

Ewing, B., and Green, P. 1998. Base-Calling of Automated Sequencer Traces Using Phred. II. Error

Probabilities. Genome Res. 8:186–194.

Ewing, B., Hillier, L., Wendl, M. C., and Green, P. 1998. Base-Calling of Automated Sequencer Traces

UsingPhred. I. Accuracy Assessment. Genome Res. 8:175–185.

Fisher, M. C., Henk, D. A., Briggs, C. J., Brownstein, J. S., Madoff, L. C., McCraw, S. L., et al. 2012.

Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. Nature. 484:186–194.

Goodwin, S. B., M'Barek, S. B., Dhillon, B., Wittenberg, A. H. J., Crane, C. F., Hane, J. K., et al. 2011.

Finished Genome of the Fungal Wheat Pathogen Mycosphaerella graminicola Reveals Dispensome

Structure, Chromosome Plasticity, and Stealth Pathogenesis. PLOS Genet. 7:e1002070.

Hane, J. K., Lowe, R. G. T., Solomon, P. S., Tan, K.-C., Schoch, C. L., Spatafora, J. W., et al. 2007.

Dothideomycete–Plant Interactions Illuminated by Genome Sequencing and EST Analysis of the Wheat

Pathogen Stagonospora nodorum. Plant Cell. 19:3347–3368.

Hu, Y. 2016. High quality DNA extraction from Fungi_small scale. protocols.io. Available at:

https://www.protocols.io/view/high-quality-dna-extraction-from-fungi-small-scale-exmbfk6 [Accessed

September 26, 2018].

Hu, Y., and Schwessinger, B. 2018. Amplicon sequencing using MinION optimized from 1D native

barcoding genomic DNA. protocols.io. Available at: https://www.protocols.io/view/amplicon-

sequencing-using-minion-optimized-from-1d-mhkc34w [Accessed September 27, 2018].

Jain, M., Koren, S., Miga, K. H., Quick, J., Rand, A. C., Sasani, T. A., et al. 2018. Nanopore sequencing and

assembly of a human genome with ultra-long reads. Nat. Biotechnol. 36:338–345.

Jain, M., Olsen, H. E., Paten, B., and Akeson, M. 2016. The Oxford Nanopore MinION: delivery of

nanopore sequencing to the genomics community. Genome Biol. 17:239.

Janda, J. M., and Abbott, S. L. 2007. 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing for Bacterial Identification in the

Diagnostic Laboratory: Pluses, Perils, and Pitfalls. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:2761–2764.

Johnson, S. S., Zaikova, E., Goerlitz, D. S., Bai, Y., and Tighe, S. W. 2017. Real-Time DNA Sequencing in the

Antarctic Dry Valleys Using the Oxford Nanopore Sequencer. J. Biomol. Tech. JBT. 28:2–7.

Kennedy, K., Hall, M. W., Lynch, M. D. J., Moreno-Hagelsieb, G., and Neufeld, J. D. 2014. Evaluating Bias

of Illumina-Based Bacterial 16S rRNA Gene Profiles. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80:5717–5722.

Laver, T., Harrison, J., O'Neill, P. A., Moore, K., Farbos, A., Paszkiewicz, K., et al. 2015. Assessing the

performance of the Oxford Nanopore Technologies MinION. Biomol. Detect. Quantif. 3:1–8.

Leggett, R. M., and Clark, M. D. 2017. A world of opportunities with nanopore sequencing. J. Exp. Bot.

68:5419–5429.

Lim, Y. W., Haynes, M., Furlan, M., Robertson, C. E., Harris, J. K., and Rohwer, F. 2014. Purifying the

Impure: Sequencing Metagenomes and Metatranscriptomes from Complex Animal-associated Samples.

JoVE J. Vis. Exp. :e52117–e52117.

Lin, S.-Y., Chooi, Y.-H., and Solomon, P. S. 2018. The global regulator of pathogenesis PnCon7 positively

regulates Tox3 effector gene expression through direct interaction in the wheat pathogen

Parastagonospora nodorum. Mol. Microbiol. 109:78–90.

Liu, Z., Faris, J. D., Oliver, R. P., Tan, K.-C., Solomon, P. S., McDonald, M. C., et al. 2009. SnTox3 Acts in

Effector Triggered Susceptibility to Induce Disease on Wheat Carrying the Snn3 Gene. PLOS Pathog.

5:e1000581.

Mahlein, A.-K. 2015. Plant Disease Detection by Imaging Sensors – Parallels and Specific Demands for

Precision Agriculture and Plant Phenotyping. Plant Dis. 100:241–251.

Maiden, M. C. J., Bygraves, J. A., Feil, E., Morelli, G., Russell, J. E., Urwin, R., et al. 1998. Multilocus

sequence typing: A portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of

pathogenic microorganisms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95:3140–3145.

Manning, V. A., Pandelova, I., Dhillon, B., Wilhelm, L. J., Goodwin, S. B., Berlin, A. M., et al. 2013.

Comparative Genomics of a Plant-Pathogenic Fungus, Pyrenophora tritici-repentis, Reveals

Transduplication and the Impact of Repeat Elements on Pathogenicity and Population Divergence. G3

Genes Genomes Genet. 3:41–63.

Martijn, J., Lind, A. E., Spiers, I., Juzokaite, L., Bunikis, I., Pettersson, O. V., et al. 2017. Amplicon

sequencing of the 16S-ITS-23S rRNA operon with long-read technology for improved phylogenetic

classification of uncultured prokaryotes. bioRxiv. :234690.

Mehrabi, Z., McMillan, V. E., Clark, I. M., Canning, G., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Preston, G., et al. 2016.

Pseudomonas spp. diversity is negatively associated with suppression of the wheat take-all pathogen. Sci.

Rep. 6:29905.

Mitsuhashi, S., Kryukov, K., Nakagawa, S., Takeuchi, J. S., Shiraishi, Y., Asano, K., et al. 2017. A portable

system for rapid bacterial composition analysis using a nanopore-based sequencer and laptop computer.

Sci. Rep. 7:5657.

Nezhad, A. S. 2014. Future of portable devices for plant pathogen diagnosis. Lab. Chip. 14:2887–2904.

Nicholls, S. M., Quick, J. C., Tang, S., and Loman, N. J. 2018. Ultra-deep, long-read nanopore sequencing

of mock microbial community standards. bioRxiv. :487033.

Odds, F. C., and Jacobsen, M. D. 2008. Multilocus Sequence Typing of Pathogenic Candida Species.

Eukaryot. Cell. 7:1075–1084.

Panke-Buisse, K., Poole, A. C., Goodrich, J. K., Ley, R. E., and Kao-Kniffin, J. 2015. Selection on soil

microbiomes reveals reproducible impacts on plant function. ISME J. 9:980–989.

PlantVillage. Available at: https://plantvillage.psu.edu/ [Accessed January 4, 2019].

Pomerantz, A., Peñafiel, N., Arteaga, A., Bustamante, L., Pichardo, F., Coloma, L. A., et al. 2018. Real-time

DNA barcoding in a rainforest using nanopore sequencing: opportunities for rapid biodiversity

assessments and local capacity building. GigaScience. 7.

Pretorius, Z. A., Singh, R. P., Wagoire, W. W., and Payne, T. S. 2000. Detection of Virulence to Wheat

Stem Rust Resistance Gene Sr31 in Puccinia graminis. f. sp. tritici in Uganda. Plant Dis. 84:203–203.

Quince, C., Walker, A. W., Simpson, J. T., Loman, N. J., and Segata, N. 2017. Shotgun metagenomics,

from sampling to analysis. Nat. Biotechnol. 35:833–844.

Raja, H. A., Miller, A. N., Pearce, C. J., and Oberlies, N. H. 2017. Fungal Identification Using Molecular

Tools: A Primer for the Natural Products Research Community. J. Nat. Prod. 80:756–770.

Ranjan, R., Rani, A., Metwally, A., McGee, H. S., and Perkins, D. L. 2016. Analysis of the microbiome:

Advantages of whole genome shotgun versus 16S amplicon sequencing. Biochem. Biophys. Res.

Commun. 469:967–977.

Ritpitakphong, U., Falquet, L., Vimoltust, A., Berger, A., Métraux, J.-P., and L'Haridon, F. 2016. The

microbiome of the leaf surface of Arabidopsis protects against a fungal pathogen. New Phytol.

210:1033–1043.

Schalamun, M., Nagar, R., Kainer, D., Beavan, E., Eccles, D., Rathjen, J. P., et al. 2018. Harnessing the

MinION: An example of how to establish long-read sequencing in a laboratory using challenging plant

tissue from Eucalyptus pauciflora. Mol. Ecol. Resour.

Schmidt, K., Mwaigwisya, S., Crossman, L. C., Doumith, M., Munroe, D., Pires, C., et al. 2017.

Identification of bacterial pathogens and antimicrobial resistance directly from clinical urines by

nanopore-based metagenomic sequencing. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 72:104–114.

Schwessinger, B., Sperschneider, J., Cuddy, W. S., Garnica, D. P., Miller, M. E., Taylor, J. M., et al. 2018. A

Near-Complete Haplotype-Phased Genome of the Dikaryotic Wheat Stripe Rust Fungus Puccinia

striiformis f. sp. tritici Reveals High Interhaplotype Diversity. mBio. 9.

Sharpton, T. J. 2014. An introduction to the analysis of shotgun metagenomic data. Front. Plant Sci.

5:209.

Sherald, J. L., and Lei, J. D. 1991. Evaluation of a rapid ELISA test kit for detection of Xylella fastidiosa in

landscape   trees.   Plant   Dis.   USA.   Available   at: http://agris.fao.org/agris-

search/search.do?recordID=US9115875 [Accessed January 4, 2019].

Tessler, M., Neumann, J. S., Afshinnekoo, E., Pineda, M., Hersch, R., Velho, L. F. M., et al. 2017. Large-

scale differences in microbial biodiversity discovery between 16S amplicon and shotgun sequencing. Sci.

Rep. 7:6589.

Thomas, T., Gilbert, J., and Meyer, F. 2012. Metagenomics - a guide from sampling to data analysis.

Microb. Inform. Exp. 2:3.

Votintseva, A. A., Bradley, P., Pankhurst, L., Elias, C. del O., Loose, M., Nilgiriwala, K., et al. 2017. Same-

Day Diagnostic and Surveillance Data for Tuberculosis via Whole-Genome Sequencing of Direct

Respiratory Samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. 55:1285–1298.

Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., and Holt, K. E. 2018. Deepbinner: Demultiplexing barcoded Oxford Nanopore

reads with deep convolutional neural networks. PLOS Comput. Biol. 14:e1006583.

Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L., and Miller, W. 2000. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences.

J. Comput. Biol. J. Comput. Mol. Cell Biol. 7:203–214.

Fig. 1. The Nanopore sequencing workflow for fungal pathogen identification. 1) Sampling:

plant pathologists collected wheat leaf samples from disease nursery fields, with visual

confirmation of disease symptoms for four infection types (three single fungal infections and

one double fungal infection). Fungicide-treated wheat leaves of the same variety and growth

stage were collected as a control treatment. 2) DNA sequencing: to minimise operator bias.

DNA was extracted from all five samples without knowledge of the causative agent(s) affecting

each sample. We labelled samples with DNA barcodes through a PCR reaction step before

sample pooling and 1D library preparations (SQK-LSK107); 3) Data analysis: We quality-

controlled sequences based on Phred quality scores and trimmed adapter sequence using

Porechop (Wick, Judd, and Holt 2018). We performed BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) searches

using processed reads and identified the best hits. We summarized the total sequence length of

hits to each species using the python *matplotlib* module. Images used in step 3 are from the

official websites of each program.

Fig. 2. Uneven proportions of barcode-labelled DNAs across all biological replicates. Columns

indicate four biological replicates from five different treatment types. The y-axis indicates

relative abundance of total sequence lengths labelled with one of five DNA barcodes within

each sample set. Each barcode represents one wheat sample. Reads without a detectable

barcode were labelled as 'unclassified'.

Fig. 3. Wheat is predominantly identified in the whole genome sequencing analysis using BLAST.

The graph shows the relative sequence length per species identified using a 'winner-takes-all'

approach when searching the custom genome reference database. Wheat (grey), fungal

pathogen genomes (blue), NCBI nucleotide (nt) database (red) and unmapped (light green) (see

results and methods for details). The numbers at the bottom of each bar show the total

sequence length (bp) of processed reads for each replicate. Replicates 1, 3, 4 showed similar

classification levels while replicate 2 contained a higher number of unclassified sequences.

Fig. 4. The total sequence length per pathogen genome per barcode correlated with the

phenotypic disease identification. The graphs show the relative sequence length assigned to

each pathogen genome using a 'winner-takes-all' approach in the BLAST analysis against the

custom genome reference database. The proportion of reads hitting the wheat host genome

are listed in the top-right corner of each graph. Unassigned reads are omitted from the

visualization.

Fig. 5. Fungal infections of wheat increase the microbial population, especially for *Puccinia*

striiformis f. sp. *tritici* and *Z. tritici* infections. Percentage of sequences that did not hit the

custom genome reference database but hit the non-redundant NCBI nt database combined

from all replicates, and grouped by genus (A) or species (B). Different colours represented

different treatment types. Reads that were assigned to the restricted database were eliminated,

and the top ten highest classifications were displayed on the y-axis for each replicate. Genus

Pseudomonas represented the highest proportion across all barcodes containing *Puccinia*

striiformis f. sp. *tritici* or *Z. tritici*, with *Pseudomonas syringae* as the highest at the species level.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Example of DNA quality control by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

About 200 nanograms of DNA from replicates 3 and 4 prior to the adapter ligation step of the

sequencing library preparation were loaded onto the gel. The molecular weight standards are

HyperLadder 1 kb (Bioline, Catalog number BIO-33025).

Supplementary Fig. S2. BLAST analysis against the non-redundant NCBI nt database using the

reads assigned to *Parastagonospora nodorum* from BLAST against the restricted database. Arc

angle is proportional to the number of reads assigned to the indicated genus or order. The

unclassified (grey) arc angle refers to the proportion of reads assigned as unclassified by BLAST

analysis. Genus or orders assigned to two reads or less are grouped as 'others' under each

category.

Supplementary Fig. S3. PCR amplification of the *Parastagonospora nodorum Tox3* gene from

the DNA sample of replicate 3. The wheat *Rubisco* gene was used as a control for the quality of

DNA in each sample. The positive control for *Tox3* amplification is pure genomic DNA from

Parastagonospora nodorum strain SN15, and the negative control is pure genomic DNA from *Z.*

tritici. The PCR reaction used NEB Q5 High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (Catalog Number M0492S)

following the manufacturer's protocol and the amplification conditions were: 30 s at 98°C; 30

cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 25 s at 56°C and 10 s at 72°C; then a final extension for 2 min at 72°C

before holding at 4°C. The primer sequences for the wheat *Rubisco* gene are: Forward:

AGCAAGGTTGGCTTTGTCTT, Reverse: TTAACCTCCTCCACCTCGTT. The primer pair used for the

Tox3 gene are: Forward: AATGTCGACCGTTTTGACC, Reverse: GGTTGCCGCAGTTGATATAA

obtained from Lin, Chooi, and Solomon (2018).

Supplementary Fig. S4. Percentage of sequences that did not hit the custom genome reference

database but hit the non-redundant NCBI nt database combined from all replicates, and

grouped by phylum. Reads that are assigned to the restricted database were eliminated, and

the top ten highest classifications were displayed for each replicate. Different colours

represented different barcodes.

Supplementary Fig. S5. Percentage of sequences that did not hit the custom genome reference

database but hit the non-redundant NCBI nt database combined from all replicates, and

grouped by class. Reads that are assigned to the restricted database were eliminated, and the

top ten highest classifications were displayed for each replicate. Different colours represented

different barcodes.

Supplementary Fig. S6. Percentage of sequences that did not hit the custom genome reference

database but hit the non-redundant NCBI nt database combined from all replicates, and

grouped by order. Reads that are assigned to the restricted database were eliminated, and the

top ten highest classifications were displayed for each replicate. Different colours represented

different barcodes.

Supplementary Fig. S7. Percentage of sequences that did not hit the custom genome reference

database but hit the non-redundant NCBI nt database combined from all replicates, and

grouped by family. Reads that are assigned to the restricted database were eliminated, and the

top ten highest classifications were displayed for each replicate. Different colours represented

different barcodes.

Sampling

Barcode01   Barcode02   Barcode03   Barcode04   Barcode05

DNA sequencing

Quality control & Trimming

Porechop

Nucleotide BLAST

Nucleotide BLAST
nucleotide ▸ nucleotide

Visualization

matplotlib

Data analysis